

# On a coarse invertibility spectrum for coarse groups

Leo Schäfer and Federico Vigolo

April 15, 2024

## Abstract

We introduce a coarse algebraic invariant for coarse groups and use it to differentiate various coarsifications of the group of integers. This lets us answer two questions posed by Leitner and the second author. The invariant is obtained by considering the set of exponents  $n$  such that taking  $n$ -th powers defines a coarse equivalence of the coarse group.

## 1 Introduction

A *coarse group* is a coarse space with operations that are controlled and satisfy the group axioms up to uniformly bounded error. Equivalently, it is a group object in the category of coarse spaces. In this work we will be mostly concerned with some rather concrete examples of coarse groups, and our arguments require very little coarse geometric background. For this reason, we will avoid formally introducing all the relevant notions, and refer the interested reader to [4] for an in-depth introduction to the subject.

We do however wish to quickly give the flavor of the relevant notions. So, without entering the details, a *coarse space*  $\mathbf{X}$  is a set  $X$  together with a *coarse structure*  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is,  $X$  is a set where there is a well defined notion of “uniform boundedness”. Typical examples are given by metric spaces—which we also call *metric coarse spaces*: in this case uniform boundedness simply means “uniformly bounded diameter”.

A *coarse map*  $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an equivalence class of controlled functions. Here, a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *controlled* if it sends uniformly bounded subsets to uniformly bounded subsets, and two functions  $f, f'$  are equivalent if they are *close* (that is, the images  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  stay uniformly close to one another as  $x \in X$  varies). Coarse spaces and coarse maps are the objects and morphisms of the category of coarse spaces. A *coarse equivalence* is a coarse map that has a coarse inverse (*i.e.* an isomorphism in the category of coarse spaces). One natural example of coarse equivalence which is dear

to geometric group theorists is (the equivalence class of) a quasi-isometry between metric spaces.

A *coarse group* is a coarse space  $\mathbf{G}$  together with a coarse map  $\ast: \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  that satisfies the group axioms within the *coarse category*. Something that is not so evident from the definition is how restrictive the requirement that  $\ast$  be a coarse map is. Namely, if  $(G, \ast)$  is a group and  $d$  is some metric on  $G$ , then  $\mathbf{G} = (G, d)$  is a coarse space, but there is no reason for  $\ast: G \times G \rightarrow G$  to be controlled. Not even if  $d$  is left-invariant! In fact, it is not hard to show that  $\ast = [\ast]: \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  is a well-defined coarse map if and only if  $d$  is (coarsely equivalent to) a bi-invariant metric on  $G$  [4, Lemma 8.2.1]. On the bright side, there are a number of groups of interest that come naturally equipped with bi-invariant metrics, and can hence be studied as coarse groups. We refer to [4, Section 8.3] and references therein for a list of such examples.

A *coarse homomorphism* between two coarse groups  $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$  is a coarse map  $f: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  that commutes with the coarse-group operations up to uniformly bounded error (*i.e.* such that  $f(g_1) \ast f(g_2)$  stays uniformly close to  $f(g_1 \ast g_2)$ ). It is a *coarse isomorphism* if it is coarsely invertible. In other words, coarse homomorphisms and isomorphisms are just homomorphism and isomorphism among the group objects of the coarse category. Instructive examples of coarse homomorphisms are given by quasi-morphisms, which appear in connection with bounded cohomology and stable commutator length.

Naturally, one may be interested in classifying or distinguishing coarse groups up to coarse isomorphism. As it turns out, this is rather difficult to do in practice. The first observation is that coarsely isomorphic coarse groups must be coarsely equivalent, so one may wish to use coarse geometric tools to distinguish them. Alas, this route is largely precluded because coarse groups tend to be rather unwieldy spaces and not many useful invariants are known that may help in telling them apart. For instance, a metric coarse group that is not coarsely abelian will basically never be locally compact: in this setting most of the tools that have been developed in geometric group theory cannot be used.

Instead, the approach we follow in this note is to introduce a new coarse-algebraic invariant (spectra of power invertibility) that is simple enough to compute and refined enough to actually tell apart some coarse groups. We compute this invariant for certain coarsifications of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (Theorems 3.11 and 4.4), and we deduce that these coarsifications give rise to non-isomorphic coarse groups. This answers two questions of [4].

**Acknowledgements.** This work is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) as part of the GRK 2491: Fourier Analysis and Spectral Theory – Project-ID 398436923.

