

HONDA-TATE THEORY FOR LOG ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FINITE FIELDS

XIAOYU ZHANG, HEER ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this article we study the Honda-Tate theory for log abelian varieties over an fs log point $S = (\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}, M_S)$ for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ a finite field, generalizing the classical Honda-Tate theory for abelian varieties over \mathbf{k} . For the standard log point S , we give a complete description of the isogeny classes of such log abelian varieties using Weil q -numbers of weight 0, 1, and 2. In the general case where M_S admits a global chart $P \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ with $P = \mathbb{N}^k$, we also give a complete description of simple isogeny classes of log abelian varieties over S in terms of rational points in generalized simplices.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Outline	5
Notations	5
2. Review of log abelian varieties with constant degeneration	5
2.1. 1-motives	5
2.2. Log 1-motives	9
2.3. Log abelian varieties with constant degeneration	12
3. Lattice pairings	15
3.1. Lattice pairings	15
3.2. Lattice pairings and log 1-motives without abelian part	20
4. Log abelian varieties over finite log points	24
4.1. Decomposition of log abelian varieties up to isogeny over finite log points	24
4.2. Isogeny classes of log abelian varieties without abelian part over finite log points	26
5. Isogeny classes in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$ over the standard finite log point	27
5.1. Representation theory of Γ	27
5.2. Polarizations in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{N}, \mathrm{pPol}}$	31
5.3. Isogeny classes in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{N}, \mathrm{pPol}}$	33
6. Isogeny classes in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$ over a general finite log point	35
6.1. Isogeny classes in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$	35
6.2. Polarizations on $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{\vee}, \langle -, - \rangle)$	38
6.3. Isogeny classes of $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^{\vee}, \langle -, - \rangle)$	39
Appendix A. Some lemmas on homomorphisms of (commutative) group schemes	43
References	44

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14A21 (primary), 14K02, 11G99 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Honda-Tate theory, log abelian varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Honda-Tate theory is an important result in the theory of abelian varieties over finite fields ([Hon68, Tat66]). It gives a complete description of the isogeny classes of simple abelian varieties over a finite field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ with q elements in terms of Galois conjugacy classes of Weil q -numbers (of weight 1). It has many deep applications, for example, in the proof of the Manin conjecture on the Newton polygons of abelian varieties by Honda and Serre ([Tat68]); in the point counting problems on Shimura varieties ([Kot92]). There are also many generalizations and refinements of this theory, see for example [KMS22].

Recall that for an integer w , a *Weil q -number of weight w* is an algebraic integer whose images in \mathbb{C} all have absolute value equal to $q^{w/2}$. In particular, a Weil q -number of weight 0 is a root of unity by a classical result of Kronecker on algebraic integers ([Kro57], see also [Pra04, Theorem 4.5.4] for a convenient reference). We write

$$q\text{-Weil}(w)$$

for the set of Galois conjugacy classes $[\alpha]$ of Weil q -numbers α of weight w . For an abelian variety A over \mathbf{k} , we write $[A]$ for its isogeny class and

$$P_{A, \pi_A}$$

for the characteristic polynomial of the q -Frobenius endomorphism π_A on A (or equivalently on any member of $[A]$), see [Mil08, §10, p.48]. Then the theory of Honda-Tate states as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$. There is a bijection between the set of isogeny classes of simple abelian varieties over \mathbf{k} and the set of Galois conjugacy classes of Weil q -numbers of weight 1*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{simple abelian varieties over } \mathbf{k}\} / \text{isogeny} &\rightarrow q\text{-Weil}(1) \\ [A] &\mapsto [\alpha], \end{aligned}$$

where α is a root of P_{A, π_A} .

Throughout this introduction we write

$$\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}) = \langle \gamma \rangle$$

for the absolute Galois group of $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\gamma : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}, x \mapsto x^q$ the canonical topological generator. The characteristic polynomial P_{A, π_A} of π_A can also be obtained as the characteristic polynomial of γ on the ℓ -adic Tate module $T_\ell(A)$ of A , where $\ell \neq \text{char}(\mathbf{k})$ is a prime number (see [Mil08, Chap. II, §2, the paragraph after Theorem 2.9]). This fact will be important for dealing with log abelian varieties (see below), for which we are only able to use ℓ -adic Tate module to define a polynomial which plays the role of P_{A, π_A} for an abelian variety A , see Definition 2.23 and (8).

Log abelian varieties, developed by Kajiwar, Kato and Nakayama in their series of papers starting with [KKN08], are proper (log) smooth group objects which degenerate abelian varieties. They are expected to behave like abelian varieties in many aspects. In particular, it would be desirable to classify log abelian varieties up to isogeny over an fs log point $S = (\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S)$ with \mathbf{k} a finite field, just as in the case of abelian varieties over \mathbf{k} .

Our first main result reduces the problem to the classification of log abelian varieties without abelian part, and the latter is essentially a problem of Galois modules over \mathbf{k} .

Theorem 1.2 (See Theorem 4.4). *Let $S = (\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S)$ be an fs log point with $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ finite. Then for any log abelian variety A over S , we have an isogeny*

$$A \sim A_1 \times B,$$

where B is an abelian variety over \mathbf{k} and A_1 is a log abelian variety over S whose corresponding log 1-motive \mathbf{M}_1 (see [KKN08, Theorem 3.4]) has no abelian part, i.e. $\mathbf{M}_1 = [Y_1 \rightarrow T_{1\log}]$ with T_1 a torus over \mathbf{k} .

By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\text{simple LAVs over } S\} / \text{isogeny} \\ &= \{\text{simple AVs over } \mathbf{k}\} / \text{isogeny} \bigsqcup \{\text{simple LAVs without abelian part over } S\} / \text{isogeny}, \end{aligned}$$

where AV (resp. LAV) stands for abelian variety (resp. log abelian variety). Therefore in order to classify log abelian varieties over S up to isogeny, it suffices to classify log abelian varieties without abelian part over S up to isogeny.

We focus on the case that S is a finite charted fs log point, i.e.

$$S = (\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S) \text{ is an fs log point such that } \mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q \text{ and } M_S \text{ admits a global chart} \\ P \rightarrow \mathbf{k}, a \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ with } P \text{ a sharp fs monoid.} \quad (1)$$

Here we use additive notation for P .

In the special case of S being a **standard log point**, i.e. $P = \mathbb{N}$ in (1), we have a classification theorem of log abelian varieties over S up to isogeny parallel to Theorem 1.1. To state the theorem, we need the following definition: let Y be a group scheme over \mathbf{k} which is étale locally isomorphic to the constant group scheme \mathbb{Z}^r for some $r > 0$. Then $\gamma \in \Gamma$ gives rise to a \mathbb{Z} -linear automorphism π_Y of \mathbb{Z}^r , and we call the characteristic polynomial of π_Y the *characteristic polynomial of the geometric Frobenius on Y* , and denote it by

$$P_{Y, \pi_Y}$$

(see §2.1 for more details).

Theorem 1.3 (See Theorem 5.14). *Let S be as in (1) with $P = \mathbb{N}$, i.e. a standard log point. Let*

$$q\text{-Weil}(0, 2) := \{([\alpha], [q\alpha^{-1}]) \mid [\alpha] \in q\text{-Weil}(0)\}, \quad (2)$$

which is a subset of $q\text{-Weil}(0) \times q\text{-Weil}(2)$. Then the bijection of sets from Theorem 1.1 extends to a bijection of sets (as in the second row of the commutative digram below)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{simple AVs over } \mathbf{k}\} / \text{isogeny} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & q\text{-Weil}(1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{\text{simple LAVs over } S\} / \text{isogeny} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & q\text{-Weil}(0, 2) \bigsqcup q\text{-Weil}(1) \end{array} \quad (3)$$

such that any simple log abelian varieties without abelian part, whose corresponding log 1-motive (see [KKN08, Theorem 3.4]) is $[Y \rightarrow T_{\log}]$, is mapped to $([\alpha], [q\alpha^{-1}]) \in q\text{-Weil}(0, 2)$ with α a root of the characteristic polynomial P_{Y, π_Y} .

By Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, the Weil q -numbers associated to a general log abelian variety could have weights 0, 1 and 2 simultaneously, a feature typically exclusive to mixed motives in the classical picture. On the other hand, a log abelian variety, as an analogue of an abelian variety, should give rise to a pure log motive. Such a phenomena gives an example of the idea from [KNU22] that mixed motives should be embedded into log pure motives.

The upper bijection of (3) is defined via the characteristic polynomial P_{B, π_B} of the q -Frobenius endomorphism π_B of an abelian variety B . Note that the linear map $T_\ell(\pi_B)$ on $T_\ell(B)$ induced by π_B agrees with the canonical linear map given by $\gamma \in \Gamma$ on $T_\ell(B)$. For a log abelian variety A over S , we can also define a certain “characteristic polynomial” $P_{A, \gamma}$ of $\gamma \in \Gamma$, in analogue to P_{B, π_B} (see Definition 2.23). However if A is not an abelian variety, $P_{A, \gamma}$ has always more than one irreducible factor, even if A is simple. Nevertheless under the setting of Theorem 1.3, for a simple log abelian variety A corresponding to $\mathbf{M} = [Y \rightarrow T_{\log}]$, we have

$$P_{A, \gamma}(\theta) = P_{Y, \pi_Y}(\theta) \cdot P_{T, \pi_T}(\theta) = P_{Y, \pi_Y}(\theta) \cdot \left(\frac{(-\theta)^d}{\det(\pi_Y)} \cdot P_{Y, \pi_Y}\left(\frac{q}{\theta}\right) \right),$$

where P_{Y, π_Y} is irreducible and d is the degree of $P_{Y, \pi_Y}(\theta)$, and the identification $P_{T, \pi_T}(\theta) = \frac{(-\theta)^d}{\det(\pi_Y)} \cdot P_{Y, \pi_Y}\left(\frac{q}{\theta}\right)$ follows from the fact that Y is isogenous to $X := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ which follows from that \mathbf{M} is pointwise polarized. Then the lower bijection beyond the upper bijection in (3) is just the map sending a simple log abelian variety without abelian part A over S to $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in q\text{-Weil}(0, 2)$ with α (resp. β) a root of $P_{Y, \pi_Y}(\theta)$ (resp. $\theta^d \cdot P_{Y, \pi_Y}\left(\frac{q}{\theta}\right)$) (see §2.1, §2.2 and §2.3 for more details). Of course, here one can take $\beta = \frac{q}{\alpha}$.

Now consider the case $S = (\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S)$ with P a free monoid $P \cong \mathbb{N}^k$ as in (1). For each positive integer r , we define a subset $T_{r, k}$ of $(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+)^k$ (cf. Definition 6.7), which is in some sense a generalization of (rational points in) topological $(k - 1)$ -simplex. Then we have

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 6.9). *Let S be as in (1) with $P = \mathbb{N}^k$. Then the bijection of sets from Theorem 1.1 extends to a bijection of sets (as in the second row of the commutative diagram below)*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{simple AVs over } S\} / \text{isogeny} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & q\text{-Weil}(1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{simple LAVs } A \text{ over } S \text{ with } Y_1 = 0 \\ \text{or a simple free } \Gamma\text{-module} \end{array} \right\} / \text{isogeny} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\bigsqcup_{r > 0} T_{r, k}) \sqcup q\text{-Weil}(1) \end{array}$$

under which the elements of the set $\bigsqcup_{r > 0} T_{r, k}$ correspond to the log abelian varieties A without abelian part. Here Y_1 is as in Theorem 1.2, and we refer to Definition 3.3 for the terminology “simple free Γ -module”.

We also have a classification result for log abelian varieties A over S with Y_1 of the form $Y_1 = \tilde{Y}_1^a$ where \tilde{Y}_1 is a simple free Γ -module and a is a positive integer, see Theorem 6.11. We classify these log abelian varieties in terms of $T_{r, k}^{(a)}$, which is in some sense a higher dimensional generalization of $T_{r, k}$ (see Definition 6.7). Moreover, we also give a very explicit criterion for the simpleness of these A . We refer to §6.3 for more details. The *upshot* is that any simple log abelian variety without abelian part is isogenous to such a log abelian variety, see Proposition 6.4 (3), therefore we actually get a description of all the isogeny classes of *simple* log abelian varieties for the case $P = \mathbb{N}^k$.

For $k = 1$, $T_{r, k}$ has only one element. If we identify $T_{r, 1}$ with $([\zeta_r], [q\zeta_r^{-1}]) \in q\text{-Weil}(0, 2)$ for all $r > 0$, then Theorem 1.4 gives rise to Theorem 1.3. We remark that for $k > 1$, the description of

a simple log abelian variety A over S with trivial abelian part is much more complicated than the case $k = 1$, and has no such simple characterization as using Weil q -numbers (of certain weights).

Outline. In §2 we present a brief review of the notion of log abelian varieties (with constant degeneration), which is the main object of study of this article. In §2.1, the notion of 1-motives is recalled and when the base is a field k , we define the characteristic polynomial of an endomorphism of a 1-motive and in particular study various properties of the Frobenius morphism for k a finite field. In §§2.2 and 2.3, similar studies are carried out for log 1-motives and log abelian varieties. In §3, we introduce the notion of lattice pairings, which is a two-term complex of certain Γ -modules. We then relate the category of lattice pairings to the category of log 1-motives without abelian part, and further to the category of log abelian varieties without abelian part. In the remaining part of the article, we concentrate on a finite log point S (here “finite” means that the underlying field k of S is finite). In §4, we study the isogeny category of log abelian varieties over S . In §4.1, we show that a log abelian variety A over S is isogenous to $A_1 \times B$ with A_1 a log abelian variety without abelian part over S and B an abelian variety over k . In passing we also establish the Honda-Tate theorem for 1-motives (Theorem 4.2). In §4.2, we establish an equivalence between the isogeny category of pointwise polarizable lattice pairings and the isogeny category of log abelian varieties without abelian part over S . So the classification of isogeny classes of log abelian varieties over S is reduced to the classification of isogeny classes of pointwise polarizable lattice pairings, the latter of which is the goal for the remaining two technical sections of this article. In §5, we consider the case S a standard finite log point and we give a complete description of simple lattice pairings up to isogeny (Theorem 5.14). This gives Theorem 1.3. We also classify all possible polarizations on lattice pairings as a by-product (Proposition 5.8). In §6, we consider the case of a general finite log point S with $P = \mathbb{N}^k$ in (1). Based on the preceding section, we describe such lattice pairings using simplices inside cyclotomic fields and their generalizations (Theorems 6.9 and 6.11). This gives Theorem 1.4.

Notations. For a positive integer n and a set T , we write $\text{Mat}_n(T)$ for the set of $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in T . For a matrix A , we write A^t for its transpose.

For a commutative group scheme G over a base scheme S and an integer n , we write n_G for the homomorphism $G \rightarrow G$ of multiplication-by- n and $G[n]$ for the kernel of n_G .

2. REVIEW OF LOG ABELIAN VARIETIES WITH CONSTANT DEGENERATION

For the readers’ convenience, we present a short introduction to log abelian varieties with constant degeneration in this section. For details, we refer to [KKN08] and [Zha17].

2.1. 1-motives. We review in this subsection the theory of 1-motives. Let S be a scheme. Let \mathcal{C}_{fl} be the category of sheaves of abelian groups on the fppf site (see [SP23, Tag 021S]) of S , and let \mathcal{D}_{fl} be the derived category of \mathcal{C}_{fl} .

Definition 2.1. *Let Y be a group scheme over S , which is étale locally a free abelian group of finite rank, and let G be an extension of an abelian scheme B by a torus T over S . A 1-motive with lattice part Y and semi-abelian part G over S , is a two term complex*

$$\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$$

in \mathcal{C}_{fl} , where Y sits in degree -1. A morphism of 1-motives over S is just a morphism of complexes. We denote the category of 1-motives over S by \mathcal{M}_1 .

A morphism of 1-motives $(f_{-1}, f_0) : [Y \xrightarrow{u} G] \rightarrow [Y' \xrightarrow{u'} G']$ is called an isogeny, if $f_{-1} : Y \rightarrow Y'$ is injective with finite cokernel and $f_0 : G \rightarrow G'$ is surjective with finite kernel.

Definition 2.2. Let S be a scheme, and let $\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ be a 1-motive over S . For any positive integer n , let

$$T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M}) := H^{-1}(\mathbf{M} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

For any prime number ℓ , let

$$T_\ell(\mathbf{M}) := \varprojlim_r T_{\mathbb{Z}/\ell^r\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M}).$$

The canonical distinguished triangle $Y \xrightarrow{u} G \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \rightarrow Y[1]$ in \mathcal{D}_{fl} gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-1}(Y \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^{-1}(G \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\mathbf{M} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \\ &H^0(Y \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(G \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Here $Y[1]$ denotes the shift by 1 of Y as a complex concentrated in degree 0. This should not lead to any confusion with the n -torsion subgroup scheme $G[n]$ of a semi-abelian scheme G , since we never consider the torsion subgroups of the torsion-free group Y . Since Y is torsion-free and n_G is surjective, we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G[n] \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow Y/nY \rightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{C}_{fl} . Both $G[n]$ and Y/nY are finite locally free group schemes over S . By descent, $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M})$ as a $G[n]$ -torsor over Y/nY is also a finite locally free group scheme over S . If n is invertible on S , $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M})$ is étale over S . Since $(G[\ell^i])_i$ is a Mittag-Leffler system, we also have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_\ell(G) \rightarrow T_\ell(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

in \mathcal{C}_{fl} . If ℓ is invertible on S and S is connected, then the sequence (4) is a short exact sequence of finite rank free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -modules endowed with a continuous $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S)$ -action, where $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S)$ denotes the étale fundamental group of S .

In the rest of this subsection, the base S will be $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ with \mathbf{k} a field. We will use the notation $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k})$ for $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S)$.

Definition 2.3. A non-zero 1-motive $[Y \rightarrow G]$ over \mathbf{k} is called simple, if any non-zero morphism

$$(f_{-1}, f_0) : [Y' \rightarrow G'] \rightarrow [Y \rightarrow G],$$

which is injective as a morphism of complexes, has to be an isogeny.

Remark 2.4. Clearly a simple 1-motive over \mathbf{k} must be of the form $Y[1]$, or T , or B , where

- (1) Y is a simple lattice, i.e. it contains no proper sub-lattice,
- (2) T is a simple torus, i.e. it contains no proper sub-torus,
- (3) and B is a simple abelian variety.

Proposition 2.5. Let $f = (f_{-1}, f_0)$ be an endomorphism of a 1-motive $\mathbf{M} = [Y \rightarrow G]$ over \mathbf{k} . Let ℓ be a prime number which is invertible in \mathbf{k} , and let $P_{\mathbf{M},f,\ell}(\theta) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[\theta]$ be the characteristic polynomial of the endomorphism $T_\ell(f)$ on $T_\ell(\mathbf{M})$. In case that $\mathbf{M} = Y[1]$, we have $f = (f_{-1}, 0)$ and also write $P_{\mathbf{M},f}$ as $P_{Y,f_{-1}}$. Similarly, in case that $\mathbf{M} = G$, we also write $P_{\mathbf{M},f}$ as P_{T,f_0} . Then we have the following.

- (1) $P_{M,f,\ell}[\theta] = P_{Y,f_{-1},\ell}[\theta] \cdot P_{G,f_0,\ell}[\theta] = P_{Y,f_{-1},\ell}[\theta] \cdot P_{B,f_{\text{ab}},\ell}[\theta] \cdot P_{T,f_t,\ell}[\theta]$, where $f_{\text{ab}} : B \rightarrow B$ (resp. $f_t : T \rightarrow T$) denotes the abelian (resp. torus) part of f_0 (see Lemma A.3 (1)).
- (2) $P_{M,f,\ell}[\theta] \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$.
- (3) $P_{M,f,\ell}[\theta]$ is independent of the choice of ℓ .

