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#### Abstract

In this paper, we first establish two versions of Landau-Bloch type theorem for ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )-elliptic harmonic mappings with a bounded minimum distortion. Next, we provide several coefficient estimates and a conjecture for ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )-elliptic harmonic mappings. Then, we establish three new versions of Landau-Bloch type theorem for sensepreserving harmonic mappings. Finally, we establish two sharp versions of Landau-Bloch type theorem for certain harmonic mappings. These results are sharp in some given cases and improve the related results of different authors.


## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Suppose that $f(z)=u(z)+i v(z)$ is a twice continuously differentiable function in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Then $f$ is a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ if and only if $f$ satisfies $\Delta f=4 f_{z \bar{z}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}}=0$ for all $z=x+i y \in \mathbb{D}$ (cf. [13]), where we use the common notations for its formal derivatives:

$$
f_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{x}-i f_{y}\right), \quad f_{\bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{x}+i f_{y}\right) .
$$

For such function $f$, we define the maximum and minimum distortions [3] as follows:

$$
\Lambda_{f}=\max _{0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi}\left|f_{z}+e^{-2 i \theta} f_{\bar{z}}\right|=\left|f_{z}\right|+\left|f_{\bar{z}}\right|
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{f}=\min _{0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi}\left|f_{z}+e^{-2 i \theta} f_{\bar{z}}\right|=\| f_{z}\left|-\left|f_{\bar{z}}\right|\right| .
$$

Since $\mathbb{D}$ is simply connected, $f(z)$ can be written as $f=h+\bar{g}$ with $f(0)=h(0), g(z)$ and $h(z)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ (cf. [13]). Thus the Jacobian of $f$ is given by

$$
J_{f}=\left|f_{z}\right|^{2}-\left|f_{z}\right|^{2}=\left|h^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2} .
$$

Notice that $\left|J_{f}\right|=\lambda_{f} \cdot \Lambda_{f}$. It is known [18] that a harmonic mapping is locally univalent if and only if $J_{f} \neq 0$. A harmonic mappings $f$ is said to be sense-preserving if $J_{f}>0$. Therefore, a sense-preserving harmonic mapping $f$ is said to be $K$-quasiregular harmonic $(K \geq 1)$ on $\mathbb{D}$ if $\Lambda_{f}(z) \leq K \lambda_{f}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. There is currently great interest in harmonic mappings due to their relevance for fluid flows (please refer to the discussion in [1, 10]).

[^0]Definition 1.1. ([24]) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain. A mapping $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be absolutely continuous on lines, $A C L$ in brief, in a domain $\Omega$ if for every closed rectangle $R \subset \Omega$ with sides parallel to the axes $x$ and $y, f$ is absolutely continuous on almost every horizontal line and almost every vertical line in $R$. Such a mapping $f$ has partial derivatives $f_{x}$ and $f_{y}$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Moreover, we say $f \in A C L^{2}$ if $f \in A C L$ and its partial derivatives are locally $L^{2}$ integrable in $\Omega$.

Definition 1.2. ([14]) A sense-preserving and continuous mapping $f$ of $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )-elliptic mapping if
(1) $f$ is $A C L^{2}$ in $\mathbb{D}, J_{f} \neq 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{D}$;
(2) $\exists K \geq 1$ and $K^{\prime} \geq 0$ such that $\left\|D_{f}\right\|^{2} \leq K J_{f}+K^{\prime}$ a.e. in $\mathbb{D}$.

It is easy to see that $\left\|D_{f}(z)\right\|=\Lambda_{f}(z)$. Thus, a ( $K, 0$ )-elliptic mapping becomes $K$ quasiregular mapping. For more details on elliptic mappings, please refer to ([8, [9, 14, 23]).

The classical Landau theorem asserts that if $f$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f^{\prime}(0)=1$ and $|f(z)|<M$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<\rho_{0}\right\}$, and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}\right)$ contains a disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{0}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=\frac{1}{M+\sqrt{M^{2}-1}}=M-\sqrt{M^{2}-1} \text { and } R_{0}=M \rho_{0}^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is sharp, with the extremal function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(z)=M z\left(\frac{1-M z}{M-z}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Bloch theorem asserts the existence of a positive constant number b such that if $f$ is an analytic function on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ with $f^{\prime}(0)=1$, then $f(\mathbb{D})$ contains a disk of radius $b$, that is, a disk of radius $b$ which is the univalent image of some region in $\mathbb{D}$. Such a disk is called "schlicht disk" for $f$. The supremum of all such constants $b$ is called the Bloch constant (see [3, 15]).

In 2000, H.H. Chen et al. [3] obtained two versions of Laudau-type theorems for bounded harmonic mappings in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. However, their results are not sharp. Dorff and Nowak [11, Grigoryan [12, S.L. Chen et al. [5, 6, 7, Huang et al. [16, 25], Liu et al. [19, 20, 22] and H.H. Chen et al. [4] improved their results respectively. In particular, the following sharp version of Landau-type theorem for harmonic mappings with bounded maximum distortion was obtained in [16, 20, 22].

Theorem A. ([16, 20, 22]) Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0$ and $\lambda_{f}(0)=1$.
(1) If $\Lambda_{f}(z) \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and $f(\mathbb{D})$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}$, and the result is sharp.
(2) If $\Lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $\Lambda>1$ and $f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\rho}$ with $\rho=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$, and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R}$, where

$$
R=\Lambda+\left(\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)
$$

The result is sharp.

