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#### Abstract

The twisting number of a knot $K$ is the minimal number of tangle replacements on the symmetry axis of $J \#-J$ for any knot $J$ that is required to produce a symmetric union diagram of $K$. We prove that the twisting number is bounded below by the doubly slice genus and produce examples of ribbon knots with arbitrarily high twisting number, addressing a problem of Tanaka. To this end, we establish a result of independent interest that the doubly slice genus of $K$ is bounded above by the oriented band move distance between $K$ and any weakly doubly slice link, which also enables us to determine hitherto unknown doubly slice genera of some knots with 12 crossings.


## 1. Introduction

We work in the smooth category throughout and all our manifolds are oriented. A knot $K$ is called a symmetric union knot, or simply a symmetric union, if it can be obtained by taking the connected sum $J \#-J$ of a knot $J$ with its mirror $-J$, and replacing trivial 4 -tangles that intersect the symmetry axis of $J \#-J$ by 4 tangles twisted along the axis, called twist regions; see Figure 1. This construction, originally proposed by Kinoshita and Terasaka in [KT57] and developed by Lamm in [Lam00], determines a ribbon-immersed disc in $S^{3}$ bounded by $K$ that is swept out by an arc between points lying symmetrically across the axis. Symmetric unions are ubiquitous among small ribbon knots: at least 144 out of 159 ribbon knots with at most 12 crossings admit symmetric union diagrams [Lam19]; moreover, so do all ribbon 2-bridge knots [Lam21]. This prompts the following open conjecture that is central to the study of symmetric unions.
Conjecture ('Ribbon-symmetric union conjecture'). Every ribbon knot is a symmetric union.

This conjecture is challenging, in particular, because the number of twist regions required to realise a ribbon knot $K$ as a symmetric union may be arbitrarily large. The smallest such number is called the twisting number ${ }^{1}$ of $K$ and denoted $\operatorname{tw}(K)$. We set $\operatorname{tw}(K)=\infty$ if $K$ is not a symmetric union; in other words, a ribbon knot with $\operatorname{tw}(K)=\infty$ would provide a counterexample to the conjecture. By the construction of symmetric unions, $\operatorname{tw}(J \#-J)=0$ for any knot $J$ and $\operatorname{tw}(K) \geq 1$ for any prime knot $K$. In [Tan15], Tanaka asked whether more than one twist region is ever necessary to realise a knot as a symmetric union.
Problem. Does there exist a symmetric union knot $K$ with $\operatorname{tw}(K) \geq 2$ ?
Via a factorisation condition for the Jones polynomial of a knot with $\operatorname{tw}(K)=1$, Tanaka showed that indeed there exist knots with $\operatorname{tw}(K)=2$ [Tan15]. However, that prompts the natural question whether there are examples of knots with $\operatorname{tw}(K) \geq 3$. To exhibit knots with $\operatorname{tw}(K)=N$ for all $N \geq 3$, we establish a lower bound on $\operatorname{tw}(K)$ in terms of the doubly slice genus $g_{\mathrm{ds}}(K)$.

Theorem 1. For all knots $K$, we have $g_{\mathrm{ds}}(K) \leq \mathrm{tw}(K)$.

[^0]Here, the doubly slice genus $g_{\mathrm{ds}}(K)$ of a knot $K$, and, more generally, a link, is defined as the minimal genus among unknotted closed surfaces $F \subset S^{4}$ such that $K=S^{3} \pitchfork F$, where $S^{3}$ is identified with the equatorial sphere in $S^{4}$.

The key input for the proof of Theorem 1 is the following bound on the doubly slice genus, which we discuss in detail in Section 3. It is a result of independent interest that may be viewed as an extension of the theorem of McDonald from [McD19] that the band unknotting number of a knot $K$ is at least $g_{\mathrm{ds}}(K)$.

Proposition 2. Let $L$ be a weakly doubly slice link, i.e., $g_{\mathrm{ds}}(L)=0$. If $L$ can be turned into a link $L^{\prime}$ by $t$ oriented band moves, then $g_{\mathrm{ds}}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \leq t$.

