

Asymptotic values, deficiencies and Bank-Laine functions

J.K. Langley

10th April 2024

Abstract

Some results are proved concerning asymptotic and deficient values in connection with the second order linear differential equation $y'' + Ay = 0$, in which the coefficient A is entire. MSC 2000: 30D35.

In memory of Steve Bank, who passed away on 10th April, 1994

1 Introduction

The following notation will be used throughout. Let A be an entire function, and let f_1, f_2 be linearly independent solutions of

$$y'' + A(z)y = 0, \quad (1)$$

normalised so that their Wronskian satisfies $W(f_1, f_2) = f_1 f_2' - f_1' f_2 = 1$. Write

$$U = \frac{f_2}{f_1}, \quad E = f_1 f_2 = \frac{U}{U'}. \quad (2)$$

Then U is locally univalent, and its Schwarzian derivative $S(U)$ satisfies

$$S(U) = \frac{U'''}{U'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{U''}{U'} \right)^2 = 2A, \quad (3)$$

while A and E are related by the Bank-Laine equation [1]

$$4A = \left(\frac{E'}{E} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{E''}{E} - \frac{1}{E^2} = \frac{(E')^2 - 2E''E - 1}{E^2}. \quad (4)$$

Because $W(f_1, f_2) = 1$, the product E is a *Bank-Laine function*, that is, an entire function satisfying $E'(z) = \pm 1$ whenever $E(z) = 0$. Conversely, if E is a Bank-Laine function, or if U is a locally univalent meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$, then there exist an entire A and solutions f_1, f_2 of (1) such that (2), (3) and (4) all hold [1, 2, 27].

For a non-constant meromorphic function f on $\mathbb{C}$, the terms

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r}, \quad \lambda(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^+ N(r, 1/f)}{\log r} \leq \rho(f),$$

denote its order of growth and the exponent of convergence of its zeros, while if $a \in \mathbb{C}$ then

$$\delta(a, f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{m(r, 1/(f - a))}{T(r, f)} = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{N(r, 1/(f - a))}{T(r, f)}$$

is the Nevanlinna deficiency of the value a [19]. The following results were proved in [1, 38, 39].

Theorem 1.1 ([1, 38, 39]) *With the above notation, A , E and $\lambda(E) = \max\{\lambda(f_1), \lambda(f_2)\}$ satisfy the following.*

- (i) *If A is a polynomial of positive degree n then $\lambda(E) = \rho(E) = (n + 2)/2$.*
- (ii) *If $\lambda(E) + \rho(A) < +\infty$ then $\rho(E) < +\infty$.*
- (iii) *If $\lambda(E) < \rho(A) < +\infty$ then $\rho(A) \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$.*
- (iii) *If A is transcendental and $\rho(A) \leq 1/2$ then $\lambda(E) = +\infty$, while*

$$\frac{1}{\rho(A)} + \frac{1}{\lambda(E)} \leq 2 \quad \text{if } 1/2 < \rho(A) < 1. \quad (5)$$

The *Bank-Laine conjecture*, to the effect that if $\lambda(E) + \rho(A) < +\infty$ then $\rho(A) \in \mathbb{N}$, was disproved by Bergweiler and Eremenko [8, 9], who constructed examples via quasiconformal methods for all orders $\rho(A) > 1/2$, as well as showing that the inequality (5) is sharp. In a subsequent paper with Rempe [10], they also determined all possible orders for A and E when $\lambda(E) < +\infty$ and E has only real zeros.

The starting point of the present paper is the following result [28, 30].

Theorem 1.2 ([28, 30]) *The following statements hold.*

- (I) *If A is a non-constant polynomial then there exists a path Γ tending to infinity on which all solutions of (1) tend to 0 as $z \rightarrow \infty$.*
- (II) *If A is non-constant and $\rho(E) < +\infty$ then 0 is an asymptotic value of E , that is, there exists a path tending to infinity on which $E(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.*

Statement (I) follows from [28, Lemma 1], but for completeness a proof based on the Liouville transformation will be outlined in Section 3.1. Statement (II) was deduced from (4) in [30].

It is natural to ask whether the conclusion of (I) holds when A is transcendental. While this may seem unlikely, it might be the case that the conclusion of (II), which is evidently weaker than that of (I), remains true if $\rho(E) = +\infty$. The first four results of this paper will concern these questions and the general issue of asymptotic values of Bank-Laine functions. The statement of these results will require the following standard terminology [36].

Let G be a transcendental meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$, and suppose that $G(z) \rightarrow a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ along a path γ ; then the inverse G^{-1} is said to have a transcendental singularity over the asymptotic value a [7, 36]. If $a \in \mathbb{C}$ then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a component $\Omega = \Omega(a, \varepsilon, G)$ of the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |G(z) - a| < \varepsilon\}$ such that $\gamma \setminus \Omega$ is bounded: these components are called neighbourhoods of the singularity [7]. Two paths γ, γ' on which $G(z) \rightarrow a$ determine distinct singularities if the corresponding components $\Omega(a, \varepsilon, G), \Omega'(a, \varepsilon, G)$ are disjoint for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The singularity is called direct [7] if $\Omega(a, \varepsilon, G)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, contains finitely many zeros of $G - a$, and indirect otherwise.

Transcendental singularities over ∞ may be classified using $1/G$ and $a = 0$, and Iversen's theorem [36] states that the inverse of every transcendental entire function has a direct singularity over ∞ . Finally, a direct singularity over ∞ is called logarithmic if there exists $M > 0$ such that $w = \log G(z)$ maps $\Omega(0, 1/M, 1/G)$ univalently onto the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} w > \log M$.

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose that A is a transcendental entire function such that A^{-1} has a logarithmic singularity over infinity. Then there exists a path Γ tending to infinity in a neighbourhood of the singularity on which all solutions of (1) tend to 0 as $z \rightarrow \infty$.*

In particular, Theorem 1.3 applies whenever A is a transcendental entire function in the Eremenko-Lyubich class $\mathcal{B}$: this consists of those transcendental meromorphic functions g for which the set of all finite asymptotic and critical values is bounded [6, 17, 40]. By a standard classification [36, p.287] of isolated singularities of the inverse function, a transcendental singularity over ∞ for $g \in \mathcal{B}$ is automatically logarithmic.

Theorem 1.3 will be proved in Section 3.2 using a version of the Liouville transformation developed in [32] for coefficients A in the class $\mathcal{B}$. In the absence of assumptions on the growth of A and the singularities of A^{-1} , the following partial result will be proved in Section 5.

Theorem 1.4 *Let E be a Bank-Laine function associated via (4) with a non-constant coefficient function A . Then at least one of the following holds:*

- (i) *0 is a limit point of the set of asymptotic and critical values of E ;*
- (ii) *A is transcendental and E^{-1} has a logarithmic singularity over 0.*

Theorem 1.4 clearly falls far short of showing that every Bank-Laine function associated with a non-constant coefficient A has 0 as an asymptotic value. In view of Theorem 1.2(II), a natural case to start with would be that in which $\lambda(E) < \rho(E) = +\infty$, but an example of Hayman [22] shows that a finite Borel exceptional value of an entire function of infinite order need not be asymptotic. On the other hand, if F is an entire function of infinite order, and its zeros z_n in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $\sum |z_n|^{-1/2} < +\infty$, then F has infinite lower order and, by [21, Theorem 2] (see also [19, Lemma 1.4] and [22]), the value 0 is asymptotic for F .

Indirect singularities of E^{-1} over 0 will be discussed in Section 4. The assumption that A is non-constant is not redundant in Theorem 1.4, as shown by

$$E_1(z) = e^{az+b} + c, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad ac = \pm 1, \quad (6)$$

for which (4) gives $A = -a^2/4$. This function has omitted values c, ∞ , and so the Nevanlinna deficiencies $\delta(c, E_1)$, $\delta(\infty, E_1)$ are each automatically 1 [19]. Furthermore, E_1 has no critical points, and E_1^{-1} has just two singularities, namely logarithmic singularities over c and ∞ . The next two theorems, to be proved in Sections 7 and 8, each show that this Bank-Laine function is in a certain sense unique.

Theorem 1.5 *Let E be a Bank-Laine function of finite order, for which 0 is not a limit point of the set of asymptotic and critical values of E , and assume that E^{-1} has a direct transcendental singularity over some finite non-zero value c . Then A is constant and E is given by (6).*

It would be interesting to know whether the assumptions of Theorem 1.5 can be weakened to any significant extent, but the example e^{e^z} shows that the result is not true for infinite order.

Next, it is well known that if A is a polynomial then the quotient U always has finite order and maximal sum $\sum_{c \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}} \delta(c, U) = 2$ of Nevanlinna deficiencies [12, 15, 16]. The following result shows that it is comparatively rare for the product E to have this property.

Theorem 1.6 *Let E be a Bank-Laine function of finite order with $\sum_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \delta(c, E) = 1$. Then either 0 is the only finite deficient value of E , or A is constant and E is given by (6).*

An example of a Bank-Laine function E_2 with finite order and $\delta(0, E_2) = 1$, but with infinitely many zeros, is furnished by

$$E_2(z) = \frac{e^{2\pi i z^2} \sin \pi z}{\pi}. \quad (7)$$

It seems worth observing that Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 can all be written in terms of the quotient $U = f_2/f_1$, and the condition that $A = S(U)/2$ is constant means that U is a Möbius transformation in either z or an exponential e^{az} , $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Since $E = U/U'$, Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 are equivalent to the following.

