
Harnessing GPT-4V(ision) for Insurance: A Preliminary Exploration

Chenwei Lin

Fudan University
cwlin23@m.fudan.edu.cn

Hanjia Lyu

University of Rochester
hlyu5@ur.rochester.edu

Jiebo Luo

University of Rochester
jluo@cs.rochester.edu

Xian Xu

Fudan University
xianxu@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

The emergence of Large Multimodal Models (LMMs) marks a significant milestone in the development of artificial intelligence. Insurance, as a vast and complex discipline, involves a wide variety of data forms in its operational processes, including text, images, and videos, thereby giving rise to diverse multimodal tasks. Despite this, there has been limited systematic exploration of multimodal tasks specific to insurance, nor a thorough investigation into how LMMs can address these challenges. In this paper, we explore GPT-4V’s capabilities in the insurance domain. We categorize multimodal tasks by focusing primarily on visual aspects based on types of insurance (*e.g.*, auto, household/commercial property, health, and agricultural insurance) and insurance stages (*e.g.*, risk assessment, risk monitoring, and claims processing). Our experiment reveals that GPT-4V exhibits remarkable abilities in insurance-related tasks, demonstrating not only a robust understanding of multimodal content in the insurance domain but also a comprehensive knowledge of insurance scenarios. However, there are notable shortcomings: GPT-4V struggles with detailed risk rating and loss assessment, suffers from hallucination in image understanding, and shows variable support for different languages. Through this work, we aim to bridge the insurance domain with cutting-edge LMM technology, facilitate interdisciplinary exchange and development, and provide a foundation for the continued advancement and evolution of future research endeavors.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	Motivation and Overview	5
1.2	Our Approach in Exploring GPT-4V(ision)	5
2	Experiments	8
2.1	Auto Insurance	8
2.1.1	Vehicle Underwriting	8
2.1.2	Dangerous Driving Behavior Detection	8
2.1.3	Vehicle Claims Processing	9

2.1.4	Fraud Detection	9
2.2	Household and Commercial Property Insurance	19
2.2.1	Household and Commercial Property Risk Assessment	19
2.2.2	Household and Commercial Property Real-time Risk Monitoring	19
2.2.3	Household and Commercial Property Claims Processing	20
2.3	Health Insurance	29
2.3.1	Health Risk Assessment	29
2.3.2	Health Risk Monitoring	29
2.3.3	Health Insurance Claims Processing	30
2.4	Agricultural Insurance	38
2.4.1	Farmland Risk Assessment	38
2.4.2	Farmland Risk Monitoring	38
2.4.3	Farmland Claims Processing	39
3	Challenges and Opportunities	46
3.1	Accurate Damage Assessment	46
3.2	Hallucination in Image Understanding	46
3.3	Multilingual Document Recognition	46
3.4	Revolution in Traditional Insurance Operations	47
4	Conclusions	57

List of Figures

1	Section 1: overview of the task classification in the insurance domain	6
2	Section 1: overview of our approach in exploring GPT-4V(ision)	7
3	Section 2.1.1: vehicle odometer reading test case	11
4	Section 2.1.1: vehicle underwriting test case	12
5	Section 2.1.2: dangerous driving behavior detection test case 1	13
6	Section 2.1.2: dangerous driving behavior detection test case 2	14
7	Section 2.1.3: vehicle damage evaluation test case	15
8	Section 2.1.3: extraction of auto accident elements test case	16
9	Section 2.1.4: vehicle identity verification test case	17
10	Section 2.1.4: claim discrepancies identification test case	18
11	Section 2.2.1: household property risk assessment test case	21
12	Section 2.2.1: commercial property risk assessment test case 1	22
13	Section 2.2.1: commercial property risk assessment test case 2	23
14	Section 2.2.2: household property real-time risk monitoring test case 1	24
15	Section 2.2.2: commercial property real-time risk monitoring test case 1	25
16	Section 2.2.2: commercial property real-time risk monitoring test case 2	26
17	Section 2.2.3: household property damage evaluation test case	27
18	Section 2.2.3: commercial property damage evaluation test case	28
19	Section 2.3.1: health risk assessment test case 1	31
20	Section 2.3.1: health risk assessment test case 2	32
21	Section 2.3.1: health risk assessment test case 3	33
22	Section 2.3.2: health risk monitoring test case 1	34
23	Section 2.3.2: health risk monitoring test case 2	35
24	Section 2.3.3: medical bill extraction test case	36
25	Section 2.3.3: medical documents matching test case	37
26	Section 2.4.1: farmland risk assessment test case 1	40
27	Section 2.4.1: farmland risk assessment test case 2	41
28	Section 2.4.2: farmland risk monitoring test case 1	42
29	Section 2.4.2: farmland risk monitoring test case 2	43
30	Section 2.4.3: farmland damage evaluation test case 1	44
31	Section 2.4.3: farmland damage evaluation test case 2	45
32	Section 3.1: accurate damage assessment test case 1	48
33	Section 3.1: accurate damage assessment test case 2	49
34	Section 3.2: car make and model identification	50
35	Section 3.2: locust invasion identification test case	51
36	Section 3.3: Chinese document extraction test case	52
37	Section 3.3: Japanese document extraction test case	53
38	Section 3.3: French document extraction test case	54

39	Section 3.3: German document extraction test case	55
40	Section 3.3: Korean document extraction test case	56

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation and Overview

Traditionally, the insurance industry has been viewed as primarily focused on text and mathematical formulas [6]. However, the reality is that insurance companies undertake a broad array of multimodal tasks that are crucial for the effective management of their operations [96, 116]. These tasks span various *types* of insurance and different *stages* of the business cycle, including underwriting, loss assessment, and claims processing [102, 119]. The integral role of these multimodal tasks is vital to the functioning of the contemporary insurance industry. The evolution of insurance technology has witnessed the integration of AI technologies like computer vision, significantly impacting the industry [94, 35, 121, 58, 45, 18, 12]. However, these technologies often provide visual assistance for specific tasks and do not integrate well with multimodal tasks. Furthermore, these AI solutions often lack the specialized knowledge and contextual understanding essential for the insurance sector. As a result, the prevalent approach continues to prioritize human expertise, with AI serving as a supplementary resource [12, 5, 103].

The advent of Large Language Models (LLM) marks a significant milestone in the development of artificial intelligence. The debut of ChatGPT [80] in November 2022 showed its exceptional general capabilities across a wide range of text-based tasks [11, 110, 82, 67]. It also excels in the finance and insurance domains, showcasing its potential for transforming these industries [6, 14, 124, 114]. Building upon the foundation of text modeling, OpenAI took a step further by introducing Large Multimodal Models (LMM), specifically GPT-4V(ision) [81], which adds the ability to process visual inputs. GPT-4V has exhibited impressive capabilities in many general vision and language tasks [118, 122, 115, 120]. Beyond the general applications, researchers have begun to focus on specialized domains, exploring the capability of GPT-4V in fields such as health [113, 60, 34], agriculture [105], transportation [17, 112], social media [66, 68], and biology [125]. These studies not only validate GPT-4V’s proficiency in specific professional domains but also provide valuable examples for other fields involved in multimodal learning.

The emergence of large multimodal models opens a new possibility for the insurance industry: Could the extensive general knowledge and robust multimodal understanding capabilities of large multimodal models address the diverse multimodal tasks in insurance scenarios? Our research aims to explore the effectiveness of GPT-4V in multimodal tasks within the insurance domain, examining its capabilities and limitations. Our study is driven by the following questions:

- **Can GPT-4V effectively handle multimodal tasks across different stages of insurance?** According to the insurance value chain theory [26, 27], the business stages of insurance include product design and development, sales and distribution, underwriting and pricing, claims processing, customer service, and asset management. Each stage incorporates various multimodal tasks to support its effective operation. For instance, during the underwriting stage of health insurance, tasks necessitate engaging with multimodal data such as historical claims records, physical examination reports, and medical examination result images to perform an in-depth and detailed analysis and evaluation of the insured’s risk status. Similarly, in the claims processing stage of auto insurance, tasks involve utilizing multimodal data like accident scene photographs and accident reports to aid in the precise determination of accident liability and damage assessment.
- **Can GPT-4V effectively process multimodal tasks across different types of insurance?** Insurance spans across a wide array of categories, including auto insurance, property insurance, health insurance, and others. While the core principles of insurance remain consistent, the knowledge systems and business models of different types vary significantly. Even within the same business stage, the multimodal tasks for different types of insurance are not identical. For instance, in the underwriting stage, property insurance focuses on the risk status of the property, while health insurance focuses on the health condition of the individual. This requires the model to possess rich insurance knowledge and the ability to understand various scenarios.

1.2 Our Approach in Exploring GPT-4V(ision)

Previous research has seen extensive qualitative and quantitative analysis by numerous scholars to investigate the capabilities of GPT-4V. However, executing quantitative assessments in the insurance sector is particularly challenging compared to other fields. This challenge arises primarily due to the




Figure 1: An overview of the task classification in the insurance domain.

absence of a systematic framework for categorizing and classifying computer vision tasks specific to the insurance industry, coupled with a lack of standardized benchmark datasets. Consequently, this study aims to define multimodal tasks within the insurance domain and focuses on conducting qualitative tests through various case studies.

Task Classification. In identifying and classifying tasks, we focus on two dimensions: the types and stages of insurance as shown in Figure 1. Insurance can be classified into two main types based on the insurance subject matter: personal insurance and general insurance (also known as property and casualty insurance) [109, 24, 75]. Personal insurance covers individuals’ life, health, and physical well-being, including life insurance and health insurance. General insurance, on the other hand, covers property and third-party liabilities, including property insurance, auto insurance, and others. To ensure that our research encompasses a comprehensive and representative view of the insurance industry, we have selected typical insurance types from both categories: health insurance representing personal insurance, while auto insurance, household/commercial property insurance, and agricultural insurance representing general insurance. These insurance types differ in their objects of coverage and modes of operation. Building on this, we further classify tasks from the perspective of insurance stages, identifying three core tasks: risk assessment, risk monitoring, and claims processing. Each insurance type encompasses these stages, and each stage is present across the various insurance types.

Testing Pipeline and Prompt Design. We assess GPT-4V using its online chat interface.¹ Our evaluation methodology involves crafting distinct prompt statements tailored to various task categories

¹<https://chat.openai.com/>





Figure 2: An overview of our approach in exploring GPT-4V(ision).

within the insurance sector. Each prompt incorporates three essential elements: role setting, textual information, and a specific task. These prompts are customized to align with the particular type of insurance, the stage of the insurance process, the inclusion of image information, and the specific task requirements, as depicted in Figure 2a. The detailed testing pipeline is illustrated in Figure 2b.

In Section 2, we present our exploration of GPT-4V across four insurance types: auto insurance (Section 2.1), household/commercial property insurance (Section 2.2), health insurance (Section 2.3) and agriculture insurance (Section 2.4). In Section 3, we discuss the challenges encountered and outline potential future opportunities. Our research is concluded in Section 4.

Sample Selection. To accurately represent the multimodal task demands in insurance scenarios, while also considering the complexity and diversity of insurance contexts, we have created our test sample set using publicly available online images and video screenshots.

Ethical Consideration. In conducting the experiments, we pay rigorous attention to ethical considerations, particularly in relation to privacy and data protection. The cases used for testing GPT-4V in the insurance domain inherently contain sensitive information, including vehicle identification numbers (VINs), medical records, and personal information among other private data. To adhere to the common ethical guidelines and to ensure compliance with data protection regulations, all such sensitive information is subjected to anonymization and privacy-enhancing processes prior to analysis. The anonymization process is designed to be irreversible, ensuring that there is no feasible method to trace back or reconstruct the original data from the information used in our experiments. The insights and conclusions outlined in this research are based on the data available and the capabilities of the GPT-4V model as of April 2024. This work seeks to contribute to the continuous dialogue within the insurance domain and AI research. It is crucial to acknowledge that the findings may not fully capture all the nuances and complexities inherent in practical insurance scenarios.

2 Experiments

2.1 Auto Insurance

Auto insurance is intended to offer policyholders financial protection against losses resulting from incidents such as accidents or theft. It primarily covers liabilities in property damage, legal responsibility, and medical expenses [76]. According to projections by SkyQuest [101], the global market size of auto insurance is expected to rise from \$910.9 billion in 2023 to \$1610.13 billion by 2031, making it one of the most crucial types of insurance due to the ubiquity of automobiles and the mandatory nature of auto insurance. With the market’s rapid growth, reliance on manual processes for on-site underwriting or claims handling can no longer meet the market’s demand [84, 100]. The importance of insurtech [12], represented by the computer vision technology, is increasingly highlighted, with more insurance companies optimizing business processes through online photo review [58, 45, 59, 19, 53, 117]. For example, policyholders can apply for claims by uploading images of the accident scene along with related documents, while insurance companies use computer vision technologies to automate the analysis of car damage, significantly enhancing operational efficiency. A recent study [118] has explored GPT-4V’s abilities in damage assessment and report generation within auto insurance. Building on this foundation, we delve deeper, categorizing multimodal tasks in the auto insurance domain into (1) vehicle underwriting assessment, (2) dangerous driving behavior detection, (3) vehicle damage assessment, and (4) insurance fraud detection. Moreover, we conduct exploratory tests for each task.

2.1.1 Vehicle Underwriting

Auto insurance underwriting is the process of evaluating and deciding whether to underwrite a vehicle insurance policy. This involves reviewing key risk factors including age, gender, marital status, car model, car condition, mileage, VIN, *etc.* to determine the level of risk and support the pricing of the policy [16, 28, 1]. In their day-to-day operations, insurance companies increasingly utilize computer vision technologies to automate the extraction and analysis of these risk factors, thereby enhancing operational efficiency [1, 31].

We design two distinct tasks to evaluate GPT-4V’s capabilities in vehicle underwriting: the first task involves extracting key information from an image of a vehicle’s odometer. Our prompt is designed as follows: *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in underwriting. Here is an image of a vehicle odometer. Please extract key information from it.”* The experimental results (see Figure 3) indicate that GPT-4V can accurately extract key information from the odometer (*e.g.*, *“... high mileage of 528,915 km ...”*, *“... There are several warning lights illuminated ...”*), although there are minor mistakes (*e.g.*, *“... the battery charge light is on ...”*, *“... the needle is at 0 RPM ...”*).

The second task involves conducting a comprehensive analysis of a vehicle’s model and condition based solely on its exterior appearance from multiple angles. Our prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in underwriting. Here is a set of multi-angle images of a vehicle. Please analyze key underwriting information seen in the images.”* The experimental results (see Figure 4) demonstrate that GPT-4V possesses strong analytical capabilities for vehicle underwriting. It can analyze and extract necessary information for underwriting from various dimensions, including both present (*e.g.*, vehicle model, vehicle condition) and absent information (*e.g.*, license, mileage). Moreover, an interesting finding is GPT-4V’s ability to comprehend the role of a newspaper in the images as an indication of the date of photography.

2.1.2 Dangerous Driving Behavior Detection

Dangerous driving behavior detection refers to identifying and issuing timely warnings for any anomalies, such as drowsy driving, making calls, driving against traffic, and speeding [71, 111]. Dangerous driving behaviors are among the primary causes of traffic accidents [50]. To mitigate the probability and scale of losses, insurance companies utilize a variety of data sources (*e.g.*, OBD devices, smartphones, cameras) for real-time monitoring of driving behaviors [3]. It is a more direct and effective way to collect images through camera and use computer vision technologies to detect dangerous driving behaviours, because of the richness of the image information and the advancement of computer vision [57, 54].

We design two distinct tasks to test the capabilities of GPT-4V in detecting dangerous driving behaviors: the first task involves monitoring the driver’s behavior through an inward-facing camera. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image from an inward-facing camera. Please judge whether there are dangerous behaviors.”* The results (see Figure 5) indicate that GPT-4V can effectively identify the driver’s dangerous behaviors within the vehicle (e.g., “... phone call ...”, “... engaging with a mobile phone ...”).

The second task involves monitoring the driver’s behavior through a dashcam. In order to provide a comprehensive demonstration of the driving process, we use both single image inputs and second-by-second captures of multiple image inputs for the same case. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image / a set of images from a dashcam. Please briefly analyze the vehicle equipped with this dashcam for any dangerous or reckless driving behaviors.”* The findings (see Figure 6) reveal that GPT-4V can not identify instances of driving against the direction of traffic, whether in individual images or in images captured sequentially.

2.1.3 Vehicle Claims Processing

Vehicle claims processing involves a series of standardised operations: checking the make and model of the vehicle, locating and classifying the type of damage, and determining the extent of the damage [100]. In the past, claims processing was a collaborative process involving a number of parties, including insurance adjusters and automotive repair technicians. Not only was it time-consuming, it was also prone to human error, resulting in inaccurate damage assessments [49]. According to a research report by Verisk [107], the U.S. auto insurance market loses around \$29 billion annually due to human error and information omissions in the vehicle damage detection and assessment process. As a result, a growing number of insurance companies are beginning to incorporate computer vision technologies into claims processing to improve the accuracy and timeliness of assessments [121, 87, 21].

We design two tasks to test the capabilities of GPT-4V in vehicle claims processing: the first task involves a brief evaluation of the vehicle’s damage condition, where we ask GPT-4V to summarize the overall damage to the vehicle. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of a car accident. Please briefly evaluate the damage.”* The results (see Figure 7) indicate that GPT-4V can accurately determine the extent and location of damage to the vehicle (e.g., “... the rear bumper is extensively deformed ...”, “... slightly deformed the surface ...”).