The sharp form of Landau-type theorem for harmonic mappings with $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=$ 1 and $|f(z)| \leq 1$ in the unit disk was also obtained in [19]. In 2020, Liu et al. proved the sharp result of Landau-Bloch type theorem for strongly-bounded harmonic mappings when $M>1$ in [21], and obtained several new sharp versions of Landau-Bloch type theorems of harmonic mappings. We recall one of these results as follows.

Theorem B. ([21, Theorem 3.5]) Suppose that $M>1$. Let $f(z)$ be a harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ with $f(0)=\lambda_{f}(0)-1=0$, and

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_{n}} \overline{z^{n}}
$$

satisfying the following inequality

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{n-1} \leq \frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M r-r^{2}\right)}{(M-r)^{2}}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq \rho_{0}=M-\sqrt{M^{2}-1}
$$

Then $f(z)$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{0}}$, where $R_{0}=M \rho_{0}^{2}$. This result is sharp, with $f_{0}(z)=M z \frac{1-M z}{M-z}$ being an extremal mapping.

Recently, Allu and Kumar [2] established two new versions of Laudau-Bloch type theorem for $\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$-elliptic harmonic mappings and K-quasiregular mappings as follows.

Theorem C. ([2, Theorem 2.5]) Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )-elliptic harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z) \leq \Lambda$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{1}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{1}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{1}}$, where

$$
\rho_{1}=\frac{1}{1+K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}}, \quad R_{1}=1+\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{1+K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}}\right) .
$$

Theorem D. ([2, Theorem 2.7]) Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a K-quasiregular mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, J_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z) \leq \Lambda$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{2}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{2}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{2}}$, where

$$
\rho_{2}=\frac{1}{1+K^{3 / 2} \Lambda}, \quad R_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}+K \Lambda \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{1+K^{3 / 2} \Lambda}\right) .
$$

However, Theorems C and D are not sharp. It is then natural to raise the following problems:

Problem 1. Can we improve the results in Theorems C and D? Can we establish several sharp results?

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present statements of our theorems which improve Theorems C and D, and several new versions of Landau-Bloch type theorem and coefficient estimates for sense-preserving harmonic mappings and normalized ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )elliptic harmonic mappings, $K$-quasiregular mappings are also provided. In particular, these results are sharp in some given cases. In Section 3, we state a couple of lemmas which are needed for the proofs of our main results. In Section 4, we present the proofs of the main results. In particular, Theorem 1, Corollary 2, Theorems [5, 6, 8 and 9 provide an affirmative answer to Problem 1.

## 2. Statement of Main Results

We first improve Theorems C and D as follows:
Theorem 1. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )-elliptic harmonic mapping defined in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\Lambda>1$, $f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}$ with

$$
r_{1}=\frac{2}{K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}} \geq \frac{1}{K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}}>\rho_{1}
$$

and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk with radius

$$
\sigma_{1}=\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}^{3}}-\frac{1}{r_{1}}\right) \ln \left(1-r_{1}^{2}\right)>R_{1} .
$$

When $K=1, K^{\prime}=0$, the radii $r_{1}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $\sigma_{1}=\Lambda+\left(\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)$ are sharp.
Remark 1. We remark that Theorem $⿴ i 冂 i m p r o v e s ~ T h e o r e m ~ C . ~ I n ~ o r d e r ~ t o ~ b e ~ m o r e ~ e x p l i c i t, ~$ we compute the approximate values obtained from Theorem 1 and the corresponding values obtained from Theorem C for various choices of $K, K^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda$. From Table 1, we can see that, for the same $K, K^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda, r_{1}>\rho_{1}, \sigma_{1}>R_{1}$.

Table 1. Values of $r_{1}, \rho_{1} ; \sigma_{1}, R_{1}$ in Theorem 1, Theorem C

| $\left(K, K^{\prime}, \Lambda\right)$ | $(1.0,1.1,1.2)$ | $(1.2,1.3,1.4)$ | $(1.4,1.5,1.6)$ | $(1.6,1.7,1.8)$ | $(1.8,1.9,2.0)$ | $(2.0,2.1,2.2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{1}$ | 0.5530 | 0.4432 | 0.3598 | 0.2956 | 0.2459 | 0.2069 |
| $\rho_{1}$ | 0.3078 | 0.2618 | 0.2240 | 0.1929 | 0.1673 | 0.1460 |
| $\sigma_{1}$ | 0.3100 | 0.2377 | 0.1882 | 0.1523 | 0.1255 | 0.1049 |
| $R_{1}$ | 0.1727 | 0.1441 | 0.1214 | 0.1003 | 0.0887 | 0.0768 |

Setting $K^{\prime}=0$ in Theorem 1, we get the following improved version of [2, Corollary 2.6].

Corollary 1. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a $K$-quasiregular mapping defined in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{r_{2}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{2}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{2}}$, where

$$
r_{2}=r_{2}(K, \Lambda)=\frac{1}{K \Lambda}, \quad \sigma_{2}=K \Lambda+\left(K^{3} \Lambda^{3}-K \Lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}}\right)
$$

When $K=1$, the result is sharp with an extremal function being $f_{1}(z)=\Lambda \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\Lambda z-1}{\Lambda-z} d z$.
Theorem 2. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a $\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$-elliptic harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, J_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\Lambda>\frac{1}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}}, f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{3}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{3}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{3}}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{3}=r_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}, \Lambda\right)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}\right)}, \\
\sigma_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}}\left\{\frac{1}{r_{3}}+\left(\frac{1}{r_{3}^{3}}-\frac{1}{r_{3}}\right) \ln \left(1-r_{3}^{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