Theorem 1.7 *Let U be a locally univalent meromorphic function in the plane with Schwarzian derivative $S(U)$.*

(a) If $U'/U \in \mathcal{B}$ then either $S(U)$ is constant or the inverse of U'/U has a logarithmic singularity over ∞ .

(b) If U'/U has finite order and $U'/U \in \mathcal{B}$, and if the inverse of U'/U has a direct transcendental singularity over a finite non-zero value, then $S(U)$ is constant.

(c) If U'/U has finite order and $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}} \delta(a, U'/U) = 2$ then either $S(U)$ is constant or $\delta(\infty, U'/U) = \delta(0, U'/U) = 1$.

The remaining results of this paper involve transcendental singularities and deficiencies for A , and depend on the following observation, established in [31, 33].

Theorem 1.8 ([31, 33]) *Let A be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and suppose that (1) has linearly independent solutions f_1, f_2 with $\lambda(f_1 f_2) < +\infty$. Then the quotient V of any two linearly independent solutions of (1) belongs to the Speiser class $\mathcal{S}$, that is, V has finitely many asymptotic and critical values.*

Here it may be assumed that $W(f_1, f_2) = 1$, and [31, Corollary 1.1] implies that $U = f_2/f_1$ has finitely many asymptotic values, because $U'/U = 1/f_1 f_2$ has finite order by Theorem 1.1. Thus the locally univalent function U belongs to $\mathcal{S}$, and so does V , the latter being a Möbius transformation composed with U .

Theorem 1.9 *Let A be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and assume that A^{-1} has a direct transcendental singularity over 0. Let $E = f_1 f_2$, where f_1, f_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1) with $W(f_1, f_2) = 1$. Then $\lambda(E) = +\infty$.*

Conditions on the global asymptotic behaviour of A which ensure that $\lambda(E) = +\infty$ were given in [3, Theorem 1] and elsewhere, but it seems slightly surprising that the existence of one direct singularity over 0 should suffice in this way. The simple example

$$E(z) = e^z, \quad A(z) = -(1 + e^{-2z})/4, \quad (8)$$

shows that the singularity of A^{-1} does need to be over 0. Theorem 1.9 follows from [31, Corollary 1.1 and Theorem 1.6], but also from a slightly more general result (Proposition 9.1), which will be proved in Section 9 but which fails for $\rho(A) = +\infty$. The next result involves deficiencies for A .

Theorem 1.10 *Let A be a transcendental entire function of finite order with $\delta(0, A) > 0$. Then $\lambda(f_1 f_2) = +\infty$ for any linearly independent solutions f_1, f_2 of (1).*

Chiang [11] proved that if $\rho(A) < +\infty$ and $\delta(0, A) = 1$ then $\lambda(f_1 f_2)$ is always $+\infty$. If A has finite order and finitely many zeros then every solution f of (1) has $\lambda(f) = +\infty$ [4], but

$$f(z) = e^{e^z}, \quad A(z) = -e^{2z} - e^z, \quad \delta(0, A) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \delta(0, f'/f) = 1, \quad (9)$$

shows that $\delta(0, A) > 0$ does not force $\lambda(f)$ to be infinite for every solution. Furthermore, (8) underlines that the deficient value in Theorem 1.10 needs to be 0.

Corollary 1.1 *Let A be a transcendental entire function of finite order and suppose that (1) has a non-trivial solution f with $\delta(0, f'/f) > 0$. Then $\lambda(f_1 f_2) = +\infty$ for any linearly independent solutions of (1).*

The example (9) illustrates Corollary 1.1: here any solution g of (1) for which f, g are linearly independent satisfies

$$W(f, g) = c \neq 0, \quad \left(\frac{g}{f}\right)' = \frac{c}{f^2}, \quad g(z) = ce^{e^z} \int^z e^{-2e^t} dt, \quad \lambda(g) = +\infty.$$

Bergweiler and Eremenko [9] called a Bank-Laine function E *special* if it satisfies $E' = 1$ whenever $E = 0$, which is equivalent to the existence of an entire function B such that

$$E' = BE + 1. \quad (10)$$

Corollary 1.2 *Let B be a transcendental entire function of finite order with $\delta(0, B) > 0$. Then every solution E of (10) has $\lambda(E) = +\infty$.*

Taking $E = e^z$, $B = 1 - e^{-z}$, shows that the condition $\delta(0, B) > 0$ is not redundant in Corollary 1.2. Theorem 1.10 and its two corollaries will be proved in Section 10.

2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1 ([14]) *Let $1 < r < R < +\infty$ and let the function g be meromorphic in $|z| \leq R$. Let $I(r)$ be a subset of $[0, 2\pi]$ of Lebesgue measure $\mu(r)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{I(r)} \log^+ |g(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \frac{11R\mu(r)}{R-r} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\mu(r)}\right) T(R, g).$$

□

The following version of Fuchs' small arcs lemma is due to Hayman [23, p.721].

Lemma 2.2 *Let the function g be meromorphic in $|z| \leq R$, with $g(0) = 1$. Let η_1, η_2 be positive with $\eta_1 + \eta_2 < 1$. Then there exists $F_R \subseteq [0, (1 - \eta_1)R]$, of Lebesgue measure at least $R(1 - \eta_1 - \eta_2)$, such that if $r \in F_R$ and G_r is a sub-interval of $[0, 2\pi]$ of length m then*

$$\int_{G_r} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\log |g(re^{i\theta})|) \right| d\theta \leq 400\eta_1^{-2}\eta_2^{-1}T(R, g)m \log \frac{2\pi e}{m}.$$

□

Lemma 2.3 ([32]) Suppose that $c > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$, and that the function B is analytic, with $|1 - B(z)| \leq c|z|^{-2}$, on $\Omega' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 \leq R \leq |z| < +\infty, |\arg z| \leq \pi - \varepsilon\}$. Then there exist $d > 0$, depending only on c and ε , and linearly independent solutions $U(z), V(z)$ of $y'' + B(z)y = 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} U(z) &= e^{-iz}(1 + \delta_1(z)), & U'(z) &= -ie^{-iz}(1 + \delta_2(z)), \\ V(z) &= e^{iz}(1 + \delta_3(z)), & V'(z) &= ie^{iz}(1 + \delta_4(z)), \\ W(U, V) &= 2i, & |\delta_j(z)| &\leq \frac{d}{|z|}, \end{aligned}$$

on $\Omega'' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : R < |z| < +\infty, |\arg z| < \pi - \varepsilon\} \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0, |\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq R\}$.

Note that a change of variables $z \rightarrow -z$ shows that Lemma 2.3 still holds if Ω' and Ω'' are replaced by their reflections across the imaginary axis. □

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, denote by $D(a, r)$ and $S(a, r)$ the open disc and circle, respectively, of centre a and radius r .

3 The Liouville transformation

3.1 The polynomial case

Suppose that A is a non-constant polynomial in (1), satisfying $A(z) = a_n z^n(1 + o(1))$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Then there are $n + 2$ critical rays given by $\arg z = \theta^*$, where $a_n e^{i(n+2)\theta^*}$ is real and positive. Apply the Liouville transformation

$$W(Z) = A(z)^{1/4} y(z), \quad Z = \int_{z_1}^z A(t)^{1/2} dt. \quad (11)$$

If R and $1/\varepsilon$ are large and positive then $Z \sim 2a_n^{1/2} z^{(n+2)/2} (n+2)^{-1}$ is univalent on the sectorial region

$$S_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > R, |\arg z - \theta^*| < 2\pi/(n+2) - \varepsilon\}.$$

On the image $Z(S_1)$, (11) yields an equation for W of form

$$\frac{d^2 W}{dZ^2} + (1 + G(Z))W = 0, \quad (12)$$

in which $G(Z) = O(|Z|^{-2})$. Hille's asymptotic method [25, 26] then delivers linearly independent solutions $e^{\pm iZ}(1 + o(1))$ on $Z(S_1)$ and hence solutions given by $u_1(z) \sim A(z)^{-1/4} e^{-iZ}$ and $u_2(z) \sim A(z)^{-1/4} e^{iZ}$ of (1) on S_1 . Moreover, $Z(S_1)$ contains an unbounded real interval I , on which $|e^{\pm iZ}| = 1$, and so the factor $A(z)^{-1/4}$ in u_1, u_2 ensures that every solution of (1) tends to 0 as $z \rightarrow \infty$ on the pre-image Γ of I in S_1 . This establishes assertion (I) of Theorem 1.2. □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.3 will use an analogue of the Hille-Liouville method developed in [32] for the case where the inverse of the coefficient A in (1) has a logarithmic singularity over ∞ . Suppose then that A is a transcendental entire function such that, for some $M > 0$, a component U_M of the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |A(z)| > M\}$ is mapped univalently by $v = \log A(z)$ onto the half-plane H given by $\operatorname{Re} v > \log M$. It may be assumed that $0 \notin U_M$, and also that $M = 1$, because if f solves (1) then $g(z) = f(M^{-1/2}z)$ solves

$$y'' + B(z)y = 0, \quad B(z) = M^{-1}A(M^{-1/2}z).$$

Let $z = \phi(v)$ be the inverse mapping from $H = \{v \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} v > 0\}$ to $U_M = U_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Bieberbach's theorem [24, Theorem 1.1] yields, for $u \in H$,

$$\left| \frac{\phi''(u)}{\phi'(u)} \right| \leq \frac{4}{\operatorname{Re} u}. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 3.1 *If $|v|$ is sufficiently large and $|\arg v| < \pi/3$ then*

