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#### Abstract

We continue to study (see [6]) a renewal equation $\phi(t)=\mathfrak{F} \phi_{t}$ proposed in [1, 2] to model trees growth. This time we are considering the case when the per capita reproduction rate $\beta(x)$ is a non-monotone (unimodal) function of tree's height $x$. Note that the height of some species of trees can impact negatively seed viability, cf. [3, p. 524], in a kind of autogamy depression. Similarly to previous works, it is also assumed that the growth rate $g(x)$ of an individual of height $x$ is a strictly decreasing function. As in [5, 6], we analyse the connection between dynamics of the associated one-dimensional $\operatorname{map} F(b)=\mathfrak{F} b, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, and the delayed (hence infinite-dimensional) model $\phi(t)=\mathfrak{F} \phi_{t}$. Our key observation is that this model is of monotone positive feedback type since $F$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$independently on the monotonicity properties of $\beta$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this note, we consider the renewal equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=\mathfrak{F} b_{t}:=\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(e^{-\mu(\tau-a)} \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-\mu s} b(t-s) d s\right) d \tau\right) e^{-\mu a} b(t-a) d a \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{t}(s):=b(t+s), s \leq 0$, and functional $\mathfrak{F}$ is given by

$$
\mathfrak{F} \phi:=\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(e^{-\mu(\tau-a)} \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-\mu s} \phi(-s) d s\right) d \tau\right) e^{-\mu a} \phi(-a) d a
$$

This equation was proposed recently in [1, 2] to model trees growth and presents one of possible approaches to analyse the forest population dynamics, several other models are mentioned in [1, 2, 6]. In equation (11), function $b(t)$ corresponds to the tree population birth rate at time $t$; continuous strictly decreasing function $g(x), g:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$, $g(+\infty)=0$, is the growth rate of an individual of height $x ; \mu>0$ represents the per capita death rate; globally Lipschitzian function $\beta(x), \beta:\left[x_{m},+\infty\right) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, is the per capita reproduction rate (depending only on height $x$ ). This model postulates the minimal height $x_{m} \geq 0$ for all newborn trees. By [1, 2], $b(t)$ gives the influx at $x_{m}$ originating from reproduction by the extant population. To ensure good persistence properties of solutions, it suffices to assume that $\beta(x)>0$ a.e. on $\left[x_{0},+\infty\right)$ for some $x_{0} \geq x_{m}$ [6].

Clearly, (11) can be considered as an autonomous functional equation with infinite delay once it is provided with non-negative initial conditions $b(s)=\phi(s) \geq 0, s \leq 0$, where $\phi$ belongs to the cone $\mathfrak{K}$ of non-negative measurable functions of the Banach space

$$
\left.L_{\rho}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)=\left\{\phi:|\phi|_{\rho}<\infty\right\}, \quad \text { (here we use the notation } \quad|\phi|_{q}:=\int_{-\infty}^{0}|\phi(s)| e^{q s} d s\right),
$$

with $\rho<\mu$. It was proved in [1, 2, [6] that the renewal equation (1) generates a continuous semiflow $\mathfrak{S}: \mathfrak{K} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ by $\left(\mathfrak{S}^{t} \phi\right)(s)=b(t+s), s \leq 0$. It has a zero steady state $b(t) \equiv 0$ while the positive equilibria of $\mathfrak{S}^{t}$ are defined from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=R(b):=\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau}}{\mu} b\right) d \tau\right) e^{-\mu a} d a \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that equation (2) has at least one positive solution $b_{*}$ if $R(0)>1$ and $R(+\infty)=$ $\beta\left(x_{m}\right) / \mu<1$. If additionally $\beta$ increases on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, then $R(b)$ is strictly decreasing so that solution $b_{*}$ is unique, cf. [1, Theorem 4.1]. Moreover, this unique positive steady state is locally stable and globally attracting solution of (1), see 1, 2, 6]. The key argument in proofs here is that semiflow $\mathfrak{F}^{t} \phi$ is monotone 12] once $\beta$ is increasing on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