Proof. (1) After choosing \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -basis for $T_\ell(Y)$, $T_\ell(T)$ and $T_\ell(B)$, it is easy to see that the endomorphism $T_\ell(f)$ is represented by a matrix of the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a_{11} \in \text{GL}_{\dim(T)}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, $a_{22} \in \text{GL}_{2\dim(B)}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $a_{33} \in \text{GL}_{\text{rk}(Y)}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Thus the characteristic polynomial of $T_\ell(f)$ is the product of the characteristic polynomials of a_{11} , a_{22} and a_{33} , which are respectively the characteristic polynomials of $T_\ell(f_t)$, $T_\ell(f_{\text{ab}})$ and $T_\ell(f_{-1})$.

- (2) It is well known that $P_{B,f_{\text{ab}},\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ (see [Mum70, Chap. IV, §19, Theorem 4]). Write $X = \mathcal{H}om(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ for the character group of T , which is étale locally a free abelian group of finite rank. The endomorphism f_t on T induces an endomorphism f_t^* on X . Moreover the canonical pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ satisfies

$$\langle f_t^*(x), t \rangle = \langle x, f_t(t) \rangle, \quad \forall x \in X(\bar{\mathbf{k}}), t \in T(\bar{\mathbf{k}}).$$

We have an induced pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : T_\ell(X[1]) \times T_\ell(T) = (X \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \times T_\ell(T) \rightarrow T_\ell(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$ such that

$$\langle (f_t^* \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell})(x), t \rangle = \langle x, T_\ell(f_t)(t) \rangle, \quad \forall x \in X \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell, t \in T_\ell(T).$$

After fixing \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -basis for $X \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $T_\ell(T)$, we see immediately that the matrices representing $f_t^* \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ and $T_\ell(f_t)$ are transpose of each other. In particular,

$$P_{T,f_t,\ell} = P_{X,f_t^*,\ell}.$$

So it is enough to show that $P_{Y,f_{-1},\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ for any Y and any endomorphism f_{-1} on Y . However, we have

$$T_\ell(Y[1]) = Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell, \quad T_\ell(f_{-1}) = f_{-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}.$$

So $P_{Y,f_{-1},\ell}$ is exactly the characteristic polynomial of f_{-1} on Y , which clearly lies in $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$.

- (3) Again it is well known that $P_{B,f_{\text{ab}},\ell}$ is independent of ℓ (see *loc.cit.*). From the above argument, it is enough to show that $P_{Y,f_{-1},\ell}$ is independent of ℓ for any Y and any f_{-1} , which again follows from the fact that $T_\ell(f_{-1}) = f_{-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$.

□

Definition 2.6. Let $f = (f_{-1}, f_0)$ be an endomorphism of a 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ over \mathbf{k} . By Proposition 2.5,

$$P_{M,f}(\theta) := P_{M,f,\ell}(\theta) \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$$

is independent of the choice of ℓ , and we call it the characteristic polynomial of f .

Now assume that $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ is finite, then Γ is topologically generated by the q -Frobenius map:

$$\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}) = \overline{\langle \gamma \rangle} \text{ with } \gamma : \bar{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{k}}, x \mapsto x^q. \quad (5)$$

The lattice Y over \mathbf{k} amounts to a \mathbb{Z} -representation $\rho_Y : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{Z}^r)$ for some $r > 0$, where we identify $Y \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}} \text{Spec } \bar{\mathbf{k}}$ with \mathbb{Z}^r . Since Γ is commutative, the linear map $\rho_Y(\gamma) : \mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$

gives rise to an endomorphism of Y , and we denote it by $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$. Let $\pi_G : G \rightarrow G$ (resp. $\pi_B : B \rightarrow B$, resp. $\pi_T : T \rightarrow T$) be the geometric Frobenius morphism of G (resp. B , resp. T) over \mathbf{k} , see [Mil08, Chap. II, the beginning of §1]. Actually π_Y can be regarded as the geometric Frobenius of the scheme Y over \mathbf{k} . One can check easily that $\pi_G \circ u = u \circ \pi_Y$, and thus $\pi_M = (\pi_Y, \pi_G)$ defines an endomorphism of M .

Lemma 2.7. *Let $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ be a 1-motive over the finite field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$, let B (resp. T) be the abelian (resp. torus) part of G , and let X be the character group of T .*

- (1) *The roots of the characteristic polynomial $P_{Y, \pi_Y}(\theta)$ (resp. $P_{X, \pi_X}(\theta)$) of the endomorphism π_Y (resp. π_X) of Y (resp. X) are roots of unity. In particular they are Weil q -numbers of weight 0.*
- (2) *For the characteristic polynomial $P_{T, \pi_T}(\theta)$ of the endomorphism π_T of T , we have*

$$P_{T, \pi_T}(\theta) = \frac{(-\theta)^n}{\det(\pi_X)} P_{X, \pi_X}\left(\frac{q}{\theta}\right),$$

where n denotes the rank of X and $\det(\pi_X)$ denotes the determinant of the linear map π_X on X regarded as a finite rank free abelian group. In particular, the roots of $P_{T, \pi_T}(\theta)$ are of the form $q\alpha^{-1}$ with α a root of $P_{X, \pi_X}(\theta)$, and thus they are Weil q -numbers of weight 2.

- (3) *The roots of the characteristic polynomial $P_{B, \pi_B}(\theta)$ of the endomorphism π_B of B are Weil q -numbers of weight 1.*
- (4) $P_{M, \pi_M}(\theta) = P_{Y, \pi_Y}(\theta) \cdot P_{B, \pi_B}(\theta) \cdot P_{T, \pi_T}(\theta)$.
- (5) *Let $\rho_{M, \ell}$ (resp. $\rho_{G, \ell}$, resp. $\rho_{Y, \ell}$) denote the canonical action of Γ on $T_\ell(M)$ (resp. $T_\ell(G)$, resp. $T_\ell(Y[1]) = Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$). Then*

$$T_\ell(\pi_G) = \rho_{G, \ell}(\gamma), \quad T_\ell(\pi_Y) = \pi_Y \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell = \rho_{Y, \ell}(\gamma), \quad T_\ell(\pi_M) = \rho_{M, \ell}(\gamma).$$

In particular, $P_{M, \pi_M}(\theta)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{M, \ell}(\gamma)$.

Proof. (1) Since the action of $\Gamma \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $Y[1]$ factors through the finite quotient $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for some $m > 0$, $\pi_Y^m = \text{Id}$, thus the roots of P_{Y, π_Y} are roots of unity and are Weil q -numbers of weight 0. The same holds for X .

- (2) Write π_T^* for the endomorphism on X induced by π_T . We claim that $\pi_T^* = q \cdot \pi_X^{-1}$. The canonical pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is Galois equivariant. In particular, for any $x \in X(\overline{\mathbf{k}})$ and $t \in T(\overline{\mathbf{k}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_T^*(x)(t) &= \langle x, \pi_T(t) \rangle = \langle \pi_X(\pi_X^{-1}(x)), \pi_T(t) \rangle = \langle \pi_X^{-1}(x), t \rangle^q \\ &= \langle \pi_X^{-1}(x)^q, t \rangle = (q \cdot \pi_X^{-1}(x))(t), \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\pi_T^*(x) = q \cdot \pi_X^{-1}(x)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{T, \pi_T}(\theta) &= \det(\theta - \pi_T) = \det(\theta - \pi_T^*) = \det(\theta - q\pi_X^{-1}) \\ &= \det(-\theta\pi_X^{-1}) \det\left(\frac{q}{\theta} - \pi_X\right) = \frac{(-\theta)^n}{\det(\pi_X)} P_{X, \pi_X}\left(\frac{q}{\theta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

- (3) This follows from the classical Honda-Tate theory.
- (4) This follows from Proposition 2.5 (1).
- (5) The two equalities $T_\ell(\pi_G) = \rho_{G, \ell}(\gamma)$ and $T_\ell(\pi_Y) = \rho_{Y, \ell}(\gamma)$ are clear. We show the last one. Since $T_\ell(\pi_M)|_{T_\ell(G)} = T_\ell(\pi_G)$ and similarly $\rho_{M, \ell}(\gamma)|_{T_\ell(G)} = \rho_{G, \ell}(\gamma)$, the map $T_\ell(\pi_M) -$

$\rho_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\gamma)$ factors as

$$T_\ell(\mathbf{M}) \twoheadrightarrow Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow T_\ell(G) \hookrightarrow T_\ell(\mathbf{M}).$$

Due to weight reason, there is no non-trivial Galois equivariant map between $Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $T_\ell(G)$. It follows that $T_\ell(\pi_{\mathbf{M}}) - \rho_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\gamma) = 0$. So we are done. \square

2.2. Log 1-motives. Let S be an fs log scheme, and let (fs/S) be the category of fs log schemes over S . We denote by $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$ (resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$) the Kummer log flat site (resp. the classical étale site) on (fs/S) , see [Kat21, §2.3] and [Ill02, §2.5]. Sometimes we abbreviate $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$ (resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$) as S_{kfl} (resp. $S_{\text{ét}}$) in order to shorten notations.

Let \mathcal{C}_{kfl} be the category of sheaves of abelian groups on the site $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$, and let \mathcal{D}_{kfl} be the derived category of \mathcal{C}_{kfl} .

We denote by \mathring{S} the underlying scheme of S . For any scheme V over \mathring{S} , we will regard V as an fs log scheme over S by endowing it with the induced log structure from S .

Let G be an extension of an abelian scheme B by a torus T over \mathring{S} . We denote by G_{\log} the pushout of G in the category \mathcal{C}_{kfl} along the canonical inclusion $T \hookrightarrow T_{\log} := \mathcal{H}om_S(X, \mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log})$, where $X = \mathcal{H}om_S(T, \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})$ is the character group of T and $\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}$ is Kato's logarithmic multiplicative group (see [Kat21, Theorem 3.2]).

Definition 2.8. ([KKN08, Definition 2.2]) *Let Y be a group scheme over \mathring{S} , which is étale locally a free abelian group of finite rank, and let G and G_{\log} be as above. A log 1-motive with lattice part Y and semi-abelian part G over S , is a two term complex*

$$\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$$

in \mathcal{C}_{kfl} , where Y sits in degree -1. We call \mathbf{M} a log 1-motive without abelian part, if $B = 0$. A morphism of log 1-motives is just a morphism of complexes. We denote the category of log 1-motives (resp. log 1-motives without abelian part) over S by \mathcal{M}_1^{\log} (resp. $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$).

For $F_1 \subset F_2$ two sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$, we denote by F_2/F_1 (resp. $(F_2/F_1)_{S_{\text{ét}}}$) the quotient on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$ (resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$). The composition

$$Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log} \rightarrow (G_{\log}/G)_{S_{\text{ét}}} = (T_{\log}/T)_{S_{\text{ét}}} = \mathcal{H}om_S(X, (\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})_{S_{\text{ét}}})$$

corresponds to a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : Y \times X \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})_{S_{\text{ét}}}.$$

We call the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ the *monodromy pairing* of the log 1-motive \mathbf{M} . Warning: here we switch the order of X and Y from [KKN08, §2.3]

Let $X = \mathcal{H}om_S(T, \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})$ as before, and let $T^* := \mathcal{H}om_S(Y, \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})$, $B^* := \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{ét}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})$ which is the dual abelian scheme of B , and v the composition $Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log} \rightarrow B$. Then $G^* := \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{ét}}}^1([Y \xrightarrow{v} B], \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})$ fits into a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T^* \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow B^* \rightarrow 0$ of étale sheaves of abelian groups, in particular G^* is representable by a group scheme. For any $x \in X$, the pushout of $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ along $x : T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}$ gives rise to a homomorphism $v^* : X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{ét}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}) = B^*$. The homomorphism v^* can be lifted to a homomorphism $u^* : X \rightarrow G_{\log}^*$, see [KKN08, §2.7.3], and the resulting log 1-motive $\mathbf{M}^* = [X \xrightarrow{u^*} G_{\log}^*]$ is called the *dual log 1-motive of \mathbf{M}* , see [KKN08, Definition 2.7.4].

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ be a log 1-motive over S , and let $\mathbf{M}^* = [X \xrightarrow{u^*} G_{\log}^*]$ be the dual log 1-motive of \mathbf{M} (see [KKN08, Definition 2.7.4]). A polarization of \mathbf{M} is a homomorphism $h = (h_{-1}, h_0) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^*$ of log 1-motives satisfying

- (1) the homomorphism $f_{ab} : B \rightarrow B^*$ induced by h_0 is a polarization on B ;
- (2) the homomorphism h_{-1} is injective and of finite cokernel;
- (3) $\langle y, h_{-1}(y) \rangle_{\bar{s}} \in (M_{S, \bar{s}} / \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}^\times) \setminus \{1\}$ for any $s \in S$ and $y \in Y_{\bar{s}}$;
- (4) the homomorphism $f_t : T \rightarrow T^*$ induced by f_0 coincide with the one induced by f_{-1} .

We say that \mathbf{M} is pointwise polarizable, if the pullback of \mathbf{M} to (fs/\bar{s}) admits a polarization for any $s \in S$. We denote the category of pointwise polarizable log 1-motives over S by

$$\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}},$$

and the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$ consisting of the ones without abelian part by

$$\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}.$$

Definition 2.10. A morphism $(f_{-1}, f_0) : [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}] \rightarrow [Y' \xrightarrow{u'} G'_{\log}]$ of log 1-motives over S is called an isogeny, if f_{-1} is injective with finite cokernel and the homomorphism $G \rightarrow G'$ induced by f_0 (see [KKN08, Proposition 2.5]) is surjective with kernel a finite flat group scheme.

Note that G_{\log} in [KKN08, §2.1] is defined on the site $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$, and agrees with ours by [Zha17, Proposition 2.1].

Definition 2.11. Let S be an fs log scheme, and let $\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ be a log 1-motive over S . For any positive integer n , let

$$T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M}) := H^{-1}(\mathbf{M} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

For any prime number ℓ , let

$$T_\ell(\mathbf{M}) := \varprojlim_r T_{\mathbb{Z}/\ell^r\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M}).$$

The canonical distinguished triangle $Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \rightarrow Y[1]$ in \mathcal{D}_{kfl} gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-1}(Y \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^{-1}(G_{\log} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\mathbf{M} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \\ &H^0(Y \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(G_{\log} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We have seen that $H^{-1}(Y \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ from last subsection. We have

$$H^0(G_{\log} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = G_{\log} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = 0$$

by [Zha17, Proposition 2.3 (5)]. Recall that X is the character group of T . By [Zha17, Proposition 2.2] we have $G_{\log}/G = \mathcal{H}om_S(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}/\mathbb{G}_m)$ and thus $n : G_{\log}/G \hookrightarrow G_{\log}/G$. It follows that

$$H^{-1}(G_{\log} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = G_{\log}[n] = G[n].$$

Thus we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G[n] \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow Y/nY \rightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{C}_{kfl} . Both $G[n]$ and Y/nY are finite locally free group schemes over S . Assume that \mathring{S} is locally noetherian. By [Kat21, Theorem 9.1], $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M})$ as a $G[n]$ -torsor over Y/nY is an object of $(\text{fin}/S)_r$, where $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ denotes the category of finite Kummer log flat group log schemes over S which are logarithmic analogues of finite flat group schemes, see [Zha17, Definition A.1] or [Kat23,

Definition 1.3]. If n is invertible on S , $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M})$ is Kummer log étale over S . Since $(G[\ell^i])_i$ is a Mittag-Leffler system, we also have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_\ell(G) \rightarrow T_\ell(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

in \mathcal{C}_{klf} . If ℓ is invertible on S and S is connected, then the sequence (6) is a short exact sequence of free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -modules of finite rank endowed with a continuous $\pi_1^{\text{két}}(S)$ -action, where $\pi_1^{\text{két}}(S)$ denotes the Kummer log étale fundamental group of S , see [Kat21, §10] or [Ill02, §4].

Definition 2.12. Assume that S is a log point, and let $\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ be an object of $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$. We say that \mathbf{M} is simple (in $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$), if any non-zero morphism

$$f = (f_{-1}, f_0) : [Y' \rightarrow G'_{\log}] \rightarrow [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$$

in $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$ with both f_{-1} and f_0 injective has to be an isogeny.

We warn the reader that a simple object in $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$ is not simple in \mathcal{M}_1^{\log} in general if we define the notion of being simple in \mathcal{M}_1^{\log} as in Definition 2.3.

Being simple is preserved under isogeny.

Proposition 2.13. Let $(f_{-1}, f_0) : \mathbf{M}' = [Y' \rightarrow G'_{\log}] \rightarrow [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}] = \mathbf{M}$ be an isogeny of pointwise polarizable log 1-motives. Then \mathbf{M}' is simple if and only if \mathbf{M} is simple.

Proof. Let $f_c : G' \rightarrow G$ be the homomorphism induced by f_0 .

Assume that \mathbf{M} is simple. We show that \mathbf{M}' is also simple. Let

$$(i_{-1}, i_0) : \mathbf{M}'_1 = [Y'_1 \xrightarrow{u'_1} G'_{1\log}] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'$$

be a morphism such that both i_{-1} and i_0 are injective. Let $i_c : G'_1 \rightarrow G'$ be the homomorphism induced by i_0 . Clearly $\ker(f_c \circ i_c) \subset \ker(f_c)$, and thus $\ker(f_c \circ i_c)$ is a finite flat group scheme. Let $\overline{G}'_1 := G'_1 / \ker(f_c \circ i_c)$, $\pi_c : G'_1 \rightarrow \overline{G}'_1$ the canonical projection, $\pi : G'_{1\log} \rightarrow \overline{G}'_{1\log}$ the map induced by π_c , and $\overline{u}'_1 := \pi \circ u'_1$. Then $f_c \circ i_c$ factors as $G'_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_c} \overline{G}'_1 \xrightarrow{j_c} G$ for some j_c , and thus $f_0 \circ i_0$ factors as $G'_{1\log} \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{G}'_{1\log} \xrightarrow{j} G_{\log}$, so $(f_{-1} \circ i_{-1}, f_0 \circ i_0) = (f_{-1} \circ i_{-1}, j) \circ (1_{Y'_1}, \pi)$. Since both $f_{-1} \circ i_{-1}$ and j are injective and \mathbf{M} is simple, $(f_{-1} \circ i_{-1}, j)$ is an isogeny. Since $(1_{Y'_1}, \pi)$ and (f_{-1}, f_0) are isogenies, so is (i_{-1}, i_0) . It follows that \mathbf{M}' is simple.

Conversely, assume that \mathbf{M}' is simple. Let n be a positive integer which kills both $\text{coker}(f_{-1})$ and $\ker(f_c)$. Then the multiplication-by- n map $n_{\mathbf{M}'}$ on \mathbf{M}' factors as $n_{\mathbf{M}'} = (g_{-1}, g_0) \circ (f_{-1}, f_0)$ with $(g_{-1}, g_0) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'$ an isogeny. Applying the above to (g_{-1}, g_0) , we have that \mathbf{M} is simple by the simpleness of \mathbf{M}' . \square

In the rest of this subsection, we assume that $S = (\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S)$ is a finite log point as in (1). Let $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}) = \langle \gamma \rangle$ be as in (5). By [Ill02, Example 4.7 (a)], we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^{\text{gp}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)(\overline{\mathbf{k}})) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{két}}(S) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1, \quad (7)$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)$ denotes the product of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) = \varprojlim_r \mu_{\ell^r}(\overline{\mathbf{k}})$ for ℓ different from $\text{char}(\mathbf{k})$.

