

HIGHER TORSION-FREE AUSLANDER-REITEN SEQUENCES AND THE DOMINANT DIMENSION OF ALGEBRAS

TIAGO CRUZ AND RENÉ MARCZINZIK

ABSTRACT. We generalise a theorem of Tachikawa about reflexive Auslander-Reiten sequences. We apply this to give a new characterisation of the dominant dimension of gendo-symmetric algebras. We also generalise a formula due to Reiten about the dominant dimension of an algebra A and grades of torsion A -modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concepts of grade, torsion freeness and torsion play an important role in commutative algebra, whereas Auslander–Reiten theory provides a toolset to visualise and study the representation theory of a finite-dimensional algebra. The main goal of this paper is to combine these concepts using homological techniques. This effort leads us to new characterisations of dominant dimension. Given a noetherian ring A , let $(-)^*$ denote $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$. To every A -module M we can associate the canonical evaluation map $f_M : M \rightarrow M^{**}$, that sends m to the map ψ_m with $\psi_m(f) = f(m)$ for $f \in M^*$. Recall that M is called *torsionless* if f_M is injective and *reflexive* if f_M is an isomorphism. The study of reflexive modules is a classical topic in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, we refer for example to [Esn85] and [EK85]. In the following assume that A is a finite dimensional algebra over a field k . For a natural number $n \geq 1$, a module M is called *n -torsion-free* if $\text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), \tau(M)) = 0$ for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, where τ denotes the Auslander-Reiten translate. It is well known that a module is torsionless if and only if it is 1-torsion-free and a module is reflexive if and only if it is 2-torsion-free, see for example [ARS97, IV, Corollary 3.3]. Thus, n -torsion-free modules can be seen as a generalisation of torsion-free and reflexive modules. Easy examples of n -torsion-free modules for all $n \geq 1$ are projective modules. In [Tac88], Tachikawa defined an almost split sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(U) \rightarrow 0$ to be reflexive if every module in the short exact sequence is reflexive. He then defined for an algebra A to have *reflexive Auslander-Reiten sequences* if every almost split sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(U) \rightarrow 0$ is reflexive for every indecomposable projective non-injective module U . He characterised algebras with reflexive Auslander-Reiten sequences as follows, see [Tac88, Theorem 2.4.]:

Theorem. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra. Then A has reflexive Auslander-Reiten sequences if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $\text{domdim}(A) \geq 2$
- (2) $\text{domdim}(R) \geq 4$, when $R := \text{End}_A(A \oplus D(A))$.

In this article, we generalise Tachikawa’s theorem to a higher version using n -torsion-free modules. Namely, we define an almost split sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(U) \rightarrow 0$ to be n -torsion-free if every module in the short exact sequence is n -torsion-free. An algebra is then said to have *n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences* for a natural number $n \geq 1$ if

Date: April 4, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 16G10, Secondary: 16E10.

Key words and phrases. reflexive modules, Auslander-Reiten theory, dominant dimension, grade.

every almost split sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(U) \rightarrow 0$ is n -torsion-free for all indecomposable projective non-injective modules U . Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra and $n \geq 1$ a natural number. Then A has n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $\text{domdim}(A) \geq n$
- (2) $\text{domdim}(R) \geq n + 2$, when $R := \text{End}_A(A \oplus D(A))$.

We give two applications of this generalisation of Tachikawa's result. The first application gives a new characterisation of the dominant dimension of gendo-symmetric algebras. In [FK11], Fang and Koenig defined *gendo-symmetric algebras* as algebras of the form $\text{End}_A(M)$, where A is a symmetric algebra and M a generator of $\text{mod-}A$. Gendo-symmetric algebras clearly generalise the important class of symmetric algebras but also contain many non-symmetric algebras such as Schur algebras $S(n, r)$ for $n \geq r$ and blocks of category $\mathcal{O}$, see for example [KSX01]. We apply our main theorem to give a new characterisation of the dominant dimension for gendo-symmetric algebras:

Corollary 3.3. *Let A be a gendo-symmetric algebra. Then A has n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences if and only if it has dominant dimension at least $n + 2$.*

Recall that an algebra A is called an *higher Auslander algebra* if $\text{gldim } A \leq n \leq \text{domdim } A$ for some $n \geq 2$. Iyama's celebrated higher Auslander correspondence, see [Iya07], showed that higher Auslander algebras are in bijective correspondence with cluster tilting modules. Among algebras with cluster tilting modules, the most important class is the d -representation-finite algebras that can be seen as a generalisation of the representation-finite hereditary algebras, which are exactly the 1-representation-finite algebras. An algebra A is *d -representation-finite* if it has global dimension at most d and a d -cluster-tilting object. A second application of our main result gives:

Corollary 3.7. *Let B be a finite-dimensional algebra with a d -cluster tilting object M . Then the higher Auslander algebra $A = \text{End}_B(M)$ has d -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences if and only if B is d -representation-finite.*