In some cases, however, the assumption of monotonicity of $\beta$ is not realistic. For example, the height of some species of trees can impact negatively seed viability, cf. [3, p. 524], in a kind of autogamy depression. Thus it would be interesting to study the dynamics in (1) in the case when the per capita reproduction rate $\beta(x)$ is a nonmonotone (for instance, unimodal) function of tree's height $x$. Now, a general description of this dynamics is known from [6], where it was proven that under all assumed (except monotonicity of $\beta$ ) restrictions on $\beta, g$ and $0 \leq \beta\left(x_{m}\right)<\mu, R(0)>1$, the semiflow
$\mathfrak{F}^{t} \phi$ has a compact global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ attracting each non-zero solution. Moreover, each element $\psi$ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies $0<\theta_{1} \leq \psi(s) \leq \theta_{2}, \quad\left|\psi^{\prime}(s)\right| \leq \theta_{3}, s \leq 0$, for some universal positive numbers $\theta_{1} \leq \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$.

In the sequel we present several analytical and numerical arguments shedding some light on possible dynamical structures of the global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ without assumption of the monotonicity for $\beta$. As in [5, 6], we will analyse the connection between dynamics of the associated one-dimensional map $F(b)=\mathfrak{F} b, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, and the delayed (hence infinite-dimensional) model $\phi(t)=\mathfrak{F} \phi_{t}$.

## 2. Monotonicity of the function $F(b)=b R(b), b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Theorem 1. Assume all conditions (except monotonicity of $\beta$ ) imposed on $\beta$ and $g$ in Section 1. Then function $F(b)=b R(b)$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Proof. Assuming that $\phi(s)>0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}_{\text {_ }}$ and setting $h(s)=\phi(s) e^{\mu s}$ and $\theta=H(u)=$ $\int_{-\infty}^{u} h(s) d s$, we find that
$\mathfrak{F} \phi=\int_{-\infty}^{0} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{u}^{0} g\left(e^{-\mu s} H(u)\right) d s\right) h(u) d u=\int_{0}^{H(0)} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{H^{-1}(\theta)}^{0} g\left(e^{-\mu s} \theta\right) d s\right) d \theta$.
Clearly, $H(u)$ is strictly increasing and positive on $\mathbb{R}$, with $H(-\infty)=0$,

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} H^{-1}(\theta)=\frac{1}{h\left(H^{-1}(\theta)\right)} \quad \text { a.e. on }(0, H(0))
$$

If $\phi_{2}(s)>\phi_{1}(s)>0$, almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}_{-}$, then

$$
H_{2}(u)=\int_{-\infty}^{u} h_{2}(s) d s>H_{1}(u)=\int_{-\infty}^{u} h_{1}(s) d s, \quad u \leq 0
$$

so that

$$
H_{2}^{-1}(\theta)<H_{1}^{-1}(\theta) \leq 0, \quad 0<\theta \leq H_{1}(0) .
$$

Since $g$ is strictly decreasing, we find that the function

$$
\gamma(\theta, \phi):=x_{m}+\int_{H^{-1}(\theta)}^{0} g\left(e^{-\mu s} \theta\right) d s=x_{m}+\int_{H^{-1}(\theta)+\mu^{-1} \ln (1 / \theta)}^{\mu^{-1} \ln (1 / \theta)} g\left(e^{-\mu s}\right) d s
$$

is continuous and strictly decreasing in $\theta$ for each fixed $\phi>0$,

$$
\partial \gamma(\theta, \phi) / \partial \theta=-\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{g(\theta)}{\theta}-g\left(\theta e^{-\mu H^{-1}(\theta)}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\theta}-\frac{\mu}{h\left(H^{-1}(\theta)\right)}\right]\right)<0 .
$$

Also, for a fixed $\theta$ and $\phi_{2}(s)>\phi_{1}(s)>0$, it holds that

$$
\gamma\left(\theta, \phi_{2}\right)>\gamma\left(\theta, \phi_{1}\right) .
$$

Thus the equation $u=\gamma(\theta, \phi)$ can be solved with respect to $\theta$, we denote this solution by $\theta=\sigma(u, \phi)$. Clearly, $\sigma$ is strictly decreasing in the first argument and is strictly increasing in $\phi$. Therefore $g(\sigma(u, \phi)) / \sigma(u, \phi)$ is strictly decreasing in $\phi$

In this way,
$\mathfrak{F} \phi=\mu \int_{x_{m}}^{+\infty} \beta(u)\left(\frac{g(\theta)}{\theta}-g\left(\theta e^{-\mu H^{-1}(\theta)}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\theta}-\frac{\mu}{h\left(H^{-1}(\theta)\right)}\right]\right)^{-1} d u$, where $\theta=\sigma(u, \phi)$.
Particularly,

$$
F(b)=\mathfrak{F} \phi=\mu \int_{x_{m}}^{+\infty} \beta(u) \frac{\theta}{g(\theta)} d u, \text { where } \theta=\sigma(u, b) .
$$

so that $F(b)$ is a strictly increasing function independently on the monotonicity properties of $\beta$.