Proposition 2.14. Let $\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ be a log 1-motive over the log point S , and let ℓ be a prime number which is coprime to $\text{char}(\mathbf{k})$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} \in \pi_1^{\text{két}}(S)$ be a lifting of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ along the sequence (7), and let $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M}, \ell}$ (resp. $\rho_{G, \ell}$, resp. $\rho_{Y, \ell}$) denote the canonical action of $\pi_1^{\text{két}}(S)$ (resp. Γ , resp. Γ) on $T_\ell(\mathbf{M})$ (resp. $T_\ell(G)$, resp. $T_\ell(Y[1]) = Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$). Then we have the following.

(1) The characteristic polynomial $P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta)$ of $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})$ factors as

$$P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta) = P_{Y,\pi_Y}(\theta) \cdot P_{B,\pi_B}(\theta) \cdot P_{T,\pi_T}(\theta),$$

where $P_{Y,\pi_Y}(\theta)$, $P_{B,\pi_B}(\theta)$ and $P_{T,\pi_T}(\theta)$ are as in Lemma 2.7.

(2) The polynomial $P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta) \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ and is independent of the choice of ℓ .

(3) The polynomial $P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta)$ is independent of the choice of the lifting $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ .

(4) Let $f : \mathbf{M}' \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be an isogeny of log 1-motives. Then $T_\ell(f) : T_\ell(\mathbf{M}') \rightarrow T_\ell(\mathbf{M})$ is injective with finite cokernel. In particular, $P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M}',\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta) = P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta)$.

Proof. (1) Let $P_{\rho_{G,\ell}(\gamma)}(\theta)$ (resp. $P_{\rho_{Y,\ell}(\gamma)}(\theta)$) be the characteristic polynomial of the linear map $\rho_{G,\ell}(\gamma)$ (resp. $\rho_{Y,\ell}(\gamma)$). The restriction of $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})$ to $T_\ell(G)$ is $\rho_{G,\ell}(\gamma)$, and the map induced by $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})$ on $Y \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is $\rho_{Y,\ell}(\gamma)$. Therefore by the short exact sequence (6) we get

$$P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta) = P_{\rho_{G,\ell}(\gamma)}(\theta) \cdot P_{\rho_{Y,\ell}(\gamma)}(\theta).$$

Then the result follows from Lemma 2.7 (4) (applied to G as a 1-motive) and (5) in *loc.cit.*

(2) The result follows from (1), Proposition 2.5, and Lemma 2.7 (5).

(3) The result follows from (1).

(4) By the exact sequence (6), one is reduced to the case of Y and the case of G which are known. \square

Let \mathbf{M} be as above. By (2) and (3) of the proposition, the polynomial $P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta)$ is independent of the choice of ℓ and the choice of $\tilde{\gamma}$, we rewrite it as

$$P_{\mathbf{M},\gamma}(\theta) := P_{\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbf{M},\ell}(\tilde{\gamma})}(\theta) \tag{8}$$

and call it the *characteristic polynomial of γ on \mathbf{M}* by abuse of terminology.

Remark 2.15. By Proposition 2.14 (1), we have

$$P_{\mathbf{M},\gamma}(\theta) = P_{Y,\pi_Y}(\theta) \cdot P_{B,\pi_B}(\theta) \cdot P_{T,\pi_T}(\theta).$$

Moreover $P_{\mathbf{M},\gamma}(\theta)$ is invariant under isogeny by Proposition 2.14 (4).

2.3. Log abelian varieties with constant degeneration. Let S be an fs log scheme. We consider a log 1-motive $\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ over S .

Via the monodromy pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : Y \times X \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}}$ of \mathbf{M} , we define the subsheaf

$$\mathcal{H}om(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}})^{(Y)} \subset \mathcal{H}om(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}})$$

on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$ by letting $\mathcal{H}om(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}})^{(Y)}(U)$ to be:

$$\{\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}})^{(Y)}(U) : \text{for any } u \in U \text{ and } x \in X_{\bar{u}}, \text{ there exist } y_{u,x}, y'_{u,x} \in Y_{\bar{u}}, \text{ such that } \langle y_{u,x}, x \rangle_{\bar{u}} | \phi(x)_{\bar{u}} | \langle y'_{u,x}, x \rangle_{\bar{u}}\}.$$

for any $U \in (\text{fs}/S)$. Here \bar{u} is a geometric point over u , and in an integral monoid Q the relation $a | b$ for $a, b \in Q^{\text{gp}}$ means that $b = a \cdot c$ for some $c \in Q$.

We then define $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ as the preimage of $\mathcal{H}om(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}})^{(Y)}$ under the natural map

$$G_{\log} \rightarrow (G_{\log}/G)_{S_{\text{ét}}} \cong \mathcal{H}om(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}})$$

on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$. By [Zha17, Proposition 2.4], $G_{\log}^{(Y)}$ is also a sheaf on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$.

Definition 2.16. ([KKN08, Definition 3.3]) A log abelian variety with constant degeneration over S is a sheaf A of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$, which is isomorphic to $G_{\log}^{(Y)}/Y$ for a pointwise polarizable log 1-motive $[Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$.

A homomorphism of log abelian varieties with constant degeneration is just a homomorphism of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$. We denote the category of log abelian varieties with constant degeneration over S by

$$\text{LAV}_{\text{wCD}}.$$

If the abelian part of G is zero, we call A totally degenerate or without abelian part. We denote the full subcategory of LAV consisting of totally degenerate log abelian varieties with constant degeneration over S by

$$\text{LAV}_{\text{wCD}}^{\text{ab}=0}.$$

By [Zha17, Theorem 2.1], a log abelian variety with constant degeneration is also a sheaf on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$.

Definition 2.17. ([Zha17, Definition 3.1]) A homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ in LAV_{wCD} is called an isogeny, if f is a surjective map of sheaves on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$ and $\ker(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_{\text{r}}$, where $(\text{fin}/S)_{\text{r}}$ denotes the category of finite Kummer log flat group log schemes over S which are logarithmic analogues of finite flat group schemes, see [Zha17, Definition A.1] or [Kat23, Definition 1.3].

In this paper, we do not give the definition of log abelian varieties in general, see [KKN08, Definition 4.1]. This is because we are only concerned with S being a log point, over which log abelian varieties are always log abelian varieties with constant degeneration by [KKN08, Theorem 4.6].

The following theorem is very important for studying log abelian varieties with constant degeneration.

Theorem 2.18. ([KKN08, Theorem 3.4]) The association

$$\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}} \rightarrow \text{LAV}_{\text{wCD}}, \quad [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}] \mapsto G_{\log}^{(Y)}/Y$$

defines an equivalence from the category of pointwise polarizable log 1-motives over S to the category of log abelian varieties with constant degeneration over S .

Under the above equivalence of categories, suppose that a log abelian varieties A corresponds to a log 1-motive \mathbf{M} , we say \mathbf{M} is the log 1-motive corresponding to A and vice versa.

Proposition 2.19. Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be a homomorphism of log abelian varieties with constant degeneration over S , and let

$$(f_{-1}, f_0) : \mathbf{M} = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}' = [Y' \rightarrow G'_{\log}]$$

be the corresponding morphism of log 1-motives corresponding to f under the equivalence of categories from Theorem 2.18. Then f is an isogeny if and only if (f_{-1}, f_0) is an isogeny, and if this is the case, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(f_0) \rightarrow \ker(f) \rightarrow \text{coker}(f_{-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$.

Proof. Assume that f is an isogeny. Let $f_c : G \rightarrow G'$ be the homomorphism induced by f_0 (see Proposition [KKN08, Proposition 2.5]). For any $s \in S$, $f_{\bar{s}}$ is an isogeny. By the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) of [Zha17, Proposition 3.3], $(f_c)_{\bar{s}}$ is an isogeny and $(f_{-1})_{\bar{s}}$ is injective with finite cokernel.

Then f_c is faithfully flat with finite locally free kernel by Lemma A.3 (2) and f_{-1} is injective with cokernel finite locally constant by Lemma A.1. Therefore (f_{-1}, f_0) is an isogeny of log 1-motives.

Conversely, assume that (f_{-1}, f_0) is an isogeny. By the diagrams (2.10) and (2.12) from [Zha17] and [Kat21, Theorem 9.1], it suffices to show that the homomorphism $\tilde{f}_d : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$ in the diagram (2.12) of [Zha17] is an isomorphism. Note that both \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{M}' are pointwise polarizable, and thus they are non-degenerate (see [Zha17, Remark 2.2] for the notion of being non-degenerate). Let $f_t : T \rightarrow T'$ be the homomorphism induced by f_c on the torus parts, and let $f_l : X' \rightarrow X$ be the homomorphism induced by f_t on the character groups. By Lemma A.3 (2) the homomorphism f_t is surjective with finite locally free kernel, and thus f_l is injective with finite cokernel. Then the same argument from the proof of [Zha17, Lemma 3.2] works also here, and thus \tilde{f}_d is an isomorphism. Note that the short exact sequence in the statement also follows. \square

In the rest of this article, the base S will always be a log point. In particular, a log abelian variety over S will always be a log abelian variety with constant degeneration. We will call a log abelian variety with constant degeneration over S simply a *log abelian variety* over S , and write LAV_{wCD} and $\text{LAV}_{\text{wCD}}^{\text{ab}=0}$ simply as

$$\text{LAV and LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}$$

respectively.

The following definition is taken from [Zha17, Definition 3.2].

Definition 2.20. *A log abelian variety A over a log point S is called simple, if any non-zero injective homomorphism $A' \rightarrow A$ has to be an isomorphism.*

Proposition 2.21. *Let $A \in \text{LAV}$ be non-zero, and let $\mathbf{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}] \in \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$ be the object corresponding to A . Then A is simple if and only if \mathbf{M} is simple.*

Proof. Assume that A is simple. It suffices to show that any morphism

$$(f_{-1}, f_0) : \mathbf{M}_1 = [Y_1 \rightarrow G_{1 \log}] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$$

in $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$, which is injective as a morphism of complexes, has to be an isogeny. Let $f : A_1 \rightarrow A$ be the homomorphism in LAV induced by (f_{-1}, f_0) . Since $\mathbf{M}_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$, it satisfies the conditions in [Zha17, Lemma 3.4], and thus $\ker(f) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$. By [Zha17, Proposition 3.2 (3)], $A_1/\ker(f)$ is also a log abelian variety, and thus a log abelian subvariety of A . Since A is simple, f is an isogeny, and thus (f_{-1}, f_0) is an isogeny by Proposition 2.19.

Conversely, assume that \mathbf{M} is simple. Let $f : A' \rightarrow A$ be a non-zero injective homomorphism in LAV. We show that f is an isomorphism. Let $\mathbf{M}' = [Y' \xrightarrow{u'} G'_{\log}] \in \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{pPol}}$ be the log 1-motive corresponding to A' , and let $(f_{-1}, f_0) : \mathbf{M}' \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be the morphism corresponding to f . Let $f_c : G' \rightarrow G$ be the homomorphism induced by f_0 , let $f_t : T' \rightarrow T$ be the homomorphism induced by f_c , and let $f_l : X \rightarrow X'$ be the homomorphism of character groups induced by f_t . By the diagram [Zha17, (2.10)], the injectivity of f implies that f_c is injective, which further implies that f_t is injective and f_l is surjective. Then the surjectivity of f_l implies $\mathcal{H}om_S(X', \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_S(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}/\mathbb{G}_m)$, in particular the map $\tilde{f}_d : \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ corresponding to the middle vertical map of [Zha17, (2.12)] is injective, and thus $f_{-1} : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is injective by [Zha17, (2.12)]. By [Zha17, Proposition 2.3 (4)] and the injectivity of f_c , f_0 is injective. Since \mathbf{M} is simple, the morphism (f_{-1}, f_0) as an injective map of complexes has to be an isogeny of log 1-motives. Then the inclusion f is also an isogeny by Proposition 2.19, and thus it is an isomorphism. Therefore A is simple. \square

The simpleness of log abelian varieties is preserved under isogeny.

Proposition 2.22. *Let $f : A' \rightarrow A$ be an isogeny of log abelian varieties. Then A is simple if and only if A' is simple.*

Proof. Let $(f_{-1}, f_0) : M' \rightarrow M$ be the morphism corresponding to f . By Proposition 2.19, (f_{-1}, f_0) is an isogeny. Then the result follows from Proposition 2.21 and Proposition 2.13. \square

We end this subsection with the following

Definition 2.23. *Let \mathbf{k} , S and γ be as in Proposition 2.14. Let A be a log abelian variety over the log point S and $M = [Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$ the corresponding log 1-motive. We define the characteristic polynomial $P_{A,\gamma}$ of γ on A to be the characteristic polynomial of γ on M (see (8)).*

Remark 2.24. *Clearly this polynomial $P_{A,\gamma}$ for log abelian varieties satisfies similar properties as listed in Proposition 2.14 for log 1-motives. In particular, it is invariant under isogeny.*

3. LATTICE PAIRINGS

3.1. Lattice pairings. Let \mathbf{k} be a field, let P be a sharp fs monoid, and let $S = (\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S)$ be a log point such that its log structure M_S admits a chart $P_S \rightarrow M_S$ satisfying $P \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S)/\mathbf{k}^\times$ (here P_S is the constant sheaf over S associated to P). We will identify P with $\Gamma(\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S)/\mathbf{k}^\times$ via this isomorphism.

We fix $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$ an algebraic closure of the field \mathbf{k} , and let $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k})$ be the absolute Galois group of \mathbf{k} . Note that P^{gp} is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank. We will define three categories in the following

$$\text{Mod}_\Gamma, \quad \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P, \quad \text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}},$$

which will be used to study the “pure log part” (with respect to the given chart of S) of log abelian varieties over S .

Definition 3.1. *We write*

$$\text{Mod}_\Gamma$$

for the category of free Γ -modules:

- (1) *A free Γ -module is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank M (equipped with the discrete topology) together with a continuous action of Γ (note that any such action of Γ necessarily factors through a finite quotient of Γ).*
- (2) *A morphism of free Γ -modules is a \mathbb{Z} -linear Γ -equivariant map $M \rightarrow N$.*

We also call an object of Mod_Γ a lattice.

A morphism $M \rightarrow N$ in Mod_Γ is called an *isogeny* if it is injective and has finite cokernel. In this case, we say that M is isogenous to N (by the morphism $M \rightarrow N$). By Lemma 3.2 below, M is isogenous to N if and only if N is isogenous to M .

Lemma 3.2. *Let M, N be two objects in Mod_Γ . For l injective Γ -equivariant \mathbb{Z} -linear maps $F_i : M \rightarrow N$ with finite cokernel ($i = 1, \dots, l$), there are \mathbb{Z} -linear maps $G_i : N \rightarrow M$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) and a positive integer r such that*

$$F_i \circ G_i = r \times \text{Id}_N, \quad G_i \circ F_i = r \times \text{Id}_M, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l.$$

Proof. Let r be a positive integer which kills $\text{coker}(F_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. Since the composition $N \xrightarrow{r} N \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \text{coker}(F_i)$ is trivial in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & N & & & \\ & & \swarrow & \downarrow r & \searrow & & \\ & & G_i & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{F_i} & N & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \text{coker } F_i \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

there exists a unique map $G_i : N \rightarrow M$ such that $F_i \circ G_i = r \times \text{Id}_N$. The equality $G_i \circ F_i = r \times \text{Id}_M$ is an easy exercise of matrices. \square

For $M \in \text{Mod}_\Gamma$, the *dual* of M is

$$M^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which is equipped with the induced action of Γ : for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $f \in M^\vee$ and $m \in M$, $(\gamma f)(m) = f(\gamma^{-1}m)$. Thus the natural pairing between M and M^\vee is Γ -equivariant

$$M \times M^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (m, f) \mapsto f(m) = (\gamma f)(\gamma m). \quad (9)$$

Moreover we have a canonical isomorphism in Mod_Γ

$$M \simeq (M^\vee)^\vee.$$

For a morphism $\psi : M \rightarrow N$ in Mod_Γ , we write $\psi^\vee : N^\vee \rightarrow M^\vee$ for the induced morphism on the duals of M and N .

Definition 3.3. A free Γ -module M is called *simple*, if there is no proper \mathbb{Z} -submodule M' of M stable under Γ such that M/M' is an abelian group of rank > 0 . In other words, M is simple if there is no proper \mathbb{Z} -submodule M' of M stable under Γ such that $M' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a proper subspace of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Simple objects in Mod_Γ are preserved under isogenies:

Lemma 3.4. Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an isogeny in Mod_Γ . Then M is a simple free Γ -module if and only if so is M' .

Proof. The proof is easy, and we leave it as an exercise to the reader. \square

Definition 3.5. We write

$$\text{Mor}_\Gamma^P$$

for the following category:

- (1) Objects are tuples $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ where M, N are free Γ -modules and $\langle -, - \rangle : M \times N \rightarrow P^{\text{gp}}$ is a Γ -equivariant bilinear map. We give $\text{Hom}(N, P^{\text{gp}})$ the natural Γ -module structure: for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $f \in \text{Hom}(N, P^{\text{gp}})$ and $x \in N$, $(\gamma f)(x) = f(\gamma^{-1}x)$. The bilinear map $\langle -, - \rangle$ is naturally identified with a morphism

$$\Phi : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, P^{\text{gp}}) = N^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}}$$

in Mod_Γ . So we also write (M, N, Φ) for $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$.

(2) *A morphism*

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) : (M, N, \Phi) \rightarrow (M', N', \Phi')$$

is a pair of morphisms $\psi_1 : M \rightarrow M'$ and $\psi_2 : N' \rightarrow N$ in Mod_Γ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Hom}(N, P^{\text{gp}}) = N^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}} \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \otimes \text{Id}_{P^{\text{gp}}} \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & \text{Hom}(N', P^{\text{gp}}) = (N')^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}} \end{array} \quad (10)$$

We also call an object of Mor_Γ^P a lattice pairing with respect to P or simply a lattice pairing when the context is clear.

Given $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P$, we get another object $(N, M, \langle -, - \rangle^*) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P$, where $\langle -, - \rangle^* : N \times M \rightarrow P^{\text{gp}}$ is the pairing obtained by switching the two factors of $\langle -, - \rangle$. We call this object the *dual* of $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$. Let $\Phi^* : N \rightarrow M^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}}$ be the morphism corresponding to Φ and $\langle -, - \rangle$ under the following natural identifications

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(M, N^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(M \otimes N, P^{\text{gp}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(N, M^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}}),$$

then the dual of $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle) = (M, N, \Phi)$ can be expressed as $(N, M, \langle -, - \rangle^*) = (N, M, \Phi^*)$.

A morphism ψ is called an *isogeny* if both ψ_1 and ψ_2 are isogenies in Mod_Γ . In this case, we say that (M, N, Φ) is *isogenous* to (M', N', Φ') . It is not hard to see that (M, N, Φ) is isogenous to (M', N', Φ') if and only if (M', N', Φ') is isogenous to (M, N, Φ) . Indeed, if (M, N, Φ) is isogenous to (M', N', Φ') , then by Lemma 3.2, we can find morphisms $\psi'_1 : M' \rightarrow M$ and $\psi'_2 : N \rightarrow N'$ in Mod_Γ such that $\psi'_1 \circ \psi_1 = r \times \text{Id}_M$ and $\psi_2 \circ \psi'_2 = r \times \text{Id}_N$ for a positive integer r . So in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Hom}(N, P^{\text{gp}}) \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \otimes \text{Id}_{P^{\text{gp}}} \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & \text{Hom}(N', P^{\text{gp}}) \\ \downarrow \psi'_1 & & \downarrow (\psi'_2)^\vee \otimes \text{Id}_{P^{\text{gp}}} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Hom}(N, P^{\text{gp}}) \end{array}$$

both the upper square and the bigger square commute, then so does the lower square. This implies that $\psi' = (\psi'_1, \psi'_2) : (M', N', \Phi') \rightarrow (M, N, \Phi)$ is an isogeny.