When $K=1, K^{\prime}=0$, the radii $r_{3}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $\sigma_{3}=\Lambda+\left(\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)$ are sharp.
Setting $K^{\prime}=0$ in Theorem 2, we get the following result, which improves Theorem D.
Corollary 2. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a K-quasiregular mapping defined on unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, J_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\Lambda>\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}, f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{3}^{\prime}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{3}^{\prime}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{3}^{\prime}}$, where

$$
r_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{K^{3 / 2} \Lambda}>\rho_{2}, \quad \sigma_{3}^{\prime}=K \Lambda+\left(K^{4} \Lambda^{3}-K \Lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{K^{3} \Lambda^{2}}\right)>R_{2}
$$

When $K=1$, the radii $r_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $\sigma_{3}^{\prime}=\Lambda+\left(\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)$ are sharp with an extremal function being $f_{1}(z)=\Lambda \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\Lambda z-1}{\Lambda-z} d z$.
Remark 2. From Table 2, we can see that, for the same $K$ and $\Lambda, r_{3}^{\prime}>\rho_{2}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}>R_{2}$.
Table 2. Values of $r_{3}^{\prime}, \rho_{3} ; \sigma_{3}^{\prime}, R_{3}$ in Corollary 2, Theorem D

| $(K, \Lambda)$ | $(1.0,1.2)$ | $(1.2,1.4)$ | $(1.4,1.6)$ | $(1.6,1.8)$ | $(1.8,2.0)$ | $(2.0,2.2)$ | $(2.2,2.4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{3}^{\prime}$ | 0.8333 | 0.5434 | 0.3773 | 0.2745 | 0.2070 | 0.1607 | 0.1277 |
| $\rho_{2}$ | 0.4545 | 0.3521 | 0.2739 | 0.2154 | 0.1715 | 0.1385 | 0.1132 |
| $\sigma_{3}^{\prime}$ | 0.5740 | 0.2768 | 0.1676 | 0.1113 | 0.0783 | 0.0573 | 0.0433 |
| $R_{2}$ | 0.2726 | 0.1838 | 0.1281 | 0.0920 | 0.0679 | 0.0514 | 0.0397 |

Next, we provide several results and a conjecture of coefficient estimates for normalized ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )-elliptic harmonic mappings.

Theorem 3. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a ( $\left.K, K^{\prime}\right)$-elliptic harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, J_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z) \leq \Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have that

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}} \leq\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \sqrt{K+K^{\prime}},
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{\left(K+K^{\prime}\right)\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-4}{2 n\left(K+K^{\prime}\right)\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}\right)}, n=2,3, \ldots
$$

When $K=1, K^{\prime}=0$, the above estimates are sharp for all $n=2,3, \ldots$, with the extremal functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=\Lambda^{2} z-\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda}{\Lambda+z^{n-1}} d z \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying a similar method as for that used in Theorem 3, we have the following result.

Corollary 3. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a $\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$-elliptic harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z) \leq \Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and let $h, g$ be given by (2.1). Then, we have that

$$
1 \leq\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \frac{K+\sqrt{K^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}}{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-4}{2 n\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}\right)}, n=2,3, \ldots
$$

When $K=1, K^{\prime}=0$, the above estimates are sharp for all $n=2,3, \ldots$, with the extremal functions given by (2.2).

Setting $K^{\prime}=0$ in Theorem 3, we get the following result.
Corollary 4. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a $K$-quasiregular mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, J_{f}(0)=$ 1 and $\lambda_{f}(z) \leq \Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and let $h, g$ be given by (2.1). Then

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \leq\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \sqrt{K}, \quad\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{K^{3} \Lambda^{2}-1}{n \Lambda K^{2}}, n=2,3, \ldots
$$

When $K=1$, the above estimates are sharp for all $n=2,3, \ldots$, with the extremal functions given by (2.2).

Setting $K^{\prime}=0$ in Corollary 3, we get the following result.
Corollary 5. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a $K$-quasiregular mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=$ 1 and $\lambda_{f}(z) \leq \Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and let $h, g$ be given by (2.1). Then,

$$
1 \leq\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|b_{1}\right| \leq K, \quad\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}-1}{n \Lambda K}, n=2,3, \ldots
$$

When $K=1$, the above estimates are sharp for all $n=2,3, \ldots$, with the extremal functions $f_{n}(z)$ given by (2.2).

Note that

$$
\frac{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}-1}{n \Lambda K}=K \frac{\Lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{K^{2}}}{n \Lambda}
$$

we propose the following conjecture.
Conjecture 1. Let $F=h+\bar{g}$ be a $K$-quasiregular mapping in unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $F(0)=0, \lambda_{F}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{F}(z) \leq \Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and let $h, g$ be given by (2.1). Then,

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right| \leq K \frac{\Lambda^{2}-1}{n \Lambda}, n=2,3, \ldots
$$

and the above estimates are sharp for all $n=2,3 \ldots$, with the extremal functions:

$$
F_{n}(z)=\frac{K+1}{2}\left(\Lambda^{2} z-\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda}{\Lambda+z^{n-1}} d z\right)+\overline{\frac{K-1}{2}\left(\Lambda^{2} z-\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda}{\Lambda+z^{n-1}} d z\right)}
$$

Now, we establish three versions of Landau-Bloch type theorem for sense-preserving harmonic mappings and a new version of Landau-Bloch type theorem for K-quasiregular mapping as follows:

Theorem 4. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, h^{\prime}(0)-1=g^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $\lambda_{f}(z) \leq \lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\lambda \geq 1$, $f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{4}}$ with $r_{4}=r_{4}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2(\sqrt{2}+1) \lambda}$, and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{4}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk with radius

$$
\sigma_{4}=\frac{(\sqrt{2}+1) \lambda}{2}\left\{1+\left((\sqrt{2}+1)^{2} \lambda^{2}-1\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{(\sqrt{2}-1)^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Theorem 5. Suppose that $M>1$. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=f_{z}(0)-1=f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$ and $|h(z)| \leq M$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$ with $\rho_{0}=\frac{1}{M+\sqrt{M^{2}-1}}$. The radius $\rho_{0}$ is sharp with the extremal function $f_{0}(z)=M z \frac{1-M z}{M-z}$.
Theorem 6. Suppose that $\Lambda>1$. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=f_{z}(0)-1=f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$ and $\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{r_{6}}$ with $r_{6}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$. The radius $r_{6}$ is sharp with the extremal function $f_{1}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \Lambda \frac{1-\Lambda z}{\Lambda-z} d z$.
Theorem 7. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a K-quasiregular mapping defined on unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=1$ and $|h(z)| \leq M$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{7}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{7}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{7}}$, where

$$
r_{7}=\frac{K+1}{8 K M}, \quad \sigma_{7}=\frac{2 K M}{K+1}\left\{1+\left(\left(\frac{4 K M}{K+1}\right)^{2}-1\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{(K+1)^{2}}{16 K^{2} M^{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Finally, we establish two sharp versions of Landau-Bloch type theorems for certain harmonic mappings.
Theorem 8. Suppose that $M>1$. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=1$, and let $h(z), g(z)$ satisfy

$$
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

and for $|z|<\rho_{0}=\frac{1}{M+\sqrt{M^{2}-1}}$, we have

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(z)-h^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)-g^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M|z|-|z|^{2}\right)}{(M-|z|)^{2}}
$$

Then $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{0}}$, where $R_{0}=M \rho_{0}^{2}$. This result is sharp, with an extremal function being $f_{0}(z)=M z \frac{1-M z}{M-z}$.
Remark 3. Theorem 8 improves Theorem B, since for $|z|=r<1$, we have

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(z)-h^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)-g^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{n-1}
$$

Theorem 9. Let $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$ be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ with $h(z) g(z)$ given by (2.1), such that $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=1$ and $\left|z f_{z}(z)+\bar{z} f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right|<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and $a_{n} b_{n}=0$ for $n=2,3, \ldots$. Then $\Lambda \geq 1$ and $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{r_{9}}$, and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{9}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{9}}$, where $r_{9}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}, \sigma_{9}=\Lambda-\sqrt{\Lambda^{2}-1}$. The radius $r_{9}$ is sharp, with the extremal functions $f_{1}(z)=\Lambda \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1-\Lambda z}{\Lambda-z} d z$. Moreover, when $\Lambda=1, \sigma_{9}=1$ is also sharp.

## 3. Key lemmas

In order to establish our main results, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma E. (see, for example, p. 35 in [15]) Let $f(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+\ldots+a_{n} z^{n}+\ldots$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and let $|f(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$.

Lemma F. ([21, Lemma 2.2]) Suppose that $\rho>0, \sigma>0, h(z)$ and $g(z)$ are holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $h(0)=g(0)=0$. Then, for every complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|=1$, $f_{\lambda}(z)=h(z)+\lambda g(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\rho}$ and $f_{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho}\right) \supseteq \mathbb{D}_{\sigma}$ if and only if for every complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|=1, F_{\lambda}(z)=h(z)+\lambda \overline{g(z)}$ is univalent on $\mathbb{D}_{\rho}$ and $F_{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho}\right) \supseteq \mathbb{D}_{\sigma}$.

Lemma G. (see, for example, p. 297 in [17]) If $0<x<1$. Then $\ln x>\frac{1}{2}\left(x-\frac{1}{x}\right)$.
Next, we establish a new lemma, which play a key role in the proof of our main results.
Lemma H. Suppose that $x \geq 1$. Then $1+x \ln \frac{x}{1+x}<\frac{1}{2(x+0.5)}$.
Proof: Let $f(x)=1+x \ln \frac{x}{1+x}-\frac{1}{2 x+1}$. Then, a direct computation yields

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\ln \frac{x}{1+x}+\frac{1}{1+x}+\frac{1}{2(x+0.5)^{2}},
$$

$f^{\prime}(1)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{9}-\ln 2>0$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

Also, for $x \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =\frac{1}{x(1+x)}-\frac{1}{(1+x)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(x+0.5)^{3}}=\frac{1}{x(1+x)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(x+0.5)^{3}} \\
& =-\frac{\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{8}(2 x-1)}{x(1+x)^{2}(x+0.5)^{3}}<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

showing that $f^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $[1, \infty)$, and thus, we have that $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $[1, \infty)$. This implies that $f(x)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, \infty)$. We note that $f(1)=$ $1-\ln 2-\frac{1}{3}<0$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f(x)=0
$$

and therefore, we obtain that $f(x)<0$ in $[1, \infty)$, and the proof is complete.