Theorem 1 suggests to explore a possible connection between dynamical structures for the delayed equation (1) and seemingly simpler equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=\mathfrak{G} b_{t}:=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(b(t-a-h)) e^{-\mu a} d a \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\mu^{-1} f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly increasing smooth function, $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, having three equilibria $e_{1}<e_{2}:=0<e_{3}, F\left(e_{j}\right)=e_{j}$. Equation (3) describes bounded solutions from the global attractor for the semiflow generated by the delayed equation

$$
b^{\prime}(t)=-\mu b(t)+f(b(t-h)), \quad \mu>0
$$

Specific applications of such a model can be found in neural network theory [7, 8]. Obviously, each equilibrium for (3) is determined from the equation

$$
b=\mathfrak{G} b=\mu^{-1} f(b),
$$

where the right hand side is given by a strictly increasing function. The global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ for (3) has relatively simple structure described in [8] (if $e_{2}$ is "sufficiently unstable", then $\mathcal{A}$ is a smooth solid 3-dimensional spindle separated by the invariant disk into the basins of attraction toward the tips $e_{1}$ and $e_{3}$ ). See also [9] for further results and references on this topic.

## 3. Characteristic equations and principle of linearized stability

Formal linearization of the operator $\mathfrak{F}$ at an equilibrium $b(t) \equiv b$ yields the following

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { action } \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}(b) \psi=\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) e^{-\mu a} \psi(-a) d a+ \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right)\left[g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right)-g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)\right] \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-\mu s} \psi(-s) d s d a= \\
\beta\left(x_{m}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\mu s} \psi(-s) d s+g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-\mu s} \psi(-s) d s d a .
\end{gathered}
$$

Looking for the exponential eigenfunctions $\psi(s)=\exp (\lambda s)$ of the linearized equation $\psi(t)=\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}(b) \psi_{t}$, we obtain the characteristic equation for $\lambda$, cf. [1, 2]:

$$
\lambda+\left(\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right)=g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+\int_{4}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) e^{-(\mu+\lambda) a} d a=: \chi_{b}(\lambda)
$$

First, we consider the simpler case when $\beta$ is a non-decreasing function and $\mu>\beta\left(x_{m}\right)$ (so that $F^{\prime}(b) \leq 1$ at the unique positive equilibrium):

Lemma 2. Assume that $\beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+s\right) \geq 0, g(s)>0$, for $s \geq 0$ and $\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)>0$. Then the characteristic equation has exactly one real solution $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$. Moreover, $\lambda_{0} \leq 0$ and $\Re \lambda_{j}<\lambda_{0}$ for each other (complex) solution $\lambda_{j}$. If $g$ is strictly decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, then $\lambda_{0}<0$.

Proof. The proof is obvious if $\beta^{\prime}(s)=0$ a.e. so that we consider the case when $\beta^{\prime} \neq 0$. Clearly each eigenvalues satisfies the inequality $\lambda_{j}+\left(\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right) \geq 0$. Taking into account opposite types of monotonicity of $\lambda+\left(\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$ and $\chi_{b}(\lambda)$ and the fact that $\chi_{b}(\lambda)>0$ and $\chi_{b}(0)=\mu F^{\prime}(b)-\beta\left(x_{m}\right) \leq \mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)$, we deduce the existence of a unique real (non-positive) solution $\lambda_{0}$.

Assuming that there is another eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$ with $\Re \lambda_{j} \geq \lambda_{0}$, we get a contradiction:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{0}+\left(\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right)=\left|\lambda_{0}+\left(\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right)\right|<\left|\lambda_{j}+\left(\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right)\right| \leq \\
g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) e^{-\left(\mu+\Re \lambda_{j}\right) a} d a \leq \\
g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) e^{-\left(\mu+\lambda_{0} a\right)} d a=\lambda_{0}+\left(\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, suppose that $g$ is a strictly decreasing and $\lambda_{0}=0$. Then we get the following contradiction:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) e^{-\mu a} d a< \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right) e^{-\mu a} d a= \\
-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)+\mu \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) e^{-\mu a} d a=-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)+\mu .
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 3. Lemma 园 simplifies and improves arguments in [1, Section 5] (apparently, the uniqueness statement of this lemma contradicts to the existence of two negative eigenvalues in the particular case presented in [1, Subsection 5.1]; however, one of these eigenvalues is false and should be excluded to allow the convergence of integrals in $\chi_{b}(\lambda)$.