The modules $t(M) := \ker f_M$ which appear as kernels of the maps $f_M : M \rightarrow M^{**}$ for some module M are called *torsion modules*. It is natural to try to use torsion modules to understand dominant dimension since torsion modules can be regarded as the "0-torsion-free" modules. Our last main result generalises a theorem due to Reiten ([Rei96, Theorem 5.2]) for finite-dimensional algebras, which gives a new formula for the dominant dimension using torsion modules:

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. Assume that A has dominant dimension at least one. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{domdim } A &= \inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A)) : M \in A\text{-mod}, i \geq 1\} = \inf\{\text{grade}(t(M)) : M \in A\text{-mod}\} \\ &= \inf\{\text{grade}(t(S)) : S \text{ simple in } A\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t(M) = \ker f_M$.

In Theorem 4.6, we show that these equalities also hold if we replace the assumption of A having positive dominant dimension by requiring that all torsion modules have grade greater than one. As an application of Theorem 4.4, we describe in Corollary 4.7 the projective dimension of all torsion modules over higher Auslander algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will start by presenting the notation and further concepts to be used throughout the paper. We refer for example to [ARS97] for an introduction to the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras and more information on the concepts that we use here. Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field k . Throughout this paper, we assume that all modules are finitely generated, and all algebras are finite-dimensional algebras over a field unless stated otherwise. We write $A\text{-mod}$ to denote the category of finitely generated left A -modules, whereas $\text{mod-}A$ denotes the category of finitely generated right A -modules. By D we mean the *standard duality* $\text{Hom}_k(-, k): \text{mod-}A \longleftrightarrow A\text{-mod}$. Given a morphism of A -modules f , we write $\text{im } f$ to denote the image of f and $\ker f$ denotes the kernel of f . We say that an A -module is a *generator* if it contains all projective indecomposable A -modules as direct summands. Dually, the concept of *cogenerator* is defined.

Given an A -module M , $\text{Tr } M$ denotes the *transpose* of M , while $\Omega^i M$ denotes the i -th syzygy of M or the $(-i)$ -th cosyzygy of M if i is a negative integer. We denote by τ the *Auslander-Reiten translation* $D \text{Tr}$, whereas τ^{-1} denotes the *inverse Auslander-Reiten translation* $\text{Tr } D$. We write $\Omega^m(\text{mod-}A)$ to denote the collection of all modules M over A that can be written as $M \simeq \Omega^m(X)$ for some $X \in \text{mod-}A$ together with all projective A -modules. The collection of all m -torsion-free A -modules is denoted by TF_m .

The *grade* of a non-zero A -module M , $\text{grade}(M)$, is defined as $\inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_A^n(M, A) \neq 0\}$, where $\text{Ext}_A^0(M, A) = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$.

A detailed exposition on the interconnections between grade, n -torsion-free modules and syzygies can be found in [AB69].

As it will be illustrated below, it makes sense to study these concepts together with the homological invariant known as the dominant dimension. Given an A -module M , let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a minimal injective coresolution of M . The module M is said to have *dominant dimension* n , denoted as $\text{domdim}_A M$, if $I_0, \dots, I_{n-1}$ are projective and I_n is not. It has infinite dominant dimension if all terms I_i are projective. We write $\text{domdim } A = \text{domdim}_A A$ and we denote by Dom_m the collection of all right A -modules having dominant dimension at least m , for a natural number m . This homological invariant is an effective tool to obtain ring theoretical properties of the underlying algebra and to simplify the study of its representation theory. In particular, all finite-dimensional algebras of dominant dimension at least two are precisely the endomorphism algebras of generator-cogenerators (see for example [Mue68]).

Below we list three results about the dominant dimension that are crucial for this work.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be an algebra of dominant dimension at least $n \geq 1$. Then we have:*

$$\text{Dom}_m = \Omega^m(\text{mod-}A) = \text{TF}_m$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Proof. The equality $\text{Dom}_m = \Omega^m(\text{mod-}A)$ can be found in [MV92, Proposition 4] and the equality $\Omega^m(\text{mod-}A) = \text{TF}_m$ is a consequence of [AR96, Theorem 0.1, Proposition 1.6]. $\square$

The next theorem is often called Mueller's theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be an algebra and M a generator-cogenerator of $\text{mod-}A$. Let $B := \text{End}_A(M)$. Then the dominant dimension of B is equal to $\inf\{i \geq 1 \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(M, M) \neq 0\} + 1$.*

Proof. See [Mue68, Lemma 3]. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be a gendo-symmetric algebra. Then,*

$$\text{domdim } A = \inf\{i \geq 1 \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), A) \neq 0\} + 1.$$

Proof. See [FK11, Proposition 3.3]. $\square$

For further background material on the dominant dimension we refer for example to [Yam96]. We recall the following well-known result that allows us to determine extensions of right modules via extension of left modules and vice-versa.

