

BETTI CONES OVER FIBRE PRODUCTS

H. ANANTHNARAYAN, OMKAR JAVADEKAR, AND RAJIV KUMAR

ABSTRACT. Let R be a fibre product of standard graded algebras over a field. We study the structure of syzygies of finitely generated graded R -modules. As an application of this, we show that the existence of an R -module of finite regularity and infinite projective dimension forces R to be Koszul. We also look at the extremal rays of the Betti cone of finitely generated graded R -modules, and show that when $\text{depth}(R) = 1$, they are spanned by the Betti tables of pure R -modules if and only if R is Cohen-Macaulay with minimal multiplicity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a standard graded algebra over an infinite field k , and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ denote the rational cone spanned by Betti tables of finitely generated graded R -modules. In [10], Eisenbud and Schreyer showed that if $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, then the extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ are spanned by the Betti tables of Cohen-Macaulay modules with a pure resolution. This result was conjectured by Boij and Söderberg in [6], where they also provided a proof when $n = 2$. For an introduction and survey of Boij-Söderberg theory, we refer the reader to [11].

After the work of Eisenbud and Schreyer, several authors took up the study of Betti cones and purity of their extremal rays for special classes of standard graded k -algebras. In [2, Theorem 4.3], Ananthnarayan and Kumar proved the purity of extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ for all standard graded k -algebras with Hilbert series $(1 + nz)/(1 - z)$. They also gave a complete description of the extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$. This was inspired by, and generalizes the results proved for quadratic hypersurfaces of embedding dimension two ([5]), and the coordinate ring of three non-collinear points in the projective plane ([12]).

In general, the converse of the above result of Ananthnarayan-Kumar is false, for example it is known that the extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ are spanned by pure modules when $R = k[X, Y]/\langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle$ (see [13]). In this article, we prove that a partial converse holds in Theorem 5.8 when R is a fibre product (over the residue field). We show that if $\text{depth}(R) = 1$ and the extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ are pure, then R is Cohen-Macaulay with minimal multiplicity, i.e., the Hilbert series of R is of the form $(1 + nz)/(1 - z)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using [14, Theorem 7.3.4], this can further be strengthened to say that if R is a fibre product such that $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ is spanned by Betti tables of pure modules, then R is a level Cohen-Macaulay algebra.

One of the initial steps in understanding the Betti table of a module M is to get information about its graded syzygies. Thus, we begin by studying the structure of syzygies of modules over a fibre product ring R . Let $(R_1, \mathfrak{m}, k), (R_2, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be standard graded k -algebras, and $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$ denote their fibre product. For each R -module M , it is known by work of Dress and Krämer in [9] that the i^{th} graded syzygy module, $\Omega_i^R(M)$, for $i \geq 2$, can be decomposed as a direct sum of an R_1 -module and an R_2 -module. We observe the same, and further describe the structure of $\Omega_i^R(M)$ ($i \geq 2$) in terms of first syzygy of $\pi_1(\Omega_{i-1}^R(M))$ and $\pi_2(\Omega_{i-1}^R(M))$, where $\pi_j : R \rightarrow R_j$ are the natural projections (see Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 3.9).

Due to the work of Avramov and Peeva [3], it is known that a k -algebra R is Koszul if and only if every finitely generated graded R -module has finite regularity. If R is a fibre product, we prove a stronger

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A02, 13D02, 13D40.

Key words and phrases. Fibre product, Betti cone, Pure resolution, Koszul algebra.

statement. We show in Proposition 4.9 that the Koszul property of fibre products is characterized merely by the existence of a module of infinite projective dimension and finite regularity. We also prove that the regularity of a pure R -module can be detected by looking at the degrees of the generators of the first two syzygy modules (see Remark 5.3).

The organization of this article is as follows: In Section 2, we collect the necessary definitions, basic observations, and known results that are needed for the rest of the article. Section 3 is devoted to the discussion of syzygies of modules over fibre products. We start by describing the structure of syzygies of an R_1 -module considered as an R -module in Proposition 3.3. As noted above, we also study the structure of $\Omega_i^R(M)$ ($i \geq 2$) of any R -module M , and show that it splits as a direct sum of an R_1 -module and an R_2 -module. These are used to study the Koszul property of fibre products in Section 4. For example, we show that if R is Koszul, then for each R_1 -module M we have $\text{reg}_R(M) = \text{reg}_{R_1}(M)$ (see Proposition 4.4). In Corollary 4.8, we also give an alternate proof for the fact that R is universally Koszul if and only if the same is true for R_1 and R_2 . Finally, Section 5 focuses on the equality of the Betti cone $\mathbb{B}_\mathbb{Q}(R)$ and the pure Betti cone $\mathbb{B}_\mathbb{Q}^{\text{pure}}(R)$ over fibre products. We characterize this property when $\text{depth}(R) = 1$ in Theorem 5.9. In Proposition 5.6, we also give a class of examples of R , with $\text{depth}(R) = 0$ where this property fails.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we state the basic definitions and related observations needed in the rest of the article. Known results which are used in our article are also recorded here for the sake of completeness.

Notation: Throughout the article, k denotes an infinite field, and R is a standard graded k -algebra, i.e., $R_0 = k$ and R is generated as a k -algebra by finitely many elements of degree one. We denote the unique homogeneous maximal ideal $\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} R_i$ of R by $\mathfrak{m}$.

2.1. Graded Rings and Modules. Let $M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_j$ and $N = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} N_j$ be a graded R -module.

- a) The n -twist of a graded module M , denoted by $M(n)$, is the graded module defined as $M(n)_j = M_{n+j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- b) The socle of R is defined as $\text{soc}(R) = 0 :_R \mathfrak{m}$. If R is Cohen-Macaulay, we say that R is *level* if there exists a maximal R -regular sequence of linear forms $\underline{x}$ such that $R/\langle \underline{x} \rangle$ is an Artinian ring, whose socle lies in a single degree, i.e., there is a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{soc}(R/\langle \underline{x} \rangle)$ is isomorphic to finitely many copies of $k(d)$.
- c) If M is finitely generated, the *Hilbert series* of M is defined as $H_M(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_k(M_j) z^j$. It is well-known (e.g., see [7, Section 4.1]) that there exists $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z, z^{-1}]$ such that $H_M(z) = f(z)/(1-z)^d$, where $d = \dim(M)$ and $f(1) \in \mathbb{N}$.
- d) An R -linear map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ called a *graded map of degree n* if $\phi(M_j) \subset N_{n+j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By convention, the term ‘graded map’ means a graded map of degree zero.

2.2. Invariants in Graded Resolutions. Let M be a finitely generated graded R -module and

$$\mathbb{F}_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal free resolution of M over R , i.e., for each i , ϕ_i is a graded map of degree zero, and $\ker(\phi_i) \subset \mathfrak{m}F_i$.

- a) We say that ϕ_1 is a *minimal presentation matrix* of M over R .
- b) The module $\Omega_i^R(M) = \ker(\phi_{i-1})$ is a graded R -module, called the i^{th} *syzygy module* of M . The number of minimal generators of $\Omega_i^R(M)$ in degree j is denoted by $\beta_{i,j}^R(M)$, and is called the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ *graded Betti number* of M . The number $\beta_i^R(M) = \sum_j \beta_{i,j}^R(M)$ is called the *total i^{th} Betti number* of M , and equals the minimal number of generators of $\Omega_i^R(M)$.

c) Let $\beta_{i,j} = \beta_{i,j}^R(M)$. Then the *Betti table* of M is written as

$$\beta^R(M) = \begin{array}{c|ccccc} & \begin{array}{c} i \\ \hline j \end{array} & 0 & 1 & \cdots & i & \cdots \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \beta_{0,0} & \beta_{0,1} & \cdots & \beta_{0,i} & \vdots \\ 1 & \beta_{1,0} & \beta_{1,1} & \cdots & \beta_{1,i+1} & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

Note that the $(i, j)^{th}$ entry in $\beta^R(M)$ is $\beta_{i,i+j}$.

d) The series $\mathcal{P}_M^R(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i^R(M) z^i$ (or simply $\mathcal{P}_M(z)$) is called the *Poincaré series* of M .

e) The *regularity* of M is defined as

$$\text{reg}_R(M) = \sup\{j - i \mid \beta_{i,j}^R(M) \neq 0\}.$$

The following result is a crucial component of Theorem 5.8.

