

# Size Ramsey numbers of small graphs versus fans or paths

Yufan Li<sup>1</sup>, Yanbo Zhang<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Yunqing Zhang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, 050024, Hebei, China.

<sup>2</sup>Hebei International Joint Research Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Science, Shijiazhuang, 050024, Hebei, China.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, Jiangsu, China.

\*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): [ybzhang@hebtu.edu.cn](mailto:ybzhang@hebtu.edu.cn);  
Contributing authors: [yfi@stu.hebtu.edu.cn](mailto:yfi@stu.hebtu.edu.cn);  
[yunqingzh@nju.edu.cn](mailto:yunqingzh@nju.edu.cn);

## Abstract

For two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the size Ramsey number  $\hat{r}(G_1, G_2)$  is the smallest positive integer  $m$  for which there exists a graph  $G$  of size  $m$  such that for any red-blue edge-coloring of the graph  $G$ ,  $G$  contains either a red subgraph isomorphic to  $G_1$ , or a blue subgraph isomorphic to  $G_2$ . Let  $P_n$  be a path with  $n$  vertices,  $nK_2$  a matching with  $n$  edges, and  $F_n$  a graph with  $n$  triangles sharing exactly one vertex. If  $G_1$  is a small fixed graph and  $G_2$  denotes any graph from a graph class, one can sometimes completely determine  $\hat{r}(G_1, G_2)$ . Faudree and Sheehan confirmed all size Ramsey numbers of  $P_3$  versus complete graphs in 1983. The next year Erdős and Faudree confirmed that of  $2K_2$  versus complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs. We obtain three more Ramsey results of this type. For  $n \geq 3$ , we prove that  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_n) = 4n + 4$  if  $n$  is odd, and  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_n) = 4n + 5$  if  $n$  is even. This result refutes a conjecture proposed by Baskoro et al. We also show that  $\hat{r}(2K_2, F_2) = 12$  and  $\hat{r}(2K_2, F_n) = 5n + 3$  for  $n \geq 3$ . In addition, we prove that  $\hat{r}(2K_2, nP_m) = \min\{nm + 1, (n + 1)(m - 1)\}$ . This result verifies a conjecture posed by Vito and Silaban.

**Keywords:** Size Ramsey number, Fan, Matching, Path

**MSC Classification:** 05C55 , 05D10

# 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a graph (herein, we only consider finite simple graphs without isolated vertices). As usual, we denote by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively, the set of vertices and the set of edges of  $G$ . We refer to  $|V(G)|$  as the *order* and to  $|E(G)|$  as the *size* of  $G$ . For two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , we write  $G \rightarrow (G_1, G_2)$  if for any partition  $(E_1, E_2)$  of  $E(G)$ , either  $G_1$  is a subgraph of the graph induced by  $E_1$  or  $G_2$  is a subgraph of the graph induced by  $E_2$ . The *size Ramsey number*  $\hat{r}(G_1, G_2)$  was introduced by Erdős et al. [7] in 1978, where  $\hat{r}(G_1, G_2)$  is the smallest positive integer  $m$  for which there exists a graph  $G$  of size  $m$  satisfying  $G \rightarrow (G_1, G_2)$ . In the language of coloring,  $\hat{r}(G_1, G_2)$  is the smallest size of  $G$  with the property that  $G$  always contains either a red copy of  $G_1$  or a blue copy of  $G_2$  for any red-blue edge-coloring of  $G$ . Size Ramsey numbers are one of the most well-established topics in graph Ramsey theory. A survey can be found in [9].

Size Ramsey numbers are more difficult to determine compared with (order) Ramsey numbers. A main problem in this area is to determine when  $\hat{r}(G, G)$  grows linearly with  $|V(G)|$ . This topic has been well studied if  $G$  is a path [2, 6], a tree with bounded maximum degree [5, 13], a cycle [14, 15], etc. On the other hand, there are also a number of papers concerning the exact value of size Ramsey numbers [3, 4, 7, 8, 10–12, 16–19, 21].

In the present paper, we focus on determining  $\hat{r}(G_1, G_2)$  when  $G_1$  has two edges and  $G_2$  is any graph in a class of graphs. Since  $G_1$  has no isolated vertices, it follows that  $G_1$  is either a path of order three, herein denoted by  $P_3$ , or a matching with two edges, herein denoted by  $2K_2$ . A related folklore result is that  $\hat{r}(K_{1,m}, K_{1,n}) = m + n - 1$ , where  $K_{1,n}$  is a star with  $n$  edges. Faudree and Sheehan proved the following result, where  $K_n$  is a complete graph on  $n$  vertices.

