THERMODYNAMIC FORMALISM AND HYPERBOLIC BAKER DOMAINS II: REAL-ANALYTICITY OF THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION

ADRIÁN ESPARZA-AMADOR

ABSTRACT. We consider the family of entire maps given by $f_{\ell,c}(z) = \ell + c - (\ell - 1) \log c - e^z$, where $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \geq 2$. By using the property of $f_{\mathbf{c}}$ to be dynamically projected to an infinite cylinder $\mathbb{C}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ where the thermodynamic formalism tools are well-defined, we prove as a main result on this work, the real-analyticity of the map $c \mapsto \mathrm{HD}(\mathcal{J}_r(f_{\mathbf{c}}))$, here $\mathcal{J}_r(f_{\mathbf{c}})$ is the radial Julia set.

1. Introduction

For a transcendental entire map $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, the Fatou set, denoted by $\mathcal{F}(f)$, is defined as the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for which there exists a neighbourhood U where the iterates $\{f^n|_U\}_{n\geq 0}$ are normal in the sense of Montel. Its complement $\mathcal{J}(f) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{F}(f)$, called the Julia set of f, is characterize by containing the chaotic dynamical part of the map f. Moreover, it is a well known result from Baker that repelling periodic points are dense in the Julia set, [Bak68].

Given an integer $\ell \geq 2$, for $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ we consider the one-parameter family of entire maps

$$f_c(z) = \ell z + c - (\ell - 1) \log c - e^z$$
.

By noting that for $\ell = c = 2$, the function f_2 corresponds to the one studied by Bergweiler in [Be95-1], we named this as the Bergweiler's family. Following Bergweiler's ideas, authors in [EI23] gave a general description of the Fatou set of f_c , see Theorem 2.1 below. Although $\mathcal{F}(f_c)$ contains a hyperbolic Baker domain in the left-half plane, and wandering domains in a vertical strip, is it possible to prove that f_c is E-hyperbolic [EI23, Theorem 1], which is an extension of the concept of hyperbolicity (or expanding) of rational maps to transcendental maps, also referred as topologically hyperbolic, see [Sta90] and [MU21] for further references.

Thermodynamic formalism has proven to be an appropriate theory to provide probabilistic description of the chaotic dynamical part of a dynamical system. In the context of holomorphic expanding/hyperbolic dynamical systems gives a rich and detailed information about the geometry of Julia sets, see [Bar95], [KU02], [MU08], and the general survey [MU21] and the references therein.

Following ideas of Kotus and Urbański in [KU05], the authors in [EI23] studied the radial Julia set $\mathcal{J}_r(f_{\mathbf{c}})$, of each member of the family f_c obtaining important properties of the geometry of this set by establishing the existence and uniqueness of Gibbs and equilibrium states, studying spectral and asymptotic properties of corresponding Perron-Frobenius operators, showing that dynamical systems are "strongly" mixing, and satisfy the Central Limit Theorem. Finally, as a main result, they obtained a Bowen's formula for the Hausdorff dimension of the radial Julia set, $\mathrm{HD}(\mathcal{J}_r(f_c))$.

The aim of this paper is to give a continuation of the previous work in [EI23], in particular, to prove the real-analyticity of the Bowen's formula for the map $c \mapsto \mathrm{HD}(\mathcal{J}_r(f_c))$. We now briefly describe the organization of this paper.

Date: April 29, 2024.

In Section 2, the dynamical description of the family f_c and its Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(f_c)$ is given as well as the definition of the projected map $F_c:Q\to Q$ to the infinite cylinder $Q=\mathbb{C}/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$, which is the central object of study. In Section 3, we define the transfer operator and enumerate the results on thermodynamic formalism from [EI23] that will be useful in the main theorem. Section 4 contains quasi-conformal facts of the family F_c which imply its Hölder (analyticity) regularity. Finally, in Section 5 we prove the real-analyticity of the Hausdorff dimension of the radial Julia set.

2. The Bergweiler's family

In this section we give a general description of the dynamical plane of each member of the family of entire maps considered in this work.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \geq 2$, take $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ and consider the entire map given by

(1)
$$f_c(z) := f_{\ell,c}(z) = \ell z + c - (\ell - 1) \log c - e^z.$$

We notice that the special parametrization of the family f_c , allows us to conclude that $z_c = \log c$ is a fixed point. Since

$$f_c'(z) = \ell - e^z,$$

its multiplier is given by

$$\lambda_c = \ell - c$$
,

which concludes that $z_c = \log c$ is a (super-)attracting fixed point for f_c . Furthermore, from (1) we notice f_c has no asymptotic values, and from (2) their critical points are

$$Crit(f_c) = \{ \log \ell + 2\pi i k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

Since $f_c(z + 2\pi ik) = f_c(z) + \ell(2\pi ik)$, the singular values of f_c are given by

(3)
$$\operatorname{sing}(f_c^{-1}) = f_c(\operatorname{Crit}(f_c)) = \{ f_c(\log \ell) + \ell(2\pi i k) : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

We are in position to give a general description of the Fatou set of each member f_c of the family, see [EI23] for further details.

Theorem 2.1 (Corollary 1, [EI23]). Fix $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \geq 2$. For $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ the Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(f_c)$ of the member f_c as defined in (1) consists of the following sets:

- A univalent invariant hyperbolic Baker domain \mathcal{U}_{ℓ} containing the half-plane $\{\operatorname{Re}(z) < -2\ell\}$ and all of its preimages.
- A simply connected (Böttcher) Schröeder domain U_0 containing the (super-)attracting fixed point $z_c = \log c$ and all of its preimages.
- Two sets of wandering domains from the $2k\pi i$ -traslation of the attracting domain \mathcal{U}_0 and all of its preimages.

It is easy to see from the above result, that the Euclidean distance holds

$$\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{P}(f_c), \mathcal{J}(f_c)) > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{P}(f_c)$ denotes the post-singular set of f_c define as

$$\mathcal{P}(f_c) = \overline{\bigcup_{n \ge 0} f_c^n(\operatorname{sing}(f_c^{-1}))}.$$

Then f_c belongs to the class C described by Stallard in [Sta90], also called E-hyperbolic or topologically hyperbolic class, see [MU21] for further references. It follows that the Lebesgue measure of the Julia set of f_c is zero, [Sta90, Theorem B].

2.1. **Projection on the cylinder** \mathbb{C}/\sim . Consider the infinite cylinder $Q=\mathbb{C}/\sim$ where $z\sim w$ if and only if $z-w\in 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$. The quotient space \mathbb{C}/\sim is provided with a Riemann surface structure from the quotient map

$$\Pi: \quad \mathbb{C} \quad \to \quad Q \\ z \quad \mapsto \quad [z].$$

The relation $f_c(z + 2\pi i k) = f_c(z) + \ell(2\pi i k)$ means that f_c respects the equivalence relation \sim , so it induces a unique map

$$F_c: Q \to Q$$

such that $\Pi \circ f_c = F_c \circ \Pi$. Following [Be95-2] we know that $\mathcal{J}(F_c) = \Pi(\mathcal{J}(f_c))$, so from now on, we focus on F_c instead of the original function f_c . Using Theorem 2.1 we can characterize the dynamics under F_c of any point in the Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(F_c)$.