The second task requires the extraction of structured information about the vehicle incident to assist in the damage report generation. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of a car accident. Please extract the following information in JSON format.”* According to the results (Figure 8), GPT-4V effectively identifies environmental conditions such as road, weather, lighting conditions, and vehicle information including make and model, and assesses the extent and location of the damage. However, it performs poorly in analyzing the cause of the accident and in assessing the cost of the damage.

2.1.4 Fraud Detection

Auto insurance claims processing is characterized by its high frequency, low value, and automated nature, making it challenging for insurance companies to effectively detect fraud [58]. It is reported that a significant proportion of auto insurance claims, ranging from 21% to 36%, are believed to include fraudulent elements. However, only a small fraction of these suspected cases, less than 3%, result in prosecution [78]. Various computer vision technologies have been proposed to combat auto insurance fraud [117, 72]. These technologies analyze images from accident scenes to identify potential fraudulent activities, such as fabricating non-existent damages or exaggerating the amount of actual losses [8].

To assess the fraud detection capabilities of GPT-4V, we design two tasks. The first task involves comparing user-uploaded post-accident images of a vehicle with pre-accident images of the same vehicle to assess if they match. For this purpose, we intentionally select images of similar-looking but different models from the same brand, such as Tesla Model X and Model Y, BMW X5 and BMW X2. The prompt provided is: *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in fraud detection. Here are two images, one of a car after an accident and another of a car before the accident. Please*

determine whether they are the same car.” The test results (see Figure 9) reveal that GPT-4V struggles to distinguish between different models and incorrectly identifies them as the same car.

The second task involves comparing vehicle accident images and damage reports to determine if there are any inconsistencies or exaggerations. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in fraud detection. Here is an accident report and an image of the accident. Please determine whether the report contains insurance fraud and point out possible exaggerated losses briefly.”* The test results (see Figure 10) show that GPT-4V questions certain details about the reported losses (*e.g.*, *“... does not provide a clear indication of a twist in the chassis ...”*) and considers the contents of the report to be highly speculative or assumed.

Vehicle odometer reading

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in underwriting. Here is an image of vehicle odometer. Please extract key information from it.



GPT-4V:

The image displays an instrument cluster from a vehicle with several key indicators. The odometer shows a **high mileage of 528,915 km, suggesting this vehicle has been used extensively**. The speedometer is at 0 km/h, indicating the vehicle is stationary. The time is 19:18, and the outside temperature is quite high at 45°C. The gear is in 'P' position for park. There are several warning lights illuminated: a **general warning light** (exclamation mark inside a triangle), an **engine warning light** (engine symbol), and an **SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) light**, indicating an issue with the vehicle's airbag system. Additionally, **the battery charge light is on**, which could indicate a problem with the vehicle's electrical system or battery. This information could be important for an auto insurance underwriting expert to consider the vehicle's condition, potential risks, and maintenance needs, which may affect insurance premiums or coverage.

Reference: The battery charge light is not on.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in underwriting. Here is an image of vehicle odometer. Please extract key information from it.



GPT-4V:

The displayed instrument cluster provides several key details: The vehicle is in **Park mode (P)**, as indicated on the gear selector readout, which also shows a neutral (N) and drive (D) option available. The temperature is 10.5 degrees Celsius, suggesting a potentially cooler environment. The digital clock reads 11:14, although without AM or PM specified. The odometer shows the **vehicle has traveled a total of 37,756 miles**. Additionally, **the trip odometer is indicating 56.6 miles**, likely since the last reset. The fuel gauge on the left indicates the tank is just under half full. The tachometer on the right suggests the engine is not running since **the needle is at 0 RPM**, and the parking brake appears to be engaged, as indicated by the red (P) light. This information would be relevant for an auto insurance underwriter in assessing vehicle usage, such as average mileage, which could influence insurance rates.

Reference: The needle is not at 0 RPM.

Figure 3: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in vehicle odometer reading. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Vehicle underwriting

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in underwriting. Here is a set of multi-angle images of a vehicle. Please analyze key underwriting information seen in the images.



GPT-4V:

To analyze these images for key underwriting information, we'll focus on details that can affect the risk assessment and insurance premium calculation for the vehicle.

1. **Vehicle Make and Model:** The car is an **Audi A8L**, which is a luxury sedan. The model and make of a car are critical factors in underwriting because they can influence the cost of repairs, the likelihood of theft, and overall safety ratings.
 2. **Vehicle Condition:** **The vehicle appears to be in good condition without visible damage from the angles provided.** However, underwriting would require a closer inspection to ensure there is no hidden damage.
 3. **License Plate:** **The license plate has been blurred out.** For underwriting purposes, the license plate is needed to verify the registration and to check if the vehicle has any prior insurance claims or traffic violations that might affect the premium.
 4. **Modifications and Features:** **No aftermarket modifications are visible,** which could otherwise affect the vehicle's performance and risk profile. The A8L is known for its advanced features which can impact the insurance cost due to higher repair costs for sophisticated technology.
 5. **Vehicle Identification Number (VIN):** **There is a reflection of what appears to be a VIN in the last image.** The VIN is crucial for underwriting as it provides specific information about the car, including its history, recall information, and if it's been involved in any major accidents.
 6. **Mileage:** **Mileage is not visible in the images.** Mileage can affect the value of the car and potentially the cost of the insurance. Higher mileage may correlate with higher wear and tear, which can increase the risk of a claim.
 7. **Date and Time:** **The newspaper in the images shows a date,** which could be relevant if the underwriter needs to know when the pictures were taken as part of validating the current state of the vehicle.
 8. **Location:** **The surroundings don't give away much about the location where the vehicle is usually parked or driven,** which can affect the risk of theft or damage.
 9. **Ownership and Use:** These images **do not provide information on the owner or the intended use of the vehicle** (personal, commercial, ride-sharing), which are important considerations in underwriting.
- From an underwriting perspective, a physical inspection, driving history, location data, intended use, and a comprehensive VIN check would be necessary to complete the assessment. These images give a preliminary visual inspection but do not provide all the information needed for a full underwriting process.

Figure 4: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in vehicle underwriting. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Dangerous driving behavior detection

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image from inward-facing camera. Please judge whether there are dangerous behaviors.



GPT-4V:

Based on the image from the inward-facing camera, **there is a notable risky behavior being exhibited by the driver**. The individual is **engaged in a phone call using a handheld mobile device** while seated in the driver's seat. **This action is a clear form of distracted driving and is widely recognized as a significant hazard**. When a driver is holding a phone and conversing, their attention is split between the road and the call, reducing their situational awareness and increasing the likelihood of delayed reactions to road conditions or unexpected events. Additionally, **the use of one hand for holding the phone compromises the driver's ability to maintain full control over the steering wheel**, which is essential for safe vehicle operation. Many jurisdictions have strict regulations against the use of handheld mobile devices while driving, categorizing such behavior as illegal and subject to fines or other penalties. Therefore, it is imperative for the driver to end the call or use a hands-free system to ensure safety and compliance with traffic laws.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image from inward-facing camera. Please judge whether there are dangerous behaviors.



GPT-4V:

In the image presented, we see an individual **engaging with a mobile phone** while sitting in the driver's seat, **representing a serious risk** from an auto insurance risk monitoring perspective. This behavior is potentially dangerous due to the driver's divided attention and lack of readiness to respond to driving conditions. **Holding a phone with both hands suggests that the driver is not ready to control the vehicle effectively**, and such distractions significantly increase the likelihood of an accident. Additionally, this action may contravene traffic laws that prohibit the use of handheld devices while driving, exposing the driver to legal consequences and potentially higher insurance premiums due to the elevated risk profile.

Figure 5: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in dangerous driving behavior detection through inward-facing camera. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Dangerous driving behavior detection

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image from dashcam. Please briefly analyze the vehicle equipped with this dashcam for any dangerous or reckless driving behaviors.



GPT-4V:

Based on the image from the dashcam, we can observe the following:

The timestamp on the image indicates it is late at night (00:31:42), which could imply reduced visibility and potentially more hazardous driving conditions. **The vehicle with the dashcam appears to be in the correct lane for its direction of travel.** There are multiple vehicles in close proximity, **but it's not clear from this still image if any of them are moving erratically or are too close to each other. There's no obvious sign of dangerous or reckless driving from the perspective of the dashcam vehicle.**

The image does not provide information on the speed of the vehicle with the dashcam or the surrounding vehicles, which would be a factor in determining reckless behavior. Without a video or additional context, it's difficult to make a definitive analysis of driving behavior based solely on this still image.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of images from dashcam. Please briefly analyze the vehicle equipped with this dashcam for any dangerous or reckless driving behaviors.



GPT-4V:

Based on the sequence of images provided from the dashcam, here is a brief analysis of the potential driving behavior of the vehicle equipped with the dashcam:

1. Image 1 and Image 2: These initial images show **the vehicle approaching an intersection with a green traffic light**, seemingly at a normal rate of speed. There are no immediate signs of dangerous or reckless driving in these frames.
2. Image 3: The vehicle **continues through the intersection without any noticeable issues.** Again, there are no signs of dangerous or reckless behavior in this image.
3. Image 4: The vehicle appears to be proceeding straight on a multi-lane road with traffic lights ahead still green. **There is no visible behavior that suggests dangerous or reckless driving.**
4. Image 5: In this image, **the vehicle has come to a stop in what seems to be a line of traffic.** There are cars ahead and it is unclear why the traffic has stopped, **but the fact that the vehicle with the dashcam has stopped suggests that the driver is responding appropriately to the traffic conditions.**

Without more context or additional images showing different behavior, **there is no evidence from these images to suggest that the driver of the vehicle with the dashcam is driving dangerously or recklessly. The driver seems to be following traffic signals and responding to the flow of traffic correctly.**

Reference: **The vehicle is travelling against traffic.**

Figure 6: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in dangerous driving behavior detection through dashcam. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Vehicle damage evaluation

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of car accident. Please briefly evaluate the damage.



GPT-4V:

The vehicle in the image has sustained **significant rear-end damage**. **The trunk area is severely crumpled**, and the **rear bumper is extensively deformed** and detached from the body in some places. **The rear lights on the impacted side are shattered**. The **rear windshield is also completely broken**, and there is visible damage to the rear side panel. The extent of the damage suggests that the impact was of high force, which **may have also damaged the rear axle or suspension, as well as the potential for misalignment of the frame**. This level of damage could result in the vehicle being considered a total loss by an insurance adjuster, especially if the cost of repairs exceeds the value of the car.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of car accident. Please briefly evaluate the damage.



GPT-4V:

The damage shown in the image appears to be cosmetic, limited to the vehicle's **front bumper** area. There are **multiple scratch marks**, which could be from a low-impact scrape against a rough surface or another object. The scratches have removed paint and **possibly slightly deformed the surface of the bumper**, but **there doesn't seem to be any significant structural damage visible from this angle**. The headlight and tire appear intact, suggesting that the damage is superficial. Repairing this would likely involve sanding, using body filler if necessary, and repainting the affected area to match the vehicle's original color.

Figure 7: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in vehicle damage evaluation. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Extraction of auto accident elements

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of car accident. Please briefly extract the following information in json format: Road Condition, Weather Condition, Lighting Condition, Estimated cause of accident, Damage Side (Front, Rear, Back), The make and model of the vehicle, The severity of the damage (Minor, Moderate, Severe), Damage Classification (Bumper dent, Door dent, Glass shatters, Headlamp Broken, Tail lamp broken, Scratch, Smash, etc.), Estimated cost. If it cannot be extracted, please use N/A.




GPT-4V:

```
{
  "Road Condition": "Wet",
  "Weather Condition": "Overcast, possible recent precipitation",
  "Lighting Condition": "Daylight",
  "Estimated Cause Of Accident": "N/A",
  "Damage Side": "Front",
  "Make And Model": "Honda Fit",
  "Severity Of Damage": "Severe",
  "Damage Classification": ["Bumper dent", "Hood deformation", "Headlamp broken", "Grille damage", "Engine compartment intrusion"],
  "Estimated Cost": "N/A"
}
```

Reference: Car accident strikes on snowy morning caused by slick road conditions.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of car accident. Please briefly extract the following information in json format: Road Condition, Weather Condition, Lighting Condition, Estimated cause of accident, Damage Side (Front, Rear, Back), The make and model of the vehicle, The severity of the damage (Minor, Moderate, Severe), Damage Classification (Bumper dent, Door dent, Glass shatters, Headlamp Broken, Tail lamp broken, Scratch, Smash, etc.), Estimated cost. If it cannot be extracted, please use N/A.



GPT-4V:

```
{
  "Road Condition": "Dry",
  "Weather Condition": "Clear",
  "Lighting Condition": "Daylight",
  "Estimated Cause of Accident": "Side collision and/or rollover",
  "Damage Side": "Rear, Side",
  "Make and Model of Vehicle": "Audi A6",
  "Severity of Damage": "Severe",
  "Damage Classification": ["Bumper dent", "Door dent", "Glass shatters", "Rear quarter panel damage", "Tail lamp broken", "Wheel axle damage", "Side smash", "Roof damage"],
  "Estimated Cost": "N/A"
}
```

Reference: Audi A6 lost control and crashed into a tree.

Figure 8: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in extraction of auto accident elements. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in green, red and yellow, respectively.

Vehicle identity verification

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in fraud detection. Here are two images, one of a car after an accident and another of a car before the accident. Please determine whether they are the same car.



GPT-4V:

Based on the analysis of the two images provided:

Both cars are Tesla Model X, identifiable by their unique falcon-wing doors, front fascia, and headlight design.

The color of both vehicles is white.

The wheel designs appear to be the same style, **which is specific to certain configurations of the Tesla Model X.**

Other features such as the placement of the door handles, the shape of the side windows, and the front grille area are consistent between the two vehicles.

Given these matching characteristics, **it is likely that these images show the same vehicle before and after an accident.**

Reference: One is Tesla Model X and the other one is Tesla Model Y.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in fraud detection. Here are two images, one of a car after an accident and another of a car before the accident. Please determine whether they are the same car.



GPT-4V:

Upon examining the two images provided:

Both cars are BMWs, as indicated **by the distinctive kidney grille and emblem.**

The color of both cars **appears to be black.**

The wheel designs in both images seem to be a match.

The design and shape of the headlights, along with the overall body shape and side mirrors, are consistent between the two images.

Considering these points, **it is likely that the images are of the same make and model of the car.**

Reference: One is BMW X5 and the other one is BMW X2.


Figure 9: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in vehicle identity verification. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Claim discrepancies identification

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in fraud detection. Here is an accident report and an image of the accident. Please determine whether the report contains insurance fraud and point out possible exaggerated losses briefly.

Report content: [The front end of the vehicle has been completely crushed, rendering the engine and all associated mechanical components beyond repair. The chassis has been twisted to an extent that compromises the foundational structure of the vehicle. All front-facing safety systems, including airbags and sensors, have been deployed and are irreparably damaged. The electrical system has been severely compromised with significant wiring damage visible, indicating potential total electrical failure. The suspension system is completely destroyed, with broken components and an apparent collapse of the shock absorption capability. The alignment of the vehicle is severely distorted, leading to a hypothetical loss of control if the vehicle were to be in motion. Comprehensive fluid leaks suggest a catastrophic failure of all fluid-containing systems, including but not limited to the engine, transmission, and cooling systems.]



GPT-4V:

Based on the image provided, we can see significant damage to the front end of the vehicle. However, the claims in the report should be carefully scrutinized against the visible damage for the following reasons:

- 1. Complete crush of the front end:** The damage is indeed severe, but without an expert inspection, it is not certain that "all associated mechanical components" are beyond repair.
- 2. Chassis twist:** **The visible damage does not provide a clear indication of a twist in the chassis.** This would need to be evaluated on a repair shop's alignment rack or with a frame machine.
- 3. Safety systems deployment:** **The image does not confirm whether the airbags have deployed,** as they are not visible in this view. Sensors cannot be assessed from the photo alone.
- 4. Electrical system:** Visible wiring damage is not evident from this angle. Claims of total electrical failure would require a more thorough inspection.
- 5. Suspension system:** **We cannot ascertain the condition of the entire suspension system,** including the shock absorption capability, from this single image.
- 6. Alignment:** **Severe distortion in alignment cannot be confirmed from the image provided.** Alignment issues are usually assessed during a post-collision inspection.
- 7. Fluid leaks:** Comprehensive fluid leaks and catastrophic failure of fluid-containing systems **cannot be concluded from this photo.** A detailed inspection would be needed to confirm such extensive damage.

In summary, while the vehicle clearly has suffered substantial damage, especially to the front end, **the report's claims of complete and irreparable damage to multiple systems seem to be more speculative or assumed rather than based on direct evidence visible in the picture provided.**

Reference: **The airbags haven't deployed.**

Figure 10: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in claim discrepancies identification. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

2.2 Household and Commercial Property Insurance

Household and commercial property insurance plays a crucial role not only in protecting individual and corporate assets but also in mitigating the potential negative impacts of various risks on economic activities [33, 43]. With the advent of computer vision, household and commercial property insurance can benefit greatly [96]. For instance, in the field of household property insurance, images of residential roofs can lead to a clearer understanding of roof conditions and help identify potential hazards [108]. Likewise, in commercial property insurance, surveillance images can be instrumental in recognizing and alerting against dangerous behaviors among workers [32]. In our study, we combine household and commercial property insurance for a collective analysis and evaluate the capabilities of GPT-4V from three perspectives: risk assessment, risk monitoring, and claims processing.