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re} v}{4} \leq \log |e^{v/2} \phi'(v)| \leq \frac{3 \operatorname{Re} v}{4}.$$

Proof. Join 1 to v by a straight line segment M_v , parametrised with respect to $s = \operatorname{Re} u$. Then the arc length estimate $|du| \leq (\sec \pi/3) ds = 2 ds$ holds on M_v , and integration of (13) delivers

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi'(v)}{\phi'(1)} \right| \leq \int_{M_v} \frac{4}{\operatorname{Re} u} |du| \leq 8 \int_1^{\operatorname{Re} v} \frac{ds}{s} = 8 \log(\operatorname{Re} v).$$

□

Under the change of variables $v = \log A(z)$ a solution $y(z)$ of (1) on U_1 transforms to a solution $w(v) = y(z)$ on H of

$$w''(v) - \frac{\phi''(v)}{\phi'(v)} w'(v) + e^v \phi'(v)^2 w(v) = 0, \quad A(z) = e^v, \quad z = \phi(v). \quad (14)$$

For $v, v_1 \in H$, and $z = \phi(v)$, $z_1 = \phi(v_1)$ in U_1 , define $Z = Z(v, v_1)$ as in the second formula of (11) by

$$Z(v, v_1) = \int_{z_1}^z A(t)^{1/2} dt = \int_{v_1}^v e^{u/2} \phi'(u) du. \quad (15)$$

The following is Lemma 3.1 of [32], proved for an arbitrary analytic univalent $\phi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 3.2 ([32]) *Let ε be a small positive real number. Then there exists a large positive real number N_0 , depending on ε but not on ϕ , with the following property.*

Let $v_0 \in H$ be such that $S_0 = \operatorname{Re} v_0 \geq N_0$, and define v_1, v_2, v_3, K_2 and K_3 by

$$v_j = \frac{2^j S_0}{128} + iT_0, \quad T_0 = \operatorname{Im} v_0, \quad K_j = \left\{ v_j + r e^{i\theta} : r \geq 0, -\frac{\pi}{2^j} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2^j} \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Then the following three conclusions all hold:

(i) The function $Z = Z(v, v_1)$ in (15) satisfies, for $v \in K_2$,

$$Z = Z(v, v_1) = \int_{v_1}^v e^{u/2} \phi'(u) du = 2e^{v/2} \phi'(v)(1 + \delta(v)), \quad |\delta(v)| < \varepsilon. \quad (17)$$

(ii) $\psi = \psi(v, v_1) = \log Z(v, v_1)$ is univalent on a domain containing K_3 .

(iii) Z maps a domain D , with $v_0 \in D \subseteq K_3$, univalently onto a region M_3 satisfying

$$Z_0 = Z(v_0, v_1) \in M_3 = \{Z \in \mathbb{C} : |Z_0|/8 < |Z| < +\infty, |\arg(\eta Z)| < 3\pi/4\}, \quad (18)$$

where $\eta = 1$ if $\operatorname{Re} Z_0 \geq 0$ and $\eta = -1$ if $\operatorname{Re} Z_0 < 0$.

□

Fix a small positive ε , assume that $v_0 = S_0$ is real, large and positive, and apply Lemma 3.2, with $T_0 = \operatorname{Im} v_0 = 0$. Let v_1, v_2, v_3, K_2 and K_3 be as in (16), and define Z by (15). By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $|Z_0|$ is large in (18) and there exist $\eta \in \{-1, 1\}$ and a domain $D \subseteq K_3$, both as in conclusion (iii), so that $M_3 = Z(D)$. The change of variables

$$y(z) = w(v) = e^{-v/4} W(Z) = A(z)^{-1/4} W(Z) \quad (19)$$

transforms (14) on D to the equation (12) on M_3 . Here

$$G(Z) = \frac{1}{16e^v \phi'(v)^2} \left(1 + 4 \frac{\phi''(v)}{\phi'(v)} \right), \quad |G(Z)| \leq \frac{1}{|Z|^2}, \quad (20)$$

on $M_3 = Z(D)$, by (13), (17) and the fact that $S_0 = v_0 = \operatorname{Re} v_0$ is large. Now apply Lemma 2.3 with

$$\Omega' = \{Z \in \mathbb{C} : |Z_0|/4 \leq |Z| < +\infty, |\arg(\eta Z)| \leq 5\pi/8\} \subseteq M_3,$$

and let $M_4 = \Omega''$, so that $Z_0 = Z(v_0, v_1) \in M_4 \subseteq \Omega' \subseteq M_3$, by the choice of η . This gives solutions $U_1(Z), U_2(Z)$ of (12) on M_4 , which satisfy $W(U_1, U_2) = 2i$ and

$$|U_1(Z)e^{iZ} - 1| + |U_2(Z)e^{-iZ} - 1| \leq \frac{d}{|Z|}, \quad (21)$$

in which the positive constant d is independent of v_0 and Z_0 , by (20).

Let T_1 be large and positive, and if $\eta = 1$ set $L_1 = [T_1, +\infty)$, while if $\eta = -1$ set $L_1 = (-\infty, -T_1]$. As $Z \rightarrow \infty$ on L_1 , the solutions U_1, U_2 of (12) both remain bounded, by (21), and therefore so does every solution. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $\operatorname{Re} v$ must tend to $+\infty$ on the pre-image L_2 in H of L_1 under Z . Hence every solution of (14) tends to 0 on L_2 , by (19), and so every solution of (1) tends to 0 on $\Gamma = \phi(L_2) \subseteq U_1$.

□

3.3 An example

The proofs in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 use the same Liouville transformation Z given by (11), although in the second case this is done in two stages, via (14) and (19). In both cases the path on which all solutions of (1) tend to 0 is a pre-image under Z of an unbounded real interval. For transcendental entire A , not necessarily in $\mathcal{B}$, a local version of the Liouville transformation was developed in [29] and applied near a maximum modulus point of A , using estimates from the Wiman-Valiron theory [20]. But it seems worth pointing out that there exist entire A for which the method used in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 cannot work, even though Z is itself entire.

By a construction of Barth, Brannan and Hayman [5], there exists a transcendental entire function G with the following property: every unbounded connected plane set contains a sequence (w_n) tending to infinity such that $(-1)^n \operatorname{Re} G(w_n) \leq |w_n|^{1/2}$. For some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ set

$$A(z) = G'(z)^2, \quad Z = H(z) = \int_{z_0}^z A(t)^{1/2} dt = G(z) - G(z_0).$$

Suppose that Z is univalent on a domain D , and maps a path $\Gamma \subseteq D$ onto a horizontal half-line L : it may be assumed that Γ starts at z_0 and $L = [0, +\infty)$ or $L = (-\infty, 0]$. Then in either case there exist $u_n \in \Gamma$, tending to infinity, and $v_n = G(u_n)$ with $|v_n| \leq 2|u_n|^{1/2}$ and so $4|u_n| \geq |v_n|^2$. Hence there must exist points $Z_n \rightarrow \infty$ on L and $z_n \rightarrow \infty$ on Γ such that $Z_n = H(z_n)$ and

$$(H^{-1})'(Z_n) \rightarrow \infty, \quad G'(z_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad A(z_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad A(z_n)^{-1/4} \exp(\pm i Z_n) \rightarrow \infty.$$

Of course this does not mean that no path exists for this A on which all solutions of (1) tend to 0, only that the method of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 cannot be reproduced for this case. $\square$

4 Indirect singularities over 0

First of all, if A is a non-constant polynomial then the transcendental singularity of E^{-1} over 0, the existence of which is guaranteed by Theorem 1.2, is always indirect.

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose that E^{-1} has a direct transcendental singularity over 0. Then A is transcendental.*

Proof. Assume that E^{-1} has a direct singularity over 0 but A is a polynomial. Then E has finite order, and by standard estimates for logarithmic derivatives [18] there exist $M > 0$ and a set $F_0 \subseteq [0, +\infty)$, of finite linear measure, with the property that, for $|z| \notin F_0$,

$$\left| \frac{E'(z)}{E(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{E''(z)}{E(z)} \right| \leq |z|^M. \quad (22)$$

Since the singularity is direct, there exist $c > 0$ and a component V of $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |E(z)| < c\}$ which contains no zeros of E , so that the formula

$$v(z) = \log |c/E(z)| \quad (z \in V), \quad v(z) = 0 \quad (z \notin V),$$

defines a non-constant subharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}$. This v is evidently bounded on a path tending to infinity, by Liouville's theorem applied to E . Hence a theorem of Lewis, Rossi and Weitsman [34], in combination with (4) and (22), gives a path γ tending to infinity for which

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in \gamma} \frac{u(z)}{\log |z|} = +\infty, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in \gamma, |z| \notin F_0} \frac{\log |A(z)|}{\log |z|} = +\infty,$$

an evident contradiction. □

4.1 An example with A transcendental

Let $E = E_2$, where E_2 is given by (7). Then $1 = \lambda(E) < \rho(E) = 2$, so the coefficient function A is transcendental, by Theorem 1.1. Write $z = x + iy$ with x, y real, and note first that $e^{\pm iz}$ and $\sin \pi z$ are bounded on the strip $-1 \leq y \leq 1$, while

$$\operatorname{Re}(2\pi iz^2) = -4\pi xy \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |e^{2\pi iz^2}| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x, y \geq 0.$$

In particular, $E(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ on the path Γ given by $z = x + i/\sqrt{x}$, $1 \leq x < +\infty$. Moreover, if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is large then $\sin \pi z$ is small, and so is $E(z)$, on the arc $z = k + iy$, $0 \leq y \leq 1/\sqrt{k}$, joining the zero k of E to Γ . Hence every neighbourhood of the singularity contains zeros of E and the singularity is indirect.