## 2 Spectra of power invertibility

Let  $\mathbf{G} = (G, \mathcal{E})$  be a coarse group. We consider the  $n$ -th power map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_n: G & \longrightarrow & G \\ g & \longmapsto & \underbrace{g * \cdots * g}_{n \text{ times}} \end{array}$$

( $\mu_0$  is the map sending the whole of  $\mathbf{G}$  to the neutral element). Observe that  $g * \cdots * g$  is not a well-defined element of  $G$ , because the operation  $*$  is only coarsely associative. However, the points obtained by choosing different orders of associations are uniformly close to one another and therefore  $\mu_n$  is coarsely well-defined. More abstractly,  $\mu_n$  can be defined as the composition of the diagonal embedding with the appropriate number of iterations of the group operation

$$G \xrightarrow{\Delta} G^n \xrightarrow{* \dots *} G. \quad (2.1)$$

This approach makes it clear that  $\mu_n$  is a coarse map. We are interested in the following set:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\boldsymbol{G}) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \boldsymbol{\mu}_n \text{ is a coarse equivalence}\}.$$

Observe that for every  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\mu_n \circ \mu_m = \mu_{nm} = \mu_m \circ \mu_n. \quad (2.2)$$

This has some interesting consequences. Since compositions of coarse equivalences are coarse equivalences, it follows that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G})$  is closed under multiplication. More interestingly, it is also closed under division. Namely, if  $n \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G})$  and  $m \mid n$ , then the composition  $\mu_{n/m} \circ \mu_n^{-1}$  is a coarse inverse for  $\mu_m$ , hence  $m \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G})$ . In other words, if we let

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}) := \{p \text{ prime} : \mu_p \text{ is a coarse equivalence}\}$$

we see that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G})$  is the sub-semigroup of  $\mathbb{N}$  generated by  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}) \cup \{1\}$ .

*Remark 2.1.* Technically, the statement about semigroup generation is slightly problematic if one includes 0 in their definition of  $\mathbb{N}$ —as we do. Observe however, that 0 is in  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G})$  if and only if  $\mathbf{G}$  is bounded as a coarse space or, equivalently, it is coarsely isomorphic to the trivial coarse group  $\{1\}$ . This seems a good enough reason not to worry about this issue here.

One may extend the definition of  $\mu_-$  to negative integers by taking inverses:

$$G \xrightarrow{[-]^{-1}} G \xrightarrow{\Delta} G^n \xrightarrow{*\cdots*} G.$$

$\mu_{-n}$

It is then natural to set

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{G}) := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \mu_k \text{ is a coarse equivalence}\}.$$

However, no additional information is gained by doing so. In fact, observe that (2.2) remains true with  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and that  $\mu_{-1}$  is always a coarse equivalence because  $[-]^{-1}$  is an involution. It then follows that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G}) \cup -\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G})$ .

It is also rather natural to consider the set

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}) = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} : p, q \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{G}), q \neq 0 \right\}$$

of rational exponents that define a coarse equivalence, or its restriction to the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{Q}_+$  of strictly positive rationals

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}_+}(\mathbf{G}) := \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}) \cap \mathbb{Q}_+.$$

The former is a multiplicative group whenever  $\mathbf{G}$  is non-trivial (so that  $0 \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G})$ ), the latter is always a group. Alternatively,  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G})$  consists of the set of rational numbers  $p/q$  (with  $p, q$  coprime) such that  $\mu_{p/q} := \mu_p \circ \mu_q^{-1}$  is well-defined and coarsely invertible.

Once again, no new information is gained. In fact,  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}_+}(\mathbf{G}) \leq \mathbb{Q}_+$  is the multiplicative subgroup generated by  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G})$  and, if  $\mathbf{G}$  is not trivial, we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}_+}(\mathbf{G}) \cup -\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}_+}(\mathbf{G}).$$

Vice versa, since  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G})$  is closed under division, we have that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}) \cap \mathbb{N}$ .

In summary, all the sets  $\mathfrak{S}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{G})$  above defined essentially contain the same amount of information about the coarse algebraic structure of  $\mathbf{G}$ .<sup>1</sup> We call them *spectra of power invertibility*. Which spectrum is most convenient to use will presumably vary depending on the situation. The best choice for the rest of this note will be the prime one  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G})$ .

Let now  $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$  be two arbitrary coarse groups, and let  $\mu_n^{\mathbf{G}}, \mu_n^{\mathbf{H}}$  denote their respective power functions. Almost by definition, if  $f: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  is a coarse homomorphism then

$$f \circ \mu_n^{\mathbf{G}} = \mu_n^{\mathbf{H}} \circ f.$$

Since coarse isomorphisms are coarse equivalences, it follows that the spectra of power invertibility are indeed invariant under coarse isomorphism. The following example is due:

---

<sup>1</sup>The only discrepancies appear if  $\mathbf{G}$  is the trivial coarse group: since triviality is witnessed by coarse invertibility of  $\mu_0$ , the spectra  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{G}), \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{G}), \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G})$  recognize whether  $\mathbf{G}$  is trivial, while  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}), \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}_+}(\mathbf{G})$  do not.

