# INTEGERS THAT ARE NOT THE SUM OF POSITIVE POWERS

BRENNAN BENFIELD AND OLIVER LIPPARD

ABSTRACT. Exactly which positive integers cannot be expressed as the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers? This paper utilizes theoretical and computational techniques to answer this question for  $k \leq 9$ . Results from Waring's problem are used throughout to catalogue the sets of such integers. These sets are then considered in a general setting, and several curious properties are established.

Mathematics Subject Classifications. 11P05, 11D09, 11D25, 11P81, 11Y50 Keywords. Waring's problem, quadratic and cubic Diophantine equations, partitions

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Diophantus asked if every positive integer could be written as the sum of at most four perfect squares, which was famously proven by Lagrange [47] in 1770. Waring [89] quickly proposed the generalization: denote by g(k) the least number s such that every positive integer is the sum of at most s positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. In this notation, Lagrange proved that g(2) = 4. Waring initially conjectured that  $g(3) \leq 9$  and  $g(4) \leq 19$ , and in general that  $g(k) < \infty$ . That g(k) is finite for all k was settled by Hilbert [39] in 1932. Knowing there are only finitely many positive integers that are *not* expressible as the sum of positive  $k^{\text{th}}$ powers begs the question: Exactly which positive integers *cannot* be written as the sum of exactly j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers?

This paper establishes the answer to this question for  $3 \le k \le 9$  and for sufficiently large j. The case for k = 2 has all but been settled. Further, this paper examines various properties of the sets of positive integers that are not expressible as the sum of exactly j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$ powers. The paper proceeds as follows:

- Section 2 provides preliminary (but necessary for later proofs) information on Waring's Problem, on g(k) and G(k), and summarizes the known results for k = 2.
- Section 3 establishes new results concerning exactly which positive integers cannot be expressed as the sum of precisely j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers for  $3 \le k \le 9$ .
- Section 4 extrapolates and generalizes the patterns found in Section 3, establishing new (and rather surprising) relationships among sets of integers that have no expression as the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers.
- The remaining Sections prove the results in Sections 3 and 4 through various combinatorial and computational methods.

## 2. Background Information

Small values of g(k) are known; notably Euler's son, J. A. Euler, [28] is credited with the current lower bound:  $2^k - \lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \rfloor - 2 \leq g(k)$  which has been verified for  $6 \leq k \leq 471600000$ 

The authors extend their utmost appreciation and gratitude to Arindam Roy for his guidance throughout this project.

[46, 71]. The conjecture is that this lower bound is actually the explicit formula for g(k) and has all but been proven (see Kubina & Wunderlich [46] Mahler [50], Niven [53], Pillai [56], etc.) The sequence given by g(k) as k increases, begins [61]:

$$g(k) = 1, 4, 9, 19, 37, 73, 143, 279, \dots$$
 for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

Denote by G(k) the least number s such that every sufficiently large positive integer is the sum of at most s positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. The current understanding of G(k) is much less complete than of g(k). The only two known values are G(2) = 4 (Lagrange in 1770 [47]) and G(4) = 16 (Davenport in 1939 [16]), though upper and lower bounds exist for several small values:

$$4 \le G(3) \le 7, \quad 6 \le G(5) \le 17, \quad 9 \le G(6) \le 24, \quad 8 \le G(7) \le 33, \quad 32 \le G(8) \le 42,$$

et cetera. In general, explicit upper bounds for G(k) have been established, first in 1919 by Hardy and Littlewood [38] who showed that  $G(k) \leq (k-2) \cdot 2^{k-1} + 5$  using their newly developed circle method. Subsequently, the work of Vinogradov [79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88], Tong [74], Chen [13], Karatsuba [42], Vaughan [75], and Wooley [93], to name a few, led to the recent publication in 2022 by Brüdern & Wooley [11] that

$$G(k) \le \lceil k(\log k + 4.20032) \rceil.$$

Lagrange [47] managed to show that G(2) = 4 despite the fact that there are infinitely many positive integers that cannot be expressed as the sum of four positive squares:

OEIS A000534 [60]: 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14, 17, 24, 29, 32, 41, 56, 96, 128, 224, 384, 512, 896, ...

Lagrange accomplished his proof only because every member of this list is a sum of 1 or 2 or 3 positive squares. The complete list of such positive integers was conjectured in 1638 by Descartes [18] and proven in 1911 by Dubouis [31]. Grosswald [35], elegantly summarizes the result: define the set  $\mathbf{B}^2 = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13\}$  and denote by  $\mathbf{B}_j^k$  the set of positive integers that are not the sum of exactly j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers.

**Theorem 2.1** (Dubouis). Every positive integer n is the sum of 4 nonzero squares provided n > 3 and  $n \neq 4 + b$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^2 \cup \{25, 37\}$  and  $n \neq 2 * 4^{\alpha}, 6 * 4^{\alpha}, 14 * 4^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

Note that there are infinitely many integers that are not the sum of 4 positive squares. This is likewise true for sums of 3 and 2 positive square (and obviously 1 positive square). Grosswald [35] determines the set of positive integers that are not the sum of 2 positive squares. Let

$$n_1 = \prod_{p_i \equiv 1 \mod 4} p_i \qquad \qquad n_2 = \prod_{p_i \equiv 3 \mod 4} p_i$$

for primes  $p_i$  where i = 1, 2, ... It is well known [12] that a positive integer n is the sum of two positive squares if and only if  $n = 2^{\alpha} n_1 n_2^2$  where  $\alpha \ge 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Grosswald [35]). Let  $n = 2^{\alpha} n_1 n_2^2$  where  $\alpha \ge 0$ . Then *n* has a representation as the sum of two positive squares unless  $n_1 = 1$ 

The complete list of which positive integers cannot be written as the sum of j positive squares would need to include j = 3. Unfortunately, this case is still open and depends on determining all discriminants of binary, positive definite quadratic forms with exactly one class in each genus (see Chowla [15]), but there are several known results - notably the work of Hurwitz [40] and Pall [55]. Synthesizing the contemporary knowledge, Grosswald, Calloway, & Calloway [36] establish which integers can be expressed as the sum of 3 positive squares. Define the set **M** as the set of positive integers of the form  $n = 4^{\alpha}(8m + 7)$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (Grosswald, Calloway, & Calloway[36]). There exists a finite set **T** such that every integer  $n \notin \mathbf{M}$  and not of the form  $n = 4^{\alpha}t$  for  $t \in \mathbf{T}$  can be expressed as the sum of three positive squares.

Where the conjectured set  $\mathbf{T} = \{1, 2, 5, 10, 13, 25, 37, 58, 85, 130\}$  [36]. Weinberger [91] showed that the set  $\mathbf{T}$  may contain at most one more element, which must be greater than  $5 \cdot 10^{10}$ .

For  $j \geq 5$ , the situation is much different - there are only finitely many integers that cannot be written as the sum of j positive squares. This seems like a consequence of Hilbert's [39] result, that  $g(k) < \infty$  (and note that  $G(k) \leq g(k)$ ), but recall there are infinitely many positive integers that are not the sum of 4 positive squares, yet G(2) = 4. Determining precisely which integers are not the sum of  $j \geq 5$  positive squares was accomplished by Dubouis [31], Niven [52, 54] and Pall [55]

**Theorem 2.4** (Dubouis, Niven, Pall). Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 6$  nonzero perfect squares provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^2$ . For j = 5, the result holds for  $\mathbf{B}^2 \cup \{28\}$ .

This completes the picture for perfect squares, but what happens for higher powers? The understanding of precisely which positive integers cannot be expressed as the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers is not nearly so complete for k > 2. This paper makes use of results from Waring's problem and Grosswald's technique for squares in an effort to determine precisely which positive integers are not the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers.

Denote by  $\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}$  the set of positive integers that cannot be expressed as the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. For example,

$$\mathbf{B}_5^2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup \{6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 18, 33\}, \quad \mathbf{B}_6^2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \cup \{7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16, 19\}$$

Denote by  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}}$  the set of positive integers that can be appended to  $\mathbf{B}^{k}$  such that every positive integer n is the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers provided n > j-1 and  $n \neq j+\beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^{k} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}}$ . For example,  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{5}^{2}} = \{28\}$ , and  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{6}^{2}} = \emptyset$ . In other words,

$$\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k} = \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\} \cup \{j+\beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{k} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}}\}$$

**Conjecture 2.5.** For every  $k \ge 2$ , there exists an index J' such that for all  $j \ge J'$ , all but finitely many positive integers are the sum of exactly j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers.

Again, Conjecture 2.5 seems obvious from Hilbert's [39] result that  $G(l) \leq g(k) < \infty$ , but recall that G(2) = 4 even though there are infinitely many positive integers that are not the sum of 4 positive squares. It is evident that  $G(k) \leq J'$  but equality does not necessarily follow for all k.

**Conjecture 2.6.** For every  $k \ge 2$ , there exists an index  $J^*$  and a finite set  $\mathbf{B}^k$  such that every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge J^*$  positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^k$ .

**Conjecture 2.7.** For  $J' \leq j < J^*$ , there exists a finite, nonempty set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k}$  such that every positive integer n is the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \neq j + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^k \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k}$ .

## 3. Results for $3 \le k \le 9$

3.1. Positive Cubes. What positive integers cannot be written as the sum of exactly j positive cubes? Waring's original conjecture, that g(3) = 9 [89], was proven true by Weiferich [92] and Kempner [44] in the early twentieth century. Work by Landau [48], Baer [2], and Dickson [29, 26] proved a conjecture by Jacobi in 1851 [41] that  $G(3) \leq 8$  where every positive integer other than 23 and 239 can be expressed as the sum of at most 8 positive cubes. Jacobi further conjectured that  $G(3) \leq 7$ , and calculated the set  $\mathbf{B}_7^3$ . Subsequent progress was made by Linnik [49], Watson [90], McCurley [51], Ramaré [57], Bertault [8], Boklan & Elkies [9], and eventually Siksek [59], who finally proved Jacobi's conjecture.

Theorem 3.1 (Jacobi - Siksek). Every positive integer other than

15, 22, 23, 50, 114, 167, 175, 186, 212, 231, 238, 239, 303, 364, 420, 428, 454

can be expressed as the sum of at most 7 positive cubes.

Define the set

$$\mathbf{B}^{3} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, \\ 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48, 50, 51, 53, 55, 57, \\ 58, 60, 62, 64, 65, 67, 69, 71, 72, 74, 76, 79, 81, 83, 86, 88, 90, 93, 95, 97, \\ 100, 102, 107, 109, 114, 116, 121, 123, 128, 135, 142, 149\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 14$  positive cubes provided n > j-1 and  $n \ne j + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^3$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** For j = 13, 12, 11, 10 and 9, every positive integer n is the sum of j positive cubes provided n > j - 1 and  $n \neq j + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}_j^3 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^3}$  where:

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}_{13}^3} = \{212\}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}_{12}^3} = \{186, 205, 212\}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}_{11}^3} = \{160, 179, 186, 198, 205, 212, 310\}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}_{10}^3} = \{153, 160, 172, 179, 186, 191, 198, 205, 212, 247, 284, 303, 310, 364\}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}_{9}^3} = \{153, 160, 165, 172, 179, 184, 186, 191, 198, 205, 212, 221, 238, 240, 247, 258, 277, 284, 296, 301, 303, 310, 338, 357, 364, 413, 462\}$$

In fact, for j = 11, 10 and 9, the sets  $\mathbf{B}_j^3$  are conjectured in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) [70], sequences A332111 [69], A332110 [68], and A332109 [67], respectively. For j = 8, 7, and 6, there are further conjectures in the OEIS regarding which positive integers are not the sum of j positive cubes. The following conjecture rewords these entries in the OEIS in terms of the notation established previously.

