CLASSIFICATION OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS THROUGH VON NEUMANN REGULAR C^{∞} -RINGS

JEAN CERQUEIRA BERNI¹ AND HUGO LUIZ MARIANO²

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the concept of a "von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring", which is a model for a specific equational theory. We delve into the characteristics of these rings and demonstrate that each Boolean space can be effectively represented as the image of a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring through a specific functor. Additionally, we establish that every homomorphism between Boolean algebras can be expressed through a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring homomorphism between von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

As per I. Moerdijk and G. Reyes, C^{∞} -rings were primarily introduced for their applications in Singularity Theory, as Weil algebras and jet spaces, and for constructing topos-models for Synthetic Differential Geometry. A remarkable topos is the one of sheaves over the small site comprising the category of all germ-determined C^{∞} -rings, along with an appropriate Grothendieck topology (for detailed information, refer to [1]).

In recent years, the authors have been focused on exploring C^{∞} -rings and their applications, cf. [2], [3], [4], [5], [8], [9], [10], [11] and [12]. In this study, we present a valuable concept of a von Neumann regular C^{∞} ring, showcasing pertinent results and detailing both their properties and those of the category they form.

As an application, we establish that every Boolean algebra can be canonically represented through a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. Additionally, we demonstrate that each homomorphism between Boolean algebras can be depicted by a specific \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring homomorphism between von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings. Specifically, the investigation of von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings extends to the classification of Boolean spaces (and/or Boolean algebras) and their morphisms. A critical tool in developing these findings is the alignment between the concepts of

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13B30, 20A05, 16S99, 16B50, 06E99.

Key words and phrases. C^{∞} -Rings, Von Neumann Regular Rings, Stone duality, Boolean algebras.

Boolean spaces and "profinite spaces." Profinite spaces, along with their morphisms, constitute a category denoted as **Profinite**, forming the basis for the notion of a "condensed set." A condensed set is characterized as a functor (a specific sheaf) denoted by C: **Profinite**^{op} \rightarrow **Set**. These condensed sets, in turn, represent a fundamental concept that plays a pivotal role in the recent theory of "Condensed Mathematics" developed by Dustin Clausen and Peter Scholze.

Overview of the Paper: In Section 2, we outline the fundamental preliminary concepts of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings, delving into their universal algebra and their 'smooth commutative algebra.'

Moving on to **Section 3**, we introduce the concept of a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, essentially a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring with an underlying commutative unital ring being a von Neumann regular ring. We then investigate the main properties and characterizations associated with this concept.

In Section 4, we explore their practical applications. Firstly, we establish that each continuous map between profinite spaces can be accurately portrayed by a continuous map between spectral spaces, which are canonically associated with a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring homomorphism between von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings (see **Theorem 4.6**). Subsequently, we deduce that every homomorphism between Boolean algebras can be distinctly represented through a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring homomorphism between von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings (refer to **Theorem 4.7**).

2. Preliminaries on \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings

In this section we provide the main preliminary notions on C^{∞} -rings, with respect to their universal algebra (cf. [10]) and to their "smooth commutative algebra" (cf. [5]).

In order to formulate and study the concept of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, we use a first order language, \mathcal{L} , with a denumerable set of variables (Var(\mathcal{L}) = $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$), whose nonlogical symbols are the symbols of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -functions from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n , with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, *i.e.*, the non-logical symbols consist only of function symbols: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *n*-ary **function symbols** of the set $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, *i.e.*, $\mathcal{F}_{(n)} = \{f^{(n)} \mid f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})\}$. Thus, the set of function symbols of our language is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{(n)} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Note that our set of constants is identified with the set of all 0-ary function symbols, *i.e.*, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}_{(0)} = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^0) \cong \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\{*\}).$

The terms of this language are defined, in the usual way, as the smallest set which comprises the individual variables, constant symbols and n-ary function symbols followed by n terms ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Functorially, a (set-theoretic) \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring is a finite product preserving functor from the category \mathcal{C}^{∞} , whose objects are of the form \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and whose morphisms are the smooth functions between them, *i.e.*, a finite product preserving functor:

 $A:\mathcal{C}^\infty\to\mathbf{Set}$

Apart from the functorial definition and the "first-order language" definition we just gave, there are many equivalent descriptions. We focus, first, on the universal-algebraic description of a C^{∞} -ring in **Set**, given in the following:

Definition 2.1. A \mathcal{C}^{∞} -structure is a pair $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$, in which A is a non-empty set and:

$$\begin{split} \Phi : & \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Func}\left(A^n; A\right) \\ & (f : \mathbb{R}^n \overset{\mathcal{C}^{\infty}}{\to} \mathbb{R}) \to \Phi(f) := (f^A : A^n \to A) \end{split} ,$$

is a function, that is, Φ interprets the **symbols**¹ of all smooth real functions of *n* variables as *n*-ary function symbols on *A*.

We call a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -struture, $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$, a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, whenever it preserves projections and all equations between smooth functions. More precisely, we have the following:

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -structure. We say that \mathfrak{A} (or, when there is no danger of confusion, A) is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring if the following is true:

• Given any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any projection $\pi_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, we have:

 $(\forall x_1) \cdots (\forall x_n) (\Phi(\pi_k)(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = x_k).$

• For every $f, g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and every $h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f = h \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n)$, one has:

$$\Phi(f)(\vec{x}) = \Phi(h)(\Phi(g_1)(\vec{x}), \cdots, \Phi(g_n)(\vec{x}))$$

Definition 2.3. Let (A, Φ) and (B, Ψ) be two \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings. A function $\varphi : A \to B$ is called a **morphism of** \mathcal{C}^{∞} -**rings** or \mathcal{C}^{∞} -**homomorphism** if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f : \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{\infty}} \mathbb{R}$, one has $\Psi(f) \circ \varphi^{(n)} = \varphi \circ \Phi(f)$, where $\varphi^{(n)} = (\varphi, \dots, \varphi) : A^n \to B^n$.

¹here considered simply as syntactic symbols rather than functions.

Remark 2.4. (on universal algebraic constructions) It is not difficult to see that C^{∞} -structures, together with their morphisms (which we call C^{∞} -morphisms) compose a category, that we denote by C^{∞} Str, and that C^{∞} -rings, together with all the C^{∞} -morphisms between C^{∞} -rings (which we call C^{∞} -homomorphisms) compose a full subcategory, C^{∞} Ring. In particular, since C^{∞} Ring is a "variety of algebras", *i.e.* it is a class of C^{∞} -structures which satisfies a given set of equations, (or equivalently, by **Birkhoff's HSP Theorem**) it is closed under substructures, homomorphic images and products. Moreover:

• \mathcal{C}^{∞} **Ring** is a concrete category and the forgetful functor, $U : \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ **Ring** \rightarrow **Set**, creates directed inductive colimits;

• Each set X freely generates a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^X)$. In particular, the free \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring on *n* generators is (isomorphic to) $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^X) \cong \varinjlim_{X' \subseteq \operatorname{fin} X} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{X'})$;

• Every \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring is the homomorphic image of some free \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring determined by some set, being isomorphic to the quotient of a free \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring by some congruence;

• The congruences of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings are classified by their "ring-theoretical" ideals - which are the ideals of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, or the " \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ideals";

• In \mathcal{C}^{∞} **Ring** one defines "the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -coproduct" between two \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \Psi)$, denoted by $A \otimes_{\infty} B$;

• Using free \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings and the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -coproduct, one gets the " \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring of polynomials" on any set S of variables with coefficients in A, given by $A\{x_s \mid s \in S\} = A \otimes_{\infty} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^S)$.

