

Quadratic Euler Characteristic of Symmetric Powers of Curves

Lukas F. Bröring and Anna M. Viergever

Abstract

We compute the quadratic Euler characteristic of the symmetric powers of a smooth, projective curve over any field k that is not of characteristic two, using the Motivic Gauss-Bonnet Theorem of Levine-Raksit. As an application, we show over a field of characteristic zero that the power structure on the Grothendieck-Witt ring introduced by Pajwani-Pál computes the compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic of symmetric powers for all curves.

Contents

Introduction	1
1 Quadratic Euler Characteristic	4
2 Proof of Theorem 1	6
3 Compatibility with the power structure	13
Bibliography	15

Introduction

One can assign a *quadratic Euler characteristic* to a smooth projective scheme over a field k that is not of characteristic 2 using motivic homotopy theory as introduced by Morel-Voevodsky. Quadratic Euler characteristics were first introduced by Hoyois [9] and are elements of the Grothendieck-Witt ring $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ of quadratic forms over k . These quadratic forms carry a lot of information: If $k \subset \mathbb{R}$, Levine [12] proved that the signature of the quadratic Euler characteristic $\chi(X/k)$ of a smooth projective scheme X over k is equal to the topological Euler characteristic of $X(\mathbb{R})$ and the rank of $\chi(X/k)$ is the topological Euler characteristic of $X(\mathbb{C})$. In practice however, $\chi(X/k)$ is generally hard to compute. Quadratic Euler characteristics are often used in the program of refined enumerative geometry, which aims to obtain “quadratically enriched” versions of results in classical enumerative geometry.

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let C be a smooth, projective curve of genus g over a field k that is not of characteristic 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then if $n = 2m$ is even, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\mathrm{Sym}^n C/k) &= \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{g}{i} \langle -1 \rangle^i + \frac{1}{2} \left(\binom{2g-2}{n} - \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{g}{i} \right) H \in \mathrm{GW}(k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\binom{2g-2}{n} + (-1)^m \binom{g-1}{m} \right) \cdot \langle 1 \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\binom{2g-2}{n} - (-1)^m \binom{g-1}{m} \right) \cdot \langle -1 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

and if n is odd, we have

$$\chi(\mathrm{Sym}^n C/k) = -\frac{1}{2} \binom{2g-2}{n} H \in \mathrm{GW}(k),$$

where $\langle a \rangle \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$ for $a \in k^\times$ denotes the quadratic form $x \mapsto ax^2$ and $H = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$ is the hyperbolic form.

Remark 2. For a smooth, projective curve C , the schemes $\mathrm{Sym}^n C$ are again smooth and projective. Thus, the quadratic Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Sym}^n C$ is well defined.

Remark 3. MacDonald [15, (4.4)] computed the topological Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Sym}^n C$ for a smooth, projective complex curve C to be

$$\chi^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{Sym}^n C) = (-1)^n \binom{2g-2}{n}.$$

This implies

$$\mathrm{rank}(\chi(\mathrm{Sym}^n C/k)) = (-1)^n \binom{2g-2}{n}$$

for k a field of characteristic zero. Indeed, if C is a smooth, projective curve over a field k of characteristic zero, there exists a smooth, projective curve C_0 over a subfield $k_0 \subset k$ with the following two properties: First, k_0 is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} and, second, the base change $(C_0)_k$ to k is isomorphic to C . Since the rank of the quadratic Euler characteristic is invariant under base-change and k_0 admits an embedding into \mathbb{C} , we get after choosing an embedding $k_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{rank}(\chi(\mathrm{Sym}^n C/k)) &= \mathrm{rank}(\chi(\mathrm{Sym}^n C_0/k_0)) \\ &= \mathrm{rank}(\chi(\mathrm{Sym}^n (C_0)_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathbb{C})) \\ &= (-1)^n \binom{2g-2}{n}\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Remark 4. The formula in Theorem 1 evaluates to zero for a smooth, projective curve C of genus g whenever $n > 2g - 2$. If C has a rational point, this can also be seen with the following brief argument: Consider the map $\mathrm{Sym}^n C \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^n(C)$ sending a point on $\mathrm{Sym}^n C$ with associated divisor D to $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$. By for example the proof of Mustata [20, Theorem 7.33], this map realizes $\mathrm{Sym}^n C$ as a projective space bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}^n(C)$ and we can find an isomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^n(C) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$. Using the Motivic Gauss-Bonnet Theorem, the quadratic Euler characteristic of any Abelian variety is zero because its tangent bundle is trivial. Combining this with [12, Proposition 1.4] yields the desired vanishing.

As an application, we extend a result on compatibility of power structures of Pajwani and Pál [22]: Over a field k of characteristic zero, Arcila-Maya, Bethea, Opie, Wickelgren and Zakharevich [1] extended the quadratic Euler characteristic to a motivic measure

$$\chi_c : K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GW}(k)$$

called the compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic. On $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$, Gusein-Zade, Luengo and Melle-Hernández [6] showed that symmetric powers of schemes define a power structure. In [22], Pajwani and Pál constructed a power structure a_* on $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ with the following property: If there exists a power structure on $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ such that χ_c respects the power structures, then the power structure on $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ has to agree with a_* . It is still an open question, whether there indeed is a power structure on $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ such that χ_c respects the power structures. In Theorem 21, we prove that if $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ is given the power structure a_* , then χ_c respects the power structures for all curves over a field of characteristic zero.

At the moment, there is no general formula for the quadratic Euler characteristic of a quotient scheme. Theorem 1 is an example result for this and might be helpful for understanding the general case. Further work on quadratic Euler characteristics of quotient schemes will appear in the upcoming PhD thesis of the first named author.