*Example 2.2.* Consider  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the metric defined by the Euclidean absolute value  $|\cdot|$ . We may then see  $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|)$  as a coarse group, and in this case  $\mu_n$  is nothing but (the equivalence class of) the multiplication function  $\mu_n: k \mapsto nk$ . It is evident that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the function  $\mu_n$  is a coarse equivalence, *e.g.* the function  $k \mapsto \lfloor k/n \rfloor$  is a coarse inverse for it. This shows that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|) = \mathcal{P}$  is the set of all primes.

An alternative way to compute this is to note that  $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|)$  is coarsely isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  (consider the inclusion and the floor function). Then  $\mathfrak{S}_{Q_+}(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|) = \mathfrak{S}_{Q_+}(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ , and the latter is obviously the whole of  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ .

In the rest of this note, we shall compute the power invertibility spectra for some concrete examples and use them to tell apart certain non-locally finite abelian coarse groups obtained as coarsifications of the integers. Before doing so, we end this section with a few remarks.

*Remark 2.3.* A coarse group  $\mathbf{G}$  is *coarsely abelian* if  $g_1 * g_2$  and  $g_2 * g_1$  stay uniformly close to one another as  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{G}$  vary. As to be expected, coarsely abelian coarse groups are much easier to investigate than their general counterpart. One useful remark is that if  $\mathbf{G}$  is coarsely abelian then power maps  $\mu_n$  are coarse homomorphisms for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is also easy to see that the converse holds. Namely, if  $\mu_2$  is a coarse homomorphism then  $\mathbf{G}$  is coarsely abelian.

*Remark 2.4.* Computing power invertibility spectra for coarse groups that are not coarsely abelian is a considerably harder task, and it seems legitimate to expect that they will often be trivial. The world of groups is however large and wild, so it should be possible to construct examples with interesting power invertibility spectra. For instance, it is proved in [1] that there exists a non-abelian group  $G$  with the property that every element  $g \in G$  admits a unique  $n$ -th root. It seems likely that such a group admits a connected coarse structure  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathbf{G} = (G, \mathcal{E})$  is a coarse group that is not coarsely abelian and has  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathcal{P}$ .

In the above, a coarse structure on  $G$  is *connected* if every finite subset of  $G$  is bounded. From a coarse algebraic point of view, these are the most interesting examples of coarse groups, because every coarse group can be decomposed into a coarsely connected coarse group and a *trivially coarse* group (*i.e.* a group equipped with the trivial coarse structure where the only bounded sets are singletons), see [4, Corollary 7.3.2].

*Remark 2.5.* A coarse equivalence  $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is always *proper*. Explicitly, if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a representative for  $\mathbf{f}$  then it must be the case that for every bounded set  $B \subseteq Y$  the preimage  $f^{-1}(B)$  must also be bounded. This simple observation is all we will need in the proofs of [Theorems 3.11](#) and [4.4](#).

*Remark 2.6.* One may define analogous invariants by considering different properties of  $\mu_n$ . For example, being a coarse embedding would be more or less analogous to the (coarse) uniqueness of  $n$ -th roots.

Alternatively, one may also consider coarse geometric properties of more sophisticated mappings than taking powers. Namely, for any word  $w \in F_d$  in the alphabet with  $d$ -symbols one may consider the associated *word map*  $\mathbf{w}: \mathbf{G}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ . For instance, the word  $w = [a_1, a_2]$  defines the mapping  $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  sending  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{G}$  to their (coarse) commutator  $g_1 * g_2 * g_1^{-1} * g_2^{-1}$ , while the word  $w = a^n$  recovers the power map  $\mu_n$  we have been using all along. Properties such as coarse invertibility, triviality, surjectivity of a given word map  $\mathbf{w}$  would then be invariant under coarse isomorphism.

*Remark 2.7.* The description of  $\mu_n$  given in (2.1) makes it clear that the definition of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(-)$  makes sense for group objects within any category, and all the properties we discussed above remain valid. For example, in the category of sets  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(G)$  becomes the set of exponents such that taking the  $n$ -th power defines a bijection of  $G$  onto itself. In the special case where  $G$  is a finite group (in the category of sets), the invariant  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(G)$  is just the set of numbers that are coprime to the order of the group.