**Theorem 1** (Faudree and Sheehan [11]) *For a positive integer  $n$  with  $n \geq 2$ ,*

$$\hat{r}(P_3, K_n) = 2(n-1)^2.$$

Faudree and Sheehan also determined  $\hat{r}(K_{1,k}, K_\ell + \overline{K_n})$  for  $\ell \geq k \geq 2$  and every sufficiently large  $n$ . Here,  $K_\ell + \overline{K_n}$  is formed from a complete graph  $K_\ell$  and  $n$  isolated vertices by joining every isolated vertex to every vertex of  $K_\ell$ . More precisely, they have the following result.

**Theorem 2** (Faudree and Sheehan [11]) *For positive integers  $k$  and  $\ell$  with  $k \geq \ell \geq 2$  and sufficiently large  $n$ ,*

$$\hat{r}(K_{1,k}, K_\ell + \overline{K_n}) = \binom{k(\ell-1)+1}{2} + (k(\ell-1)+1)(n+k-1).$$

Let  $nK_2$  be a matching with  $n$  edges. Erdős and Faudree [8] obtained quite a few size Ramsey numbers involving matchings. They established that for

all positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ ,  $\hat{r}(nK_2, K_{1,m}) = mn$ ,  $\hat{r}(nK_2, P_4) = \lceil 5n/2 \rceil$ , and  $\hat{r}(nK_2, G) = n|E(G)|$  for any connected graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)| \leq 4$  except for  $P_4$ . Moreover, they showed  $\hat{r}(nK_2, P_5) = 3n$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\hat{r}(nK_2, P_5) = 3n + 1$  if  $n$  is odd. They also explored the size Ramsey number of a matching versus a complete graph and proved that for  $m \geq 4n - 1$ , we have  $\hat{r}(nK_2, K_m) = \binom{m+2n-2}{2}$ . If the matching has two edges, they showed the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** (Erdős and Faudree [8]) *For all  $m, n \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\hat{r}(2K_2, K_m) = \begin{cases} \binom{m+2}{2}, & \text{for } m \geq 6, \\ 2\binom{m}{2}, & \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq 5, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{r}(2K_2, K_{m,n}) = mn + m + n.$$

If both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are small-order graphs, Faudree and Sheehan [10] listed the size Ramsey numbers for all pairs of graphs with at most four vertices; and Lortz and Mengersen [18] determined the size Ramsey number  $\hat{r}(P_3, H)$  for all graphs  $H$  of order five.

The fan  $F_n$  is a graph of order  $2n + 1$  with  $n$  triangles sharing a common vertex. It is one of the most studied graphs in graph Ramsey theory. For Ramsey numbers involving fans, we refer the reader to Radziszowski's dynamic survey [20]. Baskoro et al. [1] investigated the size Ramsey number of  $P_3$  versus a fan and proved the upper bound  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_n) \leq 6n + 2$ . They conjectured that this upper bound is also a lower bound (and hence equality holds). Our first result gives the exact value of  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_n)$  for every positive integer  $n$  and, as a by-product, disproves Baskoro et al.'s conjecture.

**Theorem 4** *For every positive integer  $n$ , we have*

$$\hat{r}(P_3, F_n) = \begin{cases} 10, & \text{if } n = 2; \\ 4n + 4, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}; \\ 4n + 5, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

Turning to a matching with two edges versus a fan, we know that  $\hat{r}(2K_2, F_1) = \hat{r}(2K_2, K_3) = 6$  by Theorem 3. For  $n \geq 2$ , we determine  $\hat{r}(2K_2, F_n)$  completely.

**Theorem 5** *For every positive integer  $n$ , we have*

$$\hat{r}(2K_2, F_n) = \begin{cases} 6n, & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq 2; \\ 5n + 3, & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Even though the problem of determining the size Ramsey number  $\hat{r}(P_3, P_n)$  is far from being settled, one can easily show that  $\hat{r}(2K_2, P_n) = n + 1$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Moreover, Vito and Silaban [22] calculated  $\hat{r}(2K_2, nP_m)$  for  $2 \leq n \leq 4$  and  $m \geq 3$ , where  $nP_m$  is the disjoint union of  $n$  copies of  $P_m$ . They conjectured


that  $\hat{r}(2K_2, nP_m) = \min\{nm + 1, (n + 1)(m - 1)\}$  for  $n \geq 5$  and  $m \geq 3$ . Our third result confirms this conjecture.