A *polarization* on $(M, N, \Phi) = (M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a morphism of the form

$$(\lambda, \lambda) : (M, N, \Phi) \rightarrow (N, M, \Phi^*)$$

such that

- (1) $\lambda : M \rightarrow N$ an isogeny in Mod_Γ ,
- (2) and for any $m \in M \setminus \{0\}$, $\Phi(m)(\lambda(m)) \in P \setminus \{0\}$ (recall that P is sharp, i.e. $P^\times = \{0\}$).

Note that (λ, λ) being a morphism means that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & N^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}} \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda^\vee \otimes \text{Id}_{P^{\text{gp}}} \\ N & \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} & M^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}} \end{array}$$

commutes, which amounts to that the bilinear map $\langle -, \lambda(-) \rangle : M \times M \rightarrow P^{\text{gp}}$ is symmetric. For $P = \mathbb{N}$, the above condition (2) means that the symmetric bilinear map $\langle -, \lambda(-) \rangle$ is positive definite after we embed \mathbb{N} into \mathbb{R} by $1 \mapsto 1$. By abuse of terminology, we will simply call λ a *polarization* of (M, N, Φ) .

We call (M, N, Φ) *polarizable* if it has a polarization. We call (M, N, Φ) *pointwise polarizable*, if there exists an open subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ such that (M, N, Φ) is polarizable as an object of $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$.

Definition 3.6. We denote the full subcategory of Mor_{Γ}^P consisting of the pointwise polarizable objects by

$$\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}.$$

Definition 3.7. An object $(M, N, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$ is called *simple*, if any morphism

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) : (M', N', \Phi') \rightarrow (M, N, \Phi)$$

in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$ with both ψ_1 and ψ_2^\vee injective, must be an isogeny.

Here are several properties of lattice pairings in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^P, \text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$ that are preserved under isogeny.

Proposition 3.8. (1) Let $(\psi_1, \psi_2) : (M', N', \Phi') \rightarrow (M, N, \Phi)$ be an isogeny in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$. Then (M', N', Φ') is simple if and only if so is (M, N, Φ) .

(2) Let $(\psi_1, \psi_2) : (M', N', \Phi') \rightarrow (M, N, \Phi)$ be a morphism in Mor_{Γ}^P with ψ_1 injective. If (M, N, Φ) is polarizable (resp. pointwise polarizable), then so is (M', N', Φ') . In particular, if (ψ_1, ψ_2) is an isogeny, then (M, N, Φ) is polarizable (resp. pointwise polarizable) if and only if so is (M', N', Φ') .

Proof. (1) Assume that (M, N, Φ) is simple. We show that (M', N', Φ') is simple. Let $(\iota_1, \iota_2) : (M'_1, N'_1, \Phi'_1) \rightarrow (M', N', \Phi')$ be a morphism in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$ with ι_1 and ι_2^\vee injective. Since $\psi_1 \circ \iota_1$ and $(\iota_2 \circ \psi_2)^\vee$ are both injective and (M, N, Φ) is simple, $(\psi_1 \circ \iota_1, \iota_2 \circ \psi_2)$ is an isogeny. It follows that (ι_1, ι_2) is also an isogeny. Therefore (M', N', Φ') is simple.

Assume that (M', N', Φ') is simple. We show that (M, N, Φ) is simple. Let n be a positive integer which kills both $\text{coker}(\psi_1)$ and $\text{coker}(\psi_2)$. Then we have $(n_{M'}, n_{N'}) = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \circ (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ with $(\phi_1, \phi_2) : (M, N, \Phi) \rightarrow (M', N', \Phi')$ an isogeny. Then we are reduced to the above case.

(2) Suppose (M, N, Φ) is polarizable in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ with polarization $\lambda : M \rightarrow N$ for an open subgroup Γ' of Γ . Then we claim that

$$\lambda' = \psi_2 \circ \lambda \circ \psi_1 : M' \rightarrow N'$$

is a polarization for $(M', N', \Phi') = (M', N', \langle -, - \rangle')$ in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$. Indeed, for $m'_1, m'_2 \in M'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m'_1, \lambda'(m'_2) \rangle' &= \langle m'_1, (\psi_2 \circ \lambda \circ \psi_1)(m'_2) \rangle' = \langle \psi_1(m'_1), \lambda(\psi_1(m'_2)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_1(m'_2), \lambda(\psi_1(m'_1)) \rangle = \langle m'_2, \lambda'(m'_1) \rangle'. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $m'_1 \neq 0$, then $\psi_1(m'_1) \neq 0$, thus

$$\langle m'_1, \lambda'(m'_1) \rangle' = \langle \psi_1(m'_1), \lambda(\psi_1(m'_1)) \rangle \in P \setminus \{0\}.$$

□

We have the following simple observation.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $(M, N, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$, then M is a simple free Γ -module if and only if so is N . Moreover, if M is a simple free Γ -module, then (M, N, Φ) is simple.*

Now suppose $P = \mathbb{N}$. If (M, N, Φ) is simple, then M is a simple free Γ -module (or equivalently N is a simple free Γ -module).

Proof. We first show that for such a lattice pairing, M is a simple free Γ -module if and only if so is N . We write $P^{\text{gp}} = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\pi_i: P^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ for the projection to the i -th component. Then we have Γ -equivariant pairings

$$\langle -, - \rangle_i = \pi_i \circ \langle -, - \rangle: M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is clear that $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the zero pairing if and only if $\langle -, - \rangle_i$ is the zero pairing for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now we argue by contradiction: suppose M is a simple free Γ -module while N is a free Γ -module that is not simple. Since $(M, N, \Phi) = (M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is pointwise polarizable, M and N have the same \mathbb{Z} -rank, it follows that any Γ -equivariant pairing $M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is zero, thus so are all these $\langle -, - \rangle_i$. Therefore $\langle -, - \rangle = 0$, that is, $\Phi = 0$. However, since (M, N, Φ) is polarizable as an object in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ for some open subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$, in particular, there is a polarization $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$ of $(M, N, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$. So for any non-zero $m \in M$, we have

$$\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle = \Phi(m)(\lambda(m)) \neq 0.$$

This contradicts $\Phi = 0$ and we have shown that M is a simple free Γ -module if and only if so is N .

The first part of the lemma is easy.

For the second part, suppose that M is not a simple free Γ -module, so in particular there is a proper free Γ -submodule \widetilde{M} of M such that M/\widetilde{M} has rank > 0 . Then it is easy to see that $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{M}^\vee, \text{Id}_{\widetilde{M}})$ is an object in Mor_{Γ}^P together with a morphism $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2): (\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{M}^\vee, \text{Id}_{\widetilde{M}}) \rightarrow (M, N, \Phi)$, where $\psi_1: \widetilde{M} \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion map and ψ_2 is given by the dual of the composition $\widetilde{M} \hookrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\Phi} N^\vee$ (see the commutative diagram below).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \widetilde{M} \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & N^\vee \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.8 (2), we know $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{M}^\vee, \text{Id}_{\widetilde{M}}) \in \text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$. By definition, (M, N, Φ) is not simple. This finishes the proof.

□

Remark 3.10. *The second part of Lemma 3.9 does not hold for sharpfs monoid P with $\text{rk}(P^{\text{gp}}) > 1$. For example, let $P = \mathbb{N}^2$, $M = \mathbb{Z}^2 = N$, and we endow M and N with the trivial action of Γ . Any map $\Delta: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, P^{\text{gp}}) = (N^\vee)^2$ is determined by its two projections $\Delta_i: M \xrightarrow{\Delta} (N^\vee)^2 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_i} N^\vee$. Under the canonical basis of M and N^\vee , we let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be represented by*

2×2 -matrices $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. And let $\Phi : M \rightarrow N^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}}$ be the map corresponding to (Φ_1, Φ_2) . One can check that $(M, N, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$ with a polarization given by $\lambda = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^2} : M \rightarrow N$.

We can show that (M, N, Φ) is simple. Otherwise, there is a sub-object $(\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{Z}, \widetilde{N} = \mathbb{Z}, \widetilde{\Phi})$ of (M, N, Φ) where $\widetilde{\Phi}_1(1) = r_1$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(1) = r_2$ for integers $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is, we have the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Phi}_1: 1 \mapsto r_1} \widetilde{N}^\vee & & \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Phi}_2: 1 \mapsto r_2} \widetilde{N}^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & N^\vee \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Phi}_2: 1 \mapsto r_2} \widetilde{N}^\vee & & \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Phi}_1: 1 \mapsto r_1} \widetilde{N}^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & N^\vee \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that r_1, r_2 are both non-zero: indeed, the maps Φ_1, Φ_2 are injective (as the matrices A_1, A_2 are non-singular), thus the commutativity of the above diagrams shows that $\Phi_1|_{\widetilde{M}} = \widetilde{\Phi}_1$ is injective, and thus $r_1 \neq 0$. Similarly we have $r_2 \neq 0$.

Choose a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of M such that $\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{Z}ae_1$ for a positive integer a , similarly choose a basis $\{e'_1, e'_2\}$ of N^\vee such that $\widetilde{N}^\vee = \mathbb{Z}a'e'_1$ for a positive integer a' . From the commutativity of the above diagrams, one deduces immediately that under these new basis, the morphisms Φ_1 and Φ_2 are both represented by upper triangular 2×2 -matrices B_1, B_2 with entries in \mathbb{Z} . In particular, $B_1^{-1}B_2$ is an upper triangular matrix with entries in \mathbb{Q} . On the other hand, write C (resp. D) for the transition matrix (which are elements in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$) between the canonical basis and the new basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ (resp. $\{e'_1, e'_2\}$) of M (resp. N^\vee). Therefore

$$B_1 = D^{-1}A_1C, \quad B_2 = D^{-1}A_2C.$$

Thus the upper triangular matrix $B_1^{-1}B_2 = C^{-1}A_1^{-1}A_2C$ is similar to the matrix $A_1^{-1}A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ via the matrix $C \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The diagonal entries of $B_1^{-1}B_2 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ are rational numbers and are equal to the eigenvalues of $A_1^{-1}A_2$. However it is clear that the eigenvalues of $A_1^{-1}A_2$ are irrational numbers, which is a contradiction. This shows that (M, N, Φ) is a simple object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$. On the other hand, neither M nor N is simple in Mod_Γ .

3.2. Lattice pairings and log 1-motives without abelian part. Now we are going to relate the category Mor_Γ^P (resp. $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$) to the category $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}$) of log 1-motives (resp. pointwise polarizable log 1-motives) without abelian part over S .

Since the objects of $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$ are certain two-term complexes, it carries a structure of additive category in a natural way. We refer to [SP23, Tag 09SE, Tag 010M] for the definition of additive category and related. For similar reason, the category Mor_Γ^P is also naturally an additive category.

Let (M, N, Φ) be an object of Mor_Γ^P , and let $T := \mathcal{H}om_S(N, \mathbb{G}_m) = N^\vee \otimes \mathbb{G}_m$. The map Φ induces a map $M \rightarrow N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}}$ which we still denote by Φ . The chart $P_S \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_S$ induces a map $P_S^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}$ which we still denote by α . The composition

$$u_\Phi : M \xrightarrow{\Phi} N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes \alpha} N^\vee \otimes \mathbb{G}_{m, \log} = T_{\log} \quad (11)$$

gives rise to a log 1-motive without abelian part $\mathbf{M}_\Phi := [M \xrightarrow{u_\Phi} T_{\log}]$. The association of \mathbf{M}_Φ to (M, N, Φ) clearly gives rise to a functor

$$\mathbf{M}_- : \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}, \quad (M, N, \Phi) \mapsto \mathbf{M}_\Phi. \quad (12)$$

The functor \mathbf{M}_- admits a left inverse constructed as follows. Let $\mathbf{M} = [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}]$ be a log 1-motive without abelian part, and let $N := \mathcal{H}om_S(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ be the character group of the torus T . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{u} & T_{\log} & \xrightarrow{a} & T_{\log}/T \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & N^\vee \otimes \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b} & N^\vee \otimes (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}} \end{array}$$

where a and b are the canonical quotient maps. The restriction of $(\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}}$ to the small étale site of $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ is just the constant sheaf associated to P_S^{gp} , and M and N are étale locally constant. Therefore the restriction of $(\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b) \circ u$ to the small étale site of $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ is of the form

$$M \xrightarrow{u} N^\vee \otimes M_S^{\text{gp}} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b} N^\vee \otimes M_S^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_S^\times = N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}} \quad (13)$$

which amounts to a map $\Phi_u : M \rightarrow N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}}$ in Mod_Γ . The association of (M, N, Φ_u) to \mathbf{M} gives rise to a functor

$$\mathbf{LP} : \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P, \quad \mathbf{M} = [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}] \mapsto (M, N, \Phi_u). \quad (14)$$

Proposition 3.11. *The functor \mathbf{LP} is left inverse to the functor \mathbf{M}_- .*

Proof. Let $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) : (M, N, \Phi) \rightarrow (M', N', \Phi')$ be a morphism in Mor_Γ^P . Then $\mathbf{M}_-(\psi)$ is given by the outer rectangle of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}} & \longrightarrow & N^\vee \otimes \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \\ \psi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \otimes \text{Id} & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \otimes \text{Id} \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & N'^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}} & \longrightarrow & N'^\vee \otimes \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \end{array}$$

And thus $\mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M}_-(\psi))$ is given by restricting the outer rectangle of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}} & \longrightarrow & N^\vee \otimes \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} & \longrightarrow & N^\vee \otimes (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}} \\ \psi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \otimes \text{Id} & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \otimes \text{Id} & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \otimes \text{Id} \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & N'^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}} & \longrightarrow & N'^\vee \otimes \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} & \longrightarrow & N'^\vee \otimes (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}} \end{array}$$

to the small étale site of $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$. Since $P_S \rightarrow M_S \rightarrow M_S/\mathbf{k}^\times = P_S$ is the identity map, we have that $\mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M}_\Phi)$ is given by Φ , i.e. $\mathbf{LP} \circ \mathbf{M}_-((M, N, \Phi)) = \mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M}_\Phi) = (M, N, \Phi)$. Similarly, we also have $\mathbf{LP} \circ \mathbf{M}_-((M', N', \Phi')) = (M', N', \Phi')$ and it is easy to see that $\mathbf{LP} \circ \mathbf{M}_-(\psi) = \psi$ from the above diagram. Hence \mathbf{LP} is left inverse to \mathbf{M}_- . \square

Proposition 3.12. (1) *The functor \mathbf{M}_- is additive and fully faithful.*

(2) *The functor \mathbf{M}_- sends the dual of (M, N, Φ) to the dual of \mathbf{M}_Φ .*

(3) *A map ψ in Mor_Γ^P is an isogeny (resp. polarization) if and only if $\mathbf{M}_-(\psi)$ is an isogeny (resp. polarization) of log 1-motives.*

(4) *The functor \mathbf{M}_- restricts to a functor*

$$\mathbf{M}_- : \text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}.$$

Proof. Let $(M, N, \Phi), (M', N', \Phi')$ be two objects of Mor_Γ^P . A morphism

$$\mathbf{M}_\Phi = [M \xrightarrow{u_\Phi} T_{\log}] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\Phi'} = [M' \xrightarrow{u_{\Phi'}} T'_{\log}]$$

of log 1-motives consists of $f_{-1} : M \rightarrow M'$ and $f_0 : T_{\log} \rightarrow T'_{\log}$ satisfying $f_0 \circ u_\Phi = u_{\Phi'} \circ f_{-1}$. By [KKN08, Proposition 2.5], we have $\text{Hom}_S(T_{\log}, T'_{\log}) = \text{Hom}_S(T, T') = \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(N', N)$. Let $g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(N', N)$ corresponding to f_0 , and the equality $f_0 \circ u_\Phi = u_{\Phi'} \circ f_{-1}$ amounts to $(g^\vee \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}}) \circ \Phi = \Phi' \circ f_{-1}$. And the latter equality implies that $(g^\vee \otimes \text{Id}_{P_{\text{gp}}}) \circ \Phi = \Phi' \circ f_{-1}$, and one can check that $(f_{-1}, f_0) = \mathbf{M}_-((f_{-1}, g))$. It follows that the functor \mathbf{M}_- is full. The faithfulness follows from Proposition 3.11.

The rest is easy, and we leave it to the reader. \square

Proposition 3.13. (1) *The functor \mathbf{LP} is additive and fully faithful.*

(2) *The functor \mathbf{LP} sends the dual of \mathbf{M} to the dual of $\mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M})$.*

(3) *A map ψ in $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$ is an isogeny (resp. polarization) if and only if $\mathbf{LP}(\psi)$ is an isogeny (resp. polarization) in Mor_Γ^P .*

(4) *The functor \mathbf{LP} restricts to a functor*

$$\mathbf{LP} : \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}.$$

Proof. The proofs are easy, and we leave them to the reader. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Recall that $\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}$ denotes the category of log abelian varieties without abelian part over S . The functors \mathbf{M}_- and \mathbf{LP} induce functors*

$$\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}} \rightarrow \text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$$

respectively. And the second functor is left inverse to the first functor.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.11 and Theorem 2.18. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Let $\mathbf{M} = [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}]$ be an object of $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$. We denote $\mathbf{M}_- \circ \mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M})$ by $[M \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} T_{\log}]$, and let $u^c := u - u^{\log}$. Then*

(1) *u^c factors through $T \hookrightarrow T_{\log}$;*

(2) *$\mathbf{M}_- \circ \mathbf{LP}([M \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} T_{\log}]) = [M \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} T_{\log}]$;*

(3) *and \mathbf{M} lies in the image of the functor \mathbf{M}_- if and only if $u^c = 0$.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from the claim that the composition

$$M \xrightarrow{u^c} T_{\log} \xrightarrow{a} T_{\log}/T = N^\vee \otimes (\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{ét}}}$$

is the zero map. We are reduced to show the claim. Since both M and N are étale locally constant, it suffices to check the vanishing of the composition

$$M \xrightarrow{u^c} N^\vee \otimes M_S^{\text{gp}} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b} N^\vee \otimes M_S^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_S^\times = N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}}$$

on the small étale site of $\text{Spec } k$, where P_S^{gp} denotes the constant sheaf associated to P^{gp} . By the constructions (11) and (13), we have that $(\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b) \circ u^{\log}$ is just the composition

$$M \xrightarrow{u} N^\vee \otimes M_S^{\text{gp}} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b} N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes \alpha} N^\vee \otimes M_S^{\text{gp}} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b} N^\vee \otimes P_S^{\text{gp}}.$$

Since $(\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b) \circ (\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes \alpha) = \text{Id}$, we get $(\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b) \circ u^{\log} = (\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b) \circ u$ and thus $(\text{Id}_{N^\vee} \otimes b) \circ u^c = 0$.

Part (2) follows from $\mathbf{LP} \circ \mathbf{M}_- = \text{Id}_{\text{Mor}_\Gamma^P}$.

