
AUDIOPROTOPNET: AN INTERPRETABLE DEEP LEARNING MODEL FOR BIRD SOUND CLASSIFICATION

René Heinrich^{1,2,*}, Bernhard Sick², Christoph Scholz^{1,2}

¹ Fraunhofer Institute for Energy Economics and Energy System Technology (IEE)
Joseph-Beuys-Straße 8, 34117 Kassel, Germany

² Intelligent Embedded Systems (IES), University of Kassel
Mönchebergstraße 19, 34127 Kassel, Germany

* rene.heinrich@iee.fraunhofer.de

ABSTRACT

Recently, scientists have proposed several deep learning models to monitor the diversity of bird species. These models can detect bird species with high accuracy by analyzing acoustic signals. However, traditional deep learning algorithms are black-box models that provide no insight into their decision-making process. For domain experts, such as ornithologists, it is crucial that these models are not only efficient, but also interpretable in order to be used as assistive tools.

In this study, we present an adaptation of the Prototypical Part Network (ProtoPNet) for audio classification that provides inherent interpretability through its model architecture. Our approach is based on a ConvNeXt backbone architecture for feature extraction and learns prototypical patterns for each bird species using spectrograms of the training data. Classification of new data is done by comparison with these prototypes in latent space, which simultaneously serve as easily understandable explanations for the model's decisions.

Keywords Bioacoustics, Bird sound recognition, Avian diversity, Interpretability, Explainable AI, Deep Learning

1 Introduction

Global biodiversity has declined dramatically in recent decades. A study by Cornell's Lab of Ornithology estimates the loss of approximately 3 billion wild birds in North America since 1970, a population decline of 29% [1]. Overall, according to [2], the average abundance of native species in most major terrestrial habitats has declined by at least 20% since 1900. In addition, approximately one million animal and plant species are now threatened with extinction, many within a few decades. Changes in land and sea use, direct exploitation of natural resources, pollution, climate change and invasive alien species are among the driving forces behind the recent loss of biodiversity worldwide [3]. In order to track and improve the effectiveness of efforts to combat biodiversity loss, a coherent global biodiversity monitoring system is essential [4]. Birds play a central role in this effort, as they are valuable indicators of environmental health and biodiversity in different habitats [5]. As a result, Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM) of birds has grown in importance as a cost-effective way to collect large amounts of acoustic data while minimizing disruption to natural habitats [6, 7]. Despite its advantages, the traditional use of PAM is time-consuming for specialists such as ornithologists and biologists, who must carefully analyze extensive audio recordings. The development of computer-based automation of these analysis processes is of great interest, but poses a significant challenge given the extraordinary diversity and complexity of bird acoustic signals. Advances in deep learning technology have led to a breakthrough that has recently proven particularly effective at accurately identifying bird species from audio recordings [8, 9]. However, it is critical that such complex algorithms are understandable to experts in disciplines such as ornithology or biology. Only then can deep learning algorithms be used as assistive tools to help experts make informed decisions. Therefore, it is not

only the accuracy of the classification models that is important, but also their transparency and explainability. This is where the field of Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) comes in, which aims to make the decision-making processes of deep learning models understandable to humans. While much of the research in XAI has focused on post-hoc explainability approaches, recent studies show the limitations of these methods [10]. In particular, post-hoc methods are often prone to approximation errors that lead to discrepancies between the explanations and the actual computations of the models. This can undermine the user’s confidence in the explanations and thus in the models themselves. Thus, there is a need for models that are inherently interpretable, i.e., whose architecture is designed so that explanations are an integral part of the decision-making process. However, when processing unstructured data such as images, text, and audio, deep learning algorithms show significant advantages over traditional interpretable models such as linear regression or decision trees due to their ability to automatically extract features [11]. Therefore, the challenge is to develop deep learning models that are both accurate and inherently interpretable for classifying bird species from audio data.