2.2.1 Household and Commercial Property Risk Assessment

Risk assessment in household/commercial property insurance involves analyzing and evaluating the internal and external risk conditions of a building to assist in accurately pricing insurance policies [83]. Advancements in computer vision now enable its application in assessing both building structure and work safety risks [108, 91], providing effective tools for insurance companies. For example, CAPE Analytics² uses intelligent algorithms to conduct precise risk analysis on the structural integrity and roofing of residential homes.

We design two tasks to evaluate the risk monitoring capabilities of GPT-4V: the first task involves evaluating the risk condition of residential house roofs. The prompt used is: *“Imagine that you are a household property expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of a roof. Please evaluate the roof’s condition and risk rank.”* The experimental results (see Figure 11) indicate that GPT-4V is capable of accurately analyzing roofs in various conditions (e.g., *“... Roof shingles seem intact with no visible damage or algae ...”*, *“... Missing shingles, curling, and warping shingles ...”*) and providing a reasonable risk rank.

The second task involves conducting a thorough risk assessment of a commercial facility. We use two sets of comprehensive, multi-angle photos of a company as input, with the following prompt: *“Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is a set of images of a company. Please evaluate the risk of it based on the content of the pictures, and determine the risk status of it.”* The experimental results (see Figures 12 and 13) suggest that GPT-4V can analyze and evaluate the risk condition from multiple dimensions such as fire safety, architecture, and structure. However, it still exhibits certain limitations in recognizing image details (e.g., *“... No obvious fire alarms or automatic sprinkler systems ...”*), which may lead to misjudgments in assessing risk conditions.

2.2.2 Household and Commercial Property Real-time Risk Monitoring

Risk monitoring in household and commercial property insurance involves identifying and detecting potential scenarios through surveillance footage that could harm the property [64]. Continuous risk monitoring plays a crucial role in reducing risks and minimizing losses. Monitoring systems are commonly used in many homes and businesses. Insurance companies, by utilizing computer vision technologies, can timely capture environmental conditions, human behavior, and conditions of insured items, achieving continuous risk detection and safety alerts [25, 85, 55].

We design two tasks to examine the risk monitoring capability of GPT-4V. The first task focuses on risk monitoring of dangerous events within a household. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are a household property insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of surveillance screenshots of a house. Please judge whether any dangerous events have occurred.”* The results (see Figure 14) suggest that GPT-4V can accurately identify and detect unusual events (e.g., fire) within a home and judge the trend of the fire situation (e.g., *“... smoke begins to accumulate ...”*, *“... escalates to visible flames ...”*).

The second task involves risk monitoring of hazardous events within a factory and analyzing the risk status. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of surveillance screenshots of a factory. Please judge whether any dangerous events have occurred and determine the risk status of the factory.”* The experimental results (see

²<https://capeanalytics.com/home-insurance-property-intelligence/>

Figures 15 and 16) demonstrate that GPT-4V can accurately identify dangerous events in a factory (e.g., operational errors, high-altitude falls) and assess the risk status (e.g., “... *The risk status of this factory is concerning ...*”).

2.2.3 Household and Commercial Property Claims Processing

Claims processing in household and commercial property insurance involves critically evaluating the damage to buildings and property to determine the cause, extent, and associated cost [93, 20, 89]. Computer vision can significantly aid this task—for instance, by using drones to capture photos of a house’s roof and employing computer vision to assess the damage to the roof [44], or by analysing post-disaster images of a house to determine the extent of damage sustained [77]. These technologies have greatly improved the efficiency of claims processing.

To assess GPT-4V’s capabilities in claims processing, we design two tasks. The first task focuses on evaluating loss to household property, encompassing the analysis of the cause of loss, assessment of the damage level, and estimation of the loss. We use two different scenarios for this task: roof and interior damage. The prompt is: “*Imagine that you are a household insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of a house. Please briefly evaluate the damage, analyze the cause of damage and give a loss level and estimated loss.*”

The second task involves evaluating loss to commercial property, again including analysis of the cause of loss, assessment of the damage level, and estimation of the loss. The prompt is: “*Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in evaluating damage. Here is an image of damaged commercial facilities. Please briefly evaluate the damage, analyze the cause of damage and give a loss level and estimated loss.*”

In both tasks, GPT-4V demonstrates its remarkable ability to evaluate damage (see Figures 17 and 18). It effectively outlines the extent of property damage (e.g., “... *with significant damage due to a large tree falling on it ...*”), identifies the causes of damage (e.g., storm, fire, flood), and assesses the severity of the damage (e.g., “... *The damage level is severe ...*”, “... *The loss level is high ...*”). However, GPT-4V falls short in estimating the precise monetary value of the damage, providing only a rough range (e.g., “... *from the upper tens of thousands to over a hundred thousand dollars ...*”).

Household property risk assessment

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a household property expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of roof. Please evaluate the roof's condition and risk rank.



GPT-4V:

Based on the image, here's an assessment:

1. **Chimney and roof appear in good condition** but with potential mold on the chimney.
 2. **Roof shingles seem intact with no visible damage or algae.**
 3. Vent pipe looks okay but needs a closer inspection.
 4. Roof age and material are unknown, affecting risk assessment.
 5. **No nearby trees reducing the risk of roof damage.**
 6. **No signs of poor maintenance**, but a complete assessment requires an on-site inspection.
- Overall, the visible condition suggests a moderate to low risk for insurance**, but further examination is necessary for a comprehensive evaluation.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a household property expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of roof. Please evaluate the roof's condition and risk rank.



GPT-4V:

The image reveals **a roof in poor condition with significant indicators of damage and risk. Missing shingles, curling, and warping shingles, along with exposed underlayment**, suggest a compromised protective barrier against moisture. This poses a high risk of leaks, potential interior damage, mold growth, and structural issues, raising concerns about the roof's overall structural integrity. **Given these observations, the risk assessment for this roof is deemed high**, likely requiring an inspection, repairs, or replacement for insurance coverage. **Insurance premiums could be higher, and there may be policy stipulations related to the roof's pre-existing condition.** A thorough on-site inspection by a roofing professional is necessary for a comprehensive assessment and repair recommendations.

Figure 11: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in household property risk assessment. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Commercial property risk assessment-1

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is a set of images of a company. Please evaluate the risk of the it based on the content of the pictures, and determine the risk status of it.



GPT-4V:

From the provided photos, the following risk assessment can be made for the factory premises:

- Exterior Environment and Structural Condition:** The factory exteriors appear well-maintained, with no visible signs of significant wear or damage, and ample greenery, which helps prevent soil erosion and contributes to environmental aesthetics.
- Safety Pathways and Emergency Exits:** Clear signage for safety exits and emergency evacuation routes are visible in the photos, which aids in enhancing personnel safety in emergency situations.
- Hazardous Chemical Storage:** Some photos show large containers that might store chemicals or other hazardous materials, necessitating strict safety management measures to prevent leaks or other accidents.
- Ground Condition:** There are cracks in some areas of the flooring, which might suggest underlying infrastructure or drainage issues. Further inspection is needed to ensure there are no structural problems.
- Fire Safety Facilities and Equipment:** Fire hydrants or fire-fighting equipment are not directly visible, but assuming these are standard provisions, they would need regular checks to ensure functionality.
- Building Maintenance:** Some building facades show signs of aging protection, which should be inspected for water ingress or insulation material degradation.
- Interior Maintenance:** There are no photos of the interior structure and equipment, so it's not possible to assess the risk condition inside. Ideally, there should be checks on the internal electrical systems, machinery, and fire safety systems.
- Signage and Warnings:** The layout of the plant and warning signs are showcased in the photos, which helps personnel understand the functions of areas and potential hazards, contributing to enhanced safety awareness.

Overall, the exterior maintenance of the factory is in good condition, indicating lower risk. However, further internal inspections are needed, particularly of the electrical systems, fire safety, and chemical storage safety, to comprehensively evaluate the risk status. Regular safety reviews and maintenance will help ensure the safe operation of the factory.

Figure 12: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in commercial property risk assessment. The relevant parts are highlighted in green.

Commercial property risk assessment-2

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is a set of images of a company. Please evaluate the risk of the it based on the content of the pictures, and determine the risk status of it.



GPT-4V:

Here is a risk assessment report based on the photo you provided about the factory:

1. **Architecture and Structure:** **The external structure of the factory appears to be well maintained, with no obvious damage or deterioration.** It's necessary to confirm whether the building meets local earthquake-resistant construction standards, especially if it is located in an earthquake-prone area.
2. **Fire Safety:** **No obvious fire alarms or automatic sprinkler systems were seen in the photos,** which is a potential high-risk factor. Large entrances and exits should be equipped with fire doors to prevent the spread of fire.
3. **Electrical Safety:** Electrical equipment, distribution panels, and wiring should be regularly inspected to ensure no aging or damaged lines, to avoid the risk of electrical fires.
4. **Goods Storage and Management:** Goods should be **well-managed in storage** to avoid physical risks due to excessive weight or instability. High-level shelves should be regularly checked for structural stability to ensure they are not overloaded.
5. **Working Environment:** **The factory interior is well-lit,** but it's necessary to confirm that there are sufficient emergency lights and exit signs to ensure safe evacuation of employees in emergencies. **The floor is clean and free of debris, reducing the risk of workers slipping and falling.**
6. **Traffic and Vehicle Management:** Vehicle routes inside and outside the factory should be clearly marked to reduce the risk of traffic accidents. Cargo trucks and forklifts should operate on designated routes to avoid potential conflicts with pedestrians.
7. **Safety Measures and Training:** Regular safety training and emergency drills should be conducted to ensure employees are aware of actions and routes in emergency situations.
8. **Security Monitoring:** There should be a security monitoring system, including but not limited to CCTV, to monitor inside and outside the factory.

Based on the provided photo, while all details cannot be seen, some potential risk points can be identified. **Overall, the risk status of the factory seems to be moderate.** However, further on-site inspections and detailed information are required for a more accurate assessment.

Especially, the specific conditions of key areas such as fire safety, electrical safety, and goods storage need to be confirmed.

Reference: **There are fire safety equipment in image 4, 5 and 6. The risk status is low.**

Figure 13: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in commercial property risk assessment. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Household property real-time risk monitoring

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a household property insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of surveillance screenshots of a house. Please judge whether any dangerous events have occurred.




GPT-4V:

The series of surveillance images indicates a progression from a typical room scene to one engulfed in what appears to be smoke, which is suggestive of **a fire incident occurring within the premises**. This transition is marked by changes in room visibility due to the smoke, indicating a **high-risk situation** likely to result in significant property damage and potential harm to occupants. Such an event would be classified as a dangerous occurrence, warranting immediate emergency response and subsequent thorough investigation to determine causality, assess damages, and review safety protocols. This incident underscores the importance of functional smoke detectors, fire extinguishers, and clear evacuation routes in home safety planning.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a household property insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of surveillance screenshots of a house. Please judge whether any dangerous events have occurred.



GPT-4V:

The sequence of images clearly depicts the development of a dangerous event within a household setting. Initially, we observe a typical room; however, as the sequence progresses, **smoke begins to accumulate near the ceiling**, which is a common indicator of a fire. **This situation escalates to visible flames**, suggesting that **a fire has indeed broken out**. The spread of smoke and fire is rapid, culminating in a room engulfed in smoke, indicating a **high-risk scenario** that presents severe dangers to both life and property. This sort of event would likely result in significant damage, necessitating immediate emergency response and a thorough insurance claim investigation to assess the extent of the damage and to identify the fire's cause. As a property insurance expert specializing in risk monitoring, this situation represents a critical incident with considerable implications for safety, property conservation, and insurance processes.

Figure 14: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in household property real-time risk monitoring. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Commercial property real-time risk monitoring-1

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of surveillance screenshots of a factory. Please judge whether any dangerous events have occurred and determine the risk status of the factory.



GPT-4V:

Based on the sequence of images provided, which appear to be surveillance screenshots of a factory, there is a clear progression of events that indicate **a dangerous situation has occurred**. Here's a breakdown based on the visual information in each screenshot:

- In the first image, we see a **normal state** where workers are present, and there is no immediate sign of danger.
- The second image shows more workers in the area, **still no evident danger**, but the presence of more individuals could increase risk in case of an incident.
- By the third image, there is a significant change as we can observe **a large object falling towards a worker**. This indicates a dangerous event in progress, which could potentially lead to serious injury.
- The fourth image captures the moment of impact, where **the falling object appears to have struck the ground and possibly the worker**. This is an acute hazardous event.
- The final image shows the aftermath, with debris scattered across the area, which suggests an accident has occurred.

Judging from these images, several risk factors and potential violations can be noted:

1. Falling Objects: There is a clear instance of an object falling from a height, which points to **inadequate securing of materials or a failure in following proper lifting and hoisting procedures**.

2. Proximity to Hazard: The workers are in close proximity to the load being moved, which may **imply insufficient safety zones or lack of adherence to them**.

3. Response to Emergency: It's not clear from the images if there is an immediate response to the accident, but this would be crucial in assessing the factory's risk status and emergency preparedness.

Based on this visual evidence, **the risk status of the factory would be classified as high** at the time of these events. Immediate action would be necessary to attend to any injured persons, secure the area to prevent further accidents, and investigate the cause of the incident to implement measures that prevent future occurrences. From a property insurance perspective, this incident would likely lead to a claim and could influence future premiums or coverage conditions due to the demonstrated risks.

Figure 15: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in commercial property real-time risk monitoring. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Commercial property real-time risk monitoring-2

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of surveillance screenshots of a factory. Please judge whether any dangerous events have occurred and determine the risk status of the factory.



GPT-4V:

The sequence of images from the factory's surveillance footage depicts a **potentially dangerous event involving a forklift and a large board or panel**. Here's an analysis of the situation based on each screenshot:

- In the first image, we see a forklift operator lifting a large board. There are **no signs of immediate danger**, but the size of the load could present a risk if not handled properly.
- The second image shows the board being carried, **still apparently under control**. It's crucial that the forklift is operated within its load capacity and the operator has clear visibility.
- The third image shows a **clear shift in the stability of the load**. The operator appears to be in motion, and the board is now at an angle that suggests it could be falling or unstable.
- By the fourth image, **the board has tipped significantly and is clearly falling**. This is a hazardous event that could result in injury to personnel and damage to property.
- The final image shows the board **has fallen to the ground**, and the operator has exited the forklift, likely in response to the incident.

As a property insurance expert, the following risks can be identified:

1. **Load Stability:** The forklift was carrying a load that became unstable. This suggests a possible miscalculation of the load's weight or center of gravity, or an operational error.
2. **Operator Safety:** The operator was at risk of injury from the falling load and had to exit the vehicle quickly.
3. **Property Damage:** There is potential property damage, both to the dropped load and any nearby equipment or infrastructure.

The risk status of this factory is concerning due to:

1. **Inadequate Load Management:** The load was either improperly secured or beyond the forklift's handling capacity.
2. **Operator Training:** It may be necessary to reassess the training and qualifications of the forklift operator to handle such loads safely.
3. **Emergency Response:** How quickly and effectively the situation was managed after the incident will also affect the risk assessment.

Reference: **The board has fallen to the shelf.**

Figure 16: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in commercial property real-time risk monitoring. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Household property damage evaluation

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a household insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of a house. Please briefly evaluate the damage, analyze the cause of damage and give a loss level and estimated loss.




GPT-4V:

This image reveals a residential house **with significant damage due to a large tree falling on it**, particularly impacting the roof and attic area. The cause of damage is clearly the fallen tree, **which may have been brought down by strong winds or a storm**. **The damage level is severe**, not just because of the structural impact to the roof, but also considering potential water damage and damage to the interior from exposure. The estimated loss for such damage will likely be high; tree removal, roof repair or replacement, interior restoration, and potentially addressing mold or mildew from water intrusion could run **from the upper tens of thousands to over a hundred thousand dollars**. This figure would vary based on regional construction costs, the size of the house, the tree removal complexity, and the extent of interior damage. A comprehensive assessment by a claims adjuster would be necessary for a precise valuation.

Reference: **A house damaged from storm.**

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a household insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of a house. Please briefly evaluate the damage, analyze the cause of damage and give a loss level and estimated loss.



GPT-4V:

The images indicate a house that **has suffered extensive interior damage**. The visible soot and patterns of residue suggest that **the house experienced a fire**. There's considerable charring on walls and ceilings, and some areas show where the fire breached the structure. In addition to the fire damage, there's likely **water and smoke damage**, both of which can be pervasive and require significant remediation. **The loss level is high**; restoration will require not just cleaning and cosmetic fixes, but also structural repairs, which are often costly. Depending on the local cost of construction, the size of the house, and the extent of the damage, the estimated loss could easily **run into tens of thousands of dollars**, potentially more if the house requires extensive structural work or if asbestos or other hazardous materials are involved. A thorough on-site assessment by a professional would be crucial for an accurate estimate.

Reference: **A house after fire.**

Figure 17: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in household property damage evaluation. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Commercial property damage evaluation

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in evaluating damage. Here is an image of damaged commercial facilities. Please briefly evaluate the damage, analyze the cause of damage and give a loss level and estimated loss.



GPT-4V:

Based on the image, the commercial property has suffered extensive damage with **entire structures collapsed, likely indicating a total loss**. The destruction is pervasive, affecting buildings, machinery, and likely the inventory inside. The damage pattern suggests a high-energy force, possibly from an industrial accident or a **natural disaster**. The cost of damage would be substantial, **potentially in the millions**, considering the scale of destruction and the need for complete rebuilding, replacement of specialized machinery, and potential business interruption losses. A precise financial impact can only be accurately assessed with detailed information on the property value, equipment costs, and construction expenses specific to the facility's location.