## 4. Proofs of the main results

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1. Since $f=h+\bar{g}$ is a $\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$-elliptic harmonic mapping and $\lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have that $\Lambda>\lambda_{f}(0)=1$, and for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$
\Lambda_{f}^{2}(z) \leq K J_{f}(z)+K^{\prime} \leq K \Lambda_{f}(z) \lambda_{f}(z)+K^{\prime}<K \Lambda \Lambda_{f}(z)+K^{\prime}
$$

that is,

$$
\Lambda_{f}^{2}(z)-K \Lambda \Lambda_{f}(z)-K^{\prime}<0
$$

Hence, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{f}(z)<\frac{K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}}{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f=h+\bar{g}$ is a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ with $f(0)=0, \lambda_{f}(0)=1$, it follows from Theorem A that $f(z)$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}$ with

$$
r_{1}=\frac{2}{K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}} \geq \frac{1}{K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}}>\rho_{1}
$$

and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk with radius

$$
\sigma_{1}=\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}^{3}}-\frac{1}{r_{1}}\right) \ln \left(1-r_{1}^{2}\right) .
$$

When $K=1, K^{\prime}=0$, we have that $\Lambda(z)=\lambda(z)<\Lambda$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, it follows from Theorem A that the radii $r_{1}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $\sigma_{1}=\Lambda+\left(\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)$ are sharp.

Finally, we verify that $\sigma_{1}>R_{1}$. In fact, it follows from Lemmas G and H that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} \geq & K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}+\left(\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right)^{3}-K \Lambda-\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
> & K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}+\left(\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right)^{3}-K \Lambda-\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right) . \\
& \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-1}{\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}{\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-1}\right)=\frac{1}{2\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right)} \\
> & \frac{1}{2\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}+0.5\right)} \\
> & 1+\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{1+K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{\prime}}}\right)=R_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2. Since $f=h+\bar{g}$ is a ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )-elliptic harmonic mapping, $J_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have that $\Lambda>\lambda_{f}(0)$, and

$$
\Lambda_{f}^{2}(0) \leq K J_{f}(0)+K^{\prime}=K+K^{\prime}
$$

that is,

$$
\Lambda_{f}(0) \leq \sqrt{K+K^{\prime}} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda>\lambda_{f}(0)=\frac{J_{f}(0)}{\Lambda_{f}(0)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F(z)=\frac{f(z)}{\lambda_{f}(0)}$, then $F(z)$ is a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}, \lambda_{F}(0)=1$, and by (4.1), we have

$$
\Lambda_{F}(z)=\frac{\Lambda_{f}(z)}{\lambda_{f}(0)} \leq \sqrt{K+K^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}}{2}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. So, it follows from Theorem A that $F(z)$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{3}}$ with

$$
r_{3}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

and $F\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{3}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk with radius

$$
\sigma_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{r_{3}}+\left(\frac{1}{r_{3}^{3}}-\frac{1}{r_{3}}\right) \ln \left(1-r_{3}^{2}\right) .
$$

Note that

$$
\lambda_{f}(0) \sigma_{3}^{\prime \prime} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}}\left\{\frac{1}{r_{3}}+\left(\frac{1}{r_{3}^{3}}-\frac{1}{r_{3}}\right) \ln \left(1-r_{3}^{2}\right)\right\}=\sigma_{3} .
$$

Hence, $f(z)$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{3}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{3}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{3}}$.
When $K=1, K^{\prime}=0$, we have that $\Lambda(z)=\lambda(z)<\Lambda$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, it follows from Theorem A that the radii $r_{3}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $\sigma_{3}=\Lambda+\left(\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)$ are sharp.
4.3. Proof of Corollary 2. By Theorem 2, we only need to verify that $\sigma_{3}^{\prime}>R_{3}$. In fact, it follows from Lemmas G and H that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3}^{\prime} & >K \Lambda+\left(K^{4} \Lambda^{3}-K \Lambda\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{K^{3} \Lambda^{2}-1}{K^{3} \Lambda^{2}}-\frac{K^{3} \Lambda^{2}}{K^{3} \Lambda^{2}-1}\right)=\frac{1}{2 K^{2} \Lambda} \\
& >\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\left(K^{3 / 2} \Lambda+0.5\right)} \\
& >\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\left(1+K^{3 / 2} \Lambda \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{1+K^{3 / 2} \Lambda}\right)\right)=R_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.4. Proof of Theorem 3. Since $f=h+\bar{g}$ is a ( $K, K^{\prime}$ )-elliptic harmonic mapping, $J_{f}(0)=1$ and $\lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, it follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| a_{1}\left|-\left|b_{1}\right|\right| & =\lambda_{f}(0) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}} \\
\Lambda_{f}(z) & <\Lambda_{1}:=\frac{K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}}{2}, z \in \mathbb{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}}} \leq \lambda_{f}(0) \leq\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|b_{1}\right|=\frac{J_{f}(0)}{\lambda_{f}(0)}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{f}(0)} \leq \sqrt{K+K^{\prime}} .
$$

Let $H(z)=h(z)+e^{i \alpha} g(z), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2 \pi$, then $H$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ and for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$
\left|H^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+e^{i \alpha} b_{n}\right) z^{n-1}\right| \leq \Lambda_{f}(z)<\Lambda_{1}
$$

By Lemma E, we have that

$$
\left|\frac{n\left(a_{n}+e^{i \alpha} b_{n}\right)}{\Lambda_{1}}\right| \leq 1-\left|\frac{a_{1}+e^{i \alpha} b_{1}}{\Lambda_{1}}\right|^{2} \leq 1-\left|\frac{\left|a_{1}\right|-\left|b_{1}\right|}{\Lambda_{1}}\right|^{2} \leq 1-\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{K+K^{\prime}} \Lambda_{1}}\right|^{2}
$$

Then, it follows from the arbitrary of $\alpha$ that

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{\left(K+K^{\prime}\right) \Lambda_{1}^{2}-1}{n\left(K+K^{\prime}\right) \Lambda_{1}}=\frac{\left(K+K^{\prime}\right)\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-4}{2 n\left(K+K^{\prime}\right)\left(K \Lambda+\sqrt{K^{2} \Lambda^{2}+4 K^{\prime}}\right)}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots
$$