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. Then, for every $i \geq 0$ and $M, N \in A\text{-mod}$ we have $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^i(DN, DM)$.*

3. ALGEBRAS WITH n -TORSION-FREE AUSLANDER-REITEN SEQUENCES

Our aim in this section is to characterise the existence of torsion-free Auslander–Reiten sequences in terms of dominant dimension.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A have n -torsion-free Auslander–Reiten sequences for some $n \geq 1$. Then $\text{domdim } A \geq n$.*

Proof. Assume that eA is not injective for some idempotent $e \in A$. Recall that the socle of the injective module $D(eA)$ is $\text{top } Ae$. We aim to show that for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ we have $\text{Ext}_A^i(\text{top } Ae, A) = 0$.

Observe that $\text{Ext}_A^i(\text{top } Ae, A) = \text{Hom}_A(\text{top } Ae, E_i)$, where $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \dots$ is a minimal injective coresolution of A (see for instance [Iwa79, Lemma 1]). Indeed, the maps $\text{Hom}_A(S, E_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(S, \Omega^{-(i+1)}(A))$ are always zero whenever S is simple. Fix S a simple module. Pick a non-zero map $f \in \text{Hom}_A(S, E_i)$ and denote by g the surjective map $E_i \rightarrow \Omega^{-(i+1)}(A)$. By the minimality, $f(S) \cap \Omega^{-i}(A) \neq 0$ (otherwise $f(S) = 0$ since $\Omega^{-i}(A) \rightarrow E_i$ is an injective hull). Thus $\text{im } f$ is contained in $\Omega^{-i}(A)$ because S is simple. Thus, $g \circ f = 0$.

Hence, $\text{Ext}_A^i(\text{top } Ae, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(\text{top } Ae, E_i)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Let

$$0 \rightarrow eA \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

be an almost split sequence. By assumption, it is n -torsion-free. Observe that for any module X which is n -torsion-free we obtain that

$$0 = \text{Ext}_A^i(DA, \tau X) = \text{Ext}_A^i(\text{Tr } X, DDA) = \text{Ext}_A^i(\text{Tr } X, A), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, consider the minimal projective presentation for X , $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$. Applying $(-)^* := \text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow P_1^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } X \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Hence applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ yields $\text{Ext}_A^i(X^*, A) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^{i+2}(\text{Tr } X, A)$ for all $i > 0$. Thus, $\text{Ext}_A^i(X^*, A) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and every n -torsion-free module X . Therefore,

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(Y^*, A) = \text{Ext}_A^i(Z^*, A) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2. \quad (4)$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ to (1) we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(Z, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(Y, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(eA, A).$$

Observe that $\text{im}(\text{Hom}_A(Y, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(eA, A)) \subset \text{Hom}_A(eA, A) \simeq Ae$. Since (1) is almost split, every map $eA \rightarrow wA$ factors through Y for every primitive idempotent $w \neq e$. Given $f \in \text{Hom}_A(eA, A)$ so that $f(e) \in \text{rad } Ae$, the morphism $eA \xrightarrow{f} A \rightarrow eA$ is not an isomorphism, and therefore it factors through Y . In such case, all the maps $eA \xrightarrow{f} A \rightarrow wA$ factors through Y for all primitive idempotents w , and so f factors through Y . This shows that $\text{rad } Ae \subset$

$\text{im}(\text{Hom}_A(Y, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(eA, A))$. Since $\text{rad}(Ae)$ is the maximal submodule of Ae , this must be an equality, otherwise (1) would be a split exact sequence. We obtained an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(Z, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(Y, A) \rightarrow \text{rad } Ae \rightarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

as left A -modules and the map $Y^* \rightarrow (eA)^* \simeq Ae$ factors through $\text{rad } Ae$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{rad } Ae \rightarrow Ae \rightarrow \text{top } Ae \rightarrow 0$. Applying $(-)^* := \text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{top } Ae)^* \rightarrow (Ae)^* \rightarrow (\text{rad } Ae)^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{top } Ae, A) \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

and $\text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(\text{top } Ae, A) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^i(\text{rad } Ae, A)$ for all $i > 0$. Further, the map $(eA)^{**} \simeq (Ae)^* \rightarrow Y^{**}$ factors through $(\text{rad } Ae)^*$. Hence, applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ to (5) yields the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & eA & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & (Ae)^* & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\text{rad } Ae)^* & \longrightarrow & Y^{**} & \longrightarrow & Z^{**} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{rad } Ae, A) \end{array} \quad (7)$$