Because E has finite order, the Bergweiler-Eremenko theorem [7] implies that 0 must be a limit point of critical values of E , which may be verified directly as follows. If $|z|$ is large and $E'(z) = 0$ then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sin \pi z \sim \pm \tan \pi z = \pm \frac{i}{4z} \sim \pm \frac{i}{4k}, \quad z - k = O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right), \quad z^2 = k^2 + O(1).$$

This gives $e^{2\pi iz^2} = O(1)$ and $E(z) = o(1)$: thus 0 is the unique limit point in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ of the critical values of E . □

5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Lemma 5.1 *There exist positive real numbers c_1, c_2, c_3 with the following properties.*

Let E be a Bank-Laine function and let $s > 0$ be such that E has no asymptotic or critical values w with $0 < |w| \leq 2s$. If D is a component of $E^{-1}(D(0, s))$ which contains a zero of E then D is mapped univalently onto $D(0, s)$ by E , while D has Euclidean diameter at most $c_1 s$ and Euclidean area at least $c_2 s^2$. Furthermore, if r is large then the number of such components which meet $D(0, r)$ is at most $c_3 (r/s)^2$.

Proof. Let D be such a component, and let D' be the component of $E^{-1}(D(0, 2s))$ which contains D . By [36, p.287], E maps D' univalently onto $D(0, 2s)$: then the inverse function ϕ satisfies $\phi'(0) = 1/E'(\phi(0)) = \pm 1$.

Denote by d_j positive constants independent of E and s . First, Koebe's distortion theorem [24, Theorem 1.3] gives

$$|\phi'(w)| \leq d_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi_j(w) - \phi(0)| \leq sd_1 \quad \text{for } |w| \leq s,$$

so that the diameter of D is at most $2d_1s$. On the other hand, Koebe's quarter theorem [24, Theorem 1.2] shows that a disc of centre $\phi(0)$ and radius at least $d_2s|\phi'(0)| = d_2s$ lies in $D = \phi(D(0, s))$, and so D has Euclidean area at least d_3s^2 . Finally, if r is large and N of these components D meet $D(0, r)$ then they are all contained in $D(0, 2r)$ and so $Nd_3s^2 \leq 4\pi r^2$. $\square$

Let E and A be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4, and assume that (i) does not hold. If 0 is an asymptotic value of E then the corresponding singularity of E^{-1} over 0 is logarithmic by [36, p.287], and A must be transcendental by Lemma 4.1.

Assume henceforth that 0 is not an asymptotic value of E . Then E has infinite order, by Theorem 1.2, and there exists $s > 0$ such that E has no asymptotic or critical values w with $|w| \leq 2s$. Then all components of $E^{-1}(D(0, 2s))$ are mapped univalently onto $D(0, 2s)$ by E .

By Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, there exist a fixed $b \in D(0, s)$ and arbitrarily large r such that $E - b$ has N distinct zeros $z_1, \dots, z_N$ in $|z| \leq r$, where $N = n(r, b, E) \geq r^3$. But this gives at least $N \geq r^3$ distinct components of $E^{-1}(D(0, s))$, each containing a zero of E and meeting $D(0, r)$, contradicting Lemma 5.1. $\square$

6 Functions with maximal deficiency sum

Suppose that the function F is transcendental and meromorphic in the plane, of finite order, and that $\sum \delta(a, F) = 2$. By results from [12, 15, 16], the order ρ of F satisfies $2 \leq 2\rho \in \mathbb{N}$, and there are continuous functions $L_1(r), L_2(r)$ such that, as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$L_1(cr) = L_1(r)(1 + o(1)), \quad L_2(cr) = L_2(r) + o(1), \quad T(r, F) = L_1(r)r^\rho(1 + o(1)), \quad (23)$$

the first two estimates holding uniformly for $1 \leq c \leq 2$. Further, the results of [15, 16] give asymptotic representations for F as follows.

Choose a large positive constant R_1 and a positive function $\eta(r)$ decreasing slowly to 0 and write, for integer j with $0 \leq j \leq 2\rho$,

$$D_j = \{z : |z| > R_1, \quad |\arg z - L_2(|z|) - \pi j/\rho| < \pi/2\rho - \eta(r)\}, \quad (24)$$

so that $D_{2\rho} = D_0$. Associated with each D_j are a deficient value $a_j \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ of F and representations for F [16] holding outside a C^0 set C_1 , a union of discs $D(z_k, r_k)$ such that

$$z_k \rightarrow \infty, \quad \sum_{|z_k| < r} r_k = o(r) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (25)$$

If a_j is finite then, for $z = re^{i\theta}$ in $D_j \setminus C_1$,

$$\log |F(re^{i\theta}) - a_j| = -\pi L_1(r)r^\rho(|\cos(\rho(\theta - L_2(r)))| + o(1)). \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, if $a_j = \infty$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ lies in $D_j \setminus C_1$, then (26) becomes

$$\log |F(re^{i\theta})| = \pi L_1(r) r^\rho (|\cos(\rho(\theta - L_2(r)))| + o(1)). \quad (27)$$

The following facts are well known [12, 13, 15, 16] and follow from (25), (26) and (27).

Lemma 6.1 *The deficient values a_j associated with adjacent regions D_j are distinct, and if F is entire then they cannot both be finite.*

Moreover, the set of $r > 1$ such that the circle $S(0, r)$ meets C_1 has logarithmic density 0, and if F^{-1} has a direct singularity over some $b \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ then b is one of the a_j .

A proof of the last assertion is included here for completeness. Suppose that F^{-1} has a direct singularity over b , and that b is not one of the a_j . It may be assumed that $b = \infty$, and that there exist $M > 0$ and a component D of $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |F(z)| > M\}$ which contains no poles of F . This M may be chosen so large that (26) implies that $re^{i\theta} \notin D$, for each j . A non-constant, non-negative, continuous subharmonic function v may be defined by $\log |F(z)/M|$ on D , with $v = 0$ on the complement of D , and v has order at most ρ since

$$\max\{v(z) : |z| = r\} \leq \frac{3}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v(2re^{i\theta}) d\theta \leq 3T(2r, F) + O(1). \quad (28)$$

Moreover, (25) and (26) imply that, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ outside a set of zero logarithmic density, the angular measure of $S(0, r) \cap D$ is $o(1)$. A contradiction then arises from the next lemma, which is a completely standard consequence of estimates for harmonic measure from [41, p.116].

Lemma 6.2 *Let $0 < s < 1$ and let v be a continuous, non-negative, non-constant subharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}$, with support D . Suppose that, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ outside a set F_0 of zero logarithmic density, $S(0, r) \cap D$ has angular measure at most πs . Then v has order of growth at least $1/s$.*

Proof. Fix a large $S > 0$. For $t \geq S$, let $\theta^*(t)$ denote the angular measure of $S(0, t) \cap D$, with $\theta^*(t) = +\infty$ if $S(0, t) \subseteq D$. Then [41, p.116] yields, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\max\{v(z) : |z| = 2r\}) + O(1) &\geq \int_S^r \frac{\pi dt}{t\theta^*(t)} \geq \int_{[S, r] \setminus F_0} \frac{dt}{ts} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{s} \left(\log \frac{r}{S} - \int_{[1, r] \cap F_0} \frac{dt}{t} \right) \geq \left(\frac{1}{s} - o(1) \right) \log r. \end{aligned}$$

□

7 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Assume that E is as in the hypotheses. If A is constant then E clearly satisfies (6), so assume that A is non-constant. Then A is transcendental and E^{-1} has a logarithmic singularity over 0, by Theorem 1.4. Moreover, Lemma 5.1 yields, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$n(r, 1/E) = O(r^2), \quad N(r, 1/E) = O(r^2).$$

Lemma 7.1 *The function E satisfies*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T(r, E)}{r^2} < +\infty. \quad (29)$$

Proof. If (29) is false then $\delta(0, E) = 1$, which is incompatible with E^{-1} having a direct singularity over $c \neq 0, \infty$, by Lemma 6.1. $\square$

Thus E is an entire function of order at most 2, with lower growth given by (29), and E^{-1} has a logarithmic singularity over 0, a direct singularity over $c \neq 0, \infty$, and at least two direct singularities over ∞ . This gives, for some positive ε and M , with M large and ε so small that E has no asymptotic or critical values w with $0 < |w| \leq 2\varepsilon$:

- (i) a component D_0 of the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |E(z)| < \varepsilon\}$ mapped univalently by $v = \log 1/E(z)$ onto $\operatorname{Re} v > \log 1/\varepsilon$;
- (ii) a component D_1 of the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |E(z) - c| < \varepsilon\}$ containing no zeros of $E - c$;
- (iii) two components D_2, D_3 of the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |E(z)| > M\}$.

Moreover, these D_j are disjoint and D_0 does not meet any components of $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |E(z)| < \varepsilon\}$ which contain zeros of E .