Remark 2.5. (on smooth commutative algebra). Every \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring has an underlying commutative unital ring, so there is a naturally defined forgetful functor $\tilde{U} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ Ring \rightarrow CRing. Using such forgetful functor, one defines a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field (resp. \mathcal{C}^{∞} -domain, local \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring) as a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ such that $\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a field (resp. domain, local ring), when regarded as a commutative unital ring;

• In $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Ring}$ one has the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring of fractions of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A with respect to any subset S of A, denoted by $A \xrightarrow{\eta_S} A\{S^{-1}\}$, in the same sense one has the ring of fractions with respect to a subset of a commutative unital ring, defined by the following two properties: (i) given any $a \in S$, $\eta_S(a) \in A^{\times}$ and (ii) given any \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring B and any \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphism $f: A \to B$ such that $(\forall a \in S)(f(a) \in B^{\times})$ there is a unique \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphism $\tilde{f}: A\{S^{-1}\} \to B$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \eta_S = f$.

• The \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring of fractions can be constructed using universal algebraic tools, and it is given by the quotient $A\{S^{-1}\} \cong A\{x_s \mid s \in S\}/\langle \{x_s \cdot s - 1 \mid s \in S\} \rangle$.

 \bullet I. Moerdijk and G. Reyes introduced the notion of the $\mathcal{C}^\infty-\mathrm{radical}$

of an ideal I of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ (thus, a ring-theoretical ideal) as the set:

$$\sqrt[\infty]{I} = \{a \in A \mid (A/I)\{a + I^{-1}\} \cong \{0\}\}$$

• The \mathcal{C}^{∞} -spectrum of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A is the topological space whose underlying set is $X = \{ \mathfrak{p} \subseteq A \mid (\mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime ideal}) \& (\sqrt[\infty]{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}) \}$ and whose topology is generated by $\mathcal{B} = \{ D^{\infty}(a) \mid a \in A \}$, where $D^{\infty}(a) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in X \mid a \notin \mathfrak{p} \}$. Moreover, \mathcal{B} is closed under finite intersections and arbitrary reunions. We denote this topological space by $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$. Sometimes, when there is no danger of confusion, we write $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ to denote the underlying set to this topological space, instead of X;

• The \mathcal{C}^{∞} -radical of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ideal I of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A is characterised by:

$$\sqrt[\infty]{I} = \bigcap \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \}$$

• There is an *ad hoc* definition of saturation for \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings, the smooth saturation of a subset S of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A, given by $S^{\infty-\text{sat}} = \{a \in A \mid \eta_S(a) \in A\{S^{-1}\}^{\times}\}$. The smooth saturation is related to the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -radical of an ideal $I \subset A$ by $\sqrt[\infty]{I} = \{a \in A \mid I \cap \{a\}^{\infty-\text{sat}} \neq \emptyset\}$; • Along with the notion of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -radical ideal we have the concept

• Along with the notion of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -radical ideal we have the concept of a reduced \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, which is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ such that $\sqrt[\infty]{(0_A)} = (0_A)$.

• A \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ringed space is a pair (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , where X is a topological space and \mathcal{O}_X : Open $(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Ring}$ is a sheaf. A morphism of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ringed spaces is a pair $(f, f^{\sharp}) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ringed spaces is a continuous map $f : X \to Y$ and a morphism of sheaves $f^{\sharp} : f^{\dashv}[\mathcal{O}_Y] \to \mathcal{O}_X$, where $f^{\dashv}[\mathcal{O}_Y]$ is described in **Definition 4.5** of [14].

3. On von Neumann Regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings

We begin by registering a fact that is valid for any C^{∞} -ring regarding to its idempotents and localizations:

Lemma 3.1. Let A be any C^{∞} -ring and $e \in A$ an idempotent element. There are unique isomorphisms:

$$A\{e^{-1}\} \cong A/(1-e) \cong A \cdot e := \{a \cdot e \mid a \in A\}$$

Proof. It is straightforward, A detailed proof is given in **Lemma 1** of [5].

Next we give a precise definition of a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. Loosely speaking, it is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring (A, Φ) such that $\tilde{U}(A, \Phi)$ is a von Neumann regular commutative unital ring. **Definition 3.2.** Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. We say that \mathfrak{A} is a **von Neumann regular** \mathcal{C}^{∞} -**ring** if one (and thus all) of the following equivalent², conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $(\forall a \in A) (\exists x \in A) (a = a^2 x);$
- (ii) Every principal ideal of A is generated by an idempotent element, *i.e.*,

$$(\forall a \in A)(\exists e \in A)(\exists y \in A)(\exists z \in A)((e^2 = e)\&(ey = a)\&(az = e))$$

(iii)
$$(\forall a \in A)(\exists b \in A)((a = a^2b)\&(b = b^2a))$$

Example 3.3. Consider the set $\mathbb{R}^m = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\{*\}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\{*\})$, together with the function:

$$\Phi^{(m)}: \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \to \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Func}\left((\mathbb{R}^m)^n, (\mathbb{R}^m)\right) \\
\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\Phi^{(m)}(f)} \mathbb{R}^m$$

with:

$$\Phi^{(m)}(f): \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{R}^m)^n & \to & \mathbb{R}^m \\ ((x_j^1)_{j=1}^m, \cdots, (x_j^n)_{j=1}^m) & \mapsto & (f((x_1^i)_{i=1}^n), \cdots, f((x_m^i)_{i=1}^n)) \end{array}$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathbb{R}^m, \Phi^{(m)})$ is the product \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. In this \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring we have, in particular, the following binary operation:

$$\Phi^{(m)}(\cdot): \qquad \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \qquad \to \qquad \mathbb{R}^m \\ ((x_j)_{1 \le j \le m}, (y_j)_{1 \le j \le m}) \qquad \mapsto \qquad (x_1 \cdot y_1, \cdots, x_m \cdot y_m)$$

so we write $(x_1, \cdots, x_m) \cdot (y_1, \cdots, y_m) = (x_1 \cdot y_1, \cdots, x_m \cdot y_m).$

We claim that $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathbb{R}^m, \Phi^{(m)})$ is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. In fact, given any $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $a_i \neq 0$, we take $x_i = a_i^{-1}$, and for each i such that $a_i = 0$, we take $x_i = 0$. The element $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is such that:

$$(a_1, \cdots, a_m) = (a_1^2, \cdots, a_m^2) \cdot (x_1, \cdots, x_m).$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathbb{R}^m, \Phi^{(m)})$ is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring

Remark 3.4. Observe that the construction given in the example above can be replicated by replacing \mathbb{R} by any \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field.

Remark 3.5. Let \mathbb{T}' be the a theory in the language $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} \cup \{*\}$, where * is an 1-ary function symbol, which contains:

²See, **Proposition 3.9**, given later.

- the (equational) \mathcal{L} -axioms for of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings;
- the (equational) \mathcal{L}' -axiom

 $\sigma := (\forall x)((x \cdot x^* \cdot x = x)\&(x^* \cdot x \cdot x^* = x^*))$

that is, $\mathbb{T}' := \mathbb{T} \cup \{\sigma\}$. By the **Theorem of Extension by Definition**, we know that \mathbb{T}' is a conservative extension of \mathbb{T} .

An homomorphism of von Neumann \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings, A and B is simply a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphism between these \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings. We have the following:

Definition 3.6. We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{vNRing}$ the category whose objects are von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings and whose morphisms are the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphisms between them. Thus, $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{vNRing}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Ring}$.

The following lemma tells us that, in C^{∞} **vNRing**, taking localizations and taking the ring of fractions with respect to a special element yields, up to isomorphisms, the same object.

Lemma 3.7. If A is a von Neumann regular C^{∞} -ring, then given any $a \in A$ there is some idempotent element $e \in A$ such that $A\{a^{-1}\} \cong A\{e^{-1}\} \cong A/(1-e)$.

