

Hypergraphs of girth 5 and 6 and coding theory

Kathryn Haymaker* Michael Tait† Craig Timmons‡

April 3, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we study the maximum number of edges in an N -vertex r -uniform hypergraph with girth g where $g \in \{5, 6\}$. Writing $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<g})$ for this maximum, it is shown that $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5}) = \Omega_r(N^{3/2-o(1)})$ for $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. We address an unproved claim from [31] asserting a technique of Ruzsa can be used to show that this lower bound holds for all $r \geq 3$. We carefully explain one of the main obstacles that was overlooked at the time the claim from [31] was made, and show that this obstacle can be overcome when $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. We use constructions from coding theory to prove nontrivial lower bounds that hold for all $r \geq 3$. Finally, we use a recent result of Conlon, Fox, Sudakov, and Zhao to show that the sphere packing bound from coding theory may be improved when upper bounding the size of linear q -ary codes of distance 6.

1 Introduction

Let X be a finite set and $\binom{X}{r}$ be the collection of all subsets of X with r elements. An r -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} with vertex set X is a subset of $\binom{X}{r}$. Being rather general objects, many combinatorial problems can be phrased in terms of uniform hypergraphs. Furthermore, there are important instances in which this perspective is useful. Indeed, hypergraphs can provide a framework for addressing problems that at first seem unrelated, yet are linked by some underlying idea or concept.

As early as 2000, researchers have used results and methods from hypergraph Turán theory to prove bounds on the sizes of codes. An important instance of this is the work of Alon, Fischer, and Szegedy [3] from 2001. They used tools from additive combinatorics and extremal hypergraph theory to study IPP codes. Let V be a set with n elements and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq V^4$. We say that \mathcal{C} has the *identifiable parent property* (IPP) if

1. for all distinct $a, b, c \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a coordinate $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ where a_i , b_i , and c_i are all different, and

*Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Villanova University. kathryn.haymaker@villanova.edu

†Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Villanova University. Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2245556. michael.tait@villanova.edu

‡Department of Mathematics and Statistics, California State University, Sacramento. craig.timmons@csus.edu

2. for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\{a, b\} \cap \{c, d\} = \emptyset$, there is a coordinate $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $\{a_j, b_j\} \cap \{c_j, d_j\} = \emptyset$.

Let $f(n)$ be the maximum size of an IPP code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq V^4$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, Alon, Fischer, and Szegedy proved that for all $n > n_0(\epsilon)$,

$$n^{2-\epsilon} < f(n) < \epsilon n^2. \quad (1)$$

Their proof of the upper bound takes a code with IPP and defines a corresponding hypergraph. The graph removal lemma [1, 29] is then used to prove an upper bound on the number of edges in this hypergraph. The proof of the lower bound defines a hypergraph based on an extension of Behrend's construction of sets with no 3-term arithmetic progression [23]. Alon [2] used a similar partite hypergraph construction to prove a theorem on property testing in graphs. Again, one of the ingredients in the construction is Ruzsa's generalization of Behrend's construction. Something notable here is that the application is on property testing of graphs, an area which at first glance may have no obvious connection to coding theory.

Still in the early 2000s, Lazebnik and Verstraëte [18] made a significant contribution to hypergraph Turán theory. They determined an asymptotic formula for the maximum number of edges in an N -vertex 3-uniform hypergraph with girth five, defined in the Berge sense. One of the elements in their proof is a hypergraph construction similar to the one used in [2, 3], but now the generalized Behrend construction is replaced with a special type of Sidon set.

Over the past 20 years, using tools from additive number theory to construct codes and hypergraphs has evolved in sophistication. Many papers have used this method in various forms, such as taking a sparse hypergraph with many edges and viewing it as a code inside some Hamming space. One then uses properties of the hypergraph to deduce properties of the code.

In 2020, several of these connections were made explicit by Shangquan and Tamo [25] who constructed sparse hypergraphs to make progress on problems involving three different types of codes: (i) parent-identifying systems, (ii) combinatorial batch codes, and (iii) locally recoverable codes. One condition that is often used to make a graph or hypergraph sparse is to forbid short cycles. Recall a *Berge cycle* of length k in a hypergraph is a sequence of k distinct vertices v_1, \dots, v_k and k distinct edges E_1, \dots, E_k such that $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \subset E_i$, where indices are read modulo k . A hypergraph \mathcal{H} has girth at least g if \mathcal{H} has no Berge cycles of length k for every $2 \leq k \leq g-1$. We will use the notation $\mathcal{C}_{<g}$ to denote the family of Berge cycles of length at most $g-1$. Hence, a hypergraph has girth at least g if and only if it is $\mathcal{C}_{<g}$ -free. Given a family of r -uniform hypergraphs \mathcal{F} , the *Turán number* for \mathcal{F} is the maximum number of edges in an r -uniform N -vertex hypergraph which is \mathcal{F} -free and is denoted by $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{F})$. Because we will use objects from both coding theory and graph theory in this paper, n will denote the dimension of a vector space that a code lives in and N will denote the number of vertices in a graph. An $[n, k, d]_q$ code is a linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n of dimension k such that every nonzero vector has at least d nonzero entries.

With one motivation coming from coding theory, Shangquan and Tamo used a result on hypergraph independence numbers [9] and the probabilistic method to construct

sparse hypergraphs. They noted that some of these hypergraphs imply lower bounds on the Turán number of $\mathcal{C}_{<g}$ -free graphs. Indeed, one of the corollaries to the main theorem in [25] is that for all $r \geq 3$ and $g \geq 5$, $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<g}) = \Omega_r(N^{\frac{g-1}{g-2}}(\log N)^{\frac{1}{g-2}})$. This gave a logarithmic factor improvement to the bound obtained by Xing and Yuan who also connected $\mathcal{C}_{<g}$ -free r -uniform hypergraphs with locally recoverable codes [34]. They proved that a construction giving a lower bound on $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5})$ implies a lower bound on the length of a locally recoverable code (LRC) with minimum distance d and locality r , where $d \in \{9, 10\}$ and $r \geq d - 2$. There is a large amount of research on LRCs, which adds to the motivation for continued study of the $\mathcal{C}_{<g}$ -free r -uniform hypergraphs. As mentioned earlier, the case $r = 3$, $g = 5$ was asymptotically solved by Lazebnik and Verstraëte [18], a paper which also posed an important theoretical question on generalized Sidon sets. The case of $r = 3$ and odd $g \geq 5$ was shown to be related to multiplicative square-free sequences by Pach and Vizer [21]. Specifically, for $k \geq 2$, let $F_k(N)$ be the maximum size of a set $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that no product of k distinct integers from A is a square. Theorem 11 [21] shows that a lower bound on $\text{ex}_3(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5})$ implies a lower bound on $F_8(N)$. Gerbner and Patkós [13] proved that N -vertex $(a + b)$ -uniform $\mathcal{C}_{<5}$ -free hypergraphs can be used to prove lower bounds on generalized Turán numbers involving $K_{a,b}$. Let $\text{ex}(N, H, F)$ be the maximum number of copies of H in an N -vertex F -free graph. Proposition 4.1 of [13] shows that $\text{ex}(N, K_{a,b}, K_{2,t}) \geq \text{ex}_{a+b}(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5})$ for $2 \leq a \leq b < t$. Therefore, lower bounds on the girth 5 Turán problem have direct applications to problems in extremal combinatorics. Circling back to coding theory, Xing and Yuan [35] proved an equivalence between certain types of $\mathcal{C}_{<g}$ -free uniform hypergraphs and LRCs. Their equivalence reflects the fact that the more edges in the hypergraph often results in a code with better parameters.

Given that several published results use the best available lower bounds on Turán numbers of r -uniform $\mathcal{C}_{<5}$ -free hypergraphs, we now focus the discussion on the best proven bounds that we are aware of. As noted above, Lazebnik and Verstraëte gave the asymptotic formula $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5}) \sim \frac{1}{6}N^{3/2}$. For $r > 3$ the situation is less clear. The third author and Verstraëte [31] claimed that one could adapt a method of Ruzsa [23] to show that $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5}) = N^{3/2-o(1)}$ for all r . However, despite that almost 10 years have passed since [31] appeared, we currently do not have a proof. Several papers have repeated this claim [30, 26, 27, 28] or make use of it [13, 21, 25, 34]. One of the contributions of this paper is to highlight and identify an obstacle that was not foreseen, and then prove that we may use Ruzsa's method and overcome it when $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. We do not know how to overcome this obstacle for larger r . We describe at a high level what the significant gap is at the beginning of Section 4 and exactly which detail of the claimed proof does not go through in Remark 4.3. For all $r > 3$, the best-known lower bound is from the logarithmic improvement to the probabilistic method [25], which gives $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5}) = \Omega(N^{4/3}(\log N)^{1/3})$ and $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<6}) = \Omega(N^{5/4}(\log N)^{1/4})$. The upper bound for both functions is $O_r(N^{3/2})$ [14].

For larger $r \geq 7$, we provide a different construction which utilizes objects from coding theory and improves the current lower bounds on $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5})$ and $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<6})$.

