# TWISTED CONJUGACY IN DIHEDRAL ARTIN GROUPS II: BAUMSLAG SOLITAR GROUPS $\operatorname{BS}(n, n)$ 

Gemma Crowe


#### Abstract

In this second paper we solve the twisted conjugacy problem for even dihedral Artin groups, that is, groups with presentation $G(m)=\left\langle a,\left.b\right|_{m}(a, b)={ }_{m}(b, a)\right\rangle$, where $m \geq 2$ is even, and ${ }_{m}(a, b)$ is the word $a b a b \ldots$ of length $m$. Similar to odd dihedral Artin groups, we prove orbit decidability for all subgroups $A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(G(m))$, which then implies that the conjugacy problem is solvable in extensions of even dihedral Artin groups.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

In this second paper, we find a complete solution to the following decision problem.
Theorem 3.27. The twisted conjugacy problem is decidable for dihedral Artin groups.
For a finitely generated group $G$ with generating set $X$, we say two elements $u, v \in G$ are twisted conjugate by some automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ if there exists an element $w \in G$ such that $v=\phi^{-1}(w) u w$. The twisted conjugacy problem (TCP) asks whether there exists an algorithm to determine if two elements, given as words over $X$, are twisted conjugate by some automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. In [5], we found a linear time solution for the twisted conjugacy problem for odd dihedral Artin groups. It remains therefore to solve the twisted conjugacy problem for even dihedral Artin groups, that is, groups with presentation $G(m)=$ $\left\langle a,\left.b\right|_{m}(a, b)={ }_{m}(b, a)\right\rangle$, where $m \geq 2$ is even, and ${ }_{m}(a, b)$ is the word $a b a b \ldots$ of length $m$. This is the goal of the present paper.

We take a similar approach as odd dihedral Artin groups, by considering alternative group presentations for even dihedral Artin groups. First, we observe that any even dihedral Artin group $G(m)$ is isomorphic to a Baumslag Solitar group of the form $\operatorname{BS}(n, n)$. With this presentation, [7] describes all outer automorphisms of this group which, unlike for odd dihedral Artin groups, include outer automorphisms which are non-length preserving. Our algorithm

[^0]to solve the twisted conjugacy problem works for all outer automorphisms, and so we extend Juhász's result [9], where only length preserving automorphisms were considered. Secondly, we use the fact that $\mathrm{BS}(n, n)$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. This semidirect form will allow us to construct an algorithm to solve the twisted conjugacy problem for all even dihedral Artin groups. We believe this is the first example of a Baumslag Solitar group with solvable twisted conjugacy problem.

As well as establishing Theorem 3.27, we are able to determine the complexity of our algorithm for even dihedral Artin groups. We found the complexity of the twisted conjugacy problem for odd dihedral Artin groups to be linear in [5].

Theorem 3.33. Let $G(m)=\langle X\rangle$ be a dihedral Artin group. Based on the length of input words $u, v \in X^{*}$, the twisted conjugacy problem for $G(m)$ has:
(i) linear complexity when $m$ is odd, and
(ii) quadratic complexity when $m$ is even.

Similar to our first paper [5], we extend Theorem 3.27 and consider the conjugacy problem in extensions of even dihedral Artin groups. The criteria from [3, Theorem 3.1] allows us to determine the conjugacy problem in group extensions, based on a property known as orbit decidability. For a group $G$ and subgroup $A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, the orbit decidability problem asks whether we can determine if for two elements $u, v \in G$, there exists an automorphism $\phi \in A$ such that $v$ is conjugate to $\phi(u)$. To apply Theorem 3.27, we require what is known as the 'action subgroup' of dihedral Artin groups to be orbit decidable. For odd dihedral Artin groups, we proved a stronger statement, by showing that all subgroups of the automorphism group of odd dihedral Artin groups are orbit decidable (see [5]). We can also prove the same result for even dihedral Artin groups, and so we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Every finitely generated subgroup $A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(G(m))$, is orbit decidable.
Combined with Theorem 3.27 and [5], we find new examples of groups with solvable conjugacy problem.

Theorem 4.4. Let $G=G(m) \rtimes H$ be an extension of a dihedral Artin group by a finitely generated group $H$ which satisfies conditions (ii) and (iii) from [3, Theorem 3.1] (e.g. let $H$ be torsion-free hyperbolic). Then $G$ has decidable conjugacy problem.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide details on group presentations and the outer automorphism group for even dihedral Artin groups. With the semidirect presentation $F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, we use the group relations to determine when two words represent the same group element. We then use these algebraic properties in Section 3 to construct an algorithm to solve the twisted conjugacy problem in even dihedral Artin groups. The main idea behind our algorithm is that any two twisted conjugate elements, which are minimal length within their twisted conjugacy class, must be related by a sequence of 'shifts'. Finally in Section 4, we show orbit decidability for all subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(G(m))$, and apply our results to solve the conjugacy problem in extensions of dihedral Artin groups.

## 2 PRELIMINARIES

All relevant background knowledge on decision problems and dihedral Artin groups can be found in [5]. We recall necessary notation and definitions here.

Let $X$ be a finite set, and let $X^{*}$ be the set of all finite words over $X$. For a group $G$ generated by $X$, we use $u=v$ to denote equality of words in $X^{*}$, and $u={ }_{G} v$ to denote equality of the group elements represented by $u$ and $v$. We let $l(w)$ denote the word length of $w$ over $X$. For a group element $g \in G$, we define the length of $g$, denoted $|g|_{X}$, to be the length of a shortest representative word for the element $g$ over $X$. A word $w \in X^{*}$ is geodesic if $l(w)=|\pi(w)|_{X}$, where $\pi: X^{*} \rightarrow G$ is the natural projection. If there exists a unique word $w$ of minimal length representing $g$, then we say $w$ is a unique geodesic. Otherwise, $w$ is a non-unique geodesic. We write $u \sim v$ when $u, v \in X^{*}$ represent conjugate elements in $G$.

Definition 2.1. Let $G=\langle X\rangle$, let $u, v \in X^{*}$, and let $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ be an automorphism of $G$.

1. We say $u$ and $v$ are $\phi$-twisted conjugate, denoted $u \sim_{\phi} v$, if there exists an element $w \in G$ such that $v={ }_{G} \phi(w)^{-1} u w$.
2. The $\phi$-twisted conjugacy problem for $G$, denoted $\mathrm{TCP}_{\phi}(G)$, takes as input two words $u, v \in X^{*}$, and decides whether they represent groups elements which are $\phi$-twisted conjugate to each other in $G$.
3. The (uniform) twisted conjugacy problem for $G$, denoted $\operatorname{TCP}(G)$, takes as input two words $u, v \in X^{*}$, and decides whether $u$ and $v$ represent groups elements which are $\phi$-twisted conjugate in $G$, for some $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. A dihedral Artin group is the group defined by the following presentation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(m)=\left\langle x,\left.y\right|_{m}(x, y)={ }_{m}(y, x)\right\rangle, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{m}(x, y)$ is the word $x y x y \ldots$ of length $m$. When $m$ is even, this group is isomorphic to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(m)=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{-1} b^{n} a=b^{n}\right\rangle, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=\frac{m}{2} \geq 2$, by setting $a=x, b=x y$. Here $G(m)$ is isomorphic to the Baumslag-Solitar group $\mathrm{BS}(n, n)$.

### 2.1 Outer automorphisms

Similar to odd dihedral Artin groups, we establish the behaviour of the outer automorphism group of $G(m)$. These were classified in [7].

Theorem 2.3. [7, Theorem $D]$ Let $G(m)$ be a group with presentation given by Eq. (21). Then

$$
\operatorname{Out}(G(m)) \cong D_{\infty} \times C_{2} .
$$

We define the following three automorphisms of $G(m)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\beta_{1}(a)=a, & \beta_{1}(b)=b^{-1}, \\
\beta_{2}(a)=a^{-1}, & \beta_{2}(b)=b, \\
\beta_{3}(a)=a b, & \beta_{3}(b)=b .
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 2.4. The images of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$ generate $\operatorname{Out}(G(m))=D_{\infty} \times C_{2}$.

Proof. We will show that $\beta_{1}$ generates $C_{2}$, and that $\left\{\beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right\}$ generates $D_{\infty}$. We check the following relations in $\operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ :

$$
\beta_{1}^{2}=\beta_{2}^{2}=\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{3}\right)^{2}=\left(\beta_{2} \beta_{3}\right)^{2}=1
$$

It is clear that $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ have order two in both $\operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(G(m))$. Consider the following compositions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\beta_{1} \beta_{2}: a \mapsto a^{-1}, & b \mapsto b^{-1}, \\
\beta_{1} \beta_{3}: a \mapsto a b^{-1}, & b \mapsto b^{-1}, \\
\beta_{2} \beta_{3}: a \mapsto a^{-1} b, & b \mapsto b .
\end{array}
$$

Then $\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right)^{2}$ and $\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{3}\right)^{2}$ have order two in both $\operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(G(m))$. Also consider

$$
\left(\beta_{2} \beta_{3}\right)^{2}: a \mapsto b^{-1} a b, b \mapsto b,
$$

and so $\left(\beta_{2} \beta_{3}\right)^{2}$ has order 2 in $\operatorname{Out}(G(m))$. With these relations, we obtain the presentation required:

$$
\operatorname{Out}(G(m)) \cong D_{\infty} \times C_{2}=\left\langle\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3} \mid \beta_{1}^{2}=\beta_{2}^{2}=\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{3}\right)^{2}=\left(\beta_{2} \beta_{3}\right)^{2}=1\right\rangle
$$

Corollary 2.5. Any element $g \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ can be written in the form $g=\beta_{1}^{q} \beta_{2}^{r} \beta_{3}^{s}$, where $q, r \in\{-1,0,1\}, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, any $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ is of the form

$$
\phi: a \mapsto a^{\varepsilon_{a}} b^{d}, b \mapsto b^{\varepsilon_{b}},
$$

where $\varepsilon_{a}, \varepsilon_{b} \in\{ \pm 1\}$, and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

### 2.2 Semidirect product presentation

To solve the $\operatorname{TCP}(G(m))$, we use an alternative presentation for $G(m)$.
Proposition 2.6. [6, Prop. 5.1] The group $G(m)$ is isomorphic to the following semidirect product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(m) \cong F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}=\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y \mid y^{-1} x_{i} y=x_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq n-2), y^{-1} x_{n-1} y=x_{0}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=\frac{m}{2} \geq 2$. The isomorphism is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: G(m) & \rightarrow F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\
a & \mapsto x_{0}, \\
b & \mapsto y .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that if $u, v \in F_{n}$ are twisted conjugate by an element $w \in F_{n}$, then we can solve the twisted conjugacy problem with respect to $u$ and $v$, since the twisted conjugacy problem is solvable in free groups [2, Theorem 1.5].

