

VORTEX-CARRYING SOLITARY GRAVITY WAVES OF LARGE AMPLITUDE

ROBIN MING CHEN, KRISTOFFER VARHOLM, SAMUEL WALSH, AND MILES H. WHEELER

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study two-dimensional traveling waves in finite-depth water that are acted upon solely by gravity. We prove that, for any supercritical Froude number (non-dimensionalized wave speed), there exists a continuous one-parameter family $\mathcal{C}$ of solitary waves in equilibrium with a submerged point vortex. This family bifurcates from an irrotational uniform flow, and, at least for large Froude numbers, extends up to the development of a surface singularity. These are the first rigorously constructed gravity wave-borne point vortices without surface tension, and notably our formulation allows the free surface to be overhanging. We also provide a numerical bifurcation study of traveling periodic gravity waves with submerged point vortices, which strongly suggests that some of these waves indeed overturn. Finally, we prove that at generic solutions on $\mathcal{C}$ — including those that are large amplitude or even overhanging — the point vortex can be desingularized to obtain solitary waves with a submerged hollow vortex. Physically, these can be thought of as traveling waves carrying spinning bubbles of air.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Small solitary wave-borne point vortices	10
3. Large solitary wave-borne point vortices	15
4. Periodic wave-borne point vortices	21
5. Solitary wave-borne hollow vortices	26
Acknowledgments	34
Appendix A. Quoted results	34
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

Vortices are regions of highly concentrated vorticity within a fluid. They can take many forms, with famous examples including shed vortices trailing in the wake of a submerged body and vortex streets created by Rayleigh–Taylor instabilities. This phenomenon is especially pronounced in two-dimensional incompressible flow, as the transportation of vorticity allows vortices to sustain their coherence over long time scales. Understanding the dynamics and qualitative properties of these structures is among the most classical problems in fluid mechanics.

Our interest here is in wave-borne vortices: traveling water waves carrying a vortex in their bulk. Rotational steady water waves have been a major subject of research for the past 20 years; see, for example, the survey [29] and the references therein. The overwhelming majority of the literature treats waves with vorticities that extend throughout the entire flow. Localized vorticity, on the other hand, requires quite different techniques to analyze, and it is only in the last decade or so that rigorous existence results for wave-borne vortices have been obtained. Quite recently, authors have constructed water waves with submerged point vortices [10, 12, 16, 34, 39, 50, 56], vortex patches [7, 10, 50], and “vortex spikes” [21]. Some of these solutions have been shown to be (conditionally) orbitally stable [57] or unstable [39]. This body of work can be roughly divided in two: one set of results concerns waves for

which the influence of capillarity is much stronger than that of gravity, and a second set assumes that both surface tension and gravity are entirely absent. Neither regime is characteristic of most water waves found in nature, however, as gravitational effects predominate at typical length and velocity scales.




FIGURE 1. Our framework allows for overhanging waves with submerged point vortices or hollow vortices. Illustrated here is a hollow vortex carried by an overturned solitary wave, which we choose to call a *cyclopean wave*. Its “eye” is a bubble of air at constant pressure, surrounded by a vortex sheet. Both water–air interfaces of the wave are free.

In this paper, we construct both wave-borne point vortices and wave-borne hollow vortices. The main novelties are that we allow for $O(1)$ gravity, do not require surface tension, and prove the existence of large-amplitude waves for which the air–sea interface is far from flat. In fact, these are the first existence results for large-amplitude solitary gravity waves with nontrivial compactly supported vorticity of any kind. Our formulation also allows for an overhanging free surface profile, see Figure 1, and indeed we provide numerical evidence that some of the solutions do have this striking shape.

1.1. The wave-borne point vortex problem. First consider a two-dimensional gravity water wave carrying a point vortex. We assume the wave is *traveling* or *steady*, meaning it is translating with a fixed speed and without changing shape. Let (x, y) be Cartesian coordinates in a co-moving frame so that the wave propagates to the left along the x -axis and gravity acts in the negative y -direction. As usual, we identify points (x, y) with $z := x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$. As depicted in Figure 2, the water occupies a domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ that is bounded below by an impermeable bed at $\mathcal{B} := \{y = 0\}$ and above by a $C^{k+3+\alpha}$ curve $\mathcal{S}$, where $k \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ are fixed but arbitrary. Note that we expressly do *not* assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is the graph of a (single-valued) function in the horizontal variable.

We are primarily interested in *solitary waves*, meaning that the free surface approaches a horizontal line in the upstream and downstream limits $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Let c denote the speed of the wave, d the depth of the undisturbed fluid domain, and g the constant gravitational acceleration. Here c is measured in a reference frame where the fluid is at rest at infinity. The relative strength of the gravitational and inertial forces is described by the *Froude number*, which is the non-dimensional quantity $F^2 := c^2/(gd)$. Through a standard rescaling of length and velocity, we can without loss of generality take $c^2 = 1$ and $d = 1$.

Suppose that the velocity field is incompressible and irrotational except for a single point vortex of strength γ , carried by the wave. The vortex is stationary in the moving frame; we take its location to be $z = ib$ for some $b > 0$. The system is then governed by the free boundary incompressible Euler equations with the so-called Helmholtz–Kirchhoff model for the vortex motion. Concretely, if $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ is the relative velocity field, then the irrotational incompressible Euler equations become the requirement that

$$\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{v} \text{ is holomorphic} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D} \setminus \{ib\}, \quad (1.1a)$$

along with the *kinematic boundary condition*

$$\mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{v} \text{ is purely tangential} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{B}, \quad (1.1b)$$

and the *dynamic* or *Bernoulli boundary condition*

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2) + \frac{1}{F^2}y = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{S}. \quad (1.1c)$$

Note that thanks to the non-dimensionalization, the potential energy density is represented by the term y/F^2 above. Finally, the Helmholtz–Kirchhoff model is the requirement that

$$\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{v} = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{z - ib} + O(|z - ib|) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow ib, \quad (1.1d)$$

where the absence of a constant term on the right hand side indicates that the point vortex is in equilibrium with the wave. As evidenced by (1.1d), the vortex strength γ can be interpreted as the circulation around the vortex.




FIGURE 2. Right: the physical form of the wave in the z -plane. Left: the corresponding conformal domain in the ζ -plane. The shaded subset Ω_+ is mapped by f to the fluid domain $\mathcal{D}$, while the upper boundary Γ is mapped to the fluid interface $\mathcal{S}$.

A major challenge in studying water waves is that the fluid domain is itself one of the unknowns. In order to do functional analysis, we must therefore reformulate the problem in some canonical domain, potentially at the cost of making the governing equations more complicated. In the present paper, we do this by viewing $\mathcal{D}$ as the image of a fixed infinite strip under an unknown conformal mapping. Denote by $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ the variables in the conformal domain, and define

$$\Omega := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : -1 < \eta < 1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma := \{\eta = 1\}. \quad (1.2)$$

There exists a conformal mapping $f \in C^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\mathcal{D} = f(\Omega_+)$, where $\Omega_+ := \Omega \cap \{\eta > 0\}$ is the upper half of Ω ,

$$\partial_\zeta f(\zeta) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } |\zeta| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

and such that

$$f(-\zeta) = -f(\zeta), \quad f(\bar{\zeta}) = \overline{f(\zeta)} \quad (1.4)$$

for all $\zeta \in \Omega$. These properties enforce the symmetry of the domain, and in particular show that $\text{Im } f$ is odd in η . Thus f is real-on-real, and so $\mathcal{B} = f(\mathbb{R})$.

The point vortex at $z = ib$ in the physical domain $\mathcal{D}$ will then be the image of a point $i\beta \in \Omega_+$. To maintain the reflection symmetry across the real axis, we may imagine introducing a phantom vortex at $-i\beta$ having the opposite strength. For planar vortices, without the boundary, this would result in a co-translating vortex pair. The physical domain and conformal domain are illustrated in Figure 2. This symmetry allows us to relax the sign condition on b , and hence on β , taking $\beta \in (-1, 1)$, which is useful as we are bifurcating from $\beta = 0$. Of course it may now be that $-ib = f(-i\beta)$ is the point vortex in the fluid domain $\mathcal{D}$, rather than $ib = f(i\beta)$. The system (1.1) is modified in the obvious way.

As is well known, there is an explicit relative complex potential

$$W(\zeta; \gamma, \beta) := \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \log \left(\frac{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{2}(\zeta - i\beta))}{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{2}(\zeta + i\beta))} \right) + \zeta. \quad (1.5)$$

such that any solution of (1.1) must have complex velocity $\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{v} = (\partial_\zeta W / \partial_\zeta f) \circ f^{-1}$. One can readily confirm that W has constant imaginary part on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, W_ζ is meromorphic on Ω , satisfies $\partial_\zeta W \rightarrow 1$ as $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and has simple poles at $\zeta = \pm i\beta$ with residues $\pm\gamma$, representing the contribution of the two counter rotating point vortices. For any conformal mapping f , the velocity field obtained in this way satisfies the irrotational, incompressible Euler equation (1.1a) and kinematic condition (1.1b). It remains to ensure that the dynamic boundary condition (1.1c) and the Helmholtz–Kirchhoff condition (1.1d) hold. In Section 2.1 we show that the latter has the elegant expression

$$\frac{\partial_\zeta^2 f(i\beta)}{\partial_\zeta f(i\beta)} = \pi i \kappa, \quad (1.6)$$

provided that $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ is related to γ and β according to

$$\gamma = \gamma(\kappa, \beta) := -\frac{4 \sin(\pi\beta)}{\cos(\pi\beta) - \kappa \sin(\pi\beta)}. \quad (1.7)$$

Thus, the unknowns (f, κ, β) can be used to uniquely describe a wave-borne point vortex. For the solution to be physical, we require that f is conformal on Ω with an injective C^1 extension to $\bar{\Omega}$.




FIGURE 3. Right: the physical form of the wave-borne hollow vortex in the z -plane. Left: the corresponding conformal domain $\Omega_{\rho,+}$ in the ζ -plane. The conformal map f takes the upper boundary Γ_s to the top interface $\mathcal{S}$, and Γ_v to the boundary of the vortex core $\mathcal{V}$.

1.2. The wave-borne hollow vortex problem. We also consider another classical model of localized vorticity, with a voluminous applied literature: hollow vortices. Roughly speaking, this corresponds to the situation where the vorticity is a measure supported on a collection of Jordan curves. The vortex cores bounded by these curves are taken to be regions of constant pressure; one can imagine them as bubbles of air suspended in the fluid. Recently, Chen, Walsh, and Wheeler [5] systematically desingularized translating, rotating, or stationary planar point vortex configurations into hollow vortices, which could then be continued via global bifurcation theory until the onset of a singularity. In the present paper, we adapt some of those ideas to help construct solitary gravity water waves with a submerged hollow vortex.

To formulate the problem, let us again suppose that we have a solitary wave with fluid domain $\mathcal{D}$. We assume that there is a single hollow vortex being carried by the wave, the vortex core being an open set denoted by $\mathcal{V}$. As it is submerged, the core $\mathcal{V}$ must lie completely below $\mathcal{S}$, above $\mathcal{B}$, and $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}$. Thus $\mathcal{D}$ is doubly connected while $\mathcal{D} \cup \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ is simply connected.

Let $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ again be the relative velocity in the physical variables. Incompressibility and irrotationality still take the form

$$\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{v} \text{ is holomorphic} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \quad (1.8a)$$

while the kinematic condition must also hold on the vortex boundary:

$$\mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{v} \text{ is purely tangential} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}, \text{ and } \partial\mathcal{V}. \quad (1.8b)$$

Next, the dynamic condition is imposed on both free surfaces. Because the air above $\mathcal{S}$ and inside the vortex core $\mathcal{V}$ are both taken to be at constant pressure (not necessarily equal), we must have that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2) + \frac{1}{F^2}y = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{S} \quad (1.8c)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2) + \frac{1}{F^2}y = q \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{V}, \quad (1.8d)$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Bernoulli constant. Finally, since $\mathcal{V}$ is surrounded by a vortex sheet, we require that

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{V}} (\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{v}) dz = \gamma, \quad (1.8e)$$

with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ being the vortex strength.

As before, we fix the domain through the use of conformal mapping. We will construct the hollow vortices as desingularized point vortices, and so a natural choice is to take

$$\Omega_\rho := \Omega \setminus \overline{B_{|\rho|}(i\beta) \cup B_{|\rho|}(-i\beta)},$$

for $0 < |\rho| \ll 1$; see [Figure 3](#). Here, the idea is that the vortex boundary $\partial\mathcal{V}$ should be an approximate streamline for the point vortex velocity field, which are asymptotically circular. As before, we have doubled the domain: the line $\{\eta = 0\}$ corresponds to the bed and $\Gamma_s := \{\eta = 1\}$ is the pre-image of the free surface. We call ρ the conformal radius of the hollow vortex; in the desingularization procedure, it will serve as a bifurcation parameter. The boundary of the upper vortex will be given by $\partial\mathcal{V} = f(\Gamma_v)$, where $\Gamma_v = \partial B_\rho(i\beta)$.

As in the point vortex case, there is a relative complex potential W depending only on the parameters ρ , γ , and β , though we lack a simple explicit formula; see [Lemma 5.1](#). It follows that the wave-borne hollow vortex configuration can be described entirely by the unknowns $(f, q, \gamma, \beta, \rho)$. However, from the asymptotics for the point vortex velocity field [\(1.1d\)](#), we expect that q diverges like $1/\rho^2$ as $\rho \searrow 0$. In the actual analysis, we will therefore work with a *normalized Bernoulli constant* that we call Q , and turns out to satisfy $Q = O(\rho)$ and $\rho Q = \rho^2 q + O(1)$ as $\rho \searrow 0$; see [\(1.11b\)](#) and [Section 5.1](#).

1.3. Informal statement of results. Our first contribution is the following theorem, which establishes the existence of large families of solitary gravity water waves carrying point vortices in their bulk.

Theorem 1.1 (Wave-borne point vortices). *For any supercritical Froude number $F^2 > 1$, there exists a global curve $\mathcal{C}$ of solitary gravity water waves with a submerged point vortex. It admits a global C^0 parameterization*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(f(s), \kappa(s), \beta(s)) : s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset C^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \times \mathbb{R}^2$$

and satisfies the following.

(a) (Local uniqueness) *The curve $\mathcal{C}$ bifurcates from the trivial uniform flow*

$$(f(0), \kappa(0), \beta(0)) = (\text{id}, 0, 0),$$

which by [\(1.7\)](#) is irrotational as $\gamma(0) = \gamma(\kappa(0), \beta(0)) = 0$. In a neighborhood of this flow, $\mathcal{C}$ comprises all solutions to both the irrotational water wave problem and the wave-borne point vortex problem [\(1.1\)](#) with the given Froude number.

- (b) (Limiting behavior) *Either $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed loop or, as we follow it to either extreme, blowup occurs in that*

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left(\sup_{\Gamma} \left(|\partial_{\zeta} f(s)| + \frac{1}{|\partial_{\zeta} f(s)|} \right) + |\gamma(s)| \right) = \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed loop, then it must pass through a nontrivial irrotational solitary gravity wave. If $F^2 > 2$, then $\mathcal{C}$ cannot be a closed loop and thus the blowup alternative (1.9) occurs. In either case, $\gamma(s) \neq 0$ for all but a discrete set of parameter values $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (c) (Symmetry and monotonicity) *For each solution on $\mathcal{C}$, the streamlines and horizontal velocity $\mathbf{u}(s)$ are even across the imaginary axis, while the vertical velocity $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is odd. Moreover,*

$$\mathbf{v}(s) < 0 \quad \text{in } (\mathcal{D}(s) \cup \mathcal{S}(s)) \cap \{x > 0\}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $\mathcal{D}(s) := f(s)(\Omega_+)$ and $\mathcal{S}(s) := f(s)(\Gamma)$.

This result is proved through a global bifurcation-theoretic argument that is outlined in the next subsection and carried out in [Sections 2 and 3](#). The limiting behavior along $\mathcal{C}$ described in (1.9) can be understood as follows: if the curve is not a closed loop, then as we follow it either the conformal description of the domain degenerates — corresponding to the blowup of $|\partial_{\zeta} f(s)|$ or $1/|\partial_{\zeta} f(s)|$ — or the vortex strength is unbounded. Conformal degeneracy is expected to be accompanied by a loss of regularity for the free boundary, such as the development of a corner. Of course, both of these limiting scenarios can occur simultaneously. Note also that, a priori, it is possible to have $|\beta(s)| \rightarrow 1$, meaning that the vortex approaches the surface in the conformal domain. In fact, this situation is not observed numerically (for finite F^2), but we show that it would nevertheless coincide with the blowup in (1.9). The leading-order form of waves close to the point of bifurcation are given in (2.15).

It is intriguing to ask what shape the free surface limits to as one traverses $\mathcal{C}$. In [Section 4](#), we address this question numerically. For simplicity, we consider periodic waves so as to have a compact computational domain. The solutions we obtain have quite localized free boundary deflections, and thus it is reasonable to expect that they are qualitatively the same as solitary waves when the period is taken sufficiently large. For supercritical F close to 1, the limiting behavior is similar to that for irrotational gravity waves: the solutions appear to approach a wave of greatest height with a corner at its crest. On the other hand, with moderate or large Froude number, we find that the waves overturn with the surface becoming nearly circular. This limiting form agrees with the exact solutions for the gravity-less case [12] and earlier computations of weak gravity and point vortex forced waves [19].