### 3 Power invertibility spectra of word-metrics on $\mathbb{Z}$

In this section we consider certain word-metrics on  $\mathbb{Z}$  associated with infinite generating sets. Namely, given  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $g \geq 2$  we let

$$S_g = \{\pm g^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and consider  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the *word metric*  $d_g$  defined by the generating set  $S_g$ . In this setting, the *word length*  $\ell_g(n)$  of an integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the minimal number of elements in  $S_g$  adding up to  $n$ , and the word metric  $d_g(n, m) := \ell_g(n - m)$  is the induced addition-invariant distance.

An equivalent way of saying this is that we give  $\mathbb{Z}$  the path-metric defined by the Cayley graph  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}, S_g)$ . This metric defines a coarse structure on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which we denote by  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_g)}$ . It is not hard to see that  $d_g$  is an unbounded metric, and therefore  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_g)})$  is an “interesting” coarse group, *i.e.*  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_g)})$  is not coarsely isomorphic to the trivial group. On the other hand, it is much harder to compare the metric structures induced by different choices of  $g$ . The following is the main theorem of [5]:

**Theorem 3.1** ([5]). *Given  $g_1, g_2 \geq 2$  integer,  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_{g_1})} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_{g_2})}$  if and only if  $g_1^n = g_2^m$  for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Remark 3.2.* The statement of [5, Main Theorem] is given in terms of bi-Lipschitz equivalence. This is however equivalent to the statement of [Theorem 3.1](#): the metric coarse structures coincide if and only if the metrics are coarsely equivalent; two word metrics are coarsely equivalent if and only if they are quasi-isometric; two uniformly discrete metrics are quasi-isometric if and only if they are bi-Lipschitz equivalent.

Another way of phrasing [Theorem 3.1](#), is that if  $g_1^n \neq g_2^m$  for every choice of  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  then the identity function  $\text{id}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  does not define a coarse isomorphism of coarse groups. This however does not preclude the existence of a coarse isomorphism defined by some function other than the identity. Namely, the following is a much harder question:

**Question 3.3.** *Is it the case that  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_{g_1})})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_{g_2})})$  are isomorphic coarse groups if and only if  $g_1^n = g_2^m$  for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ?*

Using power invertibility spectra, we shall make good progress towards a positive answer to the above (see [Corollary 3.12](#)). In particular, we will positively answer the following:

**Question 3.4** ([\[4, Question 9.3.1\]](#)). *Is it true that  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_2)})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_3)})$  are not coarsely isomorphic?*

To compute  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_g)})$  it will be very convenient to use the  $g$ -adic representations constructed by Nathanson in [\[7\]](#). Namely, we will use the following.

**Theorem 3.5** ([\[7, Theorems 3 and 6\]](#)). *Given  $g \geq 2$ , every integer  $k$  has a unique representation of the form*

$$k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i g^i$$

*such that*

1.  $\epsilon_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \lfloor g/2 \rfloor\}$  for all  $i$ ,
2.  $\epsilon_i \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $i$ ,
3. if  $|\epsilon_i| = g/2$ , then  $|\epsilon_{i+1}| \neq g/2$  and  $\epsilon_i \epsilon_{i+1} \geq 0$

*(condition 3 is vacuous if  $g$  is odd). Moreover,  $k$  has word length*

$$\ell_g(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_i|.$$

The representations as in [Theorem 3.5](#) are called *special  $g$ -adic representations*. The equality  $\ell_g(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_i|$  can be understood as saying that the special  $g$ -adic representations define geodesic paths from 0 to  $k$  in  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}, S_g)$ .

*Remark 3.6.* In fact, the ‘moreover’ part is the main result of [Theorem 3.5](#). This is proven by showing that any writing of  $k$  as a sum of  $\pm g^i$  (i.e. a path from 0 to  $k$  in  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}, S_g)$ ) can be algorithmically reduced to a special  $g$ -adic representation without increasing the total number of addends (i.e. the new path is not longer than the original one). Geodesicity then follows from uniqueness.

For our following analysis, it is convenient to generalize the special  $g$ -adic representation to the ring of  $g$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_g = \varprojlim_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/g^i\mathbb{Z}$ . Every element  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_g$  can be uniquely written as a formal power series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i g^i$  with  $a_i \in \{0, \dots, g-1\}$  (its  $g$ -adic expansion). We wish to replace the  $a_i$  with  $\epsilon_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \lfloor g/2 \rfloor\}$ . If  $g$  is odd there is nothing to prove: the integers  $\{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \frac{g-1}{2}\}$  are just another choice of representatives for the residue classes modulo  $g$ , so we obtain a special  $g$ -adic representation  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i(x) g^i$  just by rewriting the usual  $g$ -adic expansion of  $x$  with different representatives.