If $n \geq 1$, then the map $Y \rightarrow Y^{**}$ is injective. In such a case, the commutativity of (7) yields that the map $(Ae)^* \rightarrow (\text{rad } Ae)^*$ is also injective and thus by (6), $\text{Hom}_A(\text{top } Ae, A) = 0$. If $n \geq 2$, then both maps $Y \rightarrow Y^{**}$ and $Z \rightarrow Z^{**}$ are bijective. By the Snake Lemma, $(Ae)^* \rightarrow (\text{rad } Ae)^*$ is also bijective and the map $Y^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ is therefore surjective. By (6), $\text{Ext}_A^1(\text{top } Ae, A) = 0$. Further, the surjectivity of $Y^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ and applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ to (5) yields the long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{rad } Ae, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_A^1(Y^*, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_A^1(Z^*, A) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & \text{Ext}_A^2(\text{rad } Ae, A) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^2(Y^*, A) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array} \quad (8)$$

By (4) and (8), we obtain that $\text{Ext}_A^i(\text{rad } Ae, A) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Hence, we obtain also that $\text{Ext}_A^i(\text{top } Ae, A) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Therefore, $\text{top } Ae$ is not in the socle of E_i for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and so all E_i are projective-injective for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. This means that $\text{domdim } A \geq n$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra and $n \geq 1$ a natural number. Then A has n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $\text{domdim}(A) \geq n$
- (2) $\text{domdim}(R) \geq n+2$, when $R := \text{End}_A(A \oplus D(A))$.

Proof. Assume first that A is an algebra of dominant dimension at least n and such that $R = \text{End}_A(A \oplus D(A))$ has dominant dimension at least $n+2$. In case A is selfinjective, every module is n -torsion-free for any $n \geq 1$ and there is nothing to show. Thus assume that A is not selfinjective and thus there exists at least one indecomposable projective non-injective A -module. Let P be an indecomposable projective non-injective A -module and

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(P) \rightarrow 0$$

the associated almost split sequence. To see that $\tau^{-1}(P)$ is n -torsion-free just note that for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $\text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), \tau(\tau^{-1}(P))) = \text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), P) = 0$ since this module is a direct summand of $\text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), A) = 0$ by assumption. Since A has dominant dimension at least n , we have $\text{Dom}_n = \text{TF}_n$ by Theorem 2.1. Thus the module $\tau^{-1}(P)$ also has dominant dimension at least n . As a projective module P also has dominant dimension at least n since we assume that A has dominant dimension at least n . Now by the Horseshoe lemma, the subcategory Dom_n is closed under extensions. Thus with P and $\tau^{-1}(P)$, also the middle term X in the almost split sequence has dominant dimension at least n . Using again that $\text{Dom}_n = \text{TF}_n$, we conclude that X is also n -torsion-free and thus A has n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences.

Now assume that A has n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences. Again, the result is clear in case A is selfinjective, since then A has infinite dominant dimension and R is Morita-equivalent to A and thus also has infinite dominant dimension. Assume now that A is not selfinjective and let P be an indecomposable projective non-injective module. By assumption, $\tau^{-1}(P)$ is n -torsion-free, which is equivalent to $\text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), P) = \text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), \tau(\tau^{-1}(P))) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. This gives that also $\text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), A) = \bigoplus_P \text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), P) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where the direct sum is over all indecomposable projective A -modules. By Mueller's theorem 2.2 this shows $\text{domdim } R \geq n + 2$. Now by Theorem 3.1, A also has dominant dimension at least n and this finishes the proof. $\square$

As a corollary of our main result, we can get the following new characterisation of the dominant dimension of a gendo-symmetric algebra:

Corollary 3.3. *Let A be a gendo-symmetric algebra and $n \geq 1$ a natural number. Then A has dominant dimension at least $n + 2$ if and only if it has n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences.*

Proof. Let $R := \text{End}_A(A \oplus D(A))$. By Theorem 3.2, A has n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences if and only if $\text{domdim}(A) \geq n$ and $\text{domdim}(R) \geq n + 2$. By Mueller's theorem, the dominant dimension of R is equal to $\inf\{i \geq 1 \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), A) \neq 0\} + 1$ and by Theorem 2.3 this is also equal to the dominant dimension of A . Thus $\text{domdim}(R) \geq n + 2$ alone is equivalent to A having dominant dimension at least $n + 2$ and this proves the corollary. $\square$

The previous corollary gives an easy construction of algebras having n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences since one just has to find gendo-symmetric algebras with dominant dimension at least $n + 2$. See for example [CM19] for a large collection of gendo-symmetric algebras from Brauer tree algebras having arbitrary large dominant dimension. We give another example of algebras having n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences, using non-representation-finite hereditary algebras. For that, recall that (following [CIM19]) for a given finite-dimensional algebra A , the m -th SGC extension algebra $A^{[m]}$ is defined inductively as follows: $A^{[0]} := A$ and for $m \geq 0$: $A^{[m+1]} := \text{End}_{A^{[m]}}(D(A^{[m]}) \oplus A^{[m]})$.