The existence of overhanging gravity waves was first predicted by a series of numerical papers in the 1980s, where they were found to occur in the presence of constant vorticity [53] and in two-fluid systems with a vortex sheet [47, 55]. Rigorously constructing a global branch of solutions connecting trivial shear flows to overhanging waves remains among the largest open problems in the field. Up to this point, authors have succeeded in proving the existence of families that potentially overturn for the case of periodic waves with constant vorticity [9], general vorticity [59], or linear density stratification [28]; for solitary waves with constant vorticity [30]; and for fronts in two-layer systems [8]. These are on par with our result in the sense that numerical evidence indicates that overhanging waves are reached, but no analytical argument has yet been given. Very recently, global branches of periodic waves with constant vorticity, definitively including overhanging waves, have been constructed for large Froude numbers [4], or equivalently for small gravity. These solutions are obtained by perturbing an explicit family of solutions to the zero-gravity problem with $F = \infty$ [31, 32].

The closest antecedents to [Theorem 1.1](#) are the constructions of solitary capillary-gravity waves with a submerged point vortex by Shatah, Walsh, and Zeng [50], who considered the infinite-depth case, and by Varholm [56], who studied the analogous class of waves in finite-depth water. Both of these papers rely crucially on surface tension, however, and the solitary waves they obtain are small-amplitude and have vortex strength and wave speed close to 0. Essentially, they use an implicit function theorem

argument where the starting trivial solution is a stationary wave with a zero-strength point vortex at an $O(1)$ distance from the boundary, and a mirror vortex in the air region. With surface tension, one finds that the linearized problem at such a configuration is an isomorphism. By contrast, for gravity solitary waves, the linearized problem is invertible if and only if the waves are fast moving in the sense that $F^2 > 1$. Based on the situation in the planar case, it seems difficult to arrange for this to occur if the vortex pair is far separated and weak. For that reason, in proving [Theorem 1.1](#), we arrange that the vortex and mirror vortex are superposed, but still have zero strength, at the point of bifurcation. While the same methodology could be generalized to treat both small- and large-amplitude capillary-gravity waves, the monotonicity property [\(1.10\)](#) is not expected to be preserved along the curve, which would lead to a larger set of alternatives than what we find in [\(1.9\)](#) for gravity waves.

While there are no known explicit solutions to wave-borne vortex problems such as [\(1.1\)](#) when the effect of gravity is included, things are dramatically different in the absence of gravity. Formally, this amounts to setting $F = \infty$, eliminating the inhomogeneous term y/F^2 in [\(1.1c\)](#) so that the magnitude of the fluid velocity is constant along the surface $\mathcal{S}$. Crowdy and Nelson [\[15\]](#) discovered a family of periodic waves with one point vortex per period and a nonzero constant background vorticity, whose fluid velocity vanishes identically along the surface. Later, Crowdy and Roenby [\[16\]](#) found a second family of periodic waves, this time with nonzero velocity along the surface and no constant background vorticity. More recently, Crowdy [\[12\]](#) put the above solutions into a unified framework, which also encompasses the solutions [\[31\]](#) with constant vorticity and no point vortices. The central idea is that, in the absence of any gravity or surface tension, the complex velocity field $\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{v}$ must be given explicitly in terms of the so-called Schwarz function associated to the fluid domain $\mathcal{D}$. If we assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is given in terms of an explicit conformal mapping, then this Schwarz function is similarly explicit. To illustrate the power of the method, [\[12\]](#) introduces several completely new solution families. In particular, these includes solutions to the $F = \infty$ limit of our solitary wave problem [\(1.1\)](#); see [\[12, Section 6\]](#) and [Proposition 4.3](#) below. It would be interesting to see if these waves could be continued to large but finite F using the methods of [\[4, 32\]](#). Subsequent work building on [\[12\]](#) includes Keeler and Crowdy [\[34\]](#), which generalizes [\[15\]](#) to the case of two point vortices per period. Unfortunately, the Schwarz function machinery does not obviously extend to the $O(1)$ gravity wave setting that we study here.

Finally, it is important to mention the early works of Filippov [\[22, 23\]](#) and Ter-Krikorov [\[54\]](#), who studied the related problem of traveling gravity waves with point vortex *forcing*. That is, they look at the somewhat simpler problem where one does not impose the condition [\(1.1d\)](#) that the vortex is at equilibrium. Gurevich [\[27\]](#) and Shaw [\[51\]](#) investigated the gravity-less version of the same system, which admits explicit overhanging solutions. Physically, point vortex forcing may for instance model waves interacting with an immersed body being dragged through the bulk. In the present paper, we use the term *wave-borne vortices* to make clear that the vortices we are considering are instead carried along by the wave. Interestingly, in [\[54\]](#) Ter-Krikorov looked specifically at the possibility of a bifurcation curve of point vortex forced waves connecting two irrotational waves, which is analogous to the closed loop alternative in [Theorem 1.1](#).

Our second main result concerns solutions to the wave-borne hollow vortex problem [\(1.8\)](#). It states that for a generic subset of the waves on $\mathcal{C}$, it is possible to desingularize the point vortex into a hollow vortex. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Wave-borne hollow vortices). *Assume $F^2 > 1$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the curve of wave-borne point vortices furnished by [Theorem 1.1](#). For all parameter values $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ outside of a discrete set, there is a real-analytic curve $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{s_0}$ of solutions to the solitary gravity wave-borne hollow vortex problem [\(1.8\)](#) admitting the parameterization*

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_0} := \{(f^\rho, \gamma^\rho, Q^\rho, \rho) : |\rho| < \rho_1\},$$

where $\rho_1 = \rho_1(s_0) > 0$.

The curve $\mathcal{K}_{s_0}$ bifurcates from the point vortex solution on $\mathcal{C}$ at parameter value $s = s_0$ in that

$$(f^0, \gamma^0) = (f(s_0), \gamma(\kappa^0, \beta^0)),$$

where $\beta^0 := \beta(s_0)$, $\kappa^0 := \kappa(s_0)$. Moreover, the conformal mapping has the leading-order form

$$f^\rho = f^0 + O(\rho^2) \quad \text{in } C^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_\rho; \mathbb{C})$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_v} f^\rho d\zeta = \rho^3 \left(\pi^2 f_\zeta^0(i\beta^0) \left(\frac{(\kappa^0)^2}{8} - \frac{1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta^0)}{12} \right) + \frac{f_{\zeta\zeta\zeta}^0(i\beta^0)}{4} \right) + O(\rho^4), \quad (1.11a)$$

while the circulation and corresponding Bernoulli constant q^ρ satisfy

$$\gamma^\rho = \gamma^0 + O(\rho^2) \quad \rho^2 q^\rho = \frac{(\gamma^0)^2}{4 f_\zeta^0(i\beta^0)^2} \left(\frac{1}{\pi^2} - \rho^2 \frac{(\kappa^0)^2}{4} + O(\rho^4) \right). \quad (1.11b)$$

We emphasize that, because this result can be applied at a generic solution on $\mathcal{C}$, the resulting waves can be of large amplitude. Indeed, if $\mathcal{C}$ contains waves with an overturned free surface, as suggested by the numerics, then [Theorem 1.2](#) implies the existence of cyclopean waves, as depicted in [Figure 1](#). Note that the curve $\mathcal{K}_{s_0}$ contains waves with small hollow vortices. While we do not pursue it here, it is possible to combine the argument used to prove [Theorem 1.1](#) with that for planar hollow vortices in [\[5\]](#) to extend the curve $\mathcal{K}_{s_0}$, thereby obtaining solitary waves with *large* submerged hollow vortices. As in [\(1.9\)](#), the expected limiting behavior along this global curve would be either unboundedness of γ , Q , or the development of a surface singularity or self-intersection on either $\mathcal{S}$ or $\partial\mathcal{V}$.

[Theorem 1.2](#) represents the first construction of wave-borne hollow vortices. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, it is the first existence result for hollow vortices in the presence of gravity. Translating hollow vortex pairs in the plane were first constructed by Pocklington [\[45\]](#). A modern treatment of the same system based on Schottky–Klein prime functions was given by Crowdy, Llewellyn Smith, and Freilich [\[14\]](#), and a similar methodology was used by Green [\[26\]](#) to study hollow vortex pairs in a channel. However, as mentioned above, this complex function theoretic approach cannot be applied directly when gravity is present. By contrast, the implicit function theorem argument we use, like that in [\[5\]](#), is completely indifferent to even $O(1)$ gravity. Indeed, looking at the dynamic condition on the vortex boundary [\(1.8d\)](#), we see that the kinetic energy term $(\mathbf{u}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2)/2$ is $O(1/\rho^2)$; for the linearized problem, it will totally overpower the potential energy term.

With some effort, a similar desingularization technique can also be used to prove the existence of solitary gravity waves with a submerged vortex patch, that is, a bounded open region of nonzero vorticity. The wave-borne vortex patch problem is simpler than the wave-borne hollow vortex problem, in the sense that the boundary of the patch is a streamline but not at constant pressure. The fully nonlinear dynamic boundary condition [\(1.8d\)](#) need therefore not be imposed there. On the other hand, the interior of the patch is part of the fluid domain, and not simply air, so we must determine the streamlines there as well. For general vorticity, this task amounts to solving a semilinear elliptic free boundary problem that is coupled to the flow in the exterior of the patch. Waves of this type are the subject of a forthcoming work.

1.4. Outline of the proof and plan of the article. In [Section 2](#), we begin the argument leading to [Theorem 1.1](#) by first constructing a curve of small-amplitude solitary gravity waves with a submerged point vortex. Somewhat whimsically, one can imagine this being done by injecting a point vortex into the bulk of the fluid through the bed, and an accompanying counter-rotating mirror vortex below the bed. The pair of vortices will then tend to translate together, and so it remains only to couple their motion to that of the wave. We find that when the Froude number is supercritical, the linearization of [\(1.1\)](#) at the trivial, irrotational uniform flow is an isomorphism between the appropriate spaces. The implicit function theorem therefore furnishes a local curve of solutions $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$, which will contain waves whose free surface is nearly flat, and carrying a point vortex that is very close to the bed and has very

weak vortex strength. In [Section 3](#), we use techniques from analytic global bifurcation theory to continue $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ into the large-amplitude regime, obtaining waves with potentially strong vortices that may be $O(1)$ removed from the bed. Specifically, we make use of a version of this general theory developed in [\[6\]](#) to treat problems set on unbounded domains. By establishing a certain monotonicity property, we are able to rule out several undesirable “loss of compactness” alternatives for the limiting behavior of the solution curve. Finally, through nonlinear a priori estimates, we are able to show that either $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed loop, or the blowup scenario [\(1.9\)](#) must occur.

In [Section 4](#), we complement this analysis with numerical computations for periodic waves. In fact, the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) can be adapted to the periodic regime, though we leave that to future work in the interest of brevity. For a sufficiently large period, our computed waves show close agreement with the explicit solitary solutions available in the limit $F = \infty$ [\[12\]](#). We also show that, after a suitable rescaling, the surfaces of these explicit solutions converge to a circle centered at the point vortex, sitting on top of a line, as $\beta \rightarrow 1$.

[Section 5](#) is devoted to the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#) concerning the existence of solitary wave-borne hollow vortices. As described above, this is done by taking a wave-borne point vortex on $\mathcal{C}$, then looking for nearby water waves having a submerged hollow vortex such that $\partial\mathcal{V}$ is approximately a streamline of the starting velocity field. The main challenge in adapting the desingularization machinery from [\[5\]](#) to the present setting is naturally to account for the wave-vortex interaction. Through careful asymptotic analysis, we find a formulation of the system as an abstract operator equation $\mathcal{G}(u, \rho) = 0$ for a new unknown u . Crucially, we prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is real analytic, and that this equation agrees with the wave-borne hollow vortex problem [\(1.8\)](#) when $\rho > 0$ and recovers the wave-borne point vortex problem [\(1.1\)](#) at $\rho = 0$. The linearized operator $D_u\mathcal{G}(u^0, 0)$ at a given wave-borne point vortex solution u^0 can be manipulated into 2×2 block-diagonal form, with one block corresponding to the linearization of the wave-borne point vortex problem, and the other relating to the linearized planar hollow vortex problem. This simple structure enables us to confirm that generically $D_u\mathcal{G}(u^0, 0)$ is an isomorphism, so that the curve $\mathcal{K}$ can be constructed using the implicit function theorem.

Finally, for the convenience of the reader, [Appendix A](#) collects some background results that are used at various stages throughout the paper.

1.5. Notation. Here we lay out some notational conventions for the remainder of the paper. We will often make use of the Wirtinger derivative operator

$$\partial_z := \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y),$$

which may also be denoted as $f_z = \partial_z f$ when there is no risk of confusion. Primes are reserved for complex (total) derivatives of functions with domain $\mathbb{T}$, the unit circle in the complex plane; if f is a function of $\tau = e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$, then $f' = \partial_\tau f = -ie^{-i\theta} df/d\theta$.

Let D be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}^n$. For each integer $\ell \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we denote by $C^{\ell+\alpha}(D)$ the usual space of real-valued Hölder continuous functions of order ℓ , exponent α , and having domain D . When D is unbounded, define $C_0^{\ell+\alpha}(D)$ to be the subspace of $u \in C^{\ell+\alpha}(D)$ all of whose partials $\partial^\mu u$ of order $|\mu| \leq \ell$ vanish uniformly at infinity. In the specific case where $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $C_e^{\ell+\alpha}(D)$ those functions that are even across the imaginary axis and odd across the real axis. Likewise, $C_{0,e}^{\ell+\alpha}(D) := C_0^{\ell+\alpha}(D) \cap C_e^{\ell+\alpha}(D)$.

Every $\varphi \in C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$ admits a unique power series representation

$$\varphi(\tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\varphi}_m \tau^m, \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\varphi}_m := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(\tau) \tau^{-m} d\theta,$$

and we write $\tau = e^{i\theta}$ when $\mathbb{T}$ is parameterized by arc length. Note that because these are real-valued functions, the coefficients must necessarily obey $\hat{\varphi}_{-m} = \overline{\hat{\varphi}_m}$. For $m \geq 0$, let $P_m: C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$

denote the projection

$$(P_m \varphi)(\tau) := \begin{cases} \widehat{\varphi}_m \tau^m + \widehat{\varphi}_{-m} \tau^{-m} & \text{if } m \neq 0 \\ \widehat{\varphi}_0 & \text{if } m = 0, \end{cases}$$

and set $P_{\leq m} := P_0 + \dots + P_m$ and $P_{> m} := 1 - P_{\leq m}$. We will often work with the space $\mathring{C}^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) := P_{>0} C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$ of mean 0 elements of $C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$.

2. SMALL SOLITARY WAVE-BORNE POINT VORTICES

The main purpose of this section is to establish the existence of small-amplitude solitary gravity waves carrying point vortices. We will use an implicit function theorem argument that takes advantage of the fact that the linearized dynamic condition on the free surface Γ is invertible provided the Froude number is supercritical.

2.1. Abstract operator equation. We begin by fixing the functional analytic setting, rewriting the wave-borne point vortex system described in [Section 1.1](#) as an abstract operator equation to which the implicit function can eventually be applied. Because the full conformal map can be reconstructed from its imaginary part, it will be convenient to recast the problem in terms of the unknown w defined by

$$w(\zeta) := \text{Im}(f(\zeta) - \zeta) = \text{Im } f(\zeta) - \eta.$$

Thus, $w \in C^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ is a real-valued harmonic function that vanishes as $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Naturally, it inherits symmetry properties from f due to (1.4). With that in mind, we introduce the closed subspace

$$\mathcal{W} := \left\{ w \in C_{0,e}^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) : \Delta w = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

which is the natural class of w for us to consider.

Now, we must rewrite the Euler equations (1.1) in terms of w . Using $\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{v} = (\partial_\zeta W / \partial_\zeta f) \circ f^{-1}$, the Helmholtz–Kirchhoff condition (1.1d) can be written

$$\frac{W_\zeta}{f_\zeta} - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{f - ib} = O(\zeta - i\beta) \quad \text{as } \zeta \rightarrow i\beta. \quad (2.2)$$

From (1.5) we compute directly that

$$W_\zeta(\zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\zeta - i\beta} + \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \cot(\pi\beta)\right) - \frac{\pi\gamma}{24i} (2 + 3 \cot^2(\pi\beta)) (\zeta - i\beta) + O((\zeta - i\beta)^2), \quad (2.3)$$

whence performing a Laurent expansion of the left-hand side of (2.2) yields

$$\frac{W_\zeta(\zeta)}{f_\zeta(\zeta)} - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{f(\zeta) - ib} = \frac{1}{f_\zeta(i\beta)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \cot(\pi\beta) - \frac{\gamma}{4\pi i} \frac{f_{\zeta\zeta}(i\beta)}{f_\zeta(i\beta)}\right) + O(\zeta - i\beta) \quad (2.4)$$

as $\zeta \rightarrow i\beta$. From this, it is evident that (2.2) is satisfied if and only if the constant term on the right-hand side of (2.4) vanishes. This occurs precisely when the point vortex advection equation (1.6) holds and γ is given by (1.7). Via the Cauchy–Riemann equations, (1.6) can equivalently be stated as

$$\frac{w_{\xi\xi}}{1 + w_\eta} \Big|_{\zeta=i\beta} = \pi\kappa \quad (2.5a)$$

in terms of w . Likewise, the formula (1.5) for W allows us to rewrite the Bernoulli condition (1.1c) quite concisely as

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2}{w_\xi^2 + (1 + w_\eta)^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} w = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (2.5b)$$

where $a = a(\xi; \kappa, \beta)$ is given explicitly by

$$a(\xi; \kappa, \beta) := W_\zeta(\xi + i; \gamma(\kappa, \beta), \beta) = 1 - \frac{\gamma(\kappa, \beta)}{2} \frac{\sin(\pi\beta)}{\cosh(\pi\xi) + \cos(\pi\beta)}. \quad (2.6)$$

In view of the above discussion, in the conformal reformulation (2.5),

$$u := (w, \kappa) \quad (2.7)$$

will serve as the unknown with the conformal altitude β as the parameter. We therefore introduce the space $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{R}$ and open set

$$\mathcal{O} := \left\{ (w, \kappa, \beta) \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{R}^2 : \begin{array}{l} w_\xi^2 + (1 + w_\eta)^2 > 0 \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \\ |\beta| < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \cos(\pi\beta) > \kappa \sin(\pi\beta) \end{array} \right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

preventing degeneracy of the formulation, and ensuring that it is equivalent to the physical problem. In particular, the final requirement on κ and β makes sure that $\gamma(\kappa, \beta)$ in (1.7) is well-defined, and that we remain in the same connected component of the domain of γ as the trivial solution. Moreover, a consequence is that

$$\gamma(\kappa, \beta) \sin(\pi\beta) \leq 0, \quad \text{with equality if and only } \beta = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

and therefore

$$a(\cdot; \kappa, \beta) \geq 1, \quad (2.10)$$

for all $(w, \kappa, \beta) \in \mathcal{O}$.