Orson and Powell have established in [OP21] that, for all knots $K$,

$$
\max _{\omega \in S^{1} \backslash\{1\}}\left|\sigma_{\omega}(K)\right| \leq g_{\mathrm{ds}}(K),
$$

where $\sigma_{\omega}(K)$ is the Levine-Tristram signature of $K$ corresponding to $\omega \in S^{1} \subset \mathbb{C}$. The bound ( $\dagger$ ) is a refinement of a classical bound for the 4 -genus $g_{4}(K)$ of $K$ given by $\left|\sigma_{\omega}(K)\right| \leq 2 g_{4}(K) \leq g_{\mathrm{ds}}(K)$ for any $\omega$ such that $\Delta_{K}(\omega) \neq 0$, where $\Delta_{K}$ is the Alexander polynomial of $K$. The inequality ( $\dagger$ ) coupled with Theorem 1 enables us to show that there are ribbon knots with arbitrarily high finite twisting number. ${ }^{2}$

Corollary 3. Let $K=8_{20}$ and fix $N>0$. Then $\operatorname{tw}\left(\#^{N} K\right)=g_{\mathrm{ds}}\left(\#^{N} K\right)=N$.
Proof. One may calculate $\sigma_{\omega}(K)=1$ for $\omega=e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$ (cf. KnotInfo [CL24]). By additivity of Levine-Tristram signatures, inequality ( $\dagger$ ), and Theorem 1, we have $N=\left|\sigma_{\omega}\left(\#^{N} K\right)\right| \leq g_{\mathrm{ds}}\left(\#^{N} K\right) \leq \operatorname{tw}\left(\#^{N} K\right)$. On the other hand, using the onetwist symmetric union diagram for $K$ from [Lam00] we can construct an $N$-twist diagram for $\#^{N} K$ by taking connected sums along the symmetry axis, hence $\operatorname{tw}\left(\#^{N} K\right)=N$.

Remark 4. An analogous argument shows that $\operatorname{tw}\left(\#^{N} K\right) \geq N$ for any prime ribbon knot $K$ with non-vanishing Levine-Tristram signature at some root of $\Delta_{K}$, e.g., $10_{140}$. Moreover, Theorem 1 enables us to determine $g_{\mathrm{ds}}(K)$ for $K \in\left\{12 n_{553}\right.$, $\left.12 n_{556}\right\}$ that has been priorly only known to lie in the interval [1, 2] (see [KS21]): since $K$ admits a symmetric union diagram with one twist region by [Lam19, Appendix], we conclude that $g_{\mathrm{ds}}(K)=\operatorname{tw}(K)=1$.

Organisation of the paper. In Section 2 we define symmetric unions and provide necessary background on doubly slice knots and links. The proofs of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 occupy Section 3.
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## 2. SYMmetric unions and weakly doubly slice links

The purpose of this section is to recall the definitions of symmetric unions and weakly doubly slice links, as well as to establish notation for the proof of Theorem 1. We identify isotopic knots, links and tangles.

[^1]

Figure 1. Construction of the symmetric union diagram ( $D_{J} \sqcup$ $\left.-D_{J}\right)\left(\infty_{\mu}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{l}\right)$; rectangles labelled $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{l}$ contain the respective number of 'vertical' half-twists.
2.1. Symmetric unions. Fix an unoriented diagram $D_{J}$ of a knot $J$ and reflect it about an axis $A$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ that does not intersect $D_{J}$ to get a diagram $-D_{J}$ of $-J$. Then, for some $k \geq 0$ choose a collection of $k+1$ disjoint embedded discs $T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that each $T_{i}$ is preserved under reflection about $A$ and intersects the disjoint union $D_{J} \sqcup-D_{J}$ in two crossingless arcs. Fix an integer $\mu$ with $1 \leq \mu \leq$ $k+1$. Treating each $T_{i}$ as a rational 0 -tangle, replace it by an $m_{i}$-tangle $T_{i}^{\prime}$, where $m_{i}=\infty$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu-1$ and $m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ for $\mu \leq i \leq k$. Choose an orientation on the resulting diagram and denote it by $D_{L}=\left(D_{J} \sqcup-D_{J}\right)\left(\infty_{\mu}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{l}\right)$, where $l=k-\mu+1$ and $n_{i}=m_{\mu-1+i}$, and call the tangles $T_{\mu}^{\prime}, \ldots, T_{k}^{\prime}$ twist regions. The construction is illustrated in Figure 1.

