

On the biases and asymptotics of partitions with finite choices of parts

Jiyou Li¹ and Sicheng Zhao^{2*}

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University,
Shanghai, 200240, China.

²School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University,
Shanghai, 200240, China.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): zsc_abandoned@alumni.sjtu.edu.cn;
Contributing authors: lijiyousjtu@sjtu.edu.cn;

Abstract

Biases in integer partitions have been studied recently. For three disjoint subsets $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{I}$ of positive integers, let $p_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{n})$ be the number of partitions of $\mathbf{n}$ with parts from $\mathbf{R} \cup \mathbf{S} \cup \mathbf{I}$ and $p_{\mathbf{R} > \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{n})$ be the number of such partitions with more parts from $\mathbf{R}$ than that from $\mathbf{S}$. In this paper, in the case that $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{I}$ are finite we obtain a concrete formula of the asymptotic ratio of $p_{\mathbf{R} > \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{n})$ to $p_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{n})$. We also propose a conjecture in the case that $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}$ are certain infinite arithmetic progressions.

Keywords: restricted partitions, bias

1 Introduction

Partition theory is one of the most important subjects in combinatorics. For a positive integer n , a partition of n is a non-increasing positive integer sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = n$. Each λ_i is called a part and l is called the length of λ . Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n . One of the most remarkable results about $p(n)$ is its asymptotic estimate given by Hardy and Ramanujan [12]:

$$p(n) \sim \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}}{4\sqrt{3n}}.$$

This is actually the origin of circle method which plays an important role in combinatorics and number theory.

Partitions with some constraints were studied by many mathematicians since Euler. Many related questions also arise naturally from algebra, combinatorics, number theory and physics, and are now important and fascinating topics in partition theory. Extensive research has been carried out on the study of partitions with restricted parts in many different kind of settings.

A typical example of restricted partitions is t -regular partition, i.e., the partition whose parts are not divisible by t . The study on t -regular partitions can be traced back to Euler [9] and Glashier [11] several centuries ago. The arithmetic properties of the number of t -regular partitions of n , such as congruence or divisibility, are also of great interest to researchers. For example, see [8], [1] and [7].

Recently "biases in partition" arise as an interesting subject in the study of partitions. By biases in partition, we mean the tendency of some parts to appear more frequently than other parts in the partitions (may be restricted). Quantitative and qualitative researches have been carried out on such phenomenons.

For instance, the biases in the appearance of the parts from two residue classes in the partitions have been studied recently. Kim et al. [15] investigate the number of partitions of n with more (or less) odd parts than even parts, which will be denoted as $p_o(n)$ (or $p_e(n)$). They prove that $p_o(n) > p_e(n)$ for n large enough and name this phenomenon as parity bias. Precisely, the authors show that

$$\begin{aligned} p_o(n) &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p(n), \\ p_e(n) &\sim (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})p(n). \end{aligned}$$

In a following study [13] of Kim and Kim, the biases between certain residue classes are studied. Let $p_{a,b,m}(n)$ be the number of partitions of n with more parts congruent to a modulo m than parts congruent to b modulo m for $m \geq 2$. They prove that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1,m,m}(n) &\sim \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{m}}}p(n), \\ p_{m,1,m}(n) &\sim (1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{m}}})p(n). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This result indicates that the partitions of n tend to have more parts congruent to 1 modulo m than parts congruent to m modulo m for n large enough. In general, Chern [6] shows that for $1 \leq a < b \leq m$,

$$p_{a,b,m}(n) \geq p_{b,a,m}(n).$$

Interested readers are also referred to [4], [2], [17], [14], [5], [16] as related researches.

It is natural to note that among all partitions of a positive integer n , the smaller parts appear more frequently than the larger parts. However, it seems nontrivial to quantitatively characterize such phenomenon, which is the motivation of this paper.