For notation, we let $X_{n}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right\}$, and $X=X_{n} \cup\{y\}$. Proposition 2.6 implies that any geodesic $g \in X^{*}$ can be written uniquely in the form $g={ }_{G} g_{1} g_{2}$, where $g_{1} \in F_{n}$ and $g_{2}=y^{t}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call this our geodesic normal form. We will refer to $g_{1} \in F_{n}$ as the free component of $g$, and the set $X_{n}$ as the free generators.

Remark 2.7. Unless otherwise stated, any (geodesic) elements $u \in F_{n}$ will be written in the form $u=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}$, where $p_{j} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and $i_{j} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}(1 \leq j \leq q)$.

Let $[\cdot]: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ denote the modular function, which maps any integer $z$ to $z(\bmod n)$. Using the relations from Proposition 2.6, we can show the following.

Lemma 2.8. Let $G(m)$ be defined by the presentation given in Proposition 2.6. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}, \varepsilon= \pm 1$, we have

$$
y^{s} x_{i}^{\varepsilon}={ }_{G} x_{[i-s]}^{\varepsilon} y^{s} .
$$

Proof. We prove by induction on $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and the proof is symmetric for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$. The base case is immediate from the relations in $G(m)$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$
y^{s+1} x_{i}^{\varepsilon}={ }_{G} y x_{[i-s]}^{\varepsilon} y^{s}={ }_{G} x_{[i-(s+1)]}^{\varepsilon} y^{s+1},
$$

as required.

This result illustrates that where we move $y$ powers to the right hand side of a word over $X$, then we only need to change the index of the free generators. We now define a function which captures this change of index of free generators.

Definition 2.9. For all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{s}: F_{n} & \rightarrow F_{n} \\
x_{i}^{\varepsilon} & \mapsto x_{[i-s]}^{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}, i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. This function is a bijection and preserves word length. Since $\Phi_{s} \circ \Phi_{t}\left(x_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right)=\Phi_{s+t}\left(x_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$, it is an automorphism of $F_{n}$. In particular, $\Phi_{s}^{-1}=\Phi_{-s}$.

Finally, we rewrite our outer automorphisms with respect to our new presentation as in Eq. (3). By Corollary 2.5 and the isomorphism defined in Proposition 2.6, any outer automorphism of $G(m)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi: x_{0} \mapsto x_{0}^{\varepsilon_{x}} y^{d}, y \mapsto y^{\varepsilon_{y}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y} \in\{ \pm 1\}$, and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note $\varepsilon_{x}^{2}=\varepsilon_{y}^{2}=1$. For the remainder of this paper, $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ will be of the form as in Eq. (4).

### 2.3 Algebraic results

Our first goal is to understand the image of geodesics by $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$, as in Eq. (4). The following result can be shown using the relations from the presentation as in Eq. (3), and Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 2.10. Let $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and let $r_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. For all free generators $x_{i} \in X_{n}$, we have

$$
\phi\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)={ }_{G} \begin{cases}x_{\left[x_{y}\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i}} y^{r_{i} d}, & r_{i}=1, \\ x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} r_{i}+d\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i}} y^{r_{i} d}, & r_{i}=-1 .\end{cases}
$$

For notation, we define the following function which describes the free component of the image of a free generator $x_{i} \in X_{n}$ by $\phi$.

Definition 2.11. Let $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and let $r_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. For all free generators $x_{i} \in X_{n}$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{F}: F_{n} & \rightarrow F_{n} \\
x_{i}^{r_{i}} \mapsto & \mapsto \begin{cases}x_{\left.\left[\varepsilon_{y}\right]\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x}}, & r_{i}=1, \\
x_{\left[x_{y} i+d\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{y}}, & r_{i}=-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $\phi\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) y^{r_{i} d}$.
Note $\phi_{F}$ is not a homomorphism, for example $\phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)^{-1} \neq \phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{-r_{i}}\right)$. We now define equivalent functions for the inverse map of $\phi$.

Lemma 2.12. Let $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and let $r_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. For all free generators $x_{i} \in X_{n}$, we have

$$
\phi^{-1}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)={ }_{G} \begin{cases}x_{\varepsilon_{x}}^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i}} y^{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} r_{i} d}, & \varepsilon_{x} r_{i}=1, \\ x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} r_{i}(i-d)\right]}^{\varepsilon_{i}} y^{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} r_{i} d}, & \varepsilon_{x} r_{i}=-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, we define a function which describes the free component of the image of a free generator $x_{i} \in X_{n}$ by $\phi^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{F}^{-1}: F_{n} & \rightarrow F_{n} \\
x_{i}^{r_{i}} & \mapsto \begin{cases}x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y i}\right]}, & \varepsilon_{x} r_{i}=1, \\
x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y}(i-d)\right]}^{-1}, & \varepsilon_{x} r_{i}=-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $\varepsilon_{x} r_{i}=1$, then $\phi\left(x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i}} y^{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} r_{i} d}\right)={ }_{G} x_{i}^{r_{i}} y^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i} d} y^{-\varepsilon_{x} r_{i} d}={ }_{G} x_{i}^{r_{i}}$. A similar proof holds when $\varepsilon_{x} r_{i}=-1$.

Example 2.13. Let $n=3$, i.e. $F_{n}=\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$. Let $\phi: x_{0} \mapsto x_{0} y^{4}, y \mapsto y$, i.e. $\varepsilon_{x}=\varepsilon_{y}=1$ and $d=4$. Consider the geodesic $u=x_{0} x_{2} y^{2} \in F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. We can compute $\phi(u)$ as follows:

$$
\phi(u)={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{0}\right) y^{4} \phi_{F}\left(x_{2}\right) y^{4} y^{2}=x_{0} y^{4} x_{2} y^{6}={ }_{G} x_{0} \Phi_{4}\left(x_{2}\right) y^{10}=x_{0} x_{1} y^{10} .
$$

We now prove some algebraic results related to these functions, which will be used later.
Proposition 2.14. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $r_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. For all free generators $x_{i} \in X_{n}$,
(i) $\Phi_{s}\left(\phi_{F}^{ \pm 1}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)\right)=\phi_{F}^{ \pm 1}\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} s}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)\right)$.
(ii) $\phi^{-1}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)\right)={ }_{G} x_{i}^{r_{i}} y^{-\varepsilon_{y} r_{i} d}$.
(iii) $\phi\left(\phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)\right)={ }_{G} x_{i}^{r_{i}} y^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i} d}$.

Proof. We provide details for $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$, and the relations for $\phi^{-1}$ follows similarly by Lemma 2.12. By Definition 2.11, if $r_{i}=1$, then $(i)$ follows from the following relations (recall $\left.\varepsilon_{y}^{2}=1\right)$ :

$$
\Phi_{s}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)=\Phi_{s}\left(x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x}}\right)=x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i-s\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x}}, \quad \phi_{F}\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} s}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)=\phi_{F}\left(x_{\left[i-\varepsilon_{y} s\right]}\right)=x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i-s\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x}} .
$$

Similarly if $r_{i}=-1$, then we have:

$$
\Phi_{s}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{-1}\right)\right)=\Phi_{s}\left(x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i+d\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x}}\right)=x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i-s+d\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x}}, \quad \phi_{F}\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} s}\left(x_{i}^{-1}\right)\right)=\phi_{F}\left(x_{\left[i-\varepsilon_{y} s\right]}^{-1}\right)=x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i-s+d\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x}} .
$$

For (ii) and (iii), we note that

$$
\phi\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}} y^{-\varepsilon_{y} r_{i} d}\right)={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) y^{r_{i} d} y^{-r_{i} d}={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right),
$$

and so (ii) follows by taking $\phi^{-1}$ of both sides. Similarly for (iii) we have

$$
\phi^{-1}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}} y^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i} d}\right)={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) y^{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} r_{i} d} y^{\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} r_{i} d}={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) .
$$

Definition 2.15. For any $v \in F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ in geodesic normal form, let $[v]_{F}$ denote the free component of $v$, that is, $[v]_{F} \in F_{n}$.

Proposition 2.16. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, w=x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}} \in F_{n}$, and $\sigma=\sum_{i=1}^{z} t_{i}$. Then
(i) $[\phi(w)]_{F}={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{3}}^{t_{3}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)$.
(ii) $\left[\phi^{-1}(w)\right]_{F}={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} d\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)$.
(iii) $\phi\left(\left[\phi^{-1}(w)\right]_{F}\right)={ }_{G} w \cdot y^{\varepsilon_{x} \sigma d}$, and $\phi^{-1}\left([\phi(w)]_{F}\right)={ }_{G} w \cdot y^{-\varepsilon_{y} \sigma d}$.
(iv) $\Phi_{s}\left(\left[\phi^{ \pm 1}(w)\right]_{F}\right)==_{G}\left[\phi^{ \pm 1}\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} s}(w)\right)\right]_{F}$.
(v) $\left([\phi(w)]_{F}\right)^{-1}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma d}\left(\left[\phi(w)^{-1}\right]_{F}\right)$.
(vi) The exponent sum of $[\phi(w)]_{F}$ is equal to $\varepsilon_{x} \sigma$.
(vii) The exponent sum of $\left[\phi(w)^{-1}\right]_{F}$ is equal to $-\varepsilon_{x} \sigma$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.10 and Definition 2.11 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w) & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) y^{t_{1} d} \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right) y^{t_{2} d} \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{3}}^{t_{3}}\right) \ldots \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right) y^{t_{z} d} \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{3}}^{t_{3}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right) y^{\sigma d},
\end{aligned}
$$

after moving $y$ terms to the right, using Definition 2.9. Similarly by Lemma 2.12 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{-1}(w) & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) y^{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} t_{1} d} \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right) y^{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} t_{2} d} \ldots \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right) y^{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} t_{z} d} \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} d\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right) y^{-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \sigma d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These relations prove $(i)$ and $(i i)$. For ( $(i i i)$, recall that $\varepsilon_{y}^{2}=1$, and so we can use $(i)$ from Proposition 2.14 to rewrite (ii) as

$$
\left[\phi^{-1}(w)\right]_{F}={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{x} t_{1} d}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \phi_{F}^{-1}\left(\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{x} d\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right)}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right) .
$$

By (iii) of Proposition [2.14, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\left[\phi^{-1}(w)\right]_{F}\right) & ={ }_{G} x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} y^{\varepsilon_{x} t_{1} d} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{x} t_{1} d}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right) y^{\varepsilon_{x} t_{2} d} \ldots \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{x} d\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right)}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right) y^{\varepsilon_{x} t_{z} d} \\
& ={ }_{G} x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}} y^{\varepsilon_{x} \sigma d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar method proves the second relation for (iii). For (iv), we provide details for the $\operatorname{map} \phi$, and the equivalent relation for $\phi^{-1}$ follows a similar proof. For the left hand side, we have

$$
\Phi_{s}\left([\phi(w)]_{F}\right)={ }_{G} \Phi_{s}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)\right) .
$$