The proof of Theorem 1 uses an explicit computation in conjunction with the Motivic Gauss-Bonnet Theorem proven by Levine and Raksit [14], which computes the quadratic Euler characteristic of a smooth projective scheme as a composition of a cup product and trace on Hodge cohomology.

In related work, the Motivic Gauss-Bonnet Theorem was also used by Levine, Pepin Lehalleur, and Srinivas [13] to compute the quadratic Euler characteristic of a smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n and in the second named author's computation of the quadratic Euler characteristic of a smooth same-degree complete intersection in \mathbb{P}^n , see [28].

Adjacent to our application, Pajwani and Pál [22] showed that their power structure is respected for zero dimensional schemes. Work of Pajwani, Rohrbach, and the second named author [23] extended this to the class of “étale linear varieties”, which is the subring of $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ generated by the class of $[\mathbb{A}^1]$ and the classes of the form $[\mathrm{Spec}(L)]$ where L is a finite étale algebra over k . Since curves are almost always outside of the class of “étale linear varieties” by [23,

Corollary 6.6], Theorem 21 yields a proper extension of the class of varieties for which the power structure is respected.

We would like to highlight that Theorem 1 has been proven independently by Simon Pepin Lehalleur and Lenny Taelman, as part of work in progress on the higher dimensional case.

Acknowledgments

We would like to extend our sincere thanks to Marc Levine for suggesting this project to us, for helpful discussions, and for useful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. We are very grateful to Lenny Taelman and Simon Pepin Lehalleur for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. We further thank Jesse Pajwani for pointing us to the paper [16] and for helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. We also thank Herman Rohrbach for pointing us to the paper [11] and for helpful discussions. In addition, we would like to acknowledge Raymond Cheng and Hind Souly for helpful discussions.

The first named author was supported by the RTG 2553. The second named author was partially supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 948066 (ERC-StG RationAlgie).

Notation and Conventions

Throughout, we let k be a field which is not of characteristic 2. By a curve, we mean a one-dimensional, geometrically connected, separated, finite-type scheme over k . For a smooth projective scheme X over k , we write Ω_X for the sheaf of Kähler differentials on X . For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we write $\Omega_X^i = \Lambda^i \Omega_X$.

For $p, q, p', q' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we denote the cup product of $a \in H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$ and $b \in H^{q'}(X, \Omega_X^{p'})$ by $ab := a \cdot b := a \cup b \in H^{q+q'}(X, \Omega_X^{p+p'})$. This cup-product is graded commutative if we consider $a \in H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$ to be of degree $q-p$. That is, we have for $a \in H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$ and $b \in H^{q'}(X, \Omega_X^{p'})$ that $ab = (-1)^{(q-p)(q'-p')}ba$.

1 Quadratic Euler Characteristic

The quadratic Euler characteristic, which was first studied by Hoyois [9], is a refinement of the topological Euler characteristic. It is constructed by applying the categorical Euler characteristic of Dold-Puppe [4] to the stable motivic homotopy category $\mathcal{SH}(k)$ constructed by Morel-Voevodsky [19]. See also Hoyois [10] for an introduction to $\mathcal{SH}(k)$. See [12, Section 2] for a detailed exposition of the quadratic Euler characteristic and its basic properties.

One can assign a quadratic Euler characteristic $\chi(X/k)$ to any smooth scheme X over k . This is an element of the Grothendieck-Witt ring of quadratic forms over k , which we introduce below.

Definition 5. The *Grothendieck-Witt ring* $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ over k is defined as the group completion of the monoid of isometry classes of non-degenerate quadratic forms over k with respect to taking orthogonal direct sums.

The group $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ inherits a ring structure from the tensor product of quadratic forms.

Remark 6. Over a field of characteristic not 2, a non-degenerate quadratic form is the same as a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form, and elementary linear algebra computations yield the following presentation. The quadratic forms $\langle a \rangle : x \mapsto ax^2$ for $x \in k^\times$ generate $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ and they are subject to the following relations for $a, b \in k^\times$:

- $\langle a \rangle \cdot \langle b \rangle = \langle ab \rangle$,
- $\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle = \langle a + b \rangle + \langle ab(a + b) \rangle$, whenever $a + b \in k^\times$,
- $\langle ab^2 \rangle = \langle a \rangle$, and
- $\langle a \rangle + \langle -a \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle =: H$

The quadratic form $H = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$ is called the *hyperbolic form*.

Witt [29, Section 1] first described this presentation. In the form presented here, it is [19, Lemma 2.9]. There, the statement is deduced from the statement for Witt rings, proven by Milnor and Husemoller [17, Lemma (1.1) in Chapter 4].

Remark 7. In [12, Remark 2.3], Levine shows that for $k \subset \mathbb{R}$ and X a smooth, projective scheme over k , the rank of $\chi(X/k)$ agrees with the topological Euler characteristic of $X(\mathbb{C})$ and the signature agrees with the topological Euler characteristic of $X(\mathbb{R})$. By a theorem of Saito [24, Theorem 2], the discriminant of $\chi(X/k)$ can also be interpreted in terms of the determinant of ℓ -adic cohomology for any ℓ which is coprime to the characteristic of k . See [21, Theorem 2.22] and the surrounding text, for a more detailed discussion.

The Motivic Gauss-Bonnet Theorem of Levine-Raksit [14] provides a way to compute the quadratic Euler characteristic using Hodge cohomology. A more general version has been proved by Déglise-Jin-Khan [3]. We do not state the theorem in full generality here, but rather a simplified version of one of its corollaries, which is enough to prove Theorem 1.

Theorem 8 (Motivic Gauss-Bonnet, [14, Corollary 8.7]). *Let X be a smooth, projective scheme over k .*

- *If $\dim X$ is odd, then $\chi(X/k) = m \cdot H$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*
- *If $\dim X = 2n$ is even, then $\chi(X/k) = Q + m \cdot H$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ where Q is the quadratic form given by the composition*

$$H^n(X, \Omega_X^n) \times H^n(X, \Omega_X^n) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^{2n}(X, \Omega_X^{2n}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}} k.$$

Here, \cup denotes the cup product and Tr the trace map from Serre duality.