The main purpose of this paper is to explicitly measure the biases of the appearance of parts from two certain finite sets when the parts of partitions have only finite choices. Let R, S, I be three disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $P_{RSI}(n)$ denote the set of partitions of n with parts in $R \cup S \cup I$ and $P_{R>S,I}(n)$ denote the set of partitions in $P_{RSI}(n)$ with more parts in R than that in S . Through out this paper, the lowercase letters will represent the size of a set of partitions. The main result of this paper is an interesting formula given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_l\}, S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ with R, S be disjoint positive integer sets and I be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus (R \cup S)$. Suppose the greatest common divisor of $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^l, \{s_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is 1. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R>S,I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)} = \prod_{j=1}^l r_j \prod_{i=1}^m s_i \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{r_i \prod_{j=i+1}^l (r_j - r_i) \prod_{t=1}^{i-1} (r_i - r_t) \prod_{k=1}^m (s_k + r_i)}. \quad (2)$$

The key technique for the proof of Theorem 1 is to estimate the partition numbers at the volume of certain polytope. Firstly, we reduce the condition to the case that I is empty. Then we establish an one-to-one correspondence between the partitions in $P_{RSI}(n)$ (or $P_{R>S,I}(n)$) and the integer points in a certain finite dimensional polytope. Finally, the asymptotic estimates of $p_{RSI}(n)$ and $p_{R>S,I}(n)$ are given by some technical but elementary calculations of the integral on the polytopes.

We provide several corollaries here as examples of the application of Theorem 1. The proofs are direct and hence omitted.

Corollary 1. *Let $R = \{r\}$ and $S = \{s\}$ with $r \neq s$, I be an finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus (R \cup S)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R>S,I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)} = \frac{s}{r + s}.$$

Corollary 2. *Let $R = \{1\}$ and $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ with $s_i > 1$, I be an finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus (R \cup S)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R>S,I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{s_i}{s_i + 1}.$$

In particular, if $S = \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ with $k \geq 2$, one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R>S,I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)} = \frac{2}{k + 1}.$$

Corollary 3. *Let $R = \{1, 2\}$ and $S = \{3, 4, \dots, k\}$ with $k \geq 3$, I be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus (R \cup S)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R>S,I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)} = \frac{6k}{(k + 1)(k + 2)}.$$

Theorem 1 gives the asymptotic proportion of the partitions with more parts from R than parts from S in $P_{RSI}(n)$. Note that when the RHS of (2) is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$, partitions in $P_{RSI}(n)$ tend to have more parts from R than parts from S .

It is very natural to consider the case when R, S or I are infinite. We give a conjecture here based on observations of our formula (2) for certain cases. Let $R_N = \{r, r+m, \dots, r+m(N-1)\}$ and $S_N = \{s, s+m, \dots, s+m(N-1)\}$ be two arithmetic progressions of the same length but with distinct starting number, and let $I_N = [\max(r, s) + m(N-1)] \setminus (R_N \cup S_N)$. Let $C_{n,N} = \frac{p_{R_N > S_N, I_N}(n)}{p_{R_N S_N I_N}(n)}$.

Conjecture 1. *Let r, s, m and other notation be defined above. Let $R = \{r, r+m, \dots, r+im, \dots\}, S = \{s, s+m, \dots, s+im, \dots\}, I = \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus (R \cup S)$. Assume $r \not\equiv s \pmod{m}$ and $(r, s, m) = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R > S, I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M \cdot \left(\frac{r}{m} + N - 1\right)_N \cdot \left(\frac{s}{m} + N - 1\right)_N}{(N-1)!(N-1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = \int_0^1 dx x^{\frac{s}{m}-1} (1-x)^{N-1} \int_0^x dt t^{\frac{r}{m}-1} (1-t)^{N-1}.$$

Epecially, for $s = m$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{r}{m}}}.$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 2 by applying area integral to estimate the partition numbers. The discovery and discussion of Conjecture 1 are given in Section 3.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

In the following lemma, we first show that I wouldn't impact the asymptotic value of $\frac{p_{R > S, I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)}$ if it is finite.