In summary, the water wave problem with a submerged vortex can be rewritten as the abstract operator equation

$$\mathcal{F}(u, \beta) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) : \mathcal{O} \subset (\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is the real-analytic map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1(w, \kappa, \beta) &:= \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2}{w_\xi^2 + (1 + w_\eta)^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} w - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Big|_\Gamma \\ \mathcal{F}_2(w, \kappa, \beta) &:= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{w_{\xi\xi}}{1 + w_\eta} \Big|_{\zeta=i\beta} - \kappa, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

and having codomain

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_2 := C_{0,e}^{k+2+\alpha}(\Gamma) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Recall that the subscript “e” indicates that the elements of $\mathcal{Y}_1$ are even in ξ . Notice also from (1.7) that $\gamma(-\kappa, -\beta) = -\gamma(\kappa, \beta)$, and hence $a(\cdot; -\kappa, -\beta) = a(\cdot; \kappa, \beta)$. The nonlinear operator therefore exhibits the symmetries

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1(w, \kappa, \beta) &= \mathcal{F}_1(w, -\kappa, -\beta) \\ \mathcal{F}_2(w, \kappa, \beta) &= -\mathcal{F}_2(w, -\kappa, -\beta), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where the second identity follows from the fact that $w_{\xi\xi}/(1 + w_\eta)$ is odd in η when $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

2.2. Local bifurcation. We can now state and prove the main result of the section, which furnishes small-amplitude solitary waves with submerged point vortices near the bed and with small vortex strength.

Theorem 2.1 (Small-amplitude waves). *For any supercritical Froude number $F^2 > 1$, there exists a curve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ of solitary gravity waves with a submerged point vortex having the following properties:*

- (a) *There is a neighborhood of $(0, 0) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$ in which $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ comprises the entire zero-set of $\mathcal{F}$.*
- (b) *The curve admits the real-analytic parameterization*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}} = \left\{ (w^\beta, \kappa^\beta, \beta) : |\beta| < \beta_0 \right\} \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R},$$

for some $\beta_0 > 0$, where $(w^0, \kappa^0) = (0, 0)$. Moreover, it enjoys the symmetry

$$(w^{-\beta}, \kappa^{-\beta}) = (w^\beta, -\kappa^\beta) \quad (2.14)$$

for all $|\beta| < \beta_0$.

(c) The solutions along $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ have the leading-order form

$$\begin{aligned} w^\beta &= \ddot{w}\beta^2 + O(\beta^4) \\ \kappa^\beta &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\partial_\eta^3\ddot{w}(0)\beta^3 + O(\beta^5), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

in $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, respectively, where $\ddot{w} \in \mathcal{W}$ is the unique element satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\ddot{w} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \ddot{w}_\eta - \frac{1}{F^2}\ddot{w} = \pi^2 \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\xi\right) & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

Consequently, the vortex strength and altitude associated with u^β satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\beta &= -4\tan(\pi\beta) + O(\beta^5) \\ b^\beta &= \beta + \partial_\eta\ddot{w}(0)\beta^3 + O(\beta^5). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recalling (2.7), a quick calculation reveals that

$$\mathcal{F}_u(0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(-\partial_\eta + \frac{1}{F^2}\right)\Big|_\Gamma & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\pi}\partial_\xi^2\Big|_{\zeta=0} & -1 \end{pmatrix} =: \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

at the trivial solution. The upper left entry of this operator matrix is invertible precisely when $F^2 > 1$. This follows, for instance, from the existence of the strict supersolution $\dot{w}(x,y) = y$; see [41, Theorem 6.1] and [61, Corollary A.11]. As $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded and lower triangular, and the other diagonal entry is also invertible, this immediately implies that $\mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, at which point the existence and local uniqueness of the solution curve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ is an immediate consequence of the analytic implicit function theorem. In particular, uniqueness and the symmetry properties of $\mathcal{F}$ in (2.13) imply that $w^\beta = w^{-\beta}$ and $\kappa^{-\beta} = -\kappa^\beta$. This proves the statements in parts (a) and (b). Moreover, as a result of the symmetry (2.14), only even powers of β will appear in the expansion for w^β , and only odd powers in the expansion for κ^β .

Consider next the leading-order form of $u^\beta := (w^\beta, \kappa^\beta)$ asserted in part (c). Differentiating the equation $\mathcal{F}(u^\beta, \beta) = 0$ with respect to β gives

$$\mathcal{F}_u(u^\beta, \beta)\partial_\beta u^\beta + \mathcal{F}_\beta(u^\beta, \beta) = 0,$$

where we note that $\mathcal{F}_\beta(0,0) = 0$, and therefore $\partial_\beta u^0 = 0$. Taking a second derivative then yields

$$\mathcal{L}\partial_\beta^2 u^0 + \mathcal{F}_{\beta\beta}(0,0) = 0$$

after evaluating at $\beta = 0$. A direct calculation using the definition of a in (2.6) now shows that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\beta\beta}(0,0) = \left(2\pi^2 \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\pi\xi}{2}\right), 0\right),$$

which gives the leading order term for w^β , with the claimed characterization of $\ddot{w}$. Similarly, the leading order term in κ^β is obtained by differentiating yet again. $\square$

While we will not need such representations in the present paper, it is interesting to note that the function $\ddot{w}$ appearing in the leading-order asymptotics part (c) can be expressed as an integral.

Proposition 2.2 (Fourier representation of $\ddot{w}$). *The element $\ddot{w}$ in part (c) can be written as*

$$\ddot{w}(\zeta) = 2\pi \frac{\sin(\eta\pi/2)}{\cosh(\pi\xi/2) + \cos(\eta\pi/2)} + 4\pi\ddot{v}, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\ddot{v}(\zeta) := \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{F^2 t \coth(t) - 1} \frac{\sinh(\eta t)}{\sinh(2t)} \cos(\xi t) dt. \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. Deducing that the solution to (2.16) satisfies

$$\ddot{w}(\zeta) = 8 \int_0^\infty \frac{F^2 t}{F^2 t - \tanh(t)} \frac{\sinh(\eta t)}{\sinh(2t)} \cos(\xi t) dt$$

is relatively straightforward, since the right-hand side of the boundary condition has an explicit Fourier transform [43, I.7.2]. From this, (2.17) immediately follows by using [43, I.7.20]. $\square$

Remark 2.3. The integral in (2.18) can further be developed as an infinite series, elucidating its exact asymptotics. Inserting the partial fraction decomposition

$$\frac{1}{F^2 t \coth(t) - 1} = \frac{2}{F^2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{T_k}{t^2 + t_k^2} \quad (2.19)$$

into (2.18), and using [43, I.7.46] combined with [44, 1.91] eventually yields

$$\ddot{v}(\zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{T_k}{\sin^2(t_k)} e^{-t_k |\xi|} \sin(t_k \eta) - \frac{\sin(\eta \pi / 2) \cosh(\xi \pi / 2)}{\cosh(\pi \xi) - \cos(\pi \eta)}$$

for all $\zeta \in \Omega \setminus \{\xi = 0\}$. Concretely, $\{t_k\}$ is the sequence of positive solutions to $F^2 t \cot(t) = 1$, with $t_k - k\pi \in (0, \pi/2)$, $t_k \nearrow \pi/2$, and

$$T_k := \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_k^2} \frac{1}{F^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{F^2} \right) \right)^{-1}.$$

Remark 2.4. Using (2.19) together with [46, 2.4.3.1, 2.4.3.13], it is also possible to show from (2.18) that

$$\partial_\eta^{2m+1} \ddot{v}(0) = \frac{4}{\pi F^2} (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty T_k t_k^{2m} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{2t_k}{\pi}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{2m+1} \frac{2^j - 1}{j} \left(\frac{2t_k}{\pi}\right)^{-j} B_j \right)$$

for all $m \geq 0$, where [42, 2.11.9]

$$\Psi(x) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right) \sim - \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{2^j - 1}{j} x^{-j} B_j \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here ψ is the usual digamma function, while B_j is the j th Bernoulli number. These derivatives show up in the asymptotics of Theorem 2.1(c).




FIGURE 4. The half-strip Ω_+^M in (2.20) along with its boundary components in (2.21).

2.3. Monotonicity. The next two results prove that near the point of bifurcation, w has a certain monotonicity property related to (1.10) in the statement of [Theorem 1.1](#). Before stating this property precisely, we introduce some additional notation, illustrated in [Figure 4](#). For any $M \geq 0$, we define the half strip

$$\Omega_+^M := (M, \infty) \times (0, 1), \quad (2.20)$$

and its boundary components

$$L^M := \{M\} \times [0, 1], \quad \Gamma^M := (M, \infty) \times \{1\}, \quad B^M := (M, \infty) \times \{0\}. \quad (2.21)$$

These will be useful in the proofs.

Definition 2.5. We call $(w, \kappa, \beta) \in \mathcal{O}$ *strictly conformally monotone* provided w satisfies

$$w_\xi < 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_+^0 \cup \Gamma^0. \quad (2.22)$$

Clearly this can be viewed as a condition on the conformal mapping f . Among other things, this condition implies that y -coordinate along the surface $\mathcal{S}$ is strictly increasing (as a function of arc length, say) for $x \leq 0$ and strictly decreasing for $x \geq 0$. Note that this can happen not only for surfaces that are graphs like the one depicted in [Figure 2](#), but also overhanging surfaces such as the one shown in [Figure 1](#).

Lemma 2.6 (Asymptotic conformal monotonicity). *For any $F^2 > 1$ and $M > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, if $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ satisfies (2.5b) on Γ^M , obeys the bound $\|w\|_{C^1(\Gamma^M)} < \delta$, and*

$$w_\xi \leq 0 \quad \text{on } L^M,$$

then necessarily we have that

$$w_\xi < 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_+^M \cup \Gamma^M.$$

Proof. By definition of the space $\mathcal{W}$, we have that w_ξ is harmonic and it vanishes identically on B^M , as well as in the limit $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$. Fix now $0 < \varepsilon < F^2 - 1$, and define v through

$$v := \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\eta + \varepsilon} w_\xi, \quad (2.23)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v + \frac{2}{\eta + \varepsilon} v_\eta &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_+^M, \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{aligned} v &= 0 \quad \text{on } B^M \\ v &\leq 0 \quad \text{on } L^M \\ v &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

and for the sake of contradiction, suppose that v attains a positive maximum. By the maximum principle, this will happen at some point $\zeta_* \in \Gamma^M$, as $v \leq 0$ on $L^M \cup B^M$. There,

$$v_\xi(\zeta_*) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_\eta(\zeta_*) > 0,$$

where the strict inequality follows from the Hopf boundary-point lemma [Theorem A.1\(ii\)](#).

On the other hand, differentiating the Bernoulli condition (2.5b), we find that

$$\left[\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} A^2 (1 + w_\eta) - \frac{1}{F^2} \right] v + A^2 (1 + w_\eta) v_\eta = A a_\xi < 0, \quad \text{where } A := \frac{a}{v^2 + (1 + w_\eta)^2},$$

at $\zeta = \zeta_*$. In view of (2.10), and our choice of ε , we see that the left-hand side is positive when δ is sufficiently small. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 2.7 (Local conformal monotonicity). *By possibly shrinking β_0 , we may ensure that all solutions on $(u^\beta, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ are strictly conformally monotone in the sense of [Definition 2.5](#)*

Proof. First, we claim that the leading-order part of the waves on $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ is strictly conformally monotone: Differentiating (2.16) with respect to ξ , and defining $\ddot{v}$ and ε like in (2.23), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ddot{v} + \frac{2}{\eta + \varepsilon} \ddot{v}_\eta &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_+^0, \quad \text{with} & \begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{F^2} \right] \ddot{v} + \ddot{v}_\eta &< 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma^0 \\ \ddot{v} &= 0 \quad \text{on } B^0 \cup L^0 \\ \ddot{v} &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

Again seeking a contradiction, we suppose that v attains a positive maximum, which must occur at some point $\zeta_* \in \Gamma^0$. Since $v_\eta(\zeta_*) > 0$ by the Hopf boundary-point lemma, we obtain our contradiction in the boundary condition on Γ^0 .

From Lemma 2.6, we know there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|w^\beta\|_{C^1(\Gamma^1)} < \delta$ and $w_\xi^\beta \leq 0$ on L^1 , then $w_\xi^\beta < 0$ on $\Omega_+^1 \cup \Gamma^1$. Possibly shrinking β_0 , we may arrange both that $\|w^\beta\|_{C^1(\Gamma^1)} < \delta$ and that

$$w_\xi^\beta < 0 \quad \text{on } (\Omega_+^0 \cup \Gamma^0) \setminus (\Omega_+^2 \cup \Gamma^2)$$

for all $0 < |\beta| < \beta_0$. The latter due to the asymptotics in (2.15). Thus we have strict conformal monotonicity for all $0 < |\beta| < \beta_0$. $\square$

3. LARGE SOLITARY WAVE-BORNE POINT VORTICES

In this section, we extend the local solution curve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ from Theorem 2.1 to the large-amplitude regime using a *global* implicit function theorem argument; ultimately leading us to Theorem 1.1. As a first step in this direction, we have the following unrefined continuation result, which is the immediate product of applying the general theory from Theorem A.2.

Theorem 3.1 (Global bifurcation). *There exist a curve $\mathcal{C} \supset \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ that admits the global C^0 parameterization*

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(u(s), \beta(s)) : s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{O}$$

with $(u(0), \beta(0)) = (0, 0)$, and which satisfies the following:

(a) *The curve enjoys the symmetry properties*

$$w(-s) = w(s), \quad \kappa(-s) = -\kappa(s), \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(-s) = -\beta(s)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) *The linearized operator $\mathcal{F}_u(u, \beta) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is Fredholm of index 0 for all $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$.*

(c) *One of the following limiting alternatives hold.*

(A1) (Blowup) *The quantity*

$$N(s) := \|w(s)\|_{\mathcal{W}} + \frac{1}{\text{dist}((u(s), \beta(s)), \partial \mathcal{O})} \tag{3.1}$$

is unbounded as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

(A2) (Loss of compactness) *There exists a sequence $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ with $\sup_n N(s_n) < \infty$, but for which $\{(u(s_n), \beta(s_n))\}$ has no convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$.*

(A3) (Loss of Fredholmness) *There exists a sequence $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ with $\sup_n N(s_n) < \infty$, and for which $\{(u(s_n), \beta(s_n))\}$ converges to some (u_*, β_*) in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$, but the operator $\mathcal{F}_u(u_*, \beta_*)$ is not Fredholm of index 0.*

(A4) (Closed loop) *There exists $T > 0$ such that $(u(s+T), \beta(s+T)) = (u(s), \beta(s))$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(d) *Near each point $(u(s_0), \beta(s_0)) \in \mathcal{C}$, we can locally reparameterize $\mathcal{C}$ so that $s \mapsto (u(s), \beta(s))$ is real analytic.*

(e) *The curve $\mathcal{C}$ is maximal, in the sense that if $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{O}$ is a locally real-analytic curve containing $(u(0), \beta(0))$, and along which $\mathcal{F}_u$ is Fredholm index 0, then $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Since we have already verified that $\mathcal{F}_u(0,0)$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, this follows directly from the analytic implicit function theorem as stated in [Theorem A.2](#). The symmetry in [part \(a\)](#) is a consequence of [\(2.13\)](#). $\square$

The next several subsections address the realizability of each of the scenarios (A1)–(A4), with the eventual outcome being the much improved set of alternatives claimed in [Theorem 1.1](#).

3.1. Monotonicity. In the previous section, we proved that each solution (u, β) on the local curve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$ is strictly conformally monotone, which we recall means that [\(2.22\)](#) holds. On the other hand, we wish to ultimately show that the vertical velocity $\mathbf{v}$ exhibits the sign [\(1.10\)](#), which also implies a sort of monotonicity. Indeed, if [\(1.10\)](#) holds, then starting at the crest line $\{x = 0\}$ in the z -plane and moving along any streamline above the bed, the vertical coordinate will be strictly decreasing. Let us now prove that these two notions of monotonicity are actually equivalent for solutions to [\(2.11\)](#): the vertical velocity obeys [\(1.10\)](#) precisely when the corresponding w is conformally monotone [\(2.22\)](#).

These types of arguments are commonplace in global bifurcation-theoretic studies of water waves. However, there is a novel element here, because the velocity field has a singularity at the point vortex, and thus special attention and some new ideas are needed to understand the behavior there. Rather than work with the vertical velocity $\mathbf{v}$ in the z -plane, it will be more convenient to consider the conformal vertical velocity $V = \mathbf{v} \circ f$. It can be found from (u, β) via

$$V = -\operatorname{Im} \frac{W_\zeta}{f_\zeta} = -\operatorname{Im} \frac{W_\zeta}{1 + w_\eta + iw_\xi} \quad (3.2)$$

in $\Omega \setminus \{\pm i\beta\}$, and the sign condition [\(1.10\)](#) is clearly equivalent to

$$V < 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_+^0 \cup \Gamma^0. \quad (3.3)$$

As a preparatory lemma, let us first establish this sign near the point vortex.