Next, we analyse the situation when $\beta$ is not necessarily monotone function and $\mu>$ $\beta\left(x_{m}\right)$ (we assume that the model possesses at least one positive equilibrium $b$ ):

Lemma 4. Assume that $g>0$ is strictly decreasing Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mu>\beta\left(x_{m}\right)$. Then in the half-plane $\{\Re z \geq-\mu\}$ the characteristic equation has exactly one real solution $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and $\Re \lambda_{j}<\lambda_{0}$ for each other (complex) solution $\lambda_{j}$. Moreover, if $F^{\prime}(b)<1$ then $\lambda_{0}<0, \lambda_{0}=0$ when $F^{\prime}(b)=1$ and $\lambda_{0}>0$ if $F^{\prime}(b)>1$.

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda+\left(\mu-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right)=g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\prime}\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) e^{-(\mu+\lambda) a} d a= \\
g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(\mu+\lambda) a}}{g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)} d \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right)= \\
-\beta\left(x_{m}\right)-g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right)\left[\frac{e^{-(\mu+\lambda) a}}{g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\right]^{\prime} d a
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\left[\frac{e^{-(\mu+\lambda) a}}{g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\right]^{\prime}=e^{-(\mu+\lambda) a} \frac{-(\mu+\lambda) g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)+e^{-\mu a} b g^{\prime}\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}{\left(g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)\right)^{2}}<0
$$

we obtain the following equivalent form of the characteristic equation:

$$
1=g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) \frac{e^{-(\mu+\lambda) a}}{g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\left[1-\frac{e^{-\mu a} b g^{\prime}\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}{(\mu+\lambda) g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\right] d a
$$

The right side of this equation (we will denote it by $\xi_{b}(\lambda)$, clearly $\xi_{b}(\lambda)=\left(\chi_{b}(\lambda)+\right.$ $\left.\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right) /(\mu+\lambda)$ and $\left.\xi_{b}(0)=\left(\chi_{b}(0)+\beta\left(x_{m}\right)\right) / \mu=F^{\prime}(b)\right)$ is a strictly decreasing function of $\lambda$ on the interval $(-\mu,+\infty), \xi_{b}\left(-\mu^{+}\right)=+\infty, \xi_{b}(+\infty)=0$, so that the equation has exactly one real root $\lambda_{0}$ on this interval. Furthermore, we see that $\lambda_{0}$ is a dominating eigenvalue. Indeed if $\lambda_{j}$ is another eigenvalue with $\Re \lambda_{j} \geq \lambda_{0}$ then $\lambda_{0}+\mu<\left|\lambda_{j}+\mu\right|$ and we get a contradiction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \leq g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) \frac{e^{-\left(\mu+\Re \lambda_{j}\right) a}}{g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\left[1+\frac{e^{-\mu a} b\left|g^{\prime}\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)\right|}{\left|\mu+\lambda_{j}\right| g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\right] d a< \\
& g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right) \frac{e^{-\left(\mu+\lambda_{0}\right) a}}{g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\left[1+\frac{e^{-\mu a} b\left|g^{\prime}\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)\right|}{\left|\mu+\lambda_{0}\right| g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\right] d a=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, since $\xi_{b}(0)=F^{\prime}(b)$ we conclude that $\lambda_{0}<0$ when $F^{\prime}(b)<1, \lambda_{0}=0$ when $F^{\prime}(b)=1$ and $\lambda_{0}>0$ when $F^{\prime}(b)>1$.

Lemma 4 implies that, except for the critical case $F^{\prime}(b)=1$, the local stability/instability of an equilibrium $b$ in (1) is equivalent to the local stability/instability of the same equilibrium for one-dimensional system $b \rightarrow F(b)$ :

Corollary 5. Assume that functions $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \beta: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have a bounded and globally Lipschitzian first derivative and $\rho<\mu / 5$. Then an equilibrium $b$ of continuous semiflow $\mathfrak{S}: \mathfrak{K} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ is locally asymptotically stable if $F^{\prime}(b)<1$ and is unstable when $F^{\prime}(b)>1$.