For the right hand side, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} s}(w)\right)\right]_{F} } & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} s}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)\right) \Phi_{t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} s}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} s}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi_{s}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)\right) \Phi_{s+t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{s+\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi_{s}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{s}\left([\phi(w)]_{F}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

using $(i)$ of Proposition [2.14, To prove $(v)$, we consider each side of the equation in turn. The left hand side is equal to

$$
\left([\phi(w)]_{F}\right)^{-1}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+t_{2}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1} .
$$

For the right hand side, we first consider $\phi(w)^{-1}$, which gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w)^{-1} & ={ }_{G} y^{-\sigma d} \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-t_{z} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{-\sigma d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right) y^{-\sigma d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\phi(w)^{-1}\right]_{F} } & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-t_{z} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{-\sigma d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& =\Phi_{-\sigma d}\left(\Phi_{\left(t_{1}+t_{2}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\sigma d}\left(\left([\phi(w)]_{F}\right)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as required. Finally we note that each free generator $x_{k_{i}}^{t_{i}} \in w$ will contribute $\varepsilon_{x} t_{i}$ to the exponent sum of $[\phi(w)]_{F}$. This is then inverted for $\left[\phi(w)^{-1}\right]_{F}$, which proves (vi) and (vii).
Corollary 2.17. Let $w=w_{1} w_{2} \in F_{n}$ be geodesic, where $w_{1}=x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z_{1}}}^{t_{z_{1}}}$, and $w_{2}=$ $x_{k_{z_{1}+1}}^{t_{z_{1}+1}} \ldots x_{k_{z_{2}}}^{t_{z_{2}}}$, for some $1 \leq z_{1} \leq z_{2}$. Let $\sigma_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{z_{1}} t_{i}$. Then

$$
[\phi(w)]_{F}={ }_{G}\left[\phi\left(w_{1}\right)\right]_{F} \cdot \Phi_{\sigma_{1} d}\left(\left[\phi\left(w_{2}\right)\right]_{F}\right)
$$

Proof. The result follows by $(i)$ of Proposition 2.16.
Lemma 2.18. Let $w, v \in F_{n}$. Then $[\phi(w)]_{F}={ }_{G} v$ if and only if $w={ }_{G}\left[\phi^{-1}(v)\right]_{F}$.

Proof. For the forward direction, we can assume that $\phi(w)={ }_{G} v y^{\sigma d}$, where $\sigma$ equals the exponent sum of $w$. Then $w={ }_{G} \phi^{-1}\left(v y^{\sigma d}\right)$, and so $\left[\phi^{-1}\left(v y^{\sigma d}\right)\right]_{F}=\left[\phi^{-1}(v)\right]_{F}={ }_{G} w$. The reverse direction follows a symmetric argument.

## 3 ALGORITHM FOR THE TWISTED CONJUGACY PROBLEM

Our main goal of this section is to show that for any two elements $u, v \in G(m)$ which are twisted conjugate, there exists minimal length representatives $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$, which are twisted conjugate to $u$ and $v$ respectively, such that there exists a finite sequence of 'shifts' between $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$. These shifts will act in a similar way as $\phi$-cyclic permutations defined in [5].

This will allow us to construct a finite set of minimal length representatives for each twisted conjugacy class, which will be the key step for our algorithm to solve the twisted conjugacy problem in even dihedral Artin groups. We finish this section with an analysis of the complexity of our algorithm, which runs in quadratic time.

### 3.1 Shifts

Our first step is to introduce a new normal form, which will be easier to work with when considering shifts. Recall our geodesic normal form, that is, any geodesic $g \in X^{*}$ can be written uniquely in the form $g={ }_{G} g_{1} g_{2}$, where $g_{1} \in F_{n}$ and $g_{2}=y^{t}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can write $t=k n+c$, where $0 \leq c \leq n-1$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $y^{k n}$ is central in $G(m)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can rewrite any geodesic such that all powers of $y^{n}$ are moved to the right hand side of the word.

Definition 3.1. Any geodesic $g \in X^{*}$ can be written uniquely in the form $g={ }_{G}\left(h y^{c}, y^{k n}\right)$, where $h y^{c}$ is a geodesic representing an element of $F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. We say ( $h y^{c}, y^{k n}$ ) is the modular normal form for $g$. Note $w=h y^{c} y^{k n} \in X^{*}$ may not necessarily be geodesic in $G(m)$.

For the remainder of this section we will use our modular normal form when representing group elements. We denote $G_{\text {mod }}$ to be the set of all words in modular normal form representing group elements from $G(m) \cong\left(F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}$. For any word $\left(h y^{c}, y^{k n}\right) \in G_{\bmod }$ which represents an element $w \in G(m)$, we say $h$ is the free component of $w$ (i.e. $h \in F_{n}$ ), $h y^{c}$ is the quotient element of $w$, and $y^{k n}$ is the Garside power of $w$.

We now consider what happens when we twisted conjugate a word $u \in G_{\text {mod }}$ by an element $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be a modular normal form, where $\alpha=$ $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
u=u_{1} y^{\alpha} \sim_{\phi} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{1}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Let $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} \in F_{n}$, and let $w=y^{\lambda}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w)^{-1} u w & =y^{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda} \cdot x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} \cdot y^{\alpha+\lambda} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& =\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{1}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that since $\Phi$ is an automorphism and $u_{1}$ is geodesic, then $\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{1}\right)$ is geodesic. In particular, when we twisted conjugate by an element $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, the length of the free component does not change. This allows us to define our first type of shift.

Definition 3.3. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right), v=\left(v_{1} y^{\beta_{1}}, y^{\beta_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, where $\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ and $\beta=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$. We say $u$ and $v$ are related by a $y$-shift if $v_{1}=\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $\beta=\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote a $y$-shift by $u \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} v$, and note that if such a $y$-shift exists between $u$ and $v$, then $u \sim_{\phi} v$ by Proposition 3.2.

One immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2 is the following result, due to the fact that any powers of $y^{n}$ are central in $G(m)$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\bmod }$, where $\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $u=u_{1} y^{\alpha} \sim_{\phi} u_{1} y^{\alpha+\lambda n\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)}$.

We now consider twisted conjugacy by an arbitrary element $w \in G_{\text {mod }}$. Let $u=\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be a modular normal form, and suppose we twisted conjugate $u$ by an element $w=\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}} y^{\lambda_{1}}, y^{\lambda_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, where $z \geq 1$. Let $\sigma=\sum_{i=1}^{z} t_{i}$. We first compute $\phi(w)$, which gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w) & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) y^{t_{1} d} \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right) y^{t_{2} d} \ldots \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right) y^{t_{z} d} y^{\varepsilon_{y}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{3}}^{t_{3}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right) \cdot y^{\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w)^{-1} & ={ }_{G} y^{-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\right)}\left(\Phi_{\left(t_{1}+t_{2}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)\right)^{-1} \ldots\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot y^{-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

noting that $y^{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{2}}$ is central. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w)^{-1} u w & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right) y^{-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\right)} \\
& \cdot x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} \cdot x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}} y^{\lambda_{1}} y^{\lambda_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For notation, let $\gamma=-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $\delta=-\left(\gamma+\alpha_{1}+\lambda_{1}\right)$. We first move all $y$ powers to the right hand side, which gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(w)^{-1} u w & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \cdot \Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right) \Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right) y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

We first consider the $y$ exponent of $\phi(w)^{-1} u w$. Since $-\delta=\alpha_{1}+\lambda_{1}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)-\sigma d$, we can determine the value of $-\delta$ in the subcase where $d=0(\bmod n)$. Indeed if this is the case, we can rewrite $\phi(w)^{-1} u w={ }_{G}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ in our modular normal form, where our $y$ exponent of $v_{1}$ equals $\alpha_{1}+\lambda_{1}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)(\bmod n)$. This allows us to immediately rule out some cases of twisted conjugate elements when $d=0(\bmod n)$.
Corollary 3.5. Let $u=\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right), v=\left(x_{j_{1}}^{r_{1}} \ldots x_{j_{s}}^{r_{s}} y^{\beta_{1}}, y^{\beta_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be modular normal forms. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ be defined as in Eq. (4), where $d=0(\bmod n)$.
(i) Suppose $\varepsilon_{y}=1$. If $\alpha_{1} \neq \beta_{1}$ or $\alpha_{2} \neq \beta_{2}$, then $u \not \chi_{\phi} v$.
(ii) Suppose $\varepsilon_{y}=-1$. If $\beta_{1} \neq \alpha_{1}+2 \lambda(\bmod n)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$, then $u \not \chi_{\phi} v$.

Returning to the more general case, we set up some notation for working with Eq. (5). We let

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=\Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right), \\
& a_{2}=\Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& a_{3}=\Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ are geodesic since $\Phi$ is a homomorphism, however it is not clear whether $a_{1}$ is also geodesic. We prove this is indeed true.

Lemma 3.6. Let $w=x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}} \in X_{n}^{*}$, where $t_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}, k_{i} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}(1 \leq i \leq z)$. Then $w$ is geodesic if and only if the word

$$
w^{\prime}=\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)
$$

is geodesic.

Proof. For the forward direction, suppose there exists $1 \leq i \leq z-1$ such that

$$
\Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{(i-1)}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{i}}^{t_{i}}\right)\right)^{-1}=\Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{i}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{(i+1)}}^{t_{(i+1)}}\right)\right) .
$$

Since $\Phi$ is a bijection, this reduces to $\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{i}}^{t_{i}}\right)^{-1}=\Phi_{t_{i} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{(i+1)}}^{t_{(i+1)}}\right)\right)$. If $t_{i}=1$, then $t_{(i+1)}=-1$, and our relation becomes

$$
x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} k_{i}\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x}}=x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} k_{(i+1)}+d-d\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x}} \Leftrightarrow k_{i}=k_{(i+1)} .
$$

If this holds, then the subword $x_{k_{i}}^{t_{i}} x_{k_{(i+1)}}^{t_{(i+1)}}={ }_{G} 1$, and so $w$ is not geodesic, which gives a contradiction. Similarly suppose $t_{i}=-1$, and $t_{(i+1)}=1$. We have

$$
x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} k_{i}+d\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x}}=x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} k_{(i+1)}+d\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x}} \Leftrightarrow k_{i}=k_{(i+1)},
$$

which again leads to a contradiction. The reverse direction follows a symmetric proof.
Corollary 3.7. Let $a_{1}=\Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right)$, as defined in Eq. (6). Then $a_{1}$ is geodesic.

Proof. Since $a_{1}=\Phi_{\gamma}\left(\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)$ from Lemma 3.6, then $a_{1}$ is geodesic.