The situation is a little more delicate for  $g$  even, as  $\pm g/2$  represent the same element modulo  $g$ . That is, when trying to read the special  $g$ -adic representation of  $x$  from its  $g$ -adic expansion one needs to put some extra care in the choice of sign for  $\pm g/2$  (this is precisely what condition 3 of [Theorem 3.5](#) is needed for). Using [Theorem 3.5](#), this issue is easily resolved. Namely, fix some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a given  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we may consider the sum of its special  $g$ -adic representation truncated to the  $n$ -th power

$$k_n := \sum_{i=0}^n \epsilon_i(x) g^i.$$

Then  $k_n \equiv k \pmod{g^{n+1}}$ . Moreover, it follows from the uniqueness of special  $g$ -adic representations that  $k_n$  is a unique integer congruent to  $k$  modulo  $g^{n+1}$  of smallest  $g$ -adic length. This shows that  $k_n$  and its special  $g$ -adic representation are well-defined preferred representatives for the residue class of  $k$  modulo  $g^{n+1}$ . Now, given any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_g$  we may construct its special  $g$ -adic representation truncated to the  $n$ -th power by picking the preferred representative for  $[x] \in \mathbb{Z}/g^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Uniqueness implies that these truncated representations are coherent with one another, and their limit is the required special  $g$ -adic representation of  $x$ . We record these observations in the following.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Given  $g \geq 2$ , every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_g$  has a unique special  $g$ -adic representation  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i(x) g^i$  such that*

1.  $\epsilon_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \lfloor g/2 \rfloor\}$  for all  $i$ ,
2. if  $|\epsilon_i| = g/2$ , then  $|\epsilon_{i+1}| \neq g/2$  and  $\epsilon_i \epsilon_{i+1} \geq 0$ .

Moreover, if  $x \equiv y \pmod{g^n}$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_g$ , then  $\epsilon_i(x) = \epsilon_i(y)$  for all  $i < n$ .

Of course, a  $g$ -adic number  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_g$  is an integer if and only if  $\epsilon_i(x) \neq 0$  for only finitely many indices  $i$ . This allows us to make the following, fairly simple observation.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $x_n$  be a sequence of integers, and suppose that  $x_n$  converges to some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_g$ . If  $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$  then the lengths  $\ell_g(x_n)$  diverge to infinity.*



*Proof.* Let  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i(x)g^i$  be the special  $g$ -adic representation of  $x$ . Since the values of  $x_n$  converge to  $x$ , for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $n_0$  such that  $x_n \equiv x \pmod{g^N}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . That is, if  $\epsilon_i(x_n)$  is the special  $g$ -adic representation of  $x_n$ , then  $\epsilon_i(x_n) = \epsilon_i(x)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  and  $i < N$ .

If  $x$  is not an integer then the sum  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_i(x)|$  diverges and hence the length  $\ell_g(x_n) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |\epsilon_i(x_n)|$  also goes to infinity.  $\square$

Now that the preliminaries are in place, it will be easy to compute the power invertibility spectra of  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)})$ . We split the proof in the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $g \geq 2$  be an integer and  $p$  a prime with  $(p, g) = 1$ . Then the multiplication map  $\mu_p: (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)})$  is not coarsely invertible.*

*Proof.* To prove that the map  $\mu_p$  is not coarsely invertible, it is sufficient to find a bounded subset  $C \subset \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mu_p^{-1}(C)$  is not bounded (cf. [Remark 2.5](#)), where bounded is a synonym for “finite  $d_g$ -diameter”. We choose the set

$$C = \{g^{(p-1)i} - 1 : i \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

which is obviously bounded because  $\ell_g(g^n - 1) \leq 2$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Since  $(p, g) = 1$ , we obtain

$$g^{(p-1)i} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

which shows that  $p$  divides  $g^{(p-1)i} - 1$ . We thus have:

$$\mu_p^{-1}(C) = \left\{ \frac{g^{(p-1)i} - 1}{p} : i \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}.$$

For every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $p$  is invertible modulo  $g^n$ . The congruence class of  $p^{-1}$  modulo  $g^n$  is therefore well defined, and we can view  $p^{-1}$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_g$ . Of course, we then have

$$\frac{g^{(p-1)i} - 1}{p} \equiv p^{-1}g^{(p-1)i} - p^{-1} \equiv -p^{-1} \pmod{g^{(p-1)i}}.$$