1.1 Related Work

While XAI methods have been well studied in the field of image and text processing, there is little research on this topic in the context of audio data. In the area of post-hoc explanation methods, counterfactual explanations [12] as well as gradient [13, 14, 15], perturbation [16, 17, 18], and attention-based [19, 20, 21] feature attribution methods have been investigated to explain deep learning models in audio processing. A significant part of this work is focused on medical applications.

In addition, there is preliminary research on the development of interpretable deep learning models for audio data, with a special focus on deep prototype learning. These algorithms autonomously identify important training samples or their parts as prototypes and make model decisions based on the similarity between the inference data points and these prototypes. The concept of deep prototype learning was originally introduced in the context of image classification by [22], who proposed a model architecture that incorporates case-based reasoning with prototypes into a deep neural network. Following this idea, [23] proposed ProtoPNet, an architecture for image classification that learns to identify prototypical parts of training images as representative prototypes of the respective classes. The DeformableProtoPNet [24] extended this approach by introducing deformable prototypes and an adapted orthogonality loss function to maximize the differences between the learned prototypes. In addition, there are further developments of ProtoPNet that aim to improve performance in various areas [25, 26, 27, 28].

Advances in prototype learning for audio classification include the work of [29], who developed a deep prototype learning model for classifying breathing sounds to detect early signs of respiratory disease. [30] explored the use of deep prototype learning for speech, music, and environmental sound classification, achieving results comparable to state-of-the-art black-box methods. [31] developed a ProtoPNet for binary audio classification of wood-boring pests. However, the models developed in these studies either represent the prototypes as full samples or are restricted to binary classification problems. To the best of our knowledge, there is no existing research that deals with the application of ProtoPNet in the context of complex multi-label classification problems, such as the bioacoustic classification of birds. Thus, in contrast to existing work, the present study focuses on the development of such an inherently interpretable deep learning model that is capable of identifying prototypical parts in bird sound recordings comprising a variety of multi-label classes.

1.2 Contributions

As described above, previous research in audio classification has focused on developing prototype learning models, where the prototypes represent whole samples or are designed for binary classification only. However, these approaches reach their limits when analyzing bioacoustic audio recordings, which can contain an extraordinary variety of sounds. In addition to the vocalizations of various animal species, such recordings can include a variety of environmental sounds, such as traffic noise, wind noise, or human speech. Using whole samples as prototypes makes it difficult for experts to accurately identify specific sound patterns because it is not clear what part of the recording a prototype represents. The ability of a prototype learning model to identify specific prototypical parts within individual training samples and use them for classification is therefore crucial. It should also be noted that in species-rich ecosystems, there is an immense variety of bird species whose calls may overlap. For example, the Amazon rainforest is home to about 1300 different bird species [32]. This makes it clear that simple binary or multi-class classification models are not sufficient for effective monitoring of bird sounds. Instead, sophisticated multi-label classification approaches are needed. In this study, we address these challenges and make the following contributions:

- (C1) We develop a prototype learning model for bioacoustic bird classification that builds on previous work by [23] and [24]. This model is able to identify prototypical parts in the spectrograms of the training samples and use them for effective multi-label classification.

- (C2) The model is evaluated on eight different datasets of bird sound recordings from different geographical regions. The results show that our model is able to learn relevant and interpretable prototypes.
- (C3) A comparison with two state-of-the-art black-box deep learning models for bioacoustic bird classification shows that our interpretable model achieves similar performance on the eight evaluation datasets, demonstrating the applicability of interpretable models in the context of bioacoustic monitoring.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, the importance of XAI for bioacoustic bird detection is first highlighted and the methodology of our proposed prototype learning model is described in detail.

2 Methodology

XAI refers to techniques that aim to make the decision-making processes of black-box models comprehensible to humans. In the field of XAI, post-hoc explanation methods have been particularly intensively researched and widely applied [33]. The core of their popularity lies in their model agnostic nature, which allows these methods to be used flexibly to generate explanations for a wide range of black-box models after they have been trained. While this approach offers notable advantages, post-hoc explanation methods face serious limitations. In particular, they suffer from approximation errors in the generated explanations, which can significantly affect the reliability and credibility of these explanations [10]. In response to these limitations, inherently interpretable models are becoming increasingly important in the field of deep learning, especially prototype learning models like ProtoPNet [23].