1.1 Our results

In the papers mentioned above, number theoretic properties are used to produce hypergraphs that obey certain properties. In this paper we similarly construct hypergraphs where subgraphs can be described by solutions to given equations, which we then avoid to obtain a hypergraph with a given girth. The papers described above either use this type of construction to study Turán problems directly or use this type of construction to apply graph theoretic methods on coding theory problems. Our main results are on Turán problems, but go the other direction from the early papers. That is, we use results from coding theory to give improvements on Turán problems rather than the other way. At the end of the paper we go back in the more well-studied direction and show that recent hypergraph Turán results imply an improvement on the sphere-packing bound for linear codes of distance 6. Our contributions are as follows:

- We address the unproved claim of the bound lower bound $N^{3/2-o(1)}$ for $\mathcal{C}_{<5}$ -free graphs of uniformity larger than 3. We explain why the claim was erroneously made by going through Ruzsa's method carefully, and noting that such a claim relies on a solution to a finite field problem that is to our knowledge open. This problem is quite interesting in its own right (see Question 6.1 in the Conclusion).
- We show that when $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$, this obstacle can be overcome in a different way than answering Question 6.1 affirmatively (Theorem 1.1).
- For all other r , we use constructions from coding theory to give lower bounds on $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5})$ and $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<6})$ that improve the probabilistic bound (Theorem 1.2).
- We show that recent results of Conlon, Fox, Sudakov, and Zhao [7] improve the sphere packing bound on the specific case of linear codes of distance 6 for any odd prime power $q \geq 7$ (Theorem 1.3).

Theorem 1.1 *Let $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. Then we have*

$$\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5}) = \Omega_r(N^{3/2-o(1)}).$$

Theorem 1.2 *Let r be fixed. Then there exists constants c_r and c'_r such that*

$$(a) \text{ ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<5}) \geq c_r \cdot N^{10/7}, \text{ and}$$

$$(b) \text{ ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{<6}) \geq c'_r \cdot N^{4/3}.$$

The constructions used to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.1 can be used to give upper bounds on the size of linear codes. In particular, for linear $[n, k, 6]_q$ codes, as n goes to infinity, the sphere-packing bound and Johnson bound [17, 22] both give

$$k \leq n - 2 \log_q n - O(1). \tag{2}$$

When the distance of a code grows with n there are improvements to these bounds, but in the regime where the distance is fixed and n is going to infinity, (2) is the best published result that we are aware of. We improve (2) by an additive factor going to infinity.

Theorem 1.3 *Let $q \geq 7$ be a power of an odd prime. If \mathcal{C} is an $[n, k, 6]_q$ code, then*

$$k \leq n - 2 \log_q n - \omega(1),$$

where $\omega(1)$ is a function that goes to infinity with n .

We note that Theorem 1.3 requires the code to be linear and does not give any bound on the size of general distance 6 codes.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we set up the method and explore some of the subtleties involved in linking Berge cycles with equations. In Sections 3, 4, and 5 we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.1, and 1.3 respectively. We end the paper with some concluding remarks.

2 Ruzsa-Szemerédi Hypergraphs

In their solution to the $(6, 3)$ -problem, Ruzsa and Szemerédi constructed 3-partite graphs with the property that each edge is in exactly one triangle [24]. There are different ways to present their construction, but one of essential steps is to choose a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ with no 3-term arithmetic progression. Assuming such a set has been chosen, let $G_p(A)$ be the graph whose vertex set is three disjoint copies of \mathbb{Z}_p . The edges of $G_p(A)$ are obtained by taking the union of all triangles of the form

$$(x, x + a, x + 2a) \text{ where } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \in A.$$

Here x is the vertex in the first copy of \mathbb{Z}_p , $x + a$ is the vertex in the second copy, and $x + 2a$ is in the third copy of \mathbb{Z}_p . Ruzsa and Szemerédi proved that the only triangles in $G_p(A)$ are the $p|A|$ triangles used to define the edge set. To maximize the number of edges, which is $3p|A|$, the set A is chosen to be as dense as possible, which is where the classical construction of Behrend enters the picture.

This approach can also be stated in hypergraph language using Berge cycles. Let $\mathcal{H}_p(A)$ be the 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph with vertex set $\cup_{i=1}^3 (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \{i\})$ and edge set

$$\{((x, 1), (x + a, 2), (x + 2a, 3)) : x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \in A\}.$$

Proving that $\mathcal{H}_p(A)$ has girth 4 is equivalent to proving that every edge of $G_p(A)$ is in exactly one triangle.

Subsequent research has implemented extensions of Ruzsa-Szemerédi hypergraphs where more parts are considered [2, 3, 12, 18]. Also, sets A which avoid solutions to other equations, like the Sidon equation $X_1 + X_2 - X_3 - X_4 = 0$, are often used depending on the Berge cycles one wants to avoid. Let us now define these hypergraphs in a general context.

Definition 2.1 *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and R and S be rings with unity where R is an S -module. Let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ be a vector whose entries are elements of S . For finite subsets $R' \subset R$ and $A \subset R$, define $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ to be the r -uniform r -partite hypergraph with vertex set $\cup_{i=1}^r (R' \times \{i\})$ and edge set*

$$\bigcup_{x \in R', a \in A} \{((x + \lambda_1 a, 1), (x + \lambda_2 a, 2), \dots, (x + \lambda_r a, r))\}.$$

Write $e(x, a)$ for the edge $\{((x + \lambda_1 a, 1), (x + \lambda_2 a, 2), \dots, (x + \lambda_r a, r))\}$. We view the vertex $(x + \lambda_i a, i)$ as contained in the i -th copy of R' , which is $R' \times \{i\}$. Some authors choose to omit the second coordinate. We have opted to include the coordinate because it avoids using the same notation for vertices in different parts. Choices that have been used for R and S are (i) $R = S = \mathbb{Z}$ [2, 3, 12] and (ii) $R = \mathbb{Z}_n$, $S = \mathbb{Z}$ [11, 18]. In our applications, we will take $R = \mathbb{F}_q^t$ and $S = \mathbb{F}_q$ in Section 3, and $S = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $R = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ in Section 4.

2.1 Berge cycles and corresponding equations

One of the key properties of $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ is that a Berge cycle implies that there is an equation over R , whose coefficients are differences of the entries of $\vec{\lambda}$, and the variables must be elements in A . The following proposition is often used, although perhaps not stated in this general way. A sketch of the proof is that if a vertex is in two edges, it implies an equation over R is satisfied. Adding up all of these equations, rearranging and simplifying gives (3). A detailed proof can easily be obtained from existing literature ([12] for instance).

Proposition 2.2 *If the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ contains a cycle of length k , then there are indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k such that $i_\ell \neq i_{\ell+1}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, $i_k \neq i_1$, and*

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})a_2 + \dots + (\lambda_{i_k} - \lambda_{i_{k-1}})a_{k-1} + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_k})a_k = 0 \quad (3)$$

for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in A$.

Without assumptions on $\vec{\lambda}$, it could be the case that (3) is a trivial equation which holds for “most” values that the variables can take. If $\vec{\lambda} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ where 1 is the unity element of R , then (3) holds for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in A$ because each coefficient in (3) is 0. For this reason, all of the constructions that we are aware of choose the entries of $\vec{\lambda}$ to be distinct to avoid an equation where a coefficient is 0.

Assuming the entries of $\vec{\lambda}$ are distinct, equation (3) is a homogeneous equation in k variables. There is a fundamental difference between the cases when $k = 2$ and when $k \geq 3$. Let us quickly dispense of the case $k = 2$ so that the focus can be on $k \geq 3$. In most applications, including ours, the ring R has the cancellation property. The cancellation property and distinct entries in $\vec{\lambda}$ are all that is needed to avoid 2-cycles.

Proposition 2.3 *If for all $i_1 \neq i_2$ and $a_1, a_2 \in A$, the equation*

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_1 = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_2$$

implies that $a_1 = a_2$, then $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ has no Berge 2-cycle.

Proof. Suppose that $e(x_1, a_1)$ and $e(x_2, a_2)$ are two edges that form a 2-cycle. By Proposition 2.2 there are indices i_1 and i_2 with $i_1 \neq i_2$ and

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_2})a_2 = 0,$$

which can be rewritten as $(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_1 = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_2$. The assumption then implies that $a_1 = a_2$. Since there is a vertex (w, i_1) in the i_1 -th part that is in both $e(x_1, a_1)$ and $e(x_2, a_2)$, we have

$$w = x_1 + \lambda_{i_1}a_1 \quad \text{and} \quad w = x_2 + \lambda_{i_1}a_2.$$

Combining these two equations with $a_1 = a_2$ gives $x_1 = x_2$, and so $e(x_1, a_1) = e(x_2, a_2)$ which is a contradiction. We conclude that $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ does not have a 2-cycle. ■

2.2 The genus of a Berge cycle and trivial solutions

For $k \geq 3$, equation (3) is a homogeneous, invariant equation. When the coefficients are integers, these equations were studied by Ruzsa [23]. Let us recall some important terms introduced in [23]. Consider the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i X_i = 0 \tag{4}$$

where each β_i is a non-zero element of S and $\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i = 0$. For any $a \in A$, setting $X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_k = a$ solves (4). These trivial solutions where all variables are equal to the same value will always occur. Depending on the equation, there may be trivial solutions (definition recalled in the next paragraph) using multiple elements from A .

Suppose that $(X_1, \dots, X_k) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is a solution to (4), and that this solution uses exactly ℓ distinct elements of A . Let $[k] = T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_\ell$ be a partition such that $a_i = a_j$ if and only if $i, j \in T_v$ for some v . We call $(X_1, \dots, X_k) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ a *trivial solution* if $\sum_{i \in T_v} \beta_i = 0$ for all $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. We define the *genus* of (4) to be the largest m such that there is partition $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_m$ of the set of subscripts $[k]$ into non-empty sets such that

$$\sum_{i \in T_v} \beta_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq v \leq m.$$

The genus of an equation was originally defined by Ruzsa [23] in the case that $R = S = \mathbb{Z}$. The same definition makes sense for other R and S . For example, [20] defines the genus of an equation in the same way when $R = \mathbb{F}_q^t$ and $S = \mathbb{F}_q$. In the case that each β_i is an integer, then we say that equation (4) is of *type* (k_1, k_2) if there are k_1 positive coefficients and k_2 negative coefficients.