Proposition 2.2. Given $z \in \mathcal{F}(F_c)$, there are only two options for the limit of the sequence $\{z_n = F_c^n(z)\}_n^{\infty}$ either

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = \log c,$$

or

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = -\infty.$$

On the other hand, since $f_{\mathbf{c}}$ is E-hyperbolic, it can be proved that F_c is an expansive function.

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 1, [EI23]). There exist L > 0 and $\kappa > 1$ such that for every $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ and every $n \geq 1$ we have

$$|(F_c^n)'(z)| \ge L\kappa^n.$$

We consider the escaping subset on $\mathcal{J}(F_c)$ given by

$$I_{\infty}(F_c) = \{ z :\in \mathcal{J}(F_c) : \lim_{n \to \infty} F_c^n(z) = +\infty \},$$

and its corresponding set on $\mathcal{J}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) = \Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}(F_c))$ as

$$I_{\infty}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) = \{ w \in \mathcal{J}(f_{\mathbf{c}}) : \Pi(w) \in I_{\infty}(F_c) \}.$$

Given the "asymptotic" similarity to the exponential function $z \mapsto -e^z$, it is not difficult to notice that $\mathrm{HD}(\mathrm{I}_{\infty}(F)) = \mathrm{HD}(\mathrm{I}_{\infty}(f_c)) = \mathrm{HD}(\mathcal{J}(F_c)) = \mathrm{HD}(\mathcal{J}(f_c)) = 2$, which makes these sets dynamically rather boring.

We denote by $\mathcal{J}_r(F_c)$, called the radial Julia set, to the complement on $\mathcal{J}(F_c)$ of the escaping set,

$$\mathcal{J}_r(F_c) = \mathcal{J}(F_c) \setminus I_{\infty}(F_c)$$
 and $\mathcal{J}_r(f_c) = \mathcal{J}(f_c) \setminus I_{\infty}(f_c)$.

This way, the thermodynamic formalism will be developed on this rather interesting set [MU21, Lemma 4].

3. Thermodynamic formalism

In the present section, we present the most important properties in [EI23] derived from the thermodynamic formalism that will be useful in the rest of the paper. 3.1. Transfer Operator and Topological Pressure. Let $C_b = C_b(\mathcal{J}(F_c))$ be the Banach space of all bounded continuous complex valued functions on $\mathcal{J}(F_c)$. For t > 0, define the (transfer) Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathcal{L}_t : \mathcal{C}_b \to \mathcal{C}_b$ given by

(4)
$$\mathcal{L}_t g(z) = \sum_{x \in F_c^{-1}(z)} |F_c'(x)|^{-t} g(x).$$

For any $n \geq 1$, its *n*-iterate is defined as

(5)
$$\mathcal{L}_t^n g(z) = \sum_{x \in F_c^{-n}(z)} |(F_c^n)'(x)|^{-t}.$$

We use the transfer operator and its iterates to define the topological pressure of the (non-compact) Julia set of F. First, for every t > 0 and every $z \in Q \setminus \mathcal{P}(F_c)$, we define the lower and upper topological pressure as

$$\underline{P}(t,z) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathcal{L}_t^n \mathbb{1}(z) \text{ and } \overline{P}(t,z) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathcal{L}_t^n \mathbb{1}(z).$$

Proposition 3.1 (Proposition 2, [EI23]). Let t > 1 and $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$, then the following statements hold:

- $\underline{P}(t,z)$ and $\overline{P}(t,z)$ are independent on the choice of $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$.
- $\|\mathcal{L}_t \mathbb{1}\|_{\infty} = \sup\{\mathcal{L}_t \mathbb{1}(z) : z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)\} < +\infty.$
- For every t > 1, $\underline{P}(t)$ and $\overline{P}(t)$ are convex, continuous and strictly decreasing functions and $\lim_{t \to \infty} \overline{P}(t) = -\infty$.
- $\lim_{\mathrm{Re}(z)\to+\infty} \mathcal{L}_t \mathbb{1}(z) = 0.$
- 3.2. Conformal measures. Let $t, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that a measure ν on $\mathcal{J}(F_c)$ is (t, α) -conformal if for every Borel set $A \subset \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ where $F_c|_A$ is injective, we have

$$\nu(F_c(A)) = \int_A \alpha |F_c'|^t d\nu.$$

Theorem 3.2 (Theorem 3, [EI23]). For every t > 1, there exists $\alpha_t > 0$ and $a(t, \alpha_t)$ conformal measure m_t for the map $F: \mathcal{J}(F_c) \to \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ with $m_t(\mathcal{J}(F_c)) = 1$.

Now, let $\mathcal{L}_t^* : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_c))^* \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_c))^*$ denote the dual operator of \mathcal{L}_t given by the formula

$$\int \phi d(\mathcal{L}_t^* \mu) = \int \mathcal{L}_t \phi d\mu, \qquad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_c)).$$

Set $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t = \alpha_t^{-1} \mathcal{L}_t$ and let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t^*$ denote its dual.

Proposition 3.3 (Proposition 4, [EI23]). Let t > 1, the following properties hold:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_t^* m_t = \alpha_t m_t$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{L}_t^*} m_t = m_t$.
- (ii) $\sup_{n\geq 1}\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{L}_t^n}\mathbb{1}\|_{\infty}\}<+\infty.$
- (iii) $P(t) = \underline{P}(t) = \overline{P}(t) = \log \alpha_t$.

The common value P(t) in (iii) above is called the topological pressure of F.

Lemma 3.4 (Lemma 3, [EI23]). If ν is a (t, β^j) -conformal measure for F^j with t > 1, then there exists M > 0 such that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Re}(F_c^{nj}(x)) \le M,$$

for ν -a.e. $x \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$. In particular, $\nu(I_{\infty}(F_c)) = 0$ so $\nu(\mathcal{J}_r(F_c)) = 1$.

The above result confirms that \mathcal{J}_r is the right subset on $\mathcal{J}(F_c)$ to be geometrically studied. As a first consequence we have.

Theorem 3.5 (Theorem 4, [EI23]). For t > 1, the probability measure m_t is the unique $(t, e^{P(t)})$ -conformal measure for F_c . Moreover, it is ergodic with respect each iterate F_c^j .

Finally, in order to state the Bowen's formula for \mathcal{J}_r , we need to define the bounded variation Banach space on which our transfer operator will be acting.

For a fixed $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}_b$ we define the α -variation of g given by

$$v_{\alpha}(g) = \inf\{L \geq 0 : |g(y) - g(x)| \leq L|y - x|^{\alpha}, \ x, y \in \mathcal{J}(F_c), \text{ with } |y - x| \leq \delta\},\$$

where $\delta = 1/2 \min\{1/2, \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{P}(F_c), \mathcal{J}(F_c))\} > 0$, and set

$$||g||_{\alpha} = v_{\alpha}(g) + ||g||_{\infty}.$$

It follows that the normed space

$$(H_{\alpha}, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha}) := \{g \in C_b : \|g\| < +\infty\}$$

is a Banach space densely contained in C_b with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ -norm. We have the following result, when restrict the transfer operator to the Banach space H_{α} .