**Conjecture 3.4** (OEIS A332107 [66], A332108 [65], A057907 [64]). For j = 8, 7 and 6, every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 14$  positive cubes provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}_j^3 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^3}$  where:

 284, 289, 294, 296, 301, 303, 308, 310, 312, 331, 338, 350, 357, 364, 373, 387, 399, 406, 413, 436, 455, 462, 612

 $\mathbf{B}_7^3 = \{56, 75, 82, 94, 101, 108, 112, 113, 119, 120, 127, 132, 134, 139, 146, 151, 153, 158, 160, 165, 168, 169, 170, 172, 175, 177, 179, 184, 186, 188, 191, 195, 198, 205, 206, 207, 212, 214, 221, 224, 225, 226, 231, 232, 233, 238, 240, 244, 245, 247, 249, 251, 258, 263, 268, 270, 275, 277, 282, 284, 286, 287, 289, 294, 296, 301, 303, 305, 308, 310, 312, 323, 324, 331, 336, 338, 343, 347, 350, 357, 361, 364, 366, 373, 380, 387, 392, 397, 399, 406, 410, 413, 421, 429, 432, 436, 447, 448, 455, 462, 485, 488, 495, 504, 523, 549, 579, 586, 605, 612, 636, 914, 940, 947, 970, 996, 1015, 1071, 1087, 1357, 1402, 1572, 1575, 1789, 2023, 2375, 2401 \}$ 

134, 139, 143, 144, 146, 151, 153, 158, 160, 161, 162, 163, 165, 168, 169, 170, 172, 175, 177,179, 180, 181, 182, 184, 186, 188, 191, 195, 198, 199, 200, 205, 206, 207, 212, 214, 217, 218219, 221, 223, 224, 225, 226, 231, 232, 233, 237, 238, 240, 242, 244, 245, 247, 249, 251, 256, 258, 260, 261, 263, 268, 270, 273, 275, 277, 279, 280, 282, 284, 286, 287, 289, 294, 296, 297, 298, 301, 303, 305, 308, 310, 312, 316, 317, 321, 323, 324, 329, 331, 334, 335, 336, 338, 340343, 347, 350, 354, 357, 358, 359, 361, 364, 366, 369, 371, 373, 380, 384, 385, 387, 390, 392395, 397, 399, 403, 406, 410, 413, 414, 421, 422, 425, 429, 432, 436, 440, 441, 447, 448, 455, 459, 460, 462, 469, 476, 478, 481, 485, 486, 488, 495, 497, 502, 504, 512, 516, 521, 523, 542547, 549, 553, 560, 567, 572, 573, 576, 579, 586, 593, 598, 605, 610, 612, 628, 629, 636, 638, 647, 648, 654, 664, 665, 673, 674, 693, 699, 703, 725, 727, 729, 732, 745, 755, 764, 765, 781. 790, 800, 816, 823, 827, 844, 846, 847, 851, 856, 863, 872, 877, 882, 884, 888, 889, 891, 907. 908, 914, 921, 933, 940, 944, 945, 947, 952, 954, 963, 970, 973, 982, 989, 996, 1008, 1015, 1024, 1038, 1045, 1060, 1061, 1064, 1071, 1080, 1087, 1097, 1116, 1142, 1143, 1168, 11691187, 1197, 1204, 1230, 1233, 1269, 1278, 1294, 1295, 1331, 1339, 1350, 1357, 1360, 13761386, 1395, 1402, 1405, 1446, 1447, 1448, 1451, 1458, 1483, 1509, 1512, 1529, 1546, 15491565, 1568, 1572, 1574, 1575, 1584, 1628, 1635, 1647, 1665, 1673, 1681, 1691, 1701, 17261744, 1763, 1782, 1789, 1792, 1799, 1808, 1825, 1864, 1871, 1890, 1899, 1906, 1909, 1932. 1951, 1959, 1960, 1962, 1971, 1997, 2016, 2023, 2033, 2059, 2060, 2078, 2104, 2112, 2160. 2167, 2177, 2179, 2186, 2244, 2251, 2267, 2277, 2293, 2296, 2312, 2338, 2349, 2368, 23752384, 2391, 2394, 2401, 2420, 2447, 2475, 2501, 2520, 2560, 2573, 2592, 2654, 2672, 2680, 2762, 2781, 2898, 2961, 3014, 3022, 3059, 3077, 3166, 3168, 3364, 3383, 3401, 3446, 34723653, 3679, 3958, 4092, 4129, 4255, 4300, 4363, 4372, 4382, 4697, 4769, 4876, 4913, 4976. 5182, 5199, 5273, 5299, 5300, 5325, 5497, 5758, 5812, 6181, 6272, 6433, 6694, 7154, 7685.8036, 19196}

Also found in the OEIS, the sequence A057906 [63] lists the set  $\mathbf{B}_5^3$  that was originally conjectured by Romani [58] and the sequence A057905 [62] lists the set  $\mathbf{B}_4^3$  that was originally conjectured by Deshouillers, Hennecart, & Landreau [24]. These sets are becoming too large to display, but will be included for completeness in the Appendix.

**Conjecture 3.5** (Romani [58]). The set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_5^3}$  has exactly 5360 elements, the largest being 1290735.

**Conjecture 3.6** (Deshouillers, Hennecart, & Landreau [24]). The set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_4^3}$  has exactly 113936597 elements, the largest being 7373170279845.

Note the chain of inclusion among the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{3}}$ . This is no coincidence, and a generalization of this chain of inclusion is proven in Section 4.2.

$$\ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{13}^3} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{12}^3} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{11}^3} \subset \ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_2^3} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_1^3}.$$

The sets  $\mathbf{B}_3^3$  and  $\mathbf{B}_2^3$  (and of course  $\mathbf{B}_1^3$ ) of positive integers that cannot be expressed as the sum of three or two (or one) positive cubes are known to be infinite. Davenport [17] showed that every positive integer congruent to 4 or 5 modulo 9 cannot be expressed as the sum of three positive cubes. It is conjectured that this list is exhaustive. Euler [32] proved the particular case of Fermat's last theorem, that no cube is the sum of two positive cubes and Farhi [33] showed that no (even) perfect number larger than 28 is the sum two cubes. Hence, there are infinitely many positive integers that cannot be expressed as the sum of 1, 2, and 3 positive cubes. In terms of cardinality,  $|\overline{\mathbf{B}_1^3}| = |\overline{\mathbf{B}_2^3}| = |\overline{\mathbf{B}_3^3}| = \aleph_0$ . For a fixed k, the size of  $\mathbf{B}_j^k$  creates a sequence for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

 $| \mathbf{B}_{i}^{3} | = \aleph_{0}, \aleph_{0}, \aleph_{0}, 113936676, 5439, 492, 208, 142, 110, 98, 92, 89, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, \dots$ 

3.2. Positive Fourth Powers. What positive integers are not the sum of exactly j positive fourth powers? Waring [89] originally conjectured that g(4) = 19. The first progress was made by Liouville [37] who proved that  $g(4) \leq 53$ . Dickson [27] showed that  $g(4) \leq 35$  and Dress [30] showed  $g(4) \leq 34$ . This was reduced further by Thomas [73, 72] to  $g(4) \leq 22$ , but Hardy and Littlewood [38] are credited with proving that  $g(4) \leq 19$ . Eventually, spanning a series of papers, Balasubramanian, Deshouillers, & Dress [3, 4, 22, 19, 20, 21] proved Waring correct, that g(4) = 19.

Using their newly developed circle method, Hardy and Littlewood [38] showed that  $G(4) \leq$  19 and shortly afterwards Davenport [16] proved that G(4) = 16. Numerical studies by Deshouillers, Hennecart, Kawada, Landreau, and Wooley [43, 23, 25] collectively determined the set  $\mathbf{B}_{16}^4$ .

Lemma 3.7 (Deshouillers, Hennecart, Kawada, Landreau, Wooley). Every positive integer greater than 13792 can be expressed as a sum of at most 16 positive fourths.

Define the set

 $\mathbf{B}^4 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots 2561, 2566, 2581, 2596, 2611, 2626, 2641\}.$ 

For powers greater than 3, the relevant sets begin to grow rapidly to the point that it is cumbersome to list each set in the body of this paper; data for powers greater than 3 are available in the corresponding Appendix. The full set  $\mathbf{B}^4$  has 1321 elements and is listed in the Appendix.

**Theorem 3.8.** Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 31$  positive fourth powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^4$ .

**Conjecture 3.9.** Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 21$  positive fourth powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^4$ .

**Conjecture 3.10.**  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{20}^4} = \{2881, 3121, 3361, 3601, 3841, 3856, 4096, 4336, 4576, 4816\}$ 

The set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{19}^4}$  was determined in 1992 by Deshouillers & Dress [21], and in 2000, Deshouillers, Hennecart, & Landreau [23] determined the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{18}^4}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{17}^4}$ , and  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{16}^4}$ .

**Theorem 3.11** (Deshouillers, Dress, Hennecart, & Landreau). For each j where  $16 \le j \le 20$ , there exists a finite, nonempty set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k}$  such that every positive integer n is the sum of j positive fourth powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^4$  and  $n \notin \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^4}$ .

The sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{16}^4}, \ldots, \overline{\mathbf{B}_{20}^4}$  are listed in the Appendix. As in the case of cubes, there is a chain of inclusion among the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_i^4}$ .

$$\ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{20}^4} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{19}^4} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{18}^4} \subset \ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{17}^4} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{16}^4} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{15}^4} \subset \ldots \overline{\mathbf{B}_{2}^4} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{1}^4}.$$

For  $j \leq 15$ , it is known [43] that the sets  $\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}$  are infinite.

3.3. Positive Fifth Powers. What positive integers cannot be expressed as the sum of exactly j positive fifth powers? Maillet [5] made the first progress in 1896 proving that  $g(5) \leq 192$ , followed by Wieferich [92] 1n 1909 who showed that  $g(5) \leq 59$ , and finally Chen [14] in 1969 who determined that g(5) = 37.

Vaughan [75] established the upper bound  $G(5) \leq 19$  which was then refined by Brüdern [10] to  $G(5) \leq 18$ . Vaughan & Wooley [78] eventually reduced the upper bound to its current status,  $G(5) \leq 17$ .

Lemma 3.12 (Vaughan & Wooley). Every sufficiently large positive integer can be expressed as a sum of at most 17 positive fifths.

Notice that in the case of fifths (and for all larger cases), the results of Waring's problem are established for *sufficiently large n*. Numerical experimentation indicates the smallest such number.

**Conjecture 3.13.** Every positive integer greater than 87918 is the sum of at most 17 positive fifth powers.

Define the set  $\mathbf{B}^5$  by

 $\mathbf{B}^{5} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots, 6137, 6168, 6199, 6230, 6261\}.$ 

The full set  $\mathbf{B}^5$  has 3175 elements and is listed in the Appendix. Because Vaughan & Wooley's result [78] is established for sufficiently large *n* rather than for all n > 87918, the proofs for fifths (and subsequently for all larger powers) is slightly different.

**Theorem 3.14.** Every (sufficiently large) positive integer is the sum of  $j \ge 57$  positive fifth powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^5$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** Every (sufficiently large) positive integer is the sum of j positive fifth powers for  $23 \le j \le 56$ , provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^5 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^5}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^5}$  is a finite set given in the Appendix.

**Conjecture 3.16.** Every positive integer is the sum of j positive fifth powers for  $6 \le j \le 22$ , provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^5 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^5}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^5}$  is a finite.

As in the case of cubes and fourths, there is a chain of inclusion among the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{5}}$  for  $6 \leq j \leq 57$ 

 $\ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{57}^5} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{56}^5} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{55}^5} \subset \ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_2^5} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_1^5}.$ 

3.4. Positive Sixth Powers. What positive integers cannot be expressed as the sum of exactly j positive sixth powers? In 1940, Pillai [56] confirmed J. A. Euler's conjecture [28]) for sixth powers: proving that  $g(6) = 2^6 + \lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^6 \rfloor - 2 = 73$ . Vaughan & Wooley [78] gave the upper bound for G(6).

Lemma 3.17 (Vaughan & Wooley). Every sufficiently large positive integer can be expressed as a sum of at most 24 positive sixth powers.

Again in the case of sixth powers (and for all larger cases), the results of Waring's problem are established for *sufficiently large n*. Numerical experimentation indicates the smallest such number.

Conjecture 3.18. Every positive integer greater than 1414564 is the sum of at most 24 positive sixth powers.

Define the set  $\mathbf{B}^6$  by

 $\mathbf{B}^6 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots, 711586, 711649\}.$ 

The full set  $\mathbf{B}^6$  has 355825 elements and is listed in the Appendix.

**Theorem 3.19.** Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 86$  positive sixth powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^6$ .

**Conjecture 3.20.** Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 78$  positive sixth powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^6$ .

**Conjecture 3.21.** Every positive integer n is the sum of j positive sixth powers for  $18 \le j \le 77$ , provided n > j-1 and  $n \ne j+b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^6 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}^6_j}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}^6_j}$  is a finite set, conjectured in the Appendix.

As in the case of cubes, fourths, and fifths, there is a chain of inclusion among the sets  $\mathbf{B}_{i}^{6}$ :

$$\ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{77}^6} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{76}^6} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{75}^6} \subset \ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_2^6} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_1^6}.$$

3.5. **Positive Seventh Powers.** What positive integers cannot be expressed as the sum of exactly j positive seventh powers? By J. A. Euler's formula [28]) for seventh powers,  $g(7) = 2^7 + \lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^7 \rfloor - 2 = 143$ . Vaughan & Wooley [78] gave the current upper bound for G(7).

Lemma 3.22 (Vaughan & Wooley). Every sufficiently large positive integer can be expressed as a sum of at most 33 positive seventh powers.

Again in the case of seventh powers, the results of Waring's problem are established for  $sufficiently \ large \ n$ . Numerical experimentation indicates the smallest such number.