Since a Berge k -cycle in $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ corresponds to an invariant, homogeneous equation in k variables, we *define the genus of the k -cycle* to be the genus of the corresponding equation (3). More precisely, suppose E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k are the edges of a Berge k -cycle. Since $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ has no 2-cycle, each pair of consecutive edges on the cycle intersects in exactly one vertex. For $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, let (w_{i_j}, i_j) be the unique vertex in $E_j \cap E_{j+1}$, and let (w_{i_k}, i_k) be the unique vertex in $E_k \cap E_1$. This gives a unique sequence of part indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k such that the j -th vertex on the cycle is in part i_j . Furthermore, $i_1 \neq i_2, i_2 \neq i_3, \dots, i_k \neq i_1$ since no single edge in $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ contains two vertices from the same part. Cyclically shifting the order of the edges E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k will cyclically shift the parts, but other than the notation, it will not change equation (3).

Having defined the genus of Berge k -cycle in $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$, we now state a useful property that connects adjacent edges on a cycle and repeated A values.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $x_1, x_2 \in R'$ and $a \in A$. If $e(x_1, a)$ and $e(x_2, a)$ share at least one vertex, then $x_1 = x_2$, i.e., the two edges are the same.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a vertex (w, i_1) in both $e(x_1, a)$ and $e(x_2, a)$. We then have $w = x_1 + \lambda_{i_1}a = x_2 + \lambda_{i_1}a$ which implies $x_1 = x_2$. ■

Propositions 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and the genus of a Berge cycle is enough to provide a high-level description of several existing applications of Ruzsa-Szemerédi hypergraphs. First R, R', S , and $\vec{\lambda}$ are chosen. This determines the algebraic setting and the equations that come from Berge cycles. The next step is to choose A as large as possible subject to the condition that A has only trivial solutions to the equations coming from cycles that one wants to avoid. In [2, 3, 11, 12], every avoided cycle corresponds to a genus 1 equation with bounds on the coefficients. Although each must overcome its unique technical challenges, there exist constructions of large sets that avoid solutions to families of genus 1 equations of type $(\ell, 1)$. We introduce these sets now.

Let $C, \ell \geq 2$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{E}_{C, \ell}$ be the collection of all equations of the form

$$\beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_{k-1} X_{k-1} = \beta_k X_k$$

where $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, \ell\}$, $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{k-1} \in \{1, 2, \dots, C\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, and $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_{k-1} = \beta_k$.

Lemma 2.5 *For every pair of positive integers C and ℓ , there are real numbers $N, \tau, \gamma > 0$ such that the following holds. For each prime $p > N$, there is a set $B \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $|B| > \gamma p e^{-\tau \sqrt{\log p}}$ and B has only trivial solutions to each equation in $\mathcal{E}_{C, \ell}$.*

Lemma 2.5 follows from a standard averaging argument and a Behrend-type construction. All of the ideas needed to prove it can be found in Lemmas 3.4 and 3.8 of [12]. It is worth noting that Lemma 3.5 of [12] concerns equations of types different from $(\ell, 1)$ but which are all still genus 1.

2.3 Avoiding 3-cycles

By Proposition 2.2, a 3-cycle leads to an equation of the form

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})a_2 + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_3})a_3 = 0 \tag{5}$$

with $i_1 \neq i_2, i_2 \neq i_3, i_3 \neq i_1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$. These three non-equalities imply that the vertices of the 3-cycle must be in three different parts (similarly to how a 2-cycle must also have the vertices of the cycle in different parts). Since we are assuming that the λ_i 's are distinct elements of S , each coefficient in (5) is not zero. Therefore, (5) is always a genus 1 equation and any trivial solution will have $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$. When all variables are equal, Proposition 2.4 applies to any pair of consecutive edges on the cycle. See Section 2.6 for further remarks on genus 1 k -cycles, of which 3-cycles are a special case.

2.4 Avoiding 4-cycles

By Proposition 2.2 a 4-cycle leads to the equation

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})a_2 + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})a_3 + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})a_4 = 0 \quad (6)$$

with $i_1 \neq i_2$, $i_2 \neq i_3$, $i_3 \neq i_4$, $i_4 \neq i_1$, and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in A$. The four non-inequalities tell us that the vertices of a 4-cycle could be in two, three or four parts.

If the vertices are in two parts, then $i_1 = i_3$, $i_2 = i_4$, and (6) can be rewritten as

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_3 = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_2 + (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_4. \quad (7)$$

As $\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1} \neq 0$, we may cancel to obtain $a_1 + a_3 = a_2 + a_4$. This shows that A has a solution to the Sidon equation $X_1 - X_2 + X_3 - X_4 = 0$. This equation has genus 2 so that there are trivial solutions using any two distinct elements of A . Nevertheless, if A only has trivial solutions to $X_1 - X_2 + X_3 - X_4 = 0$, then $a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 = 0$ implies $\{a_1, a_3\} = \{a_2, a_4\}$. Thus, $a_1 = a_2$ or $a_1 = a_4$ and in both cases, we will be able to apply Proposition 2.4.

Although far more general than what is needed here, Ruzsa [23] proved that in the integer case, if $A \subset [N]$ has only trivial solutions to a genus g equation with integer coefficients, then $|A| = O(N^{1/g})$. This suggests that $|A|$ will decrease significantly if the cycles we want to avoid have genus $g > 1$.

If the vertices of the 4-cycle are in three parts, then without loss of generality, we may assume $i_1 = i_3$, $i_2 \neq i_4$. Here (6) becomes

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_1})a_3 = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_2 + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_1})a_4. \quad (8)$$

This is a genus 2 equation. If A has only trivial solutions to (8), then $\{a_1, a_3\} = \{a_2, a_4\}$. Hence, $a_1 = a_2$ or $a_1 = a_4$ and in both cases Proposition 2.4 applies.

Lastly, if the vertices of the 4-cycle are in four parts, then all of i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 are distinct. Berge k -cycles with vertices in distinct parts in $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ are called *rainbow* in [12]. Equation (6) is now

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})X_1 + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})X_2 + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})X_3 + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})X_4 = 0 \quad (9)$$

which may have genus 1 or 2. In the integer case, it may be of type (3, 1) or type (2, 2). This will all depend on the ordering of the parts that is obtained from the ordering of the vertices on the 4-cycle. For example, let $\vec{\lambda} = (1, 2, 5, 6) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. The choice $i_1 = 1$, $i_2 = 2$, $i_3 = 3$, and $i_4 = 4$ gives the type (3, 1) genus 1 equation

$$X_1 + 3X_2 + X_3 = 5X_4. \quad (10)$$

This equation corresponds to a 4-cycle whose vertices are in part 1, part 2, part 3, and then part 4. The choice $i_1 = 1$, $i_2 = 2$, $i_3 = 4$, and $i_4 = 3$ gives the type (2, 2) genus 2 equation

$$X_1 + 4X_2 = X_3 + 4X_4. \quad (11)$$

Here a corresponding 4-cycle has vertices in part 1, 2, 4, then 3. Lastly, up to symmetry, the choice $i_1 = 1, i_2 = 3, i_3 = 2, i_4 = 4$ gives

$$4X_1 + 4X_3 = 3X_2 + 5X_4,$$

a type (2, 2) genus 1 equation. The part ordering here is 1, 3, 2, then 4.

Returning to (11), the trivial solutions $a + 4b - a - 4b = 0$ with $a \neq b$ prevent us from using Proposition 2.4. This situation can be avoided if the differences $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ are all distinct. Indeed, it was the corresponding differences

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_4 - \lambda_2 = 4, \quad \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 = -1, \quad \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 = -4$$

that gave (11). This leads to an idea, pioneered by Lazebnik and Verstraëte [18], which is to choose the entries of $\vec{\lambda}$ to be a Sidon set which we will define now.

Definition 2.6 *Let Γ be an abelian group where $+$ denotes the operation. A set $A \subset \Gamma$ is a Sidon set if whenever $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4$ with $a_i \in A$, it must be the case that $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{a_3, a_4\}$. Equivalently, A is a Sidon set if and only if all of the nonzero differences $\{a_i - a_j : i \neq j\}$ are distinct.*

To ensure that we have equations with non-zero coefficients, we choose $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ to have distinct entries, and to avoid trivial genus 2 4-cycles coming from equations like (11), we choose $\vec{\lambda}$ to be a Sidon set.

Note that if a, b, c are in a Sidon set of S then the group element $a - b + c$ is not allowed to be in the Sidon set. Thus, a greedy argument gives that as long as $(r - 1)^3 < |S|$, one may choose a Sidon set of size r . Therefore, as long as $|S|$ grows, we can achieve this for arbitrary r .

Our construction using coding theory will have $S = \mathbb{F}_q$ where q can grow as function of r . The Sidon set of size r and distinct entries is the arithmetic structure from $\vec{\lambda}$ needed for our proof of Theorem 1.2. Our construction used to prove Theorem 1.1 will require $\vec{\lambda}$ to have a third property, which we define now.