Because the singularities are direct, there exist, for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, continuous, non-constant and non-negative subharmonic functions v_j , the support of v_j being D_j . Here $v_0(z) = \log |\varepsilon/E(z)|$ for $z \in D_0$, with $v_0(z) = 0$ elsewhere, and v_1, v_2, v_3 are defined analogously. Moreover, (28) holds for each j , with $v = v_j$ and F replaced by E .

Lemma 7.2 *For large positive t let $\theta_j(t)$ denote the angular measure of the intersection of D_j with the circle $S(0, t)$: then*

$$\phi(t) = 2\pi - \sum_{j=0}^3 \theta_j(t) \geq 0, \quad \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt < +\infty. \quad (30)$$

Moreover, E satisfies

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T(r, E)}{r^2} > 0. \quad (31)$$

Proof. The first assertion of (30) holds since the D_j are disjoint. Next, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives, for large positive t , say $t \geq S$,

$$16 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^3 1 \right)^2 \leq (2\pi - \phi(t)) \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{1}{\theta_j(t)}, \quad 16 + \frac{2\phi(t)}{\pi} \leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{\pi}{\theta_j(t)}.$$

Using (29), choose a sequence $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ with $T(4r_n, E) = O(r_n^2)$. Since (28) holds with $v = v_j$ and $F = E$, the estimate [41, p.116] implies that, for large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} 16 \log \frac{r_n}{S} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_S^{r_n} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt &\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^3 \int_S^{r_n} \frac{\pi}{\theta_j(t)} dt \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^3 \log^+ (\max\{v_j(z) : |z| = 2r_n\}) + O(1) \\ &\leq 8 \log^+ T(4r_n, E) + O(1) \leq 16 \log r_n + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\phi(t) \geq 0$, this delivers the second assertion of (30). Furthermore, it cannot be the case that $T(2r_n, E) = o(r_n)^2$, which proves (31). $\square$

Lemma 7.3 *The function E satisfies $N(r, 1/E) = o(r^2)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. It follows from (30) that, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\int_1^r t\phi(t) dt \leq \int_1^{\sqrt{r}} 2\pi t dt + r^2 \int_{\sqrt{r}}^r \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt \leq O(r) + o(r^2) = o(r^2).$$

Now suppose that r is large, and that E has N distinct zeros $u_1, \dots, u_N$ lying in $|z| \leq r$. Let C_k be the component of $E^{-1}(D(0, \varepsilon))$ containing u_k . Then E maps C_k univalently onto $D(0, \varepsilon)$, by the choice of ε , and C_j has Euclidean diameter at most $c_1\varepsilon$, but area at least $c_2\varepsilon^2$, by Lemma 5.1. Since the C_k cannot meet any of the D_j , this implies that

$$Nc_2\varepsilon^2 \leq \int_1^{2r} t\phi(t) dt = o(r^2),$$

which gives $n(r, 1/E) = o(r^2)$, and $N(r, 1/E) = o(r^2)$ after integration. $\square$

But (31) and Lemma 7.3 together imply that $\delta(0, E) = 1$, again contradicting Lemma 6.1 and the existence of a direct singularity of E^{-1} over $c \neq 0, \infty$. $\square$

8 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Assume that E is as in the hypotheses, that A is non-constant but that E has at least one finite non-zero deficient value c . Since E may be replaced by $E(cz)/c$, it may be assumed that $c = 1$. Then the results of Section 6 may be applied with $F = E$. Since a constant may be added to $L_2(r)$, it may be assumed further that $a_0 = c$, which forces $a_1 = \infty$, and that the C^0 set C_1 is such that, if z lies outside C_1 and $|z|$ is large then, for some fixed $M > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{E'(z)}{E(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{E'(z)}{E(z) - 1} \right| + \left| \frac{E''(z)}{E(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{E''(z)}{E(z) - 1} \right| + \left| \frac{A'(z)}{A(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{A'(z)}{A(z) + 1/4} \right| \leq |z|^M. \quad (32)$$

Lemma 8.1 *A is transcendental and the order ρ of E is 1.*

Proof. The basic idea of the proof is as follows: in regions where $E(z)$ is very close to 1, the coefficient $A(z)$ is close to $-1/4$, while in adjacent regions where $|E(z)|$ is large, $|A(z)|$ is not too large, in both cases by (4) and (32). The key is then to use harmonic measure to pull the first estimate for A across to where $E(z)$ is large, and thereby to bound the growth of E .

To this end, fix a large positive K , and use (25) to determine the following:

- (i) an unbounded set $F_1 \subseteq [1, +\infty)$ such that for $r \in F_1$ the circles $S(0, K^{\pm 2}r)$ do not meet C_1 ;
- (ii) for each $r \in F_1$, a small positive $\sigma = \sigma(r)$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in F_1} \sigma(r) = 0, \quad (33)$$

for which the radial segments

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_0 &= \{z = te^{i(L_2(r)+\sigma(r))} : K^{-2}r \leq t \leq K^2r\}, \\ \Omega_1 &= \{z = te^{i(L_2(r)+\pi/\rho+\sigma(r))} : K^{-2}r \leq t \leq K^2r\}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \{z = te^{i(L_2(r)+3\pi/4\rho+\sigma(r))} : K^{-1}r \leq t \leq Kr\},\end{aligned}$$

do not meet C_1 . Here (23) and (24) imply that Ω_0 lies within D_0 , while Ω_1, Ω_2 lie in D_1 .

Let Ω be the domain whose boundary consists of Ω_0, Ω_1 and the two shorter arcs Ω_3, Ω_4 of the circles $S(0, K^2r), S(0, K^{-2}r)$, respectively, joining Ω_0 to Ω_1 . In order to estimate A on $\partial\Omega$, consider first $z \in \Omega_0$, for large $r \in F_1$. Then (23), (26) and (33) deliver

$$\log |E(z) - 1| \leq -\frac{15\pi}{16}L_1(|z|)|z|^\rho \leq -\frac{7\pi}{8}L_1(r)|z|^\rho,$$

which on combination with (32) yields, for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\log \left| \frac{E^{(j)}(z)}{E(z)} \right| = \log \left| \frac{E^{(j)}(z)}{E(z) - 1} \cdot \frac{E(z) - 1}{E(z)} \right| \leq -\frac{3\pi}{4}L_1(r)|z|^\rho.$$

In view of (4) and (32), these estimates lead to

$$\log |A(z) + 1/4| \leq -\frac{\pi}{2}L_1(r)|z|^\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \log |A'(z)| \leq -\frac{\pi}{4}L_1(r)|z|^\rho \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega_0. \quad (34)$$

Note that (34) shows that A , which is assumed to be non-constant, cannot be a polynomial.

Next, $|E(z)|$ is large on Ω_1 , by (27) and the choice of $\sigma(r)$, and so (4) and (32) yield

$$\log |A(z)| \leq 3M \log |z| \leq 3M \log K^2r \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega_1. \quad (35)$$

It remains to estimate A on Ω_3, Ω_4 . Now, (4) and (23) give a routine estimate, for $z \in \partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}\log |A(z)| &\leq \log M(K^2r, A) \leq \left(\frac{K+1}{K-1} \right) T(K^3r, A) \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{K+1}{K-1} \right) T(K^3r, E) + O(\log K^3r) \leq 4 \left(\frac{K+1}{K-1} \right) L_1(r)(K^3r)^\rho. \quad (36)\end{aligned}$$

However, on most of these arcs Ω_3 and Ω_4 , either $E(z)$ is close to 1 or $|E(z)|$ is large, and so an upper bound for $|A(z)|$ follows from (4). Thus (32) delivers

$$\log |A(z)| \leq 3M \log K^2r \quad (37)$$

for all z on Ω_3, Ω_4 , apart from two small arcs Ω_5, Ω_6 , centred on the ray $\arg z = L_2(r) + \pi/2\rho$, on which $|A(z)|$ is bounded above via (36). By (33), it may be assumed that the angular measure of each of Ω_5, Ω_6 tends to 0 as $r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in F_1$.

Apply the two-constants theorem [36] to the subharmonic functions $\log |A + 1/4|$ and $\log |A'|$ on Ω , and let $z \in \Omega_2$. By rotation, the harmonic measure $\omega(z, \Omega_0, \Omega)$ is at least $c(K)$, where $c(K)$ depends only on K and is positive. On the other hand, since Ω lies inside the disc $D(0, K^2r)$

and outside the disc $D(0, K^{-2}r)$, the monotonicity property of harmonic measure with respect to subdomains yields

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in F_1} \sup\{z \in \Omega_2 : \omega(z, \Omega_5 \cup \Omega_6, \Omega)\} = 0.$$

It follows therefore from (23), (34), (35), (36) and (37) that, for $z \in \Omega_2$, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ in F_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log |A(z) + 1/4| &\leq -c(K) \frac{\pi}{2} L_1(r) (K^{-2}r)^\rho + 3M \log K^2 r + o(L_1(r)r^\rho) \\ &\leq -c(K) \frac{\pi}{4} r^{o(1)} (K^{-2}r)^\rho + 3M \log K^2 r < 0. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument may be applied to $\log |A'|$, using (34) and the same upper bounds for $\log |A|$ on $\partial\Omega$, coupled with (32). This yields, provided $r \in F_1$ is large enough,

$$|A(z)| < \frac{5}{4}, \quad |A'(z)| < 1, \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega_2. \quad (38)$$