**Theorem 6** *For all positive integers  $n$  and  $m$  with  $m \geq 2$ ,*


$$\hat{r}(2K_2, nP_m) = \min\{nm + 1, (n + 1)(m - 1)\}.$$

We will prove Theorems 4, 5, and 6 in Sections 2, 3, and 4, respectively. We recall some more notation at the end of this section. The graph  $G[N(v)]$  is the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the neighbors of  $v$ . The graph  $G - v$  is the subgraph obtained from  $G$  by deleting the vertex  $v$  and all edges incident to  $v$ . We use  $nG$  to denote the disjoint union of  $n$  copies of  $G$ . The graph  $K_1 + nG$  is obtained by adding one new vertex to  $nG$  and joining it to every vertex of  $nG$ . This additional vertex is called the *center* of  $K_1 + nG$ . A cycle of order  $n$  is denoted by  $C_n$ . A wheel  $W_n$  is the graph  $K_1 + C_n$ , and a fan  $F_n$  is the graph  $K_1 + nK_2$ . The  $2n$  edges of  $F_n$  that are incident to  $v$  are called *spokes*, and their set is denoted by  $E_1(F_n)$ ; the  $n$  edges that are not incident to  $v$  are called *rim edges*, and their set is denoted by  $E_2(F_n)$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 4



**Fig. 1** The graph  $K_1 + nC_4$



**Fig. 2** The graph  $K_1 + (nC_4 \cup P_3)$

Notice that  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_1) = \hat{r}(P_3, K_3) = 8$  by Theorem 1, and  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_2) = 10$  by Lortz and Mengersen [18]. So we assume that  $n \geq 3$ . To simplify the notation, let us restate the upper bounds as  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_{2n-1}) \leq 8n$  and  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_{2n}) \leq 8n + 5$ . We first consider the graph formed by  $n$  copies of  $W_4$  sharing a common center, denoted by  $K_1 + nC_4$ . We show that  $K_1 + nC_4 \rightarrow (P_3, F_{2n-1})$ . For any red-blue edge-coloring of  $K_1 + nC_4$ , suppose there is no red  $P_3$ , we need to find a blue  $F_{2n-1}$ . Let  $v$  be the center of  $K_1 + nC_4$ . Then  $v$  is incident to at most one red edge, since otherwise there is a red  $P_3$ . It follows from  $C_4 \rightarrow (P_3, 2K_2)$  that  $nC_4 \rightarrow (P_3, (2n)K_2)$ . In other words, the graph  $nC_4$  contains a blue matching with  $2n$  edges, which together with  $v$  forms an  $F_{2n}$ . This fan has at most one red edge, which is incident to  $v$ . Thus, there is a blue  $F_{2n-1}$ . It follows that  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_{2n-1}) \leq 8n$ . To prove  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_{2n}) \leq 8n + 5$ , we add a new  $P_3$ , say  $v_1v_2v_3$ ,

to the graph  $K_1 + nC_4$ , and join  $v$  to  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ , respectively. From the facts  $C_4 \rightarrow (P_3, 2K_2)$  and  $P_3 \rightarrow (P_3, K_2)$ , we see that  $nC_4 \cup P_3 \rightarrow (P_3, (2n+1)K_2)$ . Hence, there is a blue matching  $(2n+1)K_2$  in  $G[N(v)]$ , which together with  $v$  forms an  $F_{2n+1}$ . It contains at most one red edge, which is incident to  $v$ . So there is a blue  $F_{2n}$ . Thus,  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_{2n}) \leq 8n+5$ .

Now we prove  $\hat{r}(P_3, F_n) \geq 4n+4+\epsilon$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Here,  $\epsilon$  is a Kronecker delta function, which means that  $\epsilon = 0$  if  $n$  is odd, and  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $n$  is even. For any graph  $G$  with  $4n+3+\epsilon$  edges, we will find an edge-coloring of  $G$  such that  $G$  contains neither a red  $P_3$  nor a blue  $F_n$ . When coloring the edges of  $G$  in the sequel, we guarantee that no red  $P_3$  will appear in  $G$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $G$  contains a blue  $F_n$ , we can always find a contradiction. We first have the following claim on the vertex with maximum degree.