## Definition 5.

(1) A link $L$ is a symmetric union if it admits a diagram $D_{L}$ as above for some $J, \mu, l$ and $n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$.
(2) The twisting number $\operatorname{tw}(L)$ of $L$ is the minimal number of twist regions in any diagram $D_{L}$.
(3) The $\infty$-resolution of $D_{L}$, denoted $L_{\infty}$, is the symmetric union represented by the diagram $\left(D_{J} \sqcup-D_{J}\right)\left(\infty_{\mu+l}\right)$.

For a symmetric union $L$, by [Lam00, Remark 2.2] and [Lam00, Theorem 5.1] we have the following:
(1) the link $L$ has $\mu$ components, hence $L$ is a knot if and only if $\mu=1$;
(2) upon assigning an orientation to $\left(D_{J} \sqcup-D_{J}\right)\left(\infty_{\mu}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$, both strands at each axial crossing are oriented such that they cross $A$ in the same direction;
(3) each component of $L$ bounds an immersed disc in $S^{3}$ that only has intersections of ribbon type with itself and the discs bounded by other components of $L$, hence $L$ is a ribbon link.
A band $b$ for a link $L$ is the image of a smooth embedding $\phi:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow$ $S^{3}$ such that $\phi$ maps $\{0,1\} \times[0,1]$ into $L$ while preserving the orientation (i.e., $\{0,1\} \times[0,1]$ carries the orientation induced from being part of the boundary of $[0,1] \times[0,1])$. By a band move we mean embedded surgery of $L$ along $b$, namely a smoothing of

$$
(L \backslash \phi(\{0,1\} \times[0,1])) \cup \phi([0,1] \times\{0,1\}) ;
$$

we say that $b$ guides the band move. In view of the observations (1) and (2) above, it is clear that a symmetric union $L$ with $l$ twist regions can be transformed into $L_{\infty}$ via $l$ band moves as shown in Figure 2. Note that the orientation of the resulting $L_{\infty}$ depends on the choice of orientation on $L$ and the parities of $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{l}$.


Figure 2. The band move guided by the band $b$ (blue) changes the $n_{i}$-tangle into the $\infty$-tangle. The orientation of the top strand depends on the parity of $n_{i}$.
2.2. Doubly slice links. An oriented link $L \subset S^{3}$ is weakly doubly slice if there exists an unknotted oriented 2-sphere $S \subset S^{4}$ such that for the equatorial $S^{3} \subset S^{4}$ we have $S \pitchfork S^{3}=L$, inducing the given orientation on $L$. This extends the notion of double sliceness for knots to links: a knot $K$ is called doubly slice if it bounds two slice discs, one in each hemisphere of $S^{4}$, that yield an unknotted $S^{2} \subset S^{4}$ upon gluing along $K$, or, equivalently, if $K$ is weakly doubly slice.

A prototypical example of a doubly slice knot is the connected sum $J \#-J$ for any knot $J$ : it occurs as a cross-section of the $\pm 1$-twist spin of $J$ which is an unknotted 2-sphere by a classical theorem of Zeeman [Zee65]. A recent result of McCoy and McDonald from [MM24] can be viewed as a generalisation of this example to links; we give an equivalent restatement of it in terms of our objects of interest.

Proposition 6 ([MM24, Theorem 1.5]). Every $\infty$-resolution $L_{\infty}$ is weakly doubly slice.

In particular, it follows that a symmetric union link $L$ with $\operatorname{tw}(L)=t$ is at most $t$ oriented band moves away from a weakly doubly slice link, namely $L_{\infty}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Recall that the main input for the Theorem 1 is Proposition 2, which states that performing $t$ band moves on a weakly doubly slice link yields a link with doubly slice genus at most $t$.

Proposition 2. Let $L$ be a weakly doubly slice link, i.e., $g_{\mathrm{ds}}(L)=0$. If $L$ can be turned into a link $L^{\prime}$ by $t$ oriented band moves, then $g_{\mathrm{ds}}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \leq t$.