If we let  $x_i := (g^{(p-1)i} - 1)/p \in \mu_p^{-1}(C)$ , the above congruence implies that the  $x_i$  converge to  $-p^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_g$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $1/p$  is not an integer, [Lemma 3.8](#) implies that the word lengths  $\ell_g(x_i)$  diverge to infinity, and therefore  $\mu_p^{-1}(C)$  is not bounded in  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $g \geq 2$  be an integer. Then  $k \mapsto \lfloor k/g \rfloor$  is a coarse inverse for  $\mu_g: (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)})$ .*

*Proof.* We show that  $\lfloor -/g \rfloor$  is a contraction. Let  $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary, and let  $n = d_g(k, k')$ . This means that there are sequences  $k = k_0, \dots, k_n = k'$  and  $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|k_i - k_{i-1}| = g^{m_i}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Observe that if  $m_i \geq 1$  then  $k_i$  and  $k_{i-1}$  are in the same residue class mod  $g$ , hence

$$\left| \left\lfloor \frac{k_i}{g} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{k_{i-1}}{g} \right\rfloor \right| = \left| \frac{k_i}{g} - \frac{k_{i-1}}{g} \right| = g^{m_i-1}.$$

On the other hand, if  $m_i = 0$  then  $k_i/g$  and  $k_{i-1}/g$  either coincide or they differ by at most one. Using the triangle inequality along the path  $\lfloor k_i/g \rfloor$  shows that  $d_g(\lfloor k/g \rfloor, \lfloor k'/g \rfloor) \leq n$ , as desired.

This proves that  $\lfloor -/g \rfloor$  is a controlled map. It is clear that it is a coarse inverse for  $\mu_g$ , because  $\lfloor -/g \rfloor \circ \mu_g$  is the identity and  $\mu_g \circ \lfloor -/g \rfloor$  is within distance  $g$  from it.  $\square$

It is now immediate to compute the power invertibility spectrum of  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)})$ . Namely, we prove the following.

**Theorem 3.11.** *For every  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $g \geq 2$  we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)}) = \{p \in \mathcal{P} : p \mid g\}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* [Lemma 3.10](#) shows that  $g \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}(-)$  is closed under division, it follows that if  $p \mid g$  then  $p \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)})$ . Conversely, if  $p \nmid g$  then  $p \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_g)})$  by [Lemma 3.9](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.**  *$(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_{g_1})})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_{g_2})})$  are isomorphic coarse groups then  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  must have the same prime factors.*

[Corollary 3.12](#) falls short of answering [Question 3.3](#), but it does provide strong evidence for a positive answer. In particular, it implies the following:

**Corollary 3.13** (Answer to [Question 3.4](#)).  *$(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_2)})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_3)})$  are not isomorphic coarse groups.*

*Remark 3.14.* It is worthwhile to remark that this is perhaps the first meaningful example where we really use the coarse algebraic structure to tell coarse groups apart. It is very much unclear whether  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_2)})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(\mathbb{S}_3)})$  can be distinguished from one another by purely geometrical means: in fact, it is a known open problem to decide whether the Cayley graphs  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}, S_2)$  and  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}, S_3)$  are quasi-isometric to one another [[7](#), Problem 6].

## 4 Power invertibility spectra of profinite coarsifications of $\mathbb{Z}$

One way of defining coarse structures on groups is by means of group topologies, and a natural class of topologies on groups are the profinite ones. In this section we compute the invertibility spectra for all the profinite coarsifications of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $Q \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  be a non-empty set of primes, and consider set of moduli

$$Q^* := \{m \in \mathbb{N} : (m, p) = 1 \ \forall p \text{ prime } p \notin Q\},$$

*i.e.* the positive numbers that are products of powers of primes in  $Q$ . The family of quotients  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  with  $m \in Q^*$  forms an inverse system of finite groups, whose limit is the *pro- $Q$  completion* of  $\mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathbb{Z}_Q := \varprojlim_{m \in Q^*} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

The group  $\mathbb{Z}_Q$  is given the limit topology  $\tau_Q$  (each finite quotient is seen as a discrete group), which is a metrizable, compact, group topology on  $\mathbb{Z}_Q$ .