Assume $u^c = 0$, then $u = u^{\log}$, and thus $\mathbf{M} = [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}] = [M \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} T_{\log}] = \mathbf{M}_- \circ \mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M})$ lies in the image of \mathbf{M}_- . Conversely, assume $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_-((M, N, \Phi))$ for some $(M, N, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P$. By definition u^{\log} is the map for the log 1-motive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_- \circ \mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M}) &= \mathbf{M}_- \circ \mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M}_-((M, N, \Phi))) = \mathbf{M}_- \circ (\mathbf{LP} \circ \mathbf{M}_-)((M, N, \Phi)) \\ &= \mathbf{M}_-((M, N, \Phi)) = \mathbf{M}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $u^{\log} = u$, and thus $u^c = 0$. \square

Definition 3.16. Let $\mathbf{M} = [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}]$ be an object of $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$. We call $[M \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} T_{\log}]$ (resp. $[M \xrightarrow{u^c} T_{\log}]$) the log (resp. classical) part of \mathbf{M} with respect to the given chart $P_S \rightarrow M_S$. We also call $[M \xrightarrow{u^c} T]$ the classical part of \mathbf{M} by abuse of terminology.

We denote by $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^P \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ the isogeny category associated to Mor_Γ^P , i.e. $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^P \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ has the same objects as Mor_Γ^P and

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mor}_\Gamma^P \otimes \mathbb{Q}}((M, N, \Phi), (M', N', \Phi')) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Mor}_\Gamma^P}((M, N, \Phi), (M', N', \Phi')) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Similarly we denote by $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$) the isogeny category associated to $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$ (resp. $\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}$).

Lemma 3.17. Let \mathcal{C} be either Mor_Γ^P , or $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$, or $\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}$.

- (1) For any isogeny $\psi : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$ in \mathcal{C} , there exist a map $\rho : \mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ in \mathcal{C} and a positive integer n such that $\rho \circ \psi = n_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\psi \circ \rho = n_{\mathfrak{b}}$, where $n_{(-)}$ denotes the multiplication-by- n map on $(-)$.
- (2) A map in $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism if and only if it is of the form $\psi \otimes a$ with ψ an isogeny in \mathcal{C} and $a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$.

Proof. Clearly part (2) follows from part (1). Below we show part (1).

First we consider the case of Mor_Γ^P . The isogeny ψ amounts to a pair $(M \xrightarrow{\psi_1} M', N' \xrightarrow{\psi_2} N)$ in Mod_Γ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & N^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}} \\ \psi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \otimes \text{Id}_{P^{\text{gp}}} \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & N'^\vee \otimes P^{\text{gp}} \end{array}$$

is commutative, and ψ_1 and ψ_2 are injective with finite cokernel. By Lemma 3.2, there exists a positive integer n , $\rho_1 : M' \rightarrow M$ and $\rho_2 : N \rightarrow N'$ such that $\rho_1 \circ \psi_1 = n_M$, $\psi_1 \circ \rho_1 = n_{M'}$, $\psi_2 \circ \rho_2 = n_N$, and $\rho_2 \circ \psi_2 = n_{N'}$. Then the pair (ρ_1, ρ_2) is the map with the required property.

Now we consider the case of $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$. Given an isogeny

$$\psi = (f_{-1}, f_0) : [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}] \rightarrow [M' \xrightarrow{u'} T'_{\log}],$$

we have that f_{-1} is injective with finite cokernel and the map $f_0^c : T \rightarrow T'$ induced by f_0 is an isogeny of tori. Let n be a positive integer such that n kills $\text{coker}(f_{-1})$ and $\ker(f_0^c)$. Then there exists a map $g_{-1} : M' \rightarrow M$ and a map $g_0^c : T' \rightarrow T$ such that $g_{-1} \circ f_{-1} = n_M$, $g_0^c \circ f_0^c = n_T$, $f_{-1} \circ g_{-1} = n_{M'}$, and $f_0^c \circ g_0^c = n_{T'}$. Let $g_0 : T'_{\log} \rightarrow T_{\log}$ be the map induced by g_0^c . Then the pair (g_{-1}, g_0) is the map with required property.

At last, the case of $\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}$ follows from the case of $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0}$ with the help of Theorem 2.18 and Proposition 2.19. \square

Proposition 3.18. *Let $\mathbf{M} = [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}]$ be an object of $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}$ and let $(M, N, \Phi) := \mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M})$ which belongs to $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$ by Proposition 3.13 (4).*

- (1) *Assume that (M, N, Φ) is simple in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$. Then \mathbf{M} is simple in $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}$.*
- (2) *Assume that \mathbf{M} is simple in $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}$ and \mathbf{k} is a finite field. Then (M, N, Φ) is simple in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$.*

Proof. Assume that (M, N, Φ) is simple. We show that \mathbf{M} is simple. It suffices to show that any morphism $(f_{-1}, f_0) : \mathbf{M}' = [M' \xrightarrow{u'} T'_{\log}] \rightarrow [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}] = \mathbf{M}$ with both f_{-1} and f_0 injective has to be an isogeny. Let $f_c : T \rightarrow T'$ be the morphism induced by f_0 , and let $f_1 : N' \rightarrow N$ be the corresponding morphism of character groups. The injectivity of f_0 implies that of f_c , and thus f_1 is surjective. Further one sees that f_1^{\vee} is injective. Since (M, N, Φ) is simple, the morphism $\mathbf{LP}((f_{-1}, f_0)) = (f_{-1}, f_1)$ has to be an isogeny by definition (see Definition 3.7). Then (f_{-1}, f_0) is also an isogeny by Proposition 3.13 (3).

Assume that \mathbf{M} is simple and \mathbf{k} is finite. We show that $\mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M})$ is simple. Let $[M \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} T_{\log}] := \mathbf{M}_- \circ \mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M})$ and $u^c : M \rightarrow T$ be as in Proposition 3.15. Since \mathbf{k} is finite, there exists a positive integer n such that $nu^c = 0$. Then $(1_M, n_{T_{\log}}) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow [M \xrightarrow{nu} T_{\log}]$ is an isogeny and

$$\mathbf{M}_-((M, N, n\Phi)) = \mathbf{M}_- \circ \mathbf{LP}([M \xrightarrow{nu} T_{\log}]) = [M \xrightarrow{nu} T_{\log}].$$

By Proposition 2.13, $[M \xrightarrow{nu} T_{\log}]$ is simple. By Proposition 3.13 (3), $\mathbf{LP}(1_M, n_{T_{\log}})$ is an isogeny, and thus we are reduced to show that $\mathbf{LP}([M \xrightarrow{nu} T_{\log}]) = (M, N, n\Phi)$ is simple by Proposition 3.8 (1). Let

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) : (M', N', \Phi') \rightarrow (M, N, n\Phi)$$

be a morphism in Mor_{Γ}^P with both ψ_1 and ψ_2^{\vee} injective. We claim that $\text{coker}(\psi_2)$ is finite. For this, we may assume that both N and N' have trivial Γ -action. Then the result follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{coker}(\psi_2^{\vee}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\psi_2} N' \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\text{coker}(\psi_2^{\vee}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $\overline{N}' := \text{im}(\psi_2)$ and thus ψ_2 factors as $N \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}_2} \overline{N}' \xrightarrow{\iota} N'$. Then the two factors of $\mathbf{M}_-(\psi_1, \overline{\psi}_2)$ are both injective. By the simpleness of $\mathbf{M}_-((M, N, n\Phi)) = [M \xrightarrow{nu} T_{\log}]$, $\mathbf{M}_-(\psi_1, \overline{\psi}_2)$ has to be an isogeny, and thus $(\psi_1, \overline{\psi}_2)$ is an isogeny by Proposition 3.12 (3). It follows that (ψ_1, ψ_2) is also an isogeny. Therefore $\mathbf{LP}(\mathbf{M})$ is simple. \square

4. LOG ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FINITE LOG POINTS

We assume in the rest of this article that $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ is finite, so as in (5), we have

$$\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}) = \langle \gamma \rangle \text{ with } \gamma : \overline{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{k}}, x \mapsto x^q.$$

4.1. Decomposition of log abelian varieties up to isogeny over finite log points. Assume that $S = (\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}, M_S)$ be an fs log point.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Y be a group scheme over \mathbf{k} which is étale locally isomorphic to a free abelian group of finite rank. Let G be an extension of an abelian variety B by a torus T over \mathbf{k} .*

- (1) *The groups $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(Y, G)$ and $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{k}}^1(B, T)$ are finite.*

- (2) Let $M := [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ be a log 1-motive over S . Then there exists a positive integer n s.t. $Y \xrightarrow{nu} G_{\log}$ factors through $T_{\log} \hookrightarrow G_{\log}$.
- (3) There exists a positive integer m such that the pullback of the extension G of B by T along $B \xrightarrow{m} B$ splits.

Proof. (1) Let k' be a finite field extension of k such that $Y \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k' \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $T \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k' \cong \mathbb{G}_m^s$.

We have $\text{Hom}_k(Y, G) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{k'}(Y, G) = G(k')^r$. Since k' is a finite field, $G(k')$ is finite and thus $\text{Hom}_k(Y, G)$ is finite.

Since $\mathcal{H}om_k(B, T) = 0$, we have $\text{Ext}_k^1(B, T) \cong H^0(\text{Spec } k, \mathcal{E}xt_k^1(B, T))$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\text{Spec } k, \mathcal{E}xt_k^1(B, T)) &\hookrightarrow H^0(\text{Spec } k', \mathcal{E}xt_k^1(B, T)) \\ &= H^0(\text{Spec } k', \mathcal{E}xt_{k'}^1(B \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k', \mathbb{G}_m^s)) \\ &= B^*(k')^s, \end{aligned}$$

where B^* denotes the dual abelian variety of B . Since $B^*(k')$ is finite, we get the finiteness of $\text{Ext}_k^1(B, T)$.

(2) Let v be the composition $Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log} \rightarrow B$. By (1), there exists a positive integer n such that $nv = 0$. Then the result follows.

(3) The result follows from (1). \square

Theorem 4.2. (1) The simple objects in \mathcal{M}_1 are of the form $Y[1]$, T and B , where Y is a simple lattice (i.e. a simple object in Mod_{Γ}), T is a torus with its character group a simple lattice and B is a simple abelian variety.

(2) Let $[Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ be a 1-motive over k . Then $[Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ is isogenous to the 1-motive $[Y \xrightarrow{0} T \times B]$ which is the direct product of $Y[1]$, T and B , and thus each 1-motive is isogenous to a direct product of simple 1-motives.

(3) (Honda-Tate theorem for 1-motives) Let

$$q\text{-Weil}(2)^0 := \{q\alpha \mid \alpha \in q\text{-Weil}(0)\} \subsetneq q\text{-Weil}(2).$$

The bijection of sets from Theorem 1.1 extends to a bijection of sets

$$\{\text{simple 1-motives over } k\} / \text{isogeny} \xrightarrow{\cong} q\text{-Weil}(0) \bigsqcup q\text{-Weil}(1) \bigsqcup q\text{-Weil}(2)^0$$

where $Y[1]$ (resp. B , resp. T) a simple lattice (resp. abelian variety, resp. torus) is mapped to the Galois conjugacy class of a root of the characteristic polynomial P_{Y, π_Y} (resp. P_{B, π_B} , resp. P_{T, π_T}).

Proof. (1) is just a restatement of Remark 2.4. (2) follows from (1) and Lemma 4.1. (3) follows from (1) and Lemma 2.7. \square

Corollary 4.3. Let $M := [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ be a log 1-motive over S as in Lemma 4.1, let m and n be as in Lemma 4.1, and let $i_{\log} : T_{\log} \rightarrow G_{\log}$ be the inclusion induced by the canonical inclusion $i : T \rightarrow G$. Then $n \cdot u$ factors as $Y \xrightarrow{u_1} T_{\log} \xrightarrow{i_{\log}} G_{\log}$, and we have a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{(u_1, 0)} & T_{\log} \times B \\ n_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{\log} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{u} & G_{\log} \end{array} \quad (15)$$

of log 1-motives, where f_{\log} is induced by the map f in the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \xrightarrow{(\text{Id}_T, 0)} & T \times B & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow m_B \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \xrightarrow{i} & G & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Proof. The factorization $n \cdot u = i_{\log} \circ u_1$ is clear by Lemma 4.1. By the above pullback diagram, we have $i = f \circ (\text{Id}_T, 0)$ and thus $i_{\log} = f_{\log} \circ (\text{Id}_{T_{\log}}, 0)$. Therefore

$$f_{\log} \circ (u_1, 0) = f_{\log} \circ ((\text{Id}_{T_{\log}}, 0) \circ u_1) = i_{\log} \circ u_1 = n \cdot u,$$

and thus (15) gives rise to a morphism of log 1-motives. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a log abelian variety over S . Let $\mathbf{M} := [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ be the pointwise polarizable log 1-motive over S corresponding to A (see Theorem 2.18), and let T and B be the torus and the abelian part of G respectively. Let n be a positive integer such that $n \cdot u$ factors through $T_{\log} \rightarrow G_{\log}$, and let $(u_1, 0) : Y \rightarrow T_{\log} \times B$ be the map from Corollary 4.3. Then*

- (1) *the log 1-motive $\mathbf{M}_1 := [Y \xrightarrow{u_1} T_{\log}]$ is pointwise polarizable;*
- (2) *A is isogenous to $A_1 \times B$, where A_1 is the log abelian variety over S corresponding to \mathbf{M}_1 ;*
- (3) *if moreover A is isogenous to $A'_1 \times B'$ with A'_1 totally degenerate and B' an abelian variety, then A'_1 is isogenous to A_1 and B' is isogenous to B .*

Proof. (1) We may assume that \mathbf{M} is polarizable. Let X denote the character group of T , T^* denote the torus with character group Y , and B^* denote the dual abelian variety of B . Let $\mathbf{M}^* = [X \xrightarrow{u^*} G_{\log}^*]$ be the dual log 1-motive of \mathbf{M} , and let $(h_{-1}, h_0) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^*$ be a polarization.

Let \mathbf{M}_s be the log 1-motive $[Y \xrightarrow{(u_1, 0)} T_{\log} \times B]$, and we have a morphism

$$(g_{-1}, g_0) := (n_Y, f_{\log}) : \mathbf{M}_s \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$$

of log 1-motives as in Corollary 4.3. Then the dual of \mathbf{M}_s is $\mathbf{M}_s^* = [X \xrightarrow{(u_1^*, 0)} T_{\log}^* \times B^*]$.

Let (g_{-1}^*, g_0^*) be the dual of (g_{-1}, g_0) , and let $\gamma_{-1} := g_{-1}^* \circ h_{-1} \circ g_{-1}$ and $\gamma_0 := g_0^* \circ h_0 \circ g_0$. Then $(\gamma_{-1}, \gamma_0) : \mathbf{M}_s \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_s^*$ is a polarization by [Zha17, Lemma 3.4]. Clearly (γ_{-1}, γ_0) induces a polarization $\mathbf{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_1^* = [X \xrightarrow{u_1^*} T_{\log}^*]$.

(2) The log abelian variety associated to the pointwise polarizable log 1-motive \mathbf{M}_s is clearly just $A_1 \times B$. By [Zha17, Proposition 3.3], the morphism (g_{-1}, g_0) induces an isogeny $g : A_1 \times B \rightarrow A$.

(3) Apparently there is no non-trivial morphism between abelian varieties and log 1-motives without abelian part, therefore there is no non-trivial morphism between abelian varieties and totally degenerate log abelian varieties. Then the result follows from [Zha17, Cor. 3.1]. \square

4.2. Isogeny classes of log abelian varieties without abelian part over finite log points. We further assume that S is as in Subsection 3.1. By Theorem 4.4, we know that the classification of isogeny classes of log abelian varieties A (with corresponding log 1-motive $[Y \rightarrow G_{\log}]$) over S is reduced to the following two problems

- (1) the classification of isogeny classes of abelian varieties B over \mathbf{k} ;
- (2) the classification of isogeny classes of log abelian varieties A_1 (corresponding to $[Y \rightarrow T_{\log}]$) over S .

Problem (1) is answered by the classical Honda-Tate theorem for abelian varieties. We reduce Problem (2) to the study of $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$, where P is the chart monoid of S as in Subsection 3.1 and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}) = \overline{\langle \gamma \rangle}$ is as in the beginning of this section.

Under the assumption that \mathbf{k} is finite, the functors \mathbf{M}_- and \mathbf{LP} admit better properties.

Theorem 4.5. *The functor*

$$\mathbf{M}_- \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad (M, N, \Phi) \mapsto \mathbf{M}_\Phi$$

induced by \mathbf{M}_- is an equivalence of categories with a quasi-inverse $\mathbf{LP} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Since \mathbf{M}_- is fully faithful, so is $\mathbf{M}_- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We are left to prove that $\mathbf{M}_- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is essentially surjective.

Let $\mathbf{M} = [M \xrightarrow{u} T_{\log}]$ be a log 1-motive without abelian part, and let N be the character group of the torus T . Let $[M \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} T_{\log}]$ and $[M \xrightarrow{u^c} T]$ be the log part and the classical part respectively. By Lemma 4.1 (1), u^c is killed by some positive integer r . Then $ru = r(u^{\log} + u^c) = ru^{\log}$. Since $[M \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} T_{\log}]$ lies in the image of the additive functor \mathbf{M}_- , so is $[M \xrightarrow{ru} T_{\log}]$ which is isogenous to \mathbf{M} . This finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.6. *The functors $\mathbf{M}_- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbf{LP} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ restrict to functors*

$$\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbf{LP} \otimes \mathbb{Q}]{\mathbf{M}_- \otimes \mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

which are quasi-inverse to each other. Furthermore, by composing with the equivalence from Theorem 2.18, $\mathbf{M}_- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

By Corollary 4.6, to classify isogeny classes of log abelian varieties without abelian part over S , it suffices to study the category $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

5. ISOGENY CLASSES IN $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$ OVER THE STANDARD FINITE LOG POINT

In this section, let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$, $\Gamma = \overline{\langle \gamma \rangle}$, P , and S be as in Subsection 4.2. We further assume that $P = \mathbb{N}$, i.e. S is the standard log point with underlying scheme $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$. Then the categories Mod_Γ and $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$ admit explicit descriptions as we will give in this section. Note that in this case, for $(M, N, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$, the corresponding bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle$ takes value in $P^{\text{gp}} = \mathbb{Z}$ and thus we can talk about the non-degeneracy of $\langle -, - \rangle$.

5.1. Representation theory of Γ . In this subsection, we collect some facts on the theory of rational representations of Γ . Then we deduce some simple properties of the two categories Mod_Γ and $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$.

We fix a compatible family of roots of unity $(\zeta_r)_{r>0}$ (that is, $\zeta_{rr'}^r = \zeta_{r'}$ for any $r, r' > 0$). For a positive integer n , we write the (unique) finite cyclic quotient of order n of Γ as follows

$$\Gamma_n := \Gamma/\Gamma^n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We still denote the image of γ in Γ_n by γ . Then we have an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -algebras induced by the projections $\Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma_r$ for all $r \mid n$:

$$\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_n] \simeq \prod_{r \mid n} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r). \quad (16)$$

In particular, each $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ for $r \mid n$ is a *rational* representation of Γ_n and we can make the representation explicit as follows

$$\Gamma_n \times \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r), \quad (\gamma, x) \mapsto \zeta_r x \text{ (the multiplication of } \zeta_r \text{ and } x \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)). \quad (17)$$

We write $\phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for Euler's totient function, in particular, we have

$$\phi(r) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)).$$

We have the following easy observation.