When $K=1, K^{\prime}=0$, the above estimates are sharp for all $n=2,3, \ldots$, with the extremal functions

$$
f_{n}(z)=\Lambda^{2} z-\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\Lambda^{3}-\Lambda}{\Lambda+z^{n-1}} d z=z+\frac{\Lambda^{2}-1}{n \Lambda} z^{n}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}\left(\Lambda^{2}-1\right)}{(k n-k+1) \Lambda^{k}} z^{k n-k+1} .
$$

4.5. Proof of Theorem 4. Since $f=h+\bar{g}$ is a sense-preserving harmonic mapping defined on unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(0)=0, h^{\prime}(0)-1=g^{\prime}(0)=0$, we have that $\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|>$ $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, this implies that $w(z)=\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}, w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

By Schwarz's lemma, we have that $|w(z)| \leq|z|$, i.e., $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq|z|\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|$.
Since $\lambda_{f}(z)=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \lambda$, we have that

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-|z|} \text { and }\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\lambda|z|}{1-|z|} \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

So,

$$
\Lambda_{f}(z)=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\lambda(1+|z|)}{1-|z|}
$$

Let $P(z)=(\sqrt{2}+1) f\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}+1}\right), z \in \mathbb{D}$, a simple computation yields that $\lambda_{P}(0)=\lambda_{f}(0)=$ 1 , and

$$
\Lambda_{P}(z)=\Lambda_{f}\left(\frac{z}{(\sqrt{2}+1)}\right) \leq \frac{\lambda(1+|z /(\sqrt{2}+1)|)}{1-|z /(\sqrt{2}+1)|} \leq(\sqrt{2}+1) \lambda .
$$

Therefore, by Theorem A, we obtain that $P(z)$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{4}^{\prime}}$ and $F\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{4}^{\prime}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{4}^{\prime}}$, where

$$
r_{4}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2}+1) \lambda}, \sigma_{4}^{\prime}=(\sqrt{2}+1) \lambda+\left((\sqrt{2}+1)^{3} \lambda^{3}-(\sqrt{2}+1) \lambda\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2}+1)^{2} \lambda^{2}}\right)
$$

Hence, $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{r_{4}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{4}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{4}}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{4} & =\frac{r_{4}^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{1}{2(\sqrt{2}+1) \lambda} \\
\sigma_{4} & =\frac{\sigma_{4}^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{(\sqrt{2}+1) \lambda}{2}\left\{1+\left((\sqrt{2}+1)^{2} \lambda^{2}-1\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{(\sqrt{2}-1)^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

4.6. Proof of Theorem 5. We adopt a similar method as for that used in [4, Theorem 2]. Let $F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f_{z}(z) d z$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then it follows from $f_{z}(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ that $F(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$. Since $f(0)=f_{z}(0)-1=f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$ and $|h(z)| \leq M$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have that

$$
F(0)=0, \quad F^{\prime}(0)=f_{z}(0)=1, \quad|F(z)|=\left|\int_{0}^{z} f_{z}(z) d z\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{z} h^{\prime}(z) d z\right|=|h(z)| \leq M
$$

By the classical Landau theorem, we have that $F(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$ and $F\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}\right)$ contains a disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{0}}$, where $\rho_{0}$ is given by (1.1) and $R_{0}=M \rho_{0}^{2}$.

Since $f(z)$ is a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$, we have that $\left|f_{z}(z)\right|>\left|f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, this implies that $f_{z}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus, the function $\frac{f_{z}}{f_{z}}$ is holomorphic and has its modulus less than 1 on $\mathbb{D}$.

Let $\Delta=F\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}\right)$, then $F(z)$ is biholomorphic from $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$ onto $\Delta$. By applying Schwarz's lemma to $F(z)$, it is easy to verify that $\Delta \subset \mathbb{D}$, therefore, the composition mapping $g(\zeta)=f \circ F^{-1}(\zeta)$ is a harmonic mapping in $\Delta(\subset \mathbb{D})$, and

$$
g_{\zeta}=\frac{f_{z}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}=1, \quad\left|g_{\bar{\zeta}}\right|=\left|\frac{f_{\bar{z}}}{\overline{f_{z}}}\right|<1
$$

for $\zeta=F(z) \in \Delta$. Thus, for any $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2} \in \Delta$ with $\zeta_{1} \neq \zeta_{2}$, we have

$$
\left|g\left(\zeta_{1}\right)-g\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right| \geq\left|\int_{\overline{\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}}} g_{\zeta} d \zeta\right|-\left|\int_{\overline{\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}}} g_{\bar{\zeta}} d \bar{\zeta}\right|>0
$$

This shows that $g$ is univalent on $\Delta$ and, consequently, $f(z)$ is univalent on $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$.
Finally, we prove the sharpness of $\rho_{0}$. To this end, we consider the harmonic mapping

$$
f(z)=h(z)=M z \frac{1-M z}{M-z} .
$$

Note that $f(0)=0, f_{\bar{z}}(z) \equiv 0$ and for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$
|h(z)|=|f(z)|=\left|M z \frac{1-M z}{M-z}\right|<M, \quad f^{\prime}(z)=M^{2} \frac{\left(z-M-\sqrt{M^{2}-1}\right)\left(z-\rho_{0}\right)}{(M-z)^{2}}
$$

we obtain that $f_{z}(0)-1=f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0,\left|f_{z}(z)\right|>\left|f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right| \equiv 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}$, and $f^{\prime}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=0$, which proves the sharpness of $\rho_{0}$.
4.7. Proof of Theorem6. As in the proof of Theorem 5, the function $F(z):=\int_{0}^{z} f_{z}(z) d z$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$. Since $f(0)=f_{z}(0)-1=f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$ and $\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|<\Lambda$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have that

$$
F(0)=0, \quad F^{\prime}(0)=f_{z}(0)=1, \quad\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|f_{z}(z)\right|=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|<\Lambda \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