Reference: A factory destroyed by major earthquake and ensuing tsunami.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a commercial property insurance expert in evaluating damage. Here is an image of damaged commercial facilities. Please briefly evaluate the damage, analyze the cause of damage and give a loss level and estimated loss.



GPT-4V:

The image shows a commercial facility **with significant water damage**. The machinery and possibly the electrical systems **appear to have been affected by flooding**, which suggests that the cause of damage could be due to weather-related issues or internal plumbing failure. The loss level may vary depending on the duration of water exposure and the susceptibility of the machines and materials to water damage. If the machinery is not water-resistant, **the damage could be severe**, potentially requiring extensive repairs or even replacement. Cleanup costs, drying, and restoration need to be factored in as well. **The cost could range from tens to hundreds of thousands of dollars**, depending on the value of the machinery, the extent of the water damage, and the costs of business interruption. An exact estimate would require an itemized evaluation of the affected assets and the necessary restoration services.

Reference: A factory after flood.

Figure 18: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in commercial property damage evaluation. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

2.3 Health Insurance

Health insurance provides financial protection against health-related risks such as medical expenses, illness, and disability [56]. The advent of computer vision technologies is transforming the health industry, enhancing medical procedures, accelerating healthcare research, and improving patient experiences [48, 38, 95, 123, 69, 30]. This transformation significantly impacts the health insurance sector by enhancing work efficiency, improving service quality, and reducing errors and fraud [23, 22]. While some research has explored GPT-4V’s capabilities in the medical field [113, 34, 79], focusing mainly on assisting with the diagnosis of medical images (such as X-rays, MRIs, *etc.*), our study concentrates on image tasks related to health insurance. We cover three main areas: health risk assessment, health risk monitoring, and assistance with health claims.

2.3.1 Health Risk Assessment

Before underwriting a health insurance policy, insurers must evaluate the insured’s health condition. This entails a thorough review of the insured’s medical history, examination reports, and other relevant documents to accurately assess health risks, a process that can be time-consuming [39, 86]. The adoption of computer vision could significantly enhance the precision and efficiency of this procedure [104, 51].

To test GPT-4V’s capabilities in health risk assessment, we design a task involving medical document extraction and health risk analysis. We select three distinct types of medical documents (a histopathology report, a discharge report, and a physical examination report) and provide the following prompt: *“Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of a patient’s medical report. Please extract the text, briefly evaluate the health risk, and assign a risk level.”* The experimental results (see Figures 19, 20, and 21) indicate that GPT-4V’s text extraction capabilities are influenced by the language of the document. It demonstrates high precision in extracting English text but struggles with Chinese text, aligning with the findings of Shi *et al.* [97]. For the case presented in Figure 21, we translate the examination report into English before inputting it into GPT-4V. In terms of health risk assessment based on medical document content, GPT-4V shows strong analytical and evaluative abilities, accurately assessing the insured’s risk status (*e.g.*, “... the patient would be considered at a high health risk ...”, “... overweight status increases the client’s risk for numerous chronic conditions ...”).

2.3.2 Health Risk Monitoring

In recent years, remote monitoring technology has been increasingly deployed in fields such as healthcare and insurance, enabling real-time monitoring of patients’ health risk statuses through contact-based devices or non-contact video surveillance [74]. For example, insurance companies can monitor individuals’ routine physiological metrics using contact-based instruments like blood pressure monitors and pulse oximeters, and they can also detect and issue timely alerts for abnormal events, such as falls, using home surveillance cameras [42]. With the aid of computer vision technology, these monitoring methods can achieve automation and intelligence [92, 9].

We design two tasks to test GPT-4V’s capabilities in health risk monitoring: the first task involves information extraction and anomaly detection from health monitoring devices (blood pressure meter, oximeter). The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image of the policyholder’s blood pressure meter/oximeter. Please determine whether there is an abnormal health condition.”* The experimental results (see Figure 22) show that GPT-4V can effectively identify the readings of both types of health monitoring devices. Notably, in the case of the oximeter, where it is challenging for the human eye to discern which readings correspond to which metrics, GPT-4V accurately identifies them (“... displaying an oxygen saturation (SpO2) level of 87% and a pulse rate of 98 beats per minute ...”). Furthermore, GPT-4V is capable of identifying and detecting abnormal health conditions based on the device readings (*e.g.*, “... the oxygen saturation level is a concern and could suggest a respiratory problem ...”).

The second task involves real-time health risk detection through monitoring devices, using second-by-second frames from home surveillance as input. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of home surveillance screenshots. Please identify whether a health accident has occurred in the pictures.”* The results (see Figure 23) demonstrate that GPT-4V can accurately identify events in the frames that pose health hazards (*e.g.*, “... sug-

gests that the person might have slipped or tripped, resulting in a fall ...”), and provide insurance recommendations based on the events depicted (e.g., “... the individual might have a valid claim ...”).

2.3.3 Health Insurance Claims Processing

Processing health insurance claims involves verifying medical consultation materials submitted by policyholders, such as medical records, diagnostic results, and expense summaries [88]. This process requires the extraction of key information and analysis for potential fraud, abuse, and errors to ensure authenticity and compliance [65]. Traditionally, claims processing has relied on manual review, which can be time-consuming [2]. However, integrating AI technologies like computer vision can automate the process and detect potential fraudulent activities, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy [36, 99].


We design two tasks to assess GPT-4V’s capabilities in health insurance claims processing. The first task involves extracting expense items and details from the policyholder’s medical expense bill, crucial for generating subsequent claims reports. The prompt is: “*Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of the medical bill provided by the policyholder. Please extract the expense details from it and output it in the form of {item: expense}.*” The experimental results (see Figure 24) indicate that while there are minor errors in GPT-4V’s expense extraction (e.g., Operating Room fee: 16767.00), the overall accuracy was relatively high, particularly for some key data (e.g., Balance Due: 187659.80).

The second task involves a comparative analysis between the diagnostic report and the expense list to verify the relevance of medical items and the reasonableness of the claim. The prompt is: “*Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in claims processing. Here is the diagnostic report and expense list uploaded by the policyholder. Please briefly judge whether there is an obvious mismatch between them.*” The experimental results (see Figure 25) demonstrate that GPT-4V can accurately interpret the diagnostic report and expense list (e.g., “... concluding findings suggestive of acute myeloid leukemia ...”) and correctly analyze the reasonableness and relevance between the two documents (e.g., “... Both documents are consistent in referring to acute myeloid leukemia as the diagnosis ...”).

Health risk assessment-1

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of a patient's medical report. Please extract the text, briefly evaluate the health risk, and assign a risk level.



GPT-4V:

The text extracted from the histopathology report indicates the following:

Clinical Data: The patient has multiple colonic submucosal nodules.

Gross Description: There were multiple fragments of white-tan tissue measuring in aggregate 0.9 x 0.9 x 0.9 cm, submitted entirely for examination.

Diagnosis (Colon, endoscopic biopsy):

The biopsy sections show superficial colonic mucosal fragment only, with intact surface epithelium and regular crypts.

There is no architectural distortion, cryptitis, or crypt abscess.

No evidence of microorganism, collagenous colitis, inflammatory bowel disease, granuloma, dysplasia, or malignancy was found.

However, the report mentions that the presence of a deeper submucosal lesion could not be excluded in this biopsy.

Based on this report, the health risks can be summarized as follows:

The patient does not show any signs of the major colonic diseases tested for, including malignancy, which is positive.

The uncertainty about a deeper submucosal lesion suggests there might be an underlying condition that was not detected in this biopsy, which is a potential risk.

Given these considerations, the health risk to the insurance client can be categorized as:

Moderate Risk: While the current findings are non-specific and do not confirm a serious condition, the possibility of an undetected deeper lesion suggests a moderate risk until further diagnostic clarity is achieved. Additional tests may be required to assess the presence and nature of any deeper lesions.


It's important to note that risk levels would also consider the patient's overall medical history, family history, and other risk factors which are not available in this report

Figure 19: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in health risk assessment. The relevant parts are highlighted in green.

Health risk assessment-2

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of a patient's medical report. Please extract the text, briefly evaluate the health risk, and assign a risk level.



GPT-4V:

The information provided in the discharge report indicates the patient has several significant health issues:

Diagnosis includes **portal hypertension (PHG)**, **esophageal varices**, and **mild PGD**. The patient has cirrhosis, likely from NASH (non-alcoholic steatohepatitis), and is currently in a decompensated state.

The patient has been administered albumin and has had ascites, indicated by the need for albumin cover during paracentesis.

They have experienced an episode of acute variceal bleeding (AVB) and have had an episode of hepatic encephalopathy.

The patient has comorbidities including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and hypertension (HTN).

There's an allergy to sulpha drugs, and a history of an appendectomy in 2020.

The report mentions several clinical indicators like AFP, CA19-9, CEA, and a MELD score of 19, which is a predictive score for mortality risk in liver disease.

Given this complex medical profile, **the patient would be considered at a high health risk** from an insurance perspective. Decompensated cirrhosis signifies advanced liver disease with potential for life-threatening complications like esophageal varices and ascites, which have already manifested. T2DM and HTN add to the overall health burden. **The MELD score of 19 indicates a nearly 20% estimated 3-month mortality risk, placing the patient in a high-risk category for adverse health outcomes.**

From an insurance standpoint, this patient would likely face higher premiums due to the severity and number of health conditions, potential for frequent hospitalizations, and ongoing medical needs. The patient's condition requires careful management and possible interventions to prevent further decompensation and to manage comorbidities.


Reference: **PHTN and mild PHG.**

Figure 20: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in health risk assessment. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Health risk assessment-3

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of a patient's medical report. Please extract the text, briefly evaluate the health risk, and assign a risk level.



GPT-4V:

Based on the medical report provided, the client exhibits a combination of conditions that range from low to potentially high health risks, requiring close monitoring and specific interventions. The BI-RADS 0 and 1 findings in the breast ultrasound **suggest minimal to no immediate breast cancer risk**, with recommendations for regular specialist follow-ups. Elevated liver enzymes signal a need for lifestyle adjustments and further hepatological evaluation to mitigate liver disease risk. **The elevated Epstein-Barr virus serology points towards a higher risk for nasopharyngeal carcinoma**, necessitating detailed ENT examinations and monitoring. The presence of a ground-glass nodule in the upper left lung requires pulmonological follow-up and could indicate **serious conditions, including early-stage lung cancer**. Testing positive for *Helicobacter pylori*, a known risk factor for stomach ulcers and cancer, calls for clinical correlation and possibly antibacterial treatment. **Overweight status increases the client's risk for numerous chronic conditions**, emphasizing the importance of dietary and lifestyle changes. Considering the presence of specific risk factors that could lead to serious health issues if unaddressed, alongside manageable conditions with lifestyle modifications and regular medical follow-ups, **the client's overall health risk level is assessed as moderate**. It's imperative for the client to adhere to medical advice, undergo regular screenings, and maintain a healthy lifestyle to mitigate these risks.

Report content in English:

1. Ultrasound shows bilateral mammary glands consistent with BI-RADS 0, 1 class sonographic appearance; maintain a relaxed mood, follow-up with breast specialty, and regularly check breasts.
 2. Elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) in liver function: Pay attention to rest, reduce alcohol consumption, monitor liver function, and seek hepatology consultation.
 3. Epstein-Barr virus serology assessment for nasopharyngeal carcinoma risk indicates elevated ZTA IgA, sensitive pharyngeal reflex upon nasal examination; it is recommended to complete the evaluation with a digital nasopharyngoscopy, nasopharyngeal CT, or nasopharyngeal MR imaging, and follow-up with otolaryngology.
 4. Ground-glass nodule in the upper left lung: Follow-up with pulmonology, and it is recommended to periodically review (every six months).
 5. Positive *Helicobacter pylori* test: Please correlate with clinical findings, retest periodically, and, if necessary, treat *H. pylori* infection and follow up with gastroenterology.
 6. Overweight: Adhere to a low-fat, low-calorie, high-fiber diet, reduce alcohol consumption, engage in appropriate physical exercise, and control body weight.
- Denies epidemiological history.

Figure 21: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in health risk assessment. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Health risk monitoring-1

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image of the policyholder's blood pressure meter. Please determine whether there is an abnormal health condition.




GPT-4V:

The blood pressure meter in the picture shows a **systolic blood pressure (SYS) of 118 mmHg, a diastolic blood pressure (DIA) of 68 mmHg, and a pulse rate of 70 beats per minute. These readings are all within normal ranges.** The normal range for systolic blood pressure is generally considered to be between 90 to 120 mmHg, and for diastolic blood pressure between 60 to 80 mmHg. A normal resting heart rate for adults ranges from 60 to 100 beats per minute. Therefore, based on this single measurement, **there appears to be no abnormal health condition related to blood pressure or resting heart rate.** However, it is important to note that one reading does not provide a complete picture of an individual's health status. Consistent monitoring and considering other factors such as age, medical history, and lifestyle are essential for a thorough health assessment.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image of the policyholder's oximeter. Please determine whether there is an abnormal health condition.



GPT-4V:

The image shows a pulse oximeter **displaying an oxygen saturation (SpO2) level of 87% and a pulse rate of 98 beats per minute.** An SpO2 level below 95% is generally considered below the normal range and may indicate hypoxemia, a condition where not enough oxygen reaches the tissues. While the pulse rate is within a normal resting range for adults (60-100 beats per minute), **the oxygen saturation level is a concern and could suggest a respiratory problem,** especially if such readings are consistent. **It is important for the individual to seek medical advice,** as low oxygen saturation might require medical evaluation to determine the cause and appropriate treatment. Persistent low SpO2 levels could be associated with conditions like chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, pneumonia, heart conditions, or other health issues that impact breathing or oxygenation.

Figure 22: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in health risk monitoring. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Health risk monitoring-2

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of home surveillance screenshots. Please identify whether a health accident has occurred in the pictures.



GPT-4V:

From the sequence of images provided, which appear to be from a home surveillance system, **it seems that an individual has had an accident.** Initially, the person is seen standing with what looks like a rake leaning against a column. In the subsequent images, the person appears to be losing their balance and, ultimately, is lying on the ground. **This progression of images suggests that the person might have slipped or tripped, resulting in a fall.** Such an incident could potentially result in injuries that might be relevant from a health insurance perspective, especially if medical attention is required. If this were a real-world situation, it would be advisable for the individual or witnesses to file an accident report and seek any necessary medical evaluation to ascertain the nature and extent of any injuries sustained due to the fall. Health insurance policies typically cover accidents like this, but it would be important to review the specific terms and conditions of the individual's policy.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is a set of home surveillance screenshots. Please identify whether a health accident has occurred in the pictures.



GPT-4V:

The set of images appears to depict a person ascending a staircase while another person is standing at the bottom. The sequence **suggests that the person climbing the stairs may have lost balance and possibly fell backward**, while the person below seems to be reacting to the situation. Falling down stairs is a common household accident and can lead to various injuries, ranging from minor bruises to more serious conditions like fractures or head injuries. In the context of health insurance, if an injury occurred as a result of this fall, **the individual might have a valid claim.** The exact coverage would depend on the specifics of their health insurance policy. If this were an actual incident, it would be important to document the event, seek appropriate medical attention immediately, and notify the insurance provider to initiate a claim for any medical expenses incurred. Health insurance policies typically cover such accidents, but they may have specific procedures for reporting and documenting incidents to ensure coverage.

Figure 23: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in health risk monitoring. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Medical bill extraction

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of the medical bill provided by the policyholder. Please extract the expense details from it and output it in the form of {item: expense}.

Description	Amount	Insurance Code	Insurance Code	Insurance Code	Insurance Code	Insurance Code	Insurance Code
HCI Fees							
Room and Board	9800.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drugs and Medicines	104792.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Laboratory & Diagnostics	33078.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Operating Room fee	16767.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Supplies	16767.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Service Fee	1290.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Subtotal	187659.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Professional Fees	21932.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	187659.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Balance Due	187659.80						

GPT-4V:

{"HCI Fees": {"Room and Board": 9800.00, "Drugs and Medicines": 104792.78, "Laboratory & Diagnostics": 33078.00, "Operating Room fee": 16767.00, "Supplies": 2792.00, "Other Service Fee": 1290.00, "Subtotal": 187659.80}, "Professional Fees": {"Subtotal": 21932.00}, "Total": 187659.80, "Balance Due": 187659.80}

Reference: "Operating Room fee": 0.00, "Supplies": 16767.00, "Professional Fees": {"Subtotal": 0.00}

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of the medical bill provided by the policyholder. Please extract the expense details from it and output it in the form of {item: expense}.

Description	Amount	Insurance Code	Insurance Code	Insurance Code	Insurance Code	Insurance Code	Insurance Code
INHALATION TX PRESS/UNPRESSURIZED	60.00						
IPRATROPIUM-ALBUTEROL 0.5 MG-3 MG(2.5 MG BASE)/3 ML NEBU	3.01						
XRY CHEST 2V	107.00						
MQ BLD GRP & AUTO DIFF WBC	35.00						
BASIC METABOLIC PANEL (TOT CA)	120.00						
LAB MAGNESIUM	47.00						
LAB HCG QUALITATIVE	25.00						
EKG 12 LEAD TRACING ONLY	67.00						
EMERGENCY ROOM VISIT LEVEL 5	1,204.00						
Total Account Balance	1,658.01						
Total Self-pay Balance	1,658.01						

GPT-4V:

{"INHALATION TX PRESS/UNPRESSURIZED": 60.00, "IPRATROPIUM-ALBUTEROL 0.5 MG-3 MG(2.5 MG BASE)/3 ML NEBU": 3.01, "XRY CHEST 2V": 107.00, "MQ BLD GRP & AUTO DIFF WBC": 35.00, "BASIC METABOLIC PANEL (TOT CA)": 120.00, "LAB MAGNESIUM": 47.00, "LAB HCG QUALITATIVE": 25.00, "EKG 12 LEAD TRACING ONLY": 67.00, "EMERGENCY ROOM VISIT LEVEL 5": 1,204.00}


These are the itemized charges from the hospital. The total hospital charges amount to \$1,658.01, and after the hospital payments and adjustments, the total account balance is \$762.40, which is also the total self-pay balance.