Arcila-Maya, Bethea, Opie, Wickelgren, and Zakharevich [1] constructed a motivic measure $\chi_c: K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow \text{GW}(k)$, called the compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic, which extends the quadratic Euler characteristic of smooth, projective schemes to varieties over k when k has characteristic 0. Levine, Pepin Lehalleur and Srinivas [13, Section 5.1] give a definition of χ_c in positive characteristic.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let C be a smooth, projective curve of genus g over k and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. In order to prove Theorem 1, we first reduce to the case that k has characteristic zero.

Lemma 9. *Assume Theorem 1 holds for all fields of characteristic zero. Then Theorem 1 holds for all fields of characteristic not two.*

Proof. Assume that k has positive characteristic. Using [12, Remark 2.1.2], we can assume without loss of generality that k is a perfect field. By for example [26, Theorem 3 in Chapter II], we can find a complete discrete valuation ring A with residue field k and field of fractions K of characteristic zero. Now [5, Exposé III, Corollaire 7.4] proves that we can lift C to a smooth, projective curve \tilde{C} over A , that is we get the following diagram of fibre squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{i} & \tilde{C} & \xleftarrow{j} & \tilde{C}_K \\ \downarrow p_k & \lrcorner & \downarrow p_A & \lrcorner & \downarrow p_K \\ \text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Spec } A & \xleftarrow{j} & \text{Spec } K. \end{array}$$

We now use the six functor formalism on $\mathcal{SH}(-)$ to prove the lemma, see for example [10]. For a scheme S , denote the unit object in $\mathcal{SH}(S)$ by 1_S . As $\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}$ is smooth and projective, $(p_A)_!(1_{\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}}) = (p_A)_*(1_{\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}})$ is strongly dualisable by [10, Theorem 5.22]. Thus, the compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic of $\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}$ is defined as the categorical Euler characteristic

$$\chi^{\text{cat}}((p_A)_!(1_{\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}})) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{SH}(A)}(1_A).$$

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{SH}(\text{Sym}^n C) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & \mathcal{SH}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathcal{SH}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}_K) \\ \downarrow (p_k)! & & \downarrow (p_A)! & & \downarrow (p_K)! \\ \mathcal{SH}(k) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & \mathcal{SH}(A) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathcal{SH}(K). \end{array}$$

Since i^* and j^* are symmetric monoidal, we get using [12, Remark 2.3.1]

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n C) &= \chi^{\text{cat}}((p_k)_! 1_{\text{Sym}^n C}) \\ &= \chi^{\text{cat}}((p_k)_! i^* 1_{\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \chi^{\text{cat}}(i^*(p_A)!1_{\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}}) \\
&= i^*(\chi^{\text{cat}}((p_A)!1_{\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}})) \\
&= i^*(\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C})) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{SH}(k)}(1_k)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}_K) = j^*(\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C})) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{SH}(K)}(1_K)$.

Hornbostel [8, Theorem 5.5] constructed a \mathbb{P}^1 -ring spectrum $\text{KQ}_S \in \mathcal{SH}(S)$ for S a regular scheme with $2 \in \mathcal{O}_S(S)^\times$ representing Hermitian K-theory. Using the unit map $u: 1_- \rightarrow \text{KQ}_-$ of Hermitian K-theory, we can now map each of the above categorical Euler characteristics to the $(0,0)$ -th stable homotopy group of KQ_- , which is the Grothendieck-Witt ring by for example [8, Introduction]. That is, we get $u\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n C) = i^*(u\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C})) \in \text{KQ}_k^{0,0} = \text{GW}(k)$ and $u\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}_K) = j^*(u\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C})) \in \text{KQ}_K^{0,0} = \text{GW}(K)$, where we use Hoyois, Jelisiejew, Nardin, and Yakerson's result [11, Lemma 7.5] that Hermitian K-theory is stable under base change. The map u is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}(k)$, $\mathcal{SH}(A)$ and $\mathcal{SH}(K)$ by an argument of Bachmann-Hoyois [2, Theorem 10.12]. These isomorphisms are compatible with Morel's identification of the endomorphisms of the unit in $\mathcal{SH}(-)$ with the Grothendieck-Witt ring [18, Theorem 6.4.1 and Remark 6.4.2].

We are now in the following situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{GW}(k) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & \text{GW}(A) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \text{GW}(K) \\
\psi & & \psi & & \psi \\
\chi(\text{Sym}^n C/k) & \longleftarrow & u\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}) & \mapsto & \chi(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}_K/K).
\end{array}$$

By assumption, we know that $\chi(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C}_K/K)$ is given by the formula in Theorem 1. Since the map $\text{GW}(A) \rightarrow \text{GW}(K)$ is injective by for example [25, Chapter 6, Theorem 2.2], this formula also computes $u\chi^{\text{cat}}(\text{Sym}^n \tilde{C})$, which in turn computes $\chi(\text{Sym}^n C/k)$, proving the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1 in case n is odd and k has characteristic zero. Since $\text{Sym}^n C$ has odd dimension n , its quadratic Euler characteristic is a multiple of hyperbolic forms by the Motivic Gauss-Bonnet Theorem, and thus it is completely determined by its rank. Thus, Remark 3 implies

$$\chi(\text{Sym}^n C/k) = -\frac{1}{2} \binom{2g-2}{n} \cdot H$$

as desired. \square

From now on, assume that $n = 2m$ is even and that k has characteristic zero. The only reason for the assumption that k is of characteristic zero is to ensure that $n!$ is invertible in k . We will compute $\chi(\text{Sym}^n C/k)$ using the Motivic Gauss-Bonnet Theorem. MacDonald [15] has computed the topological Euler characteristic of the symmetric product of a curve over the complex numbers using an explicit basis computation. We follow the same strategy.