Example 3.4. Let $A = kQ$ be a path algebra with a connected quiver Q that is not a Dynkin quiver. Let $A^{[m]}$ be its m -th SGC extension algebra. Then $A^{[m]}$ has global dimension $2m + 1$ and dominant dimension $2m$ by [CIM19, Theorem 1.1]. Thus $A^{[m]}$ satisfies $\text{domdim } A^{[m]} \geq 2m$ and $\text{domdim } A^{[m+1]} \geq 2m + 2$ and our main Theorem 3.2 tells us that $A^{[m]}$ has $2m$ -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences.

In [Tac88], Tachikawa showed that non-semisimple Auslander algebras cannot have reflexive Auslander-Reiten sequences. Note that non-semisimple Auslander algebras have global dimension two. We generalise this here to arbitrary Gorenstein algebras with an easy proof:

Proposition 3.5. *Let A be a Gorenstein algebra of Gorenstein dimension g that has g -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences. Then A is selfinjective.*

Proof. Assume that A is not selfinjective and thus has positive Gorenstein dimension $g > 0$. Then the module $D(A)$ has finite projective dimension equal to g and by [ARS97, VI, Lemma 5.5] we have $\text{Ext}^g(D(A), A) \neq 0$. But by our main Theorem 3.2, A having g -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences implies that $\text{Ext}^g(D(A), A) = 0$. This is a contradiction and thus A has to be selfinjective. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be an algebra of global dimension g that has g -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences. Then A is semi-simple.*

Recall that a module M over an algebra B is called *d-cluster tilting* if M is a generator-cogenerator and $\text{add } M = \{X \in \text{mod-}B \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(M, X) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d-1\}$, see [Iya07]. By Iyama's higher Auslander correspondence d -cluster tilting modules M are in bijective correspondence with higher Auslander algebras A given by sending M to $A = \text{End}_B(M)$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $A \cong \text{End}_B(M)$, where M is a d -cluster tilting object in $\text{mod-}B$ for a finite-dimensional algebra B . Then B is d -representation-finite if and only if A has d -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences.*

Proof. We can assume that A is not semi-simple, since in this case the statement of the corollary is trivial. Then A is a higher Auslander algebra of dominant dimension $d+1$ since M is d -cluster tilting. By [Iya11, Theorem 1.20], B is d -representation-finite if and only if $\text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), A) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Thus the statement of the corollary is now a consequence of our main Theorem 3.2 since $\text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), A) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$ is equivalent to $\text{domdim } \text{End}_A(A \oplus DA) \geq d+2$ by Mueller's theorem 2.2. $\square$

We recall the first Tachikawa conjecture, see [Tac73, Page 115]:

Conjecture 3.8. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field with $\text{Ext}_A^i(D(A), A) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, then A is selfinjective.*

This conjecture is a consequence of the Nakayama conjecture stating that every non-selfinjective finite dimensional algebra has finite dominant dimension. Motivated by the results of our article, we state the following conjecture:

Conjecture 3.9. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. If A has n -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences for every natural number n , then A is self-injective.*

By Theorem 3.2, this conjecture holds if the first Tachikawa conjecture holds. In particular, this conjecture holds if the Nakayama conjecture holds.

Motivated by computer experiments with [QPA22], we pose also the following question:

Question 3.10. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra with m simple modules and assume that A has $2m$ -torsion-free Auslander-Reiten sequences. Is A selfinjective?*

This is for example true for Nakayama algebras, since for Nakayama algebras with m simple modules having dominant dimension $\geq 2m$ already implies that they are selfinjective, see [Mar18, Result 2]. It would be especially interesting to know whether this question holds for general representation-finite algebras.

4. TORSION MODULES AND THE DOMINANT DIMENSION OF AN ALGEBRA

We now conclude by exhibiting how the dominant dimension of an algebra can be computed using torsion modules.

For any $M \in A\text{-mod}$, define $t(M)$ as the kernel of the canonical map $f_M: M \rightarrow M^{**}$ and denote by $I(M)$ the injective hull of M . Modules of the form $t(M)$ are called *torsion modules*.

Observe that $\text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Tr } M, A)$ is isomorphic to $t(M)$. Indeed for a module M with minimal projective presentation $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tr}(M)^* \rightarrow P_1^{**} \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Tr } M, A) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and $P_1^{**} \rightarrow P_0^{**}$ factors through Z^* , where Z is the kernel of the surjective map $P_1^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } M$. This means that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z^* & \longrightarrow & P_0^{**} & \longrightarrow & M^{**} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & P_1^{**} & & \simeq & & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (9)$$

By the Snake Lemma, $t(M) \simeq \text{coker}(P_1^{**} \rightarrow Z^*) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Tr } M, A)$.