Theorem 3.6 (Theorem 5, [EI23]). For t > 1, we have the following:

- (a) The number 1 is a simple isolated eigenvalue of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t: H_{\alpha} \to H_{\alpha}$.
- (b) The eigenspace of the eigenvalue 1 is generated by nowhere vanishing function $\psi_t \in H_\alpha$ such that $\int \psi_t dm_t = 1$ and

$$\lim_{\mathrm{Re}(z)\to+\infty}\psi_t(z)=0.$$

(c) The number 1 is the only eigenvalue of modulus 1.

We then have the Bowen's formula for \mathcal{J}_r .

Theorem 3.7 (Theorem 8, [EI23]). The Hausdorff dimension of the radial Julia set $\mathcal{J}_r(F_c)$ is the unique zero of the pressure function $t \mapsto P(t)$, t > 1.

3.3. Analyticity of the Perron-Frobenius operator. Recall that, since $dist(\mathcal{P}(F_c), \mathcal{J}(F_c)) > 0$, we have

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2}\min\{1/2, \operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{PS}(F_c), \mathcal{J}(F_c))\} > 0.$$

For every $n \geq 1$ and every $v \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$, let

$$(F_c)_v^{-n}: B(F_c^n(v), 2\delta) \to Q,$$

denote the holomorphic inverse branch of F_c^n defined on the open ball $B(F_c^n(v), 2\delta)$ and sending $F_c^n(v)$ to v. It follows from Proposition 2.3 and Koebe's distorsion theorem that there exist constants L>0 and $0<\beta<1$ such that for every $n\geq 1$ and every $v\in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ and every $v\in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ and every $v\in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ we have

(6)
$$|((F_c)_v^n)'(z)| \le L\beta^n.$$

We say that a continuous function $\phi: \mathcal{J}(F_c) \to \mathbb{C}$ is dynamically α -Hölder if there exists $c_{\phi} > 0$ such that

(7)
$$|\phi_n((F_c)_v^{-n}(y)) - \phi_n((F_c)_v^{-n}(x))| \le c_\phi |\phi_n((F_c)_v^{-n}(x))| |x - y|^\alpha,$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all $x, y \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ with $|x - y| \leq \delta$ and all $v \in F_c^{-n}(x)$, where

$$\phi_n(z) = \phi(z)\phi(F(z))\cdots\phi(F_c^{n-1}(z)).$$

And we say that is summable if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)} \left\{ \sum_{v \in F_c^{-1}(z)} \|\phi \circ (F_c)_v^{-1}\|_{\infty} \right\} < +\infty.$$

We end this section by collecting the main result of Section 8 in [KU05]. We denote the class of α -Hölder continuous summable functions on $\mathcal{J}(F_c)$ by \mathcal{H}^s_{α} .

Theorem 3.8 (Corollary 8.7, [KU05]). Suppose that G is an open connected subset of \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 1$, and that $\phi_{\sigma} : \mathcal{J}(F_c) \to \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma \in G$, is a family of mappings such that the following assumptions are satisfied.

- (a) For every $\sigma \in G$, ϕ_{σ} is in H_{α}^{s} .
- (b) For every $\sigma \in G$, the function ϕ_{σ} is dynamically α -Hölder.
- (c) The function $\sigma \mapsto \phi_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \ (\sigma \in G)$ is continuous.
- (d) The family $\{c_{\phi_{\sigma}}\}_{{\sigma}\in G}$ is bounded.
- (e) The function $\sigma \mapsto \phi_{\sigma}(z) \in \mathbb{C}$, σ G, is holomorphic for every $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$.
- (f) $\forall (\sigma_2 \in G) \exists (r > 0) \exists (\sigma_1 \in G) \text{ such that }$

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\phi_{\sigma}}{\phi_{\sigma_1}} \right| : \sigma \in \overline{B(\sigma_2, r)} \right\} < +\infty.$$

Then the function $\sigma \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{\sigma}} \in L(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}), \ \sigma \in G$, is holomorphic.

4. Quasi-conformal Conjugacies of the Family $\{f_{\mathbf{c}}\}$

The following continuity result on the Julia set will be useful in the analyticity of the Hausdorff dimension.

Lemma 4.1. Let $c_0, c_n \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ with $c_n \to c_0$ as $n \to \infty$. If $z_n \in \mathcal{J}(F_{c_n})$ for all $n \ge 1$, and if $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = z_0$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 we know that the Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(F_c)$ consists only of the Baker (strip) domain $\Pi(\mathcal{U}_{\ell})$, the attracting domain $\Pi(\mathcal{U}_n)$ and all of their preimages for each $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$. Following ideas in [EM21] we conclude that the mapping $c \mapsto \mathcal{J}(F_c)$, $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ is continuous in the Hausdorff metric, which finishes the proof of the lemma.

We say that an entire function f belongs to the class S_q if the set $\operatorname{sing}(f^{-1})$ contains at most q points. We set $S = \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} S_q$, commonly call the *Speiser class*, see [EL92] for further references.

Fix $g \in S_q$ and define $M_q \subset S_q$ as

$$M_q = \{ f \in S_q : \exists \varphi, \psi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \psi \circ g = f \circ \varphi \}.$$

Consider the multi-valued analytic function $\alpha_p: M_g \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the equation $f^p(\alpha) = \alpha$. Let N_p denote the algebraic singularities ([EL92, Theorem 2]) of this function and set $N = \overline{\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} N_p}$ and $\Sigma = M \setminus N$.

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 10, [EL92]). Each $f \in M_g$ is structurally stable and the conjugating homeomorphisms can be chosen to be quasi-conformal.

Now, the two covering maps $\Pi: \mathbb{C} \to Q = \mathbb{C}/\sim$ and the map $z \mapsto e^z \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ induce a conformal homeomorphism $H: Q \to \mathbb{C}^*$ which extends to a conformal homeomorphism $H: Q \to \mathbb{C}^*$ sending $-\infty$ to 0. Each map $G_c = H \circ F_c \circ H^{-1}: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by

(8)
$$G_c(z) = \frac{1}{c^{\ell-1}} z^{\ell} e^{c-z}.$$

Assuming that $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$, the map contains exactly one super-attracting fixed point in $z_0 = 0$ and a (super-) attracting fixed point at z = c. G_c has only two singularities at $z_0 = 0$ and $z = \ell$, but $z_0 = 0$ is a super-attracting fixed point for G_c . $z = c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ implies that the critical point $z = \ell$ belongs to the Fatou set of G_c , $\mathcal{F}(G_c)$, and is attracted by the fixed point z = c under forward iterates of G_c .

In particular G_c belongs to the so-called Speiser class \mathcal{S} as described above, and we have that $G_c \in M = M_{G_\ell}$. Moreover, $\{G_c\} \subset \Sigma$, $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$. Following Theorem 4.2 we have

Theorem 4.3. Fix $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$. Then for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ there exists a quasi-conformal homeomorphism conjugating G_c and G_{c_0} (i.e. $G_c \circ H_c = H_c \circ G_{c_0}$, with H_c -q.c.). These conjugating homeomorphisms can be chosen so that the following properties are satisfied.