Notation 10. Let $\beta^\vee \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ be the unit element with respect to the cup product and let $\beta \in H^1(C, \Omega_C^1)$ be the dual element with respect to Serre duality. In particular, the trace map sends β to 1. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g$ be a basis for $H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ and let $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_g^\vee$ be the dual basis for $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ with respect to Serre duality.

Let $\pi_j: C^n \rightarrow C$ be the projection on the j -th component. We write $\alpha_i^{(j)} := \pi_j^* \alpha_i$ and similarly $\alpha_i^{\vee, (j)} := \pi_j^* \alpha_i^\vee$, $\beta^{(j)} = \pi_j^* \beta$, and $\beta^{\vee, (j)} = \pi_j^* \beta^\vee$.

Remark 11. We have the following multiplication rules for the above generators. Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ be such that $i \neq j$. Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} \beta \cdot \beta = 0 & \beta \cdot \beta^\vee = \beta & \beta^\vee \cdot \beta^\vee = \beta^\vee \\ \beta \cdot \alpha_i = 0 & \beta \cdot \alpha_i^\vee = 0 & \alpha_i^\vee \cdot \alpha_i = \beta \\ \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = 0 & \alpha_i^\vee \cdot \alpha_j = 0 & \alpha_i^\vee \cdot \alpha_i^\vee = 0 \\ \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_i = 0 & \beta^\vee \cdot \alpha_i = \alpha_i & \beta^\vee \cdot \alpha_i^\vee = \alpha_i^\vee \end{array}$$

The symmetric group S_n acts on C^n by $\sigma \beta^{(j)} = \beta^{(\sigma(j))}$ and $\sigma \alpha_i^{(j)} = \alpha_i^{(\sigma(j))}$ and similarly on the duals for $\sigma \in S_n$, and this extends multiplicatively.

We have that $\text{Sym}^n C = C^n / S_n$. Since $\text{Sym}^n C$ is smooth, we have for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ that $H^q(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^p) \cong H^q(C^n, \Omega_{C^n}^p)^{S_n}$ where the isomorphism is induced by the quotient map $C^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n C$. In the following, we identify the two k -vector spaces.

Remark 12. We have $H^n(C^n, \Omega_{C^n}^n) \cong k$. A basis is given by $\beta^{(1)} \dots \beta^{(n)}$. Therefore, $H^n(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^n) \cong k$ has basis

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \beta^{(\sigma(1))} \dots \beta^{(\sigma(n))} = n! \beta^{(1)} \dots \beta^{(n)}.$$

Lemma 13. Let $0 \leq \nu \leq m$ and let $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_\nu\}, J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_\nu\} \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$ be subsets. Define

$$a_{IJ} := \alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \dots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{\vee, (\nu+1)} \dots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee, (2\nu)} \cdot \beta^{(2\nu+1)} \dots \beta^{(m+\nu)} \cdot \beta^{\vee, (m+\nu+1)} \dots \beta^{\vee, (n)}$$

in $H^m(C^n, \Omega_{C^n}^m)$ and

$$\alpha_{IJ} := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma a_{IJ}.$$

Then, α_{IJ} is an elements of $H^m(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^m)$. Furthermore, $H^m(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^m)$ has basis given by α_{IJ} , where I, J runs over all same order subsets $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$ of order at most m .

Proof. Let $\pi_i: C^n \rightarrow C$ be the projection on the i 'th coordinate. We have

$$\Omega_{C^n} = \pi_1^* \Omega_C \oplus \dots \oplus \pi_n^* \Omega_C.$$

This implies

$$\Omega_{C^n}^m = \Lambda^m \Omega_{C^n}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = m} \Lambda^{i_1} \pi_1^* \Omega_C \otimes \dots \otimes \Lambda^{i_n} \pi_n^* \Omega_C$$

Using the Künneth formula, we find

$$\begin{aligned} H^m(C^n, \Omega_{C^n}^m) &= \bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = m} H^m(C^n, \Lambda^{i_1} \pi_1^* \Omega_C \otimes \dots \otimes \Lambda^{i_n} \pi_n^* \Omega_C) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = m} \bigoplus_{j_1 + \dots + j_n = m} H^{j_1}(C, \Lambda^{i_1} \pi_1^* \Omega_C) \otimes \dots \otimes H^{j_n}(C, \Lambda^{i_n} \pi_n^* \Omega_C) \end{aligned}$$

We note that $H^j(C, \Lambda^i \Omega_C)$ has basis

$$\begin{cases} \beta^\vee & \text{if } j = i = 0, \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g & \text{if } j = 0, i = 1, \\ \alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_g^\vee & \text{if } j = 1, i = 0, \\ \beta & \text{if } j = i = 1. \end{cases}$$

For I and J same-length tuples (i_1, \dots, i_ν) , (j_1, \dots, j_ν) with $0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_\nu \leq g$ and $0 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_\nu \leq g$ and $\nu \leq m$ define

$$a_{IJ} := \alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \dots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{\vee, (\nu+1)} \dots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee, (2\nu)} \cdot \beta^{(2\nu+1)} \dots \beta^{(m+\nu)} \cdot \beta^{\vee, (m+\nu+1)} \dots \beta^{\vee, (n)}.$$

(The notation a_{IJ} for this is justified as it is a natural extension of the above definition.) Then, we get the basis $(\sigma a_{IJ})_{I, J, \sigma}$ for $H^m(C^n, \Omega_{C^n}^m)$ where I and J run over same-length tuples of the above form and σ runs over the elements of S_n not fixing a_{IJ} .