Proof. Since $a \cdot y = e$ and $e \cdot x = a$, then $e \in \{a\}^{\infty-\text{sat}}$ and $a \in \{e\}^{\infty-\text{sat}}$. Thus, $\{a\}^{\infty-\text{sat}} = \{e\}^{\infty-\text{sat}}$, so $A\{a^{-1}\} \cong A\{e^{-1}\}$. By **Lemma 3.1**, it follows that $A\{a^{-1}\} \cong A\{e^{-1}\} \cong A/(1-e)$.

Lemma 3.8. Let A be a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, $S \subseteq A$ and let $\tilde{U} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ **Ring** \rightarrow **CRing** be the forgetful functor. Then:

$$\widetilde{U}\left(A\{S^{-1}\}\right) = \widetilde{U}(A)[S^{-1}]$$

Proof. We prove the result first in the case $S = \{a\}$ for some $a \in A$. Since A is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, by **Lemma 3.7**, given $a \in A$ there is some idempotent element $e \in A$ such that (a) = (e) and $A\{a^{-1}\} \cong A\{e^{-1}\} \cong A/(1-e)$. Now, $A/(1-e) \cong A[e^{-1}]$, and $A[e^{-1}] \cong \tilde{U}(A)[e^{-1}]$, and since $\tilde{U}(A)[e^{-1}] \cong \tilde{U}(A)[a^{-1}]$, as ordinary commutative rings ³ the result follows.

Whenever S is finite, we have $A\{S^{-1}\} = A\{a^{-1}\}$, for $a = \prod S$, and we can use the proof we have just made. For a general $S \subseteq A$, we write $S = \bigcup_{S' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} S} S'$ and use the fact that $\tilde{U} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Ring} \to \mathbf{CRing}$ preserves directed colimits and that $A\{S^{-1}\} \cong \varinjlim_{S' \subseteq_{\text{fin}} S} A\{S'^{-1}\}$. \Box

As a corollary, we have the following:

³note that
$$\widetilde{U}(A/(1-e)) = \widetilde{U}(A)/(1-e) = \widetilde{U}(A)[e^{-1}]$$

7

Proposition 3.9. $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{vNRing} \subset \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Ring}$ is closed under localizations.

The following result is an adaptation of **Proposition 1** of [6] for the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -case.

Theorem 3.10. If A is a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring then A is a reduced \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring.

Proof. By the **Lemma 3.7**, $\sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = \{a \in A \mid A\{a^{-1}\} \cong \{0\}\}$. Now, let $a \in \sqrt[\infty]{(0)}$ and let $e \in A$ be an idempotent element such that (a) = (e). Then $A/(1-e) \cong A\{e^{-1}\} \cong A\{a^{-1}\}$. Thus, $A/(1-e) \cong \{0\}$ yields $1 \in (1-e)$, so there must exist some $z \in A$ such that $1 = z \cdot (1-e)$, and (1-e) is an invertible idempotent of A, so 1-e=1 and e=0. Thus, a = 0, so $\sqrt[\infty]{(0)} \subseteq \{0\}$.

The following result shows us that whenever A is a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, the notions of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -spectrum, Zariski spectrum, maximal spectrum and thus, the structure sheaf of its affine scheme coincide.

Theorem 3.11. Let A be a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. Then:

- 1) $\sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = \sqrt{(0)} = (0);$
- 2) $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) = \operatorname{Specm}(\widetilde{U}(A)) = \operatorname{Spec}(\widetilde{U}(A))$, as topological spaces;
- 3) The structure sheaf of A in the category \mathcal{C}^{∞} Ring is equal to the structure sheaf of U(A) in the category **CRing**.

Proof. Ad 1): By **Theorem 3.10**, since A is a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, $\sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = (0)$. Since we always have $(0) \subseteq \sqrt{(0)} \subseteq \sqrt[\infty]{(0)}$, it follows that $\sqrt{(0)} = (0)$.

Ad 2): Note that in a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring every prime ideal is a maximal ideal. In fact, let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in A. Given $a+\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}$ in A/\mathfrak{p} , then $a+\mathfrak{p}\in (A/\mathfrak{p})^{\times}$. Since A is a von Neumann regular ring, there exists some $b \in A$ such that aba = a, so $a + \mathfrak{p} = aba + \mathfrak{p}$, $a + \mathfrak{p} = (ab + \mathfrak{p}) \cdot (a + \mathfrak{p}), ab + \mathfrak{p} = 1 + \mathfrak{p}$ and, thus, ab = 1.

Hence, every non-zero element of A/\mathfrak{p} is invertible, so A/\mathfrak{p} is a field. Under those circumstances, it follows that p is a maximal ideal, so $\operatorname{Spec}(A) = \operatorname{Specm}(A).$

We always have Specm $(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, so:

 $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \subset \operatorname{Specm}(A) \subset \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \subset \operatorname{Spec}(A)$

and $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

Note, also, that both the topological spaces $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ have the same basic open sets, $D_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\infty}(a) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \mid a \notin \mathfrak{p} \} = 8$ $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A) \mid a \notin \mathfrak{p}\} = D(a)$, hence $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ also as topological spaces.

Ad 3). It is an immediate consequence of 2.

Proposition 3.12. Let A be a C^{∞} -ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is von Neumann-regular, i.e., $(\forall a \in A)(\exists x \in A)(a = a^2x)$.
- (ii) Every principal ideal of A is generated by an idempotent element, i.e.,

 $(\forall a \in A)(\exists e \in A)(\exists y \in A)(\exists z \in A)((e^2 = e)\&(ey = a) \land (az = e))$ (iii) $(\forall a \in A)(\exists b \in A)((a = a^2b)\&(b = b^2a))$

Moreover, when A is von Neumann-regular, then A is $(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}-)$ reduced (i.e., $\sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = \sqrt{(0)} = (0)$) and for each $a \in A$ the idempotent element e satisfying (ii) and the element b satisfying (iii) are uniquely determined.

Proof. The implication (iii) \rightarrow (i) is obvious, so we omit the proof.

Ad (i) \rightarrow (ii): Let I = (a) be a principal ideal of A. By (i), there is $x \in A$ such that $a = a^2x$, so we define e := ax, which is idempotent since $e^2 = (ax)^2 = a^2x^2 = (a^2x)x = ax = e$. By definition, $e = ax \in$ (a) = I, so $(e) \subseteq I$, and since $a = a^2x = (ax)a = ea$ we also have $a \in (e)$, so $I = (a) \subseteq (e)$. Hence, I = (e).

Ad (ii) \rightarrow (i): Let $a \in A$ be any element. By (ii) there are $e \in A$, $y \in A$ and $z \in A$ such that $e^2 = e$, a = ey and e = az. Define $x := z^2y$, and we have $a^2x = a^2z^2y = e^2y = ey = a$.

Ad (i) \rightarrow (iii): Let $a \in A$ be any element. By (i), there is some $x \in A$ such that $a = a^2x$. There can be many $x \in A$ satisfying this role, but there is a "minimal" one: the element ax is idempotent and we can project any chosen x down with this idempotent, obtaining $b := ax^2$. Then $aba = aab^2a = (ax)(ax)a = axa = a$ and $bab = (ax^2)a(ax^2) = (ax)^3x = (ax)x = b$.

Now suppose that A is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, and let $a \in A$ be such that $a \in \sqrt[\infty]{(0)}$. Then let e be an idempotent such that ey = a, az = e, for some $y, z \in A$. Then a is such that $A\{a^{-1}\} \cong \{0\}$, and by **Lemma 3.7** there is some idempotent $e \in A$ such that $A\{a^{-1}\} \cong A/(1-e)$. Now, $A\{a^{-1}\} \cong \{0\}$ occurs if and only if, $A/(1-e) \cong \{0\}$, *i.e.*, if and only if, (1-e) = A. Since (1-e) = A, it follows that $1 - e \in A^{\times}$, and since $e \cdot (1-e) = 0$, it follows by cancellation that e = 0, hence a = ey = 0y = 0.