The proof of the lemma will now be completed by estimating $E(z)$ on Ω_2 . First, (23), (27) and (33) yield

$$\log |E(z)| \sim \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} L_1(r) |z|^\rho \quad (39)$$

on Ω_2 . Differentiation of the non-linear equation (4) yields a linear equation

$$E''' + 4AE' + 2A'E = 0. \quad (40)$$

For $j = 0, 1, 2$ write

$$\lambda = e^{i(L_2(r) + 3\pi/4\rho + \sigma(r))}, \quad V_j(t) = E^{(j)}(\lambda t), \quad V(t) = |V_0(t)| + |V_1(t)| + |V_2(t)|,$$

so that (with the primes denoting d/dt)

$$V_0'(t) = \lambda V_1(t), \quad V_1'(t) = \lambda V_2(t), \quad V_2'(t) = -\lambda(4A(\lambda t)V_1(t) + 2A'(\lambda t)V_0(t)).$$

It follows from (38) and (40) that, for $K^{-1}r \leq s \leq Kr$,

$$V(s) \leq v(s) = V(K^{-1}r) + \int_{K^{-1}r}^s 10V(t) dt.$$

Using the standard method from Gronwall's lemma [25, 26] yields

$$v'(s) = 10V(s) \leq 10v(s)$$

and so, for $K^{-1}r \leq s \leq Kr$,

$$\log V(s) \leq \log v(s) \leq \log v(K^{-1}r) + 10(s - K^{-1}r) = \log V(K^{-1}r) + 10(s - K^{-1}r).$$

But this implies, in view of (32) and (39), that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - o(1)) \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} L_1(r) (Kr)^\rho &\leq \log |E(Kr e^{i(L_2(r) + 3\pi/4\rho + \sigma(r))})| \\ &\leq \log V(Kr) \leq \log V(K^{-1}r) + 10(K - K^{-1})r \\ &\leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} L_1(r) (K^{-1}r)^\rho + O(\log r) + 10(K - K^{-1})r. \end{aligned}$$

By (23) and the fact that r may be chosen arbitrarily large, this forces $\rho = 1$. $\square$

Thus 1 and ∞ are the only deficient values of E . Moreover, the method of Lemma 8.1 shows that if $r > 0$ is large and the circle $S(0, r)$ does not meet C_1 , then $S(0, r)$ consists of the following: an arc on which $E(z)$ is close to 1 and one on which $|E(z)|$ is large, with $\log |A(z)| \leq O(\log r)$ on both, by (4); two arcs whose angular measures each tend to 0 as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $|z| \geq 1$ lies outside a set of zero logarithmic density, then $\log |A(z)| \leq N \log |z|$, apart from on a set of angular measure $o(1)$. Further, there exists a polynomial P_1 , of degree at most N , such that $A_1(z) = (A(z) - P_1(z))/z^{N+1}$ is entire. Applying Lemma 6.2 to $\log^+ |A_1|$, which has order at most ρ , gives a contradiction. $\square$

9 Proof of Theorem 1.9

Proposition 9.1 *Let A be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and assume that there exists a simple path γ tending to infinity such that (1) has solutions u, v satisfying*

$$u(z) \sim 1, \quad v(z) \sim z, \quad W(u, v) \sim 1, \quad (41)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$ on γ . Let $E = f_1 f_2$, where f_1, f_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1) with $W(f_1, f_2) = 1$. Then $\lambda(E) = +\infty$.

Theorem 1.9 follows from Proposition 9.1, since [31, Proposition 7.1] guarantees the existence of the required path γ and solutions u, v .

Proof of Proposition 9.1. Let $A, E = f_1 f_2$ and γ be as in the hypotheses, and assume that $\lambda(E) < +\infty$. Then $V = v/u$ belongs to the Speiser class $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ by Theorem 1.8. Now (41) yields, as $z \rightarrow \infty$ on γ ,

$$V(z) \sim z \rightarrow \infty, \quad V'(z) = \frac{W(u, v)}{u^2} \sim 1, \quad \frac{zV'(z)}{V(z)} \rightarrow 1.$$

But a standard estimate [6, 37, 40] for functions in the class $\mathcal{B}$ gives a positive constant c such that, if $|z|$ and $|V(z)|$ are large enough,

$$\left| \frac{zV'(z)}{V(z)} \right| \geq c \log |V(z)|.$$

This contradiction proves Proposition 9.1 and hence Theorem 1.9. $\square$

Corollary 9.1 *Let A be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and assume that there exists $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r |A(re^{i\theta})| dr < +\infty. \quad (42)$$

Let $E = f_1 f_2$, where f_1, f_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1) with $W(f_1, f_2) = 1$. Then $\lambda(E) = +\infty$.

In [3, Theorem 1] it is shown that if A is a transcendental entire function of finite order ρ , and almost all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ are such that either (42) holds, or $r^{-N}|A(re^{i\theta})| \rightarrow +\infty$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, or $|A(re^{i\theta})| = O(r^n)$ for some $n = n(\theta)$ with $(n+2)/2 < \rho$, then $\lambda(E) = +\infty$. Corollary 9.1 shows that even a single occurrence of (42) suffices to force $\lambda(E) = +\infty$.

Proof of Corollary 9.1. This requires only a standard iterative construction of the solutions u, v in (41). Assume without loss of generality that $\theta = 0$, and take $X \geq 1$, so large that

$$\int_X^{+\infty} r|A(r)| dr < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$, and assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_0, \dots, u_n$ have been constructed with

$$u_j(x) = 1 + \int_x^{+\infty} (x-r)A(r)u_{j-1}(r) dr \quad \text{and} \quad |u_j(x) - u_{j-1}(x)| \leq 2^{1-j}$$

for $x \geq X$, which is evidently true for $n = 1$. Then $|u_n(r)| \leq 2$ for $r \geq X$, so that u_{n+1} may be defined and satisfies, for $x \geq X$,

$$|u_{n+1}(x) - u_n(x)| \leq \int_x^{+\infty} (r-x)|A(r)||u_n(r) - u_{n-1}(r)| dr \leq 2^{1-n} \int_x^{+\infty} r|A(r)| dr \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Hence the series $u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (u_j(x) - u_{j-1}(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x)$ converges uniformly for $x \geq X$, with $|u(x)| \leq 2$ there, and satisfies

$$u(x) = 1 + \int_x^{+\infty} (x-r)A(r)u(r) dr, \quad u'(x) = \int_x^{+\infty} A(r)u(r) dr, \quad u''(x) = -A(x)u(x).$$

Finally, $u(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ and v may be defined by $(v/u)' = u^{-2}$. $\square$

The following example shows that Proposition 9.1 and Corollary 9.1 fail for infinite order. Define an entire function h , a zero-free Bank-Laine function E and a meromorphic function δ by

$$h(z) = \int_1^z \frac{1-e^{-t}}{t} dt + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{te^t}, \quad E = e^h, \quad \frac{1}{E(z)} = e^{-h(z)} = \frac{1}{z} + \delta(z).$$

Let D be the sectorial domain given by $1/2 < |z| < +\infty$, $|\arg z| < \pi/4$, and denote by $\varepsilon(z)$ any term with the property that $z^n \varepsilon(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $z \rightarrow \infty$ in D , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$h(z) = \log z + \int_z^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{te^t} = \log z + \varepsilon(z), \quad h'(z) = \frac{1-e^{-z}}{z} = \frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon(z), \quad h''(z) = -\frac{1}{z^2} + \varepsilon(z),$$

in which the integral is eventually along the positive real axis. Now define an entire function A by (4). Then A and δ satisfy

$$-4A(z) = h'(z)^2 + 2h''(z) + e^{-2h(z)} = -\frac{1}{z^2} + \varepsilon(z) + \frac{1}{z^2}(1 + \varepsilon(z)) = \varepsilon(z), \quad \delta(z) = \varepsilon(z).$$

Finally, define g_1, g_2 on D by

$$\begin{aligned} g_j(z) &= E(z)^{1/2} \exp \left(\frac{(-1)^j}{2} \left(\log z - \int_z^{+\infty} \delta(t) dt \right) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{h(z)}{2} + \frac{(-1)^j}{2} \left(\log z - \int_z^{+\infty} \delta(t) dt \right) \right), \\ \frac{g'_j(z)}{g_j(z)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E'(z)}{E(z)} + (-1)^j \left(\frac{1}{z} + \delta(z) \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E'(z)}{E(z)} + \frac{(-1)^j}{E(z)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Straightforward computation then shows that g_1, g_2 solve (1) and $W(g_1, g_2) = 1$, while

$$g_1(z) = \exp(\varepsilon(z)) = 1 + \varepsilon(z), \quad g_2(z) = \exp(\log z + \varepsilon(z)) = z + \varepsilon(z).$$

□

10 Proof of Theorem 1.10

Suppose that A is a transcendental entire function of finite order with $\delta(0, A) = \delta > 0$ and that $\lambda(f_1 f_2) < +\infty$, where f_1, f_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1). Then $E = f_1 f_2$ has finite order and $U = f_2/f_1$ belongs to the Speiser class $\mathcal{S}$, by Theorems 1.1 and 1.8, so that U^{-1} has $n_0 < +\infty$ singular values in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