**Claim 1** *The graph  $G$  contains exactly one vertex whose degree is at least  $2n$ .*

*Proof* Suppose that there are two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $d(u) \geq 2n$  and  $d(v) \geq 2n$ . We will find a matching and color its edges red in the sequel. Hence, we always assume that the graph induced by all uncolored edges contains an  $F_n$ . This is because, if such an  $F_n$  does not exist at some step, we color all uncolored edges blue and a contradiction follows.

If there is an edge joining  $u$  and  $v$ , we color it red. Let  $G_1$  be an  $F_n$  all of whose edges are uncolored. If the center of  $G_1$  is not  $u$  or  $v$ , then at least  $2n-2$  edges incident to  $u$  are not in  $G_1$ , and so are the edges incident to  $v$ . Since  $uv$  is a possible edge, the size of  $G$  is at least  $|E(G_1)| + (2n-2) + (2n-2) - 1$ , which is greater than  $4n+3+\epsilon$  for  $n \geq 3$ , a contradiction. Thus, every copy of  $F_n$  has center either  $u$  or  $v$ . Without loss of generality, let  $u$  be the center of  $G_1$ . We color all its rim edges red. Now let  $G_2$  be an  $F_n$  all of whose edges are uncolored. Recall that we have already colored the edge  $uv$  red if it exists. If the center of  $G_2$  is  $u$ , then any edge incident to  $v$  is not contained in  $G_2$ . The size of  $G$  is at least  $|E(G_2)| + n + 2n$ , which contradicts the fact that  $|E(G)| = 4n+3+\epsilon$ . If the center of  $G_2$  is  $v$ , then any edge of  $G_1$  is not contained in  $G_2$ . The size of  $G$  is at least  $|E(G_1)| + |E(G_2)|$ , again a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $v$  be the vertex with  $d(v) = \Delta(G)$ . By Claim 1, the center of  $F_n$  has to be  $v$  for any copy of  $F_n$  in  $G$ . Among all vertices with maximum degrees in the graph  $G[N(v)]$ , we choose such a vertex  $u$  that  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  contains a component that is neither a  $C_4$  nor a  $P_5$ . If such a vertex does not exist, then we choose an arbitrary vertex as  $u$  which has maximum degree in  $G[N(v)]$ . The vertex  $u$  has the following properties.

**Claim 2** *The vertex  $u$  has at least two neighbors in  $G[N(v)]$ .*

*Proof* Suppose not, then  $\Delta(G[N(v)]) \leq 1$ . For each copy of  $F_n$  in  $G$ , we color all its rim edges red. All rim edges from different copies of  $F_n$  form a red matching, since otherwise  $\Delta(G[N(v)]) \geq 2$ . After coloring the remaining edges blue, we see

that  $G$  contains neither a red  $P_3$  nor a blue  $F_n$  as a subgraph. This contradicts our assumption.  $\square$

**Claim 3** *If  $n$  is odd, then  $d(u) = 3$ . If  $n$  is even, then  $3 \leq d(u) \leq 4$ . Moreover, the graph  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  has maximum degree at most two.*

*Proof* We color the edge  $uv$  red. Thus each copy of a blue  $F_n$  does not contain any edge incident to  $u$ . If  $G - u$  contains no  $F_n$ , we color all other edges blue and our proof is done. If  $G - u$  contains a copy of  $F_n$ , denoted by  $H_1$ , we color all edges of  $E_2(H_1)$  red, where  $E_2(H_1)$  is the set of rim edges of  $H_1$ . If the graph induced by all uncolored edges contains no  $F_n$ , then we color all uncolored edges blue and our proof is done. If the graph induced by all uncolored edges contains an  $F_n$ , denoted by  $H_2$ , then  $G$  has at least  $d(u) + |E_2(H_1)| + |E(H_2)|$  edges, which is  $4n + d(u)$ . Combining the fact  $|E(G)| = 4n + 3 + \epsilon$  and Claim 2, we have  $d(u) = 3$  if  $n$  is odd, and  $3 \leq d(u) \leq 4$  if  $n$  is even. If  $n$  is even and  $d(u) = 4$ , then the  $4n$  edges of  $E(G - u)$  consists of all edges from  $E_2(H_1)$  and  $E(H_2)$ . Thus, no matter  $d(u) = 4$  or  $d(u) = 3$ , the graph  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  has maximum degree at most two.  $\square$