We now introduce a notion of twisted cyclic reduction, similar to that of [5]. For an element in modular normal form, we consider when the length of the free component is minimal with respect to its twisted conjugacy class. Recall for any word $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}, u_{1} \in F_{n}$ is the free component of $u$.

Definition 3.8. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be a modular normal form. Suppose $\phi(w)^{-1} u w={ }_{G}\left(v_{1} y^{\beta_{1}}, y^{\beta_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ for some $w \in G_{\text {mod }}$. We say $u$ is $\phi$-cyclically reduced $(\phi-\mathrm{CR})$ if for all $w \in G_{\mathrm{mod}}, l\left(v_{1}\right) \geq l\left(u_{1}\right)$.

Proposition 3.9. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be a modular normal form, where $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} \in F_{n}$. Then $u$ is $\phi-C R$ if and only if $u_{1}$ is not of the form

$$
u_{1}= \begin{cases}x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}} & \varepsilon_{x} p_{1}=1 \\ x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y}\left(i_{1}-d\right)-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{\left.-\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}\right]} & \varepsilon_{x} p_{1}=-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We start with the contrapositive of the reverse direction. Suppose we twisted conjugate by an element $w=\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}} y^{\lambda_{1}}, y^{\lambda_{2}}\right) \in G_{\mathrm{mod}}$. From Eq. (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w)^{-1} u w & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \cdot \Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right) \Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right) y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\phi(w)^{-1} u w={ }_{G}\left(v_{1} y^{\beta_{1}}, y^{\beta_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$. In particular, let $v_{1}={ }_{G} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in X_{n}^{*}$ are defined in Eq. (6). Since $u$ is not $\phi$-CR, then $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ must freely reduce to an element of
shorter length than $u_{1}$. Recall $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ are all geodesic, since $\Phi$ is a homomorphism and by Lemma 3.6. Therefore there exists both of the following cancellations:

$$
\Phi_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)\right)=\Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{-p_{q}}\right)=\Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) .
$$

Since $\Phi$ is a homomorphism, we can reduce these two relations to

$$
\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}, \quad \text { and } \quad x_{i_{q}}^{-p_{q}}=\Phi_{\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right) .
$$

When considering powers, we have $p_{1}=\varepsilon_{x} t_{1}=-\varepsilon_{x} p_{q}$, and so $p_{q}=-\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}$. If $t_{1}=1$, then $\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)=x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} k_{1}\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x}}=x_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{x}}$, and so $i_{1}=\varepsilon_{y} k_{1}(\bmod n)$. Also, $i_{q}=k_{1}-\alpha_{1}=\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}-\alpha_{1}(\bmod n)$ (recall $\varepsilon_{y}^{2}=1$ ). This implies that $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{1} p_{1}}$. Otherwise, if $t_{1}=-1$, then $\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)=$ $x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} k_{1}+d\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x}}=x_{i_{1}}^{-\varepsilon_{x}}$, and so $i_{1}=\varepsilon_{y} k_{1}+d(\bmod n)$. Also, $i_{q}=k_{1}-\alpha_{1}=\varepsilon_{y}\left(i_{1}-d\right)-\alpha_{1}(\bmod n)$. This implies that $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y}\left(i_{1}-d\right)-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}}$.

For the forward direction, suppose $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}}$, where $\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}=1$. We can twisted conjugate by $w=x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y_{1}}\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}}$ which gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w)^{-1} u w & =\phi\left(x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}}\right)^{-1} x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}} \\
& ={ }_{G} y^{-d} x_{i_{1}}^{-p_{1}} x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}} x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y} i_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
& ={ }_{G} y^{-d} x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{q-1}}^{p_{q-1}} y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-d}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{q-1}}^{p_{q-1}}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-d},
\end{aligned}
$$

which has a shorter free component as required. A similar proof holds in the case where $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[\varepsilon_{y}\left(i_{1}-d\right)-\alpha_{1}\right]}^{-\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}}$, where $\varepsilon_{x} p_{1}=-1$.

We now define an equivalent notion of Definition 3.3, in terms of moving letters from the free component only. Extra care must be taken to track changes to the $y$ power of an element, to ensure we remain within the same twisted conjugacy class.

Suppose we have a word $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ which is $\phi$-CR. Suppose $u_{1}=u_{11} u_{12} \in F_{n}$, where $u_{11}, u_{12} \in F_{n}$ are (possible empty) subwords of the free component of $u$. First, suppose we shift the subword $u_{12}$ from the back to the front of the free component. To do this, we apply the relation $\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right) \cdot u \cdot \Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}^{-1}\right)$, in order to preserve the twisted conjugacy class. This gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right) \cdot u \cdot \Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}^{-1}\right) & =\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right) u_{11} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} \Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}^{-1}\right) \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right) u_{11} u_{12} u_{12}^{-1} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right) u_{11} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose we shift the subword $u_{11}$ from the front to the back of the free component. Similarly we apply the relation $u_{11}^{-1} \cdot u \cdot \phi^{-1}\left(u_{11}\right)$, in order to preserve the twisted conjugacy class. This leaves us with

$$
u_{11}^{-1} \cdot u \cdot \phi^{-1}\left(u_{11}\right)=u_{11}^{-1} u_{11} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} \phi^{-1}\left(u_{11}\right)={ }_{G} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}} \phi^{-1}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{2}} .
$$

Definition 3.10. Let $u=\left(u_{11} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi$-CR. We say an element $v \in G_{\text {mod }}$ is related to $u$ by an $x$-shift if $v$ is equivalent to either

$$
v={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right) u_{11} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}}, \quad \text { or } \quad v={ }_{G} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}} \phi^{-1}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{2}} .
$$

We denote an $x$-shift by $u \stackrel{x}{\mapsto} v$, and note that if such a $x$-shift exists between $u$ and $v$, then $u \sim_{\phi} v$ by the discussion above.

Remark 3.11. It is worth emphasising that $v$ is equivalent to these elements. In particular, after applying an $x$-shift, the $y$ exponent may have changed after moving $y$ terms from left to right. In general, $x$-shifts cannot be reversed, unlike $y$-shifts, as defined in Definition 3.3, which can be reversed.

We provide an example to demonstrate how $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts work in practice.
Example 3.12. We recall Example 2.13, and let $n=3$, i.e. $F_{n}=\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$. Let $\phi: x_{0} \mapsto$ $x_{0} y^{4}, y \mapsto y$, i.e. $\varepsilon_{x}=\varepsilon_{y}=1$ and $d=4$. We consider the geodesic $u=x_{0} x_{2}^{-1} y^{2}={ }_{G}$ $\left(x_{0} x_{2}^{-1} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$. We note that since $\varepsilon_{y}=1$, no $y$-shift will change the $y$ exponent of $u$. For example, we could apply the following $y$-shift to $u$ :

$$
u={ }_{G}\left(x_{0} x_{2}^{-1} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right) \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow}\left(\Phi_{-1}\left(x_{0} x_{2}^{-1}\right) y^{2}, 1_{G}\right)=\left(x_{1} x_{0}^{-1} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right) .
$$

Alternatively, we could apply an $x$-shift to $u$ as follows, by shifting the subword $x_{2}^{-1}$ from the back to the front of the free component.

$$
\begin{aligned}
u={ }_{G}\left(x_{0} x_{2}^{-1} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right) & \stackrel{x}{\mapsto}\left(\phi\left(\Phi_{-2}\left(x_{2}^{-1}\right)\right) x_{0} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right) \\
& =\left(\phi\left(x_{1}^{-1}\right) x_{0} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right) \\
& ={ }_{G}\left(x_{2}^{-1} y^{-4} x_{0} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right) \\
& ={ }_{G}\left(x_{2}^{-1} y^{-1} x_{0} y^{2}, y^{-3}\right) \\
& ={ }_{G}\left(x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} y, y^{-3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

With these definitions of $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts, we can show that a finite sequence of shifts exists between any two $\phi$-CR elements which are twisted conjugate.

Theorem 3.13. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right), v=\left(v_{1} y^{\beta_{1}}, y^{\beta_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be modular normal forms which are $\phi$-CR. Then $u \sim_{\phi} v$ if and only if $l\left(u_{1}\right)=l\left(v_{1}\right)$, and $u$ and $v$ are related by a finite sequence of $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts.

The proof strategy is similar to that of [4, Theorem 3.13] and [1]. We require one further algebraic result before proving this theorem.

Lemma 3.14. Let $a_{1}, a_{3} \in F_{n}$ be defined from Eq. (6), i.e.

$$
a_{1}=\Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right), \quad a_{3}=\Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right) .
$$

Then $\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{1}^{-1}\right) y^{\sigma d}$, where $\sigma=\sum_{i=1}^{z} t_{i}$. Moreover, $a_{1}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{3}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right)$.

Proof. Recall $\gamma=-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $\delta=-\left(\gamma+\alpha_{1}+\lambda_{1}\right)$, and so $\delta+\gamma+\alpha_{1}=-\lambda_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right) & =\phi\left(\Phi_{-\lambda_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(x_{\left[k_{1}+\lambda_{1}\right]}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{\left[k_{z}+\lambda_{1}\right]}^{t_{z}}\right) \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{\left[k_{1}+\lambda_{1}\right]}^{t_{1}}\right) \Phi_{t_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{\left[k_{2}+\lambda_{1}\right]}^{t_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{z-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{\left[k_{z}+\lambda_{1}\right]}^{t_{z}}\right)\right) y^{\sigma d} \\
& =\Phi_{\sigma d}\left(\Phi_{-\sigma d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{\left[k_{1}+\lambda_{1}\right]}^{t_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{-t_{z} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{\left[k_{z}+\lambda_{1}\right]}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)\right) y^{\sigma d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $(i)$ of Proposition [2.14, we have $\phi_{F}\left(x_{\left[k_{i}+\lambda_{1}\right]}^{t_{i}}\right)=\phi_{F}\left(\Phi_{-\lambda_{1}}\left(x_{k_{i}}^{t_{i}}\right)\right)=\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{i}}^{t_{i}}\right)\right)$, for all $0 \leq i \leq z$. Hence

$$
\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma d}\left(\Phi_{-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)\right)\right) y^{\sigma d}=\Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{1}^{-1}\right) y^{\sigma d} .
$$

This implies that $\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)\right]_{F}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{1}^{-1}\right)$. By (iv) of Proposition 2.16, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{3}\right)\right]_{F}\right) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{1}^{-1}\right) \\
\Rightarrow \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{3}\right)\right]_{F}\right) & ={ }_{G} a_{1}^{-1} \\
\Rightarrow \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{3}\right)\right]_{F}\right)^{-1} & ={ }_{G} a_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{By}(v)$ of Proposition 2.16, we have $a_{1}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma d+\sigma d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]_{F}\right)=\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{3}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right)$, which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.13. The reverse direction is immediate from Definition 3.3 and Definition 3.10, and the requirement for $l\left(u_{1}\right)=l\left(v_{1}\right)$ follows from the $\phi$-CR assumption. For the forward direction, suppose $\phi(w)^{-1} u w={ }_{G}\left(v_{1} y^{\beta_{1}}, y^{\beta_{2}}\right)$, for some element $w=\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}} y^{\lambda_{1}}, y^{\lambda_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$. For notation, let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, where $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} \in F_{n}$. Let $\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ and $\lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}$. From Eq. (5), we can assume that

$$
v_{1}={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right) \Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right) \Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)
$$

where $\gamma=-\left(\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)$. Recall from Eq. (6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\Phi_{-\left(t_{z} d+\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)^{-1}\right) \ldots \Phi_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right), \\
& a_{2}=\Phi_{\gamma}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right) \\
& a_{3}=\Phi_{\gamma+\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots x_{k_{z}}^{t_{z}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $v_{1}={ }_{G} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in F_{n}$ are geodesic. Since $l\left(u_{1}\right)=l\left(v_{1}\right)$, there exists precisely $z$ free cancellations in $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$. Moreover, free cancellation occurs in $a_{1} a_{2}$ or $a_{2} a_{3}$, but not both. We consider whether $a_{2}$ fully cancels in $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$.