The idea of the proof is to consider a 3-ball $B \subset S^{4}$ such that $L=\partial B \pitchfork S^{3}$ and perform $t$ ambient 1-handle attachments to $B$ whose cores are given by the cores of the bands that guide the $t$ band moves, which then guarantees that the genus $g$ handlebody $H$ resulting from attaching the 1-handles satisfies $L^{\prime}=\partial H \pitchfork S^{3}$. The authors first saw this strategy implemented in [Che21], in a slightly different context.

A crucial technical step in realising this strategy concerns arranging that $B \subset$ $S^{4}$ misses the interiors of the cores of the bands; see Case 2 in the proof below. For this we need the following lemma, which is a slight generalisation of [Che21, Lemma 3.7].

Lemma 7. Let $B$ be a 3 -ball in $S^{4}$ with $F:=B \pitchfork S^{3}$, and let $F_{a}$ be the result of stabilising $F$ along an arc $a$. Then there exists an ambient isotopy carrying $B$ to a 3-ball $B^{\prime}$ that fixes the boundary such that $F^{\prime}:=B^{\prime} \pitchfork S^{3}$ is given by $F^{\prime}=F_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \partial(\mathrm{nb}(U))$, where $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the result of stabilising $F$ along a system of arcs $\mathcal{A}$ that contains $a$, and $U \subset S^{3}$ is a link in the complement of $F \cup \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, if the arc $a$ starts and ends on the same component of $F$, then $\mathcal{A}$ can be chosen to be $\{a\}$.

We defer the proof of Lemma 7 to the end of this section, noting that in the case that $a$ starts and ends on the same component of $F$, the Lemma 7 states what Chen establishes in [Che21, Proof of Lemma 3.7]. ${ }^{3}$

Proof of Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t}\right\}$ be a set of disjoint bands that guide the $t$ band moves from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$. Recall from Subsection 2.1 that the band $b_{k}$ is the image of a smooth embedding $\phi_{k}:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow S^{3}$ such that $\phi_{k}$ maps $\{0,1\} \times[0,1]$ into $L$ whilst preserving the orientation (i.e., $\{0,1\} \times[0,1]$ carries the orientation induced from being part of the boundary of $[0,1] \times[0,1])$. Also, let $B$ be a smoothly embedded 3-ball in $S^{4}$ that witnesses the double-sliceness of $L$, that is, $B$ and $\partial B$ intersect $S^{3} \subset S^{4}$ transversally and $\partial B \pitchfork S^{3}=L$. We write $F:=B \pitchfork S^{3}$.

Case 1: the bands $\mathcal{B}$ miss $F$. We first discuss the case when $F \cap b_{k}=L \cap b_{k}$ for all $b_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$; in other words, the case when $b_{k}$ only intersects $F$ in $\phi_{k}(\{0,1\} \times[0,1])$. In this case we build a genus $g$ handlebody $H \subset S^{4}$ by adding 1-handles $h_{k}$ to $B$ as follows.

Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the standard unit disc and identify a diameter of it with $[0,1]$. We define $h_{k}$ as the image of a smooth embedding $\Phi_{k}: \mathbb{D} \times[0,1] \rightarrow S^{4}$ such that $\Phi_{k}$ restricts to $\phi_{k}$ on $[0,1] \times[0,1] \subset \mathbb{D} \times[0,1]$. By construction, the handlebody $H$ resulting from attaching the 1-handles $h_{k}$ to $B$ is a genus $t$ handlebody such that $\partial H \pitchfork S^{3}=L^{\prime}$. This yields the desired result.

Case 2: the bands $\mathcal{B}$ hit $F$. Assume now that $F$ intersects some $b_{k}$ away from $\phi_{k}(\{0,1\} \times[0,1])$. In the rest of the argument, we show that by an isotopy of $B$ in $S^{4}$ that fixes the boundary $\partial B$, we can arrange for $B \pitchfork S^{3}$ to only intersect $b_{k}$ in $\phi_{k}(\{0,1\} \times[0,1])$. Once this is achieved for every $b_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$, we argue as in Case 1.