Remark 2.1. [2, Lemma 4.6] Let M be a finitely generated graded R -module. Let $M^{(j)}$ be the submodule of M generated by elements of degree at most j . Then $\Omega_i^R(M)^{(j+i)} \simeq \Omega_i^R(M^{(j)})^{(j+i)}$ and $\beta_{i,k+i}^R(M) = \beta_{i,k+i}^R(M^{(j)})$ for $k \leq j$ and $i \geq 0$.

2.3. Pure and Linear Resolutions, Koszul Algebras. Let $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ be a minimal graded free resolution of M over R .

a) We say that $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is *pure* if for every i , $\beta_{i,j}^R(M) \neq 0$ for at most one j . In such a case, M is said to be a *pure module* of type

i) $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$ if $\text{pdim}_R(M) = \infty$ and $\beta_{i,\delta_i}^R(M) \neq 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

ii) $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_p, \infty, \infty, \dots)$ if $\text{pdim}_R(M) = p$ and $\beta_{i,\delta_i}^R(M) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq p$.

b) A pure module M , generated in degree δ_0 , is said to have a *linear resolution* if $\beta_{i,j}^R(M) \neq 0$ implies that $j = \delta_0 + i$. We say that a module M generated in degree δ_0 is *linear up to the k^{th} stage* if for $i \leq k$, $\beta_{i,j}^R(M) = 0$ for $j \neq \delta_0 + i$.

c) The ring R is said to be a *Koszul algebra* if $\mathbf{k}$ has a linear resolution over R , and a *universally Koszul algebra* if every ideal of R generated by linear forms has a linear resolution.

2.4. Betti Cones and Extremal Rays. Let R be a standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra.

a) Then the *Betti cone of finitely generated R -modules* is

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \{c_1 \beta^R(M_1) + \cdots + c_n \beta^R(M_n) \mid c_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, M_i \text{ is a finitely generated } R\text{-module}\}.$$

Similarly, we define the *Betti cone of pure R -modules* as

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) = \{c_1 \beta^R(M_1) + \cdots + c_n \beta^R(M_n) \mid c_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, M_i \text{ is a finitely generated pure } R\text{-module}\}.$$

b) A Betti table $\beta^R(M)$ of a nonzero finitely generated R -module M is said to be *extremal* in the Betti cone $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ if whenever there exist $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and finitely generated R -modules $M_1, \dots, M_n$ such that $\beta^R(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \beta^R(M_i)$, then we have $\beta^R(M) = c \beta^R(M_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $c \in \mathbb{Q}$.

- c) The condition $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$ is equivalent to all extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ being generated by Betti tables of pure modules.

Remark 2.2. [2, Theorem 4.3] Let R be a standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra with $H_R(z) = (1+nz)/(1-z)^d$, where $\dim(R) = d \leq 1$. Then $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$. Moreover,

- a) if $d = 0$, then the extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ are spanned by the Betti diagrams of the shifts of R , and $\mathbf{k}$.
- b) if $d = 1$, then the extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ are spanned by the Betti diagrams of the shifts of R , and $R/\mathfrak{m}^i$, and $R/\langle l \rangle^i$, where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and l is a linear regular element in R .

2.5. Fibre Products over $\mathbf{k}$. Let $(R_1, \mathfrak{m}_1, \mathbf{k})$, and $(R_2, \mathfrak{m}_2, \mathbf{k})$ be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras with $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ being the respective homogeneous maximal ideals, and $\epsilon_1 : R_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$, $\epsilon_2 : R_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be the natural maps. Then the *fibre product of R_1 and R_2 over $\mathbf{k}$* , denoted by $R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$, is defined as

$$R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2 = \{(g, h) \in R_1 \times R_2 \mid \epsilon_1(g) = \epsilon_2(h)\}.$$

For example, if $R_1 = \mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $R_2 = \mathbf{k}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$, then we have

$$R = \mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m] / \langle X_i Y_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \rangle.$$

NOTE: To avoid trivial cases, we assume throughout the article that $R_1 \not\cong \mathbf{k}$ and $R_2 \not\cong \mathbf{k}$.

Remark 2.3. Let $(R_1, \mathfrak{m}_1, \mathbf{k})$, and $(R_2, \mathfrak{m}_2, \mathbf{k})$ be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras and $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$.

- a) The fibre product R fits into the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & R_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_1 \\ R_2 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2} & \mathbf{k} \end{array}$$

where $R \rightarrow R_1$ and $R \rightarrow R_2$ are the natural projections.

- b) If $(R_1, \mathfrak{m}_1, \mathbf{k})$, $(R_2, \mathfrak{m}_2, \mathbf{k})$ are standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, then so is R , with homogeneous maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$.
- c) There is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R_1 \oplus R_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ of R -modules. Hence we have $\text{depth}(R) = \min\{1, \text{depth}(R_1), \text{depth}(R_2)\}$ and $\dim(R) = \max\{\dim(R_1), \dim(R_2)\}$.

More details about the above observations can be found in [1, Chapter 4].

3. SYZYGIES OVER FIBRE PRODUCTS

Throughout this section, R_1 and R_2 are standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, and $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$. We look at the syzygies over R in this section, initially of an R_1 -module M . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that these are the building blocks towards understanding higher syzygies of a general R -module M , which we do later in the section.

Remark 3.1. This remark sets up the notation for the rest of the article.

- a) For $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$, and let $\pi_j : R \rightarrow R_j$ be the natural onto maps.

For $j = 1, 2$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the same notation to denote the natural projections $\pi_j : R^{\oplus t} \rightarrow R_j^{\oplus t}$.

- b) For $j = 1, 2$ we identify $\mathfrak{m}_j$ as a subset of $\mathfrak{m}$ via the natural inclusion $\iota_j : \mathfrak{m}_j \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$. In a similar way, we identify $\mathfrak{m}_j^{\oplus t}$ as a subset of $\mathfrak{m}^{\oplus t}$.

- c) Let M be a finitely generated R -module with $\mu(M) = t$, and $F = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t Re_j$ map minimally onto M . Then every element of $\Omega_1^R(M)$ can be written as $\sum_{j=1}^t (g_j + h_j)e_j$, where $g_j \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ and $h_j \in \mathfrak{m}_2$.

Furthermore, if M is an R_1 -module, then $\Omega_1^{R_1}(M) \subset \mathfrak{m}_1^{\oplus t}$ can be identified with the corresponding submodule of $\mathfrak{m}_1 F$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let R_1 and R_2 be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$. If M_1 is minimally generated as an R_1 -module by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, with $\deg(x_j) = c_j$, and M_2 is minimally generated as an R_2 -module by $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$, with $\deg(y_j) = c'_j$, then*

a) $\Omega_1^R(M_1) \simeq \Omega_1^{R_1}(M_1) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_2(-c_j) \right).$

In particular, if M_1 has a minimal generator in degree d , then $\Omega_1^R(M_1)$ has a minimal generator in degree $d + 1$.

b)

$$\Omega_1^R(M_1 \oplus M_2) \simeq \Omega_1^{R_1}(M_1) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_2(-c_j) \right) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_2}(M_2) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{m}_1(-c'_j) \right).$$

Proof. Note that (b) follows from (a). We now prove (a).

Let $F = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n Re_j$ with $\deg(e_j) = c_j$, and $\varphi : F \rightarrow M$ be an R -linear map given by $\varphi(e_i) = x_i$. Note that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$, and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ acts trivially on M . Thus, $\Omega_1^{R_1}(M) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_2 e_j \right) \subset \Omega_1^R(M)$.

To prove the other inclusion, let $\sum_j (g_j + h_j)e_j \in \Omega_1^R(M)$, where $g_j \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ and $h_j \in \mathfrak{m}_2$. Since $\sum_j h_j e_j \in \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_2 e_j \right) \in \Omega_1^R(M)$, we see that $\sum_j g_j e_j \in \Omega_1^R(M)$. Therefore, $\sum_j g_j x_j = 0$, and hence $\sum_j g_j e_j \in \Omega_1^{R_1}(M)$.