Let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ be a vector whose entries are distinct integers that form a Sidon set. Assume that

$$1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_r.$$

Let i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 be indices in $[r]$ with $i_1 \neq i_2, i_2 \neq i_3, i_3 \neq i_4, i_4 \neq i_1$ and let

$$\pi_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})(\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})(\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4}). \quad (12)$$

If the product π_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} is a positive integer that is not a square, let s be the square-free part. That is,

$$\pi_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} = st^2$$

where t is a positive integer, and s is the product of distinct primes, each with multiplicity one. The square-free integer s is uniquely determined by the ordered 4-tuple (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) .

Let

$$\mathcal{S}(\vec{\lambda})$$

be the set of all square-free positive integers obtained in this way.

Definition 2.7 Let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ be an integer vector whose entries form a Sidon set and $1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_r$. The vector $\vec{\lambda}$ has the square products property if there are infinitely many primes $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ such that for each p_i , every integer in $\mathcal{S}(\vec{\lambda})$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo p_i .

Example: $\vec{\lambda} = (1, 28, 33, 36, 43)$

The entries of $\vec{\lambda}$ form a Sidon set in \mathbb{Z} . There are three products of the form (12) that are positive, but are not squares in \mathbb{Z} . They are

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{1,4,2,3} &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1) = (-35)(8)(-5)(32) = 7 \cdot 80^2, \\ \pi_{1,5,2,3} &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_5)(\lambda_5 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1) = (-42)(15)(-5)(32) = 7 \cdot 120^2, \\ \pi_{2,4,5,3} &= (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_4 - \lambda_5)(\lambda_5 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) = (-8)(-7)(10)(5) = 7 \cdot 20^2.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{S}((1, 28, 33, 36, 43)) = \{7\}$. Note that different choices of indices led to the same s , but $\mathcal{S}(\vec{\lambda})$ is a set, so repetition does not matter. The integer 7 is a quadratic non-residue modulo p whenever p is a prime that is $\pm 5, \pm 11, \pm 13$ modulo 28. By Dirichlet's Theorem on primes in Arithmetic Progressions, there are infinitely many such primes. Therefore, $\vec{\lambda}$ has the square products property.

It is worth noting that in 2020, Wei, Wang, and Schwartz [33] applied Ruzsa's genus 2 method to construct lattice packings in the context of error correcting codes. In their proof they also required certain products to be either squares in \mathbb{Z} or non-quadratic residues modulo p . This same idea was used again by Wang to give a new construction of constant-composition codes [32].

2.5 Avoiding cycles of length greater than 4

The methods of this paper will not be able to construct graphs of girth larger than 6 without significant modification. For any $k \geq 6$, $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ will contain cycles of length k . We give two examples now that show this for $k \in \{6, 7\}$.

Let $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ be any two distinct entries of $\vec{\lambda}$, and $\lambda = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$. We can form a solution to

$$\lambda X_1 - \lambda X_2 + \lambda X_3 - \lambda X_4 + \lambda X_5 - \lambda X_6 = 0$$

with $X_i \neq X_{i+1}$ by setting $X_1 = X_4 = a$, $X_2 = X_5 = b$, $X_3 = X_6 = c$ where a, b, c are distinct elements of A . This gives $|R'| |A|^3$ cycles of length 6 no matter how A and $\vec{\lambda}$ are chosen.

For cycles of length 7, let a, b, c be arbitrary elements of A and λ, μ, ρ be arbitrary entries of $\vec{\lambda}$. Consider elements of R v_1, \dots, v_7 defined by

$$\begin{aligned}v_1 &= x_1 + a\lambda = x_7 + c\lambda \\ v_2 &= x_2 + b\mu = x_1 + a\mu \\ v_3 &= x_3 + a\rho = x_2 + b\rho \\ v_4 &= x_4 + c\lambda = x_3 + a\lambda \\ v_5 &= x_5 + a\mu = x_4 + c\mu \\ v_6 &= x_6 + b\rho = x_5 + a\rho \\ v_7 &= x_7 + c\mu = x_6 + b\mu,\end{aligned}$$

and edges $e_1 = e(x_1, a)$, $e_2 = e(x_2, b)$, $e_3 = e(x_3, a)$, $e_4 = e(x_4, c)$, $e_5 = e(x_5, a)$, $e_6 = e(x_6, \rho)$, and $e_7 = e(x_7, \mu)$ of $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$. Note that once a, b, c and λ, μ, ρ are chosen, then v_1 uniquely defines v_2, \dots, v_7 and the e_i form a cycle as long as all x_i are distinct. In this case (3) reduces to

$$a(\lambda + \rho + \mu - \mu - \lambda - \rho) + b(\mu + \rho - \rho - \mu) + c(\lambda + \mu - \lambda - \mu) = 0,$$

which holds trivially. Hence each vertex in $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ is in $\Omega(|A|^3)$ “trivial” cycles of length 7. For all $k \geq 8$, trivial cycles of length k can similarly be found.

2.6 Avoiding cycles by genus type

In some applications of this method, not every k -cycle in $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ is considered. This includes Alon’s notable paper on testing subgraphs [2] and Ge and Shangguan [12] results on hypergraph Turán problems. In these papers, the k -cycles that are avoided are those that have vertices in k distinct parts. When this restriction is made, the payoff can be that all forbidden cycles correspond to genus 1 equations, and so the critical obstacle that was unforeseen at the time that the claim from [31] was made does not cause an issue.

3 Girth 5 and 6 with uniformity at least 7

3.1 Tools from Coding Theory

A linear code is a subspace of a vector space and hence can be described as the null space to a parity-check matrix. As a vector in the null space of a matrix naturally leads to an equation in terms of its columns, this will be useful for us.

A subspace \mathcal{C} of \mathbb{F}_q^n of dimension k is called an $[n, k]$ linear code. An $\ell \times n$ matrix H for which \mathcal{C} equals the nullspace of H is called a parity-check matrix for \mathcal{C} . The Hamming distance between any pair of vectors (called codewords) \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 in \mathcal{C} is $d(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)$, the number of positions in which they differ. The minimum distance of a code is defined as

$$d(\mathcal{C}) = \min_{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in \mathcal{C}, \vec{v}_1 \neq \vec{v}_2} \{d(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)\}.$$

Because the code is linear we have that $d(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2) = d(\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2, \vec{0})$, and so distance can be understood by linear combinations of the columns of the parity check matrix which sum to $\vec{0}$. Recall that an $[n, k, d]_q$ code is an $[n, k]$ linear code in \mathbb{F}_q^n with minimum distance d . We use the following folklore result which is standard in coding theory and relates the minimum distance of a code to the linear independence of subsets of the columns of a parity-check matrix for \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 3.1 (Folklore, see e.g. [16]) *A linear code has minimum distance d if and only if its parity check matrix has a set of d linearly dependent columns, but any set of $d - 1$ columns is linearly independent.*

We make use of Dumer's construction [10] of linear codes exceeding the BCH bound.

Theorem 3.2 ([10], Theorem 7) *There exist codes of minimum distance at least 5 with parity-check matrix H , where H has $2m + \lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil + 1$ rows and q^m columns, where q is a power of an odd prime.*

The parity-check matrix H has the form $H = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H} \\ P \end{bmatrix}$ where \tilde{H} is a parity-check matrix for an extended BCH code with parameters $[q^m, k = q^m - 2m - 1, d \geq 4]$, and P is an $\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil \times q^m$ matrix whose columns come from the norm equation on $x = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$. The construction is presented generally in [10]; we give the following example to illustrate.

Example 3.3 *We construct a small example of the matrix H described above, for $q = 5$ and $m = 2$. Use $\mathbb{F}_{25} \cong \mathbb{F}_5[x]/\langle x^2 + 2x + 3 \rangle$. Let α be a root of $x^2 + 2x + 3$ over \mathbb{F}_5 . Note that α is primitive in \mathbb{F}_{25} and generates \mathbb{F}_{25}^* . We identify \mathbb{F}_{25} with \mathbb{F}_5^2 by the correspondence that takes $\alpha^n = \alpha X + Y$ to $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_5^2$. In particular, $\alpha^0 = 1$ corresponds to $(0, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_5^2$. Thus we obtain a matrix H_1 of the form*

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^{23} & \alpha^{24} \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \cdots & \alpha^{46} & \alpha^{48} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{25}} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & \cdots & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_5^2}$$

Removing the first redundant row gives a matrix \tilde{H} with $2m + 1$ rows and q^m columns over \mathbb{F}_q .

The next step is to append P , a 1×25 matrix. For a given element i of \mathbb{F}_{25} indexing the q^m columns, $P(i)$ is defined in [10] as a vector of length $\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil$ determined by the three-norm of triples within i . For this example, since $m = 2$, P can be defined as

$$P(i) = N_2(i) = i^{1+5} \in \mathbb{F}_5, \text{ for } i = 1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{23}, 0.$$

Therefore the matrix P is

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, the parity-check matrix with size as in Theorem 3.2 is

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & \cdots & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{6 \times 25}.$$

Theorem 3.4 ([10], Cor. 6) *The code V_6^m has minimum distance at least 6 and length $q^{\lfloor 5(m-1)/6 \rfloor}$, for m even. There is a parity check matrix for V_6^m with $\frac{5}{2}m$ rows and $q^{\lfloor 5(m-1)/6 \rfloor}$ columns.*

The code V_6^m is a punctured BCH code (see e.g. [19]) designed to retain certain codeword positions that result in the parameters given in Theorem 3.4.