Now, let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_\nu)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_\nu)$ be tuples as above with $\nu \geq 2$. Assume that $i_\mu = i_{\mu+1}$ for some μ . Then we note that $\sigma a_{IJ} = -a_{IJ}$ for σ the transposition swapping μ and $\mu + 1$.

Thus, if $v \in H^m(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^m) = H^m(C^n, \Omega_{C^n}^m)^{S_n}$, we have for such I, J that the a_{IJ} -coefficient of v vanishes. Analogously, we get the same vanishing if $j_\mu = j_{\mu+1}$.

In order to construct a basis of $H^m(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^m)$, we can therefore restrict to the a_{IJ} where $i_1 < \dots < i_\nu$ and $j_1 < \dots < j_\nu$. Since, S_n acts freely on these elements, we take sums under all $\sigma \in S_n$, to construct a basis of the S_n fixed-points. This is the desired basis. \square

Lemma 14. *Let $\sigma \in S_n$ and $I, J, I', J' \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$, with $\nu = |I| = |J| \leq m$ and $|I'| = |J'| \leq m$. In the notation of Lemma 13, we have*

$$a_{IJ} \cdot \sigma a_{I'J'} = (-1)^\nu \beta^{(1)} \dots \beta^{(n)}$$

whenever $I = J', J = I'$ and σ satisfies

- $\sigma(i) = \nu + i$ and $\sigma(\nu + i) = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ and
- $\sigma(\{2\nu + 1, \dots, m + \nu\}) = \{m + \nu + 1, \dots, n\}$.

Otherwise $a_{IJ} \cdot \sigma a_{I'J'} = 0$.

Proof. The last assertion follows from the multiplication table in Remark 11.

Assume that $I = J'$, $J = I'$ and that σ satisfies the condition of the lemma. Then, we have

$$\sigma a_{JI} = \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)} \cdot \beta^{(\sigma(2\nu+1))} \cdots \beta^{(\sigma(m+\nu))} \cdot \beta^{\vee,(\sigma(m+\nu+1))} \cdots \beta^{\vee,(\sigma(n))}.$$

Since the degrees of $\beta^{(i)}$ and $\beta^{\vee,(i)}$ are even, we can rearrange these without introducing a sign. Thus, the above equation can be rewritten as

$$\sigma a_{JI} = \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)} \cdot \beta^{\vee,(2\nu+1)} \cdots \beta^{\vee,(m+\nu)} \cdot \beta^{(m+\nu+1)} \cdots \beta^{(n)}.$$

Define $B' := \beta^{\vee,(2\nu+1)} \cdots \beta^{\vee,(m+\nu)} \cdot \beta^{(m+\nu+1)} \cdots \beta^{(n)}$ and, similarly, define $B := \beta^{(2\nu+1)} \cdots \beta^{(m+\nu)} \cdot \beta^{\vee,(m+\nu+1)} \cdots \beta^{\vee,(n)}$. Then, since B and B' have even degree, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{IJ} \cdot \sigma a_{JI} &= \alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{\vee,(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee,(2\nu)} \cdot B \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)} \cdot B' \\ &= \alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{\vee,(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee,(2\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)} \cdot B \cdot B' \end{aligned}$$

All $\alpha^{(i)}$'s and $\alpha^{\vee,(i)}$'s have odd degree. Thus, if we rearrange these elements by a permutation σ , we have to multiply with $(-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}$. Therefore, if we rearrange

$$\alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{\vee,(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee,(2\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)} \cdot B \cdot B'$$

as

$$\alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{\vee,(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee,(2\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)} \cdot B \cdot B',$$

we are swapping ν times, so this introduces the sign $(-1)^\nu$. If we next rearrange this into

$$\alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{\vee,(\nu+1)} \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee,(2\nu)} \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)} \cdot B \cdot B',$$

we are moving $\alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)}$ by $2\nu - 1$ elements to the left, then we are moving $\alpha_{i_2}^{\vee,(2)}$ by $2\nu - 2$ elements to the left, $\alpha_{i_3}^{\vee,(3)}$ by $2\nu - 3$ elements, and so on. Therefore, the resulting permutation has sign $\sum_{i=1}^{2\nu} 2\nu - i = \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} i = \frac{2\nu(2\nu-1)}{2} = \nu(2\nu - 1)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{IJ} \cdot \sigma a_{JI} &= \underbrace{(-1)^\nu (-1)^{\nu(2\nu-1)}}_{=1} \cdot \alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)} \cdots \alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)} \cdot \alpha_{j_1}^{\vee,(\nu+1)} \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)} \cdots \alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee,(2\nu)} \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)} \cdot B \cdot B' \\ &= \underbrace{\alpha_{i_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee,(1)}}_{=\beta^{(1)}} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_{i_\nu}^{(\nu)} \alpha_{i_\nu}^{\vee,(\nu)}}_{=\beta^{(\nu)}} \cdot \underbrace{\alpha_{j_1}^{\vee,(\nu+1)} \alpha_{j_1}^{(\nu+1)}}_{=-\beta^{(\nu+1)}} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_{j_\nu}^{\vee,(2\nu)} \alpha_{j_\nu}^{(2\nu)}}_{=-\beta^{(2\nu)}} \cdot B \cdot B' \\ &= (-1)^\nu \beta^{(1)} \cdots \beta^{(2\nu)} \underbrace{B \cdot B'}_{=\beta^{(2\nu+1)} \cdots \beta^{(n)}} \\ &= (-1)^\nu \beta^{(1)} \cdots \beta^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 15. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism of degree d between smooth, projective, n -dimensional schemes X and Y over k . Then, we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(X, \Omega_X^n) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{X/k}} & k \\ f^* \uparrow & \nearrow d \cdot \text{Tr}_{Y/k} & \\ H^n(Y, \Omega_Y^n) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. This argument was explained to the first named author by Marc Levine. Let $f_*: H^n(X, \Omega_X^n) \rightarrow H^n(Y, \Omega_Y^n)$ be the pushforward as constructed by Srinivas [27]. Then by [27, Theorem 1], the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(X, \Omega_X^n) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{X/k}} & k \\ f_* \downarrow & \nearrow \text{Tr}_{Y/k} & \\ H^n(Y, \Omega_Y^n) & & \end{array}$$