Figure 24: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in medical bill extraction. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in green, red and yellow, respectively.

Medical documents matching

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert in claims processing. Here is the diagnostic report (picture 1 and picture 2 are the front and back sides of the same report) and expense list uploaded by the policyholder. Please briefly judge whether there is an obvious mismatch between them.



GPT-4V:

Let's examine the details of the diagnostic report (first and second images, which are the front and back sides of the same report) and the expense list (third image) to evaluate if there are any mismatches.

1. Diagnostic Report (Images 1 & 2):

The first image presents the front side of a diagnostic report from the [name of institute], which includes patient details and **basic results of immunophenotyping for acute leukemia**. The second image shows the back side of the report with interpretations of the immunophenotyping, **concluding findings suggestive of acute myeloid leukemia and advising further correlation with cytogenetics and molecular genetic analysis**.

2. Expense List (Image 3):

The expense list indicates that the patient is undergoing treatment for acute myeloid leukemia, with specific cost breakdowns for chemotherapy, **growth factors**, and room charges, among other expenses.

Given these details, there seems to be no obvious mismatch between the diagnostic report and the expense list:

Both documents are consistent in referring to acute myeloid leukemia as the diagnosis.

The treatment expenses listed, including chemotherapy, **are typical for the management of acute myeloid leukemia, aligning with the immunophenotyping findings that suggest this diagnosis**.

It is important to note that the diagnostic report advises additional tests for a comprehensive diagnosis, which may or may not be included in the expense list provided. However, from the information at hand, the medical treatments listed in the expense list appear to correlate with the diagnostic report, indicating that the expenses are related to the diagnosis and treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. **This would generally support the validity of the claim** from an insurance perspective, assuming that the treatments are recognized as medically necessary for the condition diagnosed.

Reference: **No growth factors fee.**

Figure 25: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in medical documents matching. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

2.4 Agricultural Insurance

Agriculture is a critical component of the primary sector and is intrinsically linked to people worldwide. Agricultural insurance plays an essential role in safeguarding farmers and agricultural operators from the impacts of natural disasters and market fluctuations [46]. It is pivotal in promoting agricultural development, stabilizing food prices, and ensuring food security. In recent years, the rapid advancement of smart agriculture has led to the emergence of computer vision detection systems as essential tools in agricultural operations [90]. For instance, the use of drones and satellites to capture images of farmlands, combined with various artificial intelligence algorithms, provides functionalities such as risk monitoring, soil fertility testing, and crop growth surveillance [52, 10]. These technologies can also enhance various aspects of agricultural insurance, such as yield prediction [98] and fraud detection [94]. While researchers [105] have conducted preliminary explorations into the capabilities of GPT-4V in agriculture, their research primarily focuses on general agricultural scenarios. Our study, on the other hand, focuses on the application of agricultural insurance and tests the capabilities of GPT-4V across three dimensions: farmland risk assessment, farmland risk monitoring, and farmland claims processing.

2.4.1 Farmland Risk Assessment

Farmland risk assessment involves a comprehensive investigation of key risk factors such as crop types, farmland environment, water resource management, pest and disease presence, and natural climatic conditions to thoroughly evaluate the risk status of agricultural fields [37, 70]. Given the vast expanse of farmland, onsite human detection often cannot survey potential risks within the interior of the fields. Hence, the use of drones or satellite imagery is considered an effective approach, providing high-resolution representations of the entire farmland and assisting in the facilitation of risk assessment processes [4, 7].

We design a task to assess GPT-4V’s capabilities in farmland risk assessment. We select two drone-captured images of farmland and tasked GPT-4V with identifying crop varieties and evaluating associated risks. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of farmland. Please determine the crop type, conduct a risk assessment and give a risk level.”* The test results (see Figures 26 and 27) reveal that GPT-4V is capable of identifying crop types from aerial imagery (although with some speculative elements in Figure 27), providing accurate descriptions and analysis of the farmland’s risk condition (e.g., “... *The health of the crops appears good ...*”, “... *The main risk evident from the image is waterlogging ...*”), and assessing the risk level of the farmland (e.g., “... *this field could be considered to be at high risk ...*”).

2.4.2 Farmland Risk Monitoring

Farmland risk monitoring involves tracking crop growth conditions and identifying anomalous events that could impact agricultural output [64, 41]. Agriculture is a time-sensitive endeavor, with any unexpected incidents during crop growth phases potentially leading to significant losses, thus affecting both farmers and insurance companies financially. The use of drone and satellite imagery for real-time crop surveillance helps ensure stable crop development and mitigates risks [40, 73].

To evaluate GPT-4V’s capabilities in farmland risk monitoring, we design a task that involves analyzing four scenarios featuring various anomalous events (e.g., uneven crop growth, locust invasion, wildlife encroachment, agricultural fires). The task requires GPT-4V to examine the crop growth condition and detect any potential risks within the farmland. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image of farmland. Please analyze the growth of the farmland and identify whether there are potential risks.”* The test results (see Figures 28 and 29) show that GPT-4V can provide accurate descriptions and analyses of crop growth (e.g., “... *the farmland shows uneven crop growth ...*”, “... *indicating they are close to or ready for harvest ...*”). Moreover, it effectively identifies anomalous events (e.g., “... *the presence of elephants indicates a potential risk to the crops ...*”). However, there were minor recognition errors, such as in one case where a locust invasion was mistakenly identified as a large flock of birds (e.g., “... *a large flock of birds over a farmland area ...*”).

2.4.3 Farmland Claims Processing

Farmland claims processing is a critical task that involves evaluating damage to determine the causes and assess the extent of the loss [15, 47]. This process is essential for ensuring that farmers receive appropriate compensation and for streamlining the claims process. Insurance companies often use drones or smartphones to capture images of farmland, which are then combined with on-site inspections and image reviews for a comprehensive evaluation of the damage [40, 13]. Computer vision technologies can further enhance this process [106].

To assess GPT-4V’s capabilities in farmland damage evaluation, we design a task involving four different cases of farmland damage caused by various factors such as flooding, over-fertilization, early snow, and drought. We instruct GPT-4V to identify the causes of damage, assess the damage level, and estimate the scale of the loss. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of damaged farmland. Please determine the cause of damage, conduct a damage evaluation, give a damage level and estimated loss.”* The test results (see Figures 30 and 31) demonstrate that GPT-4V can accurately identify simpler and more evident causes of damage (e.g., flooding, early snow, and drought), but it struggles with more complex scenarios (e.g., over-fertilization). Additionally, it is capable of assessing the condition and level of damage (e.g., *“... The damage level could be categorized as moderate to high ...”*), yet it is unable to provide specific loss valuations (e.g., *“... The estimated loss would depend on the affected crop’s market value, the percentage of crop failure ...”*).

Farmland risk assessment-1

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of farmland. Please determine the crop type, conduct a risk assessment and give a risk level.



GPT-4V:

The aerial photograph shows a patchwork of well-demarcated, green fields that are consistent with paddy fields, **typically used for rice cultivation**. The uniformity in color suggests that the crop is **at a similar growth stage, likely mid-season when plants are fully leafed out but have not yet reached maturity or harvest**.

For a risk assessment in agricultural insurance, one would consider the following factors:

1. Environmental Conditions: **The health of the crops appears good**, indicating favorable weather conditions so far. However, rice is sensitive to temperature changes, and unexpected weather events can pose risks.
2. Water Management: Rice cultivation requires substantial water, and **the neat demarcation of the fields suggests controlled water management**. However, there is a risk of either drought or flood if the water supply becomes too scarce or excessive.
3. Disease and Pest Pressure: Rice crops can be susceptible to various diseases and pests. **There are no visible signs of infestation in the image**, but monitoring and management practices would be a factor in risk assessment.
4. Infrastructure: **There seems to be a road for access**, which is essential for managing the fields and harvesting the crop. Poor infrastructure could increase risk by complicating these operations.
5. Crop Stage: Depending on the growth stage, the crop might be at risk from different factors. Mid-season crops are generally at lower risk than those approaching harvest.

Risk Level:

Given the observed conditions in the image, if the water supply and weather patterns are stable, and there are no significant pest or disease outbreaks, **the risk level would be categorized as moderate**. However, this assessment is limited to visible factors from the image. A comprehensive risk evaluation would require additional information such as historical weather patterns, local pest and disease presence, water management practices, and the farm's financial resilience to potential losses.

Reference: **Well-managed rice paddies with multiple road through.**

Figure 26: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in farmland risk assessment. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Farmland risk assessment-2

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in risk assessment. Here is an image of farmland. Please determine the crop type, conduct a risk assessment and give a risk level.



GPT-4V:

The image depicts a large field with rows of crops, and **there is a significant waterlogged area indicating poor drainage or recent heavy rainfall that the field couldn't absorb**. The crop appears to be experiencing some stress, likely due to the excess water, as indicated by the yellowing of the plants in and around the waterlogged area.

Identifying the Crop Type:

Based on the row structure, it could be a variety of crops such as **corn, soybeans, or wheat**.

The bright green coloration in healthier areas suggests it could be a cereal or legume, as these are often planted in rows and exhibit such coloration in their vegetative stage. The yellowing could suggest nutrient deficiency, which is often exacerbated by waterlogging, as it affects root health and nutrient uptake.

Risk Assessment:

1. Waterlogging: **The main risk evident from the image is waterlogging**, which can lead to root rot and other diseases. This can severely impact yield.
2. Disease and Pest Pressure: **Stressed crops are more susceptible to diseases and pests**. The visible stress suggests a heightened risk in this regard.
3. Field Accessibility: The waterlogged area may hinder access to parts of the field for management practices or eventual harvest, increasing operational risk.
4. Potential for Spread: If the waterlogged condition persists, there's a risk of the affected area spreading, which could impact a larger portion of the crop.

Risk Level:

Given the clear signs of water stress and potential for disease, this field could be considered to be at high risk, especially if the waterlogged condition is not quickly resolved. The precise risk level would also depend on whether the weather forecast suggests continued rainfall, the farm's drainage capabilities, and the time left until harvest, which could exacerbate or mitigate the current situation. A comprehensive assessment would need more detailed information on these factors.

Reference: **A soybean field with significant waterlogging.**

Figure 27: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in farmland risk assessment. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Farmland risk monitoring-1

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image of farmland. Please analyze the growth of the farmland and identify whether there are potential risks.



GPT-4V:

From the aerial image, **the farmland shows uneven crop growth** with some areas exhibiting robust green vegetation, **while other sections appear sparse or patchy**. This pattern could indicate issues such as **uneven seed distribution, variable soil conditions, inadequate irrigation, or the presence of pests or diseases** that inhibit uniform growth. Additionally, the stark contrast between the well-covered and bare areas suggests potential risks that could impact yield, such as **localized drought conditions, nutrient deficiencies, or farming practice inconsistencies**. As an agricultural insurance expert, these signs would warrant further investigation to assess the risk level and determine appropriate insurance measures. It would be essential to monitor these conditions over time to establish whether they represent transient issues or persistent problems that could lead to significant crop yield losses.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image of farmland. Please analyze the growth of the farmland and identify whether there are potential risks.



GPT-4V:

This image appears to depict **a large flock of birds over a farmland area**. Based on the vegetation visible, **it looks to be sparse and somewhat patchy**, which might indicate that the farmland is not in a peak growth state. The **presence of such a large number of birds** could be worrisome for several reasons. Firstly, they might be feeding on crops, which could reduce yield. Secondly, their droppings can affect the health of the plants. Additionally, the presence of birds in such numbers could suggest that the land is lying fallow or that there has been recent ploughing or seeding that is attracting them. Without more information, it's difficult to determine whether this is a routine event or an indication of an underlying problem such as pest infestation or crop failure which the birds are exploiting. For an agricultural insurance expert, this could warrant a closer investigation to assess any potential risks to the crops and determine if this is a natural occurrence or something that could lead to a claim.

Reference: **Farmland with severe locust infestation**

Figure 28: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in farmland risk monitoring. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Farmland risk monitoring-2

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image of farmland. Please analyze the growth of the farmland and identify whether there are potential risks.



GPT-4V:

In the image provided, we observe **elephants traversing what seems to be paddy fields**, which are partially submerged in water. The presence of water is typical for rice cultivation during certain growth stages, **suggesting that the farmland is in an active cultivation cycle**. However, **the presence of elephants indicates a potential risk to the crops**, as they may trample the plants, causing physical damage and potentially leading to significant yield loss. Furthermore, elephants may also disrupt irrigation channels and cause further water-related issues, either by over-saturating areas or by redirecting water flow. An agricultural insurance expert would likely consider the presence of wildlife, particularly large animals such as elephants, as a risk factor that could lead to insurance claims. The expert would need to consider the local wildlife management practices, the frequency of such occurrences, and the measures in place by the farm to deter wildlife from entering crop areas when assessing risk and determining insurance coverage and premiums.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in risk monitoring. Here is an image of farmland. Please analyze the growth of the farmland and identify whether there are potential risks.



GPT-4V:

The farmland in the image is at a **critical stage with mature**, likely wheat crops that are **golden brown, indicating they are close to or ready for harvest**. The most pressing risk is the **active fire on the left**, posing a severe threat to the harvest, machinery, and future soil fertility. The proximity of the tractor suggests **an attempt to contain the fire by creating a firebreak**, but this also presents a risk to the operator and equipment. The scale of the fire and the effectiveness of the containment efforts will significantly influence the extent of the damage and the potential financial impact. The immediate concerns for an agricultural insurance expert would be the cause of the fire, the extent of the crop loss, the potential damage to farm equipment, and the long-term effects on the soil's productivity. The farm's risk mitigation strategies, like firebreaks and access to firefighting resources, would also come under scrutiny to assess insurance liability and future prevention measures.

Figure 29: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in farmland risk monitoring. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Farmland damage evaluation-1

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of damaged farmland. Please determine the cause of damage, conduct a damage evaluation, give a damage level and estimated loss.



GPT-4V:

From the image, it is apparent that the farmland **has sustained significant water damage**, likely **due to flooding or poor drainage**, as evidenced by the large bodies of standing water and the discolored and submerged vegetation. This saturation can lead to root rot and other plant diseases, hindering crop development. **The damage level appears severe**, particularly in the areas where water has completely inundated the crops, which would likely lead to a total loss of the affected crop yield. In assessing the estimated loss, **one would need to consider the acreage affected, the type of crops submerged, the stage of growth, and the potential yield per acre**. Given that the water has not receded, further damage could occur if the situation is not addressed promptly, potentially escalating the loss. The farm would be classified at a high damage level due to the extensive water coverage and the potential long-term impact on soil health, which may affect future planting seasons.

Reference: A farmland after flood.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of damaged farmland. Please determine the cause of damage, conduct a damage evaluation, give a damage level and estimated loss.



GPT-4V:

The image displays farmland with noticeable streaks of discoloration and areas devoid of crops, **which are typical indicators of waterlogging or flash flood damage**. The distinct patterns suggest that water flowed across the field, likely following a heavy rainfall event, leaving behind sediment deposits and possibly eroding topsoil in its path. **The damage level could be categorized as moderate to high**, depending on the soil's recovery capacity and the extent to which the water has affected crop roots and soil structure. The estimated loss would be contingent upon the crop type, the growth stage at the time of damage, and the affected acreage. The loss assessment would also factor in the diminished yield potential and the costs for remediation, such as soil aeration or reseeding. Given the visible extent of the damage, a considerable yield reduction is plausible, and **the financial impact could be substantial**, especially without prompt and effective intervention.

Reference: Wheat crop flattened by excess nitrogen fertilizer.

Figure 30: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in farmland damage evaluation. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in green, red and yellow, respectively.

Farmland damage evaluation-2

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of damaged farmland. Please determine the cause of damage, conduct a damage evaluation, give a damage level and estimated loss.




GPT-4V:

In the image, the farmland presents **pumpkin crops that have been subjected to an early snowfall**, as indicated by the snow covering the plants and the ground. The cause of damage is environmental, **due to unexpected cold weather** which can lead to freezing of the fruit and potential plant death. Damage evaluation would need to consider the depth and duration of the snow cover, the temperature lows reached, and the stage of the pumpkin development. If the snow is light and short-lived, and temperatures are not significantly freezing, the damage may be minimal. However, a heavy, prolonged snowfall with freezing temperatures could **result in a high level of damage**, compromising the quality and harvestability of the pumpkins. The **estimated loss would account for the proportion of the crop that is unharvestable or downgraded in market value, additional harvest costs, and any necessary cleanup or field rehabilitation**. Considering that pumpkins are a hardy crop, a full damage assessment would be essential to determine the real impact of this snow event.

Reference: Pumpkin damaged by early snow.

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an agricultural insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of damaged farmland. Please determine the cause of damage, conduct a damage evaluation, give a damage level and estimated loss.