**Conjecture 3.23.** Every positive integer greater than 45987024 is the sum of 33 positive seventh powers.

Define the set  $\mathbf{B}^7$  by

 $\mathbf{B}^7 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots, 248950, 249077\}.$ 

The full set  $\mathbf{B}^7$  has 127839 elements and is listed in the Appendix.

**Theorem 3.24.** Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 246$  positive seventh powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^7$ .

**Theorem 3.25.** Every positive integer n is the sum of j positive seventh powers for  $245 \ge j \ge 51$ , provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^7 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^7}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^7}$  is a finite set, listed in the Appendix.

**Conjecture 3.26.** Every positive integer n is the sum of j positive seventh powers for  $51 \ge j \ge 25$ , provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^7 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^7}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^7}$  is a finite set, conjectured in the Appendix.

As in the previous cases, there is a chain of inclusion among the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{i}^{7}}$  for  $8 \leq j \leq 245$ 

$$\ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{245}^7} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{244}^7} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{243}^7} \subset \ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_2^7} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_1^7}$$

3.6. Positive Eighth Powers. What positive integers cannot be expressed as the sum of exactly *j* positive eighth powers? By J. A. Euler's formula [28]) for eighth powers,  $g(8) = 2^8 + \lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^8 \rfloor - 2 = 279$ . Define the set **B**<sup>8</sup> by

 $\mathbf{B}^8 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots, 1889986, 1890241\}.$ 

The full set  $\mathbf{B}^8$  has 945121 elements and is listed in the Appendix. Vaughan & Wooley [78] gave the current upper bound for G(8).

Lemma 3.27 (Vaughan & Wooley). Every sufficiently large positive integer can be expressed as a sum of at most 42 positive eighth powers.

Again in the case of eighth powers, the results of Waring's problem are established for *sufficiently large n*. Unlike the previous cases, computation to establish the smallest such number is out of reach for modest computers.

**Question 3.28.** What is the largest positive integer that is *not* the sum of at most 42 positive eighth powers?

The nature of the proof technique used in the later sections requires an exact value. Because the largest positive integer that is not the sum of at most 42 positive eighth powers is unattainable, the following (slightly weaker) result is used instead:

**Conjecture 3.29.** Every positive integer greater than 904339959 is the sum of exactly 47 positive eighth powers.

**Theorem 3.30.** Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 334$  positive eighth powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^8$ .

**Theorem 3.31.** Every positive integer n is the sum of j positive eighth powers for  $69 \le j \le 333$ , provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^8 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}}_j^8$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_j^8$  is a finite set, conjectured in the Appendix

**Theorem 3.32.** Every positive integer n is the sum of j positive eighth powers for  $64 \le j \le 68$ , provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^8 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^8}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^8}$  is a finite set, conjectured in the Appendix.

**Conjecture 3.33.** Every positive integer is the sum of j positive eighth powers for  $32 \leq j \leq 63$ , provided n > j - 1 and  $n \neq j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^8 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}^8_j}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}^8_j}$  is a finite set given in the Appendix.

As in the previous cases, there is a chain of inclusion among the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{i}^{8}}$ :

 $\ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{334}^8} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{333}^8} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{332}^8} \subset \ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_2^8} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_1^8}.$ 

3.7. **Positive Ninth Powers.** What positive integers cannot be expressed as the sum of exactly *j* positive ninth powers? By J. A. Euler's formula [28]),  $g(9) = 2^9 + \lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^9 \rfloor - 2 = 548$ . Vaughan & Wooley [78] gave the current upper bound for G(9).

Lemma 3.34 (Vaughan & Wooley). Every sufficiently large positive integer can be expressed as a sum of at most 50 positive ninth powers.

Again in the case of ninth powers, the results of Waring's problem are established for sufficiently large n. Unlike the previous cases, computation to establish the smallest such number is out of reach for modest computers.

**Question 3.35.** What is the largest positive integer that is *not* the sum of at most 50 positive ninth powers?

The nature of the proof technique used in the later sections requires an exact value. Because the largest positive integer that is not the sum of at most 50 positive ninth powers is unattainable, the following (slightly weaker) result is used instead:

**Conjecture 3.36.** Every positive integer greater than 967214052 is the sum of exactly 121 positive ninth powers.

Define the set  $\mathbf{B}^9$  by

 $\mathbf{B}^9 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots, 6463886, 6464397\}.$ 

The full set  $\mathbf{B}^9$  has 3438463 elements and is listed in the Appendix.

**Theorem 3.37.** Every positive integer n is the sum of  $j \ge 717$  positive ninth powers provided n > j - 1 and  $n \neq j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^9$ .

**Theorem 3.38.** Every positive integer n is the sum of j positive ninth powers for  $188 \le j \le$  716, provided n > j - 1 and  $n \ne j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^9 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^9}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^9}$  is a finite set, conjectured in the Appendix

**Conjecture 3.39.** Every positive integer is the sum of j positive ninth powers for  $121 \leq j \leq 187$ , provided n > j - 1 and  $n \neq j + b$  for  $b \in \mathbf{B}^9 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^9}$  where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^9}$  is a finite set given in the Appendix.

As in the previous cases, there is a chain of inclusion among the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{i}^{9}}$ :

$$\ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{717}^9} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{716}^9} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_{715}^9} \subset \ldots \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_2^9} \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}_1^9}$$
4. PROPERTIES OF  $\mathbf{B}^k$  and  $\mathbf{B}^k_i$ 

4.1. The size of  $\mathbf{B}^k$ . Consider the sequence created by the number of elements in  $\mathbf{B}^k$  for  $k = 2, 3, \ldots$  Define the sequence  $a_k$  to be the maximum of  $\mathbf{B}^k$  for  $k \ge 2$ , and define the sequence  $b_k = |\mathbf{B}^k|$ . It is curious that in many cases, but not all, the largest element in  $\mathbf{B}^k$  is twice and one less than the size of  $\mathbf{B}^k$ .

k	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
							1890241	
$b_k$	7	75	1321	3175	355825	127839	945121	3438463

TABLE 1.  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  for  $2 \le k \le 9$ 

**Conjecture 4.1.** For all  $k, a_{2^k} = 2b_{2^k} - 1$ .

Conjecture 4.2.  $n \in \mathbf{B}^{2^k}$  if and only if  $a_{2^k} - n \notin \mathbf{B}^{2^k}$ .

Conjecture 4.3.  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_k}{b_k} = 2.$ 

**Conjecture 4.4.**  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are odd for all k.

Note that Conjecture 4.2 implies Conjecture 4.1.

**Conjecture 4.5.** The sequence  $|\mathbf{B}^k|$  for  $k = 2, 3, \ldots$  never becomes monotone.

**Theorem 4.6.** For any odd prime  $p, |\mathbf{B}^{p-1}| \ge p^{p-1}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ .

*Proof.* The number of integers n > k such that there are no solutions to equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i^{p-1} = n$$

is by definition the size of the set  $\mathbf{B}^{p-1}$ . Recall Fermat's Little Theorem: unless  $p|a_i$ , it must be that  $a_i^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for any odd prime p. For  $n < rp^{p-1}$ , at most r-1 of the  $a_i$ 's can be multiples of p, so the sum will be in the same equivalence class modulo p as at least one of the integers in the interval [k, k+r-1]. This leaves p-r equivalence classes (modulo p) that cannot be expressed as a sum of exactly j positive p-1 powers with a sum of less than  $rp^{p-1}$ .

There are  $p^{p-2}$  positive integers between  $(r-1)p^{p-1}$  and  $rp^{p-1}$  in each equivalence class modulo p. Hence, there are at least  $(p-r)p^{p-2}$  integers in the interval  $((r-1)p^{p-1}, rp^{p-1}]$ that cannot be expressed as a sum of j positive p-1<sup>th</sup> powers. Summing over all r such that  $1 \leq r \leq p$  gives a lower bound for the size of  $\mathbf{B}^{p-1}$ :

$$|\mathbf{B}^{p-1}| \ge \sum_{r=1}^{p} (p-r)p^{p-2}.$$

Substituting s = p - r gives

$$|\mathbf{B}^{p-1}| \ge \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} sp^{p-2} = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \cdot p^{p-2} = p^{p-1}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right).$$

Corollary 4.7.  $| \mathbf{B}^{10} | \ge 129687123005.$ 

4.2. Chain of Inclusion:  $\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k \subset \mathbf{B}_j^k$ . As seen in the particular cases for  $3 \leq j \leq 9$ , there is a relationship among the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k}$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** For all  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}, \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^{k+1}}$ 

The proof of Theorem 4.8 utilizes the *Theory of Partitions*. The partition function p(n) enumerates the number of partitions of a positive integer n where the partitions are positive integer sequences  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...)$  with  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots > 0$  and  $\sum_{j\ge 1} \lambda_j = n$ . For example, p(4) = 5 since the only ways to partition 4 are 4, 3 + 1, 2 + 2, 2 + 1 + 1, and 1 + 1 + 1 + 1.

It has become increasingly popular to consider *restricted* partition functions, typically denoted  $p_A(n)$  where A is some constraint on  $\lambda$ . For instance, the integer 4 could be partitioned using only prime numbers, only positive squares, only powers of 2, only odd numbers, etc:

$$4 = 2 + 2 \qquad 4 = 2^{2} \qquad 4 = 2^{2} \qquad 4 = 3 + 1$$
$$= 1^{2} + 1^{2} + 1^{2} + 1^{2} \qquad = 2^{1} + 2^{1} \qquad = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$$
$$= 2^{0} + 2^{0} + 2^{0} + 2^{0}$$

There are two restricted functions that are of particular interest regarding generalized taxicab numbers: The  $k^{\text{th}}$  power partition function and the partitions into exactly j parts function.

The k-th power partition function (see [7, 34, 76, 94]), denoted  $p^k(n)$ , counts the number of ways that a positive integer n can be written as the sum of positive k-th powers. Note that  $p^k(n) = p(n)$  when k = 1, and for k = 2,  $p^2(n)$  restricts  $\lambda$  to positive squares. For example,  $p^2(4) = 2$  since  $4 = 2^2 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$  and  $p^k(4) = 1$  for all  $k \ge 3$ .

The partitions into exactly j parts function (see [1, 45]), denoted p(n, j) counts the number of ways that a positive integer n can be written as a sum of exactly j integers. For example, p(4, 2) = 2 because there are exactly two ways to write 4 as a sum of 2 integers: 4 = 3 + 1 = 2 + 2.

Synthesizing the combinatorial properties of these two restricted partition functions creates the  $k^{th}$  power partition into exactly j parts function, denoted  $p^k(n, j)$ . In a previous paper, the authors along with Arindam Roy [6] established a useful identity for this partition function:

Lemma 4.9. For all  $n, j \geq 2$ ,

$$p^{k}(n,j) \le p^{k}(n+1,j+1)$$

with equality whenever  $n < 2^k j$ .

Proof of Theorem 4.8. The set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}}$  is the set of positive integers b such that b-j has zero representations as a sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. For some positive integer c, suppose  $c \notin \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}}$ . If  $p^{k}(c+j,j) = 0$ , then  $c \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}}$ , contradicting the hypothesis. Thus,  $p^{k}(c+j,j) \ge 1$  for all c. It follows from Lemma 4.9 that  $p^{k}(c+(j+1),j+1) \ge 1$ , hence  $c \notin \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^{k}}$ . Then, if  $c \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^{k}}$ , then  $c \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}}$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** If  $a_k < j(2^k - 1)$ , then  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k} = \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.8,  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k}$ . It remains to show that  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k}$ . Suppose  $n \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k}$ . Then,  $p^k(n+j,j) = 0$ . Because  $n < j(2^k - 1)$ , it follows that  $n + j < j(2^k)$ . Then,  $p^k(n+j,j) = p^k(n + (j+1), j+1) = 0$ . Hence,  $n \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k}$ .

A useful corollary follows: it is only necessary to check up to  $j = \frac{a_k}{2^k - 1}$  to be sure that a candidate set is in fact the complete set  $\mathbf{B}^k$ .

**Corollary 4.11.** For all  $j \ge \frac{a_k}{2^k-1}$ , the set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^k} = \emptyset$ .

Note that if  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}} = \emptyset$ , then  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{j+i}^{k}} = \emptyset$  for i = 1, 2, ..., 9 Define the sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $x_k := \min\{j : \overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}} = \emptyset\}$ . The sequence  $x_k$  for k = 1, 2, ... begins:

 $x_k = 1, 6, 15, 22, 58, 78, 244, 334, 717, \dots$ 

**Conjecture 4.12.** The sequence  $x_k$  is strictly increasing.

**Conjecture 4.13.** The value of  $x_k$  is odd if and only if k is of the form  $3^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha = 0, 1, ...$ 

**Question 4.14.** Is it possible to find an explicit formula or an asymptotic for the sequence  $x_k$ ?

# 4.3. Chain of Equality.

**Lemma 4.15.** If n is a positive integer, then  $n \notin \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k$  if and only if there is no positive b such that  $n - b^k \notin \mathbf{B}_j^k$ .