Lemma 3.2 (Sign near vortex). *Let $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ be given with $\beta \neq 0$. Then*

$$V < 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_r(i|\beta|) \cap \Omega_+^0. \quad (3.4)$$

for some $r = r(\beta, \kappa) > 0$.

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that $\beta > 0$. As $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{O}$, the function $f_\zeta = 1 + w_\eta + iw_\xi$ is real on both axes, converges to 1 at infinity, and is non-vanishing. In fact, a continuity argument shows that f_ζ must be positive on both axes, and therefore $f_\zeta(i\beta) > 0$. Next we observe that

$$\frac{W_\zeta}{f_\zeta} - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i f_\zeta(i\beta)} \frac{1}{\zeta - i\beta}$$

is holomorphic at $i\beta$ due to Helmholtz–Kirchhoff [\(2.2\)](#), and necessarily also real on imaginary. Thus taking imaginary parts yields

$$V = -\operatorname{Im} \frac{W_\zeta}{f_\zeta} = \xi \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi f_\zeta(i\beta)} \frac{1}{|\zeta - i\beta|^2} + O(1) \right)$$

as $\zeta \rightarrow i\beta$. From this, [\(3.4\)](#) follows since $\gamma < 0$ by [\(2.9\)](#). $\square$

Lemma 3.3 (Monotonicity equivalence). *A solution $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ to the wave-borne point vortex problem is strictly conformally monotone in the sense of [Definition 2.5](#) if and only if the corresponding conformal vertical velocity V satisfies [\(3.3\)](#)*

Proof. From [\(1.5\)](#), we can see that W_ζ is real on the axes, and thus by [\(3.2\)](#) we have that V vanishes identically there, except for at $\zeta = \pm i\beta$. Likewise, we have via an elementary computation that

$$V = \frac{aw_\xi}{w_\xi^2 + (1 + w_\eta)^2} \quad (3.5)$$

on Γ . Thus by (2.10), the signs of V and w_ξ coincide on Γ .

Suppose now that (u, β) is strictly conformally monotone. By the above paragraph and Lemma 3.2, we know that for all $0 < r \ll 1$, V is harmonic on $S_r := \Omega_+^0 \setminus B_r(i|\beta|)$, vanishes at infinity, and that $V \leq 0$ on ∂S_r . As $V < 0$ on Γ^0 , the strong maximum principle therefore implies that V satisfies (3.3). Conversely, if V satisfies (3.3), then $w_\xi \leq 0$ on $\partial\Omega_+^0$, vanishes at infinity, and is harmonic on Ω_+^0 . Since $w_\xi < 0$ on Γ^0 , the strong maximum principle shows that the solution is strictly conformally monotone. $\square$

Since these various notions of monotonicity are all equivalent for solutions to (2.11), we may simply refer to solutions as strictly monotone without any ambiguity. Our next objective is to prove that strict monotonicity persists globally along $\mathcal{C}$, by showing that it is both an open and closed property in $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$.

Lemma 3.4 (Open property). *Let $(u_*, \beta_*) \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ be given and suppose that it is strictly monotone. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ and*

$$\|w - w_*\|_{C^2(\Omega_+^0)} + |\kappa - \kappa_*| + |\beta - \beta_*| < \varepsilon,$$

then (u, β) is also strictly monotone.

Proof. This follows from continuity and the asymptotic conformal monotonicity established in Lemma 2.6, by a standard argument involving the Serrin corner-point lemma. See, for example, the proof of [6, Lemma 4.21]. $\square$

We remark that the proof of Lemma 3.4 is in fact the only place where having C^3 regularity for the conformal mapping is actually required.

Lemma 3.5 (Closed property). *Let $\{(u_n, \beta_n)\} \subset \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ be given and suppose that $(u_n, \beta_n) \rightarrow (u, \beta) \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$. If $F^2 > 1$ and each (u_n, β_n) is strictly monotone, then the limit (u, β) is either strictly monotone or the trivial solution $(0, 0)$.*

Proof. Let the sequence $\{(u_n, \beta_n)\}$ and its limit (u, β) be as in the hypothesis. If $\beta = 0$, then the limiting wave is irrotational by (1.7). For supercritical Froude numbers, all nontrivial irrotational solitary waves are strictly monotone [11], so the result holds. Suppose therefore that $\beta \neq 0$, and denote the corresponding limiting conformal vertical velocity by V . Continuity and Lemma 3.3 ensure that

$$V \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega_+^0} \setminus \{i|\beta|\},$$

and that V vanishes at infinity. By Lemma 3.2, we have $V < 0$ on $\partial B_r(i|\beta|) \cap \Omega_+^0$ for all $0 < r \ll 1$. The strong maximum principle shows that $V < 0$ on $\Omega_+^0 \setminus B_r(i|\beta|)$ for all $0 < r \ll 1$, and therefore on Ω_+^0 . It remains only to prove that $V < 0$ also on Γ^0 .

Differentiating the dynamic boundary condition (2.5b) with respect to ξ gives

$$VV_\xi - UV_\eta + \frac{1}{F^2}w_\xi = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma^0, \tag{3.6}$$

where $U = \operatorname{Re}(W_\zeta/f_\zeta)$ is the conformal horizontal velocity, and we have used the Cauchy–Riemann equations. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that $V = 0$ at some $\zeta^* \in \Gamma^0$. Recalling (3.5), we find that (3.6) reduces to

$$U(\zeta^*)V_\eta(\zeta^*) = 0$$

at this point, where $V_\eta(\zeta^*) > 0$ by the Hopf boundary-point lemma Theorem A.1 (ii). Therefore ζ^* is a stagnation point, where $U(\zeta^*) = V(\zeta^*) = 0$, which contradicts membership in $\mathcal{O}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.6 (Monotonicity). *If $\mathcal{K}$ is any connected subset of $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ that contains $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}$, then every $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is strictly monotone.*

Proof. We know that the solutions on $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ are strictly monotone by [Lemmas 2.7](#) and [3.3](#), and [Theorem 2.1\(a\)](#) tells us that these are the only solutions near $(0,0)$. Combined with [Lemmas 3.4](#) and [3.5](#), we conclude that the set of $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{K}$ that are either strictly monotone or the trivial solution $(0,0)$ is both open and closed in $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is connected, the result follows. $\square$

3.2. Precompactness and Fredholmness. The next lemma adapts the ideas in [[6](#), Lemma 6.3] to the present setting. In particular, it will allow us to rule out the loss of compactness alternative [\(A2\)](#) in [Theorem 3.1](#).

Lemma 3.7 (Compactness dichotomy). *Suppose that $\{(u_n, \beta_n)\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{O}$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\|w_n\|_{\mathcal{W}} + \frac{1}{\text{dist}((u_n, \beta_n), \partial \mathcal{O})} \right) < \infty,$$

and that each (u_n, β_n) is strictly monotone. Then, either

- (i) (Compactness) $\{(u_n, \beta_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$; or
- (ii) (Irrotational front) there exists a sequence of translations $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, with $\xi_n \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$w_n(\cdot + \xi_n) \rightarrow \tilde{w} \in C^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{in } C_{\text{loc}}^{k+3}(\Omega)$$

after possibly moving to a subsequence. The limit is a nontrivial monotone irrotational front, in that $\tilde{w}_\xi \leq 0$ in $\Omega_+ \cup \Gamma$, $\tilde{w}$ is odd in η , and solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{w} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\xi^2 + (1 + \tilde{w}_\eta)^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \tilde{w} = \frac{1}{2} & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Let $\{(u_n, \beta_n)\}$ be as in the hypothesis of the lemma. Possibly passing to a subsequence, we may arrange that (κ_n, β_n) converges to some (κ, β) with $\cos(\pi\beta) > \kappa \sin(\pi\beta)$ and $|\beta| < 1$. If we first suppose that $\{w_n\}$ is equidecaying, in the sense that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq 1} \|w_n\|_{C^2(\Omega_+^M)} = 0,$$

then a standard argument confirms that $\{w_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{W}$. Thus, in this case, the compactness alternative holds.

Assume instead then that $\{w_n\}$ is *not* equidecaying, which we will demonstrate leads to the front alternative. Possibly moving to a subsequence again, there must then exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ with $\xi_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\|\tilde{w}_n\|_{C^2(\Omega_+^0)} > \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (3.8)$$

for the translates $\tilde{w}_n := w_n(\cdot + \xi_n)$. Physically, these correspond to waves with vortices at $-\xi_n \pm i\beta_n$ in the conformal domain. As $\{\tilde{w}_n\}$ is bounded in $C^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, we can extract a convergent subsequence $\tilde{w}_n \rightarrow \tilde{w} \in C^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^{k+3}(\Omega)$, and this limit is nontrivial due to [\(3.8\)](#). Note that because each $\tilde{w}_n$ is odd in η , so too is $\tilde{w}$. Local convergence is enough to guarantee both that $\tilde{w}$ solves the irrotational problem [\(3.7\)](#) and that $\tilde{w}_\xi \leq 0$ on $\Omega_+ \cup \Gamma$ due to the assumed monotonicity. $\square$

As nontrivial irrotational fronts do not exist for gravity waves beneath air [[37](#), [48](#)], we therefore have the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 3.8 (Precompactness). *The loss of compactness alternative [\(A2\)](#) cannot occur.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}} \subset \mathcal{C}$, [Corollary 3.6](#) ensures that all the waves on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ are strictly monotone. If the loss of compactness alternative [\(A2\)](#) did occur, it would give rise to a nontrivial irrotational front by [Lemma 3.7\(ii\)](#). $\square$

We next show that also loss of Fredholmness can be eliminated from [Theorem 3.1](#).

Lemma 3.9 (Global Fredholmness). *The loss of Fredholmness alternative (A3) cannot occur.*

Proof. Suppose that we have a sequence $\{s_n\}$ such that $s_n \rightarrow \infty$, while the quantity (3.1) satisfies $\sup_n N(s_n) < \infty$, and $(u(s_n), \beta(s_n)) \rightarrow (u_*, \beta_*)$ in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$. We claim that $\mathcal{F}_u(u_*, \beta_*)$ is necessarily Fredholm of index 0. A trivial variant of the argument in [60, Appendix A.3] shows that $\mathcal{F}_{1w}(u_*, \beta_*)$ is semi-Fredholm provided that the kernel of the associated constant-coefficient elliptic boundary-value problem in the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ is trivial. Because w vanishes as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, this problem is in fact the same as for the operator $\mathcal{F}_{1w}(0, 0): \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1$, which we recall from the proof of Theorem 2.1 is invertible. Thus $\mathcal{F}_{1w}(u, \beta)$ is semi-Fredholm along $\mathcal{C}$, and at (u_*, β_*) . By the Fredholm bordering result Lemma A.3 and the continuity of the index, we conclude that the full operator $\mathcal{F}_u(u_*, \beta_*)$ is Fredholm of index 0 as a map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. $\square$

3.3. On the closed loop alternative. Lastly, we consider the possibility that the global curve $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed loop. Since $s\beta(s) > 0$ for $0 < s \ll 1$ by Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1(a), this can only occur if β changes sign. Hence there must be a nontrivial wave $(w_0, \kappa_0, 0) \in \mathcal{C}$, for which the symmetry properties of w imply that (2.5a) forces $\kappa_0 = 0$ as well.

To emphasize that a closed loop is in principle a very real possibility, we show that a point vortex can be injected into any non-degenerate irrotational solitary wave. By non-degenerate, we here mean the essentially generic condition that $\mathcal{F}_{1w}$ is invertible at the solitary wave. This holds for example along any distinguished arc of a global bifurcation curve of irrotational solitary waves.

Theorem 3.10 (Vortex injection). *Fix a supercritical Froude number $F^2 > 1$ and suppose that*

$$(u_0, 0) = (w_0, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{C}$$

is an irrotational solitary wave at which $\mathcal{F}_{1w}(u_0, 0)$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1$. Then there exists a global C^0 curve

$$\mathcal{C}_{w_0} := \{(u(s), \beta(s)) : s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{C}$$

with $(u(0), \beta(0)) = (u_0, 0)$, satisfying the following:

- (a) (Symmetry) *The curve enjoys the symmetries of Theorem 3.1(a)*
- (b) (Monotonicity) *Each wave on $\mathcal{C}_{w_0} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is strictly monotone.*
- (c) (Loop or blowup) *Either the blowup (A1) or closed loop alternative (A4) must occur.*
- (d) (Loop criterion) *If $\mathcal{C}_{w_0}$ is a closed loop, then it must contain an irrotational solitary wave distinct from $(u_0, 0)$. The converse is true if this wave is non-degenerate.*
- (e) (Analyticity and maximality) *The curve $\mathcal{C}_{w_0}$ is locally real analytic, and maximal in the sense of Theorem 3.1(e).*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we compute that

$$\mathcal{F}_u(u_0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{1w}(u_0, 0) & 0 \\ \mathcal{F}_{2w}(u_0, 0) & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the upper left entry is an isomorphism $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1$ by hypothesis. Since the lower right entry is nonzero, and therefore invertible, the full operator $\mathcal{F}_u(u_0, 0)$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. We need only apply the global implicit function theorem [8, Theorem B.1] to see that a global curve $\mathcal{C}_{w_0}$ exists satisfying parts (a) to (e) of Theorem 3.1. In particular, this immediately gives parts (a) and (e) of the present theorem.

If $(u_0, 0)$ is the trivial solution, then all the waves on $\mathcal{C}_{w_0} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ are strictly monotone by Lemma 2.7. If instead $(u_0, 0)$ is a nontrivial irrotational solitary wave, then necessarily it is strictly monotone by the classical work of Craig and Sternberg [11], and the same sequence of results ensures that this monotonicity persists globally. This proves part (b). Likewise, we may apply Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 to exclude the potential loss of compactness and Fredholmness. Thus, as claimed in part (c), we are left only with the possibility of blowup or a closed loop.

It remains to prove **part (d)** of the theorem. The forward implication is true for the same reasons discussed at the beginning of this subsection, so we focus on the converse. Suppose that $(u(s_1), \beta(s_1)) = (u_1, 0)$ is a non-degenerate irrotational wave distinct from $(u_0, 0)$, and denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the part of $\mathcal{C}_{w_0}$ corresponding to $s \in [-s_1, s_1]$. Due to the symmetry in **part (a)**, $\mathcal{A}$ is a closed curve. Moreover, $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ is a real-analytic curve in a neighborhood of $(u_1, 0)$ by non-degeneracy and the local implicit function theorem. Thus $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_{w_0}$ is a closed locally real-analytic curve, and therefore equal to $\mathcal{C}_{w_0}$. $\square$

Clearly, **Theorem 2.1** could have been phrased as a special case of the above result. Also, note that the hypothesis that $F^2 > 1$ is superfluous whenever $(u_0, 0)$ is *nontrivial*, by the sharp lower bound on the Froude number in [36]. On the other hand, any nontrivial irrotational solitary wave satisfies the upper bound $F^2 < 2$; see [33, 52]. As an immediate corollary of **Theorem 3.10**, we can therefore rule out the closed loop alternative for the curve $\mathcal{C}$ when the Froude number is larger than this.

Corollary 3.11 (Blowup). *Suppose that $F^2 \geq 2$. Then the global curve $\mathcal{C}$ furnished by **Theorem 3.1** is not a closed loop, and the blowup alternative (A1) must occur.*

Proof. By uniqueness and maximality, the same curve $\mathcal{C}$ can be obtained by applying **Theorem 3.10** to the trivial solution $(0, 0)$ for fixed $F^2 \geq 2$. Because there exist no nontrivial solitary waves with this Froude number, **part (d)** of the same theorem ensures that $\mathcal{C}$ cannot be a closed loop, and hence blowup must occur according to **part (c)**. $\square$

3.4. Uniform regularity. Next, we study the blowup alternative (A1) in more detail, in the hopes of better classifying the types of singularities that can occur in the limit along $\mathcal{C}$. The main result is as follows.

Lemma 3.12 (Uniform regularity). *For all $K > 0$ and $F^2 > 1$, there exists a constant $C = C(K, F^2) > 0$ such that, if $(u, \beta) \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{O}$ obeys the bound*

$$\sup_{\Gamma} \left(|\nabla w| + \frac{1}{w_{\xi}^2 + (1 + w_{\eta})^2} \right) + |\gamma(\kappa, \beta)| < K, \quad (3.9)$$

the corresponding quantity N given by (3.1) satisfies $N < C$.

Proof. Throughout the proof, we use C as a generic positive constant depending only on K and F^2 . First, observe that w , w_{ξ} , and w_{η} are all harmonic in Ω and decay at infinity. By the maximum principle and symmetry, they are all maximized along Γ . Since w vanishes along $\{\eta = 0\}$, moreover,

$$\sup_{\Omega} |w| \leq \sup_{\Omega} |w_{\eta}| \leq K.$$

The Bernoulli condition (2.5b) then allows us to control

$$\sup_{\Gamma} a^2 = \sup_{\Gamma} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{F^2} w \right) (w_{\xi}^2 + (1 + w_{\eta})^2) \right) \leq C.$$

On the other hand, from this, the bound (3.9), the lower bound on a (2.10), and the nonlinear estimate [40, Theorem 3], we find that

$$\|w\|_{C^2(\Omega)} \leq C(1 + \|\nabla w\|_{C^0(\Omega)}) \leq C(1 + K).$$

Standard Schauder estimates for w_{ξ} and w_{η} allow us to upgrade this to control of w in $C^{k+3+\alpha}(\Omega)$, so that we have control of $\|w\|_{\mathcal{W}} < C$.