Lemma 1. *Let R, S, I and other notations be defined above. Suppose $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus (R \cup S \cup I)$ and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R > S, I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)} = C.$$

Then for $I' = I \cup \{i\}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R > S, I'}(n)}{p_{RSI'}(n)} = C.$$

Proof. Let

$$f(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{R>S,I}(i)q^i,$$

$$g(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{RSI}(i)q^i,$$

be the generating functions of $p_{R>S,I}(n)$, $p_{RSI}(n)$. Then clearly the generating functions of $p_{R>S,I'}(n)$, $p_{RSI'}(n)$ are $\frac{f(q)}{1-q^i}$, $\frac{g(q)}{1-q^i}$. Therefore

$$\frac{p_{R>S,I'}(n)}{p_{RSI'}(n)} = \frac{p_{R>S,I}(n) + p_{R>S,I}(n-i) + p_{R>S,I}(n-2i) + \cdots}{p_{RSI}(n) + p_{RSI}(n-i) + p_{RSI}(n-2i) + \cdots}.$$

Separate the sequence $\{\frac{p_{R>S,I'}(n)}{p_{RSI'}(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ into i disjoint subsequences by the residue of n module i and apply the Stolz theorem. The lemma then follows directly. $\square$

By lemma 1, we may assume I to be empty in the proof of Theorem 1. For simplicity, $p_{RSI}(n)$, $p_{R>S,I}(n)$ will be written as $p_{RS}(n)$, $p_{R>S}(n)$ in the rest of this section. Now let us first consider $p_{RS}(n)$. Since the greatest common divisor of $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^l, \{s_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is 1, let

$$\sum_{j=1}^l a_j r_j + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i s_i = 1,$$

where $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^l, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are integers. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^l n a_j \cdot r_j + \sum_{i=1}^m n b_i \cdot s_i = n.$$

$\forall \lambda = \{r_1^{c_1}, \dots, r_l^{c_l}, s_1^{f_1}, \dots, s_m^{f_m}\} \in P_{RS}(n)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^l (n a_j - c_j) \cdot r_j + \sum_{i=1}^m (n b_i - f_i) \cdot s_i = 0.$$

Here we use a multiset to denote a partition to avoid extra notation on the order of the parts. The superscript represents the multiplicity of the number as a part in a multiset (namely, a partition).

Let $n a_j - c_j = x_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $n b_i - f_i = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^l, \{y_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are integers. We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^l x_j \cdot r_j + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \cdot s_i = 0. \quad (3)$$

(3) is actually an affine hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{l+m}$ and its integer points form a lattice Λ_1 of dimension $l+m-1$. Then $p_{RS}(n)$ equals the number of lattice points of Λ_1 such that the corresponding $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^l, \{f_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are non-negative. To estimate the number of such points, let us first construct a basis of this lattice.

Since r_1 is prime to $(r_2, \dots, r_l, s_1, \dots, s_m)$, the minimal possible positive value of x_1 is $d_1 = (r_2, \dots, r_l, s_1, \dots, s_m)$. Select an arbitrary vector $\mathbf{v}_1$ of Λ_1 with $x_1 = d_1$. Then the quotient lattice $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_1 / \langle \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle$ is a lattice of dimension $l+m-2$ determined by following equations:

$$x_1 = 0, \sum_{j=2}^l x_j \cdot r_j + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \cdot s_i = 0.$$

In Λ_2 , the minimal possible positive value of x_2 is $d_2 = \frac{(r_3, \dots, r_l, s_1, \dots, s_m)}{(r_2, \dots, r_l, s_1, \dots, s_m)}$. Select an arbitrary vector $\mathbf{v}_2$ of Λ_2 with $x_2 = d_2$. Then the quotient lattice $\Lambda_3 = \Lambda_2 / \langle \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle$ is a lattice of dimension $l+m-3$ determined by following equations:

$$x_1 = x_2 = 0, \sum_{j=3}^l x_j \cdot r_j + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \cdot s_i = 0.$$

Repeat this operation until we have already selected $l+m-1$ vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{l+m-1}$. If we rename $r_1, \dots, r_l, s_1, \dots, s_m$ as $e_1, \dots, e_{l+m}$, then the first non-zero component of $\mathbf{v}_i$ is on the i -th position and its value is $\frac{(e_{i+1}, e_{i+2}, \dots, e_{l+m})}{(e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_{l+m})}$. One can easily check that $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{l+m-1}\}$ form a basis of Λ_1 .