Let $e, e' \in A$ be idempotents of an arbitrary ring satisfying (e) = (e'). Select $r, r' \in A$ such that er' = e' and e'r = e. Then e' = er' = er'e = e'e = e're' = e'r = e. Thus, if an ideal is generated by an idempotent element, this element is uniquely determined.

Finally, let A be a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. Select a member $a \in A$ and consider $b, b' \in A$ such that $a^2b' = a = a^2b, b = b^2a, b' = b'^2a$. Then $(b - b')a^2 = (b - b')a^2 = (b - b')(ba^2 - b'a^2) = (b - b')(a - a) = (b - b') \cdot 0 = 0$ and $[(b - b') \cdot a]^2 \in (0)$. Since A is \mathcal{C}^{∞} -reduced, $[(b - b') \cdot a]^2 \in (0) = \sqrt[\infty]{(0)}$. By item (1) of **Theorem 3.11**, $\sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = \sqrt{(0)}$, so $[(b - b') \cdot a]^2 \in \sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = \sqrt{(0)}$ and $(b - b') \cdot a = 0$. Therefore $b - b' = b^2a - b'^2a = (b^2 - b'^2)a = (b + b')(b - b')a = (b + b') \cdot 0 = 0$. \Box

Remark 3.13. Let A be a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring and $e \in A$ be any idempotent element. Then $A \cdot e$ is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. Indeed, we have $A \cdot e \cong A/(1-e)$ and the latter is an homomorphic image of a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, namely A/(1-e) = q[A].

Lemma 3.14. Let A be a local C^{∞} -ring. The only idempotent elements of A are 0 and 1.

Proof. See Lemma 4 of [5].

Proposition 3.15. Let A be a von Neumann-regular C^{∞} -ring whose only idempotent elements are 0 and 1. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) A is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field;
- (ii) A is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -domain;
- (iii) A is a local \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring.

Proof. The implications (i) \rightarrow (ii), (i) \rightarrow (iii) are immediate, so we omit their proofs.

Ad (iii) \rightarrow (i): Suppose A is a local \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. Since A is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, given any $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ there exists some idempotent element $e \in A$ such that (x) = (e). However, the only idempotent elements of A are, by **Lemma 3.14**, 0 and 1. We claim that (x) = (1), otherwise we would have (x) = (0), so x = 0.

Now, (x) = (1) implies $1 \in (x)$, so there is some $y \in A$ such that $1 = x \cdot y = y \cdot x$, and x is invertible. Thus A is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field.

Ad (ii) \rightarrow (i): Suppose A is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -domain. Given any $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$, we have $(\forall y \in A \setminus \{0\})(x \cdot y \neq 0)$, so $(x) \neq (0)$. Since A is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, (x) is generated by some non-zero idempotent element, namely, 1. Hence (x) = (1) and $x \in A^{\times}$. \Box

Proposition 3.16. The inclusion functor $i : C^{\infty} \mathbf{vNRing} \hookrightarrow C^{\infty}\mathbf{Ring}$ creates filtered colimits, i.e., $C^{\infty}\mathbf{vNRing}$ is closed in $C^{\infty}\mathbf{Ring}$ under filtered colimits.

Proof. (Sketch) Filtered colimits in \mathcal{C}^{∞} **Ring** are formed by taking the colimit of the underlying sets and defining the *n*-ary functional symbol $f^{(n)}$ of an *n*-tuple (a_1, \dots, a_n) into a common \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring occurring in the diagram and taking the element $f^{(n)}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ there. Given a filtered poset (I, \leq) and a a filtered family of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings, for every element $\alpha \in \varinjlim A_i$, there is some $i \in I$ and $a_i \in A_i$ such that $\alpha = [(a_i, i)]$. Since $\overrightarrow{A_i}$ is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, there must exist some idempotent $e_i \in A_i$ such that $(a_i) = (e_i)$. It suffices to take $\eta = [(e_i, i)] \in \varinjlim A_i$, which is an idempotent element of $\varinjlim A_i$ such that $(\alpha) = ([(a_i, i)]) = ([(e_i, i)]) = (\eta)$.

We have the following important result, which relates von Neumann-regular C^{∞} -rings to the topology of its smooth Zariski spectrum:

Theorem 3.17. Let A be a C^{∞} -ring. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) A is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring;
- (ii) A is a C^{∞} -reduced C^{∞} -ring (i.e., $\sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = (0)$) and Spec^{∞} (A) is a Boolean space, i.e., a compact, Hausdorff and totally disconnected space.

Proof. Ad $(i) \rightarrow (ii)$: it follows from item (1) of **Theorem 3.11**.

Since $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ is a spectral space, we only need to show that $\mathcal{B} = \{D^{\infty}(a) \mid a \in A\}$ is a clopen basis for its topology.

Given any $a \in A$, since A is a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, there is some idempotent element $e \in A$ such that (a) = (e), so $D^{\infty}(a) = D^{\infty}(e)$. We claim that $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \setminus D^{\infty}(e) = D^{\infty}(1-e)$, hence $D^{\infty}(a) = D^{\infty}(e)$ is a clopen set.

In fact, from item (iii) of **Lemma 1.2** of [15], $D^{\infty}(e) \cap D^{\infty}(1-e) = D^{\infty}(e \cdot (1-e)) = D^{\infty}(0) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \mid 0 \notin \mathfrak{p} \} = \emptyset$. Moreover, for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} we have $e \notin \mathfrak{p}$ or $(1-e) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ (for if this was not the case, we would have a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_0 such that $e \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ and $(1-e) \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, so $1 = (1-e) + e \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, which would not be a proper ideal). Thus $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) = D^{\infty}(e) \cup D^{\infty}(1-e)$.

Ad $(ii) \to (i)$. Since $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ is a Boolean space, it is a Hausdorff space and for every $a \in A$, $D^{\infty}(a)$ is compact, hence it is closed. Thus, we conclude that for every $a \in A$, $D^{\infty}(a)$ is a clopen set, and so $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \setminus D^{\infty}(a)$ is a clopen subset of $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$.

Now, for every clopen C in $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ there is some $b \in A$ such that $C = D^{\infty}(b)$. Since C is clopen in $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$, it is in particular an open set, and since $\{D^{\infty}(a) \mid a \in A\}$ is a basis for the topology of $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$, there is a family, $\{b_i \in A \mid i \in I\}$, of elements of A such that $C = \bigcup_{i \in I} D^{\infty}(b_i)$. Since C is compact, there is a finite subset

 $I' \subseteq I$ such that $C = \bigcup_{i \in I'} D^{\infty}(b_i)$. Applying the item (iii) of **Lemma 1.4** of [15], we conclude that there is some element $b \in A$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in I'} D^{\infty}(b_i) = D^{\infty}(b)$.

Since $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \setminus D^{\infty}(a)$ is clopen, there is some $d \in A$ such that $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \setminus D^{\infty}(a) = D^{\infty}(d)$. We have $\emptyset = D^{\infty}(a) \cap D^{\infty}(d) = D^{\infty}(a \cdot d) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \mid a \cdot d \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$, so $(\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A))(a \cdot d \in \mathfrak{p})$, hence $a \cdot d \in \bigcap \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) = \sqrt[\infty]{(0)} = (0)$, where the last equality is due to the fact that A is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -reduced \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring.