By Theorem A, we obtain that $F(z)$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{6}}$ with $r_{6}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$.
Note that

$$
|F(z)|=\left|\int_{0}^{z} h^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \leq \int_{0}^{z}\left|h^{\prime}(t)\right||d t| \leq \Lambda|z|<\Lambda \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

By using an analogous proof of Theorem 5 and the extremal function $f_{1}(z)=h(z)=$ $\int_{0}^{z} \Lambda \frac{1-\Lambda z}{\Lambda-z} d z$, we may prove that $f(z)$ is univalent on $\mathbb{D}_{r_{6}}$, and the radius $r_{6}$ is sharp.
4.8. Proof of Theorem 7. Since $f$ is a $K$-quasiregular mapping in $\mathbb{D}$, we have that

$$
\left|f_{z}\right|+\left|f_{\bar{z}}\right| \leq K\left(\left|f_{z}\right|-\left|f_{\bar{z}}\right|\right),
$$

that is,

$$
\left|f_{\bar{z}}\right| \leq \frac{K-1}{K+1}\left|f_{z}\right|
$$

Because $h(0)=0$ and $|h(z)|<M$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, by Cauchy's estimates theorem, we have

$$
\left|f_{z}(z)\right|=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{M}{1-|z|}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$
\Lambda_{f}(z)=\left|f_{z}\right|+\left|f_{\bar{z}}\right| \leq \frac{2 K M}{(K+1)(1-|z|)}
$$

Let $P(z)=2 f\left(\frac{z}{2}\right), z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\lambda_{P}(0)=\lambda_{f}(0)=1$, and

$$
\Lambda_{P}(z)=\Lambda_{f}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \leq \frac{2 K M}{(K+1)(1-|z / 2|)} \leq \frac{4 K M}{K+1} .
$$

Therefore, by Theorem A , we obtain that $P(z)$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{7}^{\prime}}$ and $F\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{7}^{\prime}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{7}^{\prime}}$, where

$$
r_{7}^{\prime}=\frac{K+1}{4 K M}, \quad \sigma_{7}^{\prime}=\frac{4 K M}{K+1}+\left(\left(\frac{4 K M}{K+1}\right)^{3}-\frac{4 K M}{K+1}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{(K+1)^{2}}{16 K^{2} M^{2}}\right)
$$

Hence, $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{r_{7}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{7}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{7}}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{7} & =\frac{r_{7}^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{K+1}{8 K M} \\
\sigma_{7} & =\frac{\sigma_{7}^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{2 K M}{K+1}\left\{1+\left(\left(\frac{4 K M}{K+1}\right)^{2}-1\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{(K+1)^{2}}{16 K^{2} M^{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

4.9. Proof of Theorem 8. Since $\lambda_{f}(0)=1$, we have that $\| a_{1}\left|-\left|b_{1}\right|\right|=\lambda_{f}(0)=1$.

For every complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|=1$, let

$$
f_{\lambda}(z)=h(z)+\lambda g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n}
$$

Since $\left|h^{\prime}(z)-h^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)-g^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M|z|-|z|^{2}\right)}{(M-|z|)^{2}}$ for $|z|<\rho_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1}\right| & \leq\left|h^{\prime}(z)-h^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)-g^{\prime}(0)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M|z|-|z|^{2}\right)}{(M-|z|)^{2}} \\
& <\frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M \rho_{0}-\rho_{0}^{2}\right)}{\left(M-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $|z|<\rho_{0}$.
We first prove $f_{\lambda}(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$. To this end, for any $z_{1} \neq z_{2} \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right)-f_{\lambda}\left(z_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1} d z\right| \\
& \geq\left|\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]}\left(a_{1}+\lambda b_{1}\right) d z\right|-\left|\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1} d z\right| \\
& \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|| | a_{1}\left|-\left|b_{1}\right|\right|-\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]}\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1}\right||d z| \\
& >\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|-\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]} \frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M \rho_{0}-\rho_{0}^{2}\right)}{\left(M-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}|d z| \\
& \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|\left(1-\frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M \rho_{0}-\rho_{0}^{2}\right)}{\left(M-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have that $f_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right) \neq f_{\lambda}\left(z_{2}\right)$, which proves the univalence of $f_{\lambda}(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$.

Next, noticing that $f(0)=0$, for any $z^{\prime} \in \partial \mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| & =\left|\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{\prime n}\right| \geq \lambda_{f}(0) \rho_{0}-\left|\Sigma_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{\prime n}\right| \\
& \geq \rho_{0}-\int_{\left[0, z^{\prime}\right]}\left|\Sigma_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1}\right||d z| \\
& \geq \rho_{0}-\int_{\left[0, z^{\prime}\right]} \frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M|z|-|z|^{2}\right)}{(M-|z|)^{2}}|d z| \\
& =\rho_{0}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M t \rho_{0}-t^{2} \rho_{0}^{2}\right)}{\left(M-t \rho_{0}\right)^{2}} d\left(t \rho_{0}\right) \\
& =\rho_{0}-\frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right) \rho_{0}^{2}}{M-\rho_{0}}=M \rho_{0} \frac{1-M \rho_{0}}{M-\rho_{0}}=M \rho_{0}^{2}=R_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $f_{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{0}}$. By Lemma F , we obtain that $f(z)$ is univalent on $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{0}}$.