commutes and we have $f_* f^* = d \cdot \text{id}$. This yields the desired result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1 in case $n = 2m$ is even and k has characteristic zero. We will apply the Motivic Gauss-Bonnet Theorem and thus we need to compute the form

$$\begin{aligned} & H^m(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^m) \times H^m(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^m) \\ & \quad \downarrow \cup \\ & H^n(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^n) \\ & \quad \downarrow \text{Tr} \\ & k. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

By Lemma 13, the vector space $H^m(\text{Sym}^n C, \Omega_{\text{Sym}^n C}^m)$ has basis α_{IJ} for I, J running over the same size subsets $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = |J| \leq m$. By Lemma 14, we know that $\alpha_{IJ}\alpha_{I'J'} = 0$ whenever $I \neq J'$ or $J \neq I'$. Thus, it remains to compute the cup product $\alpha_{IJ}\alpha_{JI}$. For this, note that

$$\alpha_{IJ}\alpha_{JI} = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} (\sigma a_{IJ}) \cdot (\tau a_{JI}) = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \sigma(a_{IJ} \cdot (\sigma^{-1}\tau) a_{JI}) = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \sigma(a_{IJ} \cdot \tau a_{JI})$$

where in the last step, we replace $\sigma^{-1}\tau$ by τ . Since $a_{IJ} \cdot \tau a_{JI}$ is a multiple of $\beta^{(1)} \dots \beta^{(n)}$ and S_n acts trivial on this element, we get by Lemma 14

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{IJ}\alpha_{JI} &= \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \sigma(a_{IJ} \cdot \tau a_{JI}) \\ &= n! \cdot \sum_{\tau \in S_n} a_{IJ} \cdot \tau a_{JI} \\ &= n! \cdot \sum_{\tau \in S'_n} (-1)^{|I|} \beta^{(1)} \dots \beta^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{|I|} \cdot n! \cdot |S'_n| \cdot \beta^{(1)} \dots \beta^{(n)}$$

where $S'_n \subset S_n$ is the subset of all permutations satisfying the non-vanishing condition of Lemma 14 for I and J . Thus, in order to compute the product, we have to determine the cardinality of S'_n . Note that

$$S'_n = \{\tau\sigma \mid \sigma \in S_{m-|I|} \times S_{m-|I|}\},$$

where we embed $S_{m-|I|} \times S_{m-|I|}$ in S_n by letting it permute the last $2(m-|I|)$ elements of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and where $\tau \in S_n$ is the permutation defined as follows: We set $\tau(i) = \nu + i$ and $\tau(\nu + i) = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq |I|$, and $\tau(2\nu + j) = m + \nu + j$ and $\tau(m + \nu + j) = 2\nu + j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m - |I|$. Thus, $|S'_n| = |S_{m-|I|} \times S_{m-|I|}| = ((m - |I|)!)^2$, and we have

$$\alpha_{IJ}\alpha_{JI} = (-1)^{|I|} \cdot n! \cdot ((m - |I|)!)^2 \cdot \beta^{(1)} \dots \beta^{(n)}.$$

Since Lemma 15 yields $\text{Tr}(\beta^{(1)} \dots \beta^{(n)}) = (n!)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha_{IJ}\alpha_{I'J'}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|I|} \cdot ((m - |I|)!)^2 & \text{if } I = J' \text{ and } J = I' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the matrix representing the bilinear form with respect to the basis (α_{IJ}) takes the form of a diagonal block matrix with blocks $A_{\{I,J\}}$ for $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$ same-size subsets of order at most m . Each block $A_{\{I,J\}}$ takes the form

$$A_{\{I,J\}} = ((-1)^{|I|} \cdot ((m - |I|)!)^2)$$

if $I = J$ and

$$A_{\{I,J\}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^{|I|} \cdot ((m - |I|)!)^2 \\ (-1)^{|I|} \cdot ((m - |I|)!)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $I \neq J$. The matrix $A_{\{I,I\}}$ represents the form $\langle (-1)^{|I|} \cdot ((m - |I|)!)^2 \rangle = \langle (-1)^{|I|} \rangle \in \text{GW}(k)$, and the matrix $A_{\{I,J\}}$ with $I \neq J$ represents the hyperbolic form in $\text{GW}(k)$. Thus, we have that the quadratic form q in (1) is given by

$$q = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, g\}} \langle (-1)^{|I|} \rangle + l \cdot H$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ where the sum only runs over subsets of order at most m . Since $\{1, \dots, g\}$ contains $\binom{g}{i}$ subsets of order i , we get

$$q = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{g}{i} \langle (-1)^i \rangle + lH.$$

Combining this computation with Remark 3 yields the first formula of the theorem. For the second formula, we plug in $H = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$ and then note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{g}{i} (-1)^i = (-1)^m \binom{g-1}{m}. \quad \square$$

3 Compatibility with the power structure

Recall that a power structure on a commutative ring R is a map $(1 + tR[[t]]) \times R \rightarrow 1 + tR[[t]]$ satisfying certain axioms, see for example [22, Section 2] for a precise definition. Under some finiteness assumptions, it suffices to define $(1 - t)^{-r} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_n(r)t^n$ for $r \in R$, see [7, Proposition 1]. That is, a power structure can be given by functions $a_n : R \rightarrow R$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that:

- $a_0 = 1$,
- a_1 is the identity,
- $a_n(0) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$,
- $a_n(1) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and
- $a_n(r + s) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(r)a_{n-i}(s)$ for all $r, s \in R$.