Remark 3.5 *The constructions given for Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 given in [10] have redundant rows. Retaining only a linearly independent set of rows yields a parity-check matrix with the sizes given.*

3.2 Ruzsa-Szemerédi Hypergraphs from Dumer's BCH Codes

Our next task is to prove Theorem 1.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of distance $d \in \{5, 6\}$ over \mathbb{F}_q and let A be the set of columns of its parity check matrix. Let $\vec{\lambda}$ be a Sidon set of size r in \mathbb{F}_q . We assume that q is fixed but is large enough so that it contains such a Sidon set. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$. Here we are using $S = \mathbb{F}_q$ and $R = R' = \mathbb{F}_q^t$ where t is the number of rows in the parity check matrix. We will show that \mathcal{H} has girth at least d .

3.3 Proof of girth

By Proposition 3.1, we have that there is no nontrivial linear combination of less than d vectors in A which sum to $\vec{0}$. Proposition 2.3 gives us that \mathcal{H} has no 2-cycles. We now consider cycles of length 3, 4, and 5.

No 3-cycles: Assume that there is a 3-cycle with vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 and edges E_1, E_2, E_3 with the same notation as above. Then (3) gives that

$$(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_2})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_3})a_2 + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_1})a_3 = 0.$$

Because we have that $i_3 \neq i_1$ and $i_\ell \neq i_{\ell+1}$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq 2$, all of the coefficients of the a_i are nonzero. Since sets of at most 3 vectors in A cannot be in nontrivial linear combination summing to $\vec{0}$, we must have that $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$. But this implies that $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$ and so $E_1 = E_2 = E_3$, a contradiction.

No 4-cycles: Assume there is a 4-cycle with vertices v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 and edges E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4 . Then by (3), we have

$$(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_2 + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})a_3 + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})a_4 = 0.$$

Because we have that $i_4 \neq i_1$ and $i_\ell \neq i_{\ell+1}$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq 3$, all of the coefficients of the a_i are nonzero. By the condition on A this must again be a trivial linear combination. Note that if $a_i = a_{i+1}$ for any i (modulo 4), we have that $E_i = E_{i+1}$, a contradiction. Hence we must have that $a_1 = a_3$ and $a_2 = a_4$, and furthermore that $\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4} + \lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2} = 0$. Since $\vec{\lambda}$ is a Sidon set, we must have that $\{i_1, i_3\} = \{i_2, i_4\}$. But then we have that $i_\ell = i_{\ell+1}$ for some ℓ (modulo 4), a contradiction.

No 5-cycles: Assume there is a 5-cycle with vertices v_1, \dots, v_5 and E_1, \dots, E_5 . Then by (3), we have

$$(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_5})a_1 + (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})a_2 + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})a_3 + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})a_4 + (\lambda_{i_5} - \lambda_{i_4})a_5 = 0.$$

Because we have that $i_5 \neq i_1$ and $i_\ell \neq i_{\ell+1}$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq 4$, all of the coefficients of the a_i are nonzero. Now, if $d \geq 6$, then there can be no nontrivial combination of at most 5 vectors in A summing to $\vec{0}$, and hence the equation must be trivial. This implies that at least 3 of the a_i must be equal, which implies that $i_\ell = i_{\ell+1}$ for some ℓ (modulo 5), a contradiction.

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Fix an integer $r \geq 3$. First let $d = 5$. Let q be a prime large enough so that there exists a Sidon set $\vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ in \mathbb{F}_q . For example one may choose greedily as explained in Section 2 and find such a set if $(r-1)^3 < q$. Let m be the largest integer satisfying

$$r \cdot q^{2m + \lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} \leq N.$$

We have that $m = \frac{3}{7} \log_q N - O_r(1)$. By Theorem 3.2, there is a linear code of distance 5 with a parity check matrix that has $2m + \lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil$ rows and q^m columns. Define $\mathcal{H}_5 = \mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ where A is the set of columns of this parity check matrix. Then this hypergraph on at most N vertices is $|A| = q^m$ regular and since q is depending only on r , we have that

$$q^m = q^{\frac{3}{7} \log_q N - O_r(1)} \geq c_r N^{3/7}.$$

By Section 3.3, \mathcal{H}_5 has girth 5, implying this case of Theorem 1.2.

Similarly, for $d = 6$, let m be the largest even integer with $r \cdot q^{5m/2} \leq N$, so $m = \frac{2}{5} \log_q N - O_r(1)$. By Theorem 3.4, there is a linear code with distance 6 which has a parity check matrix with $\frac{5}{2}m$ rows and $q^{\lfloor 5(m-1)/6 \rfloor}$ columns. Define $\mathcal{H}_6 = \mathcal{H}(B, \vec{\lambda})$ where B is the set of columns of this matrix. Then \mathcal{H}_6 is a hypergraph on at most N vertices which is $|B| = q^{\lfloor 5(m-1)/6 \rfloor}$ regular, and thus we have average degree at least

$$q^{\lfloor 5(m-1)/6 \rfloor} = c'_r N^{1/3}.$$

By Section 3.3, \mathcal{H}_6 has girth 6, proving the theorem.

4 Girth 5 and uniformities 4, 5, and 6

4.1 Tools from Number Theory

Now we discuss our approach using number theory. The general strategy is the same in that we use Proposition 2.2 and avoid cycles by avoiding solutions to (3). In this construction, we will use the results and methods of Ruzsa [23]. We note that we work with $R = \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$ whereas Ruzsa phrased this construction over the integers, but one can

easily see that Ruzsa is “actually” working in $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$. We phrase it this way because it makes the details clearer in our opinion.

Using this method is more involved than with a code of minimum distance d where by Proposition 3.1 we automatically avoid all nontrivial equations with at most $d - 1$ variables. Before getting into the details, we outline the strategy and make a special note of where an obstacle arises while using Ruzsa’s ideas. We show how to overcome this obstacle when $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$, and it is an open problem if this same approach is possible for larger r .

The initial thought behind the claimed lower bound on $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 5})$ from [31] is that if the entries of $\vec{\lambda}$ are distinct and form a Sidon set, then a natural modification of the construction from [23] would give a set A of size $N^{1/2-o(1)}$ that avoids non-trivial solutions to 2, 3, and 4-cycle equations determined by $\vec{\lambda}$. As discussed in Section 2, this requires avoiding families of genus 1 and genus 2 equations. The known methods to construct sets avoiding solutions to genus 1 and genus 2 equations are very different. Ruzsa’s paper [23] makes contributions to both. For the Behrend equation, Ruzsa observes (a similar modification appears in [2]) that Behrend’s original argument extends to any equation of the form

$$\beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k = (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_k) X_{k+1}.$$

where the β_i ’s are positive integers. This part of Ruzsa’s method goes through easily for us as well. By Proposition 2.3, we will not see 2-cycles in our construction. Cycles of length 3 correspond to solutions to an equation in 3 variables with coefficients bounded by a constant depending only on the λ_i ’s. Because such a cycle must be genus 1 we can use Lemma 2.5 to avoid them.

The more difficult part arises when we must deal with multiple genus 2 equations coming from different 4-cycles. While [23] gives a method for constructing a set with many elements and no solution to a single genus 2 equation of type $(2, 2)$, there is a subtlety that surfaces when one tries to extend this method to families of these types of equations. The subtlety arises as follows.

Cycles of length 4 correspond to an equation in 4 variables over \mathbb{F}_p^2 . This equation is equivalent to a system of two equations in 4 variables over \mathbb{F}_p . Following [23], algebraic manipulation shows that if a solution to the system exists, then one can eliminate a variable and obtain a solution to a different equation over \mathbb{F}_p that is in 3 variables. Crucially though, the coefficients in this new equation are determined in part by taking a square root in \mathbb{F}_p and hence, *we do not have control of their size as integers*. Thus, we are led to consider equations of the form $aX + bY = (a + b)Z$ where a, b can be any field elements. To our knowledge, it is not known whether or not a set of size $p^{1-o(1)}$ avoiding such an equation may be found in \mathbb{F}_p . This is exactly the gap in the claim from [31] that a girth 5 hypergraph with $N^{3/2-o(1)}$ edges exists for any uniformity. However, one of our main contributions is to show that with the square products property, it is possible to overcome this issue when $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$, proving Theorem 1.1. We now proceed with the details.

As introduced in Section 2 our approach using number theory requires the entries of $\vec{\lambda}$ to be a Sidon set with the square products property. The largest such set we were able to find has 6 elements. It was found using a computer search by David Davini [8].

Proposition 4.1 (Davini [8]) *The entries of the vector*

$$\vec{\lambda} = (1, 35, 161, 170, 251, 545)$$

form a Sidon set of integers of size 6 with the square products property.

It can be checked that the entries of λ form a Sidon set and $\mathcal{S}(\vec{\lambda}) = \{3 \cdot 7, 3 \cdot 17\}$ (see the Appendix). By the Law of Quadratic Reciprocity, if p is a prime that is

- 5 or 7 modulo 12, then 3 is a quadratic non-residue modulo p ,
- 1, 3, 9, 19, 25, or 27 modulo 28, then 7 is a quadratic residue modulo p ,
- 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 13, 15, or 16 modulo 17, then 17 is a quadratic residue modulo p .

For such a prime, both $3 \cdot 7$ and $3 \cdot 17$ will be quadratic non-residues modulo p . A prime p that satisfies solves the system

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{28}, \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$$

will satisfy $p \equiv 953 \pmod{1428}$. By Dirichlet's Theorem on primes in Arithmetic Progressions, there are infinitely many such primes p . For such a prime p , both $3 \cdot 7$ and $3 \cdot 17$ are quadratic non-residues modulo p , so $\vec{\lambda}$ has the square products property.