We may assume that $F$ has only one non-closed component; in other words, we have $F=F_{0} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$, where the components $F_{l}$ are connected oriented surfaces with $\partial F_{0}=L$ and $F_{l}$ closed for $l>0$. This can be achieved by iteratively applying the following procedure. First, stabilise a non-closed component of $F$ to another component along an arc $a$ to get a surface $F_{a}$. Then, apply Lemma 7 to isotop $B$ to a 3-ball $B^{\prime}$ with $\partial B=\partial B^{\prime}$ such that $B^{\prime} \pitchfork S^{3}=F_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \partial(\mathrm{nb}(U))$ with $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $U$ some link in the complement of $F_{\mathcal{A}}$. Note that stabilisations never disconnect the components; thus, provided we avoid stabilising to closed tori coming from $\partial(\mathrm{nb}(U))$, this procedure eventually yields a surface with a unique non-closed component. We remark that this is the only time in the argument that we invoke Lemma 7 for stabilisation arcs that start and end on different components.

We may also assume that the core of each $b_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$ intersects $F_{0}$ algebraically zero times. This can be achieved by twisting $b_{k}$ around an arc that attaches it to $L$; see Figure 3.

Next, we stabilise $F$ to a surface $F^{\prime}$ that satisfies $F^{\prime} \cap b_{k}=L \cap b_{k}$. We do this by stabilisations that start and end at the same component of $F$. Indeed, consider the

[^2]

Figure 3. Modification of $b_{k}$ that changes the algebraic intersection of the core of $b_{k}$ with the non-closed component of $F$ without changing the isotopy class of $L^{\prime}$.
core $I$ of $b_{k}$. If $b_{k}$ intersects any of the $F_{l}$ for $l>0$, then one finds a subarc $I^{\prime} \subset I$ that starts and ends on the same $F_{l}$ and the interior of $I^{\prime}$ misses $F$. Stabilisation along $I^{\prime}$ reduces the number of intersections of $I$ with $F_{l}$, and we may inductively arrange for $I$ to miss all $F_{l}$ with $l>0$ by such stabilisations. Similarly, since the algebraic intersection number between $F_{0}$ and $I$ is zero, if $I$ intersects $F_{0}$, then we can find a subarc $I^{\prime} \subset I$ with endpoints on $F_{0}$ such that the interior of $I^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $F$ and $I^{\prime}$ approaches $F_{0}$ at the boundary from the same side (i.e., the intersection points of $I$ with $F_{0}$ that correspond to the endpoints of $I^{\prime}$ have opposite sign).

By Lemma 7 we can find a boundary-fixing isotopy of $B$ to a 3 -ball $B^{\prime}$ such that $F^{\prime \prime}:=B^{\prime} \pitchfork S^{3}$ is the union of $F^{\prime}$ and a closed surface that is the boundary of a link in $S^{3}$. Up to a small isotopy, $F^{\prime \prime}$ is disjoint from $b_{k}$. By sequentially applying the above procedure to each $b_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$, we may arrange that each $b_{k}$ intersects the resulting Seifert surface only in $\phi_{k}(\{0,1\} \times[0,1])$. Hence, we conclude the argument as in Case 1.

The proof of our main theorem now follows easily.
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $K$ be a symmetric union knot and let $\left(D_{J} \sqcup-D_{J}\right)\left(\infty_{1}, n_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, n_{t}\right)$ be a diagram for $K$ with $t=\operatorname{tw}(K)$. By Subsection 2.1, the $\infty$-resolution $K_{\infty}$ of the diagram $\left(D_{J} \sqcup-D_{J}\right)\left(\infty_{1}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{t}\right)$ can be turned into $K$ via $t$ band moves. Since $K_{\infty}$ is weakly doubly slice by Proposition 6, the result follows by Proposition 2.

It remains to prove Lemma 7. We invite the reader to compare the proof we give below with the proof of [Che21, Lemma 3.7], where the objects of interest are given by more explicit parametrisations.
Proof of Lemma 7. Let $a$ be a stabilisation arc for $F$, i.e., $a$ is an $\operatorname{arc}$ in $S^{3}$ with endpoints $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ in $F \backslash \partial F$ and no further intersections with $F$. Furthermore, $a$ is transversal to $F$ and the two orientations of $S^{3}$ obtained by extending the orientation of $F$ with an outward pointing tangent vector of $a$ at $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, respectively, agree.