2.1 Importance of explainability for bird detection

In the context of audio classification, a prototype learning model such as ProtoPNet allows the generation of explanations by identifying prototypical segments within the input spectrograms. These segments serve as representations of key features in the training data. In the example of bird sound classification, such a model could learn prototypical excerpts of songs and calls from the sound repertoire of different bird species. The learned prototypes then serve not only as a basis for similarity-based classification of new recordings, but also as local explanations for each individual classification result. Furthermore, the holistic analysis of all learned prototypes allows for a global explanation that provides insight into the overall behavior of the model. Building on the work of [34] and [33], who have identified several reasons for the importance of XAI in general, we derive below some advantages of using ProtoPNet for bioacoustic bird classification:

- **Quality assurance and debugging:** Prototype learning allows developers to gain deeper insight into their models. The prototypes can be used to create both global and local explanations. This helps to identify and eliminate sources of error in data and models. For example, the explanations can be used to assess whether a model can distinguish between relevant signals (such as birdsongs) and confounding factors (such as wind or rain) that may be misused by the model to make classification decisions. By analyzing the learned prototypes, it is possible to determine whether a model is using such confounding factors in the decision process.
- **Building trust:** It is essential for the acceptance and practical use of bird detection models that users, such as ornithologists or biologists, have confidence in them. Insight into the decision-making processes of the models through the use of prototypes makes the correctness of the models verifiable for the users, which builds trust in the technology over time.
- **Knowledge discovery:** Prototype learning opens up new perspectives in scientific research. It can help identify previously unknown features in bird calls. In other areas, such as the recognition of blue whale D-calls, deep learning models have already been shown to outperform humans [35]. Detailed analysis of the learned prototypes of a ProtoPNet could therefore also help to uncover subtle differences in the acoustic signatures of bird species that were previously unknown to humans. This could deepen our understanding of acoustic communication in birds.
- **Interdisciplinary collaboration:** Prototype learning also facilitates interaction between machine learning experts and ornithologists. When models and their decisions are understandable, it is easier to incorporate the domain knowledge of ornithologists into the modeling process. In this way, the accuracy of the models and the relevance of the identified prototypes can be improved [36]. On the other hand, the interpretability of prototype learning models could also be used to support citizen science applications such as eBird [37]. By enabling laypeople to better understand bird calls and their characteristics, the quality and engagement of volunteer contributions can be increased.

2.2 AudioProtoPNet

2.2.1 Architecture

As contribution (C1), this paper presents AudioProtoPNet, an adaptation of the ProtoPNet architecture specifically designed to address the challenges of complex multi-label classification of audio data. Our model, denoted f , consists of a regular Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model, represented as f_b , which serves as a backbone, followed by a prototype layer, f_p , and a fully connected final layer, f_f , with no bias term. Given an input spectrogram \mathbf{x} , the model aims to predict multi-label ground truths $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = f(\mathbf{x})$, where $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \in [0, 1]^C$ and C is the number of classes. The backbone model extracts a relevant embedding $\mathbf{z} = f_b(\mathbf{x})$ from the input data. The embedding $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{H_z \times W_z \times D}$ is a feature map with a height of H_z , a width of W_z , and D channels. The prototype layer contains several representative prototypes $\mathbf{P}^{(c)} = \{\mathbf{p}^{(c,j)}\}_{j=1}^J$ for each class c . Each prototype has a shape of $H_p \times W_p \times D$, where $1 \leq H_p \leq H_z$ and $1 \leq W_p \leq W_z$. As in [23], we use $H_p = W_p = 1$ in our work. Each prototype $\mathbf{p}^{(c,j)}$ of the prototype layer is then compared to the embedding at each spatial position (h_z, w_z) , where $1 \leq h_z \leq H_z$ and $1 \leq w_z \leq W_z$, by computing the cosine similarity in latent space. The similarity score for a prototype $\mathbf{p}^{(c,j)}$ and the embedding \mathbf{z} at position (h_z, w_z) is defined as [24]:

$$s_{h_z, w_z}^{(c,j)}(\mathbf{z}) = \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{(c,j)} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{h_z, w_z} = \frac{\mathbf{p}^{(c,j)}}{\|\mathbf{p}^{(c,j)}\|_2} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{z}_{h_z, w_z}}{\|\mathbf{z}_{h_z, w_z}\|_2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{h_z, w_z}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{(c,j)}$ are the embedding patch and prototype, respectively, normalized to unit length. The similarity scores for an individual prototype form an activation map, which is reduced by global max-pooling to a single value that reflects the presence intensity of the prototype in the entire embedding of the input spectrogram:

$$s^{(c,j)}(\mathbf{z}) = \max_{h_z, w_z} s_{h_z, w_z}^{(c,j)}(\mathbf{z}). \quad (2)$$

The similarity scores generated by the prototype layer are then processed by the fully connected final layer f_f with a weight matrix $\omega_f \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times C}$, where $M = J \cdot C$ is the total number of prototypes. To ensure a unique class assignment of each prototype, the connection weight of each prototype to its associated class is set to 1, while all connections to other classes are set to 0. This specific configuration promotes the learning of different prototypes that represent significant and distinguishable features of each class, thus supporting discriminative classification. Finally, the logits are normalized with the sigmoid function to determine the independent probabilities of a spectrogram belonging to the different classes within the multi-label problem.

2.2.2 Training algorithm

Let $\mathbf{Y} \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times C}$ be the binary matrix of true labels for a multi-label classification problem, where N is the number of instances and C is the number of classes. Each element $y_{n,c}$ of the matrix \mathbf{Y} is defined as:

$$y_{n,c} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if instance } n \text{ is labeled with class } c \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Similarly, let $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} \in [0, 1]^{N \times C}$ be the matrix of predicted probabilities, where each element $\hat{y}_{n,c}$ corresponds to the predicted probability that instance n belongs to class c . For each class c , we define a vector $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{:,c}$ representing the true labels for class c across all instances, and a vector $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{:,c}$ representing the predicted probabilities for class c across all instances. Analogously, for the n -th instance, $\mathbf{Y}_{n,:}$ denotes the true label vector over all classes, while $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{n,:}$ is the predicted probability vector over all classes. To train our model, we use a special multi-component loss function that extends the previous work of [23] and [24] to the multi-label setting. It replaces the traditional cross-entropy loss component with an asymmetric loss function $\mathcal{L}_{\text{asym}}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{Y})$, details of which can be found in [38]. The asymmetric loss component penalizes misclassifications in the training data to increase the accuracy of the model. The overall loss function also consists of a cluster loss, which aims to promote the proximity of each training spectrogram to at least one prototype per associated class in latent space. For the multi-label case, we adjust the cluster loss as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{clst}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \min_{c \in \mathbf{Y}_{n,:}} \max_{j: \mathbf{p}^{(c,j)} \in \mathbf{P}^{(c)}} s_n^{(c,j)}, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{P} is the set of all prototypes in the prototype layer f_p and $s_n^{(c,j)}$ is the similarity score from the equation 2 for sample n . A separation loss term aims to maximize the distance between the latent features of a training spectrogram

and the prototypes of unrelated classes.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{sep}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \max_{c \notin \mathbf{Y}_{n,:}} \max_{j: \mathbf{p}^{(c,j)} \in \mathbf{P}^{(c)}} s_n^{(c,j)} \quad (5)$$

Our loss function also includes an orthogonality loss, borrowed from [24], which supports the independence of the prototypes from each other:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ortho}}(\mathbf{P}) = \sum_{c=1}^C \left\| \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{(c)} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{(c)T} - \mathbf{I} \right\|_F^2, \quad (6)$$

Here $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ denotes the prototypes normalized to unit length, and \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix subtracted to exclude self-orthogonality. The individual components of the loss function are weighted and aggregated into an overall loss function according to the weightings proposed in [24].