Inspired by Proposition 2.7 of [GK15], we get the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. Assume that A has dominant dimension at least one. Then,*

$$\inf\{\text{grade}(t(M)) : M \in A\text{-mod}\} \geq \text{domdim } A. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Denote by P the minimal faithful projective-injective A -module. Let $M \in A\text{-mod}$. By Theorem 2.8 of [AB69], $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Tr } M, A), P) = \text{Tor}_1^A(\text{Tr } M, P) = 0$. Recall that $\text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Tr } M, A)$ is isomorphic to $t(M)$.

Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow \cdots$ be the minimal injective resolution of A . By assumption, $I_i \in \text{add}_A P$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, whenever $\text{domdim } A \geq n$. Consider the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{-(i-1)}(A) \rightarrow I_{i-1} \rightarrow \Omega^{-i}(A) \rightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_A(t(M), -)$ yields long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(t(M), \Omega^{-(i-1)}(A)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(t(M), I_{i-1}) \\ \downarrow \hspace{15em} \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_A(t(M), \Omega^{-i}(A)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(t(M), \Omega^{-(i-1)}(A)) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (12)$$

Hence, the left part of these exact sequences yield $\text{Hom}_A(t(M), \Omega^{-(i-1)}(A)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_A(t(M), A) = 0$. Now, the right part of (12) gives

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(t(M), \Omega^{-(i-1)}(A)) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(t(M), \Omega^{-i}(A)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (13)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(t(M), A) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^1(t(M), \Omega^{-(i-1)}(A)) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \quad (14)$$

This shows that $\text{grade } t(M) \geq n$. $\square$

Actually, in [AB69, Theorem 2.8] we can replace $\text{Tr } M$ by an arbitrary module and the degree one by any natural number, therefore, the same argument yields the following.

Corollary 4.2. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. Assume that A has dominant dimension at least one. Then,*

$$\inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A)) : M \in \text{mod-}A, i \geq 1\} \geq \text{domdim } A. \quad (15)$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. Assume that A has dominant dimension at least one. Then,*

$$\inf\{\text{grade}(t(S)): S \text{ simple in } A\} \leq \inf\left\{\text{grade}(S) \mid \begin{array}{l} S \text{ simple in } A \\ \text{with } I(S) \text{ not projective} \end{array}\right\} \leq \text{domdim } A.$$

Proof. Let S be a simple A -module. Note first that since $t(S)$ is a submodule of S either $t(S) = S$ or $t(S) = 0$. If $t(S) = 0$, then we have $\text{grade } t(S) = +\infty$. If the injective hull of S is projective, then S is a first syzygy module: $S \cong \Omega^1(\Omega^{-1}(S))$ and thus S is torsionless, meaning that $t(S) = 0$. Here we used the equality $\text{TF}_1 = \Omega^1(\text{mod-}A)$, that is true for general finite-dimensional algebras A , see for example [AR96, Proposition 1.6]. This shows that

$$\inf\{\text{grade}(t(S)): S \text{ simple in } A\} \leq \inf\{\text{grade}(S): S \text{ simple in } A \text{ with } I(S) \text{ not projective}\}.$$

Assume that $\text{grade } S \geq n \geq 1$ for every simple A -module such that the injective hull of S is not projective. We shall proceed by induction on n to show that $\text{domdim } A \geq n$. Assume that $n = 2$. If $\text{domdim } A = 1$, then there exists a simple module S contained in the socle of $\Omega^{-1}(A)$ such that the injective hull of S is not projective. We have $\text{grade } S \geq 2$ and thus $\text{Ext}_A^1(S, A) = 0$ and the map $\text{Hom}_A(S, I_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(S, \Omega^{-1}(A))$ is surjective. By assumption, I_0 is projective, so S cannot be in the socle of I_0 and thus $\text{Hom}_A(S, \Omega^{-1}(A))$ must be zero contradicting S being in the socle of $\Omega^{-1}(A)$. Therefore, such a simple module cannot exist and we obtain $\text{domdim } A \geq 2$.

Assume now that $n \geq 3$. In particular, $\text{grade } S \geq n - 1 \geq 1$ for every simple A -module such that the injective hull of S is not projective. By induction, $\text{domdim } A \geq n - 1$. Then, $\text{domdim } A \geq n$ if and only if every simple module in the socle of $\Omega^{-(n-1)}$ has dominant dimension at least one. To obtain a contradiction, assume that there exists a simple module S in the socle of $\Omega^{-(n-1)}$ so that $I(S)$ is not projective. By assumption, $\text{grade } S \geq n$ and so $0 = \text{Ext}_A^{n-1}(S, A) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^1(S, \Omega^{-(n-2)}(A))$. This means that the induced map $\text{Hom}_A(S, I_{n-2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(S, \Omega^{-(n-1)}(A))$ is surjective, and so S should also be in the socle of I_{n-2} . This implies that $I(S)$ is a summand of I_{n-2} and in particular, it implies that $I(S)$ must be a projective module contradicting the choice of S . $\square$