We have, then, $a \cdot d = 0$. Also, we have $D^{\infty}(a^2 + d^2) = D^{\infty}(a) \cup D^{\infty}(d) = \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) = D^{\infty}(1)$. By item (i) of **Lemma 1.4** of [15], $D^{\infty}(a^2 + d^2) \subseteq D^{\infty}(1)$ implies $a^2 + d^2 \in \{1\}^{\infty-\operatorname{sat}}$. Since $\{1\}^{\infty-\operatorname{sat}} = A^{\times}$, it follows that $a^2 + d^2 \in A^{\times}$, so there is some $y \in A$ such that $y \cdot (a^2 + d^2) = 1$, $ya^2 + yd^2 = 1$. Since $a \cdot d = 0$, we get $a(a^2y) + a(b^2y) = a \cdot 1 = aa^2(a \cdot y) = a$.

The following proposition will be useful to characterize the von Neumannregular C^{∞} —rings by means of the ring of global sections of the structure sheaf of its affine scheme.

Proposition 3.18. If a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A is a von-Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring and $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$, then $A/\mathfrak{p} \cong A\{A \setminus \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\}$ and both are \mathcal{C}^{∞} -fields.

Proof. We are going to show that the only maximal ideal of $A\{A \setminus \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\}$, $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is such that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \{0\}$.

Let $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} : A \to A\{A \setminus \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\}$ be the localization morphism of A with respect to $A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. We have $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \langle \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}[A \setminus \mathfrak{p}] \rangle = \{ \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) / \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \mid (a \in \mathfrak{p}) \& (b \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}) \}$. We must show that for every $a \in \mathfrak{p}, \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = 0$, which is equivalent, by **Theorem 1.4** of [15], to assert that for every $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ there is some $c \in (A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{\infty-\text{sat}} = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $c \cdot a = 0$ in A.

Ab absurdo, suppose $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \{0\}$, so there is $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) \neq 0$, i.e., such that for every $c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, $c \cdot a \neq 0$. Since A is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, for this a there is some idempotent $e \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that (a) = (e).

Since $a \in (e)$, there is some $\lambda \in A$ such that $a = \lambda \cdot a$, hence:

$$0 \neq \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\lambda \cdot e) = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\lambda) \cdot \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(e)$$

and $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(e) \neq 0$.

Since $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(e) \neq 0$,

(1) $(\forall d \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p})(d \cdot e \neq 0).$

Since e is an idempotent element, $1 - e \notin \mathfrak{p}$, for if $1 - e \in \mathfrak{p}$ then $e + (1 - e) = 1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ and \mathfrak{p} would not be a proper prime ideal.

We have also:

$$(2) e \cdot (1-e) = 0$$

The equation (2) contradicts (1), so $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \{0\}$ and $A\{A \setminus \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\}$ is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field.

As a consequence, we register another proof of $(iii) \rightarrow (i)$ of **Proposition 3.15**.

cor 3.19. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Phi)$ be a local von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring. Then \mathfrak{A} is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field.

Proof. (Sketch)Let $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A$ be the unique maximal ideal of A. By **Proposition 3.18**, since A is von Neumann-regular, $A_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$, which is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field. Also, $A_{\mathfrak{m}} = A\{A \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{-1}\} = A\{A^{\times -1}\} \cong A$, and since $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is isomorphic to a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field, it follows that A is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field. \Box

Summarizing, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.20. If A is a von Neumann-regular C^{∞} -ring, then the set $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ with the smooth Zariski topology, $\operatorname{Zar}^{\infty}$, is a Boolean topological space, by **Theorem 3.17**. Moreover, by **Proposition 3.18**, for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$,

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varinjlim_{a \notin \mathfrak{p}} A\{a^{-1}\} \cong A\{A \setminus \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\}$$

is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field.

The above theorem suggests us that von Neumann-regular C^{∞} -rings behave much like ordinary von Neumann-regular commutative unital rings. In the next sections we are going to explore this result using sheaf theoretic machinery.

Proposition 3.21. The limit in C^{∞} **Ring** of a diagram of von Neumannregular C^{∞} rings is a von Neumann-regular C^{∞} -ring. In particular, C^{∞} **vNRing** is a complete category and the inclusion functor from the category of all von Neumann regular C^{∞} -rings, C^{∞} **vNRing**, to C^{∞} **Ring** preserves all limits.

Proof. (Sketch) It is clear from the definition that the class C^{∞} **vNRing** of von Neumann-regular C^{∞} -rings is closed under arbitrary products in the class C^{∞} **Ring**, of all C^{∞} -rings. Thus it suffices to show that it is closed under equalizers.

So let A, B be von Neumann-regular rings and $f, g : A \to B$ be \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphisms. Their equalizer in \mathcal{C}^{∞} **Ring** is given by the set $E = \{a \in A \mid f(a) = g(a)\}$, endowed with the restricted ring operations from A.

To see that E is von Neumann-regular, we need to show that for $a \in E$, the (unique) element b satisfying $ab^2 = b$ and $a^2b = a$ also belongs to E. But this is true since the quasi-inverse element is unique and is preserved under \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphisms.

Proposition 3.22. The category C^{∞} **vNRing** is the smallest subcategory of C^{∞} **Ring** closed under limits containing all C^{∞} -fields.

Proof. Clearly all \mathcal{C}^{∞} -fields are von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings, and by **Proposition 3.21** so are limits of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -fields. Thus \mathcal{C}^{∞} **vNRing** contains all limits of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -fields. On the other hand the ring of global sections of a sheaf can be expressed as a limit of a diagram of products and ultraproducts of the stalks (by **Lemma 2.5** of [7]). All these occurring (ultra)products are von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings as well and hence so is their limit, by **Proposition 3.21**.

4. Von Neumann-Regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -Rings and Boolean Algebras

In this section we also apply von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring to naturally represent Boolean Algebras in a strong sense: i.e., not only all Boolean algebras are isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of idempotents of a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, as every homomorphism between such Boolean algebras of idempotents is (essentially) induced by a \mathcal{C}^{∞} homomorphism.

Remark 4.1. By Stone Duality, there is an anti-equivalence of categories between the category of Boolean algebras, **BA**, and the category of Boolean spaces, **BoolSp**.

Under this anti-equivalence, a Boolean space (X, τ) is mapped to the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of (X, τ) , Clopen (X):

Clopen : **BoolSp**
$$\rightarrow$$
 BA
 $(X, \tau) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \sigma) \mapsto$ Clopen $(Y) \xrightarrow{f^{-1}}$ Clopen (X)

The quasi-inverse functor is given by the Stone space functor: a Boolean algebra B is mapped to the Stone space of B, $\text{Stone}(B) = (\{U \subseteq B : U \text{ is an ultrafilter in } B\}, \tau_B)$, where τ_B is the topology whose basis is given by the image of the map $t_B : B \to \mathscr{P}(\text{Stone}(B))$ (the set of all subsets of Stone(B)), $b \mapsto t_B(b) = S_B(b) = \{U \in \text{Stone}(B) : b \in U\}$.

Stone : **BA**
$$\rightarrow$$
 BoolSp
 $B \xrightarrow{h} B' \mapsto$ Stone $(B') \xrightarrow{h^{-1}}$ Stone (B)

Remark 4.2. Let $(A', +', \cdot', 0', 1')$ be any commutative unital ring, $B(A') = \{e \in A' \mid e^2 = e\}$ and denote by $\wedge', \vee', *', \leq', 0'$ and 1' its respective associated Boolean algebra operations, relations and constant symbols as constructed above. Note that for any commutative unital ring homomorphism $f : A \to A'$, the map $B(f) := f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} : B(A) \to B(A')$ is such that:

- (i) $B(f)[B(A)] \subseteq B(A');$
- (ii) $(\forall e_1 \in A)(\forall e_2 \in A)(B(f)(e_1 \wedge e_2) = f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e_1 \cdot e_2) = (f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e_1)) \cdot (f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e_2)) = B(f)(e_1) \wedge B(f)(e_2))$
- (iii) $(\forall e_1 \in A)(\forall e_2 \in A)(B(f)(e_1 \lor e_2) = f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e_1 + e_2 e_1 \cdot e_2) = (f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e_1)) + '(f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e_2)) f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e_1) \cdot f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e_2) = B(f)(e_1) \lor' B(f)(e_2))$
- (iv) $(\forall e \in B(A))(B(f)(e^*) = f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (1-e) = f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (1) f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e) = 1' f \upharpoonright_{B(A)} (e) = B(f)(e)^*)$

hence a morphism of Boolean algebras.