Example. Let $R = \{2, 3, 6\}, S = \{10, 15\}$. We could select $\mathbf{v}_1$ to be $(1, -4, 0, 1, 0)$, and then $\mathbf{v}_2 = (0, 1, -3, 0, 1)$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = (0, 0, 5, -3, 0)$, $\mathbf{v}_4 = (0, 0, 0, 3, -2)$. So the row vectors of the following matrix form a basis of Λ_1 :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now let us return to the estimate of the number of the lattice points of Λ_1 such that the corresponding $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^l, \{f_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are non-negative. Let $\mathbf{w}_n = (na_1, \dots, na_l, nb_1, \dots, nb_m)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (c_1, \dots, c_l, f_1, \dots, f_m) &= \mathbf{w}_n - (x_1, \dots, x_l, y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ &= \mathbf{w}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{l+m-1} k_i \mathbf{v}_i \\ &\geq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here " $\geq$ " denotes component-wise being greater than or equal to. Then $p_{RS}(n)$ is equal to the number of integer arrays $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{l+m-1}$ satisfying system (4), namely the number of integer points in the area determined by (4) in variables $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{l+m-1}$. Denote this area as D_n . Note that D_n is actually the intersection of $l+m$ half-spaces in $\mathbb{R}^{l+m-1}$, so it is a polytope of dimension $l+m-1$. Furthermore, the $l+m$ inequations of (4) are linear in variables $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{l+m-1}$ and the constant terms are proportional to n , namely D_n is the n -dilate of D_1 . It's time to introduce the following lemma from Ehrhart theory.

Lemma 2 (Lemma 3.19, [3]). *Suppose $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is d -dimensional. Then*

$$\text{vol}(P) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^d} \cdot \#(tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

Therefore an asymptotic estimate of the number of integer points in D_n , namely $\#(D_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$, is $\text{vol}(D_1) \cdot n^{l+m-1} + o(n^{l+m-1})$, where $\text{vol}(D_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l+m-1}} \chi_{D_1} dV$. Let $u_1 = c_1, \dots, u_l = c_l, u_{l+1} = f_1, \dots, u_{l+m-1} = f_{m-1}$ and set $n = 1$. Then (4) is transformed into

$$\begin{cases} u_i \geq 0, & 1 \leq i \leq l+m-1, \\ r_1 u_1 + \dots + r_l u_l + s_1 u_{l+1} + \dots + s_{m-1} u_{l+m-1} \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Due to the discussion above, the elements in the main diagonal of the Jacobi matrix of this variable substitution from $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{l+m-1}$ to $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{l+m-1}$ are $\frac{(e_{i+1}, e_{i+2}, \dots, e_{l+m})}{(e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_{l+m})}$ ($1 \leq i \leq l+m-1$) and its determinant is $e_{l+m} = s_m$. So the volume of (4) is $\frac{1}{s_m}$ as the volume of (5). Let $y_i = r_i u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $y_i = s_{i-l} u_i$ for $l+1 \leq i \leq l+m-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l+m-1}} \chi_{D_1} dV &= \frac{1}{s_m} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} s_i \prod_{j=1}^l r_j} \int_0^1 dy_1 \int_0^{1-y_1} dy_2 \dots \int_0^{1-y_1-\dots-y_{l+m-2}} dy_{l+m-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(l+m-1)!} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m s_i \prod_{j=1}^l r_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality comes from an easy computation of multiple integral. Therefore

$$p_{RS}(n) = \frac{A_{l+m-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^m s_i \prod_{j=1}^l r_j} + o(n^{l+m-1}), \quad (6)$$

where A_{l+m-1} denotes $\frac{n^{l+m-1}}{(l+m-1)!}$.

To estimate $p_{R>S}(n)$, add a new condition $\sum_{j=1}^l c_j > \sum_{i=1}^m d_i$ to system (4). Note that the argument above still applies here. Applying the same variable substitution and

setting $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{cases} u_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq l+m-1, \\ r_1 u_1 + \cdots + r_l u_l + s_1 u_{l+1} + \cdots + s_{m-1} u_{l+m-1} \leq 1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^l (s_m + r_j) u_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (s_i - s_m) u_{l+i} > 1. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Denote the volume of system (7) as V . Then $p_{R>S}(n) = \frac{1}{s_m} \cdot V \cdot n^{l+m-1} + o(n^{l+m-1})$. For the ease of computation below, we will calculate the volume of the complement of (7) in (5) instead, namely the following system:

$$\begin{cases} u_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq l+m-1, \\ r_1 u_1 + \cdots + r_l u_l + s_1 u_{l+1} + \cdots + s_{m-1} u_{l+m-1} \leq 1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^l (s_m + r_j) u_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (s_i - s_m) u_{l+i} \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Then the volume of (8) equals $\frac{1}{(l+m-1)! \prod_{j=1}^l r_j \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} s_i} - V$. Separate (8) into following

two systems:

$$\begin{cases} u_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq l+m-1, \\ r_1 u_1 + \cdots + r_l u_l + s_1 u_{l+1} + \cdots + s_{m-1} u_{l+m-1} \leq 1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^l u_j < \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} u_{l+i}. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} u_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq l+m-1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^l (s_m + r_j) u_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (s_i - s_m) u_{l+i} \leq 1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^l u_j \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} u_{l+i}. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Note that (9), (10) are of the same type: if we define (9) as an $(l, m-1)$ -form, then (10) is actually an $(m-1, l)$ -form. Denote the volume of (9) as $V_{(r_1, \dots, r_l), (s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})}$, then the volume of (10) can be written as $V_{(s_1-s_m, \dots, s_{m-1}-s_m), (r_1+s_m, \dots, r_l+s_m)}$. These two volumes will be written as V_1, V_2 for convenience sometime below. Without loss of generality, assume that $s_m < s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_{m-1}, r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_l$. Now let's compute the $(l, m-1)$ -form (9).

Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} u_{l+i} - \sum_{j=1}^l u_j$ and eliminate u_{l+1} , (9) is transformed into:

$$\begin{cases} u_i \geq 0, \ 1 \leq i \leq l+m-1, \ i \neq l+1, \\ v \geq 0, \\ (s_2 - s_1)u_{l+2} + \cdots + (s_{m-1} - s_1)u_{l+m-1} + s_1 v + (r_1 + s_1)u_1 + \cdots + (r_l + s_1)u_l \leq 1, \\ \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} u_{l+i} \leq v + \sum_{j=1}^l u_j. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Since the Jacobi matrix of this transformation is upper-triangular and the diagonal elements are 1, the volume of (9) is equal to the volume of (11), namely

$$V_{(r_1, \dots, r_l), (s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})} = V_{(s_2 - s_1, \dots, s_{m-1} - s_1), (s_1, r_1 + s_1, \dots, r_l + s_1)}. \quad (12)$$

So the computation of an $(l, m-1)$ -form is equivalent to that of an $(m-2, l+1)$ -form.

On the other hand, by the definition of (a, b) -form, we have

$$V_{(r_1, \dots, r_l), (s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})} + V_{(s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}), (r_1, \dots, r_l)} = \frac{1}{(l+m-1)! \prod_{j=1}^l r_j \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} s_i}. \quad (13)$$

which means the computation of an $(m-2, l+1)$ -form is equivalent to that of an $(l+1, m-2)$ -form. Combining (12) and (13), we have

$$V_{(r_1, \dots, r_l), (s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})} = \frac{1}{(l+m-1)! \cdot s_1 \prod_{i=1}^l (r_i + s_1) \prod_{j=2}^{m-1} (s_j - s_1)} - V_{(s_1, r_1 + s_1, \dots, r_l + s_1), (s_2 - s_1, \dots, s_{m-1} - s_1)}.$$

Note that

$$V_{(z_1, \dots, z_{l+m-1}), \emptyset} = 0.$$

Therefore, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= V_{(r_1, \dots, r_l), (s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})} \\ &= \frac{1}{(l+m-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{s_j \prod_{i=j+1}^{m-1} (s_i - s_j) \prod_{t=1}^{j-1} (s_i - s_t) \prod_{k=1}^l (r_k + s_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$V_2 = \frac{1}{(l+m-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{(r_i + s_m) \prod_{j=i+1}^l (r_j - r_i) \prod_{t=1}^{i-1} (r_i - r_t) \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} (s_k + r_i)}.$$