Combining the previous results, we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. Assume that A has dominant dimension at least one. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{domdim } A &= \inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A)): M \in \text{mod-}A, i \geq 1\} = \inf\{\text{grade}(t(M)): M \in A\text{-mod}\} \\ &= \inf\{\text{grade}(t(S)): S \text{ simple in } A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.5. In the previous theorem, the assumption on the dominant dimension of A cannot be omitted. Let $A = KQ$ be a non-semisimple path algebra of a quiver Q , which is not linearly oriented of Dynkin type A_n . Then KQ has dominant dimension zero. But for every indecomposable module M we have $t(M) \cong M$ if M is non-projective and $t(M) = 0$ if M is projective. Thus we have

$$\inf\{\text{grade}(t(M)): M \in A\text{-mod}\} = \inf\{\text{grade}(t(S)): S \text{ simple in } A\} = 1,$$

but $\text{domdim } A = 0$.

Another sufficient condition for these equalities to hold is to require that all objects $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A)$ have grade greater than one for every $i \geq 1$ and all right A -modules M .

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field. If*

$$\inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A)): M \in \text{mod-}A, i \geq 1\} \geq 2$$

or $\inf\{\text{grade}(t(M)): M \in A\text{-mod}\} \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{domdim } A &= \inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A)): M \in \text{mod-}A, i \geq 1\} = \inf\{\text{grade}(t(M)): M \in A\text{-mod}\} \\ &= \inf\{\text{grade}(t(S)): S \text{ simple in } A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Our aim is to prove that $\text{domdim } A \geq 1$, under the assumption that

$$\inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A)): M \in \text{mod-}A\} \geq 2. \quad (16)$$

For this, it is enough to show that the injective hull of A , $I_0(A)$, is projective. By Corollary 2.5 of [Rei96], it is then enough to show that $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A), I_0(A)) = 0$ for all $M \in \text{mod-}A$.

Claim 1. Given $M \in A\text{-mod}$, if $\text{grade } M > 0$, then $\text{grade } M \geq 2$.

To show Claim 1, let $M \in A\text{-mod}$ have positive grade. Then $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A) = 0$. Consider $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^* = 0 \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow P_1^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } M \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying $(-)^*$ once more, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{Tr } M)^* \rightarrow P_1^{**} \rightarrow P_0^{**} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Tr } M, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(P_1^*, A) = 0.$$

Thus, $M \simeq \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Tr } M, A)$ as right A -modules. By assumption, $\text{grade } \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Tr } M, A) \geq 2$, and so it follows that M has grade at least two, proving Claim 1.

Let $M \in \text{mod-}A$. Let X be an A -submodule of $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A)$. Define $\tilde{X} := \text{Ext}_A^1(M, A)/X$. Applying $(-)^*$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(M, A) \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow 0$ provides the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{X}^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(M, A)^* \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(\tilde{X}, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A), A).$$

Since $\text{grade } \text{Ext}_A^1(M, A) \geq 2$ this exact sequence collapses to $\tilde{X}^* = 0$ and $X^* \simeq \text{Ext}_A^1(\tilde{X}, A)$. Hence, $\text{grade } \tilde{X} \geq 1$. By Claim 1, $\text{grade } \tilde{X} \geq 2$, and therefore $X^* \simeq \text{Ext}_A^1(\tilde{X}, A) = 0$. Thus, $\text{Hom}_A(X, \text{soc } A) = 0$. Since X is arbitrary, it follows that $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A), I(\text{soc } A)) = 0$ for all $M \in \text{mod-}A$. So, $\text{domdim } A \geq 1$. So, assuming that (16) holds, the equalities now follow from Theorem 4.4.

Now, it remains to see that both inequalities in the assumption of the theorem imply (16).

Observe that, if M is an indecomposable projective module, then $\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A)) = +\infty$. Otherwise, if M is an indecomposable non-projective module, then there exists an indecomposable non-projective $M' \in A\text{-mod}$ so that $\text{Tr } M' \simeq M$ (see [ARS97, IV, Proposition 1.7]). Hence, $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A) \simeq t(M')$ and

$$\inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A)): M \in \text{mod-}A\} \geq \inf\{\text{grade}(t(M)): M \in A\text{-mod}\}.$$

Since $\inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^1(M, A)): M \in \text{mod-}A\} \geq \inf\{\text{grade}(\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A)): M \in \text{mod-}A, i \geq 1\}$, the result follows. $\square$

We note, however, that it is not enough to consider the torsion modules of simple modules in the assumption of the previous theorem. Indeed, due to Ringel, there exists a finite-dimensional algebra A with two simple modules, both being torsionless, and with $\text{domdim } A = 0$ (see [Rin21, 2.1].) So for such an algebra A we have $\inf\{\text{grade}(t(S)): S \text{ simple in } A\} = +\infty$.