We also have, for every ring A, $B(\mathrm{id}_A) = \mathrm{id}_{B(A)}$ and given any $f : A \to A'$ and $f' : A' \to A''$, $B(f' \circ f) = B(f') \circ B(f)$, since $B(f) = f \upharpoonright_{B(A)}$, so:

$$B: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{CRing} & \to & \mathbf{BA} \\ A & \mapsto & B(A) \\ A \xrightarrow{f} A' & \mapsto & B(A) \xrightarrow{B(f)} B(A') \end{array}$$

is a (covariant) functor.

Since we can regard any \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A as a commutative unital ring via the forgetful functor $\tilde{U} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Ring} \to \mathbf{CRing}$, we have a (covariant) functor:

$$B: \quad \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Ring} \quad \to \quad \mathbf{BA}$$

$$A \quad \mapsto \quad \widetilde{B}(A) := (B \circ U)(A)$$

$$A \xrightarrow{f} A' \quad \mapsto \quad \widetilde{B}(A) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{B}(f)} \widetilde{B}(A')$$

Now, if A is any \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, we can define the following map:

$$j_A: \tilde{B}(A) \to \operatorname{Clopen}\left(\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)\right) \\ e \mapsto D^{\infty}(e) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \mid e \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$$

Claim 1: The map defined above is a Boolean algebra homomorphism.

Note that for any $e \in B(A)$, $D^{\infty}(e^*) = D^{\infty}(1-e) = \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \setminus D^{\infty}(e) = D^{\infty}(e)^*$, since:

$$D^{\infty}(e) \cap D^{\infty}(1-e) = D^{\infty}\left(e \cdot (1-e)\right) = D^{\infty}(0) = \emptyset$$

and

$$D^{\infty}(e) \cup D^{\infty}(e^{*}) = D^{\infty}(e) \cup D^{\infty}(1-e) = D^{\infty}(e^{2}+(1-e)^{2}) = D^{\infty}(e+(1-e)) = \\ = D^{\infty}(1) = \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A).$$

Hence $j_{A}(e^{*}) = j_{A}(1-e) = D^{\infty}(1-e) = \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \setminus D^{\infty}(e) = \\ D^{\infty}(e)^{*} = j_{A}(e)^{*}.$
By the item (iii) of **Lemma 1.4** of [15], $D^{\infty}(e \cdot e') = D^{\infty}(e) \cap D^{\infty}(e'),$
so $j_{A}(e \wedge e') = D^{\infty}(e \cdot e') = D^{\infty}(e) \cap D^{\infty}(e') = j_{A}(e) \cap j_{A}(e').$
Last,
 $j_{A}(e \vee e') = j_{A}(e+e'-e \cdot e') = D^{\infty}(e+e'-e \cdot e') = D^{\infty}(e^{2}) \cup D^{\infty}(e'-e \cdot e') = \\ D^{\infty}(e) \cup D^{\infty}(e' \cdot (1-e)) = D^{\infty}(e) \cup [D^{\infty}(e') \cap D^{\infty}(1-e)] = \\ = [D^{\infty}(e) \cup D^{\infty}(e')] \cap [D^{\infty}(e) \cup D^{\infty}(1-e)] = [D^{\infty}(e) \cup D^{\infty}(e')] \cap \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) =$

 $= D^{\infty}(e) \cup D^{\infty}(e') = j_A(e) \cup j_A(e'),$

and the claim is proved.

Claim 2: $j_A : \tilde{B}(A) \to \text{Clopen}(\text{Spec}^{\infty}(A))$ is an injective map.

In order to prove that $j_A : \tilde{B}(A) \to \text{Clopen}(\text{Spec}^{\infty}(A))$ is an injective map, it suffices to show that $j_A^{\dashv}[\text{Spec}^{\infty}(A)] = \{1\}.$

In order to prove it, we need the following:

Claim 2.1: $(j_A(e) = \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)) \iff (e \in A^{\times}).$

In fact, $a \in A^{\times} \Rightarrow D^{\infty}(a) = \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$. On the other hand, if $a \notin A^{\times}$ then there is some maximal \mathcal{C}^{∞} -radical prime ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ such that $a \in \mathfrak{m}$. If $\mathfrak{m} \notin D^{\infty}(a)$ then $j_A(a) = D^{\infty}(a) \neq \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$. Hence $j_A(a) = \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \Rightarrow a \in A^{\times}$, and the claim is proved.

Let $e \in B(A)$ be such that $j_A(e) = D^{\infty}(e) = \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$, so by **Claim 2.1**, it follows that $e \in A^{\times}$ and since e is idempotent, e = 1, that is $j_A^{\dashv}[\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)] = \{1\}$.

The injective map $j_A : \widetilde{B}(A) \to \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ suggests that the idempotent elements of the Boolean algebra $\widetilde{B}(A)$ associated with a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring

A hold a strong relationship with the canonical basis of the Zariski topology of $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$. We are going to show that these idempotent elements, in the case of the von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings, represent all the Boolean algebras.

Theorem 4.3. Let A be a von Neumann regular C^{∞} -ring. The map:

$$\begin{aligned}
\jmath_A: & B(A) \to \operatorname{Clopen}\left(\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)\right) \\
& e \mapsto D^{\infty}(e) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A) \mid e \notin \mathfrak{p}\}
\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of Boolean algebras.

Proof. We already know that j_A is an injective Boolean algebras homomorphism, so it suffices to prove that it is also surjective. This is achieved noting that given any $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$, there is some $b \in A$ such that $D^{\infty}(a_1) \cup D^{\infty}(a_2) \cup \dots \cup D^{\infty}(a_n) = D^{\infty}(b)$, which is proved by induction, using item (iii) of **Lemma 1.4** of [15] for the case n = 2.

Since A is a von Neumann-ring, given this $b \in A$, there is an idempotent element, e, such that (b) = (e), so $D^{\infty}(b) = D^{\infty}(e)$. Thus, j_A is surjective, as claimed.

Theorem 4.4. We have the following diagram of categories, functors and a natural isomorphism:



Proof. First note that since A is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, the set of the compact open subsets of (the Boolean space) Spec^{∞} (A) equals Clopen (Spec^{∞} (A)).

On the one hand, given a von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A, we have Clopen (Spec^{∞} (A)) = $j_A[\tilde{B}(A)] = \{D^{\infty}(e) \mid e \in \tilde{B}(A)\}.$

For every von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A, by **Theorem 4.3**, we have the following isomorphism of Boolean algebras:

$$j_A: \tilde{B}(A) \to \operatorname{Clopen}\left(\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)\right)$$

 $e \mapsto D^{\infty}(e)$

It is easy to see that for every \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphism $f : A \to A'$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \widetilde{B}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}_A} & \operatorname{Clopen}\left(\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)\right) \\ & & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{Clopen}\left(\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(f)\right)} \\ & & & \overbrace{\widetilde{B}(A') \longrightarrow}^{\mathcal{I}_{A'}} & \operatorname{Clopen}\left(\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A')\right) \end{array}$$

In fact, given $e \in \tilde{B}(A)$, we have, on the one hand, $j_A(e) = D^{\infty}_A(e)$ and $\operatorname{Clopen}(\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(f))(D^{\infty}_A(e)) = D^{\infty}_{A'}(f(e))$. On the other hand, $j_{A'} \circ \tilde{B}(f)(e) = j_{A'}(f(e)) = D^{\infty}_{A'}(f(e))$, so the diagram (3) commutes.