Case 1: $a_{2}$ is not fully cancelling.
Suppose there are cancellations in $a_{1} a_{2}$ only. Since $u$ is $\phi$-CR, $a_{1}$ must fully cancel, and so we can write $a_{2}=a_{1}^{-1} a_{22}$. Then $v={ }_{G} a_{22} a_{3} y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)}$, where $\delta=-\left(\gamma+\alpha_{1}+\lambda_{1}\right)$. We consider the element

$$
\bar{v}={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right) \cdot v \cdot \Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)^{-1} .
$$

This is precisely an $x$-shift of $v$, which gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right) \cdot v \cdot \Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)^{-1} & ={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right) \cdot a_{22} a_{3} y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \cdot \Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)^{-1} \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right) \cdot a_{22} a_{3} a_{3}^{-1} y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right) \cdot a_{22} y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so the subword $a_{3}$ has been moved from the back to the front of the free component. Using Lemma 3.14, we now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{v} & ={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{3}\right)\right) \cdot a_{22} y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{1}^{-1}\right) y^{\sigma d} a_{22} y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{1}^{-1} a_{22}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& =\Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{2}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& =\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $a_{2}=\Phi_{\gamma}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $\sigma d+\gamma=-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda_{1}$. Then $\bar{v} \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} u$ (by twisted conjugating by $y^{-\lambda}$ ), and so $v \stackrel{x}{\mapsto} \bar{v} \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} u$ as required. A similar method holds in the case where there are cancellations in $a_{2} a_{3}$ only.

Case 2: $a_{2}$ is fully cancelling.
First suppose $a_{2}$ fully cancels in $a_{3}$. We let $a_{3}=a_{2}^{-1} a_{31}$, and so $\phi\left(a_{31}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(a_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 3.14, we have

$$
v_{1}={ }_{G} a_{1} a_{31}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{3}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) a_{31}=\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{31}^{-1} a_{2}\right)\right]_{F}\right) a_{31} .
$$

Let $\sigma_{31}$ denote the exponent sum of $a_{31}$. By Corollary 2.17, we have $v_{1}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{2}\right)\right]_{F}\right) a_{31}$. We can assume there exists further cancellations with $a_{31}$, otherwise $v$ would not be $\phi$-CR.

First suppose $a_{31}$ fully cancels, and so $\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{2}\right)\right]_{F}\right)=a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}$. This implies that $\left[\phi\left(a_{2}\right)\right]_{F}=\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \delta+\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right)$. By Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 2.18, this implies that

$$
a_{2}=\left[\phi^{-1}\left(\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \delta+\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right)\right]_{F}=\Phi_{-\delta+\varepsilon_{y} \sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi^{-1}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) .
$$

Returning to our original elements $u$ and $v$, we now have

$$
u={ }_{G} u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}}, \quad v={ }_{G} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) a_{4} y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} .
$$

We first apply a $y$-shift to $u$ to get $\bar{u}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{2}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)}$. Next, we apply an $x$-shift to cancel out the $\Phi_{\sigma d}\left(a_{2}\right)$ fully. Consider the element

$$
\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \bar{u} \cdot \Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{2}^{-1}\right)={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{2}\right)\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)}
$$

$\left(\right.$ note $\left.\delta+\alpha_{1}+\lambda_{1}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)=\sigma d(\bmod n)\right)$. Let $\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{4}$ denote the exponent sums of $a_{2}$ and $a_{4}$ respectively. Since $a_{2}=\Phi_{-\delta+\varepsilon_{y} \sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi^{-1}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right)$, then $\sigma_{2}=\varepsilon_{x}\left(\sigma_{4}-\sigma_{31}\right)$ by Proposition 2.16. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{2}\right)\right) & =\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(\Phi_{-\delta+\varepsilon_{y} \sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi^{-1}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi^{-1}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(\left[\phi^{-1}\left(\Phi_{\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right)\right]_{F}\right) \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right) y^{\varepsilon_{x} d\left(\sigma_{4}-\sigma_{31}\right)} \\
& =\Phi_{\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right) y^{\sigma_{2} d}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 2.16. Our new term is now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \bar{u} \cdot \Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{2}^{-1}\right) & ={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{2}\right)\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)+\sigma_{2} d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we apply a further $x$-shift to move the $a_{31}^{-1}$ component, to obtain $v$ as required. We first note that $\sigma=\sigma_{31}-\sigma_{2}$, since $a_{3}=a_{2}^{-1} a_{31}$, and so

$$
d\left(\sigma_{31}-\sigma_{2}\right)-\alpha_{1}-\lambda_{1}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)=\sigma d-\alpha_{1}-\lambda_{1}\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)=\delta
$$

We apply the following $x$-shift, again using Proposition 2.16.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{31}\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot \Phi_{\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4} a_{31}^{-1}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)+\sigma_{2} d} \cdot \Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{31}\right) & ={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{31}\right)\right)^{-1} \Phi_{\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)+\sigma_{2} d} \\
& ={ }_{G}\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right)\right]_{F} y^{-\sigma_{31} d} \Phi_{\sigma_{31} d}\left(a_{4}\right) y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)+\sigma_{2} d} \\
& ={ }_{G}\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right)\right]_{F} a_{4} y^{\alpha+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)+\sigma_{2} d-\sigma_{3} d} \\
& =\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) a_{4} y^{-\delta} y^{\alpha_{2}+\lambda 2\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& =v .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now have a route from $u$ to $v$ via $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts only.
Suppose instead that $\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{2}\right)\right]_{F}\right)$ fully cancels. Then we have $a_{31}=\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{2}\right)\right]_{F}\right)\right)^{-1} a_{32}$, which implies that

$$
\phi\left(a_{31}\right)^{-1}=\phi\left(a_{32}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{2}\right)\right]_{F}\right)\right) .
$$

For notation, let $\overline{a_{2}}=\phi\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta-\sigma_{31} d}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{2}\right)\right]_{F}\right)\right)$, and let $\sigma_{32}$ denote the exponent sum of $a_{32}$. By Proposition 2.14 and Corollary 2.17, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1}={ }_{G} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{31}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) a_{32} & =\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{32}^{-1}\right) \overline{a_{2}}\right]_{F}\right) a_{32} \\
& =\Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta}\left(\left[\phi\left(a_{32}^{-1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta+\sigma_{32} d}\left(\left[\overline{a_{2}}\right]_{F}\right) a_{32} \\
& =\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(a_{32}^{-1}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{y} \delta+\sigma_{32} d}\left(\left[\overline{a_{2}}\right]_{F}\right) a_{32},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows by reverse induction on the length of $w$. The case where $a_{2}$ fully cancels with $a_{1}$ follows a similar proof.

### 3.2 Finite set of representatives

Definition 3.15. Let $u \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi$-CR. We define $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ to be the set of all words $v \in G_{\text {mod }}$ obtained from $u$ via a sequence of $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts. Note that $u \sim_{\phi} v$ for all $v \in \mathcal{D}_{u}$ by Theorem 3.13.

Suppose $u \in G_{\text {mod }}$ is $\phi$-CR. In many cases, the set $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ of all words $v \in G_{\text {mod }}$ obtained from $u$ via a sequence of $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts is infinite. The aim of this section is to show that $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ is precisely an infinite union of finite sets of equal size, which we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{i}}}(i \in \mathbb{Z})$. We will show that for all $j \neq i$, there exists a fixed $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $v_{i} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{i}}}$, there exists $v_{j} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{j}}}$ such that the quotient elements of $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are equal, and the Garside powers of $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are equal up to a power of $y^{z}$.

We first return to our definition of $x$-shifts (recall Definition 3.10), and distinguish between moving letters from the front to the back of the free component of a word $u \in G_{\text {mod }}$, or vice versa.

Definition 3.16. Let $u=\left(u_{11} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi$-CR, and let $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}$ denote the exponent sums of $u_{11}$ and $u_{12}$ respectively. Suppose $v \in G_{\text {mod }}$ is obtained from $u$ via an $x$-shift. We say $v$ is related to $u$ by a back-to-front (BF) $x$-shift if $v$ is equivalent to

$$
v={ }_{G} \phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right) u_{11} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}}=_{G}\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\sigma_{2} d}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{12} d} .
$$

Similarly $v$ is related to $u$ by a front-to-back (FB) $x$-shift if $v$ is equivalent to

$$
v={ }_{G} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}} \phi^{-1}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{2}}={ }_{G} u_{12} \Phi_{\alpha_{1}}\left(\left[\phi^{-1}\left(u_{11}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \sigma_{11} d} .
$$

These formulae are obtained using Proposition 2.16. We let $\stackrel{B F}{\longmapsto}$ (resp. $\stackrel{F B}{\longmapsto}$ ) denote a BF (resp. FB) $x$-shift of a generator $x_{i} \in X_{n}$, and we let $\stackrel{B F *}{\longrightarrow}$ (resp. $\stackrel{F B *}{\longmapsto}$ ) denote a sequence of BF (resp. FB) $x$-shifts.

We now highlight some cases where $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ is finite.
Proposition 3.17. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi$-CR, and let $\sigma$ denote the exponent sum of $u_{1}$. Suppose $\varepsilon_{y}=1$ and either $\sigma=0$ or $\varepsilon_{x}=-1$. Then the set $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ is finite.

Proof. Since $u$ is $\phi$-CR, the length of the free components of all elements $v \in \mathcal{D}_{u}$ will equal the length of $u_{1}$. For $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ to be finite, we need to show that the exponents of the $y$ terms are bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{u}$. By Definition [3.3, if $\varepsilon_{y}=1$, then any $y$-shift leaves the $y$ exponent of $u$ unchanged. It remains therefore to check any $x$-shifts obtained from $u$.