Finally, the second part of (a) follows by the choice of c_j . $\square$

The following proposition relates the syzygies of an R_1 -module M to those of M as an R -module.

Proposition 3.3. *Let R_1 and R_2 be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$, and M be a finitely generated graded nonzero R_1 -module. Then*

$$\Omega_i^R(M) \simeq \Omega_i^{R_1}(M) \oplus \Omega'_i \oplus \Omega''_i,$$

where

$$\Omega'_i \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^i \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_j^{R_2}(\mathbf{k})^{a_{j,k}}(-d_{j,k}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega''_i \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{i-1} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_j^{R_1}(\mathbf{k})^{b_{j,k}}(-d'_{j,k})$$

for some $a_{j,k} \geq 0, b_{j,k} \geq 0, d_{j,k}, d'_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathbb{F}_{\bullet} : \dots \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal graded free resolution of M .

By Lemma 3.2(a), the result is true for $i = 1$. Let $i \geq 1$, and inductively assume that

$$\Omega_i^R(M) \simeq \Omega_i^{R_1}(M) \oplus \Omega'_i \oplus \Omega''_i,$$

where Ω'_i and Ω''_i are as in the statement. Hence, $\Omega_{i+1}^R(M) \simeq \Omega_1^R(\Omega_i^{R_1}(M) \oplus \Omega'_i) \oplus \Omega_1^R(\Omega''_i)$.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ be minimal generating sets of $\Omega_i^{R_1}(M) \oplus \Omega_i''$ and Ω_i' , respectively. Suppose that $c_j = \deg(x_j)$ and $c'_j = \deg(y_j)$. Then by Lemma 3.2(b), we get

$$\Omega_{i+1}^R(M) \simeq \Omega_{i+1}^{R_1}(M) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_1}(\Omega_i'') \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_2(-c_j) \right) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_2}(\Omega_i') \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{m}_1(-c'_j) \right).$$

Note that $\Omega_1^{R_1}(\Omega_i'') \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{i-1} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{j+1}^{R_1}(\mathbf{k})^{b_{j,k}}(-d'_{j,k}) \right)$. We can write $\Omega_1^{R_2}(\Omega_i')$ similarly.

The result now follows since $\Omega_1^{R_1}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\Omega_1^{R_2}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathfrak{m}_2$. $\square$

Remark 3.4. Let the notation be as in Proposition 3.3 and its proof.

a) If

$$\Omega_i' \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^i \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_j^{R_2}(\mathbf{k})^{a_{j,k}}(-d_{j,k}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_i'' \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{i-1} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_j^{R_1}(\mathbf{k})^{b_{j,k}}(-d'_{j,k})$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{i+1}' \oplus \Omega_{i+1}'' &\simeq \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^i \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{j+1}^{R_2}(\mathbf{k})^{a_{j,k}}(-d_{j,k}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{i-1} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{j+1}^{R_1}(\mathbf{k})^{b_{j,k}}(-d'_{j,k}) \right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_2(-c_j) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{m}_1(-c'_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

b) Moreover, if $\Omega_i^R(M)$ has a minimal generator in degree d , then $\mathfrak{m}_1(-d)$ or $\mathfrak{m}_2(-d)$ is a direct summand of $\Omega_{i+1}' \oplus \Omega_{i+1}''$. In particular, $\Omega_{i+1}' \oplus \Omega_{i+1}''$, and hence $\Omega_{i+1}^R(M)$ have minimal generators in degree $d+1$. Thus, $\beta_{i,d}^{R_1}(M) \neq 0$, then $\beta_{i+j,d+j}^R(M) \neq 0$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Another immediate consequence of Proposition 3.3 is the following.

Corollary 3.5. *Let R_1 and R_2 be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$, and M be a finitely generated graded R_1 -module. Then $\Omega_i^{R_1}(M) \mid \Omega_i^R(M)$ for each i , and as a consequence, we have*

a) $\text{reg}_R(M) \geq \text{reg}_{R_1}(M)$, and

b) if M has a linear resolution over R , then it has a linear resolution over R_1 .

We now study the syzygies of a finitely generated graded module M over $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$. In particular, in Corollary 3.9, we see that $\Omega_2^R(M)$ decomposes as a direct sum of modules over R_1 and R_2 , respectively. We first prove the following technical results.

Lemma 3.6. *Let R_1 and R_2 be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$, F be a free R -module of finite rank, and π_1 and π_2 be as in Remark 3.1. Let $N \subset \mathfrak{m}F$ be a submodule, with a minimal generating set $\{x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s, z_1, \dots, z_t\}$, where $x_i \in \mathfrak{m}_1F$, $y_j \in \mathfrak{m}_2F$, and $z_k \in \mathfrak{m}F \setminus (\mathfrak{m}_1F \cup \mathfrak{m}_2F)$ are such that t is minimum. Then, with $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$, $\underline{y} = y_1, \dots, y_s$, and $\underline{z} = z_1, \dots, z_t$, we have:*

a) $\langle \underline{y} \rangle \subset \ker(\pi_1) \cap N \subset \langle \underline{y} \rangle + \mathfrak{m}N$, and $\langle \underline{x} \rangle \subset \ker(\pi_2) \cap N \subset \langle \underline{x} \rangle + \mathfrak{m}N$.

b) $\pi_1(N)$ and $\pi_2(N)$ are minimally generated by $\{\underline{x}, \pi_1(\underline{z})\}$, and $\{\underline{y}, \pi_2(\underline{z})\}$ respectively.

Proof. Write $z_k = x_{r+k} + y_{s+k}$, where $x_{r+k} \in \mathfrak{m}_1 F \setminus \{0\}$, and $y_{s+k} \in \mathfrak{m}_2 F \setminus \{0\}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Note that $\pi_1(z_k) = x_{r+k}$, and $\pi_2(z_k) = y_{s+k}$ for all k . Moreover, if $(a, b) \in R$, then $(a, b)x_i = ax_i$, $(a, b)y_j = by_j$, and $(a, b)z_k = ax_{r+k} + by_{s+k}$.

a) Clearly, by the definition of π_1 , we have $\langle \underline{y} \rangle \subset \ker(\pi_1) \cap N$. For $(a_i, a'_i), (b_j, b'_j), (c_k, c'_k) \in R$, suppose $\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, a'_i)x_i + \sum_{j=1}^s (b_j, b'_j)y_j + \sum_{k=1}^t (c_k, c'_k)z_k \in \ker(\pi_1) \cap N$. Applying π_1 , we get $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t c_k x_{r+k} = 0$.

We first claim that for all $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, we have $c_k \in \mathfrak{m}_1$. If not, without loss of generality, we may assume that $c_t \in R_1 \setminus \mathfrak{m}_1$, i.e., c_t is a unit in R_1 . Note that $(c_t, c'_t) \in R$ forces $c'_t \in R_2 \setminus \mathfrak{m}_2$.

Write $x_{r+t} = -c_t^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} c_k x_{r+k} \right)$. Then $\{\underline{x}, \underline{y}, z_1, \dots, z_{t-1}, y\}$ is a minimal generating set of M , where $y = z_t + (c_t, c'_t)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, a'_i)x_i + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (c_k, c'_k)z_k \right) \in \mathfrak{m}_2 F$. This contradicts the minimality of t .

Thus, $c_k \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ for all k , and hence $(c_k, 0) \in R$ for all k . Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t c_k x_{r+k} = 0$ in $\pi_1(F)$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, a'_i)x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (c_k, 0)z_k \in \ker(\pi_1)$. Also, since $a'_i x_i = 0$ for all i , we see that $\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, a'_i)x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (c_k, 0)z_k \in \ker(\pi_2)$. Thus, we get $\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, a'_i)x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (c_k, 0)z_k = 0$ in F .

Since $\underline{x}, \underline{z}$ is a part of a minimal generating set of N , this forces $(a_i, a'_i) \in \mathfrak{m}$. Finally, $c_k \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ forces $c'_k \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, and hence $(c_k, c'_k) \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all k .

Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, a'_i)x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (c_k, c'_k)z_k \in \mathfrak{m}N$, completing the proof of (a), as the second part follows similarly.

b) It is clear that $\{\underline{x}, \pi_1(\underline{z})\}$, and $\{\underline{y}, \pi_2(\underline{z})\}$ are generating sets of $\pi_1(N)$ and $\pi_2(N)$, respectively. In order to prove that they are minimal, it is enough to show it in the former case, as the latter follows similarly.

Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{r+t} a_i x_i \in \mathfrak{m}_1 \pi_1(N)$ for some $a_i \in R_1$. We want to prove that $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ for all i . Write $\sum_{i=1}^{r+t} a_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r+t} b_i x_i$ for some $b_i \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{r+t} (a_i - b_i)x_i = 0$. Choose $a'_i, b'_i \in R_2$ such that $(a_i, a'_i), (b_j, b'_j) \in R$. Observe that $b_j \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ implies that $b'_j \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, and hence $(b_j, b'_j) \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Then $\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i - b_i, a'_i - b'_i)x_i + \sum_{k=r+1}^{r+t} (a_k - b_k, a'_k - b'_k)z_k \in \ker(\pi_1)$. The proof is complete by the following remark. □

Remark 3.7. The proof of (a) in the above lemma yields the following stronger statement:

If $\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, a'_i)x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (c_k, c'_k)z_k \in \ker(\pi_1)$, then $(a_i, a'_i), (c_k, c'_k) \in \mathfrak{m}$, and hence $a_i, c_k \in \mathfrak{m}_1$.

The following proposition describes the second syzygy of an R -module, in terms of related modules over R_1 and R_2 .

Proposition 3.8. *Let R_1 and R_2 be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$, and N be a finitely generated graded R -module. Suppose F is a free R -module of finite rank, and $N \subset \mathfrak{m}F$. Then with*

π_1, π_2 as in Remark 3.1, we have

$$\Omega_1^R(N) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{m}_2(d_i) \right) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_1}(\pi_1(N)) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_2}(\pi_2(N)) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^s \mathfrak{m}_1(d'_j) \right),$$

where $r = \mu(N) - \mu(\pi_2(N))$, $s = \mu(N) - \mu(\pi_1(N))$, $d_i = \deg(x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $d'_j = \deg(y_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$, with x_i, y_j as in Lemma 3.6.

Proof. Let $\{\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}\}$ be a minimal generating set of N , with notation as in the previous lemma. Suppose G_1, G_2, G_3 are free R -modules with bases $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}, \{f_1, \dots, f_t\}, \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ respectively, and $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus G_3$. Consider an R -module homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow N$ given by

$$\phi(e_i) = x_i, \phi(f_k) = z_k = x_{r+k} + y_{s+k}, \text{ and } \phi(g_j) = y_j.$$

Then $\Omega_1^R(N) \simeq \ker(\phi) \subset \mathfrak{m}G = \mathfrak{m}_1G_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2G_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1G_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2G_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1G_3 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2G_3$.

Claim: $\Omega_1^{R_1}(\pi_1(N))$ and $\Omega_1^{R_2}(\pi_2(N))$ can both be identified with submodules of $\ker(\phi)$.

We prove the claim for $\Omega_1^{R_1}(\pi_1(N))$, and the other case is similar. In order to prove this, recall that $\pi_1(N)$ is minimally generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{r+t}\}$, by Lemma 3.6(b). Hence, $\pi_1(G_1 \oplus G_2)$ is a free R_1 -module which maps minimally onto $\pi_1(N)$ by a map, say ϕ_1 , induced from ϕ . Then $\Omega_1^{R_1}(\pi_1(N)) \simeq \ker(\phi_1) \subset \mathfrak{m}_1\pi_1(G_1 \oplus G_2)$. Identifying the last module with $\mathfrak{m}_1G_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1G_2$ as in Remark 3.1, we now show that $\ker(\phi_1) \subset \ker(\phi)$.

Now, assume $(a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_t) \in \ker(\phi_1) \subset \mathfrak{m}_1G_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1G_2$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t b_k x_{r+k} = 0$, and hence

$$\phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, 0)e_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (b_k, 0)f_k \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i, 0)x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (b_k, 0)(x_{r+k} + y_{s+k}) = 0.$$

Thus, $(a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_t) \in \ker(\phi)$, and hence $\ker(\phi_1) \subset \ker(\phi)$, proving the claim.

Thus, to prove the proposition, it is enough to show the following:

$$\mathfrak{m}_2G_1 \oplus \ker(\phi_1) \oplus \ker(\phi_2) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1G_3 = \ker(\phi).$$

We have proved that $\ker(\phi_1) \oplus \ker(\phi_2) \subset \ker(\phi)$. Now we get $\phi(\mathfrak{m}_2G_1) = \mathfrak{m}_2\langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle = 0$. Similarly, since $\mathfrak{m}_1y_j = 0$, we get $\phi(\mathfrak{m}_1G_3) = 0$. This proves

$$\mathfrak{m}_2G_1 \oplus \Omega_1^{R_1}(\pi_1(N)) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_2}(\pi_2(N)) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1G_3 \subset \Omega_1^R(N).$$

To prove the other inclusion, let

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i + a'_i)e_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (b_k + b'_k)f_k + \sum_{j=1}^s (c_j + c'_j)g_j \in \ker(\phi),$$

where $a_i, b_k, c_j \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, and $a'_i, b'_k, c'_j \in \mathfrak{m}_2$.

Thus, $\phi(\sigma) = 0$ gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i + a'_i)x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t (b_k + b'_k)z_k + \sum_{j=1}^s (c_j + c'_j)y_j = 0.$$

Now, using $x_i \in \mathfrak{m}_1 F$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, r+t\}$, $y_j \in \mathfrak{m}_2 F$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, s+t\}$, $z_k = x_{r+k} + y_{s+k}$ for all k , and $\mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 = 0$, the above equation can be rewritten as

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a'_i x_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t b_k x_{r+k} \right) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^t b'_k y_{s+k} + \sum_{j=1}^s c'_j y_j \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^s c_j y_j \right) = 0,$$

where the first and the last terms are zero. Moreover, $\mathfrak{m}F = \mathfrak{m}_1 F \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 F$ forces the second and the third terms to be individually zero. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a'_i x_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i + \sum_{k=1}^t b_k x_{r+k} = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^t b'_k y_{s+k} + \sum_{j=1}^s c'_j y_j = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^s c_j y_j = 0.$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\sigma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a'_i e_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i e_i + \sum_{k=1}^t b_k f_k \right) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^t b'_k f_k + \sum_{j=1}^s c'_j g_j \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^s c_j g_j \right)$$

is in $\mathfrak{m}_2 G_1 \oplus \Omega_1^{R_1}(\pi_1(N)) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_2}(\pi_2(N)) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1 G_3$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Taking $N = \Omega_{i-1}^R(M)$ in Proposition 3.8, as an immediate application, we get the following.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $R = R_1 \times_{\mathfrak{k}} R_2$ be a fibre product of standard graded $\mathfrak{k}$ -algebras, and M be a finitely generated graded R -module. Then, for $i \geq 2$, $\Omega_i^R(M)$ splits as a direct sum of modules over R_1 and R_2 , respectively.*

Remark 3.10. Let $R = R_1 \times_{\mathfrak{k}} R_2$ be a fibre product of standard graded $\mathfrak{k}$ -algebras. If M is an R -module of infinite projective dimension, then, for $i \geq 3$, there exist $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_k(-j) \mid \Omega_i^R(M)$. This follows from Corollary 3.9, and Lemma 3.2 (with M replaced by $\Omega_{i-1}(M)$). In fact, we have the following stronger statement:

If $\mathfrak{m}_1 \mid \Omega_3^R(M)$, then $\mathfrak{m}_1 \mid \Omega_{2i-1}^R(M)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2 \mid \Omega_{2i}^R(M)$ for all $i \geq 2$, and vice versa.

Example 3.11.

a) We first see an example in which neither $\mathfrak{m}_1 \mid \Omega_2^R(M)$ nor $\mathfrak{m}_2 \mid \Omega_2^R(M)$.