Thus, j is a natural transformation and $j: \tilde{B} \Longrightarrow \text{Clopen} \circ \text{Spec}^{\infty}$ is a natural isomorphism and the diagram "commutes" (up to natural isomorphism).

The following lemma is a well-known result, of which the authors could not find a proof anywhere in the current literature. The authors provide a proof in **Lemma 6** of [5].

Lemma 4.5. Let (X, τ) be a Boolean topological space, and let:

 $\mathcal{R} = \{ R \subseteq X \times X \mid (R \text{ is an equivalence relation on } X) \& \\ \& ((X/R) \text{ is a discrete compact space}) \}$

which is partially ordered by inclusion. Whenever $R_i, R_j \in \mathcal{R}$ are such that $R_j \subseteq R_i$, we have the continuous surjective map:

$$\mu_{R_jR_i}: \begin{array}{ccc} (X/R_j) & \twoheadrightarrow & (X/R_i) \\ [x]_{R_i} & \mapsto & [x]_{R_i} \end{array}$$

so we have the inverse system $\{(X/R_i); \mu_{R_jR_i} : (X/R_j) \to (X/R_i)\}$. By definition (see, for instance, [16]),

$$\lim_{R \in \mathcal{R}} (X/R) = \{ ([x]_{R_i})_{R_i \in \mathcal{R}} \in \prod_{R \in \mathcal{R}} (X/R) \mid R_j \subseteq R_i \to ([x]_{R_i} = \mu_{R_j R_i}([x]_{R_j}) \}$$

Let X_{∞} denote $\varprojlim_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{X}{R}$, so we have the following cone:



We consider X_{∞} together with the induced subspace topology of $\prod_{R \in \mathcal{R}} (X/R)$.

By the universal property of X_{∞} , there is a unique continuous map map $\delta: X \to X_{\infty}$ such that the following diagram commutes:



We claim that such a $\delta: X \to X_{\infty}$ is a homeomorphism, so:

$$X \cong \varprojlim_{R \in \mathcal{R}} (X/R)$$

that is, X a profinite space.

Proof. See Lemma 6 of [5].

Let **BoolSp** be the category whose objects are all the Boolean spaces and whose morphisms are all the continuous functions between Boolean spaces. Given any Boolean space (X, τ) , let

$$\mathcal{R}_X = \{ R \subseteq X \times X \mid R \text{ is } an equivalence relation on } X \text{ and} \\ (X/R) \text{ is discrete and compact} \}$$

We are going to describe an equivalence functor between **BoolSp** and the category of profinite topological spaces: this is a known result, but we cannot found a reference containing a detailed description.

First we note that given any continuous function $f: X \to X'$ and any $R' \in \mathcal{R}_{X'}$,

$$R_f := (f \times f)^{\dashv} [R'] \subseteq X \times X$$

is an equivalence relation on X and the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ p_{R_f} & & \downarrow^{p'_R} \\ (X/R_f) & \xrightarrow{f_{R_f R'}} & (X'/R') \end{array}$$

where $p_{R_f} : X \to (X/R_f)$ and $p_{R'} : X' \to (X'/R')$ are the canonical projections, commutes.

We know, by **Theorem 4.3** of [16] that $f_{R_f R'}: (X/R_f) \to (X'/R')$ is a continuous map, and it is easy to see that $f_{R_fR'}$ is injective, as we are going to show.

Given any $[x]_{R_f}, [y]_{R_f} \in \frac{X}{R_f}$ such that $[x]_{R_f} \neq [y]_{R_f}$, *i.e.*, such that $(x,y) \notin R_f$, we have $(f(x), f(y)) \notin R'$, *i.e.*, $[f(x)]_{R'} \neq [f(y)]_{R'}$. Thus, since:

$$f_{R_f R'}([x]_{R_f}) = (f_{R_f R'} \circ p_{R_f})(x) = (p_{R'} \circ f)(x) = [f(x)]_{R'}$$

and

$$f_{R_f R'}([y]_{R_f}) = (f_{R_f R'} \circ p_{R_f})(y) = (p_{R'} \circ f)(y) = [f(y)]_{R'}$$

it follows that $f_{R_f R'}([x]_{R_f}) \neq f_{R_f R'}([y]_{R_f})$. Since $f_{R_f R'}: \frac{X}{R_f} \to \frac{X'}{R'}$ is an injective continuous map and $\frac{X'}{R'}$ is discrete, it follows that given any $[x']_{R'} \in \frac{X'}{R'}$:

$$f_{R_{f}R'}^{\dashv}[\{[x']_{R'}\}] = \begin{cases} \emptyset, \text{ if } [x']_{R'} \notin f_{R_{f}R'}[X/R_{f}], \\ \{*\}, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so every singleton of $\frac{X}{R_f}$ is an open subset of $\frac{X}{R_f}$, and $\frac{X}{R_f}$ is discrete. Also, since X is compact, $\frac{X}{R_f}$ is compact, and it follows that and $R_f \in \mathcal{R}_X.$

Now, if $R'_1, R'_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{X'}$ are such that $R'_1 \subseteq R'_2$, then $R'_{1f} \subseteq R'_{2f}$. In fact, given $(x, y) \in R'_{1f}$, we have $(f \times f)(x, y) \in R'_1$, and since $R'_1 \subseteq R'_2$, it follows that $(f \times f)(x, y) \in R'_2$, so $(x, y) \in R'_2_f$.

Let X, Y, Z be Boolean spaces, $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ and $Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be two Boolean spaces homomorphisms and $R \in \mathcal{R}_Z$. We easily see that $((g \circ f) \times (g \circ f))$ $(f))^{\dashv}[R] = (f \times f)^{\dashv}[(g \times g)^{\dashv}[R]].$

Denoting $T := (f \times f)^{\dashv}[(g \times g)^{\dashv}[R]]$ and $S := (g \times g)^{\dashv}[R]$, we have the following commutative diagram:



Given a continuous map between Boolean spaces, $f: X \to X'$, we can define a map $\check{f}: \varprojlim_{R \in \mathcal{R}_X} (X/R) \to \varprojlim_{R' \in \mathcal{R}_{X'}} (X'/R')$ in a functorial manner.

Let $R', S' \in \mathcal{R}_{X'}$ be any two equivalence relations such that $R' \subseteq S'$, so given $f: X \to X'$ the following diagram commutes:



and since the diagram (4) commutes, the diagram (5) also commutes.





By the universal property of X'_{∞} , there is a unique $\check{f}: X_{\infty} \to X'_{\infty}$ such that the following prism is commutative:



It can be proven that:

$$\Delta: \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{BoolSp} & \to & \operatorname{ProfinSp} \\ & X & \mapsto & X_{\infty} = \varprojlim_{R \in \mathcal{R}_{X}} (X/R) \\ & X \xrightarrow{f} X' & \mapsto & X_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\check{f}} X'_{\infty} \end{array}$$

is a functor using the uniqueness properties regarding X_{∞} and \check{f} (for details, see [5]).

Theorem 4.6. Let \mathbb{K} be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field. Following the notation of **Lemma 4.5**, define the contravariant functor:

$$\hat{k}: \text{ BoolSp } \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{vNRing}
(X, \tau) \mapsto A_X := \varinjlim_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{K}^{U\left(\frac{X}{R}\right)}$$

Then there is a natural isomorphism:

$$\epsilon : \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{BoolSp}} \stackrel{\Xi}{\Rightarrow} \mathrm{Spec}^{\infty} \circ \widehat{k}$$

Therefore:

- The functor \hat{k} is faithful;
- The functor $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{vNRing} \to \mathbf{BoolSp} \text{ is "full up to conjugation";}$
- The functor $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{vNRing} \to \mathbf{BoolSp}$ is isomorphismdense. In particular: for each (X, τ) be a Boolean space, there is a von Neumann-regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, A_X , such that $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A_X) \approx X$.