Recall that we have colored  $uv$  red. Now we color all other edges incident to  $u$  or  $v$  blue. By Claim 3, the graph  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  consists of a disjoint join of cycles, paths, and isolated vertices. For a cycle of length  $\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 3$ , and for each  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \ell/3 \rfloor - 1$ , starting from any edge, we color the  $(3i + 1)$ -th edge along the cycle with red color, and the other edges blue. Thus, a maximum blue matching of  $C_\ell$  has  $\lceil \ell/3 \rceil$  edges under this coloring. For a path of length  $\ell$ , and for each  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \ell/3 \rfloor - 1$ , starting from any pendant edge, we color the  $(3i + 1)$ -th edge along the path with red color, and the other edges blue. Thus, if  $\ell \geq 2$ , a maximum blue matching of  $P_{\ell+1}$  has  $\lceil \ell/3 \rceil$  edges under this coloring. If  $\ell \leq 1$ ,  $P_{\ell+1}$  has no blue edge.

We color the remaining uncolored edges blue, and prove that  $G$  contains no blue  $F_n$ . If not,  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  has a blue matching with  $n$  edges. Assume that the cycles in  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  have length  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s$ , respectively, and the paths of length at least two in  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  have length  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t$ , respectively. Then the size of a maximum blue matching in  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  is

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \lceil c_i/3 \rceil + \sum_{j=1}^t \lceil p_j/3 \rceil,$$

which is at least  $n$ . And the size of  $G$  is at least

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^s c_i + \sum_{j=1}^t (2p_j + 1) + d(u),$$

which is at most  $4n+3+\epsilon$ . It follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^s 4\lceil c_i/3\rceil + \sum_{j=1}^t 4\lceil p_j/3\rceil + 3 + \epsilon \geq 4n + 3 + \epsilon \geq 2\sum_{i=1}^s c_i + \sum_{j=1}^t (2p_j + 1) + d(u)$ . Hence we have


$$\sum_{i=1}^s (4\lceil c_i/3\rceil - 2c_i) + \sum_{j=1}^t (4\lceil p_j/3\rceil - 2p_j - 1) + 3 + \epsilon \geq d(u). \quad (1)$$

Note that  $c_i \geq 3$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , and  $p_j \geq 2$  for  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . It is easy to check that if  $c_i = 4$ , then  $4\lceil c_i/3\rceil - 2c_i = 0$ ; if  $c_i = 3$  or  $c_i \geq 5$ , then  $4\lceil c_i/3\rceil - 2c_i \leq -2$ ; if  $p_j = 2$  or  $p_j = 4$ , then  $4\lceil p_j/3\rceil - 2p_j - 1 = -1$ ; if  $p_j = 3$  or  $p_j \geq 5$ , then  $4\lceil p_j/3\rceil - 2p_j - 1 \leq -3$ . By Claim 3,  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  consists of a disjoint union of  $C_4$  if  $n$  is odd. If  $n$  is even, then  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  consists of a disjoint union of  $C_4$ , and at most one component which is a path with length two or four.

By Claims 2 and 3,  $u$  has two or three neighbors in  $G[N(v)]$ . We first consider the case that  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  consists of a disjoint union of  $C_4$ . If  $u$  has two neighbors in  $G[N(v)]$ , denoted by  $w_1, w_2$ , then  $w_1$  has three neighbors in  $G[N(v)]$ , contradicting the choice of  $u$ . If  $u$  has three neighbors in  $G[N(v)]$ , denoted by  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ , then each  $w_i$  has three neighbors in  $G[N(v)]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Moreover, there is a  $w_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  such that  $G[N(v) \setminus w_i]$  contains a component which is neither a  $C_4$  nor a  $P_5$ , which contradicts the choice of  $u$  again. Next we consider the case that  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  contains a path of length two as a component. In this case,  $n$  is even. To find a blue matching with  $n$  edges in  $G[N(v)]$ , it must contain at least  $n/2$  disjoint copies of  $C_4$ . Thus,  $G$  has at least  $4n + 5$  edges, a contradiction. Finally, we consider the case that  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$  contains a path of length four as a component. By the inequality (1),  $n$  is even, and  $u$  has exactly two neighbors in  $G[N(v)]$ , denoted by  $w_1, w_2$ . If  $w_1$  has two neighbors in  $G[N(v) \setminus u]$ , then  $w_1$  has three neighbors in  $G[N(v)]$ , which contradicts the choice of  $u$ . Thus,  $w_1$  has to be an end vertex of the path  $P_5$ , and so does  $w_2$ . Thus, all components of  $G[N(v)]$  are cycles, one of which is  $C_6$ , and the others are  $C_4$ . By the choice of  $u$ , we would choose a vertex of  $C_4$  rather than a vertex of  $C_6$  to be  $u$ , which contradicts the fact that  $u$  belongs to  $C_6$ . This completes our proof.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 5