Let $R_1 = \mathfrak{k}[X_1, X_2]$, $R_2 = \mathfrak{k}[Y_1, Y_2]$ and

$$R = R_1 \times_{\mathfrak{k}} R_2 \simeq \mathfrak{k}[X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2] / \langle X_1 Y_1, X_2 Y_1, X_1 Y_2, X_2 Y_2 \rangle$$

and $M = R / \langle X_1^2 + Y_1^2, X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \rangle$. Then, we have $\Omega_1^R(M) \simeq \langle X_1^2 + Y_1^2, X_2^2 + Y_2^2 \rangle$. Therefore, in the notation of Proposition 3.8, we have $r = s = 0$ and

$$\Omega_2^R(M) \simeq \Omega_2^{R_1}(R_1 / \langle X_1^2, X_2^2 \rangle) \oplus \Omega_2^{R_2}(R_2 / \langle Y_1^2, Y_2^2 \rangle).$$

Clearly $\mathfrak{m}_k \nmid \Omega_2^R(M)$ for $k = 1, 2$.

b) In this example, we see that for $i \geq 1$, there can exist exactly one $k \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_k \mid \Omega_i^R(M)$.

Let $R = \mathfrak{k}[X, Y] / \langle XY \rangle$. Then $R \simeq \mathfrak{k}[X] \times_{\mathfrak{k}} \mathfrak{k}[Y]$. Take $M = R / \langle X \rangle$. Then $\Omega_i^R(M) \simeq \langle X \rangle = \mathfrak{m}_1$ when i is odd, and $\Omega_i^R(M) \simeq \langle Y \rangle = \mathfrak{m}_2$ when i is even.

4. FIBRE PRODUCTS AND THE KOSZUL PROPERTY

This section focuses on the Koszul property of the fibre product $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$. In Proposition 4.5, we show that an R_1 -module having pure resolutions over R must have a linear resolution over R , and that the existence of such modules forces R_1 and R_2 to be Koszul. As applications of this, in Corollary 4.7 and Corollary 4.8, we get that R is (universally) Koszul if and only if the same holds for both R_1 and R_2 . We end this section by showing that the fibre product R being Koszul is equivalent to the existence of module with infinite projective dimension and finite regularity.

We begin with the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R_1 and R_2 be Koszul algebras, $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$, and M be a finitely generated graded R_1 -module. Then with the notation as in the Proposition 3.3, $\Omega'_{i+1} \oplus \Omega''_{i+1}$ has a minimal generator in degree $j+1$ if and only if $\Omega_i^R(M)$ has a minimal generator in degree j .*

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) This follows from Remark 3.4(b).

($\Rightarrow$) By Remark 3.4(a), we have $\Omega'_{i+1} \oplus \Omega''_{i+1} \simeq$

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^i \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{j+1}^{R_2}(k)^{a_{j,k}}(-d_{j,k}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{i-1} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{j+1}^{R_1}(k)^{b_{j,k}}(-d'_{j,k}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_2(-c_j) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^m \mathfrak{m}_1(-c'_k) \right),$$

where $C = \{c_j, c'_k \mid 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ is the set of degrees of the minimal generators of $\Omega_i^R(M)$.

Note that the minimal generators of $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ are in degree 1, and since R_1, R_2 are Koszul, the minimal generators of $\Omega_{j+1}^{R_1}(k), \Omega_{j+1}^{R_2}(k)$ are in degree $j+1$. Hence, if d is the degree of a minimal generator of $\Omega'_{i+1} \oplus \Omega''_{i+1}$, then d is of the form $d_{j,k} + j + 1, d'_{j,k} + j + 1, c_j + 1$, or $c'_k + 1$.

We want to show that $d - 1 \in C$. This is clear if $d = c_j + 1$ or $c'_k + 1$. Suppose $d = d_{j,k} + j + 1$. Then $\Omega_{j+1}^{R_2}(k)(-d_{j,k}) \mid (\Omega'_{i+1} \oplus \Omega''_{i+1})$, and hence $\Omega_j^{R_2}(k)(-d_{j,k}) \mid \Omega_i^R(M)$, forcing $d_{j,k} + j \in C$. A similar argument works in the last remaining case, proving the result. $\square$

The following proposition, and its corollary, compare the Betti table of an R_1 -module M , with its Betti table over R .

Proposition 4.2. *Let R_1 and R_2 be Koszul algebras, $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$, and M be a finitely generated graded R_1 -module.*

a) *If $\beta_{i,j}^R(M) = 0$, then $\beta_{i+1,j+1}^{R_1}(M) = \beta_{i+1,j+1}^{R_1}(M)$.*

In particular, the first nonzero entries in each row of $\beta^R(M)$ and $\beta^{R_1}(M)$ are equal.

b) *Furthermore, if M is a pure R_1 -module of type $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$, then we have $\beta_{i,\delta_i}^R(M) \neq 0$ and $\beta_{i,\delta_i+j}^R(M) = 0$ for all $i < \text{pdim}_{R_1}(M) + 1$ and $j \geq 1$, i.e., every minimal generator of $\Omega_i^R(M)$ has degree at most δ_i .*

Proof. Since $\beta_{0,j}^R(M) = \beta_{0,j}^{R_1}(M)$ for all j , both (a) and (b) hold for $i = 0$. Hence assume $i > 0$.

a) By Proposition 3.3, we have $\Omega_{i+1}^R(M) = \Omega_{i+1}^{R_1}(M) \oplus \Omega'_{i+1} \oplus \Omega''_{i+1}$. By Lemma 4.1, since $\beta_{i,j}^R(M) = 0$, we see that $\Omega'_{i+1} \oplus \Omega''_{i+1}$ has no minimal generator of degree $j+1$. This proves (a).

b) By induction, let us assume that every minimal generator of $\Omega_{i-1}^R(M)$ has degree at most δ_{i-1} . Hence, by Lemma 4.1, the minimal generators of $\Omega'_i \oplus \Omega''_i$ have degree at most $1 + \delta_{i-1} \leq \delta_i$. Part (b) follows since $\Omega_i^{R_1}(M)$ is generated in degree δ_i , and $\Omega_{i+1}^R(M) = \Omega_{i+1}^{R_1}(M) \oplus \Omega'_{i+1} \oplus \Omega''_{i+1}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let M be an R_1 -module such that the j^{th} row of $\beta^R(M)$ is nonzero. Then*

- a) *The j^{th} row of $\beta^{R_1}(M)$ is nonzero.*
- b) *If $i_0 = \min\{i \mid \beta_{i,j+i}^R(M) \neq 0\}$, then $\beta_{i,j+i}^R(M) \neq 0$ for all $i \geq i_0$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition, we have $\beta_{i_0,j+i_0}^R(M) = \beta_{i_0,j+i_0}^{R_1}(M)$, which implies (a). This, together with Remark 3.4(b), gives (b). $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *If R_1 and R_2 are Koszul, then we have the following:*

- a) *If M is an R_1 -module, then $\text{reg}_{R_1}(M) = \text{reg}_R(M)$.*
- b) *If M_j is an R_j -module for $j = 1, 2$, then $\text{reg}_R(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \max\{\text{reg}_{R_1}(M_1), \text{reg}_{R_2}(M_2)\}$.*

Proof. a) By Corollary 3.5, we have $\text{reg}_R(M) \geq \text{reg}_{R_1}(M)$.

In order to prove the other inequality, we may assume that $\text{reg}_{R_1}(M) = s < \infty$. This implies that the j^{th} row of $\beta_{R_1}(M)$ is zero for all $j > s$, and hence the same holds for $\beta^R(M)$ by Corollary 4.3(a). Hence, $\text{reg}_R(M) \leq s$.

b) This follows from (a), since $\text{reg}_R(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \max\{\text{reg}_R(M_1), \text{reg}_R(M_2)\}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *Let R_1 and R_2 be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$, and M a nonzero R_1 -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) *M has a pure resolution over R .*
- ii) *M has a linear resolution over R .*
- iii) *M has a linear resolution over R_1 , and R_1, R_2 are Koszul.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let M be generated in degree d . Then by Lemma 3.2(a), we have $\beta_{i,d+i}^R(M) \neq 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since M has a pure resolution over R , this forces $\beta_{i,j}^R(M) = 0$ for $j \neq d + i$, i.e., M has a linear resolution over R .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By Corollary 3.5(b), (ii) implies that M has a linear resolution over R_1 .