Let $b$ be an embedded arc in the interior of $B$ with endpoints $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ such that $b$ is transversal to $F$ and the two orientations of $B$ obtained by extending the orientation of $F$ with an outward pointing tangent vector of $b$ at $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, respectively, agree. Note that we allow for the possibility that $b \backslash\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}\right\}$ intersects $F \backslash \partial F$. However, if $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ lie on the same component of $F$, we choose $b$ such that $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ constitute the only intersections between $F$ and $b$, e.g., by choosing $b$ to lie in a collar of said component of $F$. Also note that $b$ intersects $S^{3}$ an even number of times, since, by our choice of how $B$ hits $F$ at its endpoints, $b$ points into the same hemisphere of $S^{4}$ at both ends.

The idea is to find a disc $D$ in $S^{4}$ with $\partial D=a \cup b$ and to perform an isotopy of $B$ that is the identity outside a neigbourhood of $b$ and that moves $b$ along $D$; the disc $D$ will be a bigon (i.e., a smooth manifold with two corners that is homeomorphic to a disc). We first provide more details on $D$ and then explain the effect of the isotopy.

Let $W$ be a small neighborhood of $B \cup a$, and let $a^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ be push-offs (normal to $S^{3}$ and $B$ ) of $a$ and $b$, respectively, such that $a^{\prime} \cup b^{\prime}$ is a smooth curve $l \subset \partial W$. ${ }^{4}$ Let $A \subset W$ be the annulus with two corners that is traced out by the push-off of $a \cup b$ to $a^{\prime} \cup b^{\prime}$; the annulus $A$ shall serve as a collar of $\partial D$ in $W$. See left-hand side of Figure 4.


Figure 4. Dimension reduced illustration of $D, B$ and $B^{\prime}$.
Left: $B$ (green), part of $S^{3}$ (light blue), part of $F=B \cap S^{3}$ (black), $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ (blue), $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ (red), $A$ (yellow).
Middle: the bigon $D$ (yellow), the flow $\Psi$ of $b$ under the vector field $v$ (orange arrows).
Right: $B^{\prime}$, explicitly drawn are the subsets $B \cap B^{\prime}, \phi(D \times \partial \mathbb{D})$ (green), and $\Psi(b)$ (red).

Noting that $W$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{1} \times D^{3}$ and using that every embedded $S^{1}$ in $S^{4}$ is the boundary of an embedded disc, we find an embedded $D^{\prime} \subset S^{4}$ with $\partial D^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \cap W \subset \partial W$ that is transversal to $\partial W$ such that $\partial D^{\prime}$ is a section of the normal projection of $\partial W$ to the core of $W$. Since $l$ and $\partial D^{\prime}$ are isotopic in $\partial W \cong S^{1} \times S^{2}$ (e.g., by the 3-dimensional light bulb trick), we may assume (by an isotopy of $D^{\prime}$ ) that $\partial D^{\prime}=l$. We extend this to a smooth bigon with corners $D=D^{\prime} \cup A$ that has $a \cup b$ as its boundary; see middle of Figure 4.

We further arrange for $D$ to intersect $S^{3}$ transversally. The intersection $D \pitchfork S^{3}$ consists of a union of circles $U$ in the interior of $D$, the arc $a$, and a (possibly empty) union $\bigcup_{k}^{n} I_{k}$ of properly embedded intervals with endpoints on $b$. Note that $a$ is the only interval in this intersection (i.e., $n=0$ ) if and only if $b$ is disjoint from $F$ away from $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$.