We first prove that  $\hat{r}(2K_2, F_n) = 5n + 3$  for  $n \geq 3$ . To prove the upper bound, we first consider a matching with  $n$  edges, and join two new vertices  $u$  and  $v$  to every vertex of the matching respectively. These are two fans  $F_n$  sharing respectively the same rim edges. Then we add a new edge  $u_1u_2$ , and join  $u$  to  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Denote this graph by  $H$  (see Figure 3). We prove that  $H \rightarrow (2K_2, F_n)$ . For any red-blue edge-coloring of  $H$ , if there is no red  $2K_2$ , then the red edges form either a star or a triangle. If the red edges form a triangle, or a star whose center is not  $u$ , then there is always a blue  $F_n$  with  $u$  as its center. If the red edges form a star whose center is  $u$ , then there is a blue  $F_n$  with  $v$  as its center. So  $H \rightarrow (2K_2, F_n)$ , and  $\hat{r}(2K_2, F_n) \leq 5n + 3$  for  $n \geq 3$ .



**Fig. 3** The graph  $H$  satisfying  $H \rightarrow (2K_2, F_n)$

Now we turn to the lower bound. Suppose to the contrary that there is a graph  $G$  with  $5n + 2$  edges and  $G \rightarrow (2K_2, F_n)$  for  $n \geq 3$ . For any vertex  $x$ , we claim that the graph  $G - x$  must contain an  $F_n$ . If not, we color all edges incident to  $x$  red and the other edges of  $G$  blue. This coloring implies that  $G \not\rightarrow (2K_2, F_n)$ , which contradicts our assumption. Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$  with maximum degree. Then  $d(v) \geq 2n$ . Notice that  $G - v$  contains an  $F_n$ , denoted by  $H_1$ . We denote the center of  $H_1$  by  $u$ .

If  $d(v) \geq 2n + 3$ , we have  $|E(G)| \geq d(v) + |E(H_1)| \geq 5n + 3$ , a contradiction. If  $2n + 1 \leq d(v) \leq 2n + 2$ , then  $d(v) + |E(H_1)| \geq 5n + 1$ . Since  $|E(G)| = 5n + 2$ , except for at most one edge, every edge of  $G$  is either incident to  $v$ , or an edge of  $H_1$ . As  $n \geq 3$ ,  $v$  is the only vertex with degree at least  $2n$  in  $G - u$ . Since  $G - u$  contains an  $F_n$ , the center of this  $F_n$  must be  $v$ . Thus a matching with  $n$  edges is contained in  $G[N(v)]$ . Consequently, all but at most one rim edge of  $H_1$  is contained in  $G[N(v)]$ . There is a triangle that contains both  $v$  and a rim edge of  $H_1$ . We color its edges red, and all other edges of  $G$  blue. It is not difficult to check that there is no blue  $F_n$  with  $u$  as its center. If there is a blue  $F_n$  with  $v$  as its center, then  $d(v) = 2n + 2$ . But there are only  $n - 1$  blue edges in  $G[N(v)]$  in this case. As a result,  $G$  contains no blue  $F_n$ , a contradiction. If  $d(v) = 2n$ , then  $d(u) = 2n$ . Since  $|E(G)| = 5n + 2$ , except for at most two edges, every edge of  $G$  is either incident to  $v$ , or an edge of  $H_1$ . Hence for every vertex  $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$ , we have  $d(x) \leq 5 < 2n$ . That is to say, each copy of  $F_n$  must have center either in  $u$  or in  $v$ . If  $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$ , then  $|E(G)| \geq 3n + 3n > 5n + 2$ , because  $G - u$  contains an  $F_n$ . If  $N(u) \cap N(v) \neq \emptyset$ , then we choose a common neighbor of  $u$  and  $v$ , denoted by  $w$ . We color all edges incident to  $w$  red, and the other edges blue. Thus, there is no red  $2K_2$  or blue  $F_n$ , a final contradiction.