Moreover, if M is generated in degree d , then by Lemma 3.2(a), we have $\mathfrak{m}_2(-d) \mid \Omega_1^R(M)$, and hence $\mathfrak{m}_1(-d-1) \mid \Omega_2^R(M)$. Since M has a linear resolution over R , we see that both $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ have linear resolutions over R . In particular, by Corollary 3.5(b), for each $j \in \{1, 2\}$, we see that $\mathfrak{m}_j$ has a linear resolution over R_j , proving that R_j is Koszul.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) (and hence (i)): Follows from Proposition 4.4(a). $\square$

A finer version of Proposition 4.5 is true, which follows from its proof. We capture this in the following remark.

Remark 4.6. Let M be a nonzero R_1 -module. Then the following are equivalent:

- i) *M is linear up to the $(i+1)^{\text{st}}$ stage over R .*
- ii) *M is linear up to the $(i+1)^{\text{st}}$ stage over R_1 , $\mathbf{k}$ is linear up to the i^{th} stage over R_2 , and up to the $(i-1)^{\text{st}}$ stage over R_1 .*

The following corollary is immediate from the proof of Proposition 4.5 applied to $M = \mathbf{k}$. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) was proved by Backelin and Fröberg in [4].

Corollary 4.7. *The following are equivalent:*

- i) k has a pure resolution over R .
- ii) R is Koszul, i.e., k has a linear resolution over R .
- iii) R_1 and R_2 are Koszul.

As a concrete application of Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 4.5, we obtain the following result, which was also proved by Conca in [8].

Corollary 4.8. *Let R_1 and R_2 be standard graded k -algebras and $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$. Then R is universally Koszul if and only if R_1 and R_2 are universally Koszul.*

Proof. Let R be universally Koszul. Hence, if $I \subset R_j$ is an ideal generated by linear forms, we know that I has a linear resolution over R . By Proposition 4.5, we get that I has a linear resolution over R_j . This shows that R_j is universally Koszul.

Conversely, suppose that R_1 and R_2 are universally Koszul. Let $I = \langle x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n \rangle$ be an ideal in R generated by linear forms with $x_i \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, $y_j \in \mathfrak{m}_2$. By Proposition 3.8, we have $\Omega_1^R(I) = \Omega_1^{R_1}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_2}(\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2^{\oplus r}(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1^{\oplus s}(-1)$ for some $r, s \geq 0$. Since R_1 and R_2 are universally Koszul, the modules $\Omega_1^{R_1}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)$ and $\Omega_1^{R_2}(\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle)$ are generated in degree 2, and have a linear resolution. Moreover, since $\text{reg}_{R_j}(\mathfrak{m}_j) = 1$, by Corollary 3.5, we have $\text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_j) = 1$. This shows that $\Omega_1^R(I)$ is generated in degree 2, and has a linear resolution, which implies that I has a linear resolution over R . $\square$

Avramov and Peeva ([3]) proved that a k -algebra R is Koszul if and only if every finitely generated graded R -module has finite regularity. For fibre products, we have the following stronger result.

Proposition 4.9. *Let R_1 and R_2 be standard graded k -algebras, and $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) *There exists an R -module M with $\text{pdim}_R(M) = \infty$ and $\text{reg}_R(M) < \infty$.*
- ii) $\text{reg}_R(k) < \infty$.
- iii) R is Koszul.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): By Remark 3.10, either $\mathfrak{m}_1(-\delta) \mid \Omega_3^R(M)$ or $\mathfrak{m}_1(-\delta) \mid \Omega_4^R(M)$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\text{reg}_R(M) < \infty$, we get $\text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_1) < \infty$. Similarly, $\text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_2) < \infty$. Thus,

$$\text{reg}_R(k) = \text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2) - 1 = \max\{\text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_1), \text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_2)\} - 1 < \infty.$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Suppose $\text{reg}_R(k) = s < \infty$, and let $\beta_{i,s+i}^R(k) \neq 0$. By Remark 3.4(b), since $\Omega_i^R(k)$ has a minimal generator in degree $s+i$, we have $\mathfrak{m}_1(-(s+i)) \mid \Omega_{i+1}^R(k)$ or $\mathfrak{m}_2(-(s+i)) \mid \Omega_{i+1}^R(k)$. Without loss of generality, let $\mathfrak{m}_1(-(s+i)) \mid \Omega_{i+1}^R(k)$.

Then $\text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_1(-(s+i))) \leq \text{reg}_R(\Omega_{i+1}^R(k)) \leq s+i+1$, where the last inequality is true since $\text{reg}_R(k) = s$. This forces $\text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_1) = 1$.

Since $\mathfrak{m}_1(-(s+i)) \mid \Omega_{i+1}^R(k)$, we have $\mathfrak{m}_2(-(s+i+1)) \mid \Omega_{i+2}^R(k)$. The same argument as above shows that $\text{reg}_R(\mathfrak{m}_2) = 1$.

Thus we see that $\Omega_1^R(k) = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$ has a linear resolution over R . Hence R is Koszul.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is clear by taking $M = k$. $\square$

Example 4.10. Note that the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Proposition 4.9 is not true without the assumption that R is a fibre product. For instance, if $R = \mathbf{k}[X, Y]/\langle X^2, Y^3 \rangle$, then $M = R/\langle X \rangle$ has a linear resolution over R with $\text{pdim}_R(M) = \infty$, but $\text{reg}_R(\mathbf{k}) = \infty$.

5. BETTI CONES OVER FIBRE PRODUCTS

In this section, we study the equality $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$. In Theorem 5.2, we show that for a pure R -module M , its second syzygy has a linear resolution. As a consequence, this says that the regularity of a pure R -module M is determined by the first three columns of $\beta^R(M)$. In Corollary 5.5 and Proposition 5.6, we give two classes of rings for which $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) \neq \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$. Finally, we prove our main result, Theorem 5.8, which says that if R is a fibre product with $\text{depth}(R) = 1$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$, then R is Cohen-Macaulay with $H_R(z) = (1 + nz)/(1 - z)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$ be a Koszul $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra with $\text{depth}(R_2) \geq 1$. Suppose there exists a pure R_1 -module of type $(\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$, where either $\delta_j = \delta_{j-1} + 1$ or $\delta_j = \infty$ for all $j \geq 3$. Then there exists a pure R -module of type $(\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_2 + 1, \delta_2 + 2, \dots)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\delta_0 = 0$. Let M be a pure R_1 -module of type $(0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$, with $\beta_1^{R_1}(M) = n$, A be an $m \times n$ minimal presentation matrix of M , and $y \in \mathfrak{m}_2$ be a linear R_2 -regular element.

We construct a matrix $B = [b_{ij}]$ from A with entries in $\mathfrak{m}$ as follows:

(i) If $m \geq n$, then $b_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ a_{ij} + y^{\delta_1} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}.$

(ii) If $m < n$, then let $B = A' + y^{\delta_1} I_n$, where A' is the $n \times n$ matrix obtained from A by adding zero rows at the bottom.

Note that in either case, B has at least as many rows as columns. By the purity of M , and the construction of B , all the nonzero entries of B are homogeneous of degree δ_1 . Let F and G be free R -modules generated in degrees 0 and δ_1 respectively, be such that $\phi : G \rightarrow F$ is the natural map induced by B . We claim that $N = \text{coker}(\phi)$ is pure of type $(0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_2 + 1, \delta_2 + 2, \dots)$.

Clearly N is generated in degree 0, and $\Omega_1^R(N) \simeq \ker(\phi) \subset \mathfrak{m}F$ is the R -submodule generated by columns of B . By Proposition 3.8, recall that

$$\Omega_2^R(N) \simeq \ker(\phi) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{m}_2(d_i) \right) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_1}(\pi_1(\ker(\phi))) \oplus \Omega_1^{R_2}(\pi_2(\ker(\phi))) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^s \mathfrak{m}_1(d'_j) \right).$$

By construction of B , observe that $r = s = 0$, $\pi_1(\ker(\phi)) \simeq \Omega_1^{R_1}(M)$, and $\pi_2(\ker(\phi)) = y^{\delta_1} R_2^{\oplus n}$, which is a free R_2 -module. Hence $\Omega_2^R(N) \simeq \Omega_2^{R_1}(M)$, which is generated in degree δ_2 , and has a linear resolution over R_1 . Since R_1, R_2 are Koszul, by Proposition 4.5, we get that $\Omega_2^R(N)$ has a linear resolution over R , which proves the result. $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Let R be a fibre product of standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras. If M is a pure R -module of infinite projective dimension, then the following holds:*

a) $\Omega_2^R(M)$ has linear resolution.

b) R is Koszul.