Let $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} \in F_{n}$, and consider the $y$ exponent of words after applying BF $x$ shifts to $u$. The exponent follows the following sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \stackrel{B F}{\longmapsto} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+p_{q} d \stackrel{\text { BF }}{\longmapsto} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\left(p_{q}+p_{q-1}\right) d \\
& \vdots \\
& \stackrel{B F}{\longmapsto} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma d \\
& \stackrel{B F}{\longmapsto} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma d+\varepsilon_{x} p_{q} d \\
& \stackrel{B F}{\longmapsto} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma d+\varepsilon_{x} d\left(p_{q}+p_{q-1}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \stackrel{B F}{\longmapsto} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma d\left(1+\varepsilon_{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore if $\sigma=0$ or $\varepsilon_{x}=-1$, our $y$ exponent must return to $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ and repeat the same pattern. A similar sequence holds if we consider FB shifts, and so the set $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ is finite.

Example 3.18. Returning to Example 3.12, we compute the set $\mathcal{D}_{u}$. We note that by Proposition 3.9, $u$ is $\phi$-CR. Moreover, since the exponent sum of the free component of $u$ equals 0 , then the set $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ will be finite by Proposition 3.17. By computing all possible $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts from $u$, we find that $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ contains the following six elements.
$\mathcal{D}_{u}=\left\{\left(x_{0} x_{2}^{-1} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right),\left(x_{1} x_{0}^{-1} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right),\left(x_{2} x_{1}^{-1} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right),\left(x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} y, y^{-3}\right),\left(x_{0}^{-1} x_{2} y, y^{-3}\right),\left(x_{1}^{-1} x_{0} y, y^{-3}\right)\right\}$.
Fig. 1 summarises how each of the elements in $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ are linked via $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts. Here blue lines represent $y$-shifts, and red lines represent $x$-shifts.

We now establish some results about $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts, which will allow us to construct our finite set of representatives $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{i}}}$ for every twisted conjugacy class.

Lemma 3.19. Let $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi$-CR. Suppose $v \stackrel{B F}{\longmapsto} u_{v}=$ $\left(u_{v_{1}}, u_{v_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ and $w \stackrel{B F}{\longrightarrow} u_{w}=\left(u_{w_{1}}, u_{w_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, such that $u_{v_{1}}=u_{w_{1}}$. Then $v_{1}=w_{1}$.

Proof. Let $v=\left(\overline{v_{1}} x_{i}^{\varepsilon_{i}} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right)$ and $w=\left(\overline{w_{1}} x_{j}^{\varepsilon_{j}} y^{\lambda_{1}}, y^{\lambda_{2}}\right)$, for some $\overline{v_{1}}, \overline{w_{1}} \in F_{n}$, $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}, \varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{j}= \pm 1$. If we apply a BF $x$-shift to each of these words, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & \stackrel{B F}{\longrightarrow}\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{i}^{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i} d}\left(\overline{v_{1}}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon_{i} d} y^{\alpha_{2}}, \\
w & \stackrel{B F}{\longrightarrow}\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\lambda_{1}}\left(x^{\varepsilon_{j}}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\varepsilon_{j} d}\left(\overline{w_{1}}\right) y^{\lambda_{1}+\varepsilon_{j} d} y^{\lambda_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1: Connections between elements of $\mathcal{D}_{u}$

To obtain equality of the quotient elements of $v$ and $w$, the powers of $\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{i}^{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)\right)\right]_{F}$ and $\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\lambda_{1}}\left(x_{j}^{\varepsilon_{j}}\right)\right)\right]_{F}$ must be equal. By (vi) of Proposition 2.16, this implies that $\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{i}=\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{j}$, and so $\varepsilon_{i}=\varepsilon_{j}$. This immediately implies that $\overline{v_{1}}=\overline{w_{1}}, \alpha_{1}=\lambda_{1}$ and $i=j$ as required.

Corollary 3.20. For every $\phi$-CR element $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, there exists a finite sequence of BF x-shifts to an element $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, such that $u_{1}=v_{1}$.

Proof. Let $u_{i}=\left(u_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ denote elements obtained from $u=u_{0}$ via a sequence of BF $x$-shifts, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $u$ is $\phi$-CR, there exists $i \leq j$ such that $u_{i_{1}}=u_{j_{1}}$, that is, we return to an element with the same quotient element. If $i=0$ we are done, so suppose $i \neq 0$. Lemma 3.19 implies that $u_{(i-1)_{1}}=u_{(j-1)_{1}}$, and so we can reduce the length of our sequence. We repeat this procedure, until we are left with a sequence such that $u_{1_{1}}=u_{s_{1}}$, for some $s<j$. Again we then have $u_{0_{1}}=u_{(s-1)_{1}}$, which gives us the finite sequence required.

Proposition 3.21. $B F x$-shifts and $y$-shifts commute. In particular, if $u \in G_{\bmod }$ is $\phi$ $C R$ and $u \stackrel{B F *}{\longrightarrow} v_{1} \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} w$, for some $v_{1}, w \in G_{\text {mod }}$, then there exists $v_{2} \in G_{\text {mod }}$, such that $u \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} v_{2} \xrightarrow{B F *} w$.

Proof. Let $u=\left(u_{11} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi$-CR, and let $\sigma_{12}$ denote the exponent sum of $u_{12}$. Suppose we first apply a sequence of BF $x$-shifts, followed by a $y$-shift. This gives us, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & \stackrel{B F *}{\longrightarrow}\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{12} d} \\
& \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right)\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{12} d+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose instead that we first apply a $y$-shift with respect to the same $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. This gives us

$$
u \stackrel{y}{\leftrightarrow} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{11} u_{12}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} y^{\alpha_{2}} .
$$

Now we can apply a sequence of BF $x$-shifts to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{11} u_{12}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} y^{\alpha_{2}} & \stackrel{B F *}{\longrightarrow} \phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}-\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)}\left(\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right) \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& =\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}-\lambda}\left(u_{12}\right)\right) \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{G}\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}-\lambda}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F} y^{\sigma_{12} d} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{G}\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}-\lambda}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda+\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{12} d+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F}\right) \Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda+\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{12} d+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} \\
& =\Phi_{-\varepsilon_{y} \lambda}\left(\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right)\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{12} d+\lambda\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

using Proposition 2.16,
Proposition 3.22. Let $u, v \in G_{\bmod }$ be $\phi-C R$, such that $u \xrightarrow{B F *} v$. Then there exists a sequence of $F B x$-shifts $v \stackrel{F B *}{\longrightarrow} w$, for some $w \in G_{\text {mod }}$, such that $u \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} w$.

Proof. Let $u=\left(u_{11} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$. By assumption we have $v=\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{12} d}$, where $\sigma_{12}$ denotes the exponent sum of $u_{12}$. We can then apply the following sequence of FB $x$-shifts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v=\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F} \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{12} d} & \stackrel{F B *}{\longrightarrow} \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma_{12} d} \phi^{-1}\left(\left[\phi\left(\Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right)\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma_{12} d} \Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(u_{12}\right) y^{-\varepsilon_{y} \sigma_{12} d} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11} u_{12}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma_{12} d\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} y^{\alpha_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

using Proposition 2.16. This can then be transformed back to $u$ by the following $y$-shift:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11} u_{12}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma_{12} d\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} y^{\alpha_{2}} \stackrel{y}{\longleftrightarrow} \Phi_{-\sigma_{12} d}\left(\Phi_{\sigma_{12} d}\left(u_{11} u_{12}\right)\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma_{12} d\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)+\sigma_{12} d\left(\varepsilon_{y}-1\right)} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
&=u_{11} u_{12} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.23. We are now able to compute our finite set of representatives for each twisted conjugacy class as follows.

Starting with $u_{0}=\left(u_{0_{1}}, u_{0_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ which is $\phi$-CR, compute a sequence of BF $x$-shifts until we reach an element $u_{i}=\left(u_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, such that $u_{0_{1}}=u_{i_{1}}$ (existence of this sequence follows from Corollary (3.20). In particular, if we compute further BF $x$-shifts from $u_{i}$, we would obtain words with the same quotient elements as $\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{i-1}\right\}$.

Then, for each element $u_{j}=\left(u_{j_{1}}, u_{j_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ in this sequence, where $0 \leq j \leq i-1$, we compute $y$-shifts by twisted conjugating by $y^{k}$, for each $k \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, to obtain elements of the form $u_{j_{k}}=\left(u_{j_{k_{1}}}, u_{j_{k_{2}}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$. Again if we were to compute further $y$-shifts, we would obtain words with the same quotient elements as $\left\{u_{j_{o}}, u_{j_{1}}, \ldots, u_{j_{k-1}}\right\}$ for each $j$. We then
define our finite set of representatives to be

$$
\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} u_{j_{k}} .
$$

Note we do not need to compute FB $x$-shifts by Proposition 3.22. Now suppose $u_{0_{2}}=y^{s}$ and $u_{i_{2}}=y^{t}$, for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define the twisted shift of $u_{0}$ to be the element $y^{z} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $z=|s-t|$. In particular, we can write

$$
\mathcal{D}_{u}=\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{q}}},
$$

where each set $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{q}}}$ is equal to $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}}$ up to the twisted shift. In other words, for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that for every element $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{q}}}$, there exists $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}}$ such that $v_{1}=w_{1}$ and $v_{2}=w_{2} y^{\lambda z}$.

Example 3.24. We use Example 3.12 to illustrate how to construct the set $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}}$ as defined in Remark 3.23. Here we consider the $\phi$-CR element $u_{0}=\left(x_{0} x_{2} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$. Fig. 2 describes the set $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}}$ of $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts from $u$, such that further shifts produce the same elements up to the twisted shift, which is $y^{24}$. Here the blue arrows represent $\mathrm{BF} x$-shifts of single letters, and the red arrows represent $y$-shifts.


Figure 2: Connections between elements of $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}}$
For example, if we compute a BF $x$-shift of $\left(x_{0} x_{2} y, y^{21}\right)$, we obtain $\left(x_{0} x_{2} y^{2}, y^{24}\right)$. This is the same element as $\left(x_{0} x_{2} y^{2}, 1_{G}\right)$, up to the twisted shift.

Let $u, v \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi$-CR. By Theorem 3.13, $u \sim_{\phi} v$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}_{u}=\mathcal{D}_{v}$. By Remark 3.23, this holds if and only if $v \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{u_{q}}}$, for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 3.25. Let $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in G_{\bmod }$ be $\phi-C R$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ be the finite set of $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts from $u$, as defined in Remark 3.23. Let $y^{z}$, for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, be the twisted shift of $u$. Then $u \sim_{\phi} v$ if and only if there exists $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ such that $w_{1}=v_{1}$ and $v_{2}=w_{2} y^{\lambda z}$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We can now construct an algorithm to solve the twisted conjugacy problem for even dihedral Artin groups.