Next we show  $\hat{r}(2K_2, F_2) = 12$ . The upper bound of  $\hat{r}(2K_2, F_2)$  follows from the fact that  $2F_2 \rightarrow (2K_2, F_2)$ . For the lower bound, we use a similar argument as in the case  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose by contradiction that there is a graph  $G$  of size

11 satisfying  $G \rightarrow (2K_2, F_2)$ . For any vertex  $x$ , the graph  $G - x$  contains an  $F_2$ , since otherwise we color all edges incident to  $x$  red and the other edges blue, which implies that  $G \not\rightarrow (2K_2, F_2)$ . We use the same notation as above for simplicity. Let  $v$  be a vertex with maximum degree,  $H_1$  a fan  $F_2$  in  $G - v$ , and  $u$  the center of  $H_1$ . If  $d(v) \geq 6$ , then  $|E(G)| \geq d(v) + |E(H_1)| = 12$ , a contradiction. If  $d(v) = 5$ , since  $|E(G)| = d(v) + |E(H_1)| = 11$ , every edge of  $G$  is either incident to  $v$ , or an edge of  $H_1$ . It is easy to find an edge-coloring of  $G$  such that there is neither a red  $2K_2$  nor a blue  $F_2$ . If  $d(v) = 4$ , then  $d(u) = 4$ . Except for at most one edge, denoted by  $e_1$ , every edge of  $G$  is either incident to  $v$ , or an edge of  $H_1$ . Any  $F_2$  contained in  $G - u$  must have  $v$  as its center, since  $v$  is the only vertex with degree at least four in  $G - u$ . Let  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  be the rim edges of  $H_1$ . If  $e_1$  is incident with  $e_2$  or  $e_3$ , say,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  share a common end vertex  $w$ , then we color all edges incident to  $w$  red, and the other edges blue. Hence  $G \not\rightarrow (2K_2, F_2)$ . If  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  form a matching, then at least one of  $e_2, e_3$  is contained in  $G[N(v)]$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $e_2$  is contained in  $G[N(v)]$ , then we color  $e_2$  red and the other edges blue. Again, we have  $G \not\rightarrow (2K_2, F_2)$ .

## 4 Proof of Theorem 6

The theorem for  $m = 2$  is easily verified, because  $\hat{r}(n_1K_2, n_2K_2) = n_1 + n_2 - 1$ . So we assume that  $m \geq 3$ . We need the following two lemmas for our argument. The first one gives us the upper bound, and the second gives us the lower bound for any connected graph  $G$ .

**Lemma 1** (Vito and Silaban [22]) *For  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 3$ , we have  $\hat{r}(2K_2, nP_m) \leq \min\{nm + 1, (n + 1)(m - 1)\}$ .*

The proof of Lemma 1 is straightforward. The upper bound  $nm + 1$  follows from the fact that  $C_{nm+1} \rightarrow (2K_2, nP_m)$ , and the upper bound  $(n + 1)(m - 1)$  follows from the fact that  $(n + 1)P_m \rightarrow (2K_2, nP_m)$ .

**Lemma 2** (Vito and Silaban [22]) *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be two positive integers and  $m \geq 3$ . For any connected graph  $G$  with  $nm$  edges, we have  $G \not\rightarrow (2K_2, nP_m)$ .*

Let  $N = \min\{nm + 1, (n + 1)(m - 1)\}$ . We prove the lower bound by contradiction. Suppose to the contrary that  $G$  is a graph with  $N - 1$  edges and  $G \rightarrow (2K_2, nP_m)$ . By Lemma 2 and  $|E(G)| \leq nm$ ,  $G$  is a disconnected graph. Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t$  be all the components of  $G$ . For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , let  $a_i$  be the largest integer such that  $G_i$  contains the disjoint union of  $a_i$  copies of  $P_m$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i < n$ , we color all edges blue, and then  $G \not\rightarrow (2K_2, nP_m)$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i > n$ , then  $|E(G)| \geq (m - 1) \sum_{i=1}^t a_i \geq (n + 1)(m - 1)$ , which contradicts the size of  $G$ . Thus we have  $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i = n$ . If there exists some  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq t$  such that  $G_i \not\rightarrow (2K_2, a_iP_m)$ , then  $G_i$  has a coloring that  $G_i$  contains neither a

red  $2K_2$  nor a blue  $a_i P_m$ . We extend this coloring to a coloring of  $G$  by coloring all other edges blue. The graph  $G$  contains neither a red  $2K_2$  nor a blue  $nP_m$  under this coloring. This contradiction implies that  $G_i \rightarrow (2K_2, a_i P_m)$  for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Applying Lemma 2 to each component  $G_i$ , we have  $|E(G)| = \sum_{i=1}^t |E(G_i)| \geq \sum_{i=1}^t (a_i m + 1) = m \sum_{i=1}^t a_i + t = mn + t \geq nm + 2 > |E(G)|$ , a final contradiction.