In particular, if $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$, then R is Koszul.

Proof. Suppose M has a pure resolution of type $(\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$. Then $\Omega_j^R(M)$ is generated in degree δ_j for all j . In order to prove (i), we show by induction that $\delta_j = \delta_2 + j - 2$ for $j \geq 2$. This claim holds trivially for $j = 2$. Suppose $\delta_j = \delta_2 + j - 2$ for some j . By Remark 3.10, there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_i \mid \Omega_{j+1}^R(M)$, and hence $\Omega_{j+1}^R(M)$ has a generator of degree $\delta_j + 1$. Thus, by purity of M , we see that $\Omega_{j+1}^R(M)$ is generated in degree $\delta_j + 1 = \delta_2 + j - 1$, proving (a).

Since $\Omega_2^R(M)$ has a linear resolution, we have $\text{reg}_R(\Omega_2^R(M)) < \infty$. Hence, by using Proposition 4.9, we get that R is Koszul.

Finally, observe that since R is not regular, there exists a module of infinite projective dimension. This implies that there exists a module M , with $\text{pdim}_R(M) = \infty$, such that $\beta^R(M)$ spans an extremal ray of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$. If $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$, then M is pure, and hence by (b), R is Koszul. $\square$

In [14], it is proved that for any given standard graded k -algebra R , if $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$, then R is Koszul, by using completely different techniques. The proof of Koszulness in Theorem 5.2 above is easier, but specific to fibre products.

Remark 5.3. Let R be a fibre product of k -algebras and M a pure R -module.

- a) If M is a pure R -module, since $\Omega_2^R(M)$ has a linear resolution, we see that the regularity of M can be determined from the first three columns of Betti table of M , i.e.,

$$\text{reg}_R(M) = \max\{j - i : \beta_{i,j}(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j, \text{ and } i \leq 2\}.$$

- b) The conclusion of (a) holds for all R -modules M such that $\beta^R(M) \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$. As a consequence, if $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$, then the conclusion of (a) holds for all R -modules.

If M is a pure R_1 -module of type δ , then the following proposition gives a necessary condition on the δ for Betti table of M over R to be in the pure cone $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let R be a Koszul algebra, and M be a pure R_1 -module of type $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \dots)$. If $\beta^R(M) \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$, then $\Omega_2^{R_1}(M)$ has a linear resolution, i.e., for $i \geq 3$, $\delta_i = \infty$ or $\delta_{i-1} = \delta_i - 1$.*

Proof. Since $\beta^R(M) \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$, there exist pure R -modules $M_1, \dots, M_r$ and $c_1, \dots, c_r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $\beta^R(M) = \sum_j c_j \beta^R(M_j)$. Fix $i \geq 3$. If $\delta_i = \infty$, then we are done. If not, then we show that $\delta_{i-1} = \delta_i - 1$.

Since $\beta_{i,\delta_i}^{R_1}(M) \neq 0$, by Proposition 3.3, we get $\beta_{i,\delta_i}^R(M) \neq 0$, and hence $\beta_{i,\delta_i}^R(M_j) \neq 0$ for some j . Note that by Theorem 5.2, $\Omega_2^R(M_j)$ has a linear resolution. Therefore, $\beta_{i-1,\delta_{i-1}}^R(M_j) \neq 0$, and hence $\beta_{i-1,\delta_{i-1}}^R(M) \neq 0$. Thus, by Proposition 4.2(b), we have $\delta_i - 1 \leq \delta_{i-1}$. Since $\delta_{i-1} \leq \delta_i - 1$, we are done. $\square$

Eisenbud-Schreyer [10] proved that $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$ if R is a polynomial ring. We observe that this is false in general for fibre product of polynomial rings. This follows from the next result.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$ with $\text{depth}(R_2) \geq 3$. Then $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) \neq \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, if R is not Koszul, then $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) \neq \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$. Hence, assume that R is Koszul.

Let $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{m}_2$ be a linear R_2 -regular sequence, and $M = R/\langle x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2 \rangle$. Then $\Omega_2^{R_2}(M)$ does not have a linear resolution, and hence, by Proposition 5.4, we get $\beta^R(M) \notin \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(M)$. $\square$

Gibbons [13] showed that if $R_1 = k[X, Y]/\langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle$, then $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_1) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R_1)$. However, given any R_2 , if $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$, then $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) \neq \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$. This is captured in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.6. *Let R_1 be a Gorenstein Artin standard graded k -algebra such that $\text{soc}(R_1)$ is generated in degree $n \geq 2$. If $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$, then $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) \neq \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$.*

Proof. As in the proof of the previous corollary, we may assume that R is Koszul. Therefore, R_1 is Koszul by Corollary 4.7, and hence k has an R_1 -linear resolution of the form

$$\mathbb{F}_{\bullet} : \cdots \rightarrow R_1^{\beta_2}(-2) \xrightarrow{A} R_1^{\beta_1}(-1) \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

Let A be a presentation matrix of $\Omega_1^{R_1}(k)$. Let $N = \text{coker}(A^t)$, where A^t denotes the transpose of A . Since R_1 is Gorenstein Artin, $\text{Hom}(_, R_1)$ is exact. Hence, we get the following exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_1}(k, R_1)(-2) \simeq \text{soc}(R_1)(-2) \rightarrow R_1(-2) \rightarrow R_1^{\beta_1}(-1) \xrightarrow{A^t} R_1^{\beta_2} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that N is a pure R_1 -module, since $\text{soc}(R_1) \simeq k(-n)$ and R_1 is Koszul. However, since $n \geq 2$, we see that $\Omega_2^{R_1}(N)$ does not have a linear resolution. Hence, by Proposition 5.4, $\beta^R(N) \notin \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$, proving $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) \neq \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$. $\square$

Corollary 5.5 and Proposition 5.6 were immediate consequences of Proposition 5.4 in which we saw examples where $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R) \neq \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$. In fact, we prove a more general result in Theorem 5.8. Before that, we prove the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $R = R_1 \times_k R_2$ be a Koszul k -algebra with $\text{depth}(R) = 1$. Let x_j be a linear R_j -regular element for $j = 1, 2$, $J = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, and M be an R -module generated in degree zero. Then*

a) *J has a linear resolution.*

b) *If M has a linear resolution with $\beta_{i,i}^R(M) = c\beta_{i,i}^R(R/J)$ for all $i \geq 2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, then $\text{codim}(M) \leq 1$.*

Proof. a) Note that $J = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus \langle x_2 \rangle$, where $\langle x_1 \rangle$ is an R_1 -module and $\langle x_2 \rangle$ is an R_2 -module. Since x_j is R_j -regular, by Lemma 3.2, we get $\Omega_1^R(J) = \mathfrak{m}_1(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2(-1)$. Since R is Koszul, by Corollary 4.7, R_1 and R_2 are Koszul, and hence $\text{reg}_{R_j}(\mathfrak{m}_j) = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. Hence, by Proposition 4.4, we get that J has a linear resolution.

b) The conditions $\beta_{i,i}^R(M) = c\beta_{i,i}^R(R/J)$ for all $i \geq 2$ give us equalities at the level of Betti tables, and hence Hilbert series of $\Omega_2^R(M)$ and $\Omega_2^R(R/J)$. In particular, we have $\beta^R(\Omega_2^R(M)) = c\beta^R(\Omega_2^R(R/J))$, and hence $H_{\Omega_2^R(M)}(z) = cH_{\Omega_2^R(R/J)}(z)$.