Proof. Let n be a positive integer; by definition,  $n \in \mathbf{B}_j^k$  if and only if there is no way to write n as a sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. Suppose  $n \notin \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k$ . Then, there must be a representation of n as a sum of j + 1 positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, which can be split into one  $k^{\text{th}}$ power and a sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. Hence, there exists some b such that n - b yields some positive integer that is the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, that is, a positive integer that is not in the set  $\mathbf{B}_j^k$ . To prove the converse, suppose  $n \in \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k$ . If there is a positive b such that  $n - b^k \notin \mathbf{B}_j^k$ , then adding  $b^k$  yields a representation of n as a sum of j + 1 positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. In other words,  $n \in \mathbf{B}_j^k$ , which contradicts the hypothesis. Hence, there is no positive b such that  $n - b^k \notin \mathbf{B}_j^k$ .  $\Box$ 

Lemma 4.16. If  $\max \left( \mathbf{B}_{j}^{k} \right) < 2^{k}(j+1)$ , then  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{k}^{j}} = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 4.10 under particular initial conditions. **Lemma 4.17.** Let  $m = \max(\mathbf{B}_{j}^{k})$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^{k} = \{1\} \cup \{n+1 : n \in \mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}\}$  and  $\lfloor\sqrt[k]{m+1}\rfloor = \lfloor\sqrt[k]{m}\rfloor$ . Then,  $\mathbf{B}_{j+2}^{k} = \{1\} \cup \{n+1 : n \in \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^{k}\}$ .

Proof. By Lemma 4.15, it is only necessary to check values of b where  $b^k < n$ , since a negative number can never have a representation as a sum of positive powers. Hence, the maximum value of b for which Lemma 4.15 applies is  $\lfloor \sqrt[k]{m} \rfloor$ . Suppose  $a \in \mathbf{B}_j^k$ . Then,  $a + 1 \in \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k$  by assumption, and by Lemma 4.15 there exists some positive integer  $1 \le b \le \lfloor \sqrt[k]{m} \rfloor$ , such that  $a + 1 - b^k \notin \mathbf{B}_j^k$ . By assumption,  $a + 1 - b^k \notin \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k$ , implying  $a + 2 \in \mathbf{B}_{j+2}^k$ . On the contrary, if  $a \notin \mathbf{B}_j^k$ , then there is no positive b such that  $a - b^k \notin \mathbf{B}_j^k$ . Because b is arbitrary,  $1 \le b \le \lfloor \sqrt[k]{m} \rfloor$ . As with the previous case,  $a + 1 - b^k \notin \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k$  by assumption, so there is again no positive  $b, 1 \le b \le \lfloor \sqrt[k]{m} \rfloor$ , such that  $a + 1 - b^k \notin \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k$ . However,  $\max(\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k) = m + 1$ , so it is now necessary to check values of b up to  $\lfloor \sqrt[k]{m+1} \rfloor$ . Since  $\lfloor \sqrt[k]{m+1} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt[k]{m} \rfloor$  by assumption, there are no other possible values of b, and so  $a + 2 \notin \mathbf{B}_{j+2}^k$ . Because j is positive,  $j + 2 \ge 3$ , and there is no way to write 1 or 2 as a sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, completing the proof.

**Theorem 4.18.** Let  $m = \max(\mathbf{B}_j^k)$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^k = \{1\} \cup \{n+1 : n \in \mathbf{B}_j^k\}$  and  $\left\lfloor \sqrt[k]{m + \frac{m-j}{2^k - 1}} - j \right\rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt[k]{m} \rfloor$ . Then,  $\mathbf{B}^k = \{n - j : n \in \mathbf{B}_j^k, n > j\}$ , and  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_l^k} = \emptyset$  for all  $l \ge j$ . *Proof.* By Lemma 4.17,

100j. Dy Lemma 4.17,

$$\mathbf{B}_{j+2}^{k} = \{1\} \cup \{n+1 : n \in \mathbf{B}_{j+1}^{k}\} = \{1, 2\} \cup \{n+2 : n \in \mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}\}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{B}_{j+3}^{k} = \{1, 2, 3\} \cup \{n+3 : n \in \mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}\}, \mathbf{B}_{j+4}^{k} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup \{n+4 : n \in \mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}\}.$$

This process continues inductively until

$$\mathbf{B}_{\left\lceil\frac{m-j}{2^{k}-1}\right\rceil}^{k} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \left\lceil\frac{m-j}{2^{k}-1}\right\rceil + 1 - j\} \cup \{n + \left\lceil\frac{m-j}{2^{k}-1}\right\rceil - j : n \in \mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}\}$$

of which the largest element is

$$m + \left\lceil \frac{m-j}{2^k-1} \right\rceil - j < m-j + \frac{m-j}{2^k-1} + 1 = (m-j)\left(\frac{2^k}{2^k-1}\right) + 1 < 2^k \left( \left\lfloor \frac{m-j}{2^k-1} \right\rfloor + 1 \right).$$

By Lemma 4.16, for any larger positive integer  $l \ge j$ , it follows that

$$\mathbf{B}_{l+1}^{k} = \{1\} \cup \{n+1 : n \in \mathbf{B}_{l}^{k}\}.$$

Since the only difference between  $\mathbf{B}_{l}^{k}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{l+1}^{k}$  is the addition of 1 to each term of  $\mathbf{B}_{l}^{k}$ , it follows that the set  $\mathbf{B}^{k} = \{n - j : n \in \mathbf{B}_{j}^{k}, n > j\}$  by definition, and that  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{l}^{k}} = \emptyset$ .

For example, Grosswald's proof of  $\mathbf{B}^2$  can be restated using the fact that  $\mathbf{B}_6^2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \cup \{7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16, 19\}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_7^2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \cup \{8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 17, 20\}$ . In this case, m = 19, j = 6, and k = 2. The second condition in Theorem 4.18 becomes

$$\left\lfloor \sqrt{13 + \frac{13}{3}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \sqrt{19} \right\rfloor.$$

4.4. Density of numbers expressible as a sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. How sparsely populated among all positive integers are those that have a representation as the sum of exactly j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers? Let

 $S_j^k(n) = \{i \le n \mid i \text{ can be expressed as a sum of exactly } j \text{ positive } k^{\text{th}} \text{ powers}\}$ 

and define the asymptotic density of such expressible numbers as

$$d_j^k = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mid S_j^k(n) \mid}{n}.$$

**Theorem 4.19.** For all positive integers j < k,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S_j^k(n)|}{n} = 0$ .

Proof. Suppose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is expressible as a sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, i.e.  $m = \sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i^k$ . Then, the maximum possible  $a_i$  is  $\sqrt[k]{m}$ . Thus, there are  $(\sqrt[k]{m})^j = m^{j/k}$  possible combinations of positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers that may lead to a sum less than or equal to m. Note that some of these combinations may have sums greater than m or lead to the same sum in two different ways. Fortunately, this is still enough to establish the upper bound  $|S_j^k(m)| \leq m^{j/k}$ :

$$d_j^k = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mid S_j^k(n) \mid}{n} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m^{j/k}}{m} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} m^{j/k-1} = 0$$

since by assumption, j < k implying that (j/k) - 1 is negative.

With a similar notion to the previous definitions, define for a positive integer i,

 $\sigma_i^k(n) = \{i \le n : i \text{ can be expressed as a sum of } at most j \text{ positive } k^{\text{th}} \text{ powers} \}.$ 

**Corollary 4.20.** For all j < k,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\sigma_j^k(n)|}{n} = 0$ .

*Proof.* The asymptotic density of expressible numbers is

$$\delta_j^k = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mid \sigma_j^k(n) \mid}{n}.$$
  
Then,  $\sigma_j^k(n) = \bigcup_{i=0}^j S_j^k(n)$ . Hence,  $\delta_j^k \le \sum_{i=0}^j d_j^k(n) = 0.$ 

**Proposition 4.21.** At most  $\frac{1}{k}$  of all positive integers are expressible as the sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, if j = k.

Proof. Again suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is expressible as a sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, with  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i^k$ . Every power in the representation of n must be less than n, which leaves  $(\sqrt[k]{n})$  possibilities. There are  $(\sqrt[k]{n})^j = (\sqrt[k]{n})^k = n$  combinations of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers that could possibly be less than n, with representations of different order (for example,  $2^2 + 3^2$  and  $3^2 + 2^2$ ) counting as distinct, despite them giving the same sum. Out of these,  $(\sqrt[k]{n})$  will have all identical terms, while the remainder will have at least one term different from one other term. The former will vanish as  $n \to \infty$ , while the latter must have at least j = k possible permutations. Dividing by the number of permutations gives the amount of permutations when order does not matter, which is thus at most  $\frac{1}{k}$  of positive integers as n becomes arbitrarily large.

**Proposition 4.22.** For all positive integers k, a positive proportion (at least  $\frac{1}{g(k)}$ ) of integers can be written as a sum of n positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers for all  $n \ge g(k)$ , where g(k) is the solution to Waring's problem for  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers.

Proof. By the result of Waring's Problem, every positive integer is the sum of at most g(k) perfect  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. Let n be a sum of exactly m positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers for some  $m \leq g(k)$ . Then, n + g(k) - m is a sum of exactly g(k) positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, obtained by adding n - m copies of  $1^k$  to the original sum. Since  $0 \leq m \leq g(k)$ , then there is some l such that  $n \leq l < n + g(k)$  such that l can be represented as a sum of exactly g(k) perfect  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers. Since for every n, at least one positive integer between n and n + g(k) - 1, inclusive, must be expressible as a sum of exactly g(k) positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, the proportion of positive integers that can be expressed as a sum of exactly g(k) positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers is at least  $\frac{1}{g(k)}$ .

**Conjecture 4.23.** For any  $\kappa \geq 2$  and  $j < 2^{\kappa+2}$ , there are infinitely many positive integers that cannot be represented as a sum of j positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers, where  $k = 2^{\kappa}$ .

5. Proof of Theorems 3.2 & 3.3

*Proof.* Observe that the integer 1072 has the marvelous property that it is the sum of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 positive cubes, and many more cubes.

$$1072 = 9^{3} + 7^{3}$$
  
= 10<sup>3</sup> + 4<sup>3</sup> + 2<sup>3</sup>  
= 8<sup>3</sup> + 7<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 1<sup>3</sup>  
= 8<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 4<sup>3</sup> + 4<sup>3</sup>  
= 7<sup>3</sup> + 7<sup>3</sup> + 7<sup>3</sup> + 3<sup>3</sup> + 2<sup>3</sup> + 2<sup>3</sup>  
= 7<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 3<sup>3</sup> + 3<sup>3</sup> + 3<sup>3</sup>  
= 7<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 6<sup>3</sup> + 4<sup>3</sup> + 2<sup>3</sup> + 2<sup>3</sup> + 1<sup>3</sup>

Let n > 1072 + 454 = 1526 and consider when j = 9. By Lemma 3.1,  $n - 1072 = \sum_{i=1}^{7} x_i^3$ where  $x_7 \ge ... \ge x_1 \ge 0$ . If all  $x_i$ 's are positive, then  $n = 9^3 + 7^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{7} x_i^3$ . If one of the  $x_i$ 's is zero, then  $n = 10^3 + 4^3 + 2^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i^3$ . If two of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then n = $8^3 + 7^3 + 6^3 + 1^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{5} x_i^3$ . If three of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then  $n = 8^3 + 6^3 + 6^3 + 4^3 + 2^{4i} + x_i^3$ . If four of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then  $n = 7^3 + 7^3 + 7^3 + 3^3 + 2^3 + 2^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i^3$ . If five of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then  $n = 7^3 + 6^3 + 6^3 + 6^3 + 3^3 + 3^3 + 3^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} x_i^3$ . If six of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then  $n = 7^3 + 6^3 + 6^3 + 4^3 + 2^3 + 2^3 + 1^3 + x_7^3$ . Hence, every n > 1526, has a representation as the sum of exactly 9 positive cubes. It is left to check for which values of  $n \le 1526$  are not the sum of 9 cubes:

$$\mathbf{B}_{9}^{3} = \{1, 2, \dots, 8\} \cup \{9 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{3}\}$$
$$\cup \{162, 169, 174, 181, 188, 193, 195, 200, 207, 214, 221, 230, 247, 249, 256, 267, 286, 293, 305, 310, 312, 319, 347, 366, 373, 422, 471\}$$

For j = 10, consider n - 1 for n > 1526 + 1. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 9 positive cubes,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{9} x_i^3 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 10 positive cubes. It is left to check which values of  $n \le 1526 + 1$  are not the sum of 10 cubes:

$$\mathbf{B}_{10}^{3} = \{1, 2, \dots, 9\} \cup \{10 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{3}\} \cup \{163, 170, 182, 189, 196, 201, 208, 215, 222, 257, 294, 313, 320, 374\}.$$