Proposition 3.26. The $\mathrm{TCP}_{\phi}(G(m))$ is solvable, when $m$ is even, for all outer automorphisms of the form

$$
\phi: x_{0} \mapsto x_{0}^{\varepsilon_{x}} y^{d}, y \mapsto y^{\varepsilon_{y}}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Our algorithm is as follows:

## Input:

1. $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ which is even.
2. Words $u, v \in X^{*}$ representing group elements.
3. $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ as in Eq. (4).

## Step 1: Modular normal forms

Write $u, v$ in modular normal forms $u_{m}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), v_{m}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ (see Definition 3.1).

## Step 2: Compare $y$ powers

We can only apply this step when $d=0(\bmod n)$. Check the conditions from Corollary 3.5 on $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$. If these conditions are met, Output $=$ False.

## Step 3: Twisted cyclic reduction

Apply $x$-shifts to $u_{m}$ and $v_{m}$, to obtain $\phi$-CR elements $\bar{u}_{m}=\left(\bar{u}_{m_{1}}, \bar{u}_{m_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ and $\bar{v}_{m}=\left(\bar{v}_{m_{1}}, \bar{v}_{m_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ respectively, using the conditions from Proposition 3.9, Let $\overline{u_{1}}$ and $\overline{v_{1}}$ denote the free component of $\bar{u}_{m}$ and $\bar{v}_{m}$ respectively. If $l\left(\overline{u_{1}}\right) \neq l\left(\overline{v_{1}}\right)$, then Output = False by Theorem 3.13.

## Step 4: Set of representatives

If $\phi$ satisfies the conditions from Proposition 3.17, then compute the set $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ of all $x$ shifts and $y$-shifts from $\bar{u}_{m}$. If $\bar{v}_{m} \in \mathcal{D}_{u}$, then Output $=$ True. Otherwise, Output $=$ False.

Otherwise, compute the finite set $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ of BF $x$-shifts and $y$-shifts from $\bar{u}_{m}$, as defined in Remark 3.23. Let $y^{z}$ be the twisted shift of $\bar{u}_{m}$, for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. If there exists $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$, such that $w_{1}=\bar{v}_{m_{1}}$ and $w_{2}=\bar{v}_{m_{2}} y^{\lambda z}$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then Output $=$ True. Otherwise, Output $=$ False .

Theorem 3.27. The twisted conjugacy problem is decidable for dihedral Artin groups.

Proof. By [5], it remains to prove this result for even dihedral Artin groups. Let $u, v \in X^{*}$, and let $\psi \in \operatorname{Inn}(G(m))$, i.e. $\psi(w)=g^{-1} w g$ for some $g \in X^{*}$. We need to check two cases.

1. $u \sim_{\psi} v$ : Here $v=_{G} \psi(w)^{-1} u w=g^{-1} w^{-1} g u w$. Rearranging gives $g v={ }_{G} w^{-1}(g u) w$, and so this case reduces to solving the conjugacy problem for $(g u, g v)$. The conjugacy problem in dihedral Artin groups is known to be solvable in linear time [8, Proposition 3.1].
2. $u \sim_{\psi \circ \phi} v$, where $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ is of the form in Eq. (4): Here $v={ }_{G} \psi(\phi(w))^{-1} u w=$ $g^{-1} \phi(w)^{-1} g u w$. Rearranging gives $g v={ }_{G} \phi(w)^{-1}(g u) w$, and so this case reduces to solving the $\mathrm{TCP}_{\phi}(G(m))$ with respect to $\phi$. This is solvable by Proposition 3.26.

### 3.3 Complexity results

We are able to show some results about the complexity of our algorithm to solve the twisted conjugacy problem for even dihedral Artin groups. Our first goal is to establish an upper bound for the size of the set $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ of representatives generated in Step 4 of our algorithm, based on the length of our input word $u \in G_{\text {mod }}$.

We first prove some results concerning the iteration of free components under $\phi$.
Lemma 3.28. Let $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}, x_{i} \in X_{n}, r_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. Let

$$
\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)=\underbrace{\phi_{F}\left(\phi_{F}\left(\ldots\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)\right) \ldots\right)\right)}_{k}
$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

1. If $\varepsilon_{y}=\varepsilon_{x}=1$, then

$$
\phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{i}^{r_{i}}, & r_{i}=1 \\ x_{[i+2 k d]}^{r_{i}}, & r_{i}=-1\end{cases}
$$

2. If $\varepsilon_{y}=1, \varepsilon_{x}=-1$, then $\phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)=x_{[i+k d]}^{r_{i}}$.
3. If $\varepsilon_{y}=-1, \varepsilon_{x}=1$, then $\phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)=x_{i}^{r_{i}}$.
4. If $\varepsilon_{y}=\varepsilon_{x}=-1$, then

$$
\phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{[i+k d]}^{r_{i}}, & r_{i}=1 \\ x_{[i-k d]}^{r_{i}}, & r_{i}=-1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We prove the first relation, and the remaining cases follow similarly. If $\varepsilon_{y}=\varepsilon_{x}=1$, then

$$
\phi_{F}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{i}^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i}}, & r_{i}=1 \\ x_{[i+d]}^{\varepsilon_{x} r_{i}}, & r_{i}=-1\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\phi_{F}^{2}\left(x_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{i}^{r_{i}}, & r_{i}=1 \\ x_{[i+2 d]}^{r_{i}}, & r_{i}=-1\end{cases}
$$

This pattern continues to give our result. To guarantee we return to the same power of the free generator, we need to take an even number of iterations of $\phi$.

Lemma 3.29. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, and let $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} \in F_{n}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

1. If $\varepsilon_{x}=1$, then

$$
\left[\phi^{k}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \Phi_{k d p_{1}}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{k d\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{q-1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right)\right) .
$$

2. If $\varepsilon_{x}=-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\phi^{2 k}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F} } & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right) \ldots \phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right), \\
{\left[\phi^{2 k+1}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F} } & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{2 k+1}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \Phi_{p_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}^{2 k+1}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{q-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}^{2 k+1}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Again we prove the first relation, and the second case follows similarly. Let $\sigma$ denote the exponent sum of $u_{1}$. Recall by Proposition 2.16 that

$$
\phi\left(u_{1}\right)={ }_{G} \phi_{F}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \Phi_{p_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right)\right) \Phi_{\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{i_{3}}^{p_{3}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{q-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right)\right) y^{\sigma d} .
$$

Note if $\varepsilon_{x}=1$, then $\phi\left(\phi_{F}^{k-1}\left(x_{i_{j}}^{p_{j}}\right)\right)=\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{j}}^{p_{j}}\right) y^{p_{j} d}$ for all $i_{j} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}, p_{j}= \pm 1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{k}\left(u_{1}\right) & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) y^{d p_{1}} \Phi_{(k-1) d p_{1}}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right)\right) y^{d p_{2}} \Phi_{(k-1)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{3}}^{p_{3}}\right)\right) \ldots \\
& \ldots y^{d p_{q-1}} \Phi_{(k-1)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{q-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right)\right) y^{p_{q} d} y^{(k-1) \sigma d} \\
& ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \Phi_{k d p_{1}}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right)\right) \Phi_{k d\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{3}}^{p_{3}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{k d\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{q-1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right)\right) y^{k \sigma d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the free component completes the proof.
Definition 3.30. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi$-CR, and let $q$ denote the length of $u_{1}$. We define a full $x$-shift of $u$ to be a sequence of $q$ BF $x$-shifts of $u$.

Proposition 3.31. Let $u=\left(u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}, y^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$ be $\phi-C R$, and let $q$ denote the length of $u_{1}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ denote the finite set of minimal length representatives from Step 4 of our algorithm. Then $n \leq\left|\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}\right| \leq 2 n^{2} q$, where $n$ denotes the rank of the free group given by the group presentation as in Eq. (3).

Proof. Let $Y_{u}$ denote the number of $y$-shifts from $u$ to obtain an element $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, such that $v_{1}=u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}$. Similarly let $X_{u}$ denote the number of BF $x$-shifts from $u$ to obtain an element $w \in\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in G_{\text {mod }}$, such that $w_{1}=u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}}$. By definition, the size of $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ is bounded above by $X_{u} Y_{u}$. For any $y$-shifts $v \in G_{\text {mod }}$ obtained from $u, v$ is of the form $v={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\lambda}\left(u_{1}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+t\left(1-\varepsilon_{y}\right)} y^{\alpha_{2}}$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $v$ has the same quotient element as $u$ precisely when $t=0(\bmod n)$, and so $Y_{u}=n$. We now establish an upper bound for $X_{u}$.

We consider what happens when we apply a full $x$-shift to $u$ (recall this is equivalent to $q \mathrm{BF}$ $x$-shifts of $u$ ). We want to determine an upper bound on the number of full $x$-shifts required to obtain an element with the same quotient element as $u$. We note this process can be excessive, in that we may return to the same quotient element as $u$ via a smaller number of BF $x$-shifts of subwords of $u_{1}$. However, considering full $x$-shifts of $u$ will give us an upper bound.

Let $k(u)$ denote the $k$-th full $x$-shift of $u$. We consider different cases for $\varepsilon_{x}$ and $\varepsilon_{y}$. Let $\sigma$ denote the exponent sum of $u_{1}$. First suppose $\varepsilon_{y}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{x}=-1$. We calculate the $k$-th full $x$-shift of $u$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & ={ }_{G} u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
1(u) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(\left[\phi\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma d} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
2(u) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-2 \alpha_{1}-\sigma d}\left(\left[\phi^{2}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
3(u) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-3 \alpha_{1}-\sigma d}\left(\left[\phi^{3}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma d} y^{\alpha_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to a more general result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2 k)(u) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-2 k \alpha_{1}-k \sigma d}\left(\left[\phi^{2 k}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}}, \\
(2 k+1)(u) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-(2 k+1) \alpha_{1}-k \sigma d}\left(\left[\phi^{2 k+1}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma d} y^{\alpha_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that in order to guarantee our $y$-power of the quotient element returns to $y^{\alpha_{1}}$, then we need to take an even number of full $x$-shifts. For the free component, we first consider the change of indices: this equals $\Phi_{t}$, where $t \in\left\{-2 k \alpha_{1}-k \sigma d,-(2 k+1) \alpha_{1}-k \sigma d\right\}$. As we need to take an even number of full $x$-shifts, we only need to consider the case where $t=-2 k \alpha_{1}-k \sigma d$. If $k=n$, then $t=0(\bmod n)$ as required, and so we need a minimum of $2 n$ full $x$-shifts.