Now let us compute V , the volume of (9). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \frac{1}{(l+m-1)! \prod_{j=1}^l r_j \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} s_i} - (V_1 + V_2) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{(l+m-1)! \prod_{j=1}^l r_j \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} s_i} - V_1 \right) - V_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{(l+m-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{r_i \prod_{j=i+1}^l (r_j - r_i) \prod_{t=1}^{i-1} (r_i - r_t) \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} (s_k + r_i)} - V_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{(l+m-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{(-1)^{i-1} s_m}{r_i \prod_{j=i+1}^l (r_j - r_i) \prod_{t=1}^{i-1} (r_i - r_t) \prod_{k=1}^m (s_k + r_i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality is due to (13). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{R>S}(n) &= \frac{1}{s_m} \cdot V \cdot n^{l+m-1} + o(n^{l+m-1}) \\
&= A_{l+m-1} \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{r_i \prod_{j=i+1}^l (r_j - r_i) \prod_{t=1}^{i-1} (r_i - r_t) \prod_{k=1}^m (s_k + r_i)} + o(n^{l+m-1}). \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Then (2) comes directly from (6) and (14), which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3 Discovery and discussion of Cojecture 1

Let $R_N = \{r, r+m, \dots, r+m(N-1)\}$, $S_N = \{s, s+m, \dots, s+m(N-1)\}$, $I_N = [\max(r, s) + m(N-1)] \setminus (R_N \cup S_N)$. Here r, s, m are three positive integers such that $r \not\equiv s \pmod{m}$ and $(r, s, m) = 1$. Denote $\frac{p_{R_N > S_N, I_N}(n)}{p_{R_N S_N I_N}(n)}$ by $C_{n,N}$. Then by Theorem 1,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} = C \cdot \left(\frac{r}{m} + N - 1\right)_N \cdot \left(\frac{s}{m} + N - 1\right)_N,$$

where

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{(N-i)!(i-1)! \left(\frac{s+r}{m} + N + i - 2\right)_N \left(\frac{r}{m} + i - 1\right)}.$$

Here $(a)_N = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (a+i)$.

Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(-x)^{i-1}}{(N-i)!(i-1)!(\frac{s+r}{m}+N+i-2)_N(\frac{r}{m}+i-1)}$. Note that $C = g(1)$. We have

$$[g(x)x^{N+\frac{s+r}{m}-1}]^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{i-1}x^{\frac{s+r}{m}+i-2}}{(N-i)!(i-1)!(\frac{r}{m}+i-1)}. \quad (15)$$

Let $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{i-1}x^{\frac{r}{m}+i-1}}{(N-i)!(i-1)!(\frac{r}{m}+i-1)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h'(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{i-1}x^{\frac{r}{m}+i-2}}{(N-i)!(i-1)!} \\ &= \frac{x^{\frac{r}{m}-1}}{(N-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^N \binom{N-1}{i-1} (-x)^{i-1} \\ &= \frac{x^{\frac{r}{m}-1}(1-x)^{N-1}}{(N-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h(0) = 0$, we have $h(x) = \int_0^x \frac{t^{\frac{r}{m}-1}(1-t)^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} dt$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} C &= g(1) \\ &= g(1) \cdot 1^{N+\frac{s+r}{m}-1} - g(0) \cdot 0^{N+\frac{s+r}{m}-1} \\ &= \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} dx_2 \cdots \int_0^{x_{N-1}} dx_N [g(x_N)x_N^{N+\frac{s+r}{m}-1}]^{(N)} \\ &= \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} dx_2 \cdots \int_0^{x_{N-1}} dx_N x_N^{\frac{s}{m}-1} h(x_N) \\ &= \int_0^1 dx_N \int_{x_N}^1 dx_{N-1} \cdots \int_{x_2}^1 dx_1 x_N^{\frac{s}{m}-1} h(x_N) \\ &= \int_0^1 dx_N x_N^{\frac{s}{m}-1} h(x_N) \cdot \frac{(1-x_N)^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(N-1)!(N-1)!} \int_0^1 dx_N x_N^{\frac{s}{m}-1} (1-x_N)^{N-1} \int_0^{x_N} dt t^{\frac{r}{m}-1} (1-t)^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} = \frac{(\frac{r}{m}+N-1)_N \cdot (\frac{s}{m}+N-1)_N}{(N-1)!(N-1)!} \int_0^1 dx x^{\frac{s}{m}-1} (1-x)^{N-1} \int_0^x dt t^{\frac{r}{m}-1} (1-t)^{N-1}. \quad (16)$$

Remark. By (16), one can easily show that when $r < s$, $p_{R_N > S_N, I_N}(n) > p_{R_N < S_N, I_N}(n)$ for n large enough.