For j = 11, consider n - 1 for n > 1526 + 2. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 11 positive cubes,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{11} x_i^3 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 12 positive cubes. It is left to check which values of  $n \le 1526 + 2$  are not the sum of 12 cubes:

 $\mathbf{B}_{11}^3 = \{1, 2, \dots, 10\} \cup \{11 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^3\} \cup \{171, 190, 197, 209, 216, 223, 321\}.$ 

For j = 12, consider n - 1 for n > 1526 + 3. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 11 positive cubes,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{11} x_i^3 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 12 positive cubes. It is left to check which values of  $n \le 1526 + 3$  are not the sum of 12 cubes:

$$\mathbf{B}_{12}^3 = \{1, 2, \dots, 11\} \cup \{12 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^3\} \cup \{198, 217, 224\}.$$

For j = 13, consider n - 1 for n > 1526 + 4. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 12 positive cubes,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{12} x_i^3 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 13 positive cubes. It is left to check which values of  $n \le 1526 + 4$  are not the sum of 13 cubes:

$$\mathbf{B}_{13}^3 = \{1, 2, \dots, 12\} \cup \{13 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^3\} \cup \{225\}.$$

For j = 14, consider n - 1 for n > 1526 + 5. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 13 positive cubes,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{13} x_i^3 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 14 positive cubes. It is left to check which values of n < 1526 + 5 are not the sum of 14 cubes:

$$\mathbf{B}_{14}^3 = \{1, 2, \dots, 13\} \cup \{14 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^3\}.$$

For j = 15, consider n - 1 for n > 1526 + 6. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 14 positive cubes,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{14} x_i^3 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 15 positive cubes. It is left to check which values of  $n \le 1526 + 6$  are not the sum of 15 cubes:

$$\mathbf{B}_{15}^3 = \{1, 2, \dots, 14\} \cup \{15 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^3\}.$$

Note here that  $\mathbf{B}_{15}^3 = \{\beta + 1 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}_{14}^3\} \cup \{1\}$ . The same processes outlined for j = 15 can be repeated to show that for  $15 \leq j \leq 22$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^3 = \{\beta - 1 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}_j^3\} \cup \{1\}$ . Thus, for j = 21, consider n - 1 for n > 1526 + 12. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 20 positive

cubes,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_i^3 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 21 positive cubes. It is left to check which values of  $n \le 1526 + 11$  are not the sum of 21 cubes:

$$\mathbf{B}_{21}^{3} = \{1, 2, \dots, 20\} \cup \{21 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{3}\}.$$

Finally, for j = 22, consider n - 1 for n > 1526 + 13. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 14 positive cubes,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{21} x_i^3 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 22 positive cubes. It is left to check which values of  $n \le 1526 + 13$  are not the sum of 22 cubes:

$$\mathbf{B}_{22}^{3} = \{1, 2, \dots, 21\} \cup \{22 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{3}\}.$$

It is clear that no element in the set  $\{1, 2, ..., 21\}$  is the sum of 22 positive cubes, so consider elements in the set  $\{22 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^3\}$ . If any element had a representation as the sum of 22 positive cubes, then the smallest term in that representation would be  $1^3$  since  $22 \cdot 2^3 = 176 > 171 = 22 + \max \{\beta \in \mathbf{B}^3\} = 22 + 149$ . Hence, if any element in the set  $\{22 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^3\}$  is a sum of 22 positive cubes, then  $21 + \beta = 22 + \beta - 1$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^3$  would be the sum of 21 positive cubes, contrary to what has already been shown.

In general, suppose for a given  $k \ge 22$ , every positive integer is the sum of exactly j positive cubes except for  $1, 2, \ldots, j-1$  and all  $j+\beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^3$ . Then the integers  $1, 2, \ldots, j$  cannot be represented as the sum of exactly j+1 positive cubes. If  $n-1 = \sum_{i=1}^{j} x_i^3$  where  $x_j \ge \ldots \ge x_1 > 0$ , then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} x_i^3$  where  $x_{j+1} = 1$ . It remains to consider the integers  $(j+1) + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^3$ . Note again that for  $j \ge 22$ , the smallest element in each representation is  $1^3$  since  $(j+1) \cdot 2^3 > (j+1) + 149$ . Thus if  $(j+1) + \beta$  is the sum of exactly k+1 positive cubes, then  $j + \beta$  is the sum of exactly j positive cubes, contradicting the inductive hypothesis.

The theorem established Siksek [59], that  $G(3) \leq 7$ , is the current best result, but there are conjectures that G(3) is much smaller. Romani [58] conjectures that every integer greater than 1290740 is the sum of at most *five* positive cubes, and Deshouillers, Hennecart, & Landreau [24] conjecture that every integer greater than 7373170279850 is the sum of at most *four* positive cubes. If this result holds, this same proof technique could be improved, and the sets  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_8^3}$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_7^3}$  could be determined. Further results will require other techniques.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 3.8

Observe that 12785 has the marvelous property that it is the sum of 15, 16, 17,  $\ldots$ , 31 positive fourth powers.

$$12785 = 8^{4} + 8^{4} + 8^{4} + 4^{4} + 3^{4} + 2^{4$$

The complete list of representations of 12785 is listed in the Appendix. Recall Lemma 3.7: that every integer greater than 13792 can be expressed as the sum of 16 positive fourth

powers. Let n > 12785 + 13792 = 26577 and consider when j = 16. It follows that  $n - 12785 = \sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i^4$  where  $x_{16} \ge \ldots \ge x_1 \ge 0$ . If all  $x_i$ 's are positive, then

$$n = 8^4 + 8^4 + 8^4 + 4^4 + 3^4 + 2^4 +$$

If one of the  $x_i$ 's is zero, then

$$n = 10^{4} + 6^{4} + 6^{4} + 2^{4} +$$

If two of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

γ

$$n = 9^4 + 7^4 + 7^4 + 5^4 + 5^4 + 3^4 + 3^4 + 1^4 +$$

This continues until, if 15 of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

$$a = 10^{4} + 6^{4} + 6^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 2^{4} + 1^{4} +$$

Hence, every n > 26577 has a representation as the sum of exactly 31 positive fourth powers. It is left to check for which values of  $n \le 26577$  are not the sum of 31 positive fourth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{31}^4 = \{1, 2, \dots, 30\} \cup \{31 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^4\}.$$

For j = 32, consider n - 1 for n > 26577 + 1. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 31 positive fourth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{31} x_i^4 + 1^4$  is a representation of n as the sum of 32 positive fourth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 26577 + 1 are not the sum of 32 positive fourth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{32}^4 = \{1, 2, \dots, 31\} \cup \{32 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^4\}$$

For j = 33, consider n - 1 for n > 26577 + 2. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 32 positive fourth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{32} x_i^4 + 1^4$  is a representation of n as the sum of 33 positive fourth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 26577 + 2 are not the sum of 33 positive fourth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{33}^4 = \{1, 2, \dots, 31\} \cup \{32 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^4\}$$

This same process can be repeated to show that for  $33 \le j \le 920$ , the set

$$\mathbf{B}_{j+1}^4 = \{\beta - 1 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}_j^4 \cup \{1\}.$$

For j = 920, consider n - 1 for n > 26577 + 889. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 919 positive fourth powers,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{919} x_i^4 + 1^4$  is a representation of n as the sum of 920 positive fourth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 26577 + 889 are not the sum of 920 positive fourth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{920}^4 = \{1, 2, \dots, 919\} \cup \{920 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^4\}$$

It is clear that no element in the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, 919\}$  is the sum of 920 positive fourth powers. So consider elements in the set  $\{920 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^4\}$ . If any element in this set had a representation as the sum of 920 positive fourth powers, then the smallest term in that representation would be  $1^4$  since  $14720 = 920 + 2^4 > 920 + 13792 = 14712$ . Hence, if any element in the set  $\{920 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^4\}$  is a sum of 920 positive fourth powers, then

19

 $919 + \beta = 920 + \beta - 1$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^4$  would be the sum of 919 positive fourth powers, contrary to what has already been shown.

In general, suppose for a given j > 920 that every positive integer n is the sum of exactly j positive fourth powers, provided  $n \neq 1, 2, \ldots, j-1$  and  $n \neq j + \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^4$ . It is clear that the integers  $1, 2, \ldots, j-1$  cannot be expressed as the sum of j positive fourth powers - so consider positive integers  $(j+1)+\beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{B}^4$ . Note again that for  $j \geq 920$ , the smallest term in each representation is  $1^4$  since  $(j+1) \cdot 2^4 > (j+1) + 13792$ . Thus if  $(j+1) + \beta$  is the sum of exactly j + 1 positive fourth powers, then  $j + \beta$  is the sum of exactly j positive fourth powers.  $\Box$ 

## 7. Proof of Theorems 3.14, & 3.15

*Proof.* Observe that 39512917 has the marvelous property that it is the sum of 6, 7, 8, ..., 44 positive fifth powers.

$$\begin{aligned} &39512917 = 27^5 + 26^5 + 25^5 + 17^5 + 16^5 + 16^5 \\ &= 28^5 + 27^5 + 23^5 + 15^5 + 14^5 + 11^5 + 9^5 \\ &= 30^5 + 27^5 + 15^5 + 10^5 + 5^5 + 4^5 + 3^5 + 3^5 \\ &\vdots \\ &= 16^5 + 15^5 + 15^5 + 15^5 + 15^5 + 14^5 + 14^5 \\ &+ 13^5 + 12^5 + 11^5 + 6^5 + 6^5 + 2^5 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

The complete list of representations of 39512917 is listed in the Appendix. Let n > 39512917 + N and consider when j = 23. By Lemma 3.34,  $n - 39512917 = \sum_{i=1}^{17} x_i^5$  where  $x_{17} \ge \ldots \ge x_1 \ge 0$ . If all  $x_i$ 's are positive, then

$$n = 27^5 + 26^5 + 25^5 + 17^5 + 16^5 + 16^5 + \sum_{i=1}^{17} x_i^5.$$

If one of the  $x_i$ 's is zero, then

$$n = 28^5 + 27^5 + 23^5 + 15^5 + 14^5 + 11^5 + 9^5 + \sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i^5.$$

If two of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

$$n = 30^{5} + 27^{5} + 15^{5} + 10^{5} + 5^{5} + 4^{5} + 3^{5} + 3^{5} + \sum_{i=1}^{15} x_{i}^{5}$$

This continues until, if 16 of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

$$n = 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 19^{5} + 18^{5}$$

Assuming Conjecture 3.13, that the largest positive integer not expressible as a sum of 17 non-negative fifth powers is 87918, then 39512917 + N = 39600835. In this case, every

n > 39600835 has a representation as the sum of exactly 23 positive fifth powers. It is left to check for which values of  $n \le 39600835$  are not the sum of 23 positive fifth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{23}^{5} = \{1, 2, \dots, 22\} \cup \{23 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{5}\} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{23}^{5}}.$$

The complete set of  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{23}^5}$  is listed in the Appendix, provided Conjecture 3.13 holds. For j = 24, consider n - 1 for n > 39600835 + 1. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 23 positive fifth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{23} x_i^5 + 1^5$  is a representation of n as the sum of 24 positive fifth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 39600835 + 1 are not the sum of 24 positive fifth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{24}^{5} = \{1, 2, \dots, 23\} \cup \{24 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{5}\} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{24}^{5}}.$$

The complete set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{24}^5}$  is listed in the Appendix, conditional on Conjecture 3.13. For j = 25, consider n - 1 for n > 39600835 + 2. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 24 positive fifth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{24} x_i^5 + 1^5$  is a representation of n as the sum of 25 positive fifth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 39600835 + 2 are not the sum of 25 positive fifth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{25}^5 = \{1, 2, \dots, 24\} \cup \{25 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^5\} \cup \mathbf{B}_{25}^5$$

The complete set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{25}^5}$  is listed in the Appendix, again conditional on Conjecture 3.13. This continues inductively, where each  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^5}$  is nonempty (and listed for completeness in the Appendix), until j = 57. For j = 57, consider n - 1 for n > 39600835 + 34. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 56 positive fifth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{56} x_i^5 + 1^5$  is a representation of n as the sum of 57 positive fifth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 39600835 + 32 are not the sum of 57 positive fifth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{57}^5 = \{1, 2, \dots, 56\} \cup \{57 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^5\} \cup \{9384\}$$

Note that  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{57}^5} = \emptyset$ . And, for j = 58, consider n - 1 for n > 39600835 + 33. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 57 positive fifth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{58} x_i^5 + 1^5$  is a representation of n as the sum of 58 positive fifth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 39600835 + 32 are not the sum of 58 positive fifth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{58}^5 = \{1, 2, \dots, 57\} \cup \{58 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^5\}.$$

Note that  $\mathbf{B}_{58}^5 = \{\beta + 1 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}_{57}^5\} \cup \{1\}$ . The largest value in  $\mathbf{B}_{57}^5$  is 6318, so in Theorem 4.18, m = 6318, k = 5, and j = 57. The first condition is satisfied, and the second condition evaluates to

$$\left[\sqrt[5]{6261 + \frac{6261}{31}}\right] = \left[\sqrt[5]{6318}\right].$$

Because Vaughan & Wooley [78] showed that  $G(5) \leq 17$  for sufficiently large positive integers, let n be sufficiently large. From here, the procedure could follow as in the proofs for the case of cubes and the case of fourths, and the proofs of Theorems 3.14 and 3.15 follow. Knowing that the proof works for sufficiently large n indicates that there must be a largest such n for which the statement of the Theorem fails. Conjecture 3.13 predicts that the largest such positive integer is 87918. The proof proceeds using this conjectured value. If the conjecture does not hold, then a substitution of the correct smallest such value is easily performed without any loss of validity to the proof. Note that 39512917 works in the proof of Theorems 3.14, & 3.15 because it is small enough for modest computers to find consecutive expressions as the sum of  $6, 7, 8, \ldots, 44$  positive fifth powers, but there are larger numbers that would improve the results of Theorems 3.14, & 3.15. For example, the smallest positive integer that is the sum of 2 and 3 positive fifth powers is known:

 $563661204304422162432 = 14132^5 + 220^5 = 14068^5 + 6237^5 + 5027^5.$ 

How far can this technique work for fifths?