The first step is to determine positive real numbers δ_1, K_1 , as well as sequences $r_k \rightarrow +\infty$, $\theta_k \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$T(8r_k, A) < K_1 T(r_k, A) \tag{43}$$

and

$$\log |A(r_k e^{i\theta})| < -\frac{\delta}{4} T(r_k, A) \quad \text{for } \theta_k \leq \theta \leq \theta_k + \delta_1. \tag{44}$$

To prove (43) and (44), first write $A(z) = \alpha_1 z^{n_1} A_1(z)$, with $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $A_1(0) = 1$, and observe that $\delta(0, A_1) = \delta > 0$. Then choose $q_k \rightarrow +\infty$ with $T(16q_k, A) < K_1 T(q_k, A)$, which is possible for large enough K_1 since A has finite order. Next, apply Lemma 2.2 to $g = A_1$, with $R = 16q_k$, $\eta_1 = 1/2$, and with η_2 sufficiently small that there exists $r = r_k \in [q_k, 2q_k] \cap F_R$, which implies (43). Finally, take $G_r = [\theta_k, \theta_k + \delta_1] \subseteq [0, 2\pi]$, with θ_k chosen so that $\log |A_1(z)| < -\delta T(r_k, A_1)/2$ at one end-point of the arc $\{r e^{i\theta} : \theta \in G_r\}$. If $m = \delta_1$ is chosen small enough, this delivers (44). It may be assumed that (θ_k) converges and, after a rotation of the independent variable, that

$$\log |A(r_k e^{i\theta})| < -\frac{\delta}{4} T(r_k, A) \quad \text{for } |\theta| \leq \delta_2 = \frac{\delta_1}{4}. \tag{45}$$

The rest of the proof will use c, K to denote positive constants, not necessarily the same at each occurrence, but always independent of r_k , with c small and K large.

Lemma 10.1 *For large k there exist solutions u_k, v_k of (1) such that $W(u_k, v_k) = 1$ and*

$$v_k(z) = z(1 + \varepsilon_{1,k}(z)), \quad u_k(z) = 1 + \varepsilon_{2,k}(z), \tag{46}$$

in which

$$|\varepsilon_{j,k}(r_k e^{i\theta})| < \exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A)) \quad \text{for } |\theta| \leq \delta_2. \tag{47}$$

Proof. This is standard. Let k be large, denote the arc $\{r_k e^{i\theta} : |\theta| \leq \delta_2\}$ by B_k and let z_k be one of its end-points. If u is any solution of (1) write

$$v(z) = \int_{z_k}^z (t - z) A(t) u(t) dt, \quad v'(z) = - \int_{z_k}^z A(t) u(t) dt, \quad v''(z) = -A(z) u(z) = u''(z).$$

Since $v(z_k) = v'(z_k) = 0$ this yields

$$u(z) = u(z_k) + u'(z_k)(z - z_k) + v(z) = u(z_k) + u'(z_k)(z - z_k) + \int_{z_k}^z (t - z) A(t) u(t) dt. \quad (48)$$

If $\max\{|u(z_k)|, |u'(z_k)|\} \leq r_k$ then $|u(z)| < r_k^3$ on B_k . To see this, suppose that z'_k is the nearest point to z_k on B_k with $|u(z'_k)| \geq r_k^3$; then the integral in (48) is small at $z = z'_k$, by (45), giving $|u(z'_k)| \leq 4r_k^2$, a contradiction. Now choose solutions v_k, u_k of (1) such that

$$u_k(z_k) = 1, \quad u'_k(z_k) = 0, \quad v_k(z_k) = z_k, \quad v'_k(z_k) = 1,$$

and estimate the integral in (48) using (45) again. $\square$

There exists a Möbius transformation S_k such that $v_k/u_k = S_k \circ U$. Write

$$V_k(\zeta) = \frac{S_k(U(r_k \zeta))}{r_k} = \frac{v_k(r_k \zeta)}{r_k u_k(r_k \zeta)} = \zeta(1 + \rho_k(\zeta)), \quad V'_k(\zeta) = \frac{1}{u_k(r_k \zeta)^2} = 1 + \sigma_k(\zeta), \quad (49)$$

and denote by P_k the Schwarzian $P_k = S(V_k)$ of V_k . Then (45), (46) and (47) imply that

$$|\rho_k(\zeta)| + |\sigma_k(\zeta)| + |P_k(\zeta)| < \exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A)) \quad \text{for } w \in \Omega_k, \quad (50)$$

in which

$$\Omega_k = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = 1, |\arg \zeta| \leq \delta_2\}.$$

The function V_k is transcendental and meromorphic in the plane and, since S_k is Möbius, the inverse of V_k has n_0 singular values. Hence there exist $\phi_k \in [-\delta_2/2, \delta_2/2]$ and $s_k \in [2, 3]$ such that V_k has no asymptotic or critical values w in the simply connected domain D_k given by

$$D_k = \{w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg w - \phi_k| < \delta_3\} \cup \{w \in \mathbb{C} : ||w| - s_k| < \delta_3, |\arg w - \phi_k| < \pi\},$$

in which $\delta_3 > 0$ is small compared to δ_2 , but independent of k . In particular, V_k is univalent on any component of the pre-image of D_k .

Let $\delta_4 > 0$ be small compared to δ_3 . For large k , by (49) and (50), there exists ζ_k on the arc Ω_k such that

$$V_k(\zeta_k) = w_k, \quad \frac{4}{5} < |w_k| < \frac{5}{4}, \quad \arg w_k = \phi_k. \quad (51)$$

Further, the disc $D(w_k, 4\delta_4)$ lies in D_k and there exists a sub-arc Ω'_k of Ω_k mapped univalently by V_k onto a simple path Ω''_k lying in $|w - w_k| \leq 2\delta_4$ and joining w_k to the circle $S(w_k, 2\delta_4)$. Let W_k be that branch of V_k^{-1} which maps w_k to ζ_k : then W_k extends univalently to D_k and $W_k(V_k(\zeta)) = \zeta$ on Ω'_k . Moreover, W_k and its Schwarzian $Q_k = S(W_k)$ satisfy, by (49), (50) and the composition formula

$$0 = S(W_k \circ V_k) = Q_k(w) V'_k(\zeta)^2 + P_k(\zeta),$$

the estimate

$$|W'_k(w) - 1| + |Q_k(w)| < \exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A)) \quad \text{on } \Omega''_k. \quad (52)$$

Lemma 10.2 *If k is large enough then W_k and Q_k satisfy*

$$|W'_k(w) - 1| + |Q_k(w)| < \exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A)) \quad \text{on the disc } D(w_k, \delta_4). \quad (53)$$

Proof. First, W_k is univalent on $D(w_k, 4\delta_4) \subseteq D_k$, which delivers an estimate

$$|W'_k(w)| + |Q_k(w)| < K \quad (54)$$

on $D(w_k, 2\delta_4)$: for W'_k this follows from Koebe's distortion theorem [24] and (52), while for Q_k it suffices to use Nehari's univalence criterion [35]. The estimate (52) holds on the simple path Ω''_k from w_k to $S(w_k, 2\delta_4)$, and for $w \in D(w_k, \delta_4) \setminus \Omega''_k$ the harmonic measure of Ω''_k is at least c : thus the two constants theorem [36] applied to the subharmonic function $\log |W'_k - 1|$ gives

$$\log |W'_k(w) - 1| < K - c\delta T(r_k, A)$$

on $D(w_k, \delta_4)$. Applying the same argument to $\log |Q_k|$ yields (53). $\square$

Lemma 10.3 *Let $\delta_5 > 0$ be small compared to δ_4 . If k is large enough then W_k and Q_k satisfy an estimate (54) on the sub-domain $D'_k = D'_{1,k} \cup D'_{2,k}$ of D_k , where*

$$\begin{aligned} D'_{1,k} &= \{w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |w_k|/32 < |w| < 32|w_k|, |\arg w - \phi_k| < 2\delta_5\}, \\ D'_{2,k} &= \{w \in \mathbb{C} : ||w| - s_k| < 2\delta_5, |\arg w - \phi_k| < \pi - \delta_5\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The estimate for Q_k again follows from Nehari's criterion [35], since for each $w \in D'_k$ the function W_k is univalent on a disc $D(w, \delta_6) \subseteq D_k$ of fixed radius δ_6 . To estimate $W'_k(w)$, take a small $\delta_7 > 0$, start from (52) at the point $w_k \in \Omega''_k$ and apply Koebe's distortion theorem [24] repeatedly on a chain of discs $D(w_{k,j}, \delta_7)$, $0 \leq j \leq K$, the centres being chosen so that $w_{k,0} = w_k$ and the last $w_{k,j}$ is w , while $w_{k,j+1} \in D(w_{k,j}, \delta_7/2)$. $\square$

Lemma 10.4 *If k is large enough then W_k and Q_k satisfy*

$$|W'_k(w) - 1| + |Q_k(w)| < \exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A)) \quad (55)$$

for all w in the sub-domain $D''_k = D''_{1,k} \cup D''_{2,k}$ of D'_k , where

$$\begin{aligned} D''_{1,k} &= \{w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |w_k|/16 < |w| < 16|w_k|, |\arg w - \phi_k| < \delta_5\}, \\ D''_{2,k} &= \{w \in \mathbb{C} : ||w| - s_k| < \delta_5, |\arg w - \phi_k| < \pi - 2\delta_5\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The subharmonic functions $\log |W'_k - 1|$ and $\log |Q_k|$ are uniformly bounded above on D'_k , by Lemma 10.3, and the stronger estimate (53) holds on $D(w_k, \delta_4)$, and so on a subset of $\partial D'_{1,k}$ whose harmonic measure with respect to $D'_{1,k}$ is at least c when evaluated at any $w \in D''_{1,k}$. Thus the two constants theorem delivers (55) on $D''_{1,k}$. This estimate may then be extended in the same way to $D''_{2,k}$, possibly with a smaller constant c . $\square$