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{Y}) = \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{\text{asym}}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{Y}) + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{\text{clst}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}) + \lambda_3 \mathcal{L}_{\text{sep}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}) + \lambda_4 \mathcal{L}_{\text{ortho}}(\mathbf{P}) \quad (7)$$

Training is performed in two phases: In the first phase, training is limited to the prototype layer, while the other model layers are frozen. This step allows a first adaptation of the prototypes to the embeddings generated by the backbone model. Our preliminary experiments have shown that it is crucial for the backbone model to be pre-trained in order to allow an effective adaptation. In the second phase of training, both the backbone model and the prototype layer are fine-tuned simultaneously to optimize the synergy between the two components and to create a model that is both accurate and meaningful in its prototypes.

2.2.3 Prototype visualization

To ensure the fidelity and meaningfulness of the prototypes learned by the model, it is important that these prototypes represent real patches of the training spectrograms and not just artificial artifacts. Following the approach of [24], each learned prototype is projected onto the most similar patch from a training spectrogram of the same class:

$$\mathbf{p}^{(c,j)} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}^{(c)}} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{(c,j)} \cdot \tilde{\zeta} \right) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}^{(c)}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^{(c,j)}}{\|\mathbf{p}^{(c,j)}\|_2} \cdot \frac{\zeta}{\|\zeta\|_2} \right), \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{Z}^{(c)} = \{\mathbf{z}_{h_z, w_z} \mid \mathbf{z} = f_b(\mathbf{x}_n), \forall n : c \in \mathbf{Y}_{n,:}\}$ represents the set of all patches of spectrogram embeddings associated with a given class c . Furthermore, $\tilde{\zeta}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ denote the patch and prototype normalized to unit length, respectively. For an accurate visualization in the input space, an exact mapping of the prototypes from the latent space to the input space is required. For this purpose, the similarities of the individual spectrogram patches with the prototypes are computed to determine which parts of the embeddings are similar to a prototype. The result of these calculations is an activation map that indicates the similarity values for each prototype, reflecting how accurately a prototypical section is represented in a spectrogram. Due to the translation equivariance of the underlying CNN backbone, this activation map retains spatial context and can be scaled to the original size of the input spectrogram. This allows the creation of a heat map that visualizes which areas of the input spectrogram are most similar to the prototypes. Following the methodological approach of [23], the visual representation of a prototype is done by identifying the smallest rectangular spectrogram patch containing the pixels whose activation values in the scaled activation map exceed the 95% percentile of all activation values on the map.

Acknowledgments

This work was carried out as part of the DeepBirdDetect project (Fkz. 67KI31040E) funded by the German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Nuclear Safety and Consumer Protection (BMUV).

References

- [1] Kenneth V Rosenberg, Adriaan M Dokter, Peter J Blancher, John R Sauer, Adam C Smith, Paul A Smith, Jessica C Stanton, Arvind Panjabi, Laura Helft, Michael Parr, et al. Decline of the north american avifauna. *Science*, 366(6461):120–124, 2019.
- [2] Robert Watson, Ivar Baste, Anne Larigauderie, Paul Leadley, Unai Pascual, Brigitte Baptiste, Sebsebe Demissew, Luthando Dziba, Günay Erpul, Asghar Fazel, et al. Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services. *IPBES Secretariat: Bonn, Germany*, pages 22–47, 2019.