This integral is actually a generalization of Euler integral. Let $B(p, q)$ denote the Beta function and $\Gamma(x)$ denote the Gamma function. When $s = m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \frac{1}{(N-1)!(N-1)!} \int_0^1 dx (1-x)^{N-1} \int_0^x dt t^{\frac{r}{m}-1} (1-t)^{N-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(N-1)!(N-1)!} \int_0^1 dt t^{\frac{r}{m}-1} (1-t)^{N-1} \int_t^1 dx (1-x)^{N-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{N!(N-1)!} \int_0^1 dt t^{\frac{r}{m}-1} (1-t)^{2N-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{N!(N-1)!} B\left(\frac{r}{m}, 2N\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $a \geq N-1$,

$$(a)_N = \frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{\Gamma(a-N+1)}.$$

Then we could obtain the asymptotic value of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ when $s = m$:

Lemma 3. *Let $s = m$ and $C_{n,N}$ be defined above. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{r}{m}}}.$$

Proof. Recall the relation between Beta function and Gamma function

$$B(p, q) = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}$$

and the Stirling's approximation

$$\Gamma(x+1) \sim \sqrt{2\pi x} \left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^x.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{m} + N) \Gamma(\frac{s}{m} + N) B(\frac{r}{m}, 2N)}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{m}) \Gamma(\frac{s}{m}) \Gamma(N+1) \Gamma(N)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{m} + N) \Gamma(2N)}{\Gamma(N) \Gamma(\frac{r}{m} + 2N)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{m} + N + 1) \Gamma(2N + 1)}{\Gamma(N + 1) \Gamma(\frac{r}{m} + 2N + 1)} \cdot \frac{N(2N + \frac{r}{m})}{2N(N + \frac{r}{m})} \\
&\sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi(N + \frac{r}{m})} (\frac{N + \frac{r}{m}}{e})^{N + \frac{r}{m}} \sqrt{4\pi N} (\frac{2N}{e})^{2N}}{\sqrt{2\pi N} (\frac{N}{e})^N \sqrt{2\pi(2N + \frac{r}{m})} (\frac{2N + \frac{r}{m}}{e})^{2N + \frac{r}{m}}} \\
&\sim \frac{(N + \frac{r}{m})^{N + \frac{r}{m}} (2N)^{2N}}{N^N (2N + \frac{r}{m})^{2N + \frac{r}{m}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for fixed a , $(x+a)^x \sim e^a x^x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(N + \frac{r}{m})^{N + \frac{r}{m}}}{N^N} \cdot \frac{(2N)^{2N}}{(2N + \frac{r}{m})^{2N + \frac{r}{m}}} &\sim \frac{e^{\frac{r}{m}} (N + \frac{r}{m})^{\frac{r}{m}}}{e^{\frac{r}{m}} (2N + \frac{r}{m})^{\frac{r}{m}}} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2^{\frac{r}{m}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of lemma 3. $\square$

Now let's look into another double limit of $C_{n,N}$. Let $R = \{r, r+m, \dots, r+im, \dots\}$, $S = \{s, s+m, \dots, s+im, \dots\}$, $I = \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus (R \cup S)$. Note that for a fixed n , $C_{n,N} = \frac{p_{R>S,I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)}$ when N is large enough. Therefore, by (1), for $(r, s, m) = (1, m, m)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} &= \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{m}}} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N}. \end{aligned}$$

This fact indicates that the two double limits of $C_{n,N}$ are equal when n, N tend to infinity for $(r, s, m) = (1, m, m)$. Although we couldn't provide a direct proof of this commutativity, we conjecture that it holds in more general condition:

Conjecture 1. *Let r, s, m and other notation be defined above. Let $R = \{r, r+m, \dots, r+im, \dots\}$, $S = \{s, s+m, \dots, s+im, \dots\}$, $I = \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus (R \cup S)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{R>S,I}(n)}{p_{RSI}(n)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M \cdot (\frac{r}{m} + N - 1)_N \cdot (\frac{s}{m} + N - 1)_N}{(N-1)!(N-1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = \int_0^1 dx x^{\frac{s}{m}-1} (1-x)^{N-1} \int_0^x dt t^{\frac{r}{m}-1} (1-t)^{N-1}.$$

Epecially, for $s = m$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,N} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{r}{m}}}.$$

This conjecture actually describes the commutativity of the following two operations in the computation of the double limits: let $N \rightarrow \infty$ to use finite sets R_N, S_N, I_N to approximate infinite sets R, S, I ; let $n \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain the asymptotic ratio between two partition numbers.

Here we provide some possible viewpoints that may help to prove this conjecture:

1. Try to make use of the special case of $(r, s, m) = (1, m, m)$ in which the conjecture holds to study the convergence of $C_{n,N}$;

2. Try to apply the discrete versions of some convergence theorems, for example, Fatou lemma. The fact that R, S are interleaved sequence may help to this method;
3. Try to show that $C_{n,M}$ uniformly converges for n or N .

4 Concluding remarks

We finish this paper with some remarks:

1. The necessary condition of Theorem 1 is that R, S, I are finite. Otherwise we couldn't establish the equality between a partition number and the number of integer points inside a certain finite dimensional polytope. Here we conjecture that Theorem 1 holds for infinite I . That is, the formula (2) also applies to the cases of unrestricted partitions.
2. As mentioned, the formula in Conjecture 1 is a generalization of Euler integral. The study on the asymptotic of (16) as $N \rightarrow \infty$ when $r, s \neq m$ may be useful to some extent.
3. It may be interesting to discover some certain cases that the RHS of (2) is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$, which may help to the study of the biases in partitions. For instance, it is natural to conjecture that the RHS of (2) is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ when $l = m$, $r_i < s_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$.

References

- [1] Cristina Ballantine and Mircea Merca. 6-regular partitions: new combinatorial properties, congruences, and linear inequalities. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM*, 117(4):Paper No. 159, 23, 2023.
- [2] K. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharjee, and et al. Dastidar, M. G. Parity biases in partitions and restricted partitions. *European Journal of Combinatoric*, 103, 2022.
- [3] M. Beck and S. Robins. *Computing the Continuous Discretely*. Springer New York, New York, 2015.
- [4] Damanvir Singh Binner. On conjectures of chern concerning parity bias in partitions. *ArXiv.org*, 2022.
- [5] K. Bringmann, H. M. Siu, L. Rolén, and M. Storzer. Asymptotics of parity biases for partitions into distinct parts via nahm sums. *Arxiv.org*, 2023.
- [6] S. Chern. Further results on biases in integer partitions. *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 59, 2022.
- [7] Giacomo Cherubini and Pietro Mercuri. Parity of the 8-regular partition function. *Ramanujan J.*, 63(3):715–722, 2024.
- [8] Brian Dandurand and David Penniston. l -divisibility of l -regular partition functions. *Ramanujan J.*, 19(1):63–70, 2009.

- [9] L. Euler. *Introductio in analysin infinitorum*. Marcum-Michaelem Bousquet, Lausannae, 1748.
- [10] K. O. Geddes, S. R. Czapor, and G. Labahn. *Algorithms for Computer Algebra*. Kluwer, Boston, 1992.
- [11] J. W. L. Glaisher. A theorem in partitions. *The Messenger of Mathematics*, 12, 1882.
- [12] G. H. Hardy and S. R. Ramanujan. Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 17(1), 1918.
- [13] B. Kim and E. Kim. Biases in integer partitions. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 1-10, 2021.
- [14] B. Kim and E. Kim. Refined parity biases in integer partitions. *Discrete Mathematics*, 346.4, 2023.
- [15] B. Kim, E. Kim, and J. Lovejoy. Parity bias in partitions. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 89(103159), 2020.
- [16] Byungchan Kim and Eunmi Kim. Parity bias for the partitions with bounded number of appearances of the part of size 1. *Ramanujan J.*, 63(3):657–671, 2024.
- [17] P. J. Mahanta, Manjil P. S., and Abhishek S. Biases in non-unitary partitions. *Arxiv.org*.