Lemma 10.5 *If k is large enough then*

$$W_k(w) = w(1 + \tau_k(w)), \quad |\tau_k(w)| < \exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A)) \quad \text{on } D''_k.$$

Proof. Write $\tau(w)$ for any term of modulus less than $\exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A))$. Then (47), (49), (51) and integration of (55) yield

$$\begin{aligned} W_k(w) &= W_k(w_k) + (w - w_k)(1 + \tau(w)) = \zeta_k + (w - w_k)(1 + \tau(w)) \\ &= w_k(1 + \tau(w)) + (w - w_k)(1 + \tau(w)) = w(1 + \tau(w)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 10.6 *If k is large enough then the image of D_k'' under W_k contains the arc Λ_k given by $|\zeta| = s_k$, $|\arg z - \phi_k| \leq \pi - 3\delta_5$, and*

$$|P_k(\zeta)| < \exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A)) \quad \text{on } \Lambda_k.$$

Proof. This holds by (55), Lemma 10.5 and the fact that $V_k \circ W_k$ is the identity on D_k . □

It now follows from Lemma 10.6 that

$$|A(z)| < \exp(-c\delta T(r_k, A)) \quad \text{for } |z| = s_k r_k, \quad |\arg z - \phi_k| \leq \pi - 3\delta_5.$$

Since $2 \leq s_k \leq 3$ this implies that, by (43) and Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r_k s_k, A) = m(r_k s_k, A) &\leq \frac{528 r_k \delta_5}{8 r_k - s_k r_k} \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{6\delta_5} \right) T(8r_k, A) \\ &\leq 132 K_1 \delta_5 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{6\delta_5} \right) T(r_k, A) \\ &\leq 132 K_1 \delta_5 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{6\delta_5} \right) T(r_k s_k, A). \end{aligned}$$

But K_1 is independent of δ_5 , which may in turn be chosen arbitrarily small, and this contradiction proves Theorem 1.10. □

10.1 Proof of Corollary 1.1

By Theorem 1.10 it suffices to prove that $\delta(0, A) > 0$. To this end, write $C = f'/f$ and

$$-A = C' + C^2, \quad -\frac{1}{C} = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{C'}{C} + C \right).$$

These relations imply that, outside a set of finite measure,

$$\frac{\delta(0, C)}{2} T(r, C) \leq m(r, 1/C) \leq T(r, A) + o(T(r, C))$$

and so C has finite order, by the monotonicity of $T(r, C)$. It then follows that

$$T(r, A) \leq O(T(r, C)) \leq O(m(r, 1/C)) \leq O(m(r, 1/A)) + O(\log r),$$

as required. □

10.2 Proof of Corollary 1.2

Suppose that $\lambda(E) < +\infty$. Then dividing (10) by E and applying the lemma of the logarithmic derivative delivers

$$m(r, 1/E) \leq m(r, B) + o(T(r, E))$$

outside a set of finite measure, and hence $\rho(E) < +\infty$. Now E is a Bank-Laine function, and so there exists an entire function A such that $E = f_1 f_2$, with the f_j normalised linearly independent solutions of (1), and A has finite order by (4). With $U = f_2/f_1$ this gives

$$\frac{E'}{E} = \frac{f_1'}{f_1} + \frac{f_2'}{f_2}, \quad \frac{1}{E} = \frac{U'}{U} = \frac{f_2'}{f_2} - \frac{f_1'}{f_1}, \quad B = \frac{E' - 1}{E} = 2 \frac{f_1'}{f_1},$$

and so $\delta(0, f_1'/f_1) > 0$. Since $\lambda(f_1 f_2) = \lambda(E) < +\infty$, this contradicts Corollary 1.1. $\square$

References

- [1] S. Bank and I. Laine, On the oscillation theory of $f'' + Af = 0$ where A is entire, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), 351-363.
- [2] S. Bank and I. Laine, On the zeros of meromorphic solutions of second-order linear differential equations, Comment. Math. Helv. 58 (1983), 656-677.
- [3] S. Bank, I. Laine and J.K. Langley, On the frequency of zeros of solutions of second order linear differential equations, Result. Math. 10 (1986), 8-24.
- [4] S. Bank and J.K. Langley, On the oscillation of solutions of certain linear differential equations in the complex domain, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 30 (1987), 455-469.
- [5] K.F. Barth, D.A. Brannan and W.K. Hayman, The growth of plane harmonic functions along an asymptotic path, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) (1978), 363-384.
- [6] W. Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1993), 151-188.
- [7] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko, On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 11 (1995), 355-373.
- [8] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko, On the Bank-Laine conjecture, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 19 (2017), 1899-1909.
- [9] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko, Quasiconformal surgery and linear differential equations, J. Analyse Math. 137 (2019), 751-812.
- [10] W. Bergweiler, A Eremenko and L. Rempe, Second order linear differential equations with a basis of solutions having only real zeros, J. Analyse Math. published online 2023, doi: 10.1007/s11854-023-0294-z
- [11] Y.M. Chiang, Oscillation results on $y'' + Ay = 0$ in the complex domain with transcendental entire coefficients which have extremal deficiencies, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 38 (1995), 13-34.
- [12] D. Drasin, Proof of a conjecture of F. Nevanlinna concerning functions which have deficiency sum two, Acta. Math. 158 (1987), 1-94.
- [13] D. Drasin and J.K. Langley, On deficiencies and fixpoints of composite meromorphic functions, Complex Variables 34 (1997), 63-82.
- [14] A. Edrei and W.H.J. Fuchs, Bounds for the number of deficient values of certain classes of meromorphic functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 12 (1962), 315-344.

- [15] A. Eremenko, A new proof of Drasin's theorem on meromorphic functions of finite order with maximal deficiency sum, I and II, (Russian), *Teoriya Funktsii, Funkts. Anal. i Prilozh.* (Kharkov), 51 (1989), 107-116, 52 (1989), 69-77. English Translation: *Journal of Soviet Math.*, 52 N6 (1990), 3522-3529, 52 N5, (1990), 3397-3403.
- [16] A. Eremenko, Meromorphic functions with small ramification, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 42 (1994), 1193-1218.
- [17] A. E. Eremenko and M.Yu. Lyubich, Dynamical properties of some classes of entire functions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* 42 (1992), 989-1020.
- [18] G. Gundersen, Estimates for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function, plus similar estimates, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 37 (1988), 88-104.
- [19] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [20] W.K. Hayman, The local growth of power series: a survey of the Wiman-Valiron method, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 17 (1974), 317-358.
- [21] W.K. Hayman, On Iversen's theorem for meromorphic functions with few poles, *Acta Math.* 141 (1978), 115-145.
- [22] W.K. Hayman, On a meromorphic function having few poles but not tending to infinity along a path, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 39 (1981), 83-91.
- [23] W.K. Hayman, *Subharmonic functions* Vol. 2, Academic Press, London, 1989.
- [24] W.K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, 2nd edition, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 110, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1994.
- [25] E. Hille, *Lectures on ordinary differential equations*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
- [26] E. Hille, *Ordinary differential equations in the complex domain*, Wiley, New York, 1976.
- [27] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations*, de Gruyter Studies in Math. 15, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/New York 1993.
- [28] J.K. Langley, On complex oscillation and a problem of Ozawa, *Kodai Math Journal* 9 (1986), 430-439.
- [29] J.K. Langley, Proof of a conjecture of Hayman concerning f and f'' , *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 48 (1993), 500-514.
- [30] J.K. Langley, Composite Bank-Laine functions and a question of Rubel, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), 1177-1191.
- [31] J.K. Langley, Transcendental singularities for a meromorphic function with logarithmic derivative of finite lower order, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* 19 (2019), 117-133.
- [32] J.K. Langley, Bank-Laine functions, the Liouville transformation and the Eremenko-Lyubich class, *J. Analyse Math.* 141 (2020), 225-246.
- [33] J.K. Langley, Bank-Laine functions with real zeros, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* 20 (2020), 653-665.
- [34] J. Lewis, J. Rossi and A. Weitsman, On the growth of subharmonic functions along paths, *Ark. Mat.* 22 (1984), 109-119.
- [35] Z. Nehari, The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions, *Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1949), 545-551.
- [36] R. Nevanlinna, *Eindeutige analytische Funktionen*, 2. Auflage, Springer, Berlin, 1953.
- [37] P. J. Rippon and G. M. Stallard, Iteration of a class of hyperbolic meromorphic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999), 3251-3258.
- [38] J. Rossi, Second order differential equations with transcendental coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 97 (1986), 61-66.
- [39] L.C. Shen, Solution to a problem of S. Bank regarding the exponent of convergence of the solutions of a differential equation $f'' + Af = 0$, *Kexue Tongbao* 30 (1985), 1581-1585.

- [40] D.J. Sixsmith, Dynamics in the Eremenko-Lyubich class, *Conform. Geom. Dyn.* 22 (2018), 185-224.
- [41] M. Tsuji, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959.

J.K. Langley, Emeritus Professor,
School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK.
james.langley@nottingham.ac.uk