A ring homomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ between rings that both carry a power structure is said to respect the power structures if $\phi(a_n^R(r)) = a_n^{R'}(\phi(r))$ for all $r \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For the rest of this section, we assume that k is of characteristic zero.

There is a power structure on $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$ given by $a_n([X]) = [\text{Sym}^n X]$. This was proven by Gusein-Zade, Luengo and Melle-Hernández [6].

Pajwani and Pál [22] constructed a power structure on $\text{GW}(k)$ given by

$$a_n(\langle a \rangle) = \langle a^n \rangle + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(\langle a \rangle + \langle 2 \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle - \langle 2a \rangle).$$

Remark 16. This power structure agrees with McGarraghy's non-factorial power structure [16] on $\text{GW}(k)$ on the subgroup generated by all $\langle a \rangle$ satisfying $a_n(\langle a \rangle) = \langle a^n \rangle$, see [22, Lemma 2.9, Lemma 3.27 and Corollary 3.28]. The quadratic forms $\langle 1 \rangle$ and $\langle -1 \rangle$ are in this subgroup.

Pajwani and Pál prove that $\chi_c : K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow \text{GW}(k)$ respects the power structures for zero dimensional schemes, i.e. $\chi_c(\text{Sym}^n X/k) = a_n(\chi_c(X/k))$ for X a zero dimensional scheme. This was extended to a larger class of schemes by Pajwani, Rohrbach, and the second named author in [23], who also showed compatibility for curves of genus 0 and 1. We now show this result for all curves, i.e. we check that all curves are symmetrizable in the sense of [23, Definition 4.1].

For C a smooth, projective curve of genus g , we have $\chi(C/k) = (1-g)H$. We therefore need to evaluate a_n on negative multiples of H . Note that McGarraghy [16, Corollary 4.13 and 4.14] gave a complete description of what happens for positive multiples.

Lemma 17. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then $a_n(-lH) = (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{l}{i} \binom{l}{n-i} \langle -1 \rangle^{n-i}$.*

Proof. One can prove by induction on l that

$$a_n(-l\langle 1 \rangle) = (-1)^n \binom{l}{n} \langle 1 \rangle \quad (2)$$

and

$$a_n(-l\langle -1 \rangle) = (-1)^n \binom{l}{n} \langle -1 \rangle^n. \quad (3)$$

By combining (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(-lH) &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(-l\langle 1 \rangle) a_{n-i}(-l\langle -1 \rangle) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{l}{i} \binom{l}{n-i} \langle -1 \rangle^{n-i} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Remark 18. We note that for $l, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the rank of $a_n(-lH)$ equals

$$(-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{l}{i} \binom{l}{n-i} = (-1)^n \binom{2l}{n}$$

by the Chu-Vandermonde identity. Plugging in $l = g - 1$, we find that the rank is precisely $(-1)^n \binom{2g-2}{n}$. This matches with Theorem 1.

There is another way to compute $a_n(-lH)$, suggested by Marc Levine.

Lemma 19. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then $a_n(-lH)$ is the coefficient of t^n in the polynomial $(\langle 1 \rangle - Ht + \langle -1 \rangle t^2)^l$.*

Proof. For $\langle a \rangle \in \text{GW}(k)$, let $a_t(\langle a \rangle) := (1 - t)^{-\langle a \rangle} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(\langle a \rangle) t^i$. Then $a_t(\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle) = a_t(\langle a \rangle) a_t(\langle b \rangle)$. Now, we have $a_t(-\langle 1 \rangle) = 1 - \langle 1 \rangle t$ and also $a_t(-\langle -1 \rangle) = 1 - \langle -1 \rangle t$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} a_t(-lH) &= ((1 - \langle 1 \rangle t)(1 - \langle -1 \rangle t))^l \\ &= (\langle 1 \rangle - Ht + \langle -1 \rangle t^2)^l \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Proposition 20. *Let C be a smooth, projective curve of genus g and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then*

$$\chi(\text{Sym}^n C/k) = a_n(\chi(C/k)).$$

Proof. The two forms have the same rank by Remark 18. Given that they are both hyperbolic for n odd, this yields the result in that case. Thus, assume that $n = 2m$ is even from now on.

Note that both forms only consist of terms $\langle 1 \rangle$ and $\langle -1 \rangle$. Therefore, given that we know the ranks are the same, the two are the same if for both forms

the difference between the number of $\langle 1 \rangle$'s and $\langle -1 \rangle$'s is the same. Note that if we work over the real numbers this difference is the signature of the quadratic form. By Theorem 1, this difference is $(-1)^m \binom{g-1}{m}$ for $\chi(\mathrm{Sym}^{2m} C/k)$.

On the other hand, denote this difference for $a_n((1-g)H)$ by s . Lemma 19 yields that $a_n((1-g)H)$ is the coefficient of t^n in

$$(\langle 1 \rangle - Ht + \langle -1 \rangle t^2)^{g-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \binom{g-1}{i} (\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle t^2)^i (-Ht)^{g-1-i}.$$

All summands with $i \neq g-1$ are a multiple of H and thus do not contribute to s . Thus, s is completely determined by the degree $2m$ -term of

$$(\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle t^2)^{g-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \binom{g-1}{i} \langle -1 \rangle^i t^{2i},$$

which is $\binom{g-1}{m} \langle -1 \rangle^m t^{2m}$. This shows $s = (-1)^m \binom{g-1}{m}$, which proves the proposition. \square

We can extend this to show that the power structure is respected for all curves.