- (a) For every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the map $c \mapsto H_c(z)$ is holomorphic.
- (b) The mapping $(c, z) \mapsto H_c(z)$ is continuous.
- (c) The dilatation of the maps H_c converge to 1 when $c \to c_0$.

Since each map F_c is conjugated with G_{c_0} by the same conformal homeomorphism $H: Q \to \mathbb{C}^*$, we have the following extension.

Theorem 4.4. Fix $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$. Then for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$, there exists a quasi-conformal homeomorphism conjugating F_c with F_{c_0} (i.e. $F_c \circ h_c = h_c \circ F_{c_0}$, with h_c -q.c.). These conjugating homeomorphism can be chosen so that the following properties are satisfied.

- (a) For every $z \in Q$, the map $c \mapsto h_c(z)$ is holomorphic.
- (b) The mapping $(c, z) \mapsto h_c(z)$ is continuous.
- (c) The dilatation of the maps h_c converges to 1 when $c \to c_0$.

The following result tell us that the expansiveness in Proposition 2.3 is, in some sense, continuous.

Lemma 4.5. For $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ there exist constants r > 0, L > 0 and $\kappa > 1$ such that

$$|(F_c^n)'(z)| \ge L\kappa^n$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r)$, all $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ and all $n \ge 1$.

Proof. We consider the following notation. For M > 0,

$$Q_M = \{ z \in Q : -2\ell \le \operatorname{Re}(z) \le M \}.$$

Now, for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ and every $k \geq 1$, set

$$A_k(c) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |(F_c^k)'(z)| > 2 \}.$$

Since $f'_{\mathbf{c}}(z) = \ell - e^z$, it follows that there exists M > 0 such that

$$Q_M^c \subset A_1(c),$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$. Given the description of the Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(f_{\mathbf{c}})$ in Theorem 2.1, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}) \setminus Q_M^c$ is a compact set and, from definition, all the sets $A_k(c_0)$, $k \ge 1$ are open sets, then there exists $q \ge 1$ such that $\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}) \setminus Q_M^c \subset A_1(c_0) \cup ... \cup A_q(c_0)$. Thus

$$\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}) \subset A_1(c_0) \cup ... \cup A_q(c_0).$$

Again, by the Hausdorff continuity of $c \mapsto \mathcal{J}(F_c)$, it follows that there exists r > 0 such that

(9)
$$\mathcal{J}(F_c) \subset A_1(c) \cup ... \cup A_q(c),$$

for $c \in B(c_0, r)$. But $\mathcal{J}(F_c) \subset Q$ is closed without critical points, hence

$$u = \min\{1, \inf\{|F_c'(z)| : z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)\}\} > 0,$$

since $f'_{\mathbf{c}}(z) = \ell - e^z$. Fix $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ and $n \geq 1$. Using (9) we divide the finite sequence $\{F_c^j(z)\}_{j=0}^n$ into blocks of length $L_0 \leq q$ such that the modulus of the derivative of the composition along each such a block is larger than 2. This implies that $|(F_c^n)'(z)| > 2^{\lfloor n/q \rfloor} u^q$ which finishes the proof.

From Theorem 4.4 we know that the map $c \mapsto h_c(z)$ is holomorphic for every $z \in Q$, so let $h'_c(z)$ denote the derivative of such map. We also consider the following notation for a clearer development in the proofs of the following results. We will writ $F(c,z) = F_c(z)$ and more generally $F^n(c,z) = F_c^n(z)$.

Proposition 4.6. For every $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ there exists r > 0 such that

$$T := \sup\{|h'_c(z)| : c \in B(c_0, r), \ z \in \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})\} < +\infty.$$

Proof. Let $z_0 \in \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$ be a periodic point of F_{c_0} . Fix a period $n \geq 1$ of z_0 , that is

$$F^{n}(c, h_{c}(z_{0})) = h_{c}(z_{0}),$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$. Now, differentiate the above equation with respect to the first variable c:

(10)
$$D_1 F^n(c, h_c(z_0)) + D_2 F^n(c, h_c(z_0)) \cdot h'_c(z_0) = h'_c(z_0),$$

which implies that

$$h'_c(z_0) = \frac{D_1 F^n(c, h_c(z_0))}{1 - D_2 F^n(c, h_c(z_0))}.$$

Now, from the expansiveness in Proposition 2.3 we have that if $n \ge 1$, as a period of z_0 , is large enough (depending on c), then

$$|h'_c(z_0)| \le 2 \frac{|D_1 F^n(c, h_c(z_0))|}{|D_2 F^n(c, h_c(z_0))|}.$$

So, to finishes the proof it is enough to get an upper bound for the right hand of the above inequality. For all $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$D_1F^n(c,z) = D_1(F(c,F^{n-1}(c,w)))$$

$$= D_1F(c,F^{n-1}(c,z)) + D_2F(c,F^{n-1}(c,z))D_1F^{n-1}(c,z)$$

$$= 1 + D_2F(c,F^{n-1}(c,z))D_1F^{n-1}(c,z),$$

This way, inductively, we have

$$D_1 F^n(c,z) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} D_2 F^{n-j}(c, F^j(c,z)).$$

Hence, combining Lemma 4.5 with Equation (10) we have

$$(11) |h'_c(z)| \le 2\sum_{j=0}^n |(F_c^j)'(h_c(z))|^{-1} \le 2\sum_{j=0}^\infty |(F_c^j)'(h_c(z))|^{-1} \le 2L^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^\infty \kappa^j = \frac{2\kappa}{L(\kappa-1)},$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r)$, where r > 0 is taken from Lemma 4.5. Now, let $w \in \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$ be an arbitrary point. Since periodic point of F_{c_0} are dense in $\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$, there exists a sequence of periodic points $\{w_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$ such that $\lim_n w_n = w$. From the continuity part Theorem 4.4 (b), it follows that $\{c \mapsto h_c(w_n)\} \to (c \mapsto h_c(w))$ uniformly on all compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$. From the holomorphic part Theorem 4.4 (a), we have that the functions $c \mapsto h_c(\zeta)$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$ are analytic, combining all of these with Equation (11) we conclude that

$$|h'_c(w)| = \lim_n |h'_c(w_n)| \le \frac{2\kappa}{L(\kappa - 1)} < +\infty.$$

We are ready to state the main result on quasi-conformality that will be useful in the analyticity of the Hausdorff dimension.

Given $K, \alpha > 0$ and $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ we say that a map $h : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is (K, α, c) -Hölder continuous if

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \le K|x - y|^{\alpha},$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ and all $x, y \in B(z, 2^{-10})$.

Proposition 4.7. For every $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ there exists $\hat{K}_{c_0} > 1$ such that if $c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r)$, with r > 0 sufficiently small, then the conjugating homeomorphism $h_c : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is $(\hat{K}_{c_0}, 1/q_c, c_0)$ -Hölder continuous, where q_c is the quasi-conformality constant of h_c .