- [3] Pedro Jaureguiberry, Nicolas Titeux, Martin Wiemers, Diana E Bowler, Luca Coscieme, Abigail S Golden, Carlos A Guerra, Ute Jacob, Yasuo Takahashi, Josef Settele, et al. The direct drivers of recent global anthropogenic biodiversity loss. *Science advances*, 8(45):eabm9982, 2022.
- [4] Stuart HM Butchart, Matt Walpole, Ben Collen, Arco Van Strien, Jörn PW Scharlemann, Rosamunde EA Almond, Jonathan EM Baillie, Bastian Bomhard, Claire Brown, John Bruno, et al. Global biodiversity: indicators of recent declines. *Science*, 328(5982):1164–1168, 2010.
- [5] Çagan H Sekercioglu, Daniel G Wenny, and Christopher J Whelan. *Why birds matter: avian ecological function and ecosystem services*. University of Chicago Press, 2019.
- [6] Samuel RP-J Ross, Darren P O’Connell, Jessica L Deichmann, Camille Desjonquères, Amandine Gasc, Jennifer N Phillips, Sarab S Sethi, Connor M Wood, and Zuzana Burivalova. Passive acoustic monitoring provides a fresh perspective on fundamental ecological questions. *Functional Ecology*, 37(4):959–975, 2023.
- [7] Julia Shonfield and Erin M Bayne. Autonomous recording units in avian ecological research: current use and future applications. *Avian Conservation & Ecology*, 12(1), 2017.
- [8] Dan Stowell, Michael D Wood, Hanna Pamuła, Yannis Stylianou, and Hervé Glotin. Automatic acoustic detection of birds through deep learning: the first bird audio detection challenge. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 10(3):368–380, 2019.
- [9] Stefan Kahl, Connor M Wood, Maximilian Eibl, and Holger Klinck. Birdnet: A deep learning solution for avian diversity monitoring. *Ecological Informatics*, 61:101236, 2021.
- [10] Cynthia Rudin. Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. *Nature machine intelligence*, 1(5):206–215, 2019.
- [11] Amitha Mathew, P Amudha, and S Sivakumari. Deep learning techniques: an overview. *Advanced Machine Learning Technologies and Applications: Proceedings of AMLTA 2020*, pages 599–608, 2021.
- [12] Wencan Zhang and Brian Y Lim. Towards relatable explainable ai with the perceptual process. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–24, 2022.
- [13] Sören Becker, Marcel Ackermann, Sebastian Lapuschkin, Klaus-Robert Müller, and Wojciech Samek. Interpreting and explaining deep neural networks for classification of audio signals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03418*, 2018.
- [14] Dominik Schiller, Tobias Huber, Florian Lingensfelder, Michael Dietz, Andreas Seiderer, and Elisabeth André. Relevance-based feature masking: Improving neural network based whale classification through explainable artificial intelligence. 2019.
- [15] Suk-Young Lim, Dong-Kyu Chae, and Sang-Chul Lee. Detecting deepfake voice using explainable deep learning techniques. *Applied Sciences*, 12(8):3926, 2022.
- [16] Theekshana Dissanayake, Tharindu Fernando, Simon Denman, Sridha Sridharan, Houman Ghaemmaghami, and Clinton Fookes. A robust interpretable deep learning classifier for heart anomaly detection without segmentation. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 25(6):2162–2171, 2020.
- [17] Katharina Weitz, Dominik Schiller, Ruben Schlagowski, Tobias Huber, and Elisabeth André. “let me explain!”: exploring the potential of virtual agents in explainable ai interaction design. *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces*, 15(2):87–98, 2021.
- [18] Deva Chaitanya Sanakkayala, Vijayakumar Varadarajan, Namya Kumar, Girija Soni, Pooja Kamat, Satish Kumar, Shruti Patil, and Ketan Kotecha. Explainable ai for bearing fault prognosis using deep learning techniques. *Micromachines*, 13(9):1471, 2022.
- [19] Minz Won, Sanghyuk Chun, and Xavier Serra. Toward interpretable music tagging with self-attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.04972*, 2019.
- [20] Ankit Pal and Malaikannan Sankarasubbu. Pay attention to the cough: Early diagnosis of covid-19 using interpretable symptoms embeddings with cough sound signal processing. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, pages 620–628, 2021.
- [21] Zhao Ren, Kun Qian, Fengquan Dong, Zhenyu Dai, Wolfgang Nejdl, Yoshiharu Yamamoto, and Björn W Schuller. Deep attention-based neural networks for explainable heart sound classification. *Machine Learning with Applications*, 9:100322, 2022.
- [22] Oscar Li, Hao Liu, Chaofan Chen, and Cynthia Rudin. Deep learning for case-based reasoning through prototypes: A neural network that explains its predictions. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 32, 2018.