We will need our sequence of primes to be dense enough so that given N , we can choose a value of rp^2 that is close to N and $rp^2 \leq N$. To prove such a sequence exists, we use a quantitative form of the Prime Number Theorem in Arithmetic Progressions.

Lemma 4.2 *Let a and m be positive integers that are relatively prime, and let $\epsilon > 0$. There is an M_0 such that for all $M > M_0$, there is a prime p with $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$ and $(1 - \epsilon)M \leq p \leq M$.*

Proof. Let $\pi_{a,m}(x)$ be the number of primes p with $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$ and $p \leq x$. Let $\text{Li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}$ and ϕ be the Euler phi function. Using a result of [4], for sufficiently large x we have

$$\left| \pi_{a,m}(x) - \frac{\text{Li}(x)}{\phi(m)} \right| < \frac{Cx}{(\log x)^2}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on m . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{a,m}(M) - \pi_{a,m}((1 - \epsilon)M) &\geq \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \int_{(1-\epsilon)M}^M \frac{dt}{\log t} - \frac{2CM}{(\log((1 - \epsilon)M))^2} \\ &> \frac{\epsilon M}{(m - 1) \log(M)} - \frac{3cM}{(\log((1 - \epsilon)M))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For large enough M , depending on ϵ and m , this quantity is positive. Therefore, there is a prime p with $(1 - \epsilon)M \leq p \leq M$ and $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$. ■

4.2 Ruzsa-Szemerédi Hypergraphs from Square Product Sidon Sets

Let $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. Let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ be a vector whose entries are positive integers that form a Sidon set with the square products property. For $r = 6$ such a set exists by Proposition 4.1. Any subset of a Sidon set with the square products property is also a Sidon set with the square products property. Thus, for $r \in \{4, 5\}$ one can restrict to the first r entries of $\vec{\lambda} = (1, 35, 161, 170, 251, 545)$ from Proposition 4.1 to obtain the needed set.

By Lemma 2.5 with $C = 2\lambda_r^2$ and $\ell = 3$, there are positive real numbers M , τ , and γ such that the following holds. For any prime $p > M$, there is a set $B \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|B| > \gamma p e^{-\tau\sqrt{\log p}}$, and B has only trivial solutions to each equation in $\mathcal{E}_{2\lambda_r^2, 3}$. Consider the sequence of all primes

$$M < p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots$$

where $p_i \equiv 953 \pmod{1428}$ for all i , and p_1 is the smallest such prime that is larger than M . Write \mathcal{P} for this sequence. For a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$, let

$$A = \{(x, x^2) : x \in B\} \subset \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Define $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ to be the resulting r -uniform hypergraph. This hypergraph has rp^2 vertices and $p^2|B| > \gamma p^3 e^{-\tau\sqrt{\log p}}$ edges. In Section 4.3 we prove that $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ has girth 5.

4.3 Proof of girth

No 3-cycles: Assume that there is a 3-cycle with edges $e(w_1, x)$, $e(w_2, y)$, $e(w_3, u)$. By Proposition 2.2 there are indices $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq r$ with $i_1 \neq i_2$, $i_2 \neq i_3$, and $i_3 \neq i_1$, and

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})(x, x^2) + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})(y, y^2) + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_3})(u, u^2) = (0, 0).$$

The first coordinate implies that there are elements $x, y, u \in B$ that form a solution to

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})X + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})Y + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_3})U = 0.$$

This is a type $(2, 1)$ equation whose coefficients are bounded by $2\lambda_r^2$. By Lemma 2.5 it must be the case that $x = y = u$. By Proposition 2.4 the edges $e(w_1, x)$ and $e(w_2, y)$ are the same since $x = y$ and they share a vertex. This is a contradiction so $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ has no 3-cycles.

No 4-cycles: Assume that there is a 4-cycle with edges $e(w_1, x)$, $e(w_2, y)$, $e(w_3, u)$, and $e(w_4, v)$. By Proposition 2.2 there are indices $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \leq r$ where $i_1 \neq i_2$, $i_2 \neq i_3$, $i_3 \neq i_4$, $i_4 \neq i_1$, and

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})(x, x^2) + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})(y, y^2) + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})(u, u^2) + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})(v, v^2) = (0, 0).$$

From the first coordinate we have the equation

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})x + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})y + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})u + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})v = 0, \quad (13)$$

and from the second,

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})x^2 + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})y^2 + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})u^2 + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})v^2 = 0. \quad (14)$$

If the product $\pi_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})(\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})(\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})$ is negative, then

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})X + (\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})Y + (\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})U + (\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})V = 0$$

is a type (3, 1) equation with coefficients bounded by $2\lambda_r^2$. By Lemma 2.5 and (13), it must be the case that $x = y = u = v$. This implies $e(w_1, x)$ and $e(w_2, y)$ are the same edge, a contradiction.

Assume now that the product π_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} is a positive integer. Then exactly two of $\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}$, $\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2}$, $\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3}$, $\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4}$ are positive integers. Without loss of generality, the two cases we consider are $\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}, \lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2} > 0$ and $\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}, \lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3} > 0$.

Case 1: $\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1} > 0$, $\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2} > 0$

For convenience of notation, let $a = \lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}$, $b = \lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2}$, $c = -(\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})$, and $d = -(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})$. Then a, b, c, d are all positive integers with $a + b = c + d$. With this notation, (13) and (14) become

$$ax + by = cu + dv \quad (15)$$

and

$$ax^2 + by^2 = cu^2 + dv^2. \quad (16)$$

Following Ruzsa's proof of Theorem 7.3 in [23], multiply (16) through by $a + b = c + d$ to get

$$a^2x^2 + aby^2 + bax^2 + b^2y^2 = c^2u^2 + cdv^2 + dcu^2 + d^2v^2.$$

Subtracting the square of (15) leads to

$$ab(x - y)^2 = cd(u - v)^2. \quad (17)$$

If $x = y$, then since $ab \neq 0$ and $cd \neq 0$, (17) implies $u = v$. We then substitute into (15) to get $(a + b)x = (c + d)u$. Recalling that $a + b = c + d \neq 0$, we may cancel to get $x = u$, which then implies $x = y = u = v$. Since $e(w_1, x)$ and $e(w_2, y)$ have a vertex in common and $x = y$, Proposition 2.4 implies these edges are the same, a contradiction.

Now assume $x \neq y$ and $u \neq v$. Multiplying (17) through by cd yields

$$abcd(x - y)^2 = (cd)^2(u - v)^2. \quad (18)$$

Let

$$\pi_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} = st^2$$

where s and t are positive integers and s is square free. Note that

$$st^2 = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})(\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})(\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4}) = abcd.$$

From this equation, we obtain

$$st^2(x - y)^2 = (cd)^2(u - v)^2. \quad (19)$$

Since $x - y \neq 0$ and $u - v \neq 0$, (19) implies that s is a quadratic residue modulo p . Write $[\sqrt{s}]_p$ for one of the two solutions to $X^2 = s \pmod{p}$. Using this notation, (19) can be rewritten as

$$([\sqrt{s}]_p t(x - y) - cd(u - v)) ([\sqrt{s}]_p t(x - y) + cd(u - v)) = 0.$$

Suppose first that $[\sqrt{s}]_p t(x - y) - cd(u - v) = 0$. Multiplying (15) by c and then adding to $[\sqrt{s}]_p t x - [\sqrt{s}]_p t y - cdu + cdv = 0$ gives

$$([\sqrt{s}]_p t + ca)x + (cb - [\sqrt{s}]_p t)y - c(c + d)u = 0.$$

This is an equation over \mathbb{F}_p whose coefficients are $[\sqrt{s}]_p t + ca$, $cb - [\sqrt{s}]_p t$, and $-c(c + d)$ where $x, y, u \in B$ form a solution to

$$([\sqrt{s}]_p t + ca) X + (cb - [\sqrt{s}]_p t) Y = c(c + d)U. \quad (20)$$

Now suppose that $[\sqrt{s}]_p t(x - y) + cd(u - v) = 0$. Multiplying (15) by d and adding to $[\sqrt{s}]_p t x - [\sqrt{s}]_p t y = -cdu + cdv$ gives

$$([\sqrt{s}]_p t + da)x + (db - [\sqrt{s}]_p t)y = d(c + d)v.$$

Therefore, $x, y, v \in B$ form a solution to the equation

$$([\sqrt{s}]_p t + da)X + (db - [\sqrt{s}]_p t)Y = d(c + d)V. \quad (21)$$

Subcase 1: $s = 1$

If $s = 1$, then $abcd = t^2$ where t is a positive integer with $1 \leq t \leq \lambda_r^2$. Either the elements x, y, u or the elements x, y, v form a solution to the type $(2, 1)$ equation

$$(t + ca)X + (cb - t)Y = c(c + d)U \quad \text{or} \quad (t + da)X + (db - t)Y = d(c + d)V,$$

respectively. The coefficients in both of these equations have absolute value at most $2\lambda_r^2$. Hence, we can apply Lemma 2.5 to get either $x = y = u$ in the first case, or $x = y = v$ in the second. This is a contradiction since we have assumed that $x \neq y$.

Subcase 2: $s > 1$

Recalling that $st^2 = (\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})(\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})(\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})$, we see that s is the square-free part of a product of differences of elements of $\vec{\lambda}$. By definition, $s \in \mathcal{S}(\vec{\lambda})$ and in this case, $\mathcal{S}(\vec{\lambda}) = \{3 \cdot 7, 3 \cdot 17\}$. Each prime p has been chosen so that 3 is a non-quadratic residue, while 7 and 17 are quadratic residues. Therefore, $3 \cdot 7$ and $3 \cdot 17$ are not quadratic residues modulo p . Since s not a quadratic residue modulo p , we have a contradiction with (19).