The result $B^{\prime}$ of an isotopy of $B$ that is the identity outside a neighbourhood of $D$ and pushes $b$ along $D$ slightly past $a$ is readily seen to have the following intersection with $S^{3}$. The surface $F^{\prime}:=B^{\prime} \pitchfork S^{3}$ is a stabilisation of $F$ along the $\operatorname{arcs} a, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n} \subset S^{3}$ together with the boundary of a small neighbourhood of the

[^3]link $U \subset S^{3}$. To see this more explicitly, we pick a vector field $v$ that is non-zero in a small neighbourhood of $D$ as follows. On $D$, the vector field $v$ is tangential to $D$ and normal to $b$, and its time one map $\Psi: S^{4} \rightarrow S^{4}$ maps $b$ slightly past $a$; see middle of Figure 4. Since the collar $A$ of $D$ is normal to $B$ and since $D$ intersects $S^{3}$ transversally, we find an embedding $\phi: D \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow S^{4}$ with image $N(D)$ such that $\left.\phi\right|_{a \times \mathbb{D}}$ (resp., $\left.\phi\right|_{b \times \mathbb{D}}$ ) parametrises a cylinder in $S^{3}$ (resp., $B$ ) with the core $a$ (resp., $b$ ); in other words, up to smoothing corners, the trivialisation of the embedded normal bundle of $D$ induces a trivialisation of the embedded normal bundle of $a \cup b$ in $S^{3} \cup B$. Furthermore, by transversality, we may arrange that
$$
S^{3} \cap N(D)=\phi\left(\left(S^{3} \cap D\right) \times \mathbb{D}\right)=Z_{a} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k} \cup \mathrm{nb}(U)
$$
where $Z_{a}:=\phi(a \times \mathbb{D})$ and $Z_{k}:=\phi\left(I_{k} \times \mathbb{D}\right)$ are cylinders in $S^{3}$ with the cores $a$ and $I_{k}$, respectively, and $\operatorname{nb}(U):=\phi(U \times \mathbb{D})$ is a neighborhood of $U$ in $S^{3}$; see Figure 5.


Figure 5. Dimension reduced illustration of $N(D)$ and $S^{3} \cap N(D)=\phi\left(D \cap S^{3} \times \mathbb{D}\right)=Z_{a} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k} \cup \mathrm{nb}(\mathrm{U})$.

With this notation, one may describe the vector field $v$ in terms of the coordinates given by $\phi^{-1}$ or a slight extension of it with the domain containing the whole neighbourhood of $D$. A natural choice is to set $v(x, y)=v(x, 0)$ for all $x \in D$ and $y \in \mathbb{D}$ in coordinates given by $\phi^{-1}$, or, in other words, to extend $v$ normally from how it is chosen on $D$, and then quickly let it become zero outside of $\phi(B \times \mathbb{D})$. However, to be able to explicitly write $F^{\prime}$, we modify $v$ slightly such that $B^{\prime}:=\Psi(B)$, where $\Psi$ is the time one flow of $v$, satisfies $B^{\prime} \cap N(D)=\phi(D \times \partial \mathbb{D})$; see right-hand side of Figure 4. With this choice of $v$, we can describe $F^{\prime}:=\partial B^{\prime} \cap S^{3}$ explicitly (up to smoothing corners afterwards) as

$$
\left(F \backslash\left(B_{a} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} B_{k}\right)\right) \cup M_{a} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} M_{k} \cup \partial(\mathrm{nb}(U)),
$$

where $B_{a}:=\phi(\partial a \times \mathbb{D})$ and $B_{k}:=\phi\left(\partial I_{k} \times \mathbb{D}\right)$ are the bases of the cylinders $Z_{a}$ and $Z_{k}$, respectively, and $M_{a}:=\phi(a \times \partial \mathbb{D})$ and $M_{k}:=\phi\left(I_{k} \times \partial \mathbb{D}\right)$ are the mantles of $Z_{a}$ and $Z_{k}$, respectively. Hence, indeed, $F^{\prime}$ is the result of stabilizing $F$ along $a$ and the $\operatorname{arcs} I_{k}$ union a closed surface given by the neighborhood of a link $U \subset S^{3}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The twisting number as defined here is also called the minimal twisting number in the literature.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In fact, the authors' original approach to finding ribbon knots with large twisting numbers was to establish $\left|\sigma_{\omega}(K)\right| \leq \operatorname{tw}(K)$ by purely 3-dimensional arguments.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ To be very precise, we note that [Che21, Lemma 3.7] assumes that $\partial F=K$ is a superslice knot and that $\partial B$ is an unknotted 2-sphere that arises by doubling a slice disc for $K$; however, the argument given never makes use of these extra assumptions.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Here, we are using that $a$ and $b$ both hit $F$ from the same side.