There are almost certainly not positive integers that are the sum of  $2, 3, 4, \ldots, 40$  positive fifth powers, considering a density argument, but perhaps such a number exists yet is inaccessibly large. If so, this would allow proof of which numbers are not the sum of  $j \ge 19$  positive fifth powers. This is the theoretical limit that this proof technique will allow for positive fifth powers without further improvements in Waring's problem. One possible improvement that requires more investigation is the following:

**Conjecture 7.1.** Every positive integer greater than 77529941 is the sum of exactly 10 positive fifth powers.

# 8. Proof of Theorems 3.20 & 3.21

*Proof.* Observe that 237071474 has the marvelous property that it is the sum of  $9, 10, 11, \ldots, 69$  positive sixth powers.

$$\begin{aligned} 237071474 &= 22^6 + 21^6 + 14^6 + 14^6 + 14^6 + 14^6 + 14^6 + 8^6 + 5^6 \\ &= 22^6 + 19^6 + 18^6 + 16^6 + 16^6 + 13^6 + 12^6 + 10^6 + 8^6 + 4^6 \\ &= 20^6 + 20^6 + 20^6 + 16^6 + 16^6 + 14^6 + 12^6 + 10^6 + 3^6 + 3^6 + 2^6 \\ &\vdots \\ &= 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 + 13^6 \\ &+ 13^6 + 13^$$

The complete list of representations of 237071474 can be found in the Appendix. Let N be the largest positive integer not expressible as the sum of at most 24 sixth powers, and n > 237071474 + N. Consider when j = 33. By Lemma 3.17,  $n - 237071474 = \sum_{i=1}^{24} x_i^6$  where  $x_{24} \ge \ldots \ge x_1 \ge 0$ . If all  $x_i$ 's are positive, then

$$n = 22^{6} + 21^{6} + 14^{6} + 14^{6} + 14^{6} + 14^{6} + 14^{6} + 8^{6} + 5^{6} + \sum_{i=1}^{24} x_{i}^{6}.$$

If one of the  $x_i$ 's is zero, then

$$n = 22^{6} + 19^{6} + 18^{6} + 16^{6} + 16^{6} + 13^{6} + 12^{6} + 10^{6} + 8^{6} + 4^{6} + \sum_{i=1}^{23} x_{i}^{6}.$$

If two of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

$$n = 20^{6} + 20^{6} + 20^{6} + 16^{6} + 16^{6} + 14^{6} + 12^{6} + 10^{6} + 3^{6} + 3^{6} + 2^{6} + \sum_{i=1}^{22} x_{i}^{6}$$

This continues until, if 23 of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

$$n = 15^{6} + 15^{6}$$

Then, every n > 237071474 + N has a representation as the sum of exactly 33 positive sixth powers. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{B}_{33}^{6} = \{1, 2, \dots, 32\} \cup \{33 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{6}\} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{33}^{6}},\$$

where  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{33}^6}$  is a finite set. A conjectured complete set of  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{33}^6}$  is listed in the Appendix.

To get a numeric upper bound for  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{j}^{6}}$ , it is necessary to resort to the general result of Waring's problem. Since g(6) = 73 according to Pillai [56], every positive integer is the sum of either  $1, 2, \ldots$ , or 73 positive sixth powers. For an arbitrary positive integer n > 237071474, let  $n - 237071474 = \sum_{i=0}^{j} x_i^6$ , where n can be represented as a sum of jsixth powers. Then,  $237071474 = \sum_{i=1}^{82-j} y_i^6$  for some sequence of  $y_i$  depending on j. Hence,  $n = (n - 237071474) + 237071474 = \sum_{i=1}^{j} x_i^6 + \sum_{i=1}^{82-j} y_i^6$ , which is a sum of 82 positive sixth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 237071474 are not the sum of 82 positive sixth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{82}^6 = \{1, 2, \dots, 81\} \cup \{82 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^6\}.$$

Now, for j = 83, consider n - 1 for n > 237071474 + 1. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 82 positive sixth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{82} x_i^6 + 1^3$  is a representation of n as the sum of 83 positive sixth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 237071474 + 1 are not the sum of 83 positive sixth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{83}^6 = \{1, 2, \dots, 82\} \cup \{83 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^6\}.$$

Note that  $\mathbf{B}_{83}^6 = \{\beta + 1 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}_{82}^6\} \cup \{1\}$ . The largest value in  $\mathbf{B}_{82}^6$  is 715826, so in Theorem 4.18, m = 715826, k = 6, and j = 82. The first condition is satisfied, and the second condition evaluates to

$$\left[\sqrt[6]{715744 + \frac{715744}{63}}\right] = \left\lfloor\sqrt[6]{715826}\right].$$

Because Vaughan & Wooley [78] showed that  $G(6) \leq 24$ ; every sufficiently large positive integer must be the sum of at most 24 positive sixth powers. Conjecture 3.18 predicts that the largest such positive integer is 1414564. Hence, there must be a largest such n for which the statement of Theorem 3.20 fails. The proof proceeds using this conjectured value. If the conjecture does not hold, then a substitution of the correct smallest such value is easily performed without any loss of validity to the proof.

Note that 237071474 works in the proof of Theorem 3.20 because it is small enough for modest computers but there are larger numbers that would improve the results. How far can this technique work for positive sixth powers? There are almost certainly not positive integers

that are the sum of  $2, 3, 4, \ldots, 69$  positive sixth powers, considering a density argument, but perhaps such a number exists yet is inaccessibly large. If so, this would allow proof of which numbers are not the sum of  $j \ge 80$  positive sixth powers. This is the theoretical limit that this proof technique will allow for positive sixth powers without further improvements in Waring's problem. One possible improvement that requires more investigation is the following:

**Conjecture 8.1.** Every positive integer greater than 229182966 is the sum of 18 positive sixth powers.

## 9. Proof of Theorems 3.26 & 3.25

*Proof.* Observe that 340425660 has the marvelous property that it is the sum of  $18, 19, 20, \ldots, 32$  (and many more!) positive seventh powers.

$$\begin{aligned} & 340425660 = 15^7 + 13^7 + 13^7 + 12^7 + 8^7 + 8^7 + 8^7 + 7^7 + 6^7 + 6^7 + 6^7 + 6^7 + 6^7 + 3^7 \\ &\quad + 2^7 + 2^7 + 2^7 + 2^7 + 1^7 \\ &= 15^7 + 12^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 10^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 \\ &\quad + 8^7 + 6^7 + 3^7 + 3^7 + 2^7 \\ &= 15^7 + 14^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 9^7 + 6^7 + 6^7 + 6^7 + 4^7 + 4^7 + 4^7 + 4^7 + 3^7 + 2^7 \\ &\quad + 2^7 + 2^7 + 2^7 + 2^7 + 2^7 \end{aligned}$$

The complete list of representations of 340425660 can be found in the Appendix. Let N be the largest positive integer not expressible as the sum of at most 33 positive seventh powers. Let n > 340425660 + N and consider when j = 51. By Conjecture 3.23,  $n - 340425660 = \sum_{i=1}^{33} x_i^7$  where  $x_{51} \ge \ldots \ge x_1 \ge 0$ . If all  $x_i$ 's are positive, then

$$n = 15^{7} + 13^{7} + 13^{7} + 12^{7} + 8^{7} + 8^{7} + 8^{7} + 7^{7} + 6^{7} + 6^{7} + 6^{7} + 6^{7} + 3^{7} + 2^{7} + 2^{7} + 2^{7} + 2^{7} + 1^{7} + \sum_{i=1}^{33} x_{i}^{7}.$$

If one of the  $x_i$ 's is zero, then

$$\begin{split} n = & 15^7 + 12^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 11^7 + 10^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 + 9^7 \\ & + 8^7 + 6^7 + 3^7 + 3^7 + 3^7 + 2^7 + \sum_{i=1}^{32} x_i^7. \end{split}$$

This continues until, if 32 of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

$$n = 12^{7} + 12^{7} + 12^{7} + 12^{7} + 12^{7} + 11^{7} + 11^{7} + 11^{7} + 11^{7} + 11^{7} + 11^{7} + 11^{7} + 10^{7} + 10^{7} + 10^{7} + 9^{7} + 9^{7} + 8^{7} + 8^{7} + 8^{7} + 8^{7} + 8^{7} + 8^{7} + 8^{7} + 5^{7} + 4^{7} + 3^{7} + 2$$

Assuming Conjecture 3.23, that the largest positive integer not expressible as a sum of 33 non-negative seventh powers is 45987024, then 340425660 + N = 386412684. In this case, every n > 386412684 has a representation as the sum of exactly 51 positive seventh powers. It is left to check for which values of  $n \leq 386412684$  are not the sum of 51 positive seventh powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{51}^{7} = \{1, 2, \dots, 50\} \cup \{51 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{7}\} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{51}^{7}}.$$

The complete set of  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{51}^r}$  is listed in the Appendix, provided Conjecture 3.23 holds. For j = 52, consider n - 1 for n > 386412684 + 1. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 51 positive seventh powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{51} x_i^7 + 1^7$  is a representation of n as the sum of 52 positive seventh powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 386412684 + 1 are not the sum of 52 positive seventh powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{52}^7 = \{1, 2, \dots, 51\} \cup \{52 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^7\} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{52}^7}$$

The complete set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{52}^7}$  is listed in the Appendix, provided Conjecture 3.23 holds. For j = 53, consider n - 1 for n > 386412684 + 2. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 52 positive seventh powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{52} x_i^7 + 1^7$  is a representation of n as the sum of 53 positive seventh powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 386412684 + 2 are not the sum of 53 positive seventh powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{53}^{7} = \{1, 2, \dots, 52\} \cup \{53 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{7}\} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{53}^{7}}$$

The complete set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{53}^7}$  is listed in the Appendix, again provided Conjecture 3.23 holds. This continues inductively, where each  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^7}$  is nonempty, until j = 246. For j = 246, consider n-1 for n > 386412684 + 194. Because n-1 has a representation as a sum of 245 positive seventh powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{245} x_i^7 + 1^7$  is a representation of n as the sum of 246 positive seventh powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 386412684 + 194 are not the sum of 246 positive seventh positive seventh powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{246}^7 = \{1, 2, \dots, 245\} \cup \{246 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^7\}.$$

Note that  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{246}^7} = \emptyset$ . And, for j = 247, consider n - 1 for n > 386412684 + 195. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 246 positive seventh powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{246} x_i^7 + 1^7$  is a representation of n as the sum of 247 positive seventh powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 386412684 + 195 are not the sum of 247 positive seventh powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{247}^7 = \{1, 2, \dots, 246\} \cup \{247 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^7\}.$$

Note that  $\mathbf{B}_{247}^7 = \{\beta + 1 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}_{246}^7\} \cup \{1\}$ . The largest value in  $\mathbf{B}_{246}^7$  is 249077. Considering the conditions in Theorem 4.18, m = 249077, k = 7, and j = 246, the first condition is satisfied, and the second condition evaluates to

$$\left[\sqrt[7]{249077 + \frac{249077}{2^7 - 1}}\right] = \left\lfloor\sqrt[7]{249323}\right].$$

Note that 386412684 works in the proof of Theorems 3.26, & 3.25 because it is small enough for modest computers to find consecutive expressions as the sum of  $18, 19, \ldots, 32$  positive seventh powers, but there are (almost certainly) larger numbers that would improve these results. How far can this technique work for positive seventh powers?