Now, because $w + \eta$ is harmonic in Ω and $\nabla(w + \eta)$ is non-vanishing, we have that $\log |\nabla(w + \eta)|$ is harmonic in Ω . Hence, by the maximum principle

$$\sup_{\Omega} \frac{1}{w_{\xi}^2 + (1 + w_{\eta})^2} = \sup_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{w_{\xi}^2 + (1 + w_{\eta})^2} < K.$$

This gives uniform control of the conformality in terms of K . It also furnishes a bound on κ : recall from (2.12) that

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{w_{\xi\xi}^2}{(1 + w_\eta)^2} \Big|_{\zeta=i\beta} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{w_{\xi\xi}^2}{w_\xi^2 + (1 + w_\eta)^2} \Big|_{\zeta=i\beta} \leq C.$$

Then, since $(u, \eta) \in \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$\cos(\pi\beta) + C|\sin(\pi\beta)| \geq \cos(\pi\beta) - \kappa \sin(\pi\beta) > 0,$$

and thus $|\beta|$ is bounded away from 1 in terms of C . Likewise, the boundedness of κ implies there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(C) > 0$ such that $\cos(\pi\beta) - \kappa \sin(\pi\beta) > 1/2$ for $|\beta| < \varepsilon$. On the other hand, from the definition of γ in (1.7) we have

$$\frac{1}{\cos(\pi\beta) - \kappa \sin(\pi\beta)} = -\frac{\gamma}{4 \sin(\pi\beta)} < C \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \leq |\beta| < 1.$$

Thus, recalling the definition of $\mathcal{O}$ in (2.8),

$$\frac{1}{\text{dist}((u, \beta), \partial\mathcal{O})} \lesssim \sup_{\Omega} \frac{1}{w_\xi^2 + (1 + w_\eta)^2} + \frac{1}{1 - |\beta|} + \frac{1}{\cos(\pi\beta) - \kappa \sin(\pi\beta)} < C.$$

Combining all of the above estimates, we conclude that

$$N = \|w\|_{\mathcal{W}} + |\kappa| + \frac{1}{\text{dist}((u, \beta), \partial\mathcal{O})} < C. \quad \square$$

3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assembling the results of the previous sections, we can now prove the main theorem on the existence of wave-borne point vortices.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $F^2 > 1$ be given. Then by Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, there exists a curve $\mathcal{C}$ of solitary gravity wave with submerged point vortices that bifurcates from the trivial (irrotational) flow. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}$ admits a global C^0 parameterization that is locally real analytic. Each solution on $\mathcal{C}$ exhibits the claimed symmetry properties by the choice of function spaces, and their monotonicity was proved in Corollary 3.6.

We must also verify that each of the solutions on $\mathcal{C}$ is physical in that the corresponding mapping $f(s)$ is injective on $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\inf_{\Omega} |\partial_\zeta f(s)| > 0$. But a positive lower bound on $|\partial_\zeta f(s)|$ follows by construction, as $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{O}$. To prove injectivity, by the Darboux–Picard theorem [3, Corollary 9.16], it suffices to show that $f(s)|_{\partial\Omega}$ is injective. In fact, this is a consequence of the monotonicity established in Corollary 3.6; see the proof of [30, Theorem 1.1].

Consider next the limiting behavior at the extreme of the curve. By Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 3.9, neither the loss of compactness (A2) nor loss of Fredholmness (A3) alternatives are possible, so Theorem 3.1 tells us that either we have blowup (A1) or $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed loop. The uniform bounds furnished by Lemma 3.12 allow us to conclude that, were blowup (A1) to happen, so must (1.9). If instead the closed loop alternative occurs, then by Theorem 3.10(d), we know $\mathcal{C}$ must contain an irrotational solitary wave distinct from the starting trivial one. Finally, we saw in Corollary 3.11 that it is impossible for $\mathcal{C}$ to be a closed loop if $F^2 > 2$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. PERIODIC WAVE-BORNE POINT VORTICES

The main purpose of this section is to numerically investigate the periodic analogue of the solitary wave-borne point vortex problem (1.1). Physically, this corresponds to a traveling periodic wave carrying a single point vortex per period. The conformal description (1.2) and (1.4) stays the same, while 2λ -periodicity of w in ξ and the normalizing condition

$$w(\lambda + i) = 0$$

replace the asymptotic condition (1.3), for some $\lambda > 0$. The preimages of the physical point vortices are now the equally spaced points

$$\{2n\lambda + i\beta : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \Omega_+.$$

As long as λ is sufficiently large, we expect the periodic solutions to be good approximations of the solitary ones.

Recasting the governing equations in terms of the unknowns (w, κ, β) requires replacing W in (1.5) with the complex potential for the 2λ -periodic strip. Towards that end, it is convenient to introduce two special functions, with which we may give an explicit representation formula for W .

Definition 4.1. Given a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$, the entire function

$$\check{\sigma}(z) = \check{\sigma}(z; \Lambda) := z \prod_{\ell \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\ell}\right) \exp\left(\frac{z^2}{2\ell^2} + \frac{z}{\ell}\right)$$

and its logarithmic derivative $\check{\zeta} := \check{\sigma}_z / \check{\sigma}$, are known as the *Weierstrass sigma* and *zeta functions*, respectively.

Proposition 4.2 (Periodic complex potential). *For each half-period $\lambda > 0$, let*

$$W = W(\zeta; \gamma, \beta, \lambda) := \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \left(\log \left(\frac{\check{\sigma}(\zeta - i\beta)}{\check{\sigma}(\zeta + i\beta)} \right) + 2\beta \check{\zeta}(i) \zeta \right) + \zeta, \quad (4.1)$$

with $\check{\sigma} = \check{\sigma}(\cdot; \Lambda)$ for the lattice $\Lambda := 2\lambda\mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then W satisfies the following.

- (i) W_ζ is meromorphic, with simple poles at $\pm i\beta + 2\lambda\mathbb{Z}$ in Ω when $\beta \neq 0$, having residues $\pm\gamma$;
- (ii) $\text{Im } W = 1$ on Γ and $\text{Im } W = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$;
- (iii) W_ζ is 2λ -periodic in ξ , and real on both axes.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta \in (0, 1)$. We first map the period rectangle $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : -\lambda < \xi \leq \lambda, 0 < \eta < 1\}$ to the annulus $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \exp(-\pi/\lambda) < |\zeta| < 1\}$ using $\zeta \mapsto \exp(i\pi\zeta/\lambda)$, taking the point vortex to $\exp(-\pi\beta/\lambda)$. On this annulus, we can use the tools of [13]. Specifically, the so-called modified Green's function can be computed by combining [13, (14.82) and (14.92)]. This is almost the desired result, except that the constant value of $\text{Im } W$ on Γ is not 1; see [13, (5.20)]. By compensating for this, and using [38, (6.2.8) and (6.2.9)] to express the result in terms of $\check{\sigma}$, we arrive at (4.1). $\square$

As before, the velocity field computed from W above will automatically satisfy the (periodic) Euler equations as well as the kinematic conditions on the bed and surface. The vortex advection equation (1.6) simply becomes

$$\frac{w_{\xi\xi}}{1 + w_\eta} = \pi i \kappa \quad \text{at } \zeta = i\beta + 2\lambda\mathbb{Z}, \quad (4.2a)$$

but need only be imposed at a single vortex by periodicity. However the relationship between γ , κ , and β will be slightly different from what we had in (1.7). Around $\zeta = i\beta$, we find

$$W_\zeta(\zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\zeta - i\beta} + 1 + \gamma \frac{2\beta \check{\zeta}(i) - \check{\zeta}(2\beta i)}{2\pi i} + O(\zeta - i\beta),$$

where the first term in

$$\frac{2\beta \check{\zeta}(i) - \check{\zeta}(2\beta i)}{2\pi i} = \frac{1}{4} \cot(\pi\beta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2k\pi\beta)}{e^{2k\pi\lambda} - 1}$$

is the same as for the solitary case (2.3), while the series is a correction term for finite λ . This formula follows from the Fourier expansion of $\check{\zeta}$, which can be found, for example, in [38, (8.6.19)]. Performing

an analogous expansion to (2.4) reveals that for the periodic case, γ must be given by

$$\gamma = \gamma(\kappa, \beta, \lambda) := 4 \left(\kappa - 4 \frac{2\beta\check{\zeta}(i) - \check{\zeta}(2\beta i)}{2\pi i} \right)^{-1}, \quad (4.2b)$$

interpreted as vanishing at $\beta = 0$.

Finally, the Bernoulli condition on Γ must hold. The trace of W_ζ on Γ , written as a Fourier series, is

$$a(\xi; \kappa, \beta) := W_\zeta(\xi + i; \kappa, \beta, \lambda) = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\lambda} \left(\beta + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(k\pi\beta/\lambda)}{\sinh(k\pi/\lambda)} \cos(k\pi\xi/\lambda) \right)$$

by [44, 3.I.1.89] and [38, (8.6.19)]; compare to (2.6). Using this definition of a , the Bernoulli condition takes the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2}{w_\xi^2 + (1 + w_\eta)^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} w = \frac{1}{2} + Q \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (4.2c)$$

where we, unlike (2.5b), must allow a nonzero $Q \in \mathbb{R}$. This Bernoulli constant will be part of the solution, but is expected to be small when λ is sufficiently large.





FIGURE 5. We use pseudo arc-length continuation to numerically continue the bifurcation curve. At each step, a number of previously computed solutions, and their corresponding tangents, are used to generate an initial approximate solution $\tilde{\Theta}_k^M \in \mathbb{R}^{M+4}$ and approximate tangent $\tilde{n}_k^M$. A final approximate solution Θ_k^M is then sought in the affine hyperplane $\tilde{\Theta}_k^M + \text{span}\{\tilde{n}_k^M\}^\perp$.


At this point, we could pursue writing down a full periodic analog of Theorem 1.1, but choose not to do so. Instead, we let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and look for *approximate* solutions $\Theta^M = (\hat{w}^M, Q^M, \kappa^M, \beta^M)$ to (4.2) with

$$w^M(\xi + i) = \sum_{m=0}^M \hat{w}_m^M \cos(m\pi\xi/\lambda)$$

by using a pseudo-spectral method and numerical pseudo arc-length continuation; see Figure 5.




(A) The surface profile. We conjecture that the curve approaches a limiting highest wave with an interior angle of exactly 120° , like in Stokes' conjecture.



(B) The projection of the bifurcation curve into the (β, κ) -plane. We see two turning points.

FIGURE 6. The furthest we are able to resolve numerically when $F = 2$, using $\lambda = 5$ and $M = 2^{10}$. The solution on the left corresponds to the small circle on the right.

Figure 6 shows a typical large solution when the Froude number is small enough for gravity to play a significant role. The surface appears to have an incipient corner at the crest, as is seen in the irrotational case. As the Froude number increases, however, we see from Figure 7 that the waves start overturning along the bifurcation curve. In all figures, we include the streamlines passing through equally spaced points between $i\beta$ and i on Ω_+ , along with the streamline intersecting the bottom that separates the closed streamlines from those that are not. Similar closed streamlines have been observed, for instance, for capillary-gravity waves with point vortices [56] and gravity waves with constant vorticity [35, 58].



(A) When $F = 5$, gravity has decreased sufficiently to allow the wave to barely overturn. Like in Figure 6a, we display the “highest” resolvable solution ($\beta \approx 0.66$). Unlike Figure 6b, we detect no turning point in (β, κ) .

(B) Moving to $F = 8$ permits waves with appreciable overhang. For this solution, $\beta \approx 0.88$. Going further requires even more points for adequate resolution at the top of the “bulb”.

FIGURE 7. Two different numerical solutions with $M = 2^{11}$; and $\lambda = 8$ and $\lambda = 10$, respectively. Note the differing length scales.

4.1. The zero-gravity limit. In the zero-gravity limit $F = \infty$, an *exact* explicit solitary¹ wave is available, due to [12]. This is particularly useful as a sanity-check of the numerical method, at least in this, admittedly special, case. The below result translates the solution to our variables. We omit the proof, which is relatively straightforward.

Proposition 4.3 (Exact zero-gravity solitary wave). *When $F = \infty$, the global curve from Theorem 1.1 can be parameterized as $\beta \mapsto (f(\cdot; \beta), \kappa(\beta), \beta)$ with*

$$f(\zeta; \beta) = \zeta + \frac{8}{\pi} \tan^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta\right)}{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) + \cosh\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta\right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sin^3\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) \sec\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right)$$

for $\beta \in (-1, 1)$. Their corresponding point vortex strength and physical altitude are

$$\gamma(\beta) = -8 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) \sec^3\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) \quad \text{and} \quad b(\beta) = \beta + \frac{4}{\pi} \tan^3\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right),$$

respectively. Overturning along the curve happens exactly at $\beta = \arccos(1 - \sqrt{2})/\pi$

¹The exact zero-gravity periodic waves are also given in the same article, but the expressions for them are much more involved.

It is easy to check that the leading order terms in [part \(c\) of Theorem 2.1](#), in the limit $F \rightarrow \infty$, are consistent with the expressions from [Proposition 4.3](#).

From [Figure 8](#), we see that numerical method finds approximate solutions that are in agreement with the explicit solutions from [Proposition 4.3](#). It is able to capture the surface profile very well, even for quite large waves. Another natural question that comes to mind, and which is hinted at in [\[12\]](#), is: Is the overhanging part of the zero-gravity surface profile asymptotically circular? We are able to answer this in the affirmative.




FIGURE 8. Comparison between numerical periodic surface profiles for different values of M , and the corresponding *exact* solitary solution (solid line), when $F = \infty$, $\beta = 0.75$ and $\lambda = 20$. To reduce crowding, only a subset of computed points are included ([\[20\]](#) with $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$). The numerical streamlines and vortex position are displayed as computed for $M = 2^{11}$, and the physical period is approximately $2 \cdot 35$.

Theorem 4.4 (Zero-gravity asymptotics). *If $f(i)/i =: 1 + 2h(\beta)$, the surface profile from [Proposition 4.3](#) normalized as $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} := h(\beta)^{-1}\mathcal{S}$ approaches a circle resting on a line as $\beta \rightarrow 1$. Specifically,*

$$\text{dist}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \partial B_1(i) \cup \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0$$

as $\beta \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. By symmetry, it is sufficient to consider the right half of the waves. From [Proposition 4.3](#) we have

$$h(\beta)^{-1}(f(\zeta) - i)|_{\zeta=\xi+i} = X(\xi, \beta) + iY(\xi, \beta)$$

with

$$Y(\xi, \beta) := 2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) \frac{\cosh(\frac{\pi}{2}\xi)}{\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta) + \sinh^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\xi)} \quad \text{and} \quad X(\xi, \beta) := h(\beta)^{-1}\xi + \frac{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{2}\xi)}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta)} Y(\xi, \beta),$$

for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\xi \geq 0$. Observe that $Y(\cdot, \beta)$ is decreasing, as expected from [Corollary 3.6](#), and has image $(0, 2]$ for every $\beta \in (0, 1)$. A small computation shows that the inverse $\xi_0(Y_0, \beta) := Y(\cdot, \beta)^{-1}(Y_0)$ satisfies

$$\sinh\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\xi_0(Y_0, \beta)\right) = \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta)}{Y_0} \hat{X}(Y_0, \beta)$$

with

$$\hat{X}_0(Y_0, \beta) := \sqrt{2\left(\sqrt{\cos^4\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right)Y_0^2 + \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right)}\right) - Y_0^2}$$

for every $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $Y_0 \in (0, 2]$.

The corresponding real part

$$\tilde{X}_0(Y_0, \beta) := X(\xi_0(Y_0, \beta), \beta) = h(\beta)^{-1} \xi_0(Y_0, \beta) + \hat{X}_0(Y_0, \beta)$$

converges to

$$X_0(Y_0) := \hat{X}_0(Y_0, 1) = \sqrt{2Y_0 - Y_0^2}$$

as $\beta \rightarrow 1$, locally uniformly in Y_0 . Notice that $Y_0 \mapsto X_0(Y_0) + iY_0$ parameterizes the right-half of $\partial_1 B(i)$, excluding the origin. The remaining ingredient is that the aforementioned monotonicity implies that

$$\{X(\xi, \beta) + iY(\xi, \beta) : \xi > \xi_0(Y_0, \beta)\} \subset (0, \infty) \times (0, Y_0)$$

for every $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $Y_0 \in (0, 2]$. $\square$

5. SOLITARY WAVE-BORNE HOLLOW VORTICES

In this section, we prove the existence of solitary gravity water waves with submerged hollow vortices using a vortex desingularization technique and the wave-borne point vortices constructed in [Sections 2 and 3](#) above. The technique is adapted from the recent work [\[5\]](#), which carries out a vortex desingularization procedure for planar point vortex configurations. For the water wave case, the presence of the upper free surface presents many new challenges.

5.1. Nonlocal formulation. Recalling [Section 1.2](#), let us begin by assuming that we have a solitary gravity wave carrying a counter-rotating vortex pair centered at $z = \pm ib$ in the physical domain $\mathcal{D}$. We will again view this as the image of a canonical domain under an a priori unknown conformal mapping f . For hollow vortices, the natural choice is to take

$$\Omega_\rho := \begin{cases} \Omega \setminus \{i\beta, -i\beta\} & \rho = 0 \\ \Omega \setminus \overline{B_{|\rho|}(i\beta) \cup B_{|\rho|}(-i\beta)} & 0 < |\rho| \ll 1, \end{cases}$$

as illustrated in [Figure 3](#). As before, we are doubling the domain, with the line $\{\eta = 0\}$ corresponding to the bed and $\Gamma_s := \{\eta = 1\}$ being the pre-image of the free surface. We call ρ the conformal radius of the hollow vortex; in the desingularization procedure, it will serve as a bifurcation parameter. The boundary of the vortex will be given by $f(\Gamma_v)$, where $\Gamma_v = \partial B_{|\rho|}(i\beta)$. Because we will use the implicit function theorem at $\rho = 0$, the abstract operator equation we ultimately formulate must allow ρ to be negative.