Now, $\Omega_2^R(R/J) = \mathfrak{m}_1(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2(-1)$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$ implies

$$H_{\Omega_2^R(R/J)}(z) = zH_{\mathfrak{m}_1}(z) + zH_{\mathfrak{m}_2}(z) = zH_{\mathfrak{m}}(z) = z(H_R(z) - 1),$$

which gives $H_{\Omega_2^R(M)}(z) = cz(H_R(z) - 1)$.

Now, with $\beta_i = \beta_i^R(M)$, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_2^R(M) \rightarrow R(-1)^{\beta_1} \rightarrow R^{\beta_0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ gives

$$H_M(z) = (\beta_0 - z\beta_1)H_R(z) + H_{\Omega_2^R(M)}(z) = (\beta_0 - z\beta_1)H_R(z) + cz(H_R(z) - 1).$$

Let $m = \dim(M)$ and $d = \dim(R)$. We want to show that $d - m \leq 1$.

Writing $H_M(z) = g(z)/(1-z)^m$, $H_R(z) = f(z)/(1-z)^d$ with $g(1) > 0$ and $f(1) > 0$, and multiplying by $(1-z)^m$, the above equality can be rewritten as

$$g(z) + cz(1-z)^m = \frac{(\beta_0 - z\beta_1 + cz)f(z)}{(1-z)^{d-m}}.$$

Since $g(1) > 0$, $c > 0$, and $f(1) > 0$ we get that $(1-z)^{d-m} \mid \beta_0 - z(\beta_1 - c)$. Therefore, $d-m \leq 1$, i.e., $\text{codim}(M) \leq 1$. $\square$

Theorem 5.8. *Let R_1, R_2 be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras, and $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$ be such that $\text{depth}(R) = 1$. If $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$, then R is Cohen-Macaulay and $H_R(z) = (1+nz)/(1-z)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\dim(R) = 1$. Since $\text{depth}(R) = 1$, by Remark 2.3(c), each R_j has a linear regular element, say x_j . Let $J = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle R$ and $I = J + \mathfrak{m}^2$. Then, by Remark 2.1, we have $\beta_{i,i}^R(R/J) = \beta_{i,i}^R(R/I)$ for all $i \geq 2$.

Suppose $\beta^R(R/I) = c_0\beta^R(M_0) + \sum_{j=1}^r c_j\beta^R(M_j)$, where M_0 has a linear resolution and for $j \geq 1$, M_j has a pure resolution which is not linear. By Theorem 5.2, $\Omega_2^R(M_j)$ has a linear resolution, and hence $\beta_{i,i}^R(M_j) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $j \geq 1$. In particular, we have $c_0\beta_{i,i}^R(M_0) = \beta_{i,i}^R(R/I) = \beta_{i,i}^R(R/J)$ for $i \geq 2$. Since $\beta_{2,2}^R(R/J) \neq 0$, we have $c_0 > 0$, and hence Lemma 5.7 forces $\text{codim}(M_0) \leq 1$.

The equality $\beta^R(R/I) = \sum_{j=0}^r c_j\beta^R(M_j)$ implies $H_{R/I}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^r c_j H_{M_j}(z)$. We may assume that $c_j > 0$ for all j , and write $H_{M_j}(z) = g_j(z)/(1-z)^{d_j}$, where $g_j(1) > 0$, and $d_j = \dim(M_j)$ for all $j \geq 0$. Moreover, $H_{R/I}(z) = a + bz$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now claim that the following hold for each j : (i) M_j is Artinian, i.e., $d_j = 0$, and (ii) $\deg(g_j) \leq 1$. Let $d = \max\{d_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq r\}$, and $S = \{j \mid \dim(M_j) = d\}$. Claim (i) follows if we show $d = 0$.

Suppose $d > 0$. Consider the equation $H_{R/I}(z) = a + bz = \sum_{j=0}^r c_j \frac{g_j(z)}{(1-z)^{d_j}}$. Multiplying both sides by $(1-z)^d$ and substituting $z = 1$, we get $0 = \sum_{j \in S} c_j g_j(1)$, which is a contradiction since the right hand side is positive. This proves Claim (i).

Since each M_j is Artinian, the equality $H_{M_j}(z) = g_j(z)$ shows that the coefficients of g_j are non-negative. In particular, $a + bz = \sum_{j=0}^r c_j g_j(z)$ forces $\deg(g_j) \leq 1$ for all j , proving Claim (ii).

By Claim (i), since M_0 is Artinian, we see that $\text{codim}(M_0) \leq 1$ forces $\dim(R) \leq 1$. This proves that R is Cohen-Macaulay, since $\text{depth}(R) = 1$.

Finally, writing $H_R(z) = f(z)/(1-z)$ with $f(1) > 0$, the proof of Lemma 5.7 applied to $M = M_0$ shows that

$$g_0(z) + c_0 z = \frac{(\beta_0 - \beta_1 z + c_0 z)f(z)}{1-z}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $(1-z)$, and using the fact that $(1-z) \nmid f(z)$, we see that $\deg(f(z)) \leq 1$ by comparing degrees. $\square$

In particular, Remark 2.2, and Theorem 5.8 imply the following characterization.

Theorem 5.9. *Let R_1, R_2 be standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras of depth at least 1, and $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- i) $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$.
- ii) R is Cohen-Macaulay and $H_R(z) = (1+nz)/(1-z)$.

In this case, the extremal rays of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ are as observed in Remark 2.2(b).

Remark 5.10. Let R be a standard graded $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra with $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$. In [14, Theorem 7.3.4], it is proved that if $\text{depth}(R) = 0$, then R is Artinian and level. Also observe that if R is a fibre product with $\text{depth}(R) = 1$, then by Theorem 5.8, R is Cohen-Macaulay and level. Thus, we have the following observations:

- a) If R is a fibre product with $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$, then R is Cohen-Macaulay and level.
- b) In the statement of Theorem 5.9, the condition on depth can be changed to $\dim(R_i) \geq 1$.

In light of (b) above, we end this article with the following question.

Question 5.11. Let $R = R_1 \times_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$ be Artinian (with $R_1 \neq \mathbf{k} \neq R_2$). If $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{pure}}(R)$, then is $H_R(z) = 1 + nz$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Ananthnarayan. *Approximating Artinian rings by Gorenstein rings and 3-standardness of the maximal ideal*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Kansas.
- [2] H. Ananthnarayan and R. Kumar. Extremal rays of Betti cones. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 19(2):2050027, 19, 2020.
- [3] L. L. Avramov and I. Peeva. Finite regularity and Koszul algebras. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(2):275–281, 2001.
- [4] J. Backelin and R. Fröberg. Koszul algebras, Veronese subrings and rings with linear resolutions. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 30(2):85–97, 1985.
- [5] C. Berkesch, J. Burke, D. Erman, and C. Gibbons. The cone of Betti diagrams over a hypersurface ring of low embedding dimension. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 216(10):2256–2268, 2012.
- [6] M. Boij and J. Söderberg. Graded Betti numbers of Cohen-Macaulay modules and the multiplicity conjecture. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 78(1):85–106, 2008.
- [7] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 39 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [8] A. Conca. Universally Koszul algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 317(2):329–346, 2000.
- [9] A. Dress and H. Krämer. Bettireihen von Faserprodukten lokaler Ringe. *Math. Ann.*, 215:79–82, 1975.
- [10] D. Eisenbud and F.-O. Schreyer. Betti numbers of graded modules and cohomology of vector bundles. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(3):859–888, 2009.
- [11] G. Fløystad. Boij-Söderberg theory: introduction and survey. In *Progress in commutative algebra 1*, pages 1–54. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.
- [12] I. Gheorghita and S. V. Sam. The cone of Betti tables over three non-collinear points in the plane. *J. Commut. Algebra*, 8(4):537–548, 2016.
- [13] C. Gibbons. *Decompositions of Betti diagrams*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Nebraska - Lincoln.
- [14] R. Kumar. *Betti tables over standard graded rings*. 2017. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Indian Institute of Technology Bombay.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, I.I.T. BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400076.

Email address: ananth@math.iitb.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, I.I.T. BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400076.

Email address: omkar@math.iitb.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAMMU, J&K, INDIA - 181221.

Email address: rajiv.kumar@iitjammu.ac.in