We finish with the following application of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.7. *Let A be a higher Auslander algebra of global dimension g . Then every non-zero torsion A -module has projective dimension equal to g .*

Proof. Let $N = t(M)$ be a non-zero torsion module. Then we have $\text{grade}(N) \geq \text{domdim } A = g$ by 4.4. But we also have $g \leq \text{grade}(N) \leq \text{pdim}(N) \leq \text{gldim } A = g$. Thus $\text{pdim}(N) = g$ for all non-zero torsion modules N . $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work began when the first-named author was visiting the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics at Bonn, and so the first-named author would like to thank the MPIM for its hospitality during the stay.

REFERENCES

- [AB69] Maurice Auslander and Mark Bridger. *Stable module theory*, volume 94 of *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 1969. doi:10.1090/memo/0094.
- [AR96] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. Syzygy modules for Noetherian rings. *J. Algebra*, 183(1):167–185, 1996. doi:10.1006/jabr.1996.0212.
- [ARS97] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Sverre O. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Corrected reprint of the 1995 original.
- [CIM19] Aaron Chan, Osamu Iyama, and René Marczinzik. Auslander-Gorenstein algebras from Serre-formal algebras via replication. *Adv. Math.*, 345:222–262, 2019. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2019.01.010.
- [CM19] Aaron Chan and René Marczinzik. On representation-finite gendo-symmetric biserial algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 22(1):141–176, 2019. doi:10.1007/s10468-017-9760-6.
- [EK85] Hélène Esnault and Horst Knörrer. Reflexive modules over rational double points. *Math. Ann.*, 272:545–548, 1985. doi:10.1007/BF01455865.
- [Esn85] Hélène Esnault. Reflexive modules on quotient surface singularities. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 362:63–71, 1985. doi:10.1515/crll.1985.362.63.
- [FK11] Ming Fang and Steffen Koenig. Endomorphism algebras of generators over symmetric algebras. *J. Algebra*, 332:428–433, 2011. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.02.031.
- [GK15] Nan Gao and Steffen Koenig. Grade, dominant dimension and Gorenstein algebras. *J. Algebra*, 427:118–141, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.11.028.
- [Iwa79] Yasuo Iwanaga. On rings with finite self-injective dimension. *Commun. Algebra*, 7:393–414, 1979. doi:10.1080/00927877908822356.
- [Iya07] Osamu Iyama. Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories. *Adv. Math.*, 210(1):22–50, 2007. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2006.06.002.
- [Iya11] Osamu Iyama. Cluster tilting for higher Auslander algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 226(1):1–61, 2011. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2010.03.004.
- [KSX01] Steffen Koenig, Inger Heidi Slungård, and Changchang Xi. Double centralizer properties, dominant dimension, and tilting modules. *J. Algebra*, 240(1):393–412, 2001. doi:10.1006/jabr.2000.8726.
- [Mar18] René Marczinzik. Upper bounds for the dominant dimension of Nakayama and related algebras. *J. Algebra*, 496:216–241, 2018. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2017.10.025.
- [Mue68] Bruno J. Mueller. The classification of algebras by dominant dimension. *Can. J. Math.*, 20:398–409, 1968. doi:10.4153/CJM-1968-037-9.
- [MV92] Roberto Martínez Villa. Modules of dominant and codominant dimension. *Commun. Algebra*, 20(12):3515–3540, 1992. doi:10.1080/00927879208824528.
- [QPA22] The QPA-team. *QPA – Quivers, path algebras and representations – a GAP package, Version 1.33*, 2022. URL: <https://folk.ntnu.no/oyvinso/QPA/>.
- [Rei96] Idun Reiten. Homological theory of Noetherian rings. In *Representation theory of algebras and related topics. Proceedings of the workshop, Mexico City, Mexico, August 16-20, 1994*, pages 247–259. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1996.

- [Rin21] Claus Michael Ringel. Simple reflexive modules over finite-dimensional algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 20(9):11, 2021. Id/No 2150166. doi:10.1142/S0219498821501668.
- [Tac73] Hiroyuki Tachikawa. *Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations*. QF – 3 and QF – 1 rings, volume Vol. 351 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. Notes by Claus Michael Ringel.
- [Tac88] Hiroyuki Tachikawa. Reflexive Auslander-Reiten sequences. In *Perspectives in ring theory (Antwerp, 1987)*, volume 233 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 311–320. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1988.
- [Yam96] Kunio Yamagata. Frobenius algebras. In *Handbook of algebra, Vol. 1*, volume 1 of *Handb. Algebr.*, pages 841–887. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1996. doi:10.1016/S1570-7954(96)80028-3.

(Tiago Cruz) INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA UND ZAHLENTHEORIE, UNIVERSITÄT STUTTGART, GERMANY
Email address: tiago.cruz@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

(René Marczinzik) MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF BONN, ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, 53115 BONN, GERMANY
Email address: marczire@math.uni-bonn.de