For a given prime  $p$ , the *pro- $p$  completion* is  $\mathbb{Z}_p := \mathbb{Z}_{\{p\}}$ , *i.e.* the group of  $p$ -adic integers equipped with the  $p$ -adic topology. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the pro- $Q$  completion is seen to be isomorphic (as a topological group) to a product of pro- $p$  completions:

$$\mathbb{Z}_Q \cong \prod_{p \in Q} \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Now, for any choice of  $Q$ , the natural homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_Q$  is an embedding with dense image (when identifying  $\mathbb{Z}_Q$  with the product of pro- $p$  completions, the embedding  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \prod_{p \in Q} \mathbb{Z}_p$  is the diagonal embedding). In particular,  $(\mathbb{Z}, \tau_Q)$  is an abelian topological group. This lets us define a coarse structure  $\mathcal{E}_Q$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by declaring that a family of subsets  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is uniformly bounded (a.k.a.  $\mathcal{E}_Q$ -controlled) if and only if there exists a subset  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$  that is  $\tau_Q$ -compact and such that for every  $i \in I$  we have  $A_i \subseteq k_i + K$  for some  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  itself is *not* bounded, as it is not compact in the pro- $Q$  topology.

It is shown in [4, Section 9.2] that  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q)$  is a non-trivial connected coarse group. Moreover, it is also shown that  $\mathcal{E}_Q = \mathcal{E}_{Q'}$  if and only if  $Q = Q'$ , hence these are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  distinct coarsifications of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . As for [Question 3.3](#), this means that the identity function  $\text{id}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is not a coarse isomorphism, but does not answer the following:

**Question 4.1** ([4, Question 9.3.2]). *Is it the case that  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{Q'})$  are isomorphic coarse groups if and only if  $Q = Q'$ ?*

We will use power invertibility spectra to answer the above affirmatively, and, once again, the proof relies on two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $p \notin Q$ , then  $\mu_p: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is not proper with respect to the  $Q$ -adic topology.*

*Proof.* Interestingly, the proof of this lemma is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 3.9. We again rely on the observation that  $p$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_Q$ , because it has an inverse modulo  $m$  for every  $m \in Q^*$ . Therefore, we may pick a sequence of integers  $a_n$  that converges to  $p^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_Q \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  and let

$$K := \{pa_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since  $pa_n$  converges to 1 in  $\mathbb{Z}_Q$ , this set is compact. It also has close resemblance to the bounded set used in the proof of Lemma 3.9 and can be exploited in much the same way.

Namely, we observe that the preimage of  $K$  is given by

$$\mu_p^{-1}(K) = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Since the  $a_n$  converge to  $p^{-1}$  and  $p^{-1} \notin \mu_p^{-1}(K)$ , this shows that  $\mu_p^{-1}(K)$  is not compact.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $p \in Q$ , then the function  $\lfloor -/p \rfloor: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  sending  $k \mapsto \lfloor \frac{k}{p} \rfloor$  is continuous with respect to the  $Q$ -adic topology.*

*Proof.* We split  $\mathbb{Z}$  into residue classes

$$X_i = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv i \pmod{p}\},$$

for  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ , and observe that each  $X_i$  is a clopen in the pro- $Q$  topology. It is then sufficient to check that the restriction of  $\lfloor -/p \rfloor$  to each of the  $X_i$  is continuous (in the  $Q$ -adic topology).

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_Q \cong \prod_{q \in Q} \mathbb{Z}_q$  is given by a product topology, it is sufficient to check that the mapping  $X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  sending  $x$  to  $\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \rfloor$  is continuous for all  $q \in Q$ . This is immediate for  $X_0 = p\mathbb{Z}$ : here  $\lfloor -/p \rfloor$  is simply equal to  $(-/p)$ , and the claim can be verified *e.g.* using that the  $q$ -adic topology is induced by the  $q$ -adic absolute value  $|-|_q$ . The claim follows easily for the other  $X_i$  as well, because the restriction of  $\lfloor -/p \rfloor$  to  $X_i$  equals the composition of  $x \mapsto x - i$  and  $(-/p): X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ .  $\square$

We may now compute the power invertibility spectra:

**Theorem 4.4.** *For every  $Q \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  we have  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q) = Q$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p \notin Q$ , we claim that  $\mu_p: (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q)$  is not proper (as a coarse map). In fact, by Lemma 4.2 there exists a compact (and hence  $\mathcal{E}_Q$ -bounded) subset  $K \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}, \tau_Q)$  whose preimage  $\mu_p^{-1}(K)$  is not compact in  $(\mathbb{Z}, \tau_Q)$ . Since  $\mu_p$  is continuous,  $\mu_p^{-1}(K)$  is already closed, so it cannot be relatively compact (the proof of Lemma 4.2 also shows explicitly that it has an accumulation point at infinity). This means that  $\mu_p^{-1}(K)$  is not  $\mathcal{E}_Q$ -bounded, proving our claim.