- [23] Chaofan Chen, Oscar Li, Daniel Tao, Alina Barnett, Cynthia Rudin, and Jonathan K Su. This looks like that: deep learning for interpretable image recognition. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.
- [24] Jon Donnelly, Alina Jade Barnett, and Chaofan Chen. Deformable protopnet: An interpretable image classifier using deformable prototypes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10265–10275, 2022.
- [25] Meike Nauta, Annemarie Jutte, Jesper Provoost, and Christin Seifert. This looks like that, because... explaining prototypes for interpretable image recognition. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, pages 441–456. Springer, 2021.
- [26] Meike Nauta, Ron Van Bree, and Christin Seifert. Neural prototype trees for interpretable fine-grained image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 14933–14943, 2021.
- [27] Jiaqi Wang, Huafeng Liu, Xinyue Wang, and Liping Jing. Interpretable image recognition by constructing transparent embedding space. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 895–904, 2021.
- [28] Meike Nauta, Jörg Schlötterer, Maurice van Keulen, and Christin Seifert. Pip-net: Patch-based intuitive prototypes for interpretable image classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2744–2753, 2023.
- [29] Zhao Ren, Thanh Tam Nguyen, and Wolfgang Nejdl. Prototype learning for interpretable respiratory sound analysis. In *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 9087–9091. IEEE, 2022.
- [30] Pablo Zinemanas, Martín Rocamora, Marius Miron, Frederic Font, and Xavier Serra. An interpretable deep learning model for automatic sound classification. *Electronics*, 10(7):850, 2021.
- [31] Xuanxin Liu, Zhibo Chen, Haiyan Zhang, Juhu Li, Qi Jiang, Lili Ren, and Youqing Luo. The interpretability of the activity signal detection model for wood-boring pests *semanotus bifasciatus* in the larval stage. *Pest Management Science*, 79(10):3830–3842, 2023.
- [32] Russell A Mittermeier, Cristina G Mittermeier, Thomas M Brooks, John D Pilgrim, William R Konstant, Gustavo AB Da Fonseca, and Cyril Kormos. Wilderness and biodiversity conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100(18):10309–10313, 2003.
- [33] Alejandro Barredo Arrieta, Natalia Díaz-Rodríguez, Javier Del Ser, Adrien Bannetot, Siham Tabik, Alberto Barbado, Salvador García, Sergio Gil-López, Daniel Molina, Richard Benjamins, et al. Explainable artificial intelligence (xai): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible ai. *Information fusion*, 58:82–115, 2020.
- [34] Amina Adadi and Mohammed Berrada. Peeking inside the black-box: a survey on explainable artificial intelligence (xai). *IEEE access*, 6:52138–52160, 2018.
- [35] Brian S Miller, Shyam Madhusudhana, Meghan G Aulich, and Nat Kelly. Deep learning algorithm outperforms experienced human observer at detection of blue whale d-calls: a double-observer analysis. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 9(1):104–116, 2023.
- [36] Robin Netzorg, Jiaxun Li, and Bin Yu. Improving prototypical part networks with reward reweighing, reselection, and retraining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.03887*, 2023.
- [37] Brian L Sullivan, Christopher L Wood, Marshall J Iliff, Rick E Bonney, Daniel Fink, and Steve Kelling. ebird: A citizen-based bird observation network in the biological sciences. *Biological conservation*, 142(10):2282–2292, 2009.
- [38] Tal Ridnik, Emanuel Ben-Baruch, Nadav Zamir, Asaf Noy, Itamar Friedman, Matan Protter, and Lihi Zelnik-Manor. Asymmetric loss for multi-label classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 82–91, 2021.