Finally, we prove the sharpness of $\rho_{0}$ and $R_{0}$. We consider the harmonic mapping $f_{0}(z)=M z \frac{1-M z}{M-z}$. Note that $f_{0}(0)=0, \lambda_{f_{0}}(0)=1$ and

$$
f_{0}(z)=M z \frac{1-M z}{M-z}=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{M^{2}-1}{M^{n-1}} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

direct computation yields

$$
\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{M^{2}-1}{M^{n-1}} z^{n-1}\right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{M^{2}-1}{M^{n-1}}|z|^{n-1}=\frac{\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M|z|-|z|^{2}\right)}{(M-|z|)^{2}}, \quad 0 \leq r<1,
$$

thus it follows from the above results that $f_{0}(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_{0}}$.

Since

$$
f_{0}^{\prime}(z)=M^{2} \frac{\left(z-M-\sqrt{M^{2}-1}\right)\left(z-\rho_{0}\right)}{(M-z)^{2}}
$$

we obtain that $f_{0}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=0$, which proves the sharpness of $\rho_{0}$.
Noticing that $f_{0}(0)=0, z^{\prime}=\rho_{0} \in \partial \mathbb{D}_{\rho_{0}}$, we have

$$
\left|f_{0}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-f_{0}(0)\right|=\left|f_{0}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|=M \rho_{0} \frac{1-M \rho_{0}}{M-\rho_{0}}=M \rho_{0}^{2}=R_{0} .
$$

Hence, the radius $R_{0}$ is also sharp. This completes the proof.
4.10. Proof of Theorem 9. Let $z=r e^{i \theta}, r \in[0,1), \theta \in[0,2 \pi)$, then

$$
f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} r^{n} e^{i n \theta}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_{n}} r^{n} e^{-i n \theta}
$$

Since $\left|z f_{z}(z)+\bar{z} f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right|<\Lambda$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, by Parseval's identity, we have that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right) r^{2 n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|r e^{i \theta} f_{z}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)+\overline{r e^{i \theta}} f_{\bar{z}}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta \leq \Lambda^{2}
$$

Notice that $\lambda_{f}(0)=\left|\left|a_{1}\right|-\left|b_{1}\right|\right|=1$, let $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we have that $\Lambda \geq 1$, and

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left(\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \leq \Lambda^{2}-1
$$

Since $a_{n} b_{n}=0$ for $n=2,3, \ldots$, we have that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right)^{2}=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \leq \Lambda^{2}-1
$$

For any complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|=1$, let

$$
f_{\lambda}(z)=h(z)+\lambda g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1} d z
$$

We first prove $f_{\lambda}(z)$ is univalent in the $\mathbb{D}_{r 9}$ with $r_{9}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}$. To this end, for any $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{D}_{r}$ with $z_{1} \neq z_{2}$ and $0<r<r_{9}$, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left|f_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right)-f_{\lambda}\left(z_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1} d z\right| \\
& \geq\left|\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]}\left(a_{1}+\lambda b_{1}\right) d z\right|-\left|\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1} d z\right| \\
& \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|| | a_{1}\left|-\left|b_{1}\right|\right|-\int_{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]}\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1}\right||d z| \\
& \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|\left(1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{n-1}\right) \\
& \geq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|\left(1-\sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right)^{2}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r^{2 n-2}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

which implies that $f_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right) \neq f_{\lambda}\left(z_{2}\right)$, this proves the univalence of $f_{\lambda}(z)$ in the $\mathbb{D}_{r_{9}}$.
Next, noticing that $f_{\lambda}(0)=0$, for any $z^{\prime} \in \partial \mathbb{D}_{r_{9}}$, we have

$$
\left|f_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{\left[0, z^{\prime}\right]} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1} d z\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq\left|z^{\prime}\right|| | a_{1}\left|-\left|b_{1}\right|\right|-\int_{\left[0, z^{\prime}\right]}\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}\right) z^{n-1}\right||d z| \\
& \geq r_{9}-\int_{0}^{r_{9}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{n-1} d r \\
& \geq r_{9}-\int_{0}^{r_{9}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right)^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r^{2 n-2} d r} \\
& \geq r_{9}-\sqrt{\Lambda^{2}-1} \int_{0}^{r_{9}} \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} d r \\
& =r_{9}+\sqrt{\Lambda^{2}-1}\left(\sqrt{1-r_{9}^{2}}-1\right)=\Lambda-\sqrt{\Lambda^{2}-1}=\sigma_{9} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $f_{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{D}_{r_{9}}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{9}}$. By Lemma F , we have that $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{r 9}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r 9}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma 9}$.

Now, we prove the sharpness of $r_{9}$. We consider the harmonic mapping

$$
f_{1}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \Lambda \frac{1-\Lambda z}{\Lambda-z} d z
$$

It is easy to verify that $f_{1}(z)$ satisfy all of the hypothesis of Theorem 9. Then it follows from the above results that the harmonic mapping $f_{1}(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{r 9}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r 9}\right)$ contains a schlicht disk $\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_{9}}$. By $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(r_{9}\right)=0$, we get that the radius $r_{9}$ is sharp.

Finally, when $\Lambda=1$, it is obvious that $\sigma_{9}=r_{9}=1$ are sharp with the extremal mapping $f_{2}(z)=z$.
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