Conformal mapping and layer-potentials. We impose the ansatz

$$f = f^0 + f_s + \rho^2 \mathcal{Z}^\rho[\mu], \quad (5.1)$$

where f^0 is the conformal mapping for the given wave-borne point vortex. The new unknowns are now $f_s \in C^{3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{C})$, which is holomorphic in the strip, and a real-valued density $\mu \in C^{3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$. Here, $\mathcal{Z}^\rho$ is the layer-potential operator given by

$$\mathcal{Z}^\rho[\mu](\zeta) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{\mu(\sigma)}{\rho\sigma + i\beta - \zeta} + \frac{\mu(\bar{\sigma})}{\rho\sigma - i\beta - \zeta} \right) \rho d\sigma. \quad (5.2)$$

By Privalov's theorem, for $|\rho| > 0$ and $\ell \geq 0$, $\mathcal{Z}^\rho$ is bounded $C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C^{\ell+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_\rho})$. Moreover, for μ as above, $\mathcal{Z}^\rho[\mu]$ is a single-valued holomorphic mapping vanishing in the limit $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$. When there is no risk of confusion, we will abbreviate it by $\mathcal{Z}\mu$.

As in [\(1.4\)](#), the conformal mapping f must be real on real and imaginary on imaginary, and so we require that f_s and the layer potential $\mathcal{Z}\mu$ each share these symmetries. Thus $w_s := \text{Im } f_s$ will lie in the same space $\mathcal{W}$ defined in [\(2.1\)](#) and used in constructing wave-borne point vortices. Because the conformal vortex center lies on $i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we know by [\[5, Section 3.4\]](#) that $\mathcal{Z}\mu$ has the desired symmetries provided the density μ lies in the subspace

$$C_{\text{ii}}^{3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) := \{\varphi \in C^{3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) : i^m \widehat{\varphi}_m \in i\mathbb{R} \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In fact, we will make the further normalizing assumption that $\widehat{\mu}_0 = 0$, hence $\mu \in \dot{C}_{\text{ii}}^{3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$. We also define

$$C_{\text{ri}}^{3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) := \{\varphi \in C^{3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) : i^m \widehat{\varphi}_m \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho[\mu](\xi) &:= \mathcal{Z}^\rho[\mu](\xi + i) & \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \\ \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho[\mu](\tau) &:= \mathcal{Z}^\rho[\mu](\rho\tau + i\beta) & \text{for } \tau \in \mathbb{T}, \end{aligned}$$

be the operators found by taking the restriction of the layer-potential $\mathcal{Z}^\rho\mu$ to Γ_s and Γ_v , respectively. The first of these is nonsingular and higher-order as long as $\Gamma_s \cap \Gamma_v = \emptyset$. Indeed, from the definition of the layer-potential operator (5.2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Z}_s^\rho\mu\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim |\rho| \|\mu\|_{C^0(\mathbb{T})}, \\ \|\partial_\zeta \mathcal{Z}^\rho\mu\|_{C^0(\Gamma_s)} &= \frac{1}{|\rho|} \|\mathcal{Z}_s^\rho\mu'\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\mu'\|_{C^0(\mathbb{T})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constants above depend only on a lower bound for $\text{dist}(\Gamma_s, \Gamma_v)$. We have explicitly, moreover, that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho} \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho[\mu](\xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{\mu(\sigma)}{\rho\sigma + i\beta - i - \xi} + \frac{\mu(\bar{\sigma})}{\rho\sigma - i\beta - i - \xi} \right) d\sigma \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{\mu(\sigma)}{i\beta - i - \xi} + \frac{\mu(\bar{\sigma})}{-i\beta - i - \xi} \right) d\sigma \\ &= \frac{\widehat{\mu}_1}{i\beta - i - \xi} - \frac{\widehat{\mu}_1}{i\beta + i + \xi} \quad \text{as } \rho \searrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Conversely, via the Sokhotski–Plemelj formula, the trace of the layer-potential on Γ_v becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho[\mu](\tau) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\mu(\sigma) - \mu(\tau)}{\sigma - \tau} d\sigma + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\mu(\bar{\sigma})}{\rho(\sigma - \tau) - 2i\beta} \rho d\sigma \\ &= \mathcal{C}\mu(\tau) - \rho \frac{\widehat{\mu}_1}{2i\beta} + O(\rho^2), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the Cauchy-type integral operator represented by the first term on the right-hand side of the first equality. Indeed, it is easy to verify that for all $\ell \geq 0$ and $0 < \rho_0 \ll 1$, the mapping $(\mu, \rho) \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho\mu$ is real analytic $C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) \times (-\rho_0, \rho_0) \rightarrow C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$, while $(\mu, \rho) \mapsto \rho^{-1} \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho\mu$ is real analytic $C^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) \times (-\rho_0, \rho_0) \rightarrow C_0^{\ell+\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$.

Complex relative potential. We redefine $W = W(\zeta)$ to be the complex relative velocity potential for the wave-borne hollow vortex problem, which thus has domain Ω_ρ , and denote the complex relative potential (1.5) for the point vortex problem by

$$W_0 = W_0(\zeta; \gamma) := \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \log \left(\frac{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{2}(\zeta - i\beta))}{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{2}(\zeta + i\beta))} \right) + \zeta.$$

Note that here W_0 is viewed as a function of γ . When studying wave-borne point vortices, we required that γ , κ , and β were related by (1.7). However, for hollow vortices κ does not have any obvious relevance, so we will untether the variables, fixing β to β^0 , and allowing γ to vary. Thus κ is simply a function of γ .

Throughout this section, we use the convention that a superscript 0 indicates the function is being evaluated with all parameters at their values for the starting point vortex configuration. For example, $W^0 := W_0(\cdot; \gamma^0)$. We expect that W^0 is the leading-order part of the complex potential, but in order to understand how the Bernoulli condition behaves in the limit $\rho \searrow 0$, it will be necessary to have a more precise description. That is the objective of the next lemma.

Lemma 5.1 (Complex potential). *Fix $\gamma^0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist analytic mappings*

$$(\gamma, \rho) \mapsto \nu(\cdot; \gamma, \rho) \in \mathring{C}_{\text{ii}}^{k+3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}), \quad (\gamma, \rho) \mapsto W_s(\cdot; \gamma, \rho) \in C_0^{k+3+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{C}),$$

defined in a neighborhood of $(\gamma^0, 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that the complex potential

$$W = W_0 + \rho \mathcal{Z}^\rho \nu + \rho^2 W_s, \quad (5.5)$$

satisfies, for $\rho > 0$,

- (i) W_ζ is holomorphic on Ω_ρ with $W_\zeta \in C^{k+2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_\rho})$;
- (ii) $W - W^0$ is single-valued and $\text{Im } W$ is constant on each component of $\partial\Omega_\rho$;
- (iii) $W_\zeta(\zeta) \rightarrow 1$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ in Ω_ρ ; and
- (iv) W_ζ real on both the real and imaginary axes.

Moreover, at $\rho = 0$ the density ν and its derivative ν_ρ are given explicitly by

$$\nu(\tau; 0, \gamma) = \nu_0(\tau; \gamma) := -2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \cot(\pi\beta) \right) \tau \right) \quad (5.6)$$

$$\partial_\rho \nu(\tau; 0, \gamma) = \nu_1(\tau; \gamma) := -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma\pi}{24i} \left(1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta) \right) \tau^2 \right). \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. We will reduce the problem to a nonlocal equation for ν and then apply the implicit function theorem. For $\nu \in \mathring{C}_{\text{ii}}^{k+3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$, let $\mathcal{L}^\rho \nu \in C_0^{k+3+\alpha}(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ denote the unique holomorphic function satisfying the boundary condition

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{L}^\rho \nu(\xi + i) = -\operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{\nu(\sigma)}{\rho\sigma + i\beta - i - \xi} + \frac{\nu(\bar{\sigma})}{\rho\sigma - i\beta - i - \xi} \right) d\sigma \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

on the upper boundary Γ_s and enjoying the even symmetry claimed in [part \(iv\)](#). For $\rho \neq 0$, the right hand side is simply $-\rho^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{Z}_s \nu$; see [\(5.3\)](#). It is easy to check that $\mathcal{L}^\rho$ is a bounded operator between these two spaces, depending analytically on ρ in a neighborhood of zero. Setting

$$W_s = \mathcal{L}^\rho \nu$$

in [\(5.5\)](#), and using the fact that $\operatorname{Im} W_0$ is constant on Γ_s , we discover that

$$\operatorname{Im} W = \operatorname{Im} W_0 + \rho \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \nu + \rho \mathcal{L}^\rho \nu)$$

is also constant on Γ_s . Differentiating the requirement that $\operatorname{Im} W$ be constant along Γ_v then yields a nonlocal equation

$$\mathcal{F}(\nu; \gamma, \rho)(\tau) := \operatorname{Re} \left(\tau \left(\partial_\zeta W_0(i\beta + \rho\tau) + \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \nu' + \rho^2 \partial_\zeta \mathcal{L}^\rho \nu(i\beta + \rho\tau) \right) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for } \tau \in \mathbb{T} \quad (5.8)$$

for ν alone. While the explicit term $\partial_\zeta W_0$ is singular as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, using [\(2.3\)](#) we find that the combination

$$\operatorname{Re}(\tau \partial_\zeta W_0(i\beta + \rho\tau)) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \cot(\pi\beta) \right) \tau + \rho \frac{\gamma\pi}{24i} \left(1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta) \right) \tau^2 \right) + O(\rho^2)$$

is analytic in ρ , and so the mapping $\mathcal{F}$ is also analytic, with values in $\mathring{C}_{\text{ii}}^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$. Here we have used the analyticity of the operators $\mathcal{Z}_v^\rho$ discussed after [\(5.4\)](#), as well as some straightforward symmetry considerations. In particular, $\mathcal{F}$ is well-defined at $\rho = 0$, where it is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\nu; \gamma, 0)(\tau) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\tau \left(\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \cot(\pi\beta) \right) + \mathcal{C} \nu' \right) \right). \quad (5.9)$$

From [\(5.9\)](#) it is easy to see that ν_0 defined in [\(5.6\)](#) solves [\(5.8\)](#) when $\rho = 0$. Moreover, the linearized operator

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu(\nu_0(\gamma^0); \gamma^0, 0) = \operatorname{Re}(\tau \mathcal{C} \partial_\tau \cdot) : \mathring{C}_{\text{ii}}^{k+3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathring{C}_{\text{ii}}^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$$

is invertible, and so we can then solve [\(5.8\)](#) for $\nu = \nu(\cdot; \rho, \gamma)$ in a neighborhood of ν_0 using the implicit function theorem. The formula [\(5.7\)](#) for ν_1 can be obtained in a similar way. The remaining claims are now straightforward to verify. $\square$

Governing equations. Let us next reformulate the wave-borne hollow vortex problem (1.8) in terms of these new unknowns. Pulled back to the conformal domain, the dynamic boundary conditions (1.8c), (1.8d) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{|W_\zeta|^2}{|f_\zeta|^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \operatorname{Im} f &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{F^2} & \text{on } \Gamma_s, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{|W_\zeta|^2}{|f_\zeta|^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \operatorname{Im} f &= q & \text{on } \Gamma_v, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $\Gamma_s := \{\eta = 1\}$ is the pre-image of the upper boundary, $\Gamma_v := \partial B_\rho(i\beta)$, and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ is the Bernoulli constant. The complex potential W is given by Lemma 5.1, and is completely determined by the parameters ρ, γ, β . Note that we have used the limiting behavior at infinity to explicitly evaluate the Bernoulli constant on Γ_s . We can simplify the condition on Γ_s somewhat further by noting that the starting solitary wave with submerged point vortex itself satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{|W_\zeta^0|^2}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \operatorname{Im} f^0 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_s.$$

Thus, inserting the ansatz for f from (5.1), the dynamic condition on the upper free surface becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|W_\zeta|^2}{|f_\zeta|^2} - \frac{|W_\zeta^0|^2}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} \right) + \frac{1}{F^2} \operatorname{Im}(f_s + \rho^2 \mathcal{Z}^\rho \mu) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_s.$$

On the hollow vortex boundary, however, we must contend with the unboundedness of the point vortex velocity field in any neighborhood of $\zeta = i\beta$. We therefore normalize the condition on Γ_v by multiplying by ρ^2 to ameliorate the singularity. Regrouping terms, this results in

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2 |W_\zeta|^2}{|f_\zeta|^2} + \rho^2 \frac{1}{F^2} \operatorname{Im}(f^0 + f_s + \rho^2 \mathcal{Z}^\rho \mu) = \tilde{Q} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_v,$$

where we think of $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{R}$ as a normalized Bernoulli constant on Γ_v . We will make one final renormalization in the next subsection.

Stated in terms of the layer-potential operator $\mathcal{Z}$, the dynamic condition on the upper interface and vortex boundary take the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_s^2}{|f_\zeta^0 + \partial_\zeta f_s + \rho \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \mu'|^2} - \frac{(a_s^0)^2}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} \right) + \frac{1}{F^2} \operatorname{Im}(f_s + \rho^2 \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \mu) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R} \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{a_v^2}{|f_\zeta^0 + \partial_\zeta f_s + \rho \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \mu'|^2} + \rho^2 \frac{1}{F^2} \operatorname{Im}(f^0 + f_s + \rho^2 \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \mu) = \tilde{Q} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{T}, \quad (5.11)$$

where f^0, f_s are evaluated at $\xi + i$ in (5.10) and at $i\beta + \rho\tau$ in (5.11). Here, similarly to (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} a_s &= a_s(\xi; \gamma, \rho) := |W_\zeta(\xi + i; \gamma, \rho)| & \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \\ a_v &= a_v(\tau; \gamma, \rho) := \rho |W_\zeta(\rho\tau + i\beta; \gamma, \rho)| & \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{T}, \end{aligned}$$

and $a_s^0 = a_s^0(\xi) := |W_\zeta^0(\xi + i)|$.

5.2. Spaces and the abstract operator equation. Let us now formulate the wave-borne hollow vortex problem (5.10)–(5.11) as an abstract operator equation. For the remainder of this section, we rename the domain and codomain of the abstract operator $\mathcal{F}$ given by (2.12) and corresponding to the submerged point vortex problem as $\mathcal{O}^0 \subset \mathcal{X}^0$ and $\mathcal{Y}^0$, respectively. Then, writing

$$u := (w_s, \mu, \gamma, Q),$$

the wave-borne hollow vortex problem can be equivalently written as

$$\mathcal{G}(u, \rho) = 0$$

for the real-analytic mapping

$$\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2): \mathcal{O} \subset (\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

with domain and codomain being the (newly redefined) spaces

$$\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{W} \times \tilde{C}_{\text{ii}}^{k+3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}), \quad \mathcal{Y} := C_{0,e}^{k+2+\alpha}(\Gamma_s) \times C_{\text{ri}}^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}),$$

and given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1(u, \rho) &:= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_s^2}{|f_\zeta^0 + \partial_\zeta f_s + \rho \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \mu'|^2} - \frac{(a_s^0)^2}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} \right) + \frac{1}{F^2} \text{Im}(f_s + \rho^2 \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \mu) \\ \mathcal{G}_2(u, \rho) &:= \frac{1}{2\rho} \left(\frac{a_v^2}{|f_\zeta^0 + \partial_\zeta f_s + \rho \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \mu'|^2} - \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{|\partial_\zeta f^0(i\beta) + \partial_\zeta f_s(i\beta)|^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \rho \frac{1}{F^2} \text{Im}(f^0 + f_s + \rho^2 \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \mu) - Q. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Here, and in what follows, we often write f_s for the holomorphic function on Ω with imaginary part w_s . Note that the equation $\mathcal{G}_2 = 0$ is slightly different from the phrasing of the dynamic condition in (5.11) as a term is being subtracted in the parenthesis, and then we are dividing by ρ . The new Bernoulli constant Q can be written explicitly in terms of $\tilde{Q}$, ρ , γ , and $\partial_\zeta f_s(i\beta)$. We will see that this form of the dynamic condition is considerably better suited for the asymptotic expansions carried out in the next stage of the argument. The lemma below verifies that $\mathcal{G}$ thus defined is indeed real analytic and its zero-set corresponds to solutions of the wave-borne hollow vortex problem.

Lemma 5.2. *The mapping $\mathcal{G}$ defined by (5.12) is real analytic $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, and if $(w^0, \kappa^0, \beta) \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$ represents a wave-borne point vortex, then $u^0 := (0, 0, \gamma^0, 0) \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfies $\mathcal{G}(u^0, 0) = 0$.*

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{G}_1(u^0, 0) = 0$. We have already discussed the analyticity of $\mathcal{Z}_v^\rho, \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho$ in ρ in the paragraph below (5.4), and the analyticity of the coefficients a_s, a_v in (γ, ρ) follows from Lemma 5.1. Combining this with some routine symmetry arguments, we conclude that $\mathcal{G}$ is an analytic mapping $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ except for a potential singularity in $\mathcal{G}_2$ when $\rho = 0$, which we now rule out through a careful expansion.