Lemma 5.1. *Fix a positive integer $n > 0$, then any irreducible rational representation of Γ_n is of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ for some $r \mid n$ and these $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ are mutually non-isomorphic.*

Proof. The proof is well known to experts, which we include here for the convenience of the reader. First we show that each $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is an irreducible \mathbb{Q} -linear representation of Γ_n for $r \mid n$. Indeed, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_n]$ -module and the image of $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_n]$ in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$ is exactly $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$, which is a field. We deduce that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is irreducible as $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_n]$ -module.

Next we show that for distinct positive integers $r > r'$, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{r'})$ are not isomorphic to each other as representations of Γ_n . Indeed, the action of Γ_n on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ factors through Γ_r and does not factor through $\Gamma_{r/d}$ for any positive integer $1 < d \mid r$. If $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{r'})$ are isomorphic, then the action of Γ_n on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ factors through $\Gamma_{\gcd(r, r')}$, this is a contradiction since $\gcd(r, r') < r$.

Let V be an irreducible \mathbb{Q} -linear representation of $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_n]$. Then the following induced map

$$\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_n] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$$

is necessarily non-zero. So we can assume that there is a factor r of n such that the image of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ (viewed as a subring of $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_n]$ via (16)) in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$ is non-zero. Take any non-zero vector $v \in V$ and write $W = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)v$. Since Γ_n is an abelian group, W is a non-zero subrepresentation of V of dimension equal to $\phi(r)$. Since V is irreducible, we must have $W = V$. Thus the image of $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_n]$ in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$ is exactly $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ and so V is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$. \square

Clearly each $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is a rational representation of Γ induced by the projection $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_n$ (for any positive integer n divisible by r), and all these rational representations of Γ are irreducible and mutually non-isomorphic. So in the following, we will view $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ as an irreducible rational representation of any Γ_n (with $r \mid n$) and Γ .

From (17), it is easy to see that the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is stable under the action of Γ . Thus we can and will view $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ as an object in Mod_{Γ} . Recall that the Γ -module structure on $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{\vee}$ is given as follows: for any $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{\vee}$, γf is the element in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{\vee}$ sending $y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ to $f(\gamma^{-1}y) = f(\zeta_r^{-1}y)$. We have the following natural map

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{\vee}, \quad x \mapsto (\phi_x: y \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x\bar{y})). \quad (18)$$

Here \bar{y} is the complex conjugation of y . This map is in fact Γ -equivariant. Indeed, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\gamma x}(y) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}((\gamma x)\bar{y}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta_r x \bar{y}) \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x \overline{\zeta_r^{-1} y}) = (\gamma \phi_x)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi_{\gamma x} = \gamma \phi_x$.

Proposition 5.2. *Any free Γ -module M in Mod_Γ is isogenous to an object of the form $\prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}$ where $(a(r))_{r>0}$ is a sequence of non-negative integers such that $a(r) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$.*

Proof. The action of Γ on M factors through Γ_n for some n , so the vector space $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear representation of Γ_n . By the previous lemma, we have an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -linear representations of Γ_n

$$F: M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \simeq \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{a(r)}$$

for a sequence of integers $(a(r))_{r>0}$ such that $a(r) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$.

If $a(r) > 0$, we write $e_{r,1}, \dots, e_{r,a(r)}$ for the standard $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ -basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{a(r)}$, which we also view as a subspace of $\prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{a(r)}$ in a natural way. Then we can find $m_{r,1}, \dots, m_{r,a(r)} \in M$ and $t_{r,1}, \dots, t_{r,a(r)} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that

$$F(m_{r,i} \otimes t_{r,i}) = e_{r,i}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, a(r).$$

Then the \mathbb{Z} -submodule $M' = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{a(r)} \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n] m_{r,i}$ of M is stable under Γ_n and moreover $M' \otimes \mathbb{Q} = M \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. So by Lemma 3.2, M is isogenous to M' , which is isomorphic to $\prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}$. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Any lattice pairing $(M, N, \Phi) = (M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\langle -, - \rangle$ non-degenerate is isogenous to a lattice pairing of the form (R, R^\vee, Id_R) , where $R = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}$ for a sequence of non-negative integers $(a(r))_{r>0}$ such that $a(r) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$.*

Proof. By definition, Φ is an isogeny in Mod_Γ . Then it is easy to see that (M, M^\vee, Id_M) is isogenous to (M, N, Φ) by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_M} & (M^\vee)^\vee = M \\ \text{Id}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & N^\vee \end{array}$$

Suppose that R is isogenous to M as in the corollary by a morphism $F: R \rightarrow M$, then (R, R^\vee, Id_R) is isogenous to (M, M^\vee, Id_M) by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_R} & (R^\vee)^\vee = R \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow (F^\vee)^\vee = F \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_M} & (M^\vee)^\vee = M \end{array}$$

\square

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(M, N, \Phi) = (M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ be an object of $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then the following are equivalence.*

- (1) $\langle -, - \rangle$ is non-degenerate.
- (2) (M, N, Φ) is pointwise polarizable, that is, it lies in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$.
- (3) (M, N, Φ) is polarizable.

Proof. We show $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$. By Corollary 5.3 and Proposition 3.8 (2), we can assume

$$(M, N, \Phi) = (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id}).$$

The map $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee$ from (18) defines a polarization of $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id})$. Indeed, by the correspondence between the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ and the map $\text{Id}: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$, we have

$$\langle x, \lambda(y) \rangle = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(y\bar{x}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x\bar{y}) = \langle y, \lambda(x) \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$$

and

$$\langle x, \lambda(x) \rangle = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x\bar{x}) > 0, \quad \forall 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r].$$

From this we deduce that λ is a polarization on (M, N, Φ) .

$(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ is trivial.

We show $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Since $(M, N, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$, there exists an open subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ such that (M, N, Φ) is polarizable in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with a polarization $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$. In particular, $\langle -, \lambda(-) \rangle: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is symmetric and $\langle -, \lambda(-) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}: (M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}) \times (M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is positive definite. Then it is easy to see that the bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle: M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is non-degenerate. \square

The implication $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ in Proposition 5.4 holds for \mathbf{k} being a general field. But the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ relies on the condition that \mathbf{k} is a finite field, as $\Gamma \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is key to the morphism (18) being an isogeny in Mod_Γ .

Corollary 5.5. *Any pointwise polarizable log 1-motive over the standard log point S is polarizable.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 4.4 (1), \mathbf{M} is isogenous to the product of $[Y \xrightarrow{u_1} T_{\log}]$ and B with $[Y \xrightarrow{u_1} T_{\log}]$ pointwise polarizable. By Corollary 4.6, we may assume that $[Y \xrightarrow{u_1} T_{\log}]$ lies in the image of the functor \mathbf{M}_- . Then by Proposition 5.4 and Proposition 3.12 (3), $[Y \xrightarrow{u_1} T_{\log}]$ is actually polarizable. It follows that \mathbf{M} is polarizable by [Zha17, Lemma 3.4(1)]. \square

We now give the description of objects in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$.

Proposition 5.6. (1) *For any $r > 0$, $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id})$ admits a polarization given by the natural map $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee$ (see (18)), and is a simple object of $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$.*

(2) *Any object (M, N, Φ) in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$ is isogenous to an object of the form (R, R^\vee, Id_R) where $R = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}$ for a sequence of non-negative integers $(a(r))_{r>0}$ such that $a(r) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$.*

(3) *Any simple object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$ is isogenous to $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \text{Id})$ for some positive integer $r > 0$.*

Proof. (1) The first half of the statement has already appeared in the proof of the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ in Proposition 5.4. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ is a simple free Γ -module, $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id})$ is a simple object of $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$ by Lemma 3.9.

(2) Since (M, N, Φ) is an object of $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$, the bilinear map $M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is non-degenerate by Proposition 5.4. Then by Corollary 5.3 it is isogenous to an object of the form (R, R^\vee, Id_R) which also lies in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$ by Proposition 3.8 (2).

(3) This follows from (1) and (2). \square

5.2. Polarizations in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$. In this subsection, we describe the polarizations on certain objects in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$.

Fix a positive integer $r > 0$. We write $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}$ for the different ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ whose inverse is given by

$$\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1} = \{t \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \mid \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(ty) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]\}.$$

One checks that $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1}$ is stable under the action of Γ .

Lemma 5.7. *The natural inclusion $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee$ (see (18)) extends to an isomorphism of free Γ -modules*

$$\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \quad x \mapsto (\phi_x: y \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x\bar{y})). \quad (19)$$

Moreover, this isomorphism extends to an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^\vee = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ of \mathbb{Q} -linear representations of Γ .

Proof. Clearly (19) extends (18). From the Γ -equivariance of (18), we deduce that (19) is also Γ -equivariant. Moreover it is clear that the map (19) is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules. \square

For $t \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$, we have the following \mathbb{Q} -bilinear map

$$B_t(-, -): \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \times \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(xt\bar{y}).$$

It is symmetric if and only if $t \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+$, the maximal totally real subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ (or equivalently, the subfield fixed by complex conjugation):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(xt\bar{y}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(yt\bar{x}), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \\ \iff \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(xt\bar{y}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x\bar{t}y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \\ \iff \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x\bar{y}(t - \bar{t})) &= 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \\ \iff t - \bar{t} &= 0 \iff t \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Moreover this bilinear map is Γ -equivariant. Indeed, by bilinearity, it suffices to show $B_t(\gamma\zeta_r^j, \gamma\zeta_r^{j'}) = B_t(\zeta_r^j, \zeta_r^{j'})$ for any j, j' . However we know $\gamma\zeta_r^j = \zeta_r^{j+1}$ (similarly for j'). So we have

$$B_t(\gamma\zeta_r^j, \gamma\zeta_r^{j'}) = \text{Tr}(\zeta_r^{j+1}t\overline{\zeta_r^{j'+1}}) = \text{Tr}(\zeta_r^j t \overline{\zeta_r^{j'}}) = B_t(\zeta_r^j, \zeta_r^{j'}).$$

Using the isomorphism (19), one checks that $B_t(-, -)$ corresponds to a Γ -equivariant linear map

$$\lambda_t: \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^\vee \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r), \quad z \mapsto tz.$$

If $t \in \mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1}$, then $B_t(-, -)$ restricts to a bilinear form

$$B_t(-, -): \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(xt\bar{y}).$$

As above, this gives rise to an isogeny of free Γ -modules

$$\lambda_t: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee \simeq \mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1}, \quad z \mapsto tz. \quad (21)$$

We define the following subset of $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1}$:

$$(\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1})_{>0} = \left\{ t \in \mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+ \mid t \text{ is totally positive} \right\}.$$

The following result gives all the possible polarizations on a simple object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 5.8. *Any polarization on $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id})$ is of the form λ_t for some $t \in (\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1})_{>0}$.*

Proof. Consider the case $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id}) = (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle)$. Let $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee$ be a polarization, which is Γ -equivariant. So λ is necessarily of the form $\lambda = \lambda_t$ for some $0 \neq t \in \mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1}$. Indeed, since $\lambda(\zeta_r^j) = \lambda(\gamma(\zeta_r^{j-1})) = \gamma(\lambda(\zeta_r^{j-1}))$ for any j , λ is determined by $\lambda(1) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee \simeq \mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1}$, so $\lambda = \lambda_t$ with $t = \lambda(1)$. Moreover, as in (20), we know that $t \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+$. To conclude the proof, we need to show that t is totally positive.

The positive-definiteness condition in the polarization λ becomes

$$\langle x, \lambda(x) \rangle = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(xt\bar{x}) > 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \setminus \{0\}.$$

We extend $\langle -, - \rangle$ to a bilinear form on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \times \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$. We know that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is dense in

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \prod_{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r), \mathbb{C})/\sim} \mathbb{C}$$

Here $/ \sim$ means up to complex conjugation.

Fix an arbitrary embedding $\sigma_0: \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, below we prove $\sigma_0(t) > 0$ by contradiction.

- (1) Suppose $\sigma_0(t) = 0$, then $t = 0$ and thus $\langle x, \lambda(x) \rangle = 0$ for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$. We obtain a contradiction.
- (2) Suppose $\sigma_0(t) < 0$. Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^\times$ be such that the absolute values of $\sigma_0(x) - 1$ and $\sigma(x)$ (for all $\sigma \neq \sigma_0, \overline{\sigma_0}$) are all sufficiently small (written as $\sigma_0(x) - 1, \sigma(x) \sim 0$). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, \lambda(x) \rangle &= \sigma_0(xt\bar{x}) + \overline{\sigma_0}(xt\bar{x}) + \sum_{\sigma \neq \sigma_0, \overline{\sigma_0}} \sigma(xt\bar{x}) \\ &= 2\sigma_0(x)\sigma_0(\bar{x})\sigma_0(t) + \sum_{\sigma \neq \sigma_0, \overline{\sigma_0}} \sigma(xt\bar{x}) \sim 2\sigma_0(t) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is again a contradiction.

Thus we conclude that $\sigma(t) > 0$ for all field embeddings σ and so $t \in (\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1})_{>0}$. □

For integers $r > 0, n \geq 0$ and a fractional ideal J of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ stable under the complex conjugation, we write $\text{Herm}_n(J)$ for the set of Hermitian $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in J . We then write

$$\text{Herm}_n(\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1})_{>0} := \left\{ X \in \text{Herm}_n(\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1}) \mid \sigma(X) \text{ positive definite, } \forall \sigma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r), \mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

Corollary 5.9. Any polarization λ on (M, M^\vee, Id_M) with $M = \prod_{r=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}$ ($a(r) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$) is of the form $\lambda = \prod_{r=1}^\infty \lambda_{t(r)}$ for some $t(r) \in \text{Herm}_{a(r)}(\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1})_{>0}$. Here $\lambda_{t(r)}$ is given by

$$\lambda_{t(r)}: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)})^\vee, \quad x \mapsto (y \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x^t t(r) \bar{y})).$$

(we view elements x in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}$ as column matrices).

Proof. Clearly any $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ -linear (or equivalently, Γ -equivariant) map $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)})^\vee$ is represented by a matrix $t(r) \in \text{Mat}_{a(r)}(\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1})$, as given in the Corollary. Suppose $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}}$ (induced from Id_M) corresponds to the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)} \times (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)})^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$\langle x, \lambda_{t(r)}(y) \rangle = \langle y, \lambda_{t(r)}(x) \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}.$$

In other words, we have $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x^t t(r) \bar{y}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(y^t t(r) \bar{x})$, that is,

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x^t (\overline{t(r)}^t - t(r)) \bar{y}) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{a(r)}.$$

Write the standard basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{a(r)}$ as $E_1, \dots, E_{a(r)}$, then taking $x = sE_i$ and $y = E_j$ with $s \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$, we get

$$0 = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x^t(\overline{t(r)})^t - t(r))\overline{y}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(s(\overline{t(r)})^t - t(r))_{i,j})$$

(here the subscript i,j means the (i,j) -th entry of the matrix). Thus we have $(\overline{t(r)})^t - t(r))_{i,j} = 0$, so $\overline{t(r)}^t = t(r)$.

Next we show that for any field embedding $\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma(t(r))$ is positive definite. Since $t(a)$ is a Hermitian matrix, up to choosing a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{a(r)}$, we can assume that $t(r)$ is a diagonal matrix

$$t(r) = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{a(r)}).$$

So we have $t_1, \dots, t_{a(r)} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+$. Now as in the proof of the preceding proposition, we can show each t_i is totally positive. Thus we conclude that $\sigma(t(r))$ is positive definite for all field embeddings σ . \square

Proposition 5.10. *Fix an integer $r > 0$, then $\text{End}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r])$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$.*

Proof. Clearly $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ is contained in $\text{End}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r])$. For any $F \in \text{End}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r])$, we have

$$F(\zeta_r x) = F(\gamma x) = \gamma(F(x)) = \zeta_r F(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r].$$

As a result, for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$, $F(yx) = yF(x)$. Thus $F(x) = xF(1)$ and F is determined by $F(1) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$. This proves the proposition. \square

Corollary 5.11. *Fix an integer $r > 0$, then $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id})$ has endomorphism ring in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$ equal to $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$.*

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\text{End}_{\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id}) = \text{End}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r].$$

\square

Corollary 5.12. *Fix a free Γ -module $M = \prod_{r=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}$ ($a(r) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$), then*

$$\text{End}_{\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}}(M, M^\vee, \text{Id}) = \prod_{r=1}^\infty \text{Mat}_{a(r)}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]).$$

5.3. Isogeny classes in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$. First we have the following simple lemma.

Lemma 5.13. *Fix a positive integer $r > 0$. The characteristic polynomial $F_r(\theta)$ of the Frobenius $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acting on the simple object $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ in Mod_Γ is the r -th cyclotomic polynomial (i.e. the minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} of the primitive r -th roots of unity). In particular, the roots of $F_r(\theta)$ are primitive r -th roots of unity.*

Proof. We have seen that γ acts on $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ by sending ζ_r^j to ζ_r^{j+1} , thus γ^r acts as identity on $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$. Moreover $F_r(\theta)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} since $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ is an irreducible *rational* representation of Γ . So the characteristic polynomial $F_r(\theta) \in \mathbb{Q}[\theta]$ of γ divides $\theta^r - 1$. Hence $F_r(\theta)$ is the r -th cyclotomic polynomial. \square

In particular, $F_r(\theta)$ is a monic polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} whose constant term is ± 1 .

In the following, we relate categories of pointwise polarizable log 1-motives/lattice pairings, log abelian varieties to prove Theorem 1.3. We denote by AV the category of abelian varieties over \mathbf{k} . Let \mathcal{C} be either $\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}$, or LAV , or $\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}$, or AV , or $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$, and let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of isogeny classes of simple objects in \mathcal{C} . For any object A of \mathcal{C} , we denote by $\text{cl}(A)$ the isogeny class represented by A . By Theorem 4.4, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{LAV}) = \mathcal{S}(\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}) \bigsqcup \mathcal{S}(\text{AV}). \quad (22)$$

By Proposition 2.21, Proposition 2.19, Proposition 2.13, and Proposition 2.22, the equivalence from Theorem 2.18 induces a bijection

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}).$$

By Proposition 3.18, Proposition 3.13 (3), Proposition 2.13, and Proposition 3.8 (1), the functor LP from Proposition 3.13 (4) induces a bijection

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}), \quad \text{cl}(\mathbf{M}) \mapsto \text{cl}(\text{LP}(\mathbf{M})),$$

whose inverse is given by

$$\text{cl}((M, N, \Phi)) \mapsto \text{cl}(\mathbf{M}_-((M, N, \Phi)))$$

by Corollary 4.6. By Proposition 5.6 (3), we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}) = \{\text{cl}((\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id})) \mid r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{N}_{>0}.$$

Let $\mathbf{M}_{[r]} := \mathbf{M}_-((\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \text{Id}))$ and let $A_{[r]}$ denote the log abelian variety corresponding to $\mathbf{M}_{[r]}$. It follows that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}) &= \{\text{cl}(A_{[r]}) \mid r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}_1^{\log, \text{ab}=0, \text{pPol}}) &= \{\text{cl}(\mathbf{M}_{[r]}) \mid r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By Remark 2.15 (resp. Remark 2.24), we have $P_{\mathbf{M}_{[r]}, \gamma} = P_{\mathbf{M}, \gamma}$ (resp. $P_{A_{[r]}, \gamma} = P_{A, \gamma}$) for any $\mathbf{M} \in \text{cl}(\mathbf{M}_{[r]})$ (resp. $A \in \text{cl}(A_{[r]})$), and $P_{\mathbf{M}_{[r]}, \gamma} = P_{A_{[r]}, \gamma}$ by Definition 2.23. Write $Y_{[r]} := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$, $T_{[r]} := \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \mathbb{G}_m)$, and $\mathbf{M}_{[r]} = [Y_{[r]} \rightarrow T_{[r]}]$. Recall that $Y_{[r]} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ is isogenous to the character group $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee$ of $T_{[r]}$ by (21), and thus

$$P_{T_{[r]}, \pi_{T_{[r]}}}(\theta) = \frac{(-\theta)^{\phi(r)}}{\det(\pi_{Y_{[r]}})} P_{Y_{[r]}, \pi_{Y_{[r]}}}(\frac{q}{\theta}) = \frac{(-\theta)^{\phi(r)}}{\det(\pi_{Y_{[r]}})} F_r(\frac{q}{\theta})$$

by Lemma 2.7 (2) and Lemma 5.13. We denote $\frac{(-\theta)^{\phi(r)}}{\det(\pi_{Y_{[r]}})} F_r(\frac{q}{\theta})$ by $G_r(\theta)$. Then the polynomial $F_r(\theta)$ (resp. $G_r(\theta)$) is irreducible with roots the Galois conjugates of ζ_r (resp. $q\zeta_r^{-1}$) by Lemma 5.13. Recall that $q\text{-Weil}(0, 2)$ is the subset of $q\text{-Weil}(0) \times q\text{-Weil}(2)$ consisting of elements of the form $([\alpha], [q\alpha^{-1}])$ for $[\alpha] \in q\text{-Weil}(0)$ (see (2)). Therefore we have a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \{F_r(\theta)G_r(\theta) \mid r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\} &\rightarrow q\text{-Weil}(0, 2) \\ F_r(\theta)G_r(\theta) &\mapsto ([\zeta_r], [q\zeta_r^{-1}]). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We also have

$$P_{A_{[r]}, \gamma}(\theta) = P_{\mathbf{M}_{[r]}, \gamma}(\theta) = P_{Y_{[r]}, \pi_{Y_{[r]}}}(\theta) \cdot P_{T_{[r]}, \pi_{T_{[r]}}}(\theta) = F_r(\theta)G_r(\theta). \quad (25)$$

Combining (23), (24) and (25), we have the following.