Let now  $p \in Q$ . We claim that  $\lfloor -/p \rfloor: (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q)$  is a coarse inverse for  $\mu_p$ . Observe that  $\lfloor -/p \rfloor \circ \mu_p = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and that  $\mu_p \circ \lfloor -/p \rfloor$  only differs from  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  by elements in  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$  which is finite (and hence bounded). If we prove that  $\lfloor -/p \rfloor$  is controlled, this shows that it is a coarse inverse for  $\mu_p$ .

This can be verified directly, observing that for every compact  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k+K}{p} \right\rfloor \subseteq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \right\rfloor + \left( \left\lfloor \frac{K}{p} \right\rfloor \cup \left( \left\lfloor \frac{K}{p} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \right).$$

Since  $\lfloor K/p \rfloor$  is compact by [Lemma 4.3](#), this shows that uniformly  $\mathcal{E}_Q$ -bounded sets are sent to uniformly  $\mathcal{E}_Q$ -bounded sets.  $\square$

*Remark 4.5.* The second part of the proof of [Theorem 4.4](#) can be simplified a little using some more theory. Namely, since  $\mu_p$  is a coarsely surjective coarse homomorphism, it follows from [[4](#), Proposition 5.2.11] that it is a coarse isomorphism if and only if it is proper (as a coarse map). [Lemma 4.3](#) easily implies that  $\mu_p: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is topologically proper when  $p \in Q$ , and properness as a coarse map is then an immediate consequence.

**Corollary 4.6** (Answer to [Question 4.1](#)). *If  $Q \neq Q'$  then  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{Q'})$  are not coarsely isomorphic.*

## 5 Open Problems

As explained, a complete solution to [Question 3.3](#) remains elusive. Since the case for  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_2)})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_3)})$  is now settled, the “easiest” case that remains open is:

**Problem 5.1.** *Is it true that  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_6)})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S_{12})})$  are not coarsely isomorphic?*

We list below other problems concerning coarsifications of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . As in [Section 4](#), let  $Q^*$  be the set of products of powers of elements in  $Q \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ . As in [Section 3](#), we may then consider the induced word metric and thus obtain a coarse group  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(Q^*)})$ . It is shown in [[6](#), Theorem 3] (and also follows from [[3](#)], see [[2](#)]) that if  $Q$  a non-empty finite set of primes then  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(Q^*)})$  is not bounded, and is hence a non-trivial coarse group.

**Problem 5.2.** *Given  $Q_1, Q_2 \subset \mathcal{P}$  non-empty and finite, is it the case that  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(Q_1^*)})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(Q_2^*)})$  are coarsely isomorphic if and only if  $Q_1 = Q_2$ ?*

The relation between profinite and word-metric coarsifications of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is also not well understood. Specifically, we ask the following.

**Problem 5.3** (cf. [4, Problem 9.3.3]). *Given a non-empty set of primes  $Q$ , is  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_Q)$  ever coarsely isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S)})$  for some (infinite)  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ? That is, is  $\mathcal{E}_Q$  ever a geodesic coarse structure ([4, Definition 2.2.13.]?)*

If  $S$  is a finite generating set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , it is a classical result that every coarse homomorphism  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S)}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cay}(S)})$  is within bounded distance from a linear map  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We do not know whether more exotic coarsifications of  $\mathbb{Z}$  allow for much wilder behaviour. For instance, we do not know the answer to the following version of [4, Problem 9.3.5].

**Problem 5.4.** *Given  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}')$  coarsifications of the kind discussed in this paper (word metric or profinite), can there exist a coarse homomorphism  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}')$  whose image is neither bounded nor coarsely surjective?*

## References

- [1] Victor Sergeevich Guba, *A finitely generated complete group*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya **29** (1987), no. 2, 233.
- [2] Lajos Hajdu and Rob Tijdeman, *Representing integers as linear combinations of power products*, Archiv der Mathematik **98** (2012), no. 6, 527–533.
- [3] Moshe Jarden and Władysław Narkiewicz, *On sums of units*, Monatshefte für Mathematik **150** (2007), 327–332.
- [4] Arielle Leitner and Federico Vigolo, *An invitation to coarse groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, 2023.
- [5] Melvyn B Nathanson, *Bi-lipschitz equivalent metrics on groups, and a problem in additive number theory*, Portugaliae Mathematica **68** (2011), no. 2, 191–203.
- [6] ———, *Geometric group theory and arithmetic diameter*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **79** (2011), no. 3-4, 563–572.
- [7] ———, *Problems in additive number theory, IV: Nets in groups and shortest length  $g$ -adic representations*, International Journal of Number Theory **7** (2011), no. 08, 1999–2017.