Remark 4.3 *If we do not assume that $\vec{\lambda}$ has the square products property, then it may be the case that $s \neq 1$ and s is a quadratic residue in \mathbb{F}_p . In this case we still obtain the pair of equations (20) and (21). Both are type $(2, 1)$ equations if considered as equations*

over the integers, but the coefficients will be a function of the square root of s in \mathbb{F}_p . Now unlike sums and products of the elements of $\vec{\lambda}$, the square root of s modulo p may not be bounded by a constant that is independent of the p (and indeed in this case the square root considered as an integer must be at least \sqrt{p}). Thus, following this particular approach we cannot apply Lemma 2.5 because we do not have a uniform bound on all of the coefficients that appear in 3 variable equations that come from reducing the 4 variable systems of 2 equations coming from 4-cycles.

Case 2: $\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1} > 0$, $\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3} > 0$

Let $a = \lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1}$, $b = \lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3}$, $c = -(\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})$, and $d = -(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})$ so a, b, c, d are positive integers and $a + b = d + c$. Equations (13) and (14) are now

$$ax + bu = cy + dv \quad (22)$$

and

$$ax^2 + bu^2 = cy^2 + dv^2. \quad (23)$$

As in Case 1, we can obtain

$$ab(x - u)^2 = cd(y - v)^2. \quad (24)$$

If $x = u$, then (24) implies $y = v$. Substituting into (23) gives $(a + b)x = (c + d)y$ so that $x = y$. This is a contradiction since $x = y$ implies that $e(w_1, x) = e(w_2, y)$. Assume that $x \neq u$ and $y \neq v$. Multiplying (24) through by cd yields

$$abcd(x - u)^2 = (cd)^2(y - v)^2.$$

From this point forward, the argument is similar to that of Case 1 starting with equation (18). One writes $abcd$ as st^2 where s and t are integers and s is square free to get

$$st^2(x - u)^2 = (cd)^2(y - v)^2.$$

Since $x \neq u$ and $y \neq v$, this equation implies that s is a quadratic residue modulo p and we may write

$$([\sqrt{s}]_p t(x - u) - cd(y - v)) ([\sqrt{s}]_p t(x - u) + cd(y - v)) = 0.$$

The remaining steps are similar to Case 1, and Case 2 leads to another contradiction.

4.4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. For $r = 4, 5, 6$, we take $\vec{\lambda}$ to be $(1, 35, 161, 170)$, $(1, 35, 161, 170, 251)$, $(1, 35, 161, 170, 251, 545)$, respectively. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 4.2 there is an N_r such that for all $N > N_r$, there is a prime p with $p \equiv 953 \pmod{1428}$ and $(1 - \epsilon)\sqrt{N/r} \leq p \leq \sqrt{N/r}$. This latter inequality can be rewritten as $(1 - \epsilon)^2 N \leq rp^2 \leq N$. We also choose N large enough so that the lower bound $(1 - \epsilon)\sqrt{N/r} \leq p$ implies that we can choose B according to Section 4.2: B has only trivial solutions to each equation in $\mathcal{E}_{2\lambda_r^2, 3}$ and

$|B| > \gamma p e^{-\tau \sqrt{\log p}}$. Here γ and τ are positive constants depending only on r and the entries of $\vec{\lambda}$. Let $R = R' = \mathbb{F}_p^2$, $S = \mathbb{Z}$,

$$A = \{(x, x^2) : x \in B\}$$

and $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ be the corresponding hypergraph. This hypergraph has rp^2 vertices and girth 5 by Section 4.3. Since $(1 - \epsilon)^2 N \leq rp^2 \leq N$, $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$ has at least

$$p^2 |A| > \gamma p^3 e^{-\tau \sqrt{\log p}} \geq \frac{\gamma(1 - \epsilon)^3}{r^{3/2}} N^{3/2} e^{-\tau \sqrt{\log(\sqrt{N/r})}} = N^{3/2 - o(1)}$$

edges.

5 Coding theory bounds from graphs

In this section we go the other direction and use graph theoretic results to imply bounds on codes, proving Theorem 1.3. To prove this we use the following recent theorem of Conlon, Fox, Sudakov, and Zhao [7].

Theorem 5.1 ([7], Corollary 1.10) *For $r \geq 3$, every r -uniform hypergraph on N vertices with girth 6 has $o(N^{3/2})$ edges.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, 6]_q$ code and let H be a parity check matrix for it. Let A be the set of the columns of H , $A \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}$, and let $\vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ be a Sidon set of size 3, noting that one exists because $q \geq 7$ and is odd. Define the graph $G = \mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$. This is a graph on $N = 3 \cdot q^{n-k}$ vertices with $|A|q^{n-k} = nq^{n-k}$ edges. By Section 3.3, G has girth 6, and so by Theorem 5.1 it must have $o(N^{3/2})$ edges. Therefore, we have that $nq^{n-k} = o\left(3^{3/2}q^{\frac{3}{2}(n-k)}\right)$ or equivalently that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-k)}}{n} = 0.$$

Therefore $q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-k)} = \omega(1)n$ for some function $\omega(1)$ that tends to infinity with n . Taking log of both sides and rearranging gives the result. \blacksquare

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we used tools from coding theory and number theory to construct Ruzsa-Szemerédi hypergraphs of girth 5. These families of girth 5 hypergraphs prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Now in [31] it was claimed that one can use Ruzsa’s method for genus 2 equations to prove a $c_r N^{3/2 - o(1)}$ lower bound on $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{g < 5})$ for all $r \geq 4$. In an attempt to follow through on this claim, the problematic “unbounded coefficient” equations (20) and (21) appear and this causes a significant gap in the purported method of proof. We showed, however, that if one can find a Sidon set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ with the square products property and that there is a dense enough sequence of primes certifying the property,

then the claimed $N^{3/2-o(1)}$ bound follows. Furthermore, we constructed such sets when $r \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. We do not know if such sets do or do not exist for any other r . It is also possible that a different modification of Ruzsa's method exists, and if so, it could have applications to coding theory. We conclude this paragraph by commenting that our introduction contains several references which cite or use the claimed lower bound from [31].

We also note that it is possible to extend Lemma 2.5 to allow the coefficients to grow as long as they do not grow too fast, see for example Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 in [12] where equations are avoided over $[n]$ and coefficients are allowed to be $n^{o(1)}$. It is possible that allowing one to choose a growing sequence of $\vec{\lambda}$ which has some dependence on N might be easier for some reason than choosing a fixed $\vec{\lambda}$ with the square products property.

Alternatively, we also showed that one may push the Ruzsa method through for all r if an equivalent of Lemma 2.5 over \mathbb{F}_p and without any restriction on the size of the coefficients could be found. We therefore state the following open problem; a positive resolution to it would give that $\text{ex}_r(n, \mathcal{C}_{<5}) = N^{3/2-o(1)}$ for any r .

Question 6.1 *Determine whether or not for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a P such that for all primes $p \geq P$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, there exists a set $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| > p^{1-\epsilon}$ and no nontrivial solutions to the equation $aX + bY = (a + b)Z$.*

We believe that Question 6.1 is interesting in its own right. If a and b are of bounded “height”, then one can work in the first $\epsilon \cdot p$ elements of the field and pretend to work in the integers. A Behrend-type construction then gives a $p^{1-o(1)}$ lower bound. On the other side, a standard adaptation of the triangle removal lemma argument in the upper bound for the corners theorem gives an $o(p)$ upper bound for any a, b .

For all r and k one has $\text{ex}_r(N, \mathcal{C}_{2k}) = O(N^{1+1/k})$ and it is a notorious open problem to determine whether there is a corresponding lower bound in almost all cases. However, when $r = 2$ Conlon [5] showed that for any k there exists a c_k such that there exist N vertex graphs with $\Omega(N^{1+1/k})$ edges and at most c_k paths of length k between any pair of vertices. The same result was shown for arbitrary r in [15]. Therefore, we may define the function $f(r, k)$ to be the minimum c such that there exist N vertex r -uniform hypergraphs with $\Omega(N^{1+1/k})$ edges and at most c Berge paths of length k between any pair of vertices. Understanding this function when $r = 2$ was asked in [6]. The results in [5] and [15] give that $f(r, k)$ is finite for any r and k but do not give any explicit bounds. In these papers, the random polynomial method was used and the best bound that could be extracted from them would give $f(r, k) = k^{O(k^2)}$ (the papers do not actually prove this bound). In [36, 37, 38], explicit bounds were given for $f(r, k)$ when $r \in \{2, 3\}$ and $k = 3$.

In Section 2.5, we showed that one always sees trivial cycles of length 6 in $\mathcal{H}(A, \vec{\lambda})$. However, if one could avoid *nontrivial* solutions to (3) when $k = 6$, then the graph would have only a constant (depending only on r) number of paths of length 3 between any pair of vertices. If the set avoiding nontrivial solutions to (3) had size $\Omega(|R|^{1/3})$ this would then give explicit upper bounds on $f(r, 3)$ and would give lower bounds on some Turán numbers for Berge theta graphs. It would be interesting to find such a set, though it might be hard as evidenced by the difficulty of finding k -fold Sidon sets, a problem which

was proposed in [18] but is still open. It is possible that a smart choice of $\vec{\lambda}$ could make it easier. For longer cycles, one not only sees them but also sees unbounded numbers of paths between pairs of vertices: for $\ell \geq 4$ there will be pairs of vertices with $\Omega(|A|)$ paths of length ℓ between them even if A avoids nontrivial solutions to (3).