GPT-4V:

The farmland in the image exhibits significant patchiness with areas of **bare soil and inconsistent crop growth**, suggesting potential damage **caused by drought conditions**, poor soil fertility, or uneven irrigation. The lighter areas may indicate dry soil, where crops have failed to thrive or have dried out, while the darker areas suggest some remaining moisture or plant life. For damage evaluation, an assessment of the variation in crop density and health across the field is crucial. **The damage level can be considered moderate to high**, especially in the lighter-colored areas where the soil appears barren. **The estimated loss would depend on the affected crop's market value, the percentage of crop failure, and the field's total acreage**. Costs of potential interventions, such as reseeding or enhanced irrigation, should also be factored into the financial loss estimate. If the damage is due to ongoing drought, the risk of continued loss may increase, necessitating further evaluation of long-term damage mitigation strategies and insurance coverage suitability.

Reference: Aerial view of parched fields after summer heat and drought.

Figure 31: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in farmland damage evaluation. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

3 Challenges and Opportunities

3.1 Accurate Damage Assessment

We find that while GPT-4V is capable of conducting risk assessments and loss evaluations on a qualitative level, it encounters challenges with more detailed, quantitative rating and assessment tasks, such as making accurate predictions of risk probabilities and estimating the monetary extent of losses (e.g., cases in Figures 8, 11, 18, 26 and 30). This limitation does not fully meet the requirements of insurance professionals who often rely on precise numerical data for reference. To explore GPT-4V’s capabilities in accurate damage assessment, we choose the car damage test case in Figure 8 as an example, and use a new prompt approach for further exploration.

We construct a table listing the repair costs for parts of two car models, Honda Fit and Audi A6, with cost values randomly generated by GPT-4, and co-input it in the form of an image along with the image of the damaged car to establish a mapping relationship between the damaged parts and the repair costs. The prompt is *“Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of a damaged car and a list of prices for the car’s parts. Please evaluate the damage and give an estimated loss range.”* The experimental results showcase GPT-4V’s potent capabilities in loss identification, logical deduction, and computation: initially, it identifies and extracts damaged car parts (e.g., *Front Bumper Assembly, Trunk Lid*) and classifies the extent of damage (e.g., *Completely destroyed, Potential damage*). Subsequently, based on the cost table and corresponding car model, it calculates the losses, which includes direct calculations for visible damages (e.g., *“... Given the visible damage, the estimated costs so far would be: \$300+\$450+\$200+\$150+\$150+\$500+\$800+\$500=\$3,015 ...”*) and probability estimations for potential unseen damages (e.g., *“... For a rough estimate of potential additional costs, let’s add half the value of the engine block and transmission assembly, plus the full value of the radiator and alternator: (\$2,500+\$1,500) x 50%+\$180+\$150=\$2,015, ultimately forming a predictive range for vehicle loss (could be between \$3,050 and \$5,065 or higher ...”*).

This approach of incorporating additional information leverages GPT-4V’s loss identification and logical computation abilities effectively. However, its application in real-world insurance scenarios remains challenging. GPT-4V struggles with recalling potentially dynamically changing, large-scale precise data, thus necessitating supplementation through manual input or external knowledge bases.

3.2 Hallucination in Image Understanding

We notice that GPT-4V shows considerable inaccuracies in image understanding in some cases of our experiment, as evidenced in tasks such as vehicle identity verification (Figure 9) and farmland risk monitoring (Figure 28). This discrepancy between visual input (viewed as “fact”) and textual output has been defined as hallucination within the context of large multimodal models [62]. We further investigate this phenomenon of hallucination in GPT-4V based on these two tasks.

For the task of vehicle identity verification, to assess whether GPT-4V’s hallucination lies in recognizing car makes and models or comparing multiple vehicle images, we individually process the images used in Figure 9. The prompt is designed as *“What is the make and model of the car?”* Test results (Figure 34) indicate that, in cases of single image inputs, GPT-4V is able to accurately identify the make and model of the vehicles, which is in conflict with the test results in Figure 9. This problem may relate to information loss or insufficient context attention with multiple image inputs [62].

For the task of farmland risk monitoring, to verify if the incorrect identification by GPT-4V is due to the selected case, we collect four images of locust invasions in farmlands for GPT-4V to identify, with the prompt *“What is the animal in the image?”* Our test results (see Figure 35) show an accuracy rate of only 50%, with all misidentifications categorizing locusts as birds. This issue may be related to the imbalance in the training samples used during GPT-4V’s training process [61]. The dataset might have contained more bird imagery compared to locusts, leading the model to more likely categorize related features as birds. In summary, hallucination remains a universal problem faced by current LMMs, representing a significant challenge for future development and application [66, 63].

3.3 Multilingual Document Recognition

GPT-4V exhibits a disparity in recognition capabilities between Chinese and English, as observed in Figures 19, 20, and 21. This is particularly noteworthy given the global nature of the insurance

industry, which naturally encompasses a variety of insurance documents in different languages. It is crucial to determine whether this disparity in document recognition exists across a broader range of languages. To this end, we select insurance-related documents from the top eight non-English speaking countries by market premium income, including China, Japan, France, Germany, and South Korea.³ To maintain consistency with Section 2.3.1, we continue to use cases pertaining to health insurance. The prompt is: *“Imagine that you are a health insurance expert. Here is an image of the medical document provided by the policyholder. Please extract the details from it.”* Our results (see Figures 36, 37, 38, 39, and 40) indicate that GPT-4V excels in recognizing text from Western countries’ languages (e.g., English, French, German) but performs poorly in recognizing and extracting text from Asian countries’ languages (e.g., Chinese, Japanese, Korean), with the ability to identify only a limited amount of simple text.

The disparities in GPT-4V’s recognition capabilities across different languages pose a significant hindrance to its application in the global insurance market. Enhancing GPT-4V’s multilingual recognition capabilities remains a challenging endeavor [97].

3.4 Revolution in Traditional Insurance Operations

Our exploration is centered on the impact of LMMs, an emerging technology, on the traditional operations of the insurance industry. The insurance industry itself is constantly evolving, and emerging technologies can complement and promote mutual growth within the industry by introducing innovative operational methods. Taking auto insurance as an example, which is undergoing continuous evolution with innovations in autonomous driving technology [29], LMMs can intertwine with this development to co-evolve and create disruptive impacts [112]:


- **Integration of Automation and Decision Support:** As autonomous driving technology advances, auto insurance companies can harness the combined capabilities of visual, auditory, and linguistic processing in LMMs for real-time data analysis and decision support. For instance, autonomous vehicles are equipped to automatically capture videos and images at the scene of a traffic incident. LMMs can analyze this visual data alongside vehicle sensor information, providing immediate assessments of accident responsibility and damage. This integration allows for prompt recommendations on insurance claims processing, enhancing efficiency and accuracy in decision-making.
- **Transformation of Risk Assessment Models:** The introduction of LMMs, especially in processing visual data, can assist insurance companies in developing new risk assessment models based on real-time behaviors and environmental feedback. Traditional risk assessments rely on historical data and statistical analysis, but by analyzing driving behaviors and road conditions in real-time, insurance companies can more accurately identify risk factors and implement dynamic pricing, offering customized insurance plans that meet the growing demand for diversity among consumers.

³<https://www.swissre.com/institute/research/sigma-research/sigma-2023-03.html>

Accurate damage estimation-1

Prompt:

Imagine that you are an auto insurance expert in claims processing. Here is an image of damaged car and a list of price of car's parts. Please evaluate the damage and give a estimated loss range.



GPT-4V:

To provide an estimated loss range for the damaged Honda Fit shown in the image, I will evaluate the visible damage and use the provided price list for the car's parts to calculate the costs.

Visible damage includes:

1. **Front Bumper Assembly**: Completely destroyed. 2. **Hood**: Significantly damaged, likely beyond repair. 3. **Grille**: Destroyed or missing. 4. **Front Left Headlight Assembly**: Completely destroyed. 5. **Front Right Headlight Assembly**: Completely destroyed. 6. **Front Fenders**: Both appear to be damaged. 7. **Windshield**: Appears to be intact from this angle. 8. **Engine Components**: Potential damage given the extent of the impact to the front end, but specific damage cannot be assessed without a mechanic's inspection. 9. **Transmission Components**: Like the engine, potential damage could have occurred, but not visible. 10. **Suspension and Steering Components**: The front suspension could be damaged due to the impact, but again, this is not visible.

We should also consider labor costs and additional repairs or replacements for potentially damaged parts that are not visible, such as the radiator, engine block, and internal components.

Calculating the cost of visible damages using the provided price list: 1. **Front Bumper Assembly**: \$300. 2. **Hood**: \$450. 3. **Grille**: \$200. 4. **Front Left Headlight Assembly**: \$150. 5. **Front Right Headlight Assembly**: \$150. 6. **Front Fenders (each)**: \$250 x 2 = \$500

Assuming at least a few hours of labor to remove damaged parts and install new ones, plus potentially a couple of hours for diagnostics and alignment:

1. **Labor (assuming 10 hours)**: \$80 x 10 = \$800 2. **Paint and Body Supplies (a conservative estimate)**: \$500

Given the visible damage, the estimated costs so far would be:

\$300+\$450+\$200+\$150+\$150+\$500+\$800+\$500=\$3,015

Considering potential unseen damage to engine components, suspension, and steering components, we should factor in a buffer. For transmission and engine damage, the costs could escalate significantly. Given that the price list provides costs like \$2,500 for an engine block and \$1,500 for a transmission assembly, and recognizing that parts like the radiator (\$180) and alternator (\$150) might also be damaged, a conservative buffer could be an additional 50% of the current estimate for these potentially damaged items.

Adding in these potential costs: 1. Engine Block: \$2,500 (if damaged) 2. Transmission Assembly: \$1,500 (if damaged) 3. Radiator: \$180 (if damaged) 4. Alternator: \$150 (if damaged)

For a rough estimate of potential additional costs, let's add half the value of the engine block and transmission assembly, plus the full value of the radiator and alternator: **(\$2,500+\$1,500) x 50%+\$180+\$150=\$2,015**

So, the total estimated loss range including potential unseen damage would be:

\$3,050+\$2,015=\$5,065

However, if the engine and transmission are significantly damaged, the costs could be even higher, potentially exceeding the value of the vehicle, especially if it is an older model.

Therefore, **the estimated loss range, accounting for visible damage and potential unseen issues, could be between \$3,050 and \$5,065 or higher**, not including taxes or additional administrative costs. If severe damage to the engine or transmission is confirmed, this could potentially be a total loss scenario where the cost of repair exceeds the value of the car.

Figure 32: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in accurate damage assessment. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Car make and model identification

Prompt:

What is the make and model of the car?



GPT-4V:

The car in the image is a **Tesla Model X**. You can tell by its distinctive falcon-wing doors and the overall shape of the vehicle.

Prompt:

What is the make and model of the car?




GPT-4V:

This car is a **Tesla Model Y**. It's recognizable by its distinct body shape, design elements, and manufacturer's emblem.

Prompt:

What is the make and model of the car?



GPT-4V:

The vehicle in the image is a BMW, identifiable by its distinctive kidney grille and emblem. The specific model appears to be an SUV from the X series, but due to the angle and the extent of the damage, it's not possible to precisely determine the model without more information. It resembles the BMW X3 or **X5**, which are popular models in BMW's SUV lineup.

Prompt:

What is the make and model of the car?



GPT-4V:

The car in the image is a BMW, which is recognizable by the distinctive badge on the front grille. **The model appears to be an X2**, identifiable by its sleek, sporty shape, compact SUV size, and the design of the grille and headlights. BMW's X2 model is a part of their X series of SUVs, which combines the company's engineering with a more versatile, SUV-style body.

Figure 34: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in car make and model identification with a single image as input. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

Locust invasion identification

Prompt:

What's the animal in the image?



GPT-4V:

The animal in the image **appears to be a bird**, amidst what seems to be a chaotic scene of many other birds or parts of them in motion. This could be a moment captured during a large flock of birds taking off or possibly during a feeding frenzy.

Prompt:

What's the animal in the image?



GPT-4V:

The animals in the image are locusts. This looks like a swarm, which can occur when there are large populations of locusts that gather and move together, often causing significant damage to crops.

Reference: **They are all locusts.**

Prompt:

What's the animal in the image?



GPT-4V:

The animals in the image are birds. There are many of them in flight, and it looks like a scene of a flock of birds probably taking off or moving from one location to another. This behavior is common in many bird species, where they fly together in large groups, often during migration or when searching for food.

Prompt:

What's the animal in the image?



GPT-4V:


The image depicts what appears to be a swarm of locusts in the midst of an agricultural area, likely a crop field. Locust swarms are a form of grasshoppers, which can become problematic pests as they are known to consume vast amounts of vegetation, often leading to significant damage to crops.

Figure 35: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in locust invasion identification. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Chinese document extraction

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert. Here is an image of the medical document provided by the policyholder. Please extract the details from it.



GPT-4V:

The document is a Chinese medical imaging report, and here are the key details extracted:

Patient Information:

Name: [Redacted for Privacy]

Sex: **Male**

Age: **47**

Machine Model: **SOMATOM Emotion 16-slice**

Case Number: **CT230606246849**

Film Number: **1**

Examination Date: **2022-06-06**

Examination Time: **12:36**

Clinical Diagnosis:

Suspected lung cancer

Imaging Findings:

The chest CT scan shows a nodule in the upper lobe of the right lung, with a size of about 5mm. It is suggested to compare with previous films, pay attention to the dynamic changes, and review it in a short term.

Imaging Diagnosis:

There are small nodules in the right lung, and it is recommended to review and pay attention to the changes.

Reference:

Clinical diagnosis: Soft tissue disorder

Examination Name: Right knee CT scan + reconstruction

Examination method: XY as baseline scanning layer thickness, layer spacing 5mm each, 1.5mm reconstruction

Imaging: Bone fracture of the proximal posterior border of the right tibia, slight separation of the bone at the fracture end, small bone fragments around the fracture, suprapatellar bursa and joint cavity effusion, no abnormality of bone density within the scanning range of the rest of the knee joint, no effusion in the joint, and no obvious swelling of the surrounding soft tissue shadow.

Figure 36: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in Chinese document extraction. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Japanese document extraction

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert. Here is an image of the medical document provided by the policyholder. Please extract the details from it.

診断書

(住所)

氏名

期日(大正・昭和・平成 年 月 日) (印)

病名 潰瘍性大腸炎

上記疾病のため、平成〇年〇月〇日より現在まで当院にて入院加療中である。

〇月〇日より〇月〇日まで、上記治療のため、休職を要する。

上記内容は医師の診断によるものです

平成 年 月 日

GPT-4V:

This appears to be a Japanese medical certificate, and here's what the document contains:

Title: "Medical Certificate" (診断書)

Patient information section (left blank for confidentiality):

Name (氏名)

Address (住所)

Date of Birth (生年月日), followed by year (年), month (月), and day (日)

Medical condition/diagnosis section:

This part typically includes the patient's diagnosis or medical condition. **However, the text here is obscured by the lines, indicating that the information has been redacted or is a placeholder for where the actual medical details would be filled in.**

The bottom section indicates where the date of issue would be written:

Year (年), month (月), day (日)

In the box at the bottom right corner is the mark "診," which likely stands for "診察" meaning "medical examination."

In a real-world scenario, this document would be used to confirm the patient's medical diagnosis and the date the examination took place, which are crucial pieces of information for health insurance claims. The actual diagnosis and specifics of the medical condition, which would be written in the main body of the document, are necessary to process a claim and determine coverage and reimbursement.

Reference:

Diagnosis: Ulcerative Colitis. Due to this condition, the patient has been hospitalized for treatment at this hospital from Heisei [specific year] [specific month] [specific day] to the present. It is necessary to take a leave of absence to accommodate the aforementioned treatment from [specific month] [specific day] to [specific month] [specific day].

Figure 37: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in Japanese document extraction. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in green, red and yellow, respectively.

French document extraction

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert. Here is an image of the medical document provided by the policyholder. Please extract the details from it.

RAPPORT MEDICAL

Dossier N° :
Nom et prénom :
Age :
Sexe :
Adresse :
PROFESSION :

Date d'hospitalisation : 06/06/2010
Motif d'hospitalisation :
Traumatisme cervical suite à une agression par strangulation survenue le 06/06/2010 à l'aéroport Moroni suite d'une malaise.

Hospitalisation :
Histoire de la maladie :
Le traumatisme est survenu vers 11h30 à l'aéroport de Moroni-Hahaya suite à une agression par strangulation suivie d'une malaise et d'une douleur cervicale intense.

A l'Admission :
- Patient conscient - Glasgow 15/15
- La Tension artérielle (TA) : 176/101 mm
- Fréquence cardiaque : 95 bat/min
- La température : 36,7 °C ; SpO2 : 96%
- Conjonctives bien oxygénées.

L'examen Physique :
- Douleur cervicale intense avec une légère dysphagie
- Mouvements de flexion-extension du cou
- Pas de dysphonie
- Pas de troubles respiratoires
- L'examen neurologique est normal
- Ailleurs l'examen est sans particularité

GPT-4V:

The document is a French medical report, and here are the details that can be extracted:

Title: RAPPORT MEDICAL (Medical Report)

Date of Hospitalization: 06/06/2010

Reason for Hospitalization: Cervical trauma following strangulation occurred on 06/06/2010 at Moroni-Hahaya airport, followed by malaise.

Details of the medical case:

History of Illness: The trauma occurred around 11:30 am at Moroni-Hahaya airport due to an assault by strangulation, followed by malaise and intense cervical pain.

Upon Admission:

Patient was conscious: Glasgow 15/15

Blood Pressure (Tension Artérielle - TA): 176/101 mmHg

Heart rate (Fréquence cardiaque): 95 beats/minute

Temperature: 36.7°C; Saturated Oxygen (O₂): 96%

Physical Examination:

Intense cervical pain with mild dysphagia

Movements of flexion-extension of the neck

No dysphonia

No respiratory distress

Neurological examination was normal

Other examination findings were unremarkable

The document is stamped with the date of the report, 06 June 2010.