Proof. Combining Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.6 we may assume r > 0 small enough so that $q_c < 2$ for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r)$. Fix $x \in \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$, and let $V = h_c(B(x, 1))$. It follows that V is an open simply connected set so let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to V$ be its conformal representation with $R(0) = h_c(x)$. It is easy to see from Theorem 2.1 that $\mathcal{J}(F_c)$ is connected for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$, so there exists a connected subset of $\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$ joining x and the boundary $\partial B(x, 1)$. From definition $\mathcal{J}(F_c) = h_c(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}))$, so there is a connected subset of $\mathcal{J}(F_c)$ joining $h_c(x)$ and $h_c(x)$ and $h_c(x)$ such that $h_c(x)$ is expected at $h_c(x)$ and with radius greater than $h_c(x) = h_c(x)$. So, if we set $h_c(x) = h_c(x)$, with $h_c(x) = h_c(x)$ and $h_c(x) = h_c(x)$ and $h_c(x) = h_c(x)$ it follows from Proposition 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(z) - h_c(x)| &= |h_c(w) - h_c(x)| \\ &\leq |h_c(w) - w| + |w - x| + |x - h_c(x)| \\ &= |h_c(w) - h_c(x)| + 1 + |h_{c_0}(x) - h_c(x)| \\ &= \left| \int_{c_0}^c h'_{\gamma}(w) d\gamma \right| + 1 + \left| \int_{c_0}^c h_{\gamma}(x) d\gamma \right| \\ &\leq \int_{c_0}^c |h'_{\gamma}(w)| |d\gamma| + 1 + \int_{c_0}^c |h_{\gamma}(x)| |d\gamma| \\ &\leq 1 + 2T|c - c_0| \leq 1 + 2rT. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\varphi(B(0,1/2))$ does not contains the ball $B(h_c(x), 2(1+2rT))$. 1/4-Koebe's distortion theorem implies that

(12)
$$|\varphi'(0)| \le 16(1 + 2rT).$$

By considering the map $g = \varphi^{-1} \circ h_c : B(x,1) \to B(0,1)$ as a quasi-conformal homeomorphism between two disks of radius 1, Mori's theorem says that

$$|g(z_1) - g(z_2)| \le 16|z_1 - z_2|^{1/q_c},$$

for every $z_1, z_2 \in B(x, 1)$. In particular, for $z \in B(x, 1)$

$$|g(z)| \le |g(z) - g(x)| \le 16|z - x|^{1/q_c}$$
.

Therefore, $|z-x| \leq 2^{-10}$ implies $|g(z)| \leq 16(2^{-10})^{1/q_c} \leq 16(2^{-10})^{1/2} = 1/2$. Then for $z_1, z_2 \in B(x, 2^{-10})$, from (12) we have

$$|h_c(z_2) - h_c(z_1)| = |\varphi(g(z_2)) - \varphi(g(z_1))| \le K|\varphi'(0)||g(z_2) - g(z_1)|$$

$$\le 16K|\varphi'(0)||z_2 - z_1|^{1/q_c} \le 16K(1 + 2rT)|z_2 - z_1|^{1/q_c},$$

where K is the Koebe's distortion constant corresponding to the scale 1/2, proving this way the Hölder regularity of the map h_c .

5. Real Analyticity of the Hausdorff Dimension

We consider first the following continuity result on the pressure function.

Lemma 5.1. For $c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ and t > 1, the function $(t, c) \mapsto P_c(t)$ is continuous.

Proof. If we fix $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$, from the definition of $P(t) = \underline{P}(t) = \overline{P}(t)$ we know that $P_c(t)$ holds a Hölder inequality, which implies that $t \mapsto P_c(t)$ is continuous for $t \in (1, +\infty)$. Then, for $t_0 > 1$ there exists $\xi \in (0, t_0 - 1)$ such that if $t \in (t_0 - \xi, t_0 + \xi)$

$$(13) |P_{c_0}(t) - P_{c_0}(t_0)| < \varepsilon/2,$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Now, consider $\gamma > 1$ such that $(t_0 + \xi) \log \gamma < \varepsilon/2$ and let $0 < r_1 \le r$ be so small that

$$M = \inf\{\{\inf_{z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)} \operatorname{Re}(z)\} : c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r_1)\} > -2\ell.$$

There exists $0 < r_2 \le r_1$ small enough that if $Re(z), Re(w) \ge M$, with $|z - w| \le r_2$ then

$$\gamma^{-1} < \left| \frac{\ell - e^z}{\ell - e^w} \right| < \gamma.$$

From Proposition 4.4-(c), there exists $\eta \in (0, \min\{\xi, r_2\})$ so that if $|c - c_0| < \eta$ then $|h_c(z) - z| < r_2$. Now fix $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})$ and take $n \ge 1$ and $x \in F_{c_0}^{-n}(z)$. Since h_c conjugates F_c and F_{c_0} , we have $h_c(F_{c_0}^{-n}(z)) = F_c^{-n}(z)$. Moreover, for every $0 \le i \le n$, and every $x \in F_{c_0}^{-n}(z)$, we have $h_c(F_{c_0}^i(x)) = F_{c_0}^i(h_c(x))$, which implies $|F_{c_0}^i(x) - F_c^i(h_c(x))| \le r_2$. Hence,

$$\frac{|(F_c^n)'(h_c(x))|}{|(F_{c_0}^n)'(x)|} = \frac{|(f_c^n)'(h_c(x))|}{|(f_{c_0}^n)'(x)|} = \frac{|\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} f_c'(f_c^i(h_c(x)))|}{|\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} f_{c_0}'(f_{c_0}^i(x))|}$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{|\ell - \exp(f_c^i(h_c(x)))|}{|\ell - \exp(f_{c_0}^i(x))|} \in (\gamma^{-n}, \gamma^n).$$

Since $h_c: F_{c_0}^{-n}(z) \to F_c^{-n}(h_c(z))$ is a bijection, we conclude that

$$\frac{\sum_{x \in F_c^{-n}(h_c(z))} |(F_c^n)'(x)|^{-t}}{\sum_{x \in F_{c_0}^{-n}(z)} |(F_{c_0}^n)'(x)|^{-t}} \in (\gamma^{-tn}, \gamma^{tn}),$$

so

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\left(\sum_{x\in F_c^{-n}(h_c(z))}|(F_c^n)'(x)|^{-t}\right) - \frac{1}{n}\log\left(\sum_{x\in F_{c_0}^{-n}(z)}|(F_{c_0}^n)'(x)|^{-t}\right) \in (-t\log\gamma, t\log\gamma)$$

This way, $P_c(t) - P_{c_0}(t) \in (-t \log \gamma, t \log \gamma)$ for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, \eta)$ and consequently $|P_c(t) - P_{c_0}(t)| < \varepsilon/2$ for every $(t, c) \in (t_0 - \eta, t_0 + \eta) \times \mathcal{D}(c_0, \eta)$. Combining (13) we have

$$|P_c(t) - P_{c_0}(t_0)| < \varepsilon,$$

for every $(t,c) \in (t_0 - \eta, t_0 + \eta) \times \mathcal{D}(c_0, \eta)$ finishing the proof.

In order to be able to use analytic property of transfer operators in Theorem 3.8 we need the following notation.