Proof. As it was established in [1, Theorem 3.1], under additional assumptions of this corollary, the functional $\mathfrak{F}: L_{\rho}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable with bounded derivative. Then an application of [1, Theorem 3.3] (based on Theorem 3.15 in [4]) completes the proof.

Remark 6. Note that the inequalities $F^{\prime}(b)<1$ and $F^{\prime}(b)>1$ are equivalent, respectively, to the inequalities $R^{\prime}(b)<0$ and $R^{\prime}(b)>0$, where

$$
R^{\prime}(b)=-\frac{1}{b}-\frac{1}{\mu b} g\left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(x_{m}+\int_{0}^{a} g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu \tau} b}{\mu}\right) d \tau\right)\left[\frac{e^{-\mu a}}{g\left(\frac{e^{-\mu a} b}{\mu}\right)}\right]^{\prime} d a
$$

## 4. Numerical simulations and open problems

Stability analysis and discussion presented in the previous sections suggest the existence of a 'large' (we believe, open dense) set $\mathcal{O}$ in the phase space $\mathfrak{K}$ such that solutions with initial data from $\mathcal{O}$ converge to one of stable equilibria. Thus we can expect that a typical numerical solution of equation (1) is asymptotically constant independently on the monotonicity properties of $\beta$. To check this conclusion and to find out possible configurations for the set of equilibria for (1) with the unimodal fertility function $\beta$, we took $\beta(x)=\alpha x e^{-x}$ (which is used in the Nicholson blowflies equation and other models, cf. [10]) ; $x_{m}=0, \mu=1$, and $g(x)=p e^{-x}$, for some specific parameters $\alpha, p>0$. With these choices, our computations exhibit certain diversity in dynamical patterns for (1).


Figure 1: Graphics of $y=F(b)$ and $y=b$ for different pairs of parameters $\alpha$ and $p$
First, in full accordance with Theorem Figure 1 shows that the function $F$ is strictly increasing even in lack of monotonicity for $\beta$. Nevertheless, the function $R$ is not necessarily monotone and we can observe that for different values of $p, R$ can be a decreasing function as well as a unimodal one, see Figure 2. It is important to mention that these shapes for $R$ do not change essentially even if instead of $g(x)=p e^{-x}$ we take $g$ with other orders of decay (e.g. polynomial) at $+\infty$.


Figure 2: Graphics of $R$ for different pairs of parameters $\alpha$ and $p$

(a) $\phi(a)=0.45$

(b) $\phi(a)=0.5$

Figure 3: Simulations of $b(t)$ using constant initial data $\phi$


(b) $b_{*}=0.475, \varepsilon=0.2$ and $\omega=30$
(a) $b_{*}=0.475, \varepsilon=0.2$ and $\omega=1$

Figure 4: Simulations of $b(t)$ using periodic initial data of the form $\phi(a)=b_{*}+\varepsilon \sin (\omega a)$

Interestingly, regardless the fact $R(0)<1$, equation (11) with $\alpha=6$ and $p=5$ has three equilibria, $b_{1}=0<b_{2} \approx 0.47<b_{3} \approx 3.2$. Since $F^{\prime}(0)=R(0)<1, F^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right)=$ $1+b_{2} R^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right)>1, F^{\prime}\left(b_{3}\right)=1+b_{3} R^{\prime}\left(b_{3}\right)<1$, we deduce that equilibria $b_{1}=0$ and $b_{3}$ are locally asymptotically stable and $b_{2}$ is unstable (see Corollary (5). Our computations show that the linearization of (1) at $b_{2}$ has only one (real) eigenvalue with non-negative real part for $\alpha=6, p=5$, therefore we cannot invoke the Hopf bifurcation approach to prove the existence of a periodic orbit for (11). Simulating solutions $b(t)$ of (1) for different initial data $\phi$, we took constant and periodic initial functions close to the intermediate equilibrium $b_{2}$. Figures 3 and 4 show that these solutions are asymptotically constant. Clearly, the nonlinearity $F(b)$ is bistable here and we observe a kind of the Allee effect for the forest dynamics.
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