This medical report provides details about the patient's condition upon hospital admission, including the patient's vital signs and results from the physical examination. For health insurance claim processing, this report would be used to assess the nature and extent of injuries, treatments provided, and to determine coverage and reimbursement for the medical services rendered.

Figure 38: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in French document extraction. The relevant parts are highlighted in **green**.

German document extraction

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert. Here is an image of the medical document provided by the policyholder. Please extract the details from it.



GPT-4V:

The document is a medical certificate from [The name of hospital], detailing a patient's diagnosis and treatment. Here's the information extracted:

Document Type: **Medical Certificate** (Ärztliche Bescheinigung)

Patient: [The name is covered for privacy]

Medical History:

The patient has been under treatment at [The name of hospital] since 10.09.19 for leukemia (cALL, bcr-abl positive).

Diagnoses:

cALL, bcr-abl positive ED 02/15 (Russia)

CNS negative, **Hyperdiploidie, oncological Thakyploidie (9,22)**

Isolated bone marrow relapse 05/19

Allergic reaction to **TBK**

Steroid diabetes mellitus

Infection of the forearm port 08/19 (Russia)

Vincalkaloid-associated polyneuropathy

Questionable intracerebral cystic structure (**EID** Russia)

HSV-Stomatitis, EBV-Reactivation after stem cell transplantation SZT 12/19

Therapy:

Chemotherapy according to the protocol ALL-MB set 03/15 (group F)

Maintenance therapy 10/15 – 03/17

Cranial radiotherapy 12 Gy 10/15

Imatinib 03/15 – 06/17 and 06/19 – 09/19

Relapse chemotherapy according to protocol ALL-MB-Rez/ALL-REZ BFM 2002 as of 05/19

Allogeneic stem cell transplantation on 27.11.2019 (PLD-DKM-1309404, 10/10, permissive, PBSC)

Treatment Period:

The overall treatment of the patient lasts until 19.06.20.

Reference:

CNS negative, Hyperdiploidy, bcr-abl p190 t(9;22)

Allergic reaction to TK

Questionable intracerebral cystic structure (ED Russia)

Figure 39: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in German document extraction. The correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

Korean document extraction

Prompt:

Imagine that you are a health insurance expert. Here is an image of the medical document provided by the policyholder. Please extract the details from it.

GPT-4V:

The image appears to be of a medical document in Korean. It is a **상해진단서**, which translates to "Injury Diagnosis Certificate" or "Medical Certificate for Injury". I'll go ahead and extract the information for you.

Patient's Name: [Redacted]

Date of Birth: [Redacted]

Date of Issue: February 5, 2020

Date of Injury: February 6, 2020

Diagnosis: The diagnosis seems to be related to a bone injury. The specifics are not clear due to the blurriness of the image.

Hospital Name: The name is not clear due to blurriness, but it appears to be a specific medical center or hospital in Korea.

Doctor's Name: [Redacted]

Treatment Period: It mentions the treatment period, but the exact time frame is not readable.

Remark Section: It has some remarks about the condition or advice given by the doctor, which is not completely legible.

Signature and Seal: There is a signature and a red seal, which is common in official documents in Korea.

Reference:

Name of Disease:

(Primary Disease) Spondylitis

(Traumatic Disease) Scalp Paralysis, Facial Paralysis, Paralysis of Both Feet, Paralysis of Both Hands

Date of Onset or Injury: February 5, 2020

Date of Diagnosis: February 6, 2020

Cause of Injury or Presumed Cause of Injury: Knocked down by someone else. (Patient's statement)

**Injured Parts and Severity: Scalp and facial area: Lump and swelling
Cervical spine, both shoulders, both calves: Pain**

Amniotic fluid: Pain and abrasion

Treatment Period: February 5, 2020 to February 18, 2020

Figure 40: The test case of GPT-4V's capability in Korean document extraction, correct answer parts, incorrect answer parts, and references are highlighted in **green**, **red** and **yellow**, respectively.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we present the first qualitative analysis of GPT-4V’s performance across various multimodal tasks within the insurance domain, encompassing four types of insurance (auto, property, health, and agricultural) and three stages of insurance (risk assessment, risk monitoring, and claims processing). Based on our testing results, we draw the following conclusions:

- **Robust Multimodal Content Understanding Capabilities in the Insurance Domain:** GPT-4V exhibits remarkable abilities in handling multimodal tasks related to insurance. It accurately extracts and understands key information from complex images, such as the extent of vehicle damage, structural issues in properties, health conditions, and the growth status of crops. By integrating these visual insights with relevant textual descriptions, GPT-4V provides comprehensive and in-depth analyses, supporting critical decision-making processes in the insurance industry.
- **Comprehensive Insurance Knowledge and Scene Understanding Abilities:** GPT-4V possesses a comprehensive understanding of insurance-related knowledge, enabling it to aptly navigate the specialized terminology and concepts of the sector. Furthermore, it demonstrates a strong ability to distinguish between task requirements for various insurance types and stages, accurately identifying specific components tailored to those requirements (*e.g.*, vehicle make and model in auto insurance, construction materials in household property insurance). This capability allows GPT-4V to provide precise advice and insights.

Simultaneously, we have also identified some shortcomings:

- **Challenges in Precise Damage and Loss Estimation:** While GPT-4V excels in qualitative evaluations, it encounters significant challenges in detailed, quantitative assessments critical for insurance purposes. These include accurately forecasting risk probabilities and precisely estimating financial losses, which are essential for insurance professionals who rely on specific numerical data for decision-making.
- **Hallucination in Image Understanding:** GPT-4V has exhibited hallucination in some cases, where the model generates misaligned textual descriptions in response to visual inputs. This presents a fundamental challenge to its multimodal capabilities and raises concerns, particularly in fields that require precise and dependable visual data analysis.
- **Disparities in Multilingual Document Recognition:** There is a notable discrepancy in GPT-4V’s ability to recognize and process documents in different languages, posing a significant challenge for its application in the global insurance industry. While the model performs well with documents in Western languages, its performance significantly drops when dealing with Asian languages. This disparity impacts its utility in a multilingual world where the insurance industry operates across diverse linguistic landscapes.

These preliminary observations provide a foundation for exploring the challenges and potential of Large Multimodal Models (LMMs), such as GPT-4V, in the insurance domain. Our paper aims to enhance the understanding of LMMs in the insurance domain and encourage further investigation in this intricate and dynamic field.

References

- [1] Shailesh Acharya and Glenn Fung. Mileage extraction from odometer pictures for automating auto insurance processes. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, 5:61, 2019.
- [2] Pravitha S Anchan, Roopalekha Jathanna, and Amitha Marla. Evaluation of health insurance and claim process at tertiary care hospital, mangalore: A case report. *Journal of Health Management*, 13(1):97–112, 2011.
- [3] Subramanian Arumugam and R Bhargavi. A survey on driving behavior analysis in usage based insurance using big data. *Journal of Big Data*, 6:1–21, 2019.
- [4] Joseph A Atwood, Tim Watts, Kevin Price, and Jude Kastens. The big picture-satellite remote sensing applications in rangeland assessment and crop insurance. 2005.
- [5] Ramnath Balasubramanian, Ari Libarikian, and Doug McElhaney. Insurance 2030—the impact of ai on the future of insurance. *McKinsey & Company*, 2018.
- [6] Caesar Balona. Actuarygpt: Applications of large language models to insurance and actuarial work. Available at SSRN 4543652, 2023.
- [7] Elinor Benami, Zhenong Jin, Michael R Carter, Aniruddha Ghosh, Robert J Hijmans, Andrew Hobbs, Benson Kenduiywo, and David B Lobell. Uniting remote sensing, crop modelling and economics for agricultural risk management. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 2(2):140–159, 2021.
- [8] Botond Benedek, Cristina Ciumas, and Bálint Zsolt Nagy. Automobile insurance fraud detection in the age of big data—a systematic and comprehensive literature review. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 30(4):503–523, 2022.
- [9] Chiraphat Boonnag, Piyalitt Ittichaiwong, Wanumaidah Saengmolee, Narongrid Seesawad, Amrest Chinkamol, Saendee Rattanasomrerk, Kanyakorn Veerakanjana, Kamonwan Thanontip, Warissara Limpornchitwilai, and Theerawat Wilaiprasitporn. Pacman: a framework for pulse oximeter digit detection and reading in a low-resource setting. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2023.
- [10] A Brook, V De Micco, G Battipaglia, A Erbaggio, G Ludeno, I Catapano, and A Bonfante. A smart multiple spatial and temporal resolution system to support precision agriculture from satellite images: Proof of concept on aglianico vineyard. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 240:111679, 2020.
- [11] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [12] Siqing Cao, Hanjia Lyu, and Xian Xu. Insurtech development: Evidence from chinese media reports. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 161:120277, 2020.
- [13] Francisco Ceballos, Berber Kramer, and Miguel Robles. The feasibility of picture-based insurance (pbi): Smartphone pictures for affordable crop insurance. *Development Engineering*, 4:100042, 2019.
- [14] Wei Chen, Qiushi Wang, Zefei Long, Xianyin Zhang, Zhongtian Lu, Bingxuan Li, Siyuan Wang, Jiarong Xu, Xiang Bai, Xuanjing Huang, et al. Disc-finllm: A chinese financial large language model based on multiple experts fine-tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.15205*, 2023.
- [15] Mang Tik Chiu, Xingqian Xu, Yunchao Wei, Zilong Huang, Alexander G Schwing, Robert Brunner, Hrant Khachatryan, Hovnatan Karapetyan, Ivan Dozier, Greg Rose, et al. Agriculture-vision: A large aerial image database for agricultural pattern analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2828–2838, 2020.
- [16] A Conrad, FJ Mostert, and JM Mostert. The underwriting process of motor vehicle insurance. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 6(3), 2009.
- [17] Can Cui, Yunsheng Ma, Xu Cao, Wenqian Ye, Yang Zhou, Kaizhao Liang, Jintai Chen, Juanwu Lu, Zichong Yang, Kuei-Da Liao, et al. A survey on multimodal large language models for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 958–979, 2024.

- [18] Amlan Jyoti Dey and Hiren Kumar Deva Sarma. A survey on application of machine learning in property and casualty insurance. In *Contemporary Issues in Communication, Cloud and Big Data Analytics: Proceedings of CCB 2020*, pages 307–314. Springer, 2022.
- [19] Najmeddine Dhieb, Hakim Ghazzai, Hichem Besbes, and Yehia Massoud. A very deep transfer learning model for vehicle damage detection and localization. In *2019 31st international conference on microelectronics (ICM)*, pages 158–161. IEEE, 2019.
- [20] Laigen Dong and Jie Shan. A comprehensive review of earthquake-induced building damage detection with remote sensing techniques. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 84:85–99, 2013.
- [21] Shreyansh Doshi, Amarjit Gupta, Jay Gupta, Nidhi Hariya, and Aruna Pavate. Vehicle damage analysis using computer vision: Survey. In *2023 International Conference on Communication System, Computing and IT Applications (CSCITA)*, pages 132–135. IEEE, 2023.
- [22] Steward Doss. Health insurance in 2042—challenges and opportunities. *Health Insurance In 2042 Challenges and Opportunities*, page 1, 2022.
- [23] Steward Doss, Raghavendra Pawar, and Rajasekhar Maddireddy. Intelligent automation in health insurance. *Bimaquest*, 22(1), 2022.
- [24] Tania Driver, Mark Brimble, Brett Freudenberg, and Katherine Helen Mary Hunt. Insurance literacy in australia: Not knowing the value of personal insurance. *Insurance Literacy in Australia: Not knowing the value of personal insurance, Financial Planning Research Journal*, 4(1):53–75, 2018.
- [25] Jean Paul Dukuzumuremyi, Bei Ji Zou, and Damien Hanyurwimfura. A novel algorithm for fire/smoke detection based on computer vision. *International Journal of Hybrid Information Technology*, 7(3):143–154, 2014.
- [26] Martin Eling and Martin Lehmann. The impact of digitalization on the insurance value chain and the insurability of risks. *The Geneva papers on risk and insurance-issues and practice*, 43:359–396, 2018.
- [27] Martin Eling, Davide Nuessle, and Julian Staubli. The impact of artificial intelligence along the insurance value chain and on the insurability of risks. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance-Issues and Practice*, 47(2):205–241, 2022.
- [28] Chiang Ku Fan and Wei-Yuan Wang. A comparison of underwriting decision making between telematics-enabled ubi and traditional auto insurance. *Advances in Management and Applied Economics*, 7(1):17, 2017.
- [29] Chiang Ku Fan and Xian Xu. Influences of autonomous cars on the insurance market from the perspectives of insurance companies and auto insurance agencies. *Journal of Applied Finance & Banking*, 9(4):11–35, 2019.
- [30] Yangxin Fan, Hanjia Lyu, Jin Xiao, and Jiebo Luo. American twitter users revealed social determinants-related oral health disparities amid the covid-19 pandemic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.07652*, 2021.
- [31] Jie Fang, Yu Zhou, Yao Yu, and Sidan Du. Fine-grained vehicle model recognition using a coarse-to-fine convolutional neural network architecture. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 18(7):1782–1792, 2016.
- [32] Weili Fang, Lieyun Ding, Peter ED Love, Hanbin Luo, Heng Li, Feniosky Peña-Mora, Botao Zhong, and Cheng Zhou. Computer vision applications in construction safety assurance. *Automation in Construction*, 110:103013, 2020.
- [33] Daniel Felsenstein, Masha Vernik, and Yael Israeli. Household insurance expenditure as an indicator of urban resilience. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, 31:102–111, 2018.
- [34] Dyke Ferber, Georg Wölflin, Isabella C Wiest, Marta Liger, Srividhya Sainath, Narmin Ghaf-fari Laleh, Omar SM El Nahhas, Gustav Müller-Franzes, Dirk Jäger, Daniel Truhn, et al. In-context learning enables multimodal large language models to classify cancer pathology images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07407*, 2024.
- [35] Nisaja Fernando, Abimani Kumarage, Vithyashagar Thiyaganathan, Radesh Hillary, and Lakmini Abeywardhana. Automated vehicle insurance claims processing using computer

- vision, natural language processing. In *2022 22nd International Conference on Advances in ICT for Emerging Regions (ICTer)*, pages 124–129. IEEE, 2022.
- [36] Elisabeth Lia Friskasari, Chriswardani Suryawati, and Henry Setyawan. The implementation of lean management in accelerating health insurance claim process at hospitals. *Jurnal Medicoeticolegal Dan Manajemen Rumah Sakit*, 8(3):198–208, 2019.
 - [37] Boban D Gajić and Ivan D Radojković. Risk assessment methodology in crop insurance. *Insurance Trends*, (2), 2019.
 - [38] Junfeng Gao, Yong Yang, Pan Lin, Dong Sun Park, et al. Computer vision in healthcare applications, 2018.
 - [39] Robert Gleeson. Medical underwriting. *Genetics and Life Insurance*, MIT Press, Massachusetts, pages 73–94, 2009.
 - [40] Ashok Gulati, Perna Terway, and Siraj Hussain. Crop insurance in india: Key issues and way forward. Technical report, Working paper, 2018.
 - [41] Abdul Hafeez, Mohammed Aslam Husain, SP Singh, Anurag Chauhan, Mohd Tauseef Khan, Navneet Kumar, Abhishek Chauhan, and SK Soni. Implementation of drone technology for farm monitoring & pesticide spraying: A review. *Information processing in Agriculture*, 2022.
 - [42] Kuyeong Han, Jiyeon Yoon, and Eunkyoung Jun. Proposal for health insurance policy when telehealth is legalized: focused on telemedicine platform, remote monitoring device, digital therapeutics, and homecare medical device. *Health Insurance Review & Assessment Service Research*, 2(1):36–46, 2022.
 - [43] John Hanson. Commercial property insurance. In *Insurance Disputes*, pages 553–579. Informa Law from Routledge, 2020.
 - [44] Mahshad Mahdavi Hezaveh, Christopher Kanan, and Carl Salvaggio. Roof damage assessment using deep learning. In *2017 IEEE Applied Imagery Pattern Recognition Workshop (AIPR)*, pages 6403–6408. IEEE, 2017.
 - [45] Hao-Hsuan Hsu, Nen-Fu Huang, and Chuan-Hsiang Han. Collision analysis to motor dashcam videos with yolo and mask r-cnn for auto insurance. In *2020 International Conference on Intelligent Engineering and Management (ICIEM)*, pages 311–315. IEEE, 2020.
 - [46] Ramiro Iturrioz. Agricultural insurance. 2009.
 - [47] Yu Iwahashi, Gunardi Sigit, Budi Utoyo, Iskandar Lubis, Ahmad Junaedi, Bambang Hendro Trisasongko, I Made Anom Sutrisna Wijaya, Masayasu Maki, Chiharu Hongo, and Koki Homma. Drought damage assessment for crop insurance based on vegetation index by unmanned aerial vehicle (uav) multispectral images of paddy fields in indonesia. *Agriculture*, 13(1):113, 2022.
 - [48] Fei Jiang, Yong Jiang, Hui Zhi, Yi Dong, Hao Li, Sufeng Ma, Yilong Wang, Qiang Dong, Haipeng Shen, and Yongjun Wang. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. *Stroke and vascular neurology*, 2(4), 2017.
 - [49] Iyshwarya Ratthi Kannan, Yogameena Balasubramanian, Saravana Perumaal Subramanian, Menaka Kandhasamy, and Sivasankari Ramesh. Cda-net: Computer vision based automatic car damage analysis. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Indian Conference on Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing*, pages 1–7, 2023.
 - [50] Sinan Kaplan, Mehmet Amac Guvensan, Ali Gokhan Yavuz, and Yasin Karalurt. Driver behavior analysis for safe driving: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 16(6):3017–3032, 2015.
 - [51] Anjani Kumar, Akash Goyal, Bipin Kumar Rai, and Shivani Sharma. Ocr based medical prescription and report analyzer. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*, volume 2424. AIP Publishing, 2022.
 - [52] SR Kurkute, BD Deore, Payal Kasar, Megha Bhamare, Mayuri Sahane, et al. Drones for smart agriculture: A technical report. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 6(4):341–346, 2018.
 - [53] Phyu Mar Kyu and Kuntpong Woraratpanya. Car damage detection and classification. In *Proceedings of the 11th international conference on advances in information technology*, pages 1–6, 2020.