Acknowledgements

The second and third authors would like to thank Boris Bukh and Jacques Verstraëte for several helpful discussions on this problem over the last decade.

References

- [1] N. Alon, R. A. Duke, H. Lefmann, V. Rödl, and R. Yuster. The algorithmic aspects of the regularity lemma. *Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE FOCS at Pittsburgh, 1992*, 16:80–109, 1994.
- [2] Noga Alon. Testing subgraphs in large graphs. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 21(3-4):359–370, 2002.
- [3] Noga Alon, Eldar Fischer, and Mario Szegedy. Parent-identifying codes. *J. Combin. Theory, Series A*, 95:349–369, 2001.
- [4] M. Bennett, G. Martin, K. O’Bryant, and A. Rechnitzer. Explicit bounds for primes in arithmetic progressions. *Illinois J. Math.*, 62(1–4):427–532, 2018.
- [5] David Conlon. Graphs with few paths of prescribed length between any two vertices. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 51(6):1015–1021, 2019.
- [6] David Conlon. Extremal numbers of cycles revisited. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 128(5):464–466, 2021.
- [7] David Conlon, Jacob Fox, Benny Sudakov, and Yufei Zhao. The regularity method for graphs with few 4-cycles. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 104(5):2376–2401, 2021.
- [8] David Davini. Personal communication. 2023.
- [9] Richard A Duke, Hanno Lefmann, and Vojtech Rödl. On uncrowded hypergraphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 6(2-3):209–212, 1995.
- [10] Ilya Dumer. Nonbinary double-error-correcting codes designed by means of algebraic varieties. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 41(6):1657–1666, 1995.
- [11] Gennian Ge and Shangquan Chong. Separating hash families: A Johnson-type bound and new constructions. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 30(4):2243–2264, 2016.
- [12] Gennian Ge and Shangquan Chong. Sparse hypergraphs: new bounds and constructions. *J. Combin. Theory, Series B*, 147:96–132, 2021.

- [13] Dániel Gerbner and Balász Patkós. Generalized Turán problems for complete bipartite graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 38(164), 2022.
- [14] Ervin Győri and Nathan Lemons. Hypergraphs with no cycle of a given length. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 21(1-2):193–201, 2012.
- [15] Zhiyang He and Michael Tait. Hypergraphs with few Berge paths of fixed length between vertices. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 33(3):1472–1481, 2019.
- [16] W Cary Huffman and Vera Pless. *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*. Cambridge university press, 2010.
- [17] Selmer Johnson. A new upper bound for error-correcting codes. *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, 8(3):203–207, 1962.
- [18] Felix Lazebnik and Jacques Verstraëte. On hypergraphs of girth five. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 10(1):R25, 2003.
- [19] Florence Jessie MacWilliams and Neil James Alexander Sloane. *The theory of error-correcting codes*, volume 16. Elsevier, 1977.
- [20] Masato Mimura and Norihide Tokushige. Solving linear equations in a vector space over a finite field. *Discrete Mathematics*, 344(12):112603, 2021.
- [21] Péter Pál Pach and Máté Vizer. Improved lower bounds for multiplicative square-free sequences. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 30(4):P4.31, 2023.
- [22] Ron M. Roth. *Introduction to coding theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [23] Imre Z. Ruzsa. Solving a linear equation in a set of integers. I. *Acta Arith.*, 65(3):259–282, 1993.
- [24] Imre Z Ruzsa and Endre Szemerédi. Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles. *Combinatorics (Keszthely, 1976), Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, 18(939-945):2, 1978.
- [25] Chong Shangguan and Itzhak Tamo. Sparse hypergraphs with applications to coding theory. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 34(3):1493–1504, 2020.
- [26] Sam Spiro and Jacques Verstraëte. Relative Turán numbers for hypergraph cycles. *Discrete Mathematics*, 344(10):112542, 2021.
- [27] Sam Spiro and Jacques Verstraëte. Relative Turán problems for uniform hypergraphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 35(3):2170–2191, 2021.
- [28] Sam Spiro and Jacques Verstraëte. Counting hypergraphs with large girth. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 100(3):543–558, 2022.
- [29] Endre Szemerédi. Regular partitions of graphs. *Proc. Colloque Inter. CNRS*, 260:399–401, 1978.

- [30] Craig Timmons. *Extremal Graphs and Additive Combinatorics*. Phd thesis, University of California, San Diego, 2014.
- [31] Craig Timmons and Jacques Verstraëte. A counterexample to sparse removal. *European journal of combinatorics*, 44:77–86, 2015.
- [32] Xin Wang. A new construction for constant-composition codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 67(12):7815–7821, 2021.
- [33] Hengjia Wei, Xin Wang, and Moshe Schwartz. On lattice packings and coverings of asymmetric limited-magnitude balls. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 67(8):5104–5115, 2021.
- [34] Chaoping Xing and Chen Yuan. Construction of optimal locally recoverable codes and connection with hypergraph. *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics, 46th ICALP 2019*, 46th ICALP(98):1–13, 2019.
- [35] Chaoping Xing and Chen Yuan. Construction of optimal (r, δ) -locally recoverable codes and connection with graph theory. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 68(7):4320–4328, 2022.
- [36] Tao Zhang, Zixiang Xu, and Gennian Ge. 3-uniform hypergraphs with few Berge paths of length three between any two vertices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.01459*, 2019.
- [37] Tao Zhang, Zixiang Xu, and Gennian Ge. On the Turán number of 1-subdivision of $K_{3,t}$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06795*, 2020.
- [38] Tao Zhang, Zixiang Xu, and Gennian Ge. A polynomial resultant approach to algebraic construction of extremal graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.15148*, 2021.

7 Appendix

In order to confirm that the Sidon set $\{1, 35, 161, 170, 251, 545\}$ has the square products property, one must first consider all possible products of the form

$$(\lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{i_1})(\lambda_{i_3} - \lambda_{i_2})(\lambda_{i_4} - \lambda_{i_3})(\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_4})$$

where i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 are distinct indices in $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $i_1 \neq i_2, i_2 \neq i_3, i_3 \neq i_4, i_4 \neq i_1$. There are $\binom{6}{4} = 15$ choices for the indices and then three ways to order the indices. Indeed, if $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < j_4 \leq 6$ are the chosen indices, then the product

$$(\lambda_{j_2} - \lambda_{j_1})(\lambda_{j_3} - \lambda_{j_2})(\lambda_{j_4} - \lambda_{j_3})(\lambda_{j_1} - \lambda_{j_4})$$

will be negative. The other two orderings lead to the positive products

$$(\lambda_{j_2} - \lambda_{j_1})(\lambda_{j_4} - \lambda_{j_2})(\lambda_{j_3} - \lambda_{j_4})(\lambda_{j_1} - \lambda_{j_3})$$

and

$$(\lambda_{j_3} - \lambda_{j_1})(\lambda_{j_2} - \lambda_{j_3})(\lambda_{j_4} - \lambda_{j_2})(\lambda_{j_1} - \lambda_{j_4})$$

Each of these positive products must be factored and then the non-square part must be put into the set $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}((1, 35, 161, 170, 251, 545))$. Each row in the table below lists the chosen indices, the two positive products coming from the different orderings, and finally the element(s) that must be placed in \mathcal{S} .

$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$	$6609600 = 2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17^1$	$459950400 = 2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 13^2$	$3 \cdot 17$ and $3 \cdot 7$
$\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$	$105753600 = 2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17^1$	$1088640000 = 2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^1$	$3 \cdot 17$ and $3 \cdot 7$
$\{1, 2, 3, 6\}$	$1065369600 = 2^{14} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17^2$	$5593190400 = 2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 17^2$	$3 \cdot 17$
$\{1, 2, 4, 5\}$	$100532016 = 2^4 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17^1$	$1232010000 = 2^4 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 13^2$	$3 \cdot 17$
$\{1, 2, 4, 6\}$	$1098922500 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17^2$	$6329793600 = 2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17^2$	None
$\{1, 2, 5, 6\}$	$1274490000 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 17^2$	$14981760000 = 2^{10} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 17^2$	None
$\{1, 3, 4, 5\}$	$197121600 = 2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13^2$	$34222500 = 2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 13^2$	None
$\{1, 3, 4, 6\}$	$3893760000 = 2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 13^2$	$317730816 = 2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17^1$	$3 \cdot 17$
$\{1, 3, 5, 6\}$	$4515840000 = 2^{14} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2$	$4700160000 = 2^{14} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 17^1$	$3 \cdot 17$
$\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$	$4658062500 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^2$	$4131000000 = 2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 17^1$	$3 \cdot 17$
$\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$	$124002900 = 2^2 \cdot 3^{11} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^1$	$23619600 = 2^4 \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5^2$	$3 \cdot 7$
$\{2, 3, 4, 6\}$	$2449440000 = 2^8 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^1$	$237945600 = 2^8 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17^1$	$3 \cdot 7$ and $3 \cdot 17$
$\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$	$3072577536 = 2^{12} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 7^3$	$3807129600 = 2^{12} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17^1$	$3 \cdot 7$ and $3 \cdot 17$
$\{2, 4, 5, 6\}$	$3214890000 = 2^4 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2$	$3346110000 = 2^4 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 17^1$	$3 \cdot 17$
$\{3, 4, 5, 6\}$	$89302500 = 2^2 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2$	$1049760000 = 2^8 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^4$	None