Fix $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ and $t_0 \in (1, +\infty)$. Following Proposition 4.7 we have that $h_c : \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}) \to \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ is $1/q_c$ -Hólder continuous depending on c, and since q_c converge to 1 as $c \to c_0$, we get that for r > 0 sufficiently small

(14)
$$\alpha = \inf\{1/q_c : c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r)\} > 0.$$

For $(t,c) \in (1,+\infty) \times \mathcal{D}(c_0,r)$ let $\mathcal{L}_{c,t}^0 : \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})) \to \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{J}(F_c))$ be the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{c,t}^{0}g(z) = \sum_{x \in F_{c_0}^{-1}(z)} |F_c'(h_c(x))|^{-t}g(x).$$

Also, we need to embed $c \in \mathbb{C}^2$ as $(x+iy) \mapsto (x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ so we can use Theorem 3.8. The following is the last and most technical result in this work, and we left its proof to the end.

Proposition 5.2. Fix $(c_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1) \times (1, +\infty)$. Then there exist R > 0 and a holomorphic function

$$\mathfrak{L}: \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^3}((c_0, t_0), R) \to L(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}))),$$

 $(c_0 \in \mathbb{C}^2, t_0 \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ comes from Equation (14) with } r > 0 \text{ replaced by } R) \text{ such that for every } (c,t) \in B(c_0,R) \times \mathcal{D}(t_0,R) \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathfrak{L}(c,t) = \mathcal{L}_{c,t}^0.$$

Theorem 5.3. The function $c \mapsto \mathrm{HD}(\mathcal{J}_r(F_c)), c \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ is real-analytic.

Proof. Fix $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ and $t_0 > 1$. From Proposition 4.7 we know that $h_c : \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}) \to \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ is $\alpha(c)$ -Hölder continuous, $\alpha(c)$ depending on c, but converging to 1 as $c \to c_0$, so for every c > 0 sufficiently small

$$\alpha = \inf\{\alpha_c : c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r)\} > 0.$$

For $(c,t) \in \mathcal{D}(c_0,r) \times (1,+\infty)$, let

(16)
$$\mathfrak{L}(c,t) = \mathcal{L}_{c,t}^0,$$

be the (holomorphic) operator given by Proposition 5.2. From Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.3 the value $e^{P_{c_0}(t)}$, $t \in B(t_0, R)$ is a simple isolated eigenvalue of the operator $\mathfrak{L}(c_0, t) = \mathcal{L}^0_{c_0,t}: \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})) \to \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}))$. Applying perturbation theory for linear operators (see [Ka95] for further references), we see that there exists $0 < R_1 \le R$ and a holomorphic function $\gamma: \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^3}((c_0,t),R_1) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\gamma(c_0,t_0) = e^{P_{c_0}(t_0)}$ and for every $(c,t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^3}((c_0,t_0),R_1)$ the number $\gamma(c,t)$ is a simple isolated eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{L}(c,t)$ with the reminder part of the spectrum uniformly separated from $\gamma(c,t)$.

In particular, there exists $0 < R_2 \le R_1$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that

(17)
$$\sigma(\mathfrak{L}(c,t)) \cap B(e^{P_{c_0}(t_0)}, \kappa) = \{\gamma(c,t)\},$$

for every $(c,t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^3}((c_0,t_0),R_2)$. Now, for each $(c,t) \in \mathcal{D}(c_0,R) \times (t_0-R,t_0+R)$ consider the operator $\mathcal{L}_{c,t}: H_1(\mathcal{J}(F_c)) \to H_1(\mathcal{J}(F_c))$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{c,t}g(z) = \sum_{x \in F_c^{-1}(z)} |F_c'(x)|^{-t} g(x).$$

Take $T_c: \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_c)) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}))$, the map given by the formula $T_c(g) = g \circ h_c$. It is not difficult to see that conjugates $\mathcal{L}_{c,t}: \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_c)) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_c))$ and $\mathcal{L}_{c,t}^0: \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}))$. Given that $h_c: \mathcal{J}(F_{c_0}) \to \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ is α -Hölder continuous, we have

$$T_c(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathcal{J}(F_c))) \subset \mathrm{H}_\alpha(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})).$$

Hence $e^{P_c(t)}$ is an eigenvalue of the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{c,t}^0: \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})) \to \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{J}(F_{c_0})),$$

and by Lemma 5.1, $e^{P_c(t)} \in B(e^{P_c(t_0)}, \kappa)$ for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, R_3)$ and all $t \in (t_0 - \rho, t_0 + \rho)$ if $\rho \in (0, \min\{t_0, R_2\})$ and $R_3 \in (0, R_2)$ are sufficiently small. Combining this and Equations (16) and (17) we see that $\gamma(c, t) = e^{P_c(t)}$ for every c and t as above. Therefore the function $(c, t) \mapsto P_c(t)$ with $(c, t) \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, R_3) \times (t_0 - \rho, t_0 + \rho)$ is real-analytic. Since $P_c(s_c) = 0$ for

 $s_c = \mathrm{HD}(\mathcal{J}_r(F_c))$ by Theorem 3.7, it follows from the Implicit Function Theorem that to conclude the proof it is enough to show that

$$\frac{\partial P_c(t)}{\partial t} \neq 0,$$

for all $(c,t) \in \mathcal{D}(c_0,R_3) \times (t_0-\rho,t_0+\rho)$. So fix such c and t. Fix $z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$. Since for every $u \geq 0$ and every $n \geq 1$

$$\sum_{x \in F_c^{-n}(z)} |(F_c^n)'(x)|^{-t+u} = \sum_{x \in F_c^{-n}(z)} |(F_c^n)'(x)|^{-t} |(F_c^n)'(x)|^{-u} \le L^{-u} \beta^{un} \sum_{x \in F_c^{-n}(z)} |(F_c^n)'(x)|^{-t},$$

we conclude that $P_c(t+u) - P_c(t) \le u \log \beta$ which implies that $\frac{\partial P_c(t)}{\partial t}(c,t) \le \log \beta < 0$ and the proof is done.

We finish this section with the proof of Lemma 5.2.

Proof. (of Proposition 5.2.) Fix $c_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\ell, 1)$ and $t_0 > 1$ and, to simplify notation, we fix $f = f_{c_0}$ and $F = F_{c_0}$.

For every $c \in \mathcal{U}(c_0)$, a small neighbourhood of c_0 , let $\theta_c = F'_c \circ h_c$ and for every $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ let

(18)
$$\phi_{(c,t)}(z) = |\theta_c(z)|^{-t},$$

and

(19)
$$\psi_z(c) = \frac{\phi_c(z)}{\theta_{c_0}(z)}, \ (c, z) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{J}(F).$$

Since

$$\lim_{z \to +\infty} \left(\frac{e^z}{\ell - e^z} \right) = -1,$$

we see that

(20)
$$M := \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{e^z}{\ell - e^z} \right| : z \in \mathcal{J}(F_c), \operatorname{Re}(z) > -2\ell \right\} < +\infty.$$

Taking r > 0 sufficiently small, it follows from Proposition 4.6 that

$$(21) |h_c(z) - z| = |h_c(z) - h_{c_0}(z)| = \left| \int_{c_0}^c h_{\gamma}'(z) d\gamma \right| \le \int_{c_0}^c |h_{\gamma}'(z)| |d\gamma| \le T|c - c_0| \le Tr.$$