Theorem 5.14. *The canonical map*

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{LAV}^{\text{ab}=0}) \rightarrow q\text{-Weil}(0, 2), \quad A_{[r]} \mapsto ([\zeta_r], [q\zeta_r^{-1}]) \quad (26)$$

is a bijection. Note that ζ_r is a root of the irreducible factor $F_r(\theta)$ of $P_{A_{[r]}, \gamma}(\theta)$ and $q\zeta_r^{-1}$ is a root of the other irreducible factor G_r .

Combined with the discussion in the end of §4.2 (or (22)), Theorem 5.14 proves Theorem 1.3.

6. ISOGENY CLASSES IN $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$ OVER A GENERAL FINITE LOG POINT

In this section, let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$, $\Gamma = \overline{\langle \gamma \rangle}$, P , and S be as in Subsection 4.2. We further assume that $P = \mathbb{N}^k$. We will use results in the preceding section to classify a certain class of log abelian varieties over S up to isogeny.

We write $\pi_i: P = \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for the projection onto the i -th component ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Then for $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$, we write the i -th component of $\langle -, - \rangle$ as

$$\langle -, - \rangle_i: M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k \xrightarrow{\pi_i} \mathbb{Z}.$$

We write Φ_i for the corresponding map $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by $\langle -, - \rangle_i$ (and similarly for Φ_i^\vee). We will see in the following that the pair $(\langle -, - \rangle, \lambda)$ is in fact determined by the tuples $(\langle -, - \rangle_i, \lambda)_{i=1, \dots, k}$.

6.1. Isogeny classes in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$. In this subsection, we give a preliminary description of isogeny classes in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ be an object in Mor_{Γ}^P with a polarization $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi_i} & N^\vee \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda^\vee \\ N & \xrightarrow{\Phi_i^\vee} & M^\vee \end{array} \quad (27)$$

and $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle_i \geq 0$ for any non-zero $m \in M$. Moreover $\sum_{i=1}^k \langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle_i > 0$ for any $0 \neq m \in M$.

Conversely, suppose that we have a morphism $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$ in Mod_{Γ} and that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have an object $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle_i)$ in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the above diagram (27) commutes. Suppose moreover that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle_i \geq 0$ for all $m \in M$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle_i > 0$ for any $0 \neq m \in M$. Define

$$\langle -, - \rangle = (\langle -, - \rangle_i)_{i=1}^k: M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k = P^{\text{gp}}.$$

Then $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is an object in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$ and λ is a polarization on it.

Proof. For the first part, by the definition of polarization, we know that for any $m \in M$, $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle \in P = \mathbb{N}^k$. Thus for any $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle_i \geq 0$. Moreover for any $0 \neq m \in M$, $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$, that is, at least one entry of $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle$ is positive, thus $\sum_{i=1}^k \langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle_i > 0$.

Conversely, the assumptions show that for any $m \in M$, $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^k$ since $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle_i \geq 0$ for any i . Moreover, for $0 \neq m \in M$, $\sum_{i=1}^k \langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle_i > 0$ implies $\langle m, \lambda(m) \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$. Since we also have the commutativity of the diagrams (27) for $i = 1, \dots, k$, the morphism λ is a polarization in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \text{pPol}}$. \square

From this we deduce the following relation between $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$ and $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$

Corollary 6.2. *Let $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ be an object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$. Define*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \langle -, - \rangle_i : M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k \langle x, y \rangle_i$$

and similarly for $\sum_{i=1}^k \Phi_i$. Then $(M, N, \sum_i \langle -, - \rangle_i) = (M, N, \sum_i \Phi_i)$ is an object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$.

Proof. First we show $\sum_i \langle -, - \rangle_i$ is non-degenerate. Suppose that $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is polarizable in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ with polarization $\lambda : M \rightarrow N$ where Γ' is an open subgroup of Γ . From Proposition 6.1, we know $\sum_i \langle x, \lambda(x) \rangle_i > 0$ for any $0 \neq x \in M$. This shows that $\sum_i \langle -, - \rangle_i$ is non-degenerate. Now it follows from Proposition 5.4 that $(M, N, \sum_i \langle -, - \rangle_i)$ is an object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \text{pPol}}$.

The correspondence between $\sum_i \langle -, - \rangle_i$ and $\sum_i \Phi_i$ is clear. \square

Next we give an alternative description of Proposition 6.1 in terms of matrices: for an object (M, M^\vee, Φ) in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$, suppose that (M, M^\vee, Φ) is polarizable in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ with polarization $\lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$ where Γ' is an open subgroup of Γ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that Γ' acts trivially on M . Fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis for M and consider its dual basis in M^\vee :

$$M = \mathbb{Z}(e_1, \dots, e_h), \quad M^\vee = \mathbb{Z}(f_1, \dots, f_h).$$

In the following, we view elements in M and M^\vee as column matrices using these bases. Then the natural Γ -equivariant pairing between M and M^\vee is given as follows (cf. (9)):

$$M \times M^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (x, f) \mapsto f(x) = x^t f = f^t x.$$

Any Φ_i in $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k)$ is represented by a matrix $X_i \in \text{End}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(M) \subset \text{Mat}_{\text{rk}_\mathbb{Z}(M)}(\mathbb{Z})$ and thus the corresponding pairing $\langle -, - \rangle_i$ is given by

$$\langle -, - \rangle_i : M \times M^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (x, f) \mapsto (X_i x)^t f = x^t X_i^t f.$$

Similarly, the polarization λ is represented by a matrix $\Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{\text{rk}_\mathbb{Z}(M)}(\mathbb{Z})$ and thus we have

$$\langle x, \lambda(y) \rangle_i = x^t X_i^t \Lambda y, \quad x, y \in M.$$

From Proposition 6.1, we deduce

Corollary 6.3. *Let (M, M^\vee, Φ) be an object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$, and let X_1, \dots, X_k, Λ be as above. Then all $X_1^t \Lambda, \dots, X_k^t \Lambda$ are symmetric, positive semi-definite matrices and $\sum_{i=1}^k X_i^t \Lambda$ is symmetric and positive definite.*

Conversely, let (M, M^\vee, Φ) be an object in Mor_Γ^P with X_1, \dots, X_k as above. If there is a matrix $\Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{\text{rk}_\mathbb{Z}(M)}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that all $X_i^t \Lambda$ are symmetric, positive semi-definite matrices and $\sum_{i=1}^k X_i^t \Lambda$ is symmetric and positive definite, then (M, M^\vee, Φ) is an object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$.

The following proposition describes the isogeny classes and simple objects in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$.

Proposition 6.4. (1) *Any object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$ of the form $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is simple.*
 (2) *Any object $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$ is isogenous to an object of the form $(R, R^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle')$ with $R = \prod_{r=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^{a(r)}$ for a sequence of non-negative integers $(a(r))_{r>0}$ such that $a(r) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$.*

(3) Fix two non-zero Γ -modules M', M'' such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}_\Gamma}(M', M'') = 0.$$

Then any object in $\mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$ of the form

$$(M' \oplus M'', (M')^\vee \oplus (M'')^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle)$$

is not simple. In particular, any simple object $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$ in $\mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$ is isogenous to a simple object of the form $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee)^a, \langle -, - \rangle')$ for some $r, a > 0$.

Proof. (1) This is clear by Lemma 3.9.

(2) Suppose $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle) = (M, N, \Phi)$ is polarizable in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ with polarization $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$ for an open subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$. We know that $(M, N, \sum_i \Phi_i)$ is an object in $\mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \mathrm{pPol}}$. By Corollary 5.3, we can find R as in the corollary and $(R, R^\vee, \mathrm{Id}_R)$ an object in $\mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \mathrm{pPol}}$ such that there is an isogeny $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2): (R, R^\vee, \mathrm{Id}_R) \rightarrow (M, N, \sum_i \Phi_i)$ in $\mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \mathrm{pPol}}$. Here $\psi_1: R \rightarrow M$ and $\psi_2: N \rightarrow R^\vee$ ($\psi_2^\vee: R \rightarrow N^\vee$) are morphisms in Mod_Γ . The map $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\Gamma'}$ induces a map

$$\lambda' = \psi_2 \circ \lambda \circ \psi_1: R \rightarrow R^\vee$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\Gamma'}$. Then $(R, R^\vee, \mathrm{Id}_R)$ is polarizable in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with polarization λ' .

Write

$$n = [N^\vee: \psi_2^\vee(R)] < \infty,$$

then for any $x \in N^\vee$, $nx \in \psi_2^\vee(R)$. Moreover, $(R, R^\vee, n\mathrm{Id}_R)$ is also an object in $\mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}, \mathrm{pPol}}$ and there is an isogeny $\psi' = (n\psi_1, \psi_2): (R, R^\vee, n\mathrm{Id}_R) \rightarrow (M, N, \sum_i \Phi_i)$ in $\mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, we define a morphism $\Phi'_i: R \rightarrow R$ using the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\Phi'_i} & R \\ n\psi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Phi_i} & N^\vee \end{array}$$

more precisely, for any $x \in R$, $\Phi_i(n\psi_1(x)) = n\Phi_i(\psi_1(x)) \in N^\vee$ lies in $\psi_2^\vee(R)$ by definition of n , then we choose (the unique) $x' \in R$ such that $\psi_2^\vee(x') = n\Phi_i(\psi_1(x))$ and set

$$\Phi'_i(x) := x'.$$

Since $\psi_1, \Phi_i, \psi_2^\vee$ are all linear and Γ -equivariant, so is Φ'_i . The pairing $\langle -, - \rangle'_i: R \times R^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to Φ'_i is given as follows: for any $x \in R$ and $f \in R^\vee$,

$$\langle x, f \rangle'_i := \langle \psi_1(x), \psi_2^{-1}(nf) \rangle_i.$$

Then we put $\Phi' = (\Phi'_1, \dots, \Phi'_k)$ and $\langle -, - \rangle' = (\langle -, - \rangle'_1, \dots, \langle -, - \rangle'_k)$.

It is clear that $(R, R^\vee, \Phi') \in \mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^P$, and $(\psi_1, \psi_2): (R, R^\vee, \Psi') \rightarrow (M, N, \Phi)$ is an isogeny. By Proposition 3.8 (2), we have $(R, R^\vee, \Phi') \in \mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$.

(3) It suffices to prove the first part. We fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis for M' (resp. M'') and consider the dual basis for $(M')^\vee$ (resp. $(M'')^\vee$). By assumption, there is no non-zero Γ -equivariant morphism between M' and M'' , so by the discussion before Corollary 6.3, the morphisms $\Phi_i: M' \oplus M'' \rightarrow M' \oplus M''$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) are represented by block matrices of the form

$$X_i = \begin{pmatrix} X'_i & 0 \\ 0 & X''_i \end{pmatrix}$$

with $X'_i \in \text{End}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(M') \subset \text{Mat}_{\text{rk}_\mathbb{Z}(M')}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $X''_i \in \text{End}_{\text{Mod}_\Gamma}(M'') \subset \text{Mat}_{\text{rk}_\mathbb{Z}(M'')}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $(M' \oplus M'', (M')^\vee \oplus (M'')^\vee, \Phi)$ is polarizable in Mor_Γ^P for some open subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ (we can assume Γ' acts trivially on M' and M'') with a polarization $\lambda: M' \oplus M'' \rightarrow (M')^\vee \oplus (M'')^\vee$. Then under the fixed basis, λ is represented by a block matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with $A \in \text{Mat}_{\text{rk}_\mathbb{Z}(M')}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $D \in \text{Mat}_{\text{rk}_\mathbb{Z}(M'')}(\mathbb{Z})$. By Corollary 6.3, the matrices $X_1^t \Lambda, \dots, X_k^t \Lambda$ are all symmetric, positive semi-definite and $\sum_{i=1}^k X_i^t \Lambda$ is symmetric and positive definite. We deduce that $(X'_1)^t A, \dots, (X'_k)^t A$ and $(X''_1)^t D, \dots, (X''_k)^t D$ are all symmetric and positive semi-definite and moreover $\sum_{i=1}^k (X'_i)^t A$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k (X''_i)^t D$ are both symmetric and positive definite. We define a linear map $\lambda': M' \rightarrow (M')^\vee$ represented by the matrix A . It follows from Corollary 6.3 that

$$(M', (M')^\vee, \Phi' := \Phi|_{M'})$$

is polarizable in Mor_Γ^P with polarization λ' . In particular, we have constructed an object $(M', (M')^\vee, \Phi')$ in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$ together with a morphism

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2): (M', (M')^\vee, \Phi') \rightarrow (M' \oplus M'', (M')^\vee \oplus (M'')^\vee, \Phi)$$

where ψ_1 (resp. ψ_2) is the canonical inclusion (resp. projection) map. Clearly $\text{coker}(\psi_1)$ and $\text{coker}(\psi_2^\vee)$ are both of rank equal to $\text{rk}_\mathbb{Z}(M'') > 0$, so ψ is not an isogeny and thus $(M' \oplus M'', (M')^\vee \oplus (M'')^\vee, \Phi)$ is *not* simple. □

6.2. Polarizations on $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle)$. In this subsection we will concentrate on objects in Mor_Γ^P of the form

$$(M, N, \Phi) = (M, N, \langle -, - \rangle) = (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle).$$

Then the morphisms $\Phi_i: M \rightarrow N^\vee$ in Mod_Γ are of the form

$$\Phi_i: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \quad m \mapsto t_i m \tag{28}$$

for some $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$.

Let $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism in Mod_Γ , so it is of the form $\lambda = \lambda_t$ for some non-zero $t \in \mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]}^{-1}$ (see the proof of Proposition 5.8). Then for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$, we have

$$\langle x, \lambda(y) \rangle_i = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x t_i \overline{t y}).$$

Proposition 6.5. *Let $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle) = (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_t$ be as above.*

- (1) *Suppose λ is a polarization on $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$. Then for any $i = 1, \dots, k$, $t_i \bar{t}$ is either totally positive or equal to 0. Fix one such i , if moreover $\langle m_0, \lambda(m_0) \rangle_i > 0$ for some $m_0 \in M$, then $t_i \bar{t}$ is totally positive. In particular, $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i \bar{t}$ is totally positive.*
- (2) *Conversely, if for any $i = 1, \dots, k$, $t_i \bar{t}$ is either totally positive or equal to 0 and $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i \bar{t}$ is totally positive, then λ is a polarization on $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$.*

Proof. (1) By definition, for any $x, y \in M$,

$$\langle x, \lambda(y) \rangle_i = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(x t_i \overline{t y}),$$

which is symmetric in x and y , thus as in the proof of Proposition 5.8, we know $t_i \bar{t}$ is totally real. Moreover, for any $x \in M$,

$$\langle x, \lambda(x) \rangle_i \geq 0.$$

Again as in the proof of Proposition 5.8, $t_i \bar{t}$ is totally positive or zero. This gives the first part of the proposition.

For the second part, by assumption,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}}(m_0 \overline{m_0} t_i \bar{t}) \neq 0.$$

This clearly implies that $t_i \bar{t} \neq 0$ and thus it is totally positive.

(2) We apply Proposition 6.1. □

Corollary 6.6. *Let $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle) = (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle)$ be as above. It has a polarization if and only if t_1, \dots, t_k are not all zero and for any $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, $t_i \bar{t}_j$ is either totally positive or zero.*

Proof. The direction \Rightarrow is clear by Proposition 6.5 (1).

Conversely, the morphism $\lambda_t: M \rightarrow N$ with $t = t_1 + \dots + t_k$ is a polarization on $(M, N, \langle -, - \rangle)$. Indeed, suppose that $t_{i_0} \neq 0$ for some $i_0 = 1, \dots, k$. Then $t_i/t_{i_0} = t_i \bar{t}_{i_0}/(t_{i_0} \bar{t}_{i_0})$ is totally positive or zero, and not all of them are zero. Thus $t/t_{i_0} = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i/t_{i_0}$ is totally positive, in particular, $t \neq 0$. Now for any $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have

$$t_i \bar{t} = t_i \bar{t}_{i_0} \times \overline{t/t_{i_0}},$$

which is totally positive or zero. Moreover, $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i \bar{t} = t \bar{t}$ is totally positive. By Proposition 6.5 (2), we conclude. □

6.3. Isogeny classes of $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \langle -, - \rangle)$. We are now ready for the classification of a certain class of objects in $\mathrm{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$ up to isogeny as follows. Let us first give a definition

Definition 6.7. *Recall that $P = \mathbb{N}^k$.*

(1) *For a positive integer $r > 0$, we define*

$$T_{r,k} = \left\{ (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+)^k \mid t_1, \dots, t_k \text{ totally positive or zero and } \sum_{i=1}^k t_i = 1 \right\}$$

(2) *We fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$, which induces an embedding $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_{\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q})$. For positive integers $a, r > 0$, we define*

$$T_{r,k}^{(a)}$$

to be the set of k -tuples $(X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathrm{Mat}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))^k$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k X_i = 1$ such that there is a matrix $\Lambda \in \mathrm{GL}_{a\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q})$ with $X_1^t \Lambda, \dots, X_k^t \Lambda$ all symmetric and positive semi-definite (here we view X_1, \dots, X_k also as elements in $\mathrm{Mat}_{a\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q})$ via the embedding $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_{\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q})$). We define an action of $\mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$ on $T_{r,k}^{(a)}$ by

$$Y(X_1, \dots, X_k) := (Y X_1 Y^{-1}, \dots, Y X_k Y^{-1}), \quad \forall Y \in \mathrm{GL}_{a\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q}), (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in T_{r,k}^{(a)}.$$

Then we write

$$T_{r,k}^{(a)} / \sim$$

for the quotient of $T_{r,k}^{(a)}$ by the action of $\mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$. We denote the image of $(X_1, \dots, X_k) \in T_{r,k}^{(a)}$ in $T_{r,k}^{(a)} / \sim$ by $[X_1, \dots, X_k]$.