- [54] Igor Lashkov, Alexey Kashevnik, Nikolay Shilov, Vladimir Parfenov, and Anton Shabaev. Driver dangerous state detection based on opencv & dlib libraries using mobile video processing. In *2019 IEEE International Conference on Computational Science and Engineering (CSE) and IEEE International Conference on Embedded and Ubiquitous Computing (EUC)*, pages 74–79. IEEE, 2019.
- [55] Taejun Lee, Hyeonock Song, SeungEui Yang, Mingyu Kim, and Hoekyung Jung. Computer vision-based system design for classifying workplace dangerous situation. In *INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON FUTURE INFORMATION & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING*, volume 13, pages 291–294, 2022.
- [56] Helen Levy and David Meltzer. The impact of health insurance on health. *Annu. Rev. Public Health*, 29:399–409, 2008.
- [57] Fu Li, Hai Zhang, Huan Che, and Xiaochen Qiu. Dangerous driving behavior detection using smartphone sensors. In *2016 IEEE 19th international conference on intelligent transportation systems (ITSC)*, pages 1902–1907. IEEE, 2016.
- [58] Pei Li, Bingyu Shen, and Weishan Dong. An anti-fraud system for car insurance claim based on visual evidence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.11207*, 2018.
- [59] Ying Li and Chitra Dorai. Applying image analysis to auto insurance triage: a novel application. In *2007 IEEE 9th Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing*, pages 280–283. IEEE, 2007.
- [60] Yingshu Li, Yunyi Liu, Zhanyu Wang, Xinyu Liang, Lingqiao Liu, Lei Wang, Leyang Cui, Zhaopeng Tu, Longyue Wang, and Luping Zhou. A comprehensive study of gpt-4v’s multi-modal capabilities in medical imaging. *medRxiv*, pages 2023–11, 2023.
- [61] Fuxiao Liu, Kevin Lin, Linjie Li, Jianfeng Wang, Yaser Yacoob, and Lijuan Wang. Mitigating hallucination in large multi-modal models via robust instruction tuning. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [62] Hanchao Liu, Wenyuan Xue, Yifei Chen, Dapeng Chen, Xiutian Zhao, Ke Wang, Liping Hou, Rongjun Li, and Wei Peng. A survey on hallucination in large vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.00253*, 2024.
- [63] Jiazhen Liu, Yuhan Fu, Ruobing Xie, Runquan Xie, Xingwu Sun, Fengzong Lian, Zhanhui Kang, and Xirong Li. Phd: A prompted visual hallucination evaluation dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11116*, 2024.
- [64] Laura Lopez-Fuentes, Joost van de Weijer, Manuel González-Hidalgo, Harald Skinnemoen, and Andrew D Bagdanov. Review on computer vision techniques in emergency situations. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 77:17069–17107, 2018.
- [65] Jiaqi Lu, Benjamin CM Fung, and William K Cheung. Embedding for anomaly detection on health insurance claims. In *2020 IEEE 7th international conference on data science and advanced analytics (DSAA)*, pages 459–468. IEEE, 2020.
- [66] Hanjia Lyu, Jinfa Huang, Daoan Zhang, Yongsheng Yu, Xinyi Mou, Jinsheng Pan, Zhengyuan Yang, Zhongyu Wei, and Jiebo Luo. Gpt-4v (ision) as a social media analysis engine. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.07547*, 2023.
- [67] Hanjia Lyu, Song Jiang, Hanqing Zeng, Yinglong Xia, and Jiebo Luo. Llm-rec: Personalized recommendation via prompting large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15780*, 2023.
- [68] Hanjia Lyu, Weihong Qi, Zhongyu Wei, and Jiebo Luo. Human vs. Imms: Exploring the discrepancy in emoji interpretation and usage in digital communication. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08212*, 2024.
- [69] Hanjia Lyu, Junda Wang, Wei Wu, Viet Duong, Xiyang Zhang, Timothy D Dye, and Jiebo Luo. Social media study of public opinions on potential covid-19 vaccines: informing dissent, disparities, and dissemination. *Intelligent medicine*, 2(01):1–12, 2022.
- [70] Vyacheslav Lyubchich, Nathaniel K Newlands, Azar Ghahari, Tahir Mahdi, and Yulia R Gel. Insurance risk assessment in the face of climate change: Integrating data science and statistics. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, 11(4):e1462, 2019.
- [71] Chunmei Ma, Xili Dai, Jinqi Zhu, Nianbo Liu, Huazhi Sun, Ming Liu, et al. Drivingsense: Dangerous driving behavior identification based on smartphone autocalibration. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2017, 2017.

- [72] Luca Maiano, Antonio Montuschi, Marta Caserio, Egon Ferri, Federico Kieffer, Chiara Germanò, Lorenzo Baiocco, Lorenzo Ricciardi Celsi, Irene Amerini, and Aris Anagnostopoulos. A deep-learning-based antifraud system for car-insurance claims. *Expert Systems with Applications*, page 120644, 2023.
- [73] Maitiniyazi Maimaitijiang, Vasit Sagan, Paheding Sidike, Ahmad M Daloye, Hasanjan Erkbol, and Felix B Fritschi. Crop monitoring using satellite/uav data fusion and machine learning. *Remote Sensing*, 12(9):1357, 2020.
- [74] Lakmini P Malasinghe, Naeem Ramzan, and Keshav Dahal. Remote patient monitoring: a comprehensive study. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 10:57–76, 2019.
- [75] Elnura Murzalieva, Ainura Askarova, Umut Abdyldaeva, Gulchehra Abdyrakhmanova, and Atakhan Khalilov. State of personal insurance in the kyrgyz republic at the present stage of development in the conditions of the covid-19 pandemic. In *E3S Web of Conferences*, volume 403, page 08022. EDP Sciences, 2023.
- [76] NAIC. Auto insurance.
- [77] Karoon Rashedi Nia and Greg Mori. Building damage assessment using deep learning and ground-level image data. In *2017 14th conference on computer and robot vision (CRV)*, pages 95–102. IEEE, 2017.
- [78] Ke Nian, Haofan Zhang, Aditya Tayal, Thomas Coleman, and Yuying Li. Auto insurance fraud detection using unsupervised spectral ranking for anomaly. *The Journal of Finance and Data Science*, 2(1):58–75, 2016.
- [79] Harsha Nori, Nicholas King, Scott Mayer McKinney, Dean Carignan, and Eric Horvitz. Capabilities of gpt-4 on medical challenge problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13375*, 2023.
- [80] OpenAI. Introducing chatgpt.
- [81] OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report, 2023.
- [82] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- [83] John Parvin. Risk assessment and evaluation: The implications of cracking for insurance cover and warranties. *Journal of Building Appraisal*, 1(2):153–163, 2005.
- [84] Kalpesh Patil, Mandar Kulkarni, Anand Sriraman, and Shirish Karande. Deep learning based car damage classification. In *2017 16th IEEE international conference on machine learning and applications (ICMLA)*, pages 50–54. IEEE, 2017.
- [85] James Pincott, Paige Wenbin Tien, Shuangyu Wei, and John Kaiser Calautit. Indoor fire detection utilizing computer vision-based strategies. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 61:105154, 2022.
- [86] Aristodemos Pnevmatikakis, Stathis Kanavos, George Matikas, Konstantina Kostopoulou, Alfredo Cesario, and Sofoklis Kyriazakos. Risk assessment for personalized health insurance based on real-world data. *Risks*, 9(3):46, 2021.
- [87] Fred Poon, Yang Zhang, Jonathon Roach, David Josephs, and John Santerre. Modeling and application of neural networks for automotive damage appraisals. *SMU Data Science Review*, 5(1):3, 2021.
- [88] Fred Popowich. Using text mining and natural language processing for health care claims processing. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 7(1):59–66, 2005.
- [89] Sharan Kumar Paratala Rajagopal. A review on artificial intelligence in property and casualty insurance. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)*, 9(7):5251–5254, 2020.
- [90] MP Rico-Fernández, Reyes Rios-Cabrera, Mario Castelán, H-I Guerrero-Reyes, and A Juarez-Maldonado. A contextualized approach for segmentation of foliage in different crop species. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*, 156:378–386, 2019.

- [91] David Fernando Nolasco Rivera and Alicia María Reyes Duke. Work safety assessment through contextual analysis with computer vision. In *2020 5th International Conference on Control and Robotics Engineering (ICCRE)*, pages 207–212. IEEE, 2020.
- [92] Caroline Rougier, Jean Meunier, Alain St-Arnaud, and Jacqueline Rousseau. Robust video surveillance for fall detection based on human shape deformation. *IEEE Transactions on circuits and systems for video Technology*, 21(5):611–622, 2011.
- [93] Takuma Saeki, Hiroaki Tsubokawa, and Saburoh Midorikawa. Seismic damage evaluation of household property by using geographic information systems (gis). In *Proceedings, 12th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering,, January 30–February 5, Auckland New Zealand*, 2000.
- [94] Srishti Sahni, Anmol Mittal, Farzil Kidwai, Ajay Tiwari, and Kanak Khandelwal. Insurance fraud identification using computer vision and iot: a study of field fires. *Procedia Computer Science*, 173:56–63, 2020.
- [95] Mohammed Yousef Shaheen. Applications of artificial intelligence (ai) in healthcare: A review. *ScienceOpen Preprints*, 2021.
- [96] Kailan Shang. Applying image recognition to insurance.
- [97] Yongxin Shi, Dezhi Peng, Wenhui Liao, Zening Lin, Xinhong Chen, Chongyu Liu, Yuyi Zhang, and Lianwen Jin. Exploring ocr capabilities of gpt-4v (ision): A quantitative and in-depth evaluation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.16809*, 2023.
- [98] BK Singh, Dulal Chakraborty, Naveen Kalra, and Jaya Singh. *A tool for climate smart crop insurance: Combining farmers’ pictures with dynamic crop modelling for accurate yield estimation prior to harvest*. Intl Food Policy Res Inst, 2019.
- [99] Jaskanwar Singh and Siddhaling Urolagin. Use of artificial intelligence for health insurance claims automation. In *Advances in Machine Learning and Computational Intelligence: Proceedings of ICMLCI 2019*, pages 381–392. Springer, 2021.
- [100] Ranjodh Singh, Meghna P Ayyar, Tata Venkata Sri Pavan, Sandeep Gosain, and Rajiv Ratn Shah. Automating car insurance claims using deep learning techniques. In *2019 IEEE Fifth International Conference on Multimedia Big Data (BigMM)*, pages 199–207. IEEE, 2019.
- [101] skyquest. Global car insurance market.
- [102] Małgorzata Śmietanka, Adriano Koshiyama, and Philip Treleaven. Algorithms in future insurance markets. *International Journal of Data Science and Big Data Analytics*, 1(1):1–19, 2021.
- [103] Emanuel Stoeckli, Christian Dremel, and Falk Uebernickel. Exploring characteristics and transformational capabilities of insurtech innovations to understand insurance value creation in a digital world. *Electronic markets*, 28:287–305, 2018.
- [104] Ahmad P Tafti, Ahmadreza Baghaie, Mehdi Assefi, Hamid R Arabnia, Zeyun Yu, and Peggy Peissig. Ocr as a service: an experimental evaluation of google docs ocr, tesseract, abbyy finereader, and transym. In *Advances in Visual Computing: 12th International Symposium, ISVC 2016, Las Vegas, NV, USA, December 12-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part I 12*, pages 735–746. Springer, 2016.
- [105] Chenjiao Tan, Qian Cao, Yiwei Li, Jielu Zhang, Xiao Yang, Huaqin Zhao, Zihao Wu, Zhengliang Liu, Hao Yang, Nemin Wu, et al. On the promises and challenges of multi-modal foundation models for geographical, environmental, agricultural, and urban planning applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.17016*, 2023.
- [106] Hongkun Tian, Tianhai Wang, Yadong Liu, Xi Qiao, and Yanzhou Li. Computer vision technology in agricultural automation—a review. *Information Processing in Agriculture*, 7(1):1–19, 2020.
- [107] Verisk. The challenge of auto insurance premium leakage.
- [108] Fan Wang, John P Kerekes, Zhuoyi Xu, and Yandong Wang. Residential roof condition assessment system using deep learning. *Journal of applied remote sensing*, 12(1):016040–016040, 2018.
- [109] Sampath Sanjeeva Weedige, Hongbing Ouyang, Yao Gao, and Yaqing Liu. Decision making in personal insurance: Impact of insurance literacy. *Sustainability*, 11(23):6795, 2019.

- [110] Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:24824–24837, 2022.
- [111] Xing Wei, Shang Yao, Chong Zhao, Di Hu, Hui Luo, and Yang Lu. Lightweight multimodal feature graph convolutional network for dangerous driving behavior detection. *Journal of Real-Time Image Processing*, 20(1):15, 2023.
- [112] Licheng Wen, Xueming Yang, Daocheng Fu, Xiaofeng Wang, Pinlong Cai, Xin Li, Tao Ma, Yingxuan Li, Linran Xu, Dengke Shang, et al. On the road with gpt-4v (ision): Early explorations of visual-language model on autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.05332*, 2023.
- [113] Chaoyi Wu, Jiayu Lei, Qiaoyu Zheng, Weike Zhao, Weixiong Lin, Xiaoman Zhang, Xiao Zhou, Ziheng Zhao, Ya Zhang, Yanfeng Wang, et al. Can gpt-4v (ision) serve medical applications? case studies on gpt-4v for multimodal medical diagnosis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09909*, 2023.
- [114] Shijie Wu, Ozan Irsoy, Steven Lu, Vadim Dabravolski, Mark Dredze, Sebastian Gehrmann, Prabhanjan Kambadur, David Rosenberg, and Gideon Mann. Bloomberggpt: A large language model for finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17564*, 2023.
- [115] Yang Wu, Shilong Wang, Hao Yang, Tian Zheng, Hongbo Zhang, Yanyan Zhao, and Bing Qin. An early evaluation of gpt-4v (ision). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.16534*, 2023.
- [116] Xian Xu and Peter Zweifel. A framework for the evaluation of insurtech. *Risk Management and Insurance Review*, 23(4):305–329, 2020.
- [117] Jiayi Yang, Kui Chen, Kai Ding, Chongning Na, and Meng Wang. Auto insurance fraud detection with multimodal learning. *Data Intelligence*, 5(2):388–412, 2023.
- [118] Zhengyuan Yang, Linjie Li, Kevin Lin, Jianfeng Wang, Chung-Ching Lin, Zicheng Liu, and Lijuan Wang. The dawn of llms: Preliminary explorations with gpt-4v (ision). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17421*, 9(1), 2023.
- [119] Alex Zarifis, Christopher P Holland, and Alistair Milne. Evaluating the impact of ai on insurance: The four emerging ai-and data-driven business models. *Emerald Open Research*, 1(1), 2023.
- [120] Daoan Zhang, Junming Yang, Hanjia Lyu, Zijian Jin, Yuan Yao, Mingkai Chen, and Jiebo Luo. Cocot: Contrastive chain-of-thought prompting for large multimodal models with multiple image inputs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.02582*, 2024.
- [121] Wei Zhang, Yuan Cheng, Xin Guo, Qingpei Guo, Jian Wang, Qing Wang, Chen Jiang, Meng Wang, Furong Xu, and Wei Chu. Automatic car damage assessment system: Reading and understanding videos as professional insurance inspectors. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 13646–13647, 2020.
- [122] Xinlu Zhang, Yujie Lu, Weizhi Wang, An Yan, Jun Yan, Lianke Qin, Heng Wang, Xifeng Yan, William Yang Wang, and Linda Ruth Petzold. Gpt-4v (ision) as a generalist evaluator for vision-language tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.01361*, 2023.
- [123] Yipeng Zhang, Hanjia Lyu, Yubao Liu, Xiyang Zhang, Yu Wang, and Jiebo Luo. Monitoring depression trends on twitter during the covid-19 pandemic: observational study. *JMIR infodemiology*, 1(1):e26769, 2021.
- [124] Huaqin Zhao, Zhengliang Liu, Zihao Wu, Yiwei Li, Tianze Yang, Peng Shu, Shaochen Xu, Haixing Dai, Lin Zhao, Gengchen Mai, et al. Revolutionizing finance with llms: An overview of applications and insights. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.11641*, 2024.
- [125] Ziqiang Zheng, Yiwei Chen, Jipeng Zhang, Tuan-Anh Vu, Huimin Zeng, Yue Him Wong Tim, and Sai-Kit Yeung. Exploring boundary of gpt-4v on marine analysis: A preliminary case study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.02147*, 2024.