Using the asymptotics for W from Lemma 5.1, we expand a_v^2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_v^2 &= \rho^2 |W_{0\zeta}(\rho\tau + i\beta) + \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \nu' + \rho^2 \partial_\zeta W_s(\rho\tau + i\beta)|^2 \\ &= |\rho W_{0\zeta}(\rho\tau + i\beta)|^2 + 2\rho \text{Re}(\overline{\rho W_{0\zeta}(\rho\tau + i\beta)} \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \nu') + |\rho \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \nu'|^2 + O(\rho^4) \\ &= \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi^2} - 2\rho \text{Re}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{\gamma\kappa}{4} + \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \nu'\right) \tau\right) \\ &\quad + \rho^2 \left[\frac{\kappa^2 \gamma^2}{16} + |\mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \nu'|^2 + 2 \text{Re}\left(\frac{\kappa\gamma}{4} \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \nu' + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau^2}{48} (1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta))\right) \right] + O(\rho^3) \\ &= \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi^2} - 2\rho \text{Re}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{\gamma\kappa}{4} + \mathcal{C}\nu'_0\right) \tau\right) + \rho^2 \left(\frac{\kappa^2 \gamma^2}{16} + |\mathcal{C}\nu'_0|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 2\rho^2 \text{Re}\left(-\frac{\gamma(\nu'_0)_1}{4\pi\beta} - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \tau \mathcal{C}\nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa\gamma}{4} \mathcal{C}\nu'_0 + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau^2}{48} (1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta)) \right) + O(\rho^3) \quad \text{in } C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.4) in deriving the last equality. From the forms of ν_0 and ν_1 given in (5.6) and (5.7), we have explicitly that

$$\tau \mathcal{C}\nu'_0(\tau) = -\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \cot(\pi\beta)\right) \frac{1}{\tau} = -\frac{\gamma\kappa}{4} \frac{1}{\tau}, \quad P_1 \nu'_0 = 0, \quad \tau \mathcal{C}\nu'_1(\tau) = \frac{\gamma\pi}{24i} (1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta)) \frac{1}{\tau^2}.$$

Therefore the above expansion for a_v^2 simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} a_v^2 &= \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi^2} - \rho \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma^2 \kappa}{2\pi i} \tau \right) + \rho^2 \frac{\kappa^2 \gamma^2}{8} \\ &\quad + \rho^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(-\frac{\kappa^2 \gamma^2 \tau^2}{8} + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau^2}{12} (1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta)) \right) + O(\rho^3) \quad \text{in } C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}). \end{aligned}$$

To ease the presentation, denote $g := f_\zeta^0 + \partial_\zeta f_s$. Then, looking at the parenthetical term in the definition of $\mathcal{G}_2$, and expanding in ρ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_v^2}{|f_\zeta^0 + \partial_\zeta f_s + \rho \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \mu'|^2} &= \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi^2 |g(i\beta)|^2} - \rho \left[\frac{\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma^2 \kappa}{2\pi i} \tau \right)}{|g(i\beta)|^2} + \frac{\gamma^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{g(i\beta)} (g_\zeta(i\beta) \tau + \mathcal{C} \mu') \right)}{2\pi^2 |g(i\beta)|^4} \right] \\ &\quad + \rho^2 \frac{\frac{\kappa^2 \gamma^2}{8} + \operatorname{Re} \left(-\frac{\kappa^2 \gamma^2 \tau^2}{8} + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau^2}{12} (1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta)) \right)}{|g(i\beta)|^2} \\ &\quad + \rho^2 \frac{2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma^2 \kappa}{2\pi i} \tau \right) \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{g(i\beta)} (g_\zeta(i\beta) \tau + \mathcal{C} \mu') \right)}{|g(i\beta)|^4} \\ &\quad - \rho^2 \frac{\gamma^2 |g_\zeta(i\beta) \tau + \mathcal{C} \mu'|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{g(i\beta)} \left(\frac{1}{2} g_\zeta(i\beta) \tau^2 - \frac{\widehat{(\mu')}_1}{2i\beta} \right) \right)}{4\pi^2 |g(i\beta)|^4} \\ &\quad + \rho^2 \frac{\gamma^2 4 \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{g(i\beta)} (g_\zeta(i\beta) \tau + \mathcal{C} \mu') \right) \right]^2}{4\pi^2 |g(i\beta)|^6} + \mathcal{R} \\ &=: \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi^2 |g(i\beta)|^2} + \rho \mathcal{B}_1 + \rho^2 \mathcal{B}_2 + \mathcal{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where the remainder term $\mathcal{R}$ is a real-analytic mapping $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$ that obeys

$$\mathcal{R} = O\left(\rho^3 + \rho^2 \|(f_s, \mu)\|_{\mathcal{X}} + \rho \|(f_s, \mu)\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2\right) \quad \text{in } C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Now, using the fact that f^0 satisfies (1.6), we expand the $O(\rho)$ terms $\mathcal{B}_1$ on the right-hand side and then rearrange to find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\rho} \left(\frac{a_v^2}{|f_\zeta^0 + \partial_\zeta f_s + \rho \mathcal{Z}_v^\rho \mu'|^2} - \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{|f_\zeta^0(i\beta) + \partial_\zeta f_s(i\beta)|^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{|f_\zeta^0(i\beta)|^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma^2 \kappa^0}{4\pi i} \tau \right)}{|f_\zeta^0(i\beta) + \partial_\zeta f_s(i\beta)|^4} - \frac{\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma^2 \kappa}{4\pi i} \tau \right)}{|f_\zeta^0(i\beta) + \partial_\zeta f_s(i\beta)|^2} - \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)} \mathcal{C} \mu' \right)}{|f_\zeta^0(i\beta) + \partial_\zeta f_s(i\beta)|^4} \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(\overline{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)} \partial_\zeta^2 f_s(i\beta) + \overline{\partial_\zeta f_s(i\beta)} f_{\zeta\zeta}^0(i\beta) \right) \tau \right)}{4\pi^2 |f_\zeta^0(i\beta) + \partial_\zeta f_s(i\beta)|^4} + \rho \frac{\mathcal{B}_2}{2} + \mathcal{Q}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

with $\mathcal{Q}$ being a new real-analytic mapping $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying the quadratic bound

$$\mathcal{Q} = O\left(\rho^2 + \|(f_s, \mu)\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2\right) \quad \text{in } C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}).$$

The right-hand side of (5.13) therefore constitutes a real-analytic mapping $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$ that vanishes when $(u, \rho) = (u^0, 0)$, which in turn implies the same is true of $\mathcal{G}_2$. The proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We are now ready to prove our main result on the existence of wave-borne hollow vortices. The majority of the work is done in the next lemma, which establishes that the invertibility of the linearized wave-borne hollow vortex system at $\rho = 0$ is equivalent to the invertibility of the corresponding linearized wave-borne point vortex system.

Lemma 5.3 (Non-degeneracy). *Suppose that $(w^0, \kappa^0, \beta) \in \mathcal{O}^0$ represents a wave-borne point vortex and let $u^0 = (0, 0, \gamma^0, 0) \in \mathcal{O}$. If $D_{(w, \kappa)} \mathcal{F}(w^0, \kappa^0, \beta)$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^0$, then the linearized operator $D_u \mathcal{G}(u^0, 0)$ for the wave-borne hollow vortex problem is likewise an isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.*

Proof. First consider the Bernoulli condition on the upper free boundary. By Lemma 5.1, we have the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} a_s^2 &= |W_{0\zeta}(\xi + i) + \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \nu' + \rho^2 \partial_\zeta W_s(\xi + i)|^2 \\ &= (a_s^0)^2 + 2(\gamma - \gamma^0) a_s^0 \partial_\gamma a_s^0 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{W_\zeta^0(\xi + i)} \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \nu' \right) + O(\rho^2 + (\gamma - \gamma^0)^2). \end{aligned}$$

in $C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$. Here, it is important to recall that $\mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \nu = O(\rho)$. Expanding the parenthetical quantity in (5.10), we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{aa_s^2}{|f_\zeta^0 + \partial_\zeta f_s + \rho \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho \nu'|^2} - \frac{(a_s^0)^2}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} \right) &= - \frac{\operatorname{Re} \left((a_s^0)^2 \overline{f_\zeta^0} \partial_\zeta f_s \right)}{|f_\zeta^0|^4} + (\gamma - \gamma^0) \frac{a_s^0 \partial_\gamma a_s^0}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{W_\zeta^0} \mathcal{Z}_s^\rho (\nu^0)' \right)}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} + \mathcal{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

with remainder term $\mathcal{R}$ that is real analytic $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1$ and satisfies

$$\mathcal{R} = O(\rho^2 + \|w_s\|_{\mathcal{W}}^2 + (\gamma - \gamma^0)^2) \quad \text{in } C^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}).$$

But, from (5.6) we see that $P_1 \nu'_0 = 0$, and hence by (5.3) we have that

$$D_u \mathcal{G}_1(u^0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_s \\ \dot{\mu} \\ \dot{\gamma} \\ \dot{Q} \end{pmatrix} = - \frac{\operatorname{Re} \left((a_s^0)^2 \overline{f_\zeta^0} \partial_\zeta \dot{f}_s \right)}{|f_\zeta^0|^4} + \dot{\kappa} \frac{a_s^0 \partial_\kappa a_s^0}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \dot{w}_s = D_{(w, \kappa)} \mathcal{F}_1(w^0, \gamma^0, \beta) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_s \\ \dot{\kappa} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.15)$$

where we are writing $\dot{f}_s$ to denote the corresponding holomorphic function on Ω with imaginary part $\dot{w}_s$, and

$$\dot{\kappa} := (\partial_\gamma \kappa)|_{\gamma^0} \dot{\gamma} = - \frac{(\kappa^0 - \cot(\pi\beta))^2}{4} \dot{\gamma} \quad (5.16)$$

represents the variation of κ at γ^0 by $\dot{\gamma}$.

Turning next to the Bernoulli condition on the vortex boundary, and recalling the asymptotic expansion (5.13), we infer that the linearized operator is

$$\begin{aligned} D_u \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_s \\ \dot{\mu} \\ \dot{\gamma} \\ \dot{Q} \end{pmatrix} &= - \frac{2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\gamma^0)^2 \kappa^0}{2\pi i} \tau \right) \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)} \partial_\zeta \dot{f}_s(i\beta) \right)}{|f_\zeta^0(i\beta)|^4} - \frac{\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\gamma^0)^2 \dot{\kappa}}{2\pi i} \tau \right)}{|f_\zeta^0(i\beta)|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\gamma^0)^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(\overline{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)} \partial_\zeta^2 \dot{f}_s(i\beta) + \overline{\partial_\zeta \dot{f}_s(i\beta)} f_{\zeta\zeta}^0(i\beta) \right) \tau \right)}{2\pi^2 |f_\zeta^0(i\beta)|^4} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\gamma^0)^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)} \mathcal{C} \dot{\mu}' \right)}{2\pi^2 |f_\zeta^0(i\beta)|^4} - \dot{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Note first that as a consequence of the symmetry assumptions, both $\partial_\zeta \dot{f}_s$ and f_ζ^0 are real on imaginary while $\partial_\zeta^2 \dot{f}_s$ and $f_{\zeta\zeta}^0$ are imaginary on imaginary. Using this and the point vortex condition satisfied by f^0 , we can simplify the above expression to

$$\begin{aligned} D_u \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_s \\ \dot{\mu} \\ \dot{\gamma} \\ \dot{Q} \end{pmatrix} &= -\frac{(\gamma^0)^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(\frac{f_\zeta^0(i\beta) \partial_\zeta^2 \dot{f}_s(i\beta) - f_{\zeta\zeta}^0(i\beta) \partial_\zeta \dot{f}_s(i\beta)}{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2} - \pi i \dot{\kappa} \right) \tau \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{(\gamma^0)^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^3} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{C} \dot{\mu}' - \dot{Q}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

We recognize the coefficient of τ in the first term on the right-hand side above as $D_{(w,\kappa)} \mathcal{F}_2(w^0, \gamma^0, \beta)$ acting on $(\dot{w}_s, \dot{\kappa})$. This suggests using an alternate decomposition for the codomain

$$\mathcal{Y} \cong (\mathcal{Y}_1 \times P_1 \mathcal{Y}_2) \times (1 - P_1) \mathcal{Y}_2 \cong \mathcal{Y}^0 \times (1 - P_1) \mathcal{Y}_2.$$

Here the isomorphism $\mathcal{Y}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1 \times P_1 \mathcal{Y}_2$ is given explicitly by $\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{id}, \iota_2)$, where ι_2 is the natural association between $\hat{\varphi}_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $2 \operatorname{Re}(i \hat{\varphi}_1 \cdot) \in P_1 \mathcal{Y}_2$. Combining this with (5.15) and (5.17) gives

$$D_u \mathcal{G}(u^0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_s \\ \dot{\mu} \\ \dot{\gamma} \\ \dot{Q} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \iota D_{(w,\kappa)} \mathcal{F}(w^0, \gamma^0, \beta) & 0 \\ 0 & D_{(\mu,Q)} \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_s \\ \dot{\kappa} \\ \dot{\mu} \\ \dot{Q} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\iota := \operatorname{diag} \left(\operatorname{id}, -\frac{(\gamma^0)^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2} \iota_2 \right).$$

The upper left block on the right-hand side above is invertible by hypothesis. The lower right block is likewise invertible $\hat{C}_{ii}^{k+3+\alpha} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{ri}^{k+2+\alpha}$. This follows from our calculation of $D_u \mathcal{G}_2$ in (5.17) and the symmetry assumptions, as

$$\operatorname{rng} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{C} \partial_\tau |_{\hat{C}_{ii}^{k+3+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})} = P_{>1} C_{ri}^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}), \quad \operatorname{rng} D_Q \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0) = P_0 C_{ri}^{k+2+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Thus, $D_u \mathcal{G}(u^0, 0)$ is indeed an isomorphism, and the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the global bifurcation curve given by Theorem 1.1. As a consequence of its construction, we know that there is discrete set $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}$ of parameter values such that

$$D_{(w,\kappa)} \mathcal{F}(w(s), \kappa(s), \beta(s)) \quad \text{is an isomorphism } \mathcal{X}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^0 \quad \text{for } s \notin \mathcal{N}.$$

In the language of analytic global bifurcation theory, the portions of the curve corresponding to parameter values in connected components of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ are called *distinguished arcs* [2]. For any $s_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, the existence of a local (real-analytic) curve of wave-borne hollow vortices $\mathcal{H}_{s_0}$ then follows directly from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 and the (real-analytic) implicit function theorem.

It remains to calculate the leading-order asymptotics (1.11). Writing $u^\rho = u^0 + \rho \dot{u} + O(\rho^2)$, we see that

$$D_u \mathcal{G}_1(u^0, 0) \dot{u} = -D_\rho \mathcal{G}_1(u^0, 0), \quad D_u \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0) \dot{u} = -D_\rho \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0).$$

The formulas for $D_u \mathcal{G}_1(u^0, 0)$ and $D_u \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0)$ are given in (5.15) and (5.17) respectively. From (5.14) and (5.6) it follows that $D_\rho \mathcal{G}_1(u^0, 0) = 0$. Therefore we obtain

$$-\frac{\operatorname{Re}((a^0)^2 \overline{f_\zeta^0} \partial_\zeta \dot{f}_s)}{|f_\zeta^0|^4} + \dot{\kappa} \frac{a^0 \partial_\kappa a^0}{|f_\zeta^0|^2} + \frac{1}{F^2} \dot{w}_s = 0. \quad (5.18)$$

To compute $D_\rho \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0)$ we will use the expansion (5.13), and the fact that $f^0, f_{\zeta\zeta}^0$ are imaginary on imaginary axis, while f_ζ^0 and $f_{\zeta\zeta\zeta}^0$ are real on imaginary axis. Direct computation yields that

$$D_\rho \mathcal{G}_2(u^0, 0) = -\frac{(\kappa^0)^2(\gamma^0)^2}{16f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2} + (\gamma^0)^2 \left[\frac{(1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta))}{24f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2} - \frac{(\kappa^0)^2(\gamma^0)^2}{16f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2} - \frac{f_{\zeta\zeta\zeta}^0(i\beta)}{8\pi^2 f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^3} \right] \operatorname{Re} \tau^2.$$

Comparing this with (5.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Q} &= -\frac{(\kappa^0)^2(\gamma^0)^2}{16f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2}, \\ \pi i \dot{\kappa} &= \frac{f_\zeta^0(i\beta) \partial_\zeta^2 \dot{f}_s(i\beta) - f_{\zeta\zeta}^0(i\beta) \partial_\zeta \dot{f}_s(i\beta)}{f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2}, \\ \frac{(\gamma^0)^2}{2\pi^2 f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^3} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{C} \dot{\mu}' &= (\gamma^0)^2 \left[\frac{(1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta))}{24f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2} - \frac{(\kappa^0)^2(\gamma^0)^2}{16f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^2} - \frac{f_{\zeta\zeta\zeta}^0(i\beta)}{8\pi^2 f_\zeta^0(i\beta)^3} \right] \operatorname{Re} \tau^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Local uniqueness of solutions to (5.18) and the second equation of (5.19) implies that $\dot{f}_s = 0$ and $\dot{\kappa} = 0$, hence $\dot{\gamma} = 0$ due to (5.16). Together with the first equation of (5.19) we obtain (1.11b). Finally, solving the third equation of (5.19) we have

$$\dot{\mu} = \left[\pi^2 f_\zeta^0(i\beta) \left(\frac{1 - 3 \csc^2(\pi\beta)}{6} - \frac{(\kappa^0)^2}{4} \right) - \frac{f_{\zeta\zeta\zeta}^0(i\beta)}{2} \right] \operatorname{Re} \tau,$$

which yields the second equality in (1.11a). $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research of RMC is supported in part by the NSF through DMS-1907584 and DMS-2205910. The research of SW is supported in part by the NSF through DMS-1812436 and DMS-2306243, and the Simons Foundation through award 960210. The authors are also grateful to the Institut Mittag-Leffler, where a portion of this research was undertaken while KV, SW, and MHW were in residence as participants in the program “Order and Randomness in Partial Differential Equations.”

APPENDIX A. QUOTED RESULTS

First, let us recall the maximum principle and Hopf boundary-point lemma, which we use extensively in studying the monotonicity properties of the waves in Sections 2.3 and 3.1. In particular, note that we are using the version that allows for an adverse sign of the zeroth order term provided that the sign of the solution is known; see, for example, [24, 25, Lemma S, 49, Lemma 1].