Theorem 21. *Let C be a curve and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then*

$$\chi_c(\mathrm{Sym}^n C/k) = a_n(\chi_c(C/k)).$$

Proof. Let \tilde{C} be the normalization of C . Let $S \subset C$ be the locus of singular points on C and let $\tilde{S} \subset \tilde{C}$ be the points lying over S . Then, the normalization map $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism outside of the singular points of C and so

$$[C] = [\tilde{C}] - [\tilde{S}] + [S]$$

in $\tilde{K}_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$. By Proposition 20, the power structure is respected on \tilde{C} . Also, as S and \tilde{S} are zero dimensional, the power structure is respected on those by [22, Theorem 4.1]. By [22, Lemma 2.9], the set of elements of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ for which the power structure is respected forms a subgroup, implying that the power structure is respected for C . \square

References

- [1] Niny Arcila-Maya, Candace Bethea, Morgan Opie, Kirsten Wickelgren, and Inna Zakharevich. Compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic and the Hochschild complex. *Topology and its Applications*, 316:108108, 2022. Women in Topology III.
- [2] Tom Bachmann and Marc Hovey. Norms in motivic homotopy theory. *Astérisque*, (425):ix+207, 2021.

- [3] Frédéric Déglise, Fangzhou Jin, and Adeel Khan. Fundamental classes in motivic homotopy theory. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 23(12), 2021.
- [4] Albrecht Dold and Dieter Puppe. Duality, trace and transfer. In K. Borsuk and A. Kirkor, editors, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Geometric Topology (Warsaw, 1978)*, 1980.
- [5] Alexander Grothendieck and Michèle Raynaud. *Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental (SGA 1)*. Lecture notes in mathematics 224. Springer Verlag, 1971.
- [6] Sabir Gusein-Zade, Ignacio Luengo, and Alejandro Melle-Hernández. A power structure over the Grothendieck ring of varieties. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 11:49–57, 2004.
- [7] Sabir Gusein-Zade, Ignacio Luengo, and Alejandro Melle-Hernández. Power structure over the Grothendieck ring of varieties and generating series of Hilbert schemes of points. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 54(2):353–359, 2006.
- [8] Jens Hornbostel. A^1 -representability of hermitian K -theory and Witt groups. *Topology*, 44(3):661–687, 2005.
- [9] Marc Hoyois. A quadratic refinement of the Grothendieck-Lefschetz-Verdier trace formula. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 14:3603–3658, 2014.
- [10] Marc Hoyois. The six operations in equivariant motivic homotopy theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 305:197–279, 2017.
- [11] Marc Hoyois, Joachim Jelisiejew, Denis Nardin, and Maria Yakerson. Hermitian K -theory via oriented Gorenstein algebras. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik. [Crelle's Journal]*, 793:105–142, 2022.
- [12] Marc Levine. Aspects of Enumerative Geometry with Quadratic Forms. *Documenta Mathematica*, 25:2179–2239, 2020.
- [13] Marc Levine, Simon Pepin Lehalleur, and Vasudevan Srinivas. Euler characteristics of homogeneous and weighted-homogeneous hypersurfaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 441:109556, 2024.
- [14] Marc Levine and Arpon Raksit. Motivic Gauss-Bonnet formulas. *Algebra and number theory*, 14(7):1801–1851, 2020.
- [15] Ian G. Macdonald. Symmetric products of an algebraic curve. *Topology*, 1(4):319–343, 1962.
- [16] Séan McGarraghy. Symmetric Powers of Symmetric Bilinear Forms. *Algebra Colloquium*, 12(1):41–57, 2005.
- [17] John Milnor and Dale Husemoller. *Symmetric Bilinear Forms*, volume 73 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 2. Folge*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1973.
- [18] Fabien Morel. Introduction to A^1 -homotopy theory. Lectures given at the School on Algebraic K-Theory and its Applications, ICTP, Trieste, 8–19 July 2002.
- [19] Fabien Morel. A^1 -Algebraic topology over a field. Lecture notes in mathematics 2052. Springer Verlag, 2012.
- [20] Mircea Mustață. Zeta functions in algebraic geometry. Available at: https://websites.umich.edu/~mmustata/zeta_book.pdf, 2011. Lecture notes.
- [21] Jesse Pajwani and Ambrus Pál. An arithmetic Yao-Zaslow formula. *arXiv:2210.15788 [math.AG]*, 2022.

- [22] Jesse Pajwani and Ambrus Pál. Power structures on the Grothendieck-Witt ring and the motivic Euler characteristic. *arXiv:2309.03366 [math.NT]*, 2023.
- [23] Jesse Pajwani, Herman Rohrbach, and Anna M. Viergever. Compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -euler characteristics of symmetric powers of cellular varieties. *arXiv:2404.08486 [math.AG]*, 2024.
- [24] Takeshi Saito. Jacobi sum Hecke characters, de Rham discriminant, and the determinant of ℓ -adic cohomologies. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 3(3):411–434, 1994.
- [25] Winfried Scharlau. *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences] 270. Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [26] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 67. Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [27] Vaseduvan Srinivas. Gysin maps and cycle classes for Hodge cohomology. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (Mathematical Sciences)*, 103(3):209–247, 1993.
- [28] Anna M. Viergever. The quadratic Euler characteristic of a smooth projective same-degree complete intersection. *arXiv:2306.16155 [math.AG]*, 2023.
- [29] Ernst Witt. Theorie der quadratischen Formen in beliebigen Körpern. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 176:31–44, 1937.

Lukas F. Bröring
 Universität Duisburg-Essen
 Fakultät für Mathematik, Thea-Leymann-Str. 9, 45127 Essen, Germany
 E-Mail: lukas.broering@uni-due.de

Anna M. Viergever
 Leibniz Universität Hannover
 Fakultät für Mathematik, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany
 E-Mail: viergever@math.uni-hannover.de

Keywords: motivic homotopy theory, refined enumerative geometry, other fields
 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14G27, 14N10, 14F42