Since $\varepsilon_{x}=-1$, we can use the following relations from Lemma 3.29:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\phi^{2 k}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F} } & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right) \ldots \phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right), \\
{\left[\phi^{2 k+1}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F} } & ={ }_{G} \phi_{F}^{2 k+1}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \Phi_{p_{1} d}\left(\phi_{F}^{2 k+1}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{q-1}\right) d}\left(\phi_{F}^{2 k+1}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

After an even number of full $x$-shifts, we will return to $u_{1}$ precisely when $\phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i}^{p_{i}}\right)=x_{i}^{p_{i}}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. Recall from Lemma 3.28 that $\phi^{2 k}\left(x_{i}^{p_{i}}\right)=x_{[i+k d]}^{p_{i}}$, which equals $x_{i}^{p_{i}}$ when $k=0(\bmod n)$, and so $2 n$ full $x$-shifts is sufficient to return to $u_{1}$. Therefore $X_{u} \leq 2 n q$.

Next suppose $\varepsilon_{y}=\varepsilon_{x}=1$. Each $k$-th full $x$-shift of $u$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & ={ }_{G} u_{1} y^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
1(u) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\alpha_{1}}\left(\left[\phi\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+\sigma d} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
2(u) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-2 \alpha_{1}-\sigma d}\left(\left[\phi^{2}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+2 \sigma d} y^{\alpha_{2}} \\
3(u) & ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-3 \alpha_{1}-3 \sigma d}\left(\left[\phi^{3}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+3 \sigma d} y^{\alpha_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives a more general result:

$$
k(u)={ }_{G} \Phi_{-k \alpha_{1}-\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \sigma d}\left(\left[\phi^{k}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) y^{\alpha_{1}+k \sigma d} y^{\alpha_{2}} .
$$

Here our $y$ exponent of the quotient element returns to $\alpha_{1}$ after $n$ full $x$-shifts. The change of indices equals $\Phi_{t}$, where $t=-k \alpha_{1}-\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \sigma d$. Again $t=0(\bmod n)$ when $k=2 n$, and so we need $2 n$ full $x$-shifts. For the free component, we have (by Lemma 3.29)

$$
\left[\phi^{k}\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}=\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right) \Phi_{k d p_{1}}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{2}}^{p_{2}}\right)\right) \ldots \Phi_{k d\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{1-1}\right)}\left(\phi_{F}^{k}\left(x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}}\right)\right)
$$

Each $\Phi_{k d\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{i}\right)}$ term will leave the indices unchanged when $k=n$. For the generators, we have

$$
\phi_{F}^{2 k}\left(x_{i}^{p_{i}}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{i}^{p_{i}}, & p_{i}=1 \\ x_{[i+2 k d]}^{p_{i}}, & p_{i}=-1\end{cases}
$$

by Lemma 3.28. Again we need a minimum of $2 n$ full $x$-shifts, and so $X_{u} \leq 2 n q$. Finally, when $\varepsilon_{y}=-1$, the formulae for $k(u)$ remain the same, and we can apply a similar proof, using Lemma 3.28 and Lemma 3.29 , to show $X_{u} \leq 2 n q$ for all cases. This gives us our upper bound for $\left|\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}\right|$.

For the lower bound, suppose $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ consists only of elements obtained from $u$ via $y$-shifts only. That is, any BF $x$-shifts generate elements already found by $y$-shifts. We need a minimum of $n y$-shifts, and so $\left|\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}\right| \geq n$.

When we consider certain conditions on $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ and our input word $u \in G_{\text {mod }}$, we find that this upper bound is indeed excessive. This can be seen from our previous example.

Example 3.32. Recall Example [3.24, where $\left|\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}\right|=18$. By Proposition 3.31 , $\left|\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}\right| \leq 36$. However, we can prove the lower value directly, based on our input word $u \in G_{\text {mod }}$ and our map $\phi$.

Since $n=3$, then $Y_{u}=3$. For $X_{u}$, note that $3 \sigma d=0(\bmod n)$ and $-3 \alpha_{1}=0(\bmod n)$. Also, $3 d=0(\bmod n)$, and so we only need to compute 3 full $x$-shifts of $u$, to obtain an element with the same quotient element as $u$. Since the length of the free component of $u$ is 2 , this gives us $X_{u}=3 \times 2=6$. Therefore $\left|\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}\right|=18$.

This analysis allows us to determine the complexity of our TCP algorithm.
Theorem 3.33. Let $G(m)=\langle X\rangle$ be a dihedral Artin group. Based on the length of input words $u, v \in X^{*}$, the twisted conjugacy problem for $G(m)$ has:
(i) linear complexity when $m$ is odd, and
(ii) quadratic complexity when $m$ is even.

Proof. Linear complexity for odd cases was already shown in [5], so it remains to consider our algorithm for even dihedral Artin groups.

At Step 1, we need to write our input words in modular normal form. First, we rewrite our input words as geodesic normal forms as follows. Suppose $u=u_{1} \ldots u_{q}$, where for all $1 \leq i \leq q$,

$$
u_{i}= \begin{cases}x_{i}^{r_{i}}, & r_{i}= \pm 1, i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\} \\ y^{r_{i}}, & r_{i}= \pm 1\end{cases}
$$

Reading left to right through $u$, we apply the following rules:
(i) If $u_{i}=x_{i}^{r_{i}}, u_{i+1}=x_{i}^{-r_{i}}$, then freely cancel $u_{i} u_{i+1}$. Similarly cancel any terms of the form $y^{r_{i}} y^{-r_{i}}$.
(ii) If $u_{i}=y^{r_{i}}, u_{i+1}=x_{j}^{r_{i+1}}$, then rewrite $u_{i} u_{i+1}$ as $x_{\left[j-r_{i}\right]}^{r_{i+1}} y^{r_{i}}$.

This gives us geodesic normal forms in linear time, by moving all $y$-terms from left to right in the word, and freely cancelling when possible. From here, a modular normal form can be obtained again in linear time, by rewriting our $y$ exponent. Step 2 of our algorithm is also linear.

For Step 3, applying $x$-shifts until our free component satisfies the conditions from Proposition 3.9 is equivalent to removing the first and last generators of our free component, and adjusting indices and powers where necessary. This again takes linear time.

Finally by Proposition 3.31, the size of $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ is linear with respect to the length of $u$. To generate all words in $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}$ therefore takes $\left|\overline{\mathcal{D}_{u}}\right| \cdot(q+C)$ time, where $C$ is a constant. This is due to the fact that each word we need to generate has length $q+C$, where $C$ is the length of the $y$ exponents, which is bounded by the twisted shift. This gives us an overall complexity of quadratic time.

## 4 CONJUGACY PROBLEM FOR EXTENSIONS OF $G(m)$

For this section we will return to using our geodesic normal form, as defined in Section 2.2. The aim of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. Every finitely generated subgroup $A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(G(m))$, is orbit decidable.
Definition 4.2. Let $A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ for a group $G$. The orbit decidability problem for $A$, denoted $\mathrm{OD}(\mathrm{A})$, takes as input two elements $u, v \in G$, and decides whether there exists $\phi \in A$ such that $v \sim \phi(u)$.

Using results from Section 2, we can prove orbit decidability for all outer automorphisms of $G(m)$.

Proposition 4.3. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ be even and consider the outer automorphism of the form in Eq. (4), i.e.

$$
\phi: x_{0} \mapsto x_{0}^{\varepsilon_{x}} y^{d}, y \mapsto y^{\varepsilon_{y}},
$$

where $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y} \in\{ \pm 1\}, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $u, v \in F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ be geodesic normal forms. Then it is decidable to determine if $v \sim \phi(u)$.

Proof. Let $u=u_{1} y^{\alpha}$, where $u_{1}=x_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{q}}^{p_{q}} \in F_{n}$, and let $\sigma$ denote the exponent sum of $u_{1}$. We first consider the image $\phi(u)$. We have $\phi(u)=_{G}\left[\phi\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F} \cdot y^{\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \alpha}$, where we recall $\left[\phi\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}$ denotes the free component of $\phi\left(u_{1}\right)$, after moving all $y$ terms to the right. We now conjugate this element by some geodesic $w=w_{1} y^{\lambda} \in F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. This gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{-1} \phi(u) w & =y^{-\lambda} w_{1}^{-1} \cdot\left[\phi\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F} y^{\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \alpha} \cdot w_{1} y^{\lambda} \\
& ={ }_{G} \Phi_{-\lambda}\left(w_{1}^{-1}\left[\phi\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}\right) \Phi_{-\lambda+\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \alpha}\left(w_{1}\right) \cdot y^{\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that conjugation does not affect the exponent of the $y$ term, and so if $v \sim \phi(u)$, where $v=v_{1} y^{\beta} \in F_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, then $\beta=\sigma d+\varepsilon_{y} \alpha$. Given $u, v$ and $\phi$ of the form in Eq. (4), we know the value of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\sigma$, and $\varepsilon_{y}= \pm 1$. Hence we are able to calculate the value of $d$, if it exists.

Moreover, once $d$ is known, we can compute the image $\left[\phi\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}$ explicitly using Proposition 2.16. Finally, deciding if $v \sim \phi(u)$ is equivalent to deciding if $v_{1} \sim_{\psi}\left[\phi\left(u_{1}\right)\right]_{F}$ for some $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ (see [3, Prop. 4.1]). The twisted conjugacy problem is known to be decidable for free groups [2, Theorem 1.5], and so it is decidable whether $v \sim \phi(u)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $\varphi_{1}, \ldots \varphi_{s} \in \operatorname{Aut}(G(m))$ be given, and consider $A=\left\langle\varphi_{1}, \ldots \varphi_{s}\right\rangle \leq$ $\operatorname{Aut}(G(m))$. For each $i=1, \ldots, s$, compute $w_{i} \in G(m)$ such that $\varphi_{i}=\gamma_{i} \phi_{i}$, where $\gamma_{i} \in$ $\operatorname{Inn}(G(m))$ and $\phi_{i} \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$. Given two elements $u, v \in G(m)$, we want to decide whether $v \sim \varphi_{i}(u)$ for some $\varphi_{i} \in A$. This has already been determined when $m$ is odd ([5]).

Now suppose $m$ is even. By a similar argument as [5], our problem reduces to deciding if $v$ is conjugate to $\phi(u)$, where $\phi \in \operatorname{Out}(G(m))$ is of the form in Eq. (4). This is decidable by Proposition 4.3 .

The following theorem is immediate from Theorem 3.27, Theorem 4.1 and [3, Theorem 3.1].
Theorem 4.4. Let $G=G(m) \rtimes H$ be an extension of a dihedral Artin group by a finitely generated group $H$ which satisfies conditions (ii) and (iii) from [3, Theorem 3.1] (e.g. let $H$ be torsion-free hyperbolic). Then $G$ has decidable conjugacy problem.
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