Theorem A.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a connected, open set (possibly unbounded), and consider the second-order operator L given by*

$$L := \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \partial_i + c(x)$$

where $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$ and the coefficients $a_{ij}, b_i, c \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. We assume that L is uniformly elliptic in the sense that there exists $\lambda > 0$ with

$$\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \lambda |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

and that a_{ij} is symmetric. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be a classical solution of $Lu = 0$ in Ω .

- (i) (Strong maximum principle) Suppose u attains its maximum value on $\overline{\Omega}$ at a point in the interior of Ω . If $c \leq 0$ in Ω and $\sup_\Omega u \geq 0$, or if $\sup_\Omega u = 0$, then u is a constant function.

- (ii) (Hopf boundary-point lemma) *Suppose that u attains its maximum value on $\overline{\Omega}$ at a point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ for which there exists an open ball $B \subset \Omega$ with $\overline{B} \cap \partial\Omega = \{x_0\}$. Assume that either $c \leq 0$ in Ω , or else $\sup_B u = 0$. Then u is a constant function or*

$$\nu \cdot \nabla u(x_0) > 0,$$

where ν is the outward unit normal to Ω at x_0 .

In order to extend the local curve of wave-borne point vortices to the large-amplitude regime, we use analytic global bifurcation theory, which was introduced by Dancer [17, 18] in the late 1970s, and then refined and popularized, particularly in the water waves community, by Buffoni and Toland [2]. These results were developed with an eye towards problems on bounded domains, and thus took as hypotheses that the nonlinear operator is Fredholm index 0 and that the solution set is locally compact. For solitary waves, neither of these facts can be taken for granted. We therefore prefer to use the below version, which follows the philosophy in [6]: for problems posed on unbounded domains, the loss of compactness should be treated as an alternative. For the present purposes, it is also more convenient to phrase the results as a global implicit function theorem. A proof can be found in [8, Theorem B.1].

Theorem A.2. *Let $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ be Banach spaces, $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{R}$ an open set containing a point (w_0, λ_0) . Suppose that $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is real analytic and satisfies*

$$\mathcal{G}(w_0, \lambda_0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{G}_w(w_0, \lambda_0): \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

Then there exist a curve $\mathcal{K}$ that admits the global C^0 parameterization

$$\mathcal{K} := \{(w(s), \lambda(s)) : s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{G}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{U},$$

and satisfies the following.

- (a) *At each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the linearized operator $\mathcal{G}_w(w(s), \lambda(s)): \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is Fredholm index 0.*
- (b) *One of the following alternatives holds as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $s \rightarrow -\infty$.*
- (A1') (Blowup) *The quantity*

$$N(s) := \|w(s)\|_{\mathcal{W}} + |\lambda(s)| + \frac{1}{\text{dist}((w(s), \lambda(s)), \partial\mathcal{U})}$$

is unbounded.

- (A2') (Loss of compactness) *There exists a sequence $s_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ with $\sup_n N(s_n) < \infty$, but $(w(s_n), \lambda(s_n))$ has no convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{R}$.*
- (A3') (Loss of Fredholmness) *There exists a sequence $s_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ with $\sup_n N(s_n) < \infty$ and so that $(w(s_n), \lambda(s_n)) \rightarrow (w_*, \lambda_*) \in \mathcal{U}$ in $\mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{R}$, however $\mathcal{G}_w(w_*, \lambda_*)$ is not Fredholm index 0.*
- (A4') (Closed loop) *There exists $T > 0$ such that $(w(s+T), \lambda(s+T)) = (w(s), \lambda(s))$ for all $s \in (0, \infty)$.*

- (c) *Near each point $(w(s_0), \lambda(s_0)) \in \mathcal{K}$, we can locally reparametrize $\mathcal{K}$ so that $s \mapsto (w(s), \lambda(s))$ is real analytic.*
- (d) *The curve $\mathcal{K}$ is maximal in the sense that, if $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{G}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{U}$ is a locally real-analytic curve containing (w_0, λ_0) and along which $\mathcal{G}_w$ is Fredholm index 0, then $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{K}$.*

Finally, we make use of the following standard bordering lemma. A proof can be found, for example, in [1, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma A.3 (Fredholm bordering). *Let $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ be Banach spaces and suppose that $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, $\mathcal{B}: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, $\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\mathcal{D}: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are bounded linear mappings. If, in addition, $\mathcal{A}$ is Fredholm index k , then the operator matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & \mathcal{D} \end{pmatrix}: \mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

is Fredholm with index $k + m - n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] W.-J. Beyn, “The numerical computation of connecting orbits in dynamical systems,” *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 379–405, 1990. DOI: [10/fjkhp](#) (cit. on p. 35).
- [2] B. Buffoni and J. F. Toland, *Analytic theory of global bifurcation* (Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003, x+169 pp., ISBN: 0-691-11298-3. DOI: [10/gtnbsb](#) (cit. on pp. 33, 35).
- [3] R. B. Burckel, *An introduction to classical complex analysis. Vol. 1* (Pure and Applied Mathematics). Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1979, vol. 82, 570 pp., ISBN: 0-12-141701-8. DOI: [10/bxk8nt](#) (cit. on p. 21).
- [4] F. G. J. D. de Carvalho, “Gravity water waves over constant vorticity flows: From laminar flows to touching waves,” M.S. thesis, Lund University, 2023. URL: <http://lup.lub.lu.se/student-papers/record/9135526> (cit. on pp. 6, 7).
- [5] R. M. Chen, S. Walsh, and M. H. Wheeler, “Desingularization and global continuation for hollow vortices,” 2023, Preprint. DOI: [10/gtnbsf](#) (cit. on pp. 4, 8, 9, 26).
- [6] —, “Existence and qualitative theory for stratified solitary water waves,” *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 517–576, 2018. DOI: [10/gtnbsc](#) (cit. on pp. 9, 17, 18, 35).
- [7] —, “Existence, nonexistence, and asymptotics of deep water solitary waves with localized vorticity,” *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, vol. 234, no. 2, pp. 595–633, 2019. DOI: [10/gtnbsd](#) (cit. on p. 1).
- [8] —, “Global bifurcation for monotone fronts of elliptic equations,” *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 2023, Preprint, to appear. DOI: [10/gtnbsg](#) (cit. on pp. 6, 19, 35).
- [9] A. Constantin, W. Strauss, and E. Vărvărucă, “Global bifurcation of steady gravity water waves with critical layers,” *Acta Math.*, vol. 217, no. 2, pp. 195–262, 2016. DOI: [10/gtnbsh](#) (cit. on p. 6).
- [10] D. Córdoba and E. D. Iorio, “Existence of gravity-capillary Crapper waves with concentrated vorticity,” 2021, Preprint. DOI: [10/mq86](#) (cit. on p. 1).
- [11] W. Craig and P. Sternberg, “Symmetry of solitary waves,” *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 603–633, 1988. DOI: [10/brhcd8](#) (cit. on pp. 17, 19).
- [12] D. G. Crowdy, “Exact solutions for steadily travelling water waves with submerged point vortices,” *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 954, A47, 2023. DOI: [10/gtnbsk](#) (cit. on pp. 1, 6, 7, 9, 24, 25).
- [13] —, *Solving problems in multiply connected domains* (CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics). Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2020, vol. 97, xxii+434 pp., ISBN: 978-1-611976-14-4. DOI: [10/gtnbsj](#) (cit. on p. 22).
- [14] D. G. Crowdy, S. G. Llewellyn Smith, and D. V. Freilich, “Translating hollow vortex pairs,” *Eur. J. Mech. B Fluids*, vol. 37, pp. 180–186, 2013. DOI: [10/f4hrh5](#) (cit. on p. 8).
- [15] D. G. Crowdy and R. Nelson, “Steady interaction of a vortex street with a shear flow,” *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 22, no. 9, 096601, 2010. DOI: [10/cb94sk](#) (cit. on p. 7).
- [16] D. G. Crowdy and J. Roenby, “Hollow vortices, capillary water waves and double quadrature domains,” *Fluid Dyn. Res.*, vol. 46, no. 3, 031424, 2014. DOI: [10/gtnbsm](#) (cit. on pp. 1, 7).
- [17] E. N. Dancer, “Bifurcation theory for analytic operators,” *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, vol. 26, pp. 359–384, 1973. DOI: [10/fprqj7](#) (cit. on p. 35).
- [18] —, “Global structure of the solutions of non-linear real analytic eigenvalue problems,” *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, vol. 27, pp. 747–765, 1973. DOI: [10/fjnr3t](#) (cit. on p. 35).
- [19] A. Doak and J.-M. Vanden-Broeck, “Solitary gravity waves and free surface flows past a point vortex,” *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 821–835, 2017. DOI: [10/gbstdn](#) (cit. on p. 6).
- [20] D. H. Douglas and T. K. Peucker, “Algorithms for the reduction of the number of points required to represent a digitized line or its caricature,” *Cartographica*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 112–122, 1973. DOI: [10/ch8ss5](#) (cit. on p. 25).
- [21] M. Ehrnström, S. Walsh, and C. Zeng, “Smooth stationary water waves with exponentially localized vorticity,” *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1045–1090, 2023. DOI: [10/gtnbsp](#) (cit. on p. 1).
- [22] I. G. Filippov, “On the motion of a vortex below the surface of a liquid,” *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, vol. 25, pp. 357–365, 1961. DOI: [10/c6rzcf](#) (cit. on p. 7).
- [23] —, “Solution of the problem of the motion of a vortex under the surface of a fluid, for Froude numbers near unity,” *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, vol. 24, pp. 698–716, 1960. DOI: [10/fr3qn9](#) (cit. on p. 7).

- [24] L. E. Fraenkel, *An introduction to maximum principles and symmetry in elliptic problems* (Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000, vol. 128, x+340 pp., ISBN: 0-521-46195-2. DOI: [10/dfb4dt](#) (cit. on p. 34).
- [25] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni, and L. Nirenberg, “Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle,” *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 209–243, 1979. DOI: [10/bpf462](#) (cit. on p. 34).
- [26] C. C. Green, “Analytical solutions for two hollow vortex configurations in an infinite channel,” *Eur. J. Mech. B Fluids*, vol. 54, pp. 69–81, 2015. DOI: [10/gtnbsq](#) (cit. on p. 8).
- [27] M. I. Gurevich, “Vortex near a free surface,” *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, vol. 27, pp. 1370–1376, 1964. DOI: [10/cx9p2c](#) (cit. on p. 7).
- [28] S. V. Haziot, “Stratified large-amplitude steady periodic water waves with critical layers,” *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 381, no. 2, pp. 765–797, 2021. DOI: [10/gtnbsr](#) (cit. on p. 6).
- [29] S. V. Haziot, V. M. Hur, W. Strauss, J. F. Toland, E. Wahlén, S. Walsh, and M. H. Wheeler, “Traveling water waves—the ebb and flow of two centuries,” *Quart. Appl. Math.*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 317–401, 2022. DOI: [10/gqf4z3](#) (cit. on p. 1).
- [30] S. V. Haziot and M. H. Wheeler, “Large-amplitude steady solitary water waves with constant vorticity,” *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, vol. 247, no. 2, 27, 2023. DOI: [10/gtnbss](#) (cit. on pp. 6, 21).
- [31] V. M. Hur and M. H. Wheeler, “Exact free surfaces in constant vorticity flows,” *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 896, R1, 2020. DOI: [10/gg3qxs](#) (cit. on pp. 6, 7).
- [32] —, “Overhanging and touching waves in constant vorticity flows,” *J. Differential Equations*, vol. 338, pp. 572–590, 2022. DOI: [10/gtnbst](#) (cit. on pp. 6, 7).
- [33] G. Keady and W. G. Pritchard, “Bounds for surface solitary waves,” *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, vol. 76, pp. 345–358, 1974. DOI: [10/c86cg8](#) (cit. on p. 20).
- [34] J. S. Keeler and D. G. Crowdy, “Exact solutions for submerged von Kármán point vortex streets cotravelling with a wave on a linear shear current,” *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 969, A5, 2023. DOI: [10/gtnbsv](#) (cit. on pp. 1, 7).
- [35] V. Kozlov, N. Kuznetsov, and E. Lokharu, “Solitary waves on constant vorticity flows with an interior stagnation point,” *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 904, A4, 2020. DOI: [10/gjbntf](#) (cit. on p. 24).
- [36] V. Kozlov, E. Lokharu, and M. H. Wheeler, “Nonexistence of subcritical solitary waves,” *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, vol. 241, no. 1, pp. 535–552, 2021. DOI: [10/gj6zhd](#) (cit. on p. 20).
- [37] H. Lamb, *Hydrodynamics* (Cambridge Mathematical Library), 6th ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993, xxvi+738 pp., ISBN: 0-521-45868-4 (cit. on p. 18).
- [38] D. F. Lawden, *Elliptic functions and applications* (Applied Mathematical Sciences). Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, vol. 80, xiv+334 pp., ISBN: 0-387-96965-9. DOI: [10/gtnbsw](#) (cit. on pp. 22, 23).
- [39] H. Le, “On the existence and instability of solitary water waves with a finite dipole,” *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 4074–4104, 2019. DOI: [10/gtnbsx](#) (cit. on p. 1).
- [40] G. M. Lieberman, “Two-dimensional nonlinear boundary value problems for elliptic equations,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 300, no. 1, pp. 287–295, 1987. DOI: [10/bbpn5c](#) (cit. on p. 20).
- [41] J. López-Gómez, “Classifying smooth supersolutions for a general class of elliptic boundary value problems,” *Adv. Differential Equations*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 1025–1042, 2003. DOI: [10/gtnbsz](#) (cit. on p. 12).
- [42] Y. L. Luke, *The special functions and their approximations, Volume I* (Mathematics in Science and Engineering). Academic Press, New York-London, 1969, vol. 53, xx+349 pp. (cit. on p. 13).
- [43] F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of Fourier transforms and Fourier transforms of distributions*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, viii+259 pp., ISBN: 3-540-50630-6. DOI: [10/fzhcc2](#) (cit. on p. 13).
- [44] F. Oberhettinger, *Fourier expansions. A collection of formulas*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1973, xi+64 pp. DOI: [10/gtnbs2](#) (cit. on pp. 13, 23).
- [45] H. C. Pocklington, “The configuration of a pair of equal and opposite hollow straight vortices of finite cross section moving steadily through fluid,” *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, vol. 8, pp. 178–187, 1894 (cit. on p. 8).
- [46] A. P. Prudnikov, Y. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and series, Volume 1*. Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1986, 798 pp., ISBN: 2-88124-097-6 (cit. on p. 13).
- [47] D. I. Pullin and R. H. J. Grimshaw, “Finite-amplitude solitary waves at the interface between two homogeneous fluids,” *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 3550–3559, 1988. DOI: [10/dgvzmk](#) (cit. on p. 6).
- [48] L. Rayleigh, “On the theory of long waves and bores,” English, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A.*, vol. 90, pp. 324–328, 1914. DOI: [10/cx5px5](#) (cit. on p. 18).

- [49] J. Serrin, “A symmetry problem in potential theory,” *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, vol. 43, pp. 304–318, 1971. DOI: [10/d5rx34](#) (cit. on p. 34).
- [50] J. Shatah, S. Walsh, and C. Zeng, “Travelling water waves with compactly supported vorticity,” *Nonlinearity*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1529–1564, 2013. DOI: [10/gtnbs3](#) (cit. on pp. 1, 6).
- [51] S. J. N. Shaw, “A note on the potential vortex in a wall jet,” *Quart. Appl. Math.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 351–356, 1972. DOI: [10/gtnbs4](#) (cit. on p. 7).
- [52] V. P. Starr, “Momentum and energy integrals for gravity waves of finite height,” *J. Mar. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 175–193, 1947. URL: https://elischolar.library.yale.edu/journal_of_marine_research/635 (cit. on p. 20).
- [53] A. F. Teles da Silva and D. H. Peregrine, “Steep, steady surface waves on water of finite depth with constant vorticity,” *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 195, pp. 281–302, 1988. DOI: [10/bn5jmf](#) (cit. on p. 6).
- [54] A. M. Ter-Krikorov, “Exact solution of the problem of the motion of a vortex under the surface of a liquid,” *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, vol. 22, pp. 177–200, 1958. URL: <https://mathnet.ru/im3964> (cit. on p. 7).
- [55] R. E. L. Turner and J.-M. Vanden-Broeck, “Broadening of interfacial solitary waves,” *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 2486–2490, 1988. DOI: [10/cvz98z](#) (cit. on p. 6).
- [56] K. Varholm, “Solitary gravity-capillary water waves with point vortices,” *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 3927–3959, 2016. DOI: [10/gtnbs5](#) (cit. on pp. 1, 6, 24).
- [57] K. Varholm, E. Wahlén, and S. Walsh, “On the stability of solitary water waves with a point vortex,” *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 73, no. 12, pp. 2634–2684, 2020. DOI: [10/gjdm6t](#) (cit. on p. 1).
- [58] E. Wahlén, “Steady water waves with a critical layer,” *J. Differential Equations*, vol. 246, no. 6, pp. 2468–2483, 2009. DOI: [10/cfz64p](#) (cit. on p. 24).
- [59] E. Wahlén and J. Weber, “Large-amplitude steady gravity water waves with general vorticity and critical layers,” *Duke Math. J.*, 2022, Preprint, to appear. DOI: [10/gr6phs](#) (cit. on p. 6).
- [60] M. H. Wheeler, “Large-amplitude solitary water waves with vorticity,” *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 2937–2994, 2013. DOI: [10/gtnbs6](#) (cit. on p. 19).
- [61] —, “Solitary water waves of large amplitude generated by surface pressure,” *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, vol. 218, no. 2, pp. 1131–1187, 2015. DOI: [10/gtnbs7](#) (cit. on p. 12).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260
 Email address: mingchen@pitt.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 7491, TRONDHEIM, NORWAY
 Email address: kristoffer.varholm@ntnu.no

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211
 Email address: walshsa@missouri.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM
 Email address: mw2319@bath.ac.uk