There are almost certainly not positive integers that are the sum of  $2, 3, 4, \ldots, 32$  positive seventh powers, considering a density argument, but perhaps such a number exists yet is inaccessibly large. If so, this would allow proof of which numbers are not the sum of  $j \ge 35$ positive seventh powers. This is the theoretical limit that this proof technique will allow for positive seventh powers without further improvements in Waring's problem. One possible improvement that requires more investigation is the following:

**Conjecture 9.1.** Every positive integer greater than 9930770 is the sum of at most 33 positive seventh powers.

**Conjecture 9.2.** Every positive integer greater than 317476671 is the sum of exactly 25 positive seventh powers.

## 10. Proofs 8ths

*Proof.* Observe that 967214052 has the marvelous property that it is the sum of  $22, 23, 24, \ldots, 63$  (and many more!) positive eighth powers.

$$\begin{aligned} 286959055 &= 10^8 + 10^8 + 8^8 + 8^8 + 8^8 + 7^8 + 7^8 + 7^8 + 7^8 + 7^8 + 7^8 + 7^8 + 5^8 +$$

The complete list of representations of 28695055 are listed in the Appendix. Let N be the largest positive integer not expressible as the sum of at most 42 positive eighth powers. Let n > 286959055 + N and consider when j = 64. Despite not knowing the largest number that is not the sum of at most 42 positive eighth powers, Vaughan and Wooley [77] showed

that there exists a smallest such number; denote that smallest number by v. The proof will continue in the same manner as the previous proofs, with v substituted for a specific number. Choose any n > 286959055 + v. Then,  $n - 286959055 = \sum_{i=1}^{42} x_i^8$  where  $x_{42} \ge \ldots \ge x_1 \ge 0$ . If all  $x_i$ 's are positive, then

$$n = 10^{8} + 10^{8} + 8^{8} + 8^{8} + 8^{8} + 7^{8} + 7^{8} + 7^{8} + 7^{8} + 7^{8} + 7^{8} + 5^{8} + 5^{8} + 5^{8} + 5^{8} + 5^{8} + 5^{8} + 4^{8} + 3^{8} + 3^{8} + 3^{8} + 2^{8} + 1^{8} + \sum_{i=1}^{42} x_{i}^{8}.$$

If one of the  $x_i$ 's is zero, then

$$n = 9^{8} + 9^{8} + 9^{8} + 9^{8} + 9^{8} + 8^{8} + 8^{8} + 8^{8} + 8^{8} + 6^{8} + 6^{8} + 5^{8} + 5^{8} + 5^{8} + 4^{8} + 3^{8} + 3^{8} + 3^{8} + 3^{8} + 3^{8} + 2^{8} + 1^{8} + 1^{8} + 1^{8} + 1^{8} + \sum_{i=1}^{41} x_{i}^{8}.$$

This continues until, if 32 of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

$$n = 8^{8} + 8^{8} + 8^{8} + 8^{8} + 7^{8} +$$

To obtain a set with a definite upper bound, consider sums of 69 positive eighth powers. Let N be the largest integer that is not the sum of at most 47 eighth powers. Assuming Conjecture 3.23, that the largest positive integer not expressible as a sum of 47 positive eighth powers is 904339959, then 286959055 + N = 1191299014. In this case, every n > 1191299014 has a representation as the sum of exactly 69 positive eighth powers. It is left to check for which values of  $n \leq 1191299014$  are not the sum of 69 positive eighth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{69}^{8} = \{1, 2, \dots, 68\} \cup \{69 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{8} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{69}^{8}}\}.$$

The complete set of  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{69}^8}$  is listed in the Appendix, provided Conjecture 3.29 holds. For j = 70, consider n - 1 for n > 1191299014 + 1. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 69 positive eighth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{69} x_i^8 + 1^8$  is a representation of n as the sum of 70 positive eighth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 1191299014 + 1 are not the sum of 70 positive eighth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{70}^{8} = \{1, 2, \dots, 69\} \cup \{70 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{8} \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{70}^{8}}\}.$$

The complete set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{70}^8}$  is listed in the Appendix, provided Conjecture 3.29 holds. For j = 71, consider n - 1 for n > 1191299014 + 2. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 70 positive eighth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{70} x_i^8 + 1^8$  is a representation of n as the sum of 71 positive eighth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 1191299014 + 2 are not the sum of 71 positive eighth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{71}^8 = \{1, 2, \dots, 70\} \cup \{71 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^8 \cup \mathbf{B}_{71}^8\}.$$

The complete set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{71}^8}$  is listed in the Appendix, again provided Conjecture 3.29 holds. This continues inductively, where each  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^8}$  is nonempty, until j = 334. For j = 334, consider n-1 for n > 1191299014 + 266. Because n-1 has a representation as a sum of 333 positive eighth

powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{333} x_i^8 + 1^8$  is a representation of n as the sum of 334 positive eighth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 386412684 + 265 are not the sum of 334 positive eighth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{334}^8 = \{1, 2, \dots, 333\} \cup \{334 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^8\}.$$

Note that  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{334}^8} = \emptyset$ . And, for j = 335, consider n - 1 for n > 386412684 + 266. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 334 positive eighth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{334} x_i^8 + 1^8$  is a representation of n as the sum of 335 positive eighth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 1191299014 + 266 are not the sum of 335 positive eighth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{335}^{8} = \{1, 2, \dots, 334\} \cup \{334 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^{8}\}\$$

Note that  $\mathbf{B}_{335}^8 = \{\beta + 1 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}_{334}^8\} \cup \{1\}$ . The largest value in  $\mathbf{B}_{334}^8$  is 1890241. Considering the conditions in Theorem 4.18, m = 1890241, k = 8, and j = 334, the first condition is satisfied, and the second condition evaluates to

$$\left\lfloor\sqrt[8]{1890241 + \frac{1890241}{2^8 - 1}}\right\rfloor = \left\lfloor\sqrt[8]{1890488}\right\rfloor.$$

Because Vaughan & Wooley [78] showed that  $G(8) \leq 42$  for sufficiently large positive integers, the proof proceeds using the conjectured largest value that cannot be expressed as the sum of 42 positive eighth powers. If Conjecture 3.29 does not hold, then a substitution of the correct smallest such value is easily performed without any loss of validity to the proof.

Note that 386412684 works in the proof of Theorems 3.30, & 3.32 because it is small enough for modest computers to find consecutive expressions as the sum of  $22, 23, \ldots, 63$  positive eighth powers, but there are (almost certainly) larger numbers that would improve these results. How far can this technique work for positive eighth powers?

There are almost certainly not positive integers that are the sum of  $2, 3, 4, \ldots, 43$  positive eighth powers, considering a density argument, but perhaps such a number exists yet is inaccessibly large. If so, this would allow proof of which numbers are not the sum of  $j \ge 44$ positive eighth powers. This is the theoretical limit that this proof technique will allow for positive eighth powers without further improvements in Waring's problem. One possible improvement that requires more investigation is the following.

**Conjecture 10.1.** Every positive integer greater than 904339959 is the sum of exactly 47 positive eighth powers.

**Conjecture 10.2.** Every positive integer greater than 858367748 is the sum of at most 42 positive eighth powers.

## 11. Proofs 9ths

*Proof.* Observe that 967214052 has the marvelous property that it is the sum of  $67, 68, 69, \ldots, 187$  (and many more!) positive ninth powers.

$$967214052 = 8^9 + 8^9 + 8^9 + 8^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 5^9 + 2^9 + 7^9$$

 $+ 6^{9} + 5^{9} + 5$ 

The complete list of representations of 982835352 are listed in the Appendix. Let N be the largest positive integer not expressible as the sum of at most 50 positive ninth powers. Let n > 982835352 + N and consider when j = 117. Despite not knowing the largest number that is not the sum of at most 50 positive ninth powers, we know from Vaughan and Wooley [77] that there exists a smallest such number; denote that smallest number by v. The proof will continue in the same manner as the previous proofs, with v substituted for a specific number.

Choose any n > 982835352 + v. Then,  $n - 982835352 = \sum_{i=1}^{50} x_i^9$  where  $x_{50} \ge \ldots \ge x_1 \ge 0$ . If all  $x_i$ 's are positive, then

$$\begin{split} n = & 8^9 + 8^9 + 8^9 + 8^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 7^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 \\ &+ 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 2^9 + 2^9 + 2^9 \\ &+ 2^9 + 2^$$

If one of the  $x_i$ 's is zero, then

$$\begin{split} n = & 7^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 6^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 5^9 + 3^$$

This continues until, if 49 of the  $x_i$ 's are zero, then

$$\begin{split} n &= 6^9 + 5^9 + 5^9$$

To obtain a set with a definite upper bound, consider sums of 188 positive ninth powers. Let N be the largest integer that is not the sum of at most 121 ninth powers. Assuming Conjecture 3.36, that the largest positive integer not expressible as a sum of 121 positive ninth powers is 967214052, then 982835352 + N = 1950049404. In this case, every n > 1950049404 has a representation as the sum of exactly 188 positive ninth powers. It is left to check for

which values of  $n \leq 1950049404$  are not the sum of 188 positive ninth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{188}^9 = \{1, 2, \dots, 187\} \cup \{188 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^9 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{188}^9}\}.$$

The complete set of  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{188}^9}$  is listed in the Appendix, provided Conjecture 3.36 holds. For j = 189, consider n - 1 for n > 1950049404 + 1. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 188 positive ninth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{188} x_i^9 + 1^9$  is a representation of n as the sum of 189 positive ninth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 1950049404 + 1 are not the sum of 189 positive ninth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{189}^9 = \{1, 2, \dots, 188\} \cup \{189 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^9 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{189}^9}\}.$$

The complete set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{189}^9}$  is listed in the Appendix, provided Conjecture 3.36 holds. For j = 190, consider n - 1 for n > 1950049404 + 2. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 189 positive ninth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{189} x_i^9 + 1^9$  is a representation of n as the sum of 190 positive ninth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 1950049404 + 2 are not the sum of 190 positive ninth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{190}^9 = \{1, 2, \dots, 189\} \cup \{190 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^9 \cup \overline{\mathbf{B}_{190}^9}\}\$$

The complete set  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{190}^9}$  is listed in the Appendix, again provided Conjecture 3.36 holds. This continues inductively, where each  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_j^9}$  is nonempty, until j = 717. For j = 717, consider n-1 for n > 1950049404 + 529. Because n-1 has a representation as a sum of 716 positive ninth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{716} x_i^9 + 1^9$  is a representation of n as the sum of 717 positive ninth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 1950049404 + 529 are not the sum of 717 positive ninth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{717}^9 = \{1, 2, \dots, 716\} \cup \{717 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^9\}.$$

Note that  $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{717}^9} = \emptyset$ . And, for j = 718, consider n - 1 for n > 1950049404 + 530. Because n - 1 has a representation as a sum of 717 positive ninth powers, then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{717} x_i^9 + 1^9$  is a representation of n as the sum of 718 positive ninth powers. It is left to check for which values of n < 1950049404 + 530 are not the sum of 718 positive ninth powers:

$$\mathbf{B}_{718}^9 = \{1, 2, \dots, 717\} \cup \{718 + \beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}^9\}.$$

Note that  $\mathbf{B}_{718}^9 = \{\beta + 1 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{B}_{717}^9\} \cup \{1\}$ . The largest value in  $\mathbf{B}_{718}^9$  is 6465115. Considering the conditions in Theorem 4.18, m = 6465114, k = 9, and j = 717, the first condition is satisfied, and the second condition evaluates to

$$\left\lfloor\sqrt[9]{6464397 + \frac{6464397}{2^8 - 1}}\right\rfloor = \left\lfloor\sqrt[9]{6465114}\right\rfloor.$$

Because Vaughan & Wooley [78] showed that  $G(9) \leq 50$  for sufficiently large positive integers, the proof proceeds using the conjectured largest value that cannot be expressed as the sum of 50 positive ninth powers. If Conjecture 3.36 does not hold, then a substitution of the correct smallest such value is easily performed without any loss of validity to the proof.

Note that 982835352 works in the proof of Theorems 3.37, & 3.38 because it is small enough for modest computers to find consecutive expressions as the sum of  $67, 68, \ldots, 187$  positive ninth powers, but there are (almost certainly) larger numbers that would improve these results. How far can this technique work for positive ninth powers?

There are almost certainly not positive integers that are the sum of  $2, 3, 4, \ldots, 122$  positive ninth powers, considering a density argument, but perhaps such a number exists yet is inaccessibly large. If so, this would allow proof of which numbers are not the sum of  $j \ge 123$ positive ninth powers. This is the theoretical limit that this proof technique will allow for positive ninth powers without further improvements in Waring's problem. One possible improvement that requires more investigation is the following.

**Conjecture 11.1.** Every positive integer greater than 967214052 is the sum of exactly 121 positive ninth powers.

# 12. FINAL THOUGHTS

For higher powers, where k > 9, almost certainly something analogous occurs. Eventually, j and n become sufficiently large that there are enough small positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers to piece together a representation of n. There is every reason to believe that higher powers contain similar patterns, and there appears to be much room for further investigation.