Observe that

$$(22) |1 - e^w| \le E|w|,$$

for all $w \in B(0,Tr)$ and some E > 0. Now, for every $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$, we have

$$\psi_z(c) = \frac{F_c'(h_c(z))}{F_{c_0}'(z)} = \frac{\ell - e^{h_c(z)}}{\ell - e^z} = \frac{\ell - e^z + e^z - e^{h_c(z)}}{\ell - e^z} = 1 + \frac{e^z - e^{h_c(z)}}{\ell - e^z}.$$

Hence, using Equations (20), (21), and (22) we obtain

$$|\psi_z(c) - 1| = \left| \frac{e^z - e^{h_c(z)}}{\ell - e^z} \right| = \frac{|e^z|}{|\ell - e^z|} \cdot |1 - e^{h_c(z) - z}| \le ME|h_c(z) - z| \le METr < 1/2,$$

where the last inequality was written assuming that r > 0 is small enough. So there exists a well-defined branch of $\log \psi_z : \mathcal{U}(c_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies

(23)
$$|\log \psi_z(c)| \le M_1, \text{ for some } M_1 > 0.$$

Fix $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{J}(F)$, since $\mathcal{J}(F)$ is connected, the segment $[\xi_1, \xi_2]$ joining the points ξ_1 and ξ_2 lies entirely in $\{z \in Q : \text{Re}(z) > -2\ell\}$, so from (20)

$$(24) \qquad \left| \log(e^{\xi_2} - \ell) - \log(e^{\xi_1} - \ell) \right| = \left| \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \frac{e^z}{\ell - e^z} dz \right| \le \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \left| \frac{e^z}{\ell - e^z} \right| |dz| \le M |\xi_2 - \xi_1|.$$

Fix now $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ with $|z_2 - z_2| \leq \delta$. Applying Proposition 4.7, (14), and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\log \psi_{z_2}(c) - \log \psi_{z_1}(c)| &= |\log(F'_c \circ h_c(z_2)) - \log(F'_{c_0} \circ h_{c_0}(z_2)) - \log(F'_c \circ h_c(z_1)) + \log(F'_{c_0} \circ h_{c_0}(z_1))| \\ &= |\log(\ell - e^{h_c(z_2)}) - \log(\ell - e^{h_{c_0}(z_2)}) - \log(\ell - e^{h_{c_0}(z_1)}) + \log(\ell - e^{z_1})| \\ &\leq |\log(\ell - e^{h_c(z_2)}) - \log(\ell - e^{h_c(z_1)})| + |\log(\ell - e^{z_2}) - \log(\ell - e^{z_1})| \\ &\leq M|h_c(z_2) - h_c(z_1)| + M|z_2 - z_1| \\ &\leq M\hat{K}_{c_0}|z_2 - z_1|^{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Hence for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r)$, the map $z \mapsto \log \psi_z(c)$, $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$, belongs to \mathcal{H}_{α} and its Hölder constant is bounded from above by $M\hat{K}_{c_0}$. Since the function $\log \psi_z : \mathcal{D}(c_0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, it is uniquely represented as a power series

$$\log \psi_z(c) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z) (c - c_0)^n.$$

By Cauchy's inequality

$$|a_n(z)| \le \frac{M_1}{r^n}, \ \forall n \ge 0.$$

For every $c = x + iy \in \mathcal{D}(c_r, r) \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have

(26)
$$\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z}(c) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{n} a_{n}(z) \left((x - \operatorname{Re}(c_{0})) + i(y - \operatorname{Im}(c_{0}))^{n} \right) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} c_{p,q}(z) (x - \operatorname{Re}(c_{0}))^{p} (y - \operatorname{Im}(c_{0}))^{q},$$

where $c_{p,q}(z) = a_{p+q}(z) \begin{pmatrix} p+q \\ q \end{pmatrix} i^q$. From Equation (25)

$$|c_{p,q}(z)| \le |a_{p+q}(z)| \cdot 2^{p+q} \le M_1 2^{p+q} r^{-(p+q)}.$$

Hence Re log $\psi_z(c)$ extends by the same power series expansion (26) to a holomorphic function on the polydisc $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/4)$. We denote this extension by the same symbol Re log ψ_z and we have

(28)
$$|\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c)| \le \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} M_1 2^{-(p+q)} = 4M,$$

on $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/4)$. So, for every $t \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t, \rho)$, where $\rho = t_0 - 1$, the formula

(29)
$$\zeta_{(c,t)}(z) = -(t\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c) + t\log|\theta_{c_0}(z)|),$$

extends $-t \log |\theta_c(z)|$ on the polydisc $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/4) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t, \rho)$. Now, due to (28), for every $(c, t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c, r/4) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t, \rho)$ and every $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$, we have

(30)
$$|e^{\zeta_{c,t}(z)}| = \exp(\operatorname{Re}(-t\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{z}(c)))|\theta_{c_{0}}(z)|^{-\operatorname{Re}(t)}$$

$$\leq \exp(|t||\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{z}(c)|)|\theta_{c_{0}}(z)|^{-\operatorname{Re}(t)}$$

$$\leq e^{4M_{1}|t|}|\theta_{c_{0}}(z)|^{-\operatorname{Re}(t)}.$$

Since, by definition, $|\theta_{c_0}|^{-\text{Re}t}$ is summable, it therefore follows that each function

$$\phi_{(c,t)} = e^{\zeta_{(c,t)}}, \ (c,t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/4) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0, \rho),$$

is summable. In order to prove the proposition we shall check that the family of functions $\phi_{(c,t)}$ satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 3.8.

We define

$$\mathfrak{L}(c,t) = \mathcal{L}_{c,t}^0,$$

so we satisfied Equation (15).

Claim: The family of functions $\{\phi_{(c,t)}\}_{(c,t)\in G}$, with $G = \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0,r/4) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0,\rho) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ holds assumptions in Theorem 3.8.

(a) $\phi_{(c,t)}$ is in \mathcal{H}_{α}^{s} : We already check that $\phi_{(c,t)}$ is summable. Recall that for every $c \in \mathcal{D}(c_0, r)$ the map $z \mapsto \log \psi_z(c)$, $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$, is in \mathcal{H}_{α} with Hölder constand bounded from above by $M\hat{K}_{c_0}$, from Cauchy's inequalities we have

$$|a_n(z) - an(w)| \le M\hat{K}_{c_0} \left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^n |z - w|^{\alpha},$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{J}(F_c)$ with $|z - w| \leq \delta$, so

$$(31) |c_{p,q}(z) - c_{p,q}(w)| \le M\hat{K}_{c_0} 2^{p+q} \left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^{p+q} |z - w|^{\alpha} = M\hat{K}_{c_0} \left(\frac{8}{r}\right)^{p+q} 1z - w|^{\alpha}.$$