**Acknowledgments.** The second author was partially supported by NSFC under grant numbers 11601527, while the third author was partially supported by NSFC under grant numbers 12161141003 and 12271246.

## References

- [1] Baskoro, E.T., Nuraeni, Y., Ngurah, A.A.G.: Upper bounds for the size Ramsey numbers for  $P_3$  versus  $C_3^t$  or  $P_n$ . *J. Prime Res. Math.* **2**, 141–146 (2006)
- [2] Beck, J.: On size Ramsey number of paths, trees, and circuits. I. *J. Graph Theory* **7**(1), 115–129 (1983). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190070115>
- [3] Burr, S.A., Erdős, P., Faudree, R.J., Rousseau, C.C., Schelp, R.H.: Ramsey-minimal graphs for multiple copies. *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)* **81**(2), 187–195 (1978). [https://doi.org/10.1016/1385-7258\(78\)90036-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/1385-7258(78)90036-7)
- [4] Davoodi, A., Javadi, R., Kamranian, A., Raeisi, G.: On a conjecture of Erdős on size Ramsey number of star forests. arXiv preprint, arXiv:2111.02065 (2021). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.02065>
- [5] Dellamonica Jr., D.: The size-Ramsey number of trees. *Random Struct. Algor.* **40**(1), 49–73 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.20363>
- [6] Dudek, A., Prałat, P.: On some multicolor Ramsey properties of random graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **31**(3), 2079–2092 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1137/16m1069717>
- [7] Erdős, P., Faudree, R.J., Rousseau, C.C., Schelp, R.H.: The size Ramsey number. *Period. Math. Hungar.* **9**(1-2), 145–161 (1978). <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02018930>
- [8] Erdős, P., Faudree, R.J.: Size Ramsey numbers involving matchings. In: *Finite and Infinite Sets*, pp. 247–264. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (1984). <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-86893-0.50019-x>
- [9] Faudree, R.J., Schelp, R.H.: A survey of results on the size Ramsey number. In: *Paul Erdős and His Mathematics, II*, pp. 291–309. Springer, Berlin (2002)

- [10] Faudree, R.J., Sheehan, J.: Size Ramsey numbers for small-order graphs. *J. Graph Theory* **7**(1), 53–55 (1983). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190070107>
- [11] Faudree, R.J., Sheehan, J.: Size Ramsey numbers involving stars. *Discrete Math.* **46**(2), 151–157 (1983). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365x\(83\)90248-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365x(83)90248-0)
- [12] Harary, F., Miller, Z.: Generalized Ramsey theory VIII. the size Ramsey number of small graphs. In: *Studies in Pure Mathematics*, pp. 271–283. Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland (1983). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-5438-2\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-5438-2_25)
- [13] Haxell, P.E., Kohayakawa, Y.: The size-Ramsey number of trees. *Israel J. Math.* **89**(1-3), 261–274 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02808204>
- [14] Haxell, P.E., Kohayakawa, Y., Łuczak, T.: The induced size-Ramsey number of cycles. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **4**(3), 217–239 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0963548300001619>
- [15] Javadi, R., Khoeini, F., Omidi, G.R., Pokrovskiy, A.: On the size-Ramsey number of cycles. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **28**(6), 871–880 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0963548319000221>
- [16] Javadi, R., Omidi, G.: On a question of Erdős and Faudree on the size Ramsey numbers. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **32**(3), 2217–2228 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1137/17m1115022>
- [17] Lortz, R., Mengersen, I.: Size Ramsey results for paths versus stars. *Australas. J. Combin.* **18**, 3–12 (1998)
- [18] Lortz, R., Mengersen, I.: Size Ramsey results for the path of order three. *Graphs Combin.* **37**(6), 2315–2331 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-021-02398-3>
- [19] Miralaei, M., Omidi, G.R., Shahsiah, M.: Size Ramsey numbers of stars versus cliques. *J. Graph Theory* **92**(3), 275–286 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22453>
- [20] Radziszowski, S.: Small Ramsey numbers. *Electron. J. Combin.* DS1.16 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.37236/21>
- [21] Sheehan, J., Faudree, R.J., Rousseau, C.C.: A class of size Ramsey problems involving stars. In: Bollobás, B. (ed.) *Graph Theory and Combinatorics*, pp. 273–281. Cambridge, London (1984)
- [22] Vito, V., Silaban, D.R.: Two types of size Ramsey numbers for matchings

of small order. J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr. **26**(4), 1095–1107 (2023).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2021.2000153>