Remark 6.8. (1) For $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+ = \mathbb{Q}$ (that is, $r = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$), regarding $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^+$ as a subfield of \mathbb{R} canonically, we have a canonical embedding into the $(k-1)$ -simplex

$$T_{r,k} \hookrightarrow \Delta^{k-1} := \{(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k \mid \sum_i t_i = 1\}$$

thus $T_{r,k}$ is just $\Delta^{k-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^k$.

(2) It is easy to see that both $T_{r,k}^{(a)}$ and $T_{r,k}^{(a)} / \sim$ are independent of the choice of the \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$.

We have the following two classification results, which are the main results of this section

Theorem 6.9. Let $P = \mathbb{N}^k$. The following map defines a bijection

$$\left\{ (M, N, \Phi) \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \mathrm{pPol}} \mid M \text{ simple free } \Gamma\text{-module} \right\} / \text{isogeny} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{r>0} T_{r,k} \quad (29)$$

$$(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \Phi) \mapsto (t_1/t, \dots, t_k/t) \in T_{r,k}$$

Here t_1, \dots, t_k are determined by Φ as in (28) and $t = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i$.

Proof. We first show that the map (29) is well-defined. We can assume $t_{i_0} \neq 0$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then as in the proof of Corollary 6.6, t_i/t_{i_0} is totally positive or zero for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, and t/t_{i_0} is totally positive, thus $t_i/t = t_i/t_{i_0} \times t_{i_0}/t$ is totally positive or zero and $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i/t = 1$. So $(t_1/t, \dots, t_k/t)$ indeed lies in $T_{r,k}$. Any isogeny in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2): (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \Phi) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \tilde{\Phi})$$

is given by two morphisms $\psi_1, \psi_2^\vee: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ in Mod_{Γ} (cf. the two vertical arrows in (10)) such that the following diagrams are commutative ($i = 1, \dots, k$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] & \xrightarrow{m \mapsto t_i m} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2^\vee \\ \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] & \xrightarrow{m \mapsto \tilde{t}_i m} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \end{array}$$

Here $(t_1, \dots, t_k), (\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k)$ are determined by $\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}$ respectively. Note that ψ_1 and ψ_2^\vee are necessarily of the form Ψ_{x_1} and Ψ_{x_2} :

$$\Psi_{x_i}: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \quad m \mapsto x_i m \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

for x_1, x_2 non-zero elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$. It follows that

$$t_i x_2 = x_1 \tilde{t}_i.$$

So (t_1, \dots, t_k) and $(\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k)$ have the same image in $T_{r,k}$ and thus (29) is well-defined.

For the surjectivity, given $(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in T_{r,k}$, we can find $t \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^\times$ such that $tt_1, \dots, tt_k \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$. Then we define morphisms Φ_i in Mod_{Γ} by

$$\Phi_i: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \quad x \mapsto tt_i x.$$

Put $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k)$, then (M, N, Φ) is an object in Mor_Γ^P and by Corollary 6.6, it is polarizable, thus it is an object in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$. Moreover, its image in $T_{r,k}$ is exactly (t_1, \dots, t_k) .

Next we prove the injectivity of (29): suppose we have two objects $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \Phi)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \tilde{\Phi})$ in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$ such that

$$(t_1/t, \dots, t_k/t) = (\tilde{t}_1/\tilde{t}, \dots, \tilde{t}_k/\tilde{t}).$$

Then we have $t_i \tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_i t$ and $0 \neq t, \tilde{t} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$. It is easy to see that the morphism

$$\psi = (\psi_1 = \Psi_t, \psi_2 = \Psi_{\tilde{t}}^\vee): (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \Phi) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \tilde{\Phi})$$

is an isogeny in Mor_Γ^P . This gives the injectivity. \square

Combined with the discussion in the end of §4.2, this gives Theorem 1.4.

Remark 6.10. For $k = 1$, this is compatible with Theorem 5.14: in this case, each $T_{r,1}$ is simply a singleton.

Fix a positive integer $a > 0$. Then just as in the case of $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r], \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^\vee, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P$, for an object $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^P$, the morphisms $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a$ are necessarily of the form

$$\Phi_i: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, \quad m \mapsto X_i m \quad (30)$$

for some $X_i \in \text{Mat}_a(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r])$ (cf. (28)). Here we view elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a$ as column matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$.

Theorem 6.11. Let $P = \mathbb{N}^k$. The following map defines a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi) \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}} \mid a > 0 \right\} / \text{isogeny} &\rightarrow \bigsqcup_{a,r>0} T_{r,k}^{(a)} / \sim \\ (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi) &\mapsto [X_1 X^{-1}, \dots, X_k X^{-1}] \in T_{r,k}^{(a)} / \sim \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Here X_1, \dots, X_k are determined by Φ as in (30) and $X = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$. Moreover, $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$ is simple if and only if there is no $Z \in \text{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$ such that $Z X_i Z^{-1}$ are all of the form

$$Z X_i Z^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X'_i & * \\ 0 & X''_i \end{pmatrix}$$

where X'_i are all of size $b \times b$ for some integer $0 < b < a$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the preceding theorem. We first show that the map in (31) is well-defined. We fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ as in Definition 6.7 (2) and a dual \mathbb{Z} -basis for $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$. Suppose that $(M, N, \Phi) = (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$ is polarizable in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ for some open subgroup Γ' of Γ with a polarization $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$. We can assume that Γ' acts trivially on M and N . Then λ is represented by a matrix $\Lambda \in \text{GL}_{a\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q})$. So all of $X_1^t \Lambda, \dots, X_k^t \Lambda$ are symmetric and positive semi-definite and $\sum_{i=1}^k X_i^t \Lambda$ is symmetric and positive definite (see Corollary 6.3). In particular, $X = \sum_i X_i$ is non-singular and thus $[X^{-1} X_1, \dots, X^{-1} X_k]$ lies in $T_{r,k}^{(a)} / \sim$. Moreover, if we have an isogeny in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2): (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \tilde{\Phi})$$

with ψ_i ($i = 1, 2$) given by

$$\Psi_{Y_i}: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, \quad m \mapsto Y_i m$$

where $Y_i \in \text{Mat}_a(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]) \cap \text{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$, then as in the preceding proof, we have

$$X_i Y_2 = Y_1 \tilde{X}_i.$$

It follows that $\tilde{X}^{-1} \tilde{X}_i = (Y_1^{-1} X Y_2)^{-1} (Y_1^{-1} X_i Y_2) = Y_2^{-1} X^{-1} X_i Y_2$ and thus $[X^{-1} X_1, \dots, X^{-1} X_k]$ and $[\tilde{X}^{-1} \tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}^{-1} \tilde{X}_k]$ are the same in $T_{r,k}^{(a)} / \sim$. So the map (31) is well-defined.

For the surjectivity, given $[X_1, \dots, X_k] \in T_{r,k}^{(a)} / \sim$, by definition, there is $\Lambda \in \text{GL}_{a\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $X_1^t \Lambda, \dots, X_k^t \Lambda$ are all symmetric and positive semi-definite. Up to multiplying X_1, \dots, X_k, Λ by some positive integer, we can assume that $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \text{Mat}_a(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r])$ (so that $X = \sum_i X_i = n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{a\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \text{GL}_{a\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then we define the morphisms Φ_i in Mod_Γ by

$$\Phi_i: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, \quad m \mapsto X_i m.$$

Put $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k)$, then (M, N, Φ) is indeed an object in Mor_Γ^P . Moreover, take Γ' to be an open subgroup of Γ acting trivially on M, N , then (M, N, Φ) is polarizable in $\text{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ with a polarization

$$\lambda: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \quad m \mapsto \Lambda m.$$

Next we prove the injectivity of (31): suppose we have two objects $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \tilde{\Phi})$ in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$ such that there is a matrix $Y_2 \in \text{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$ with

$$(X^{-1} X_1, \dots, X^{-1} X_k) = Y_2 (\tilde{X}^{-1} \tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}^{-1} \tilde{X}_k) \in T_{r,k}^{(a)}.$$

Up to multiplying Y_2 by a positive integer, we can assume

$$Y_1 := X Y_2 \tilde{X}^{-1} \in \text{Mat}_a(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]) \cap \text{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)).$$

Then it follows that for any $i = 1, \dots, k$

$$X_i Y_2 = Y_1 \tilde{X}_i.$$

We define morphisms $\Psi_{Y_i}: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a$ sending m to $Y_i m$ and it follows that

$$\psi = (\psi_1 = \Psi_{Y_1}, \psi_2 = \Psi_{Y_2}^\vee): (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \tilde{\Phi})$$

is an isogeny in $\text{Mor}_\Gamma^{P, \text{pPol}}$. This gives the injectivity.

For the last point, if $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$ is not simple, that is, there is a morphism of the form

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2): (M', N', \Phi') := (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b)^\vee, \Phi') \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$$

for some $0 < b < a$ such that ψ_1, ψ_2^\vee are injective and ψ is not an isogeny. We can view M' (resp. $(N')^\vee$) as a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a$ via ψ_1 (resp. ψ_2^\vee). In particular,

$$\Phi_i(M') \subset (N')^\vee, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k.$$

In other words, we can find $Z \in \text{Mat}_a(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]) \cap \text{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$ such that

$$Z X_i Z^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X'_i & * \\ 0 & X''_i \end{pmatrix}$$

where X'_i is a square matrix of size $b \times b$, X''_i of size $(a-b) \times (a-b)$.

Conversely, if there is $Z \in \mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$ such that ZX_iZ^{-1} are as in the statement of the theorem, then up to multiplying Z by a positive integer, we can assume $Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_a(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]) \cap \mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$. We define a morphism of Γ -modules to be the following composition

$$\psi_1: M' := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a \xrightarrow{Z} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a,$$

where the first map is the inclusion via the first b factors and the second map is given by the matrix Z with respect to the standard $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]$ -basis of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a$. We define ψ_2 by specifying $\psi_2^\vee: (N')^\vee := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a$ by the same formula. Then we define $\Phi'_i: M' \rightarrow (N')^\vee$ represented by the matrix X'_i . This gives rise to an object (M', N', Φ') in Mor_Γ^P together with a morphism $(M', N', \Phi') \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$ in Mor_Γ^P . Suppose $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$ is polarizable in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ with polarization λ (again we can assume Γ' acts trivially on $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a$). So under the fixed basis of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a$ and the dual basis of $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee$, up to replacing λ by a positive integer multiple of λ , we can assume that λ is represented by a matrix of the form

$$\Lambda = Z^t \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} Z$$

with $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M')}(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that

$$X_i^t \Lambda = Z^t \begin{pmatrix} X'_i & * \\ 0 & X''_i \end{pmatrix}^t Z^{-t} Z^t \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} Z = Z^t \begin{pmatrix} (X'_i)^t A & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} Z.$$

Since $X_1^t \Lambda, \dots, X_k^t \Lambda$ are all symmetric and positive semi-definite and $X^t X$ is symmetric and positive definite, it follows that $(X'_1)^t A, \dots, (X'_k)^t A$ are all symmetric and positive semi-definite and $\sum_{i=1}^k (X'_i)^t A$ is symmetric and positive definite. Then it follows that the map $\lambda': \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b)^\vee$ represented by the matrix A is a polarization for the object $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b)^\vee, \Phi')$ in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$ and thus $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^b)^\vee, \Phi')$ is pointwise polarizable in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma'}^P$. We conclude that $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$ is not simple. □

Remark 6.12. *It is not hard to see that $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a, (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_r]^a)^\vee, \Phi)$ is polarizable in $\mathrm{Mor}_{\Gamma}^{P, \mathrm{pPol}}$ if and only if for the k -tuple $(X_1 X^{-1}, \dots, X_k X^{-1}) \in T_{r,k}^{(a)}$, we can choose $\Lambda \in \mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r))$ such that $X_1^t \Lambda, \dots, X_k^t \Lambda$ are all symmetric (as elements in $\mathrm{Mat}_{a\phi(r)}(\mathbb{Q})$), positive semi-definite.*

Combining Theorems 6.9 and 6.11, we get

Corollary 6.13. *The following map is a bijection*

$$T_{r,k} \simeq T_{r,k}^{(1)} \simeq T_{r,k}^{(1)} / \sim, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_k) \mapsto [t_1, \dots, t_k].$$

APPENDIX A. SOME LEMMAS ON HOMOMORPHISMS OF (COMMUTATIVE) GROUP SCHEMES

Let S be a scheme.

Lemma A.1. *Let Y and Y' be group schemes over S which are étale locally isomorphic to a free abelian group of finite rank, and let $f: Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a homomorphism. Assume that for any $s \in S$, the fiber $f_{\bar{s}}$ of f at a geometric point \bar{s} above s is injective with finite cokernel. Then f is injective with cokernel a finite locally constant group scheme.*

Proof. This follows from [SP23, Tag 093S (3)]. □

Let B and B' (resp. T and T') be abelian schemes (resp. quasi-isotrivial tori) over S , and let G (resp. G') be a group scheme over S which is an extension of B (resp. B') by T (resp. T').

Lemma A.2. *Let $h : T \rightarrow T'$ be a homomorphism of tori over S . Assume that for any $s \in S$, $h_{\bar{s}} : T_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow T'_{\bar{s}}$ is an isogeny. Then h is faithfully flat (hence surjective) with kernel finite locally free.*

Proof. Let X (resp. X') be the character group of T (resp. T'). Then X and X' are étale locally isomorphic to a free abelian group of finite rank, in particular they are quasi-isotrivial “groupe constant tordu” in the sense of [DG70, Exposé X, Definition 5.1]. Let $h^* : X' \rightarrow X$ be the homomorphism induced by h . It suffices to show that h^* is injective with cokernel a finite locally constant group scheme by [SP23, Tag 05B2]. By the assumption in the statement, $(h^*)_{\bar{s}}$ is injective with finite cokernel for any $s \in S$, then we are done by Lemma A.1. \square

Lemma A.3. *Let $g : G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism of group schemes over S .*

- (1) *The composition $T \hookrightarrow G \xrightarrow{g} G' \rightarrow B'$ is trivial, and thus g induces $g_t : T \rightarrow T'$ and $g_{ab} : B \rightarrow B'$.*
- (2) *Assume that for any $s \in S$, $g_{\bar{s}} : G_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow G'_{\bar{s}}$ is an isogeny. Then g , g_t and g_{ab} are all faithfully flat (hence surjective) with kernel finite locally free.*

Proof. (1) By [Ber09, Lemma 1.2.1] the composition $T \hookrightarrow G \xrightarrow{g} G' \rightarrow B'$ is trivial, and thus g induces $g_t : T \rightarrow T'$ and $g_{ab} : B \rightarrow B'$ as depicted in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow g_t & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow g_{ab} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T' & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & B' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

(2) Let s be any point of S . Applying the snake lemma to the base change of the above diagram to \bar{s} , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker((g_t)_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \ker(g_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \ker((g_{ab})_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}((g_t)_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow 0$$

and $\operatorname{coker}((g_{ab})_{\bar{s}}) = 0$. Since the reduced connected component of $\ker((g_{ab})_{\bar{s}})$ is an abelian variety which is proper, and $\operatorname{coker}((g_t)_{\bar{s}})$ is a torus which is affine, we must have $\operatorname{coker}((g_t)_{\bar{s}}) = 0$. Therefore both $(g_t)_{\bar{s}}$ and $(g_{ab})_{\bar{s}}$ are isogenies.

By Lemma A.2, g_t is faithfully flat with kernel finite locally free. Thus we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker(g_t) \rightarrow \ker(g) \rightarrow \ker(g_{ab}) \rightarrow 0$ with $\ker(g_t)$ a finite locally free group scheme over S .

Since $(g)_{\bar{s}}$ (resp. $(g_{ab})_{\bar{s}}$) is flat for any $s \in S$, so is g (resp. g_{ab}) by [SP23, Tag 039E]. Therefore g and g_{ab} are faithfully flat.

Since $\ker(g_{ab})$ is both proper and quasi-finite, it is finite by [SP23, Tag 02LS]. Since $B \rightarrow S$ and $B' \rightarrow S$ are locally of finite presentation, so is g_{ab} by [SP23, Tag 02FV] (1). Then $\ker(g_{ab})$ is locally of finite presentation over S by [SP23, Tag 01TS]. Therefore $\ker(g_{ab})$ is finite locally free by [SP23, Tag 02KB]. Since $\ker(g)$ is a $\ker(g_t)$ -torsor over $\ker(g_{ab})$, it is finite locally free over $\ker(g_{ab})$. It follows that $\ker(g) \rightarrow S$ which is the composition $\ker(g) \rightarrow \ker(g_{ab}) \rightarrow S$ is finite locally free. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ber09] C. Bertolin, Extensions and biextensions of locally constant group schemes, tori and abelian schemes, Math. Z. 261 (2009): 845–868. 44

- [DG70] M.Demazure and A.Grothendieck, Schémas en Groupes II, Lecture Notes in Math 152, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970. 44
- [Hon68] T.Honda, Isogeny classes of abelian varieties over finite fields. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 20:83–95, 1968. 2
- [Ill02] L.Illusie, An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology, Astérisque (2002): 271-322. 9, 11
- [Kat21] K.Kato, Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie II— Logarithmic flat topology, Tokyo J. Math. 44 (2021): 125-155. 9, 10, 11, 14
- [Kat23] K.Kato Logarithmic Dieudonné theory, arXiv:2306.13943, (2023). 11, 13
- [KKN08] T.Kajiwara, K.Kato and C.Nakayama, Logarithmic abelian varieties, Nagoya Mathematical Journal 189 (2008): 63-138. 2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 13, 22
- [KMS22] M.Kisin, K.Madapusi-Pera, and S.-W.Shin, Honda–Tate theory for Shimura varieties, Duke Mathematical Journal 171.7 (2022): 1559-1614. 2
- [KNU22] K.Kato, C.Nakayama and S.Usui, Mixed objects are embedded into log pure objects, arXiv:2212.10970, 2022. 4
- [Kot92] R.Kottwitz, Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 5.2 (1992): 373-444. 2
- [Kro57] L.Kronecker, Zwei Sätze über Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Coefficienten, J.Reine Angew.math, 53 (1857), 173-175. 2
- [Mil08] J. Milne, Abelian varieties, Lectures notes from the authors homepage, Version 2.0 (2008). 2, 8
- [Mum70] D. Mumford, Abelian varieties, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, 5 (1970) 7
- [Pra04] V.V.Prasolov, *Polynomials*, Vol. 11. Springer Science and Business Media, 2004. 2
- [SP23] The Stacks project authors, The Stacks project, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2024. 5, 20, 43, 44
- [Tat66] J.Tate, Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields, Invent. Math., 2:134–144, 1966. 2
- [Tat68] J.Tate, Classes d’isogénie des variétés abéliennes sur un corps fini, Séminaire Bourbaki, 21:95–110, 1968. 2
- [Zha17] H.Zhao, Log abelian varieties over a log point, Documenta Mathematica 22 (2017): 505-550. 5, 10, 12, 13, 14, 26, 30

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, MATHEMATIKCARRÉE, THEA-LEYMANN-STRASSE 9, 45127 ESSEN, GERMANY

Email address: xiaoyu.zhang@uni-due.de

HARBIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS, 150001 HARBIN, CHINA

Email address: heer.zhao@gmail.com