Proof. By the **Theorem 34**, p. 118 of [4],

$$\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A_X) \approx \lim_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{R}}} \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(\mathbb{K}^{U(X/R)}).$$

By the **Theorem 33**, p. 118 of [4],

$$\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(\mathbb{K}^{U(X/R)}) \approx X/R,$$

so

$$\lim_{R \to \mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{K}^{U\left(\frac{X}{R}\right)} \right) \approx \lim_{R \to \mathcal{R}} \left(X/R \right) \approx X$$

Since the homeomorphisms above are natural, just take $\epsilon_X : X \to \text{Spec}^{\infty}(A_X)$ as the composition of these homeomorphisms.

In particular, $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A_X) \approx X$ and $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}$ is an isomorphism-dense functor.

Let $\phi: X \to X'$ be a continuous function between Boolean spaces. Since ϵ is a natural isomorphism, we have $\phi = \epsilon_{X'}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(\hat{k}(\phi)) \circ \epsilon_X$. In particular, there exists a homomorphism of von Neumann regular \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings $f: A' \to A$ and homeomorphisms of Boolean spaces $\psi: X \to \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A)$ and $\psi': X' \to \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(A')$, such that

$$\phi = \psi'^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(f) \circ \psi,$$

thus $\operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}$ is a full up to conjugatization functor.

Let $\phi, \psi: X \to X'$ be continuous functions between Boolean spaces such that $\hat{k}(\phi) = \hat{k}(\psi)$. Then

$$\phi = \epsilon_{X'}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(\hat{k}(\phi)) \circ \epsilon_X = \epsilon_{X'}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Spec}^{\infty}(\hat{k}(\psi)) \circ \epsilon_X = \psi,$$

thus \hat{k} is a faithful functor.

Theorem 4.7. Let \mathbb{K} be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field. Defining the covariant functor (composition of contravariant functors):

$$\check{K} = \hat{k} \circ \text{Stone} : \mathbf{BA} \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{vNRing}.$$

Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{BA}} \stackrel{\cong}{\Rightarrow} \tilde{B} \circ \check{K}.$$

Therefore:

- The functor \check{K} is faithful;
- The functor \tilde{B} is full up to conjugation;
- The functor B̃: C[∞] vNRing → BA is isomorphism-dense. In particular: given any C[∞]-field K and any Boolean algebra B, there is a von Neumann regular C[∞]-ring which is a K-algebra, K(B), such that B̃(K(B)) ≅ B.

Proof. This follows directly by a combination of the **Theorem 4.6** above, Stone duality (**Remark 4.1**), **Theorem 4.4** and **Theorem 4.3**. \Box

The diagram below summarizes the main functorial connections established in this section:



We finish this work with the following:

Remark 4.8. The theorem above leads us to the natural question(s): Is \tilde{B} an equivalence of categories, possibly with with \check{K} being the quasiinverse of \tilde{B} , for some \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field \mathbb{K} ?

- For every C[∞]-field K with card (K) > card (R), K can not be a quasi-inverse of B; in fact, since for every Boolean algebra A, K(A) is in particular a K-algebra, we have card(K(A)) ≥ card(K), whenever A ≇ {0}. Let V be a von Neumann-regular C[∞]-ring; since the class of C[∞]-fields is first-order axiomatizable in the language of C[∞]-rings, and every C[∞]-field is an infinite set (since it is, in particular, a non-trivial R-algebra), then by the Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem (upward, which we denote by ↑ LS), there is a C[∞]-field K such that card (K) > card (V). Therefore, card (K(B(V))) ≥ card (K) ^{↑LS} card (V) and, thus, K(B(V)) ≇ V. Since there exist non-trivial von Neumann-regular C[∞]-rings V with card (V) = card (R), this shows that there is no C[∞]-field ,K, with card (K) > card (R), such that K is a quasi-inverse of B.
- It is important to stress that the functor \tilde{B} is not an equivalence of categories. In fact, by \uparrow LS, there are \mathcal{C}^{∞} -fields, \mathbb{K} , with card (\mathbb{K}) > card (\mathbb{R}). We saw above that \tilde{B} is a "full up to conjugation functor", but if \tilde{B} were a full functor, then the Boolean algebra isomorphism $\tilde{B}(\mathbb{K}) \cong 2 \stackrel{\text{id}_2}{\to} 2 \cong \tilde{B}(\mathbb{R})$ should be the image of some \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphism $\mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{R}$: this is a contradiction since a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -homomorphism between \mathcal{C}^{∞} -fields must be injective. Therefore \tilde{B} is not a full functor, thus \tilde{B} is not an equivalence of categories.

References

- [1] Ieke Moerdijk and Gonzalo E Reyes, *Models for smooth infinitesimal analysis*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [2] Jean Cerqueira Berni, Some algebraic and logical aspects of C[∞]−Rings. PhD thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, 2018.
- [3] Jean Cerqueira Berni and Hugo Luiz Mariano, Classifying toposes for some theories of C[∞]−rings. South American Journal of Logic, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 313–350, 2018.
- [4] Jean Cerqueira Berni and Hugo Luiz Mariano, *Topics on smooth commutative algebra*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.02725, 2019.
- [5] Jean Cerqueira Berni and Hugo Luiz Mariano, Von Neumann Regular C[∞]− Rings and Applications. arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1905, 2019.
- [6] Peter Arndt and Hugo Luiz Mariano, The von Neumann-regular Hull of (preordered) rings and quadratic forms. South American Journal of Logic, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 201–244, 2016.
- [7] John F Kennison, Integral domain type representations in sheaves and other topoi. Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 151, pp. 35–56, 1976, Springer.
- [8] Jean Cerqueira Berni and Hugo Luiz Mariano, C[∞]−Rings: an Interplay Between Geometry and Logics. Boletín de Matemáticas, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 85–112, 2020.
- [9] Jean Cerqueira Berni and Hugo Luiz Mariano, A geometria diferencial sintética e os mundos onde podemos interpretá-la: um convite ao estudo dos anéis C[∞]. Revista Matemática Universitária, vol. 1, pp. 5–30, 2022.
- [10] Jean Cerqueira Berni and Hugo Luiz Mariano, A universal algebraic survey of C[∞]−rings. Latin American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 1, no. 01, pp. 8–39, 2022.
- [11] Jean Cerqueira Berni, Rodrigo Figueiredo, and Hugo Luiz Mariano, On the Order Theory of C[∞]−Reduced C[∞]−Rings. Journal of Applied Logics – IFCoLog Journal of Logics and their Applications, vol. 9, no. 1, 2022.
- [12] Jean C Berni and Hugo L Mariano, Separation theorems in the commutative algebra of C[∞]−rings and applications. Communications in Algebra, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 2014–2044, 2023, Taylor & Francis.
- [13] Peter Scholze, Condensed mathematics. Lecture notes based on joint work with D. Clausen. Available at Scholze's webpage, 2019.
- [14] Dominic Joyce, Algebraic geometry over \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings. American Mathematical Soc., 2019.
- [15] I Moerdijk, Ngo Van Quê, and GE Reyes, Rings of smooth functions and their localizations. II. Mathematical logic and theoretical computer science, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math, vol. 106, pp. 277–300, 1987.
- [16] James Dugundji, *Topology*. Allyn and Bacon series in advanced mathematics, Allyn and Bacon, 1966, ISBN 9780205002719.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SÃO PAULO STATE UNIVERSITY - UNESP, 13506-900, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL.

Email address: j.berni@unesp.br

 2 Department of Mathematics, University of São Paulo, 05508-090, São Paulo, Brazil.

Email address: hugomar@ime.usp.br