One line of inquiry that seems natural to consider is what happens when the summands  $x_i^k$  are restricted by some parameter.

Question 12.1. What positive integers cannot be written as the sum of *distinct* positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers?

Question 12.2. What positive integers cannot be written as the sum of *prime* positive  $k^{\text{th}}$  powers?

# DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

None of the authors have received any financial support regarding this manuscript.

## References

- S. Ahlgren and J. Lovejoy. The arithmetic of partitions into distinct parts. Mathematika, 48(1-2):203-211, 2001.
- [2] W. S. Baer. Beiträge zum Waringschen problem. W. Fr. Kaestner, 1913.
- [3] R. Balasubramanian, J.-M. Deschouillers, and F. Dress. Problèm de Waring pour les bicarrés, 1: Schéma de la solution. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér., 303:85–88, 1986.
- [4] R. Balasubramanian, J.-M. Deshouillers, and F. Dress. Problèm de Waring pour les bicarrés, 1: Schéma de la solution. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér., 303:85–88, 1986.
- [5] P.M. Batchelder. Waring's problem. The American Mathematical Monthly, 43(1):21-27, 1936.
- [6] B. Benfield, O. Lippard, and A. Roy. End behavior of Ramanujan's taxicab numbers. Recent, 2023.
- [7] B. Benfield, M. Paul, and A. Roy. Turán inequalities for k-th power partition functions. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 529(1):127678, 2024.
- [8] F. Bertault, O. Ramaré, and P. Zimmermann. On sums of seven cubes. Mathematics of Computation, 68(227):1303–1310, 1999.
- [9] K. D. Boklan and N. D. Elkies. Every multiple of 4 except 212, 364, 420, and 428 is the sum of seven cubes. arXiv preprint arXiv:0903.4503, 2009.
- [10] J. Brüdern. On Waring's problem for fifth powers and some related topics. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(3):457–479, 1990.
- [11] J. Brüdern and T. D. Wooley. On Waring's problem for larger powers, 2022.
- [12] D. Burton. Elementary Number Theory. McGraw Hill, 2010.
- [13] J. R. Chen. On Waring's problem for n-th powers. Acta Mathematica, 8:253–257, 1958.
- [14] J. R. Chen. Waring's problem for g(5)=37. Scientia Sinica, 8:1547–1568, 1964.
- [15] S. Chowla. An extension of Heilbronn's class-number theorem. The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 1:304–307, 1934.

#### BRENNAN BENFIELD AND OLIVER LIPPARD

- [16] H. Davenport. On Waring's problem for fourth powers. Annals of Mathematics, pages 731–747, 1939.
- [17] H. Davenport. On Waring's problem for cubes. Acta Mathematica, 71(none):123 143, 1939.
- [18] R. Descartes. Oeuvres. Letters to Mersenne from July 27 and Aug 23, 1638. Paris.
- [19] J.-M. Deshouillers. Sur la majoration de sommes de Weyl biquadratiques. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze, 19(2):291–304, 1992.
- [20] J.-M. Deshouillers and F. Dress. Sommes de diviseurs et structure multiplicative des entiers. Acta Arithmetica, 49(4):341–375, 1988.
- [21] J.-M. Deshouillers and F. Dress. Sums of 19 biquadrates: on the representation of large integers. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze, 19(1):113–153, 1992.
- [22] J.-M. Deshouillers and F. Dress. Numerical results for sums of five and seven biquadrates and consequences for sums of 19 biquadrates. *Mathematics of Computation*, 61(203):195–207, 1993.
- [23] J.-M. Deshouillers, F. Hennecart, and B. Landreau. Waring's problem for sixteen biquadrates. numerical results. Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux, 12(2):411–422, 2000.
- [24] J.-M. Deshouillers, F. Hennecart, B. Landreau, and I. G. P. Purnaba. 7373170279850. Mathematics of Computation, 69(229):421–439, 2000.
- [25] J.-M. Deshouillers, K. Kawada, and T. D. Wooley. On sums of sixteen biquadrates. Société mathématique de France, 2005.
- [26] L. E. Dickson. Extensions of Waring's theorem on nine cubes. The American Mathematical Monthly, 34(4):177–183, 1927.
- [27] L. E. Dickson. Simpler proofs of Waring's theorem on cubes, with various generalizations. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 30(1):1–18, 1928.
- [28] L. E. Dickson. The Waring problem and its generalizations. 1936.
- [29] L. E. Dickson. All integers except 23 and 239 are sums of eight cubes. 1939.
- [30] F. Dress. Sur le problème de Waring pour les puissances quatrièmes. CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. AB, 272, 1971.
- [31] E. Dubouis. Solution of a problem of J. Tannery. Intermédiaire Math, 18:55–56, 1911.
- [32] L. Euler. Resolutio formulae Diophanteae ab(maa+nbb) = cd(mcc+ndd) per numeros rationales. Nova Acta Academiae Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitanae, 1802.
- [33] B. Farhi. On the representation of an even perfect number as the sum of a limited number of cubes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.07322, 2015.
- [34] A. Gafni. Power partitions. Journal of Number Theory, 163:19–42, 2016.
- [35] E. Grosswald. Representations of Integers as Sums of Squares. Springer New York, NY, 1985.
- [36] E. Grosswald, A. Calloway, and J. Calloway. The representation of integers by three positive squares. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 10(3):451–455, 1959.
- [37] G. H. Hardy. Some famous problems of the theory of numbers and in particular Waring's problem: an inaugural lecture delivered before the University of Oxford, volume 6. Claredon Press, 1920.
- [38] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. Some problems of 'partitio numerorum'(vi): Further researches in Waring's problem. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 23(1):1–37, 1925.
- [39] D. Hilbert. Beweis für die darstellbarkeit der ganzen zahlen durch eine feste anzahl n-ter potenzen (Waringsches problem). In Gesammelte Abhandlungen, pages 510–527. Springer, 1932.
- [40] A. Hurwitz. Mathematische Werke: Zweiter Band Zahlentheorie Algebra und Geometrie. Springer-Verlag, 2019.
- [41] C. G. J. Jacobi. Über die Zusammensetzung der Zahlen aus ganzen positiven Cuben; nebst einer Tabelle für die kleinste Cubenanzahl, aus welcher jede zahl bis 12000 zusammengesetzt werden kann. 1851.
- [42] A. A. Karatsuba. On the function G(n) in Waring's problem. Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya, 49(5):935–947, 1985.
- [43] K. Kawada and T. D. Wooley. Sums of fourth powers and related topics. 1999.
- [44] A. Kempner. Bemerkungen zum Waringschen Problem. Mathematische Annalen, 72(3):387–399, 1912.
- [45] D. Kim and A. J. Yee. A note on partitions into distinct parts and odd parts. The Ramanujan Journal, 3:227–231, 1999.
- [46] J. M. Kubina and M. C. Wunderlich. Extending Waring's conjecture to 471,600,000. Mathematics of Computation, 55(192):815–820, 1990.
- [47] J. L. Lagrange. Démonstration d'un orème d'arithmétique. Nouveaux mémoires de l'Académie royale des sciences et belles-lettres de Berlin, Anneé, page 123–133, 1770.

- [48] E. Landau. Uber eine anwendung der primzahltheorie auf das Waringsche problem in der elementaren zahlentheorie. *Mathematische Annalen*, 66(1):102–105, 1908.
- [49] U.V. Linnik. On the representation of large numbers as sums of seven cubes. Matematicheskii Sbornik, 12(2):218–224, 1943.
- [50] K. Mahler. On the fractional parts of the powers of a rational number (ii). Mathematika, 4(2):122–124, 1957.
- [51] K. S. McCurley. An effective seven cube theorem. Journal of Number Theory, 19(2):176–183, 1984.
- [52] I. Niven. Integers of quadratic fields as sums of squares. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 48(3):405–417, 1940.
- [53] I. Niven. An unsolved case of the Waring problem. American Journal of Mathematics, 66(1):137–143, 1944.
- [54] I. Niven and H. S. Zuckerman. Variations on sums of squares. Pi Mu Epsilon Journal, 4(10):407–410, 1969.
- [55] G. Pall. On sums of squares. The American Mathematical Monthly, 40(1):10–18, 1933.
- [56] S. Pillai. On Waring's problem g(6)= 73. In Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Section A, volume 12, pages 30–40. Springer India New Delhi, 1940.
- [57] O. Ramaré. An explicit result of the sum of seven cubes. Manuscripta Mathematica, 124(1):59-75, 2007.
- [58] F. Romani. Computations concerning Waring's problem for cubes. Calcolo, 19(4):415–431, 1982.
- [59] S. Siksek. Every integer greater than 454 is the sum of at most seven positive cubes. Algebra Number Theory, 10(10):2093–2119, 2016.
- [60] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A000534. http://oeis.org/A000534.
- [61] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A002804. http://oeis.org/A002804.
- [62] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A057905. http://oeis.org/A057905.
- [63] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A057906. http://oeis.org/A057906.
- [64] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A057907. http://oeis.org/A057907.
- [65] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A332107. http://oeis.org/A332107.
- [66] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A332108. http://oeis.org/A332108.
- [67] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A332109. http://oeis.org/A332109.
- [68] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A332110. http://oeis.org/A332101.
- [69] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences A332111. http://oeis.org/A332111.
- [70] N. J. A. Sloane and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. http://oeis.org/, 2023.
- [71] R. M. Stemmler. The ideal Waring theorem for exponents 401-200,000. Mathematics of Computation, 18(85):144–146, 1964.
- [72] H. E. Thomas. A numerical approach to Waring's problem for fourth powers. University of Michigan, 1973.
- [73] H. E. Thomas. Waring's problem for twenty-two biquadrates. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 193:427–430, 1974.
- [74] K. Tong. On Waring's problem. Advancement in Math, 3(3):602–607, 1957.
- [75] R. C. Vaughan. A new iterative method in Waring's problem. Acta Mathematica, (162):1–71, 1989.
- [76] R. C. Vaughan. Squares: additive questions and partitions. International Journal of Number Theory, 11(05):1367–1409, 2015.
- [77] R. C. Vaughan and T. D. Wooley. Further improvements in Waring's problem, ii: sixth powers. Duke Mathematical Journal, 76(3):683–710, 1994.

- [78] R. C Vaughan and T. D. Wooley. Further improvements in Waring's problem. 1995.
- [79] I. M. Vinogradov. A new estimate for G(n) in Waring's problem. In Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, volume 5, pages 249–253, 1934.
- [80] I. M. Vinogradov. A new solution of Waring's problem. In Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, volume 2, pages 337–341, 1934.
- [81] I. M. Vinogradov. A new solution of Waring's problem. In Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, volume 2, pages 337–341, 1934.
- [82] I. M. Vinogradov. On some new results in the analytic theory of numbers. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér, (199):174–175, 1934.
- [83] I. M. Vinogradov. On the upper bound of G(n) in Waring's problem. Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya, (10):1455–1469, 1934.
- [84] I. M. Vinogradov. A new variant of the demonstration of Waring's theorem. Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni VA Steklova, 9:5–15, 1935.
- [85] I. M. Vinogradov. A new variant of the demonstration of Waring's theorem. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér., 200:182–184, 1935.
- [86] I. M. Vinogradov. On Waring's problem. Annals of Mathematics, 36:395–405, 1935.
- [87] I. M. Vinogradov. The method of trigonometrical sums in the theory of numbers. Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni VA Steklova, 23:3–109, 1947.
- [88] I. M. Vinogradov. On an upper bound for G(n). Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 23:637–642, 1959.
- [89] E. Waring. Ix. on the general resolution of algebraical equations. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, (69):86–104, 1779.
- [90] G. L. Watson. A proof of the seven cube theorem. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 1(2):153– 156, 1951.
- [91] P. Weinberger. Exponents of the class groups of complex quadratic fields. Acta Arithmetica, 22(2):117– 124, 1973.
- [92] A. Wieferich. Beweis des satzes, daß sich eine jede ganze zahl als summe von höchstens neun positiven kuben darstellen läßt. *Mathematische Annalen*, 66(1):95–101, 1908.
- [93] T. D. Wooley. Large improvements in Waring's problem. Annals of Mathematics, 135(1):131–164, 1992.
- [94] E. M. Wright. Asymptotic partition formulae. III. Partitions into k-th powers. Acta Mathematica, 63(1):143–191, 1934.

#### Appendix

The Appendix for this paper can be found by clicking or scanning the qr-code below:



BRENNAN BENFIELD: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAR-OLINA AT CHARLOTTE, 9201 UNIVERSITY CITY BLVD., CHARLOTTE, NC 28223, USA

OLIVER LIPPARD: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAR-OLINA AT CHARLOTTE, 9201 UNIVERSITY CITY BLVD., CHARLOTTE, NC 28223, USA