Hence (32)

$$|\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{z}(c) - \operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{w}(c)| \le M\hat{K}_{c_{0}} \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{8}{r}\right)^{p+q} \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^{q} |z-w|^{\alpha} = 4M\hat{K}_{c_{0}}|z-w|^{\alpha},$$

for every $c \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/16)$ and all $z, w \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ with $|z-w| \leq \delta$. From Equation (28) we know that $z \mapsto \operatorname{Re} \log \psi_z(c)$, $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ is in \mathcal{H}_{α} for every $c \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/4)$. Since $\theta_{c_0}(z) = F'_{c_0}(z)$ we get that $\log |\theta_{c_0}(z)| = \log |\ell - e^z|$. Combining Equation (24), (29, and 32) we have

$$|\zeta_{(c,t)}(z) - \zeta_{(c,t)}(w)| = |t|(|\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{z}(c) - \operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{w}(c)| - \log|\theta_{c_{0}}(z)| + \log|\theta_{c_{0}}(w)||)$$

$$\leq (t_{0} + \rho) \left(4M\hat{K}_{c_{0}}|z - w|^{\alpha} + |\log|\theta_{c_{0}}(z)| - \log|\theta_{c_{0}}(w)||\right)$$

$$= (t_{0} + \rho) \left(4M\hat{K}_{c_{0}}|z - w|^{\alpha} + |\log|e^{z} - \ell| - \log|e^{w} - \ell||\right)$$

$$= (t_{0} + \rho) \left(4M\hat{K}_{c_{0}}|z - w|^{\alpha} + |\operatorname{Re}(\log(e^{z} - \ell) - \log(e^{w} - \ell))|\right)$$

$$\leq (t_{0} + \rho) \left(4M\hat{K}_{c_{0}}|z - w|^{\alpha} + |\log(e^{z} - \ell) - \log(e^{w} - \ell)|\right)$$

$$\leq (t_{0} + \rho) \left(4M\hat{K}_{c_{0}}|z - w|^{\alpha} + M|z - w|\right)$$

$$\leq M(4\hat{K}_{c_{0}} + 1)(t_{0} + \rho)|z - w|^{\alpha},$$

for every $(c,t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0,r/16) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0,\rho)$. Now, from Equation (30) there exists $M_2 > 0$ such that

(34)
$$|\phi_{(c,t)}(z)| = |e^{\zeta(c,t)(z)}| \le M_2,$$

for all $((c,t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0,r/16) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0,\rho)$ and all $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$. Let $M_3 > 0$ be such that $|e^{\eta}-1| < M_3|\eta|$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\eta| \leq C\delta^{\alpha}$. Combining Equations (33) and (33), we obtain

$$|\phi_{(c,t)}(z) - \phi_{(c,t)}(w)| = |e^{\zeta_{(c,t)}(w)}| \cdot |e^{\zeta_{(c,t)}(z) - \zeta_{(c,t)}(w)} - 1| \le M_3 M_2 |\zeta_{(c,t)}(z) - \zeta_{(c,t)}(w)|$$

$$\le C M_2 M_3 (|t_0| + \rho)|z - w|^{\alpha},$$

for all $(c,t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0,r/16) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0,\rho)$ and all $z,w \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ with $|z-w| \leq \delta$. In particular, we have that $\phi_{(c,t)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ verifying (a).

(b) and (d) For every $(c,t) \in G$, the function $\phi_{(c,t)}$ is dynamically α -Hölder with Hölder constants $c_{\phi_{\alpha}}$ uniformly bounded:

Fix $c \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/16)$, $n \geq 1$, $v \in F^{-n}(x)$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ with $|x - y| \leq \delta$. Applying Equations (6) and (33) we have

$$\begin{split} |\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{(c,t)}(F^{i}(F_{v}^{-n}(y))) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{(c,t)}(F^{i}(F_{v}^{-n}(x)))| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\zeta_{(c,t)}(F^{i}(F_{v}^{-n}(y))) - \zeta_{(c,t)}(F^{i}(F_{v}^{-n}(x)))| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C(|t_{0}| + \rho)|F^{i}(F_{v}^{-n}(y)) - F^{i}(F_{v}^{-n}(x))|^{\alpha} \\ &\leq CL^{\alpha}(|t_{0}| + \rho) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^{(n-i)\alpha}|y - x|^{\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{CL^{\alpha}}{1 - \beta^{\alpha}}(|t_{0}| + \rho)|y - x|^{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

By putting $M_4 = \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{e^{-z} - 1}{z} \right| : |z| \le \frac{CL^{\alpha}}{1 - \beta^{\alpha}} (|t_0| + \rho) \right\} < \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} D &= |\phi_{(c,t),n}(F_v^{-n}(y)) - \phi_{(c,t),n}(F_v^{-n}(x))| \\ &\leq |\phi_{(c,t),n}(F_v^{-n}(x))| \left| \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{(c,t)}(F^i(F_v^{-n}(y))) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{(c,t)}(F^i(F_v^{-n}(x)))\right) - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{CM_4L^{\alpha}}{1-\beta^{\alpha}} (|t_0|+\rho)|\phi_{(c,t),n}(F_v^{-n}(x))| \cdot |y-x|^{\alpha}, \end{split}$$

proving simultaneously assumptions (b) and (d).

(c) The function $(c,t) \mapsto \phi_{(c,t)}$ is continuous Considering that

$$\phi_{(c,t)}(z) = e^{-t\text{Re}\log\psi_z(c)} \cdot |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t}$$

it is enough to show that both maps $z \mapsto e^{-t\text{Re}\log\psi_z(c)}$ and $z \mapsto |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t}$ are in \mathcal{H}_{α} and that the maps

$$(c,t) \mapsto e^{-t\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{(\cdot)}(c)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad (c,t) \mapsto |\theta_{c_0}(\cdot)|^{-t} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha},$$

are continuous. We already know that the map $z \mapsto \operatorname{Re} \log \psi_z(c)$, $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$, is in \mathcal{H}_{α} which implies that the map $z \mapsto t\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_z(c)$, $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$, is in \mathcal{H}_{α} for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We aim to prove that $(c,t) \mapsto t\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{(\cdot)}(c) \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ is a continuous map on $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^3}((c_0,t),R)$ for some R > 0 sufficiently small. It is not difficult to see that such map is continuous with respect to the variable t on $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0,r/16) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0,\rho)$. So it is enough to prove that $c \mapsto -t\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{(\cdot)}(c) \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant independent of t. We fix $c = (c_x, c_y)$,

 $c'=(c'_x,c'_y)\in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0,r/16)$. From Equation (26), for all $z\in\mathcal{J}(F)$ we have

(35)
$$|\cdot| = |\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_z(c') - \operatorname{Re} \log \psi_z(c)|$$

$$= \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} c_{p,q}(z) \left((c'_x - \operatorname{Re} c_0)^p (c'_y - \operatorname{Im} c_0)^q -)c_x - \operatorname{Re} c_0 \right)^p (c_y - \operatorname{Im} c_0)^q \right).$$

Let $a_x = c_x' - \text{Re}c_0$, $a_y = c_y' - \text{Im}c_0$, $b_x = c_x - \text{Re}c_0$, and $b_y = c_y - \text{Im}c_0$. Then

$$|a_{x}^{p}a_{y}^{q} - b_{x}b_{y}^{q}| = |a_{x}^{p}(a_{y}^{q} - b_{y}^{q}) + b_{y}^{q}(a_{x}^{p} - b_{x}^{p})|$$

$$= |a_{x}^{p}||a_{y} - b_{y}| \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} |a_{y}|^{i}|b_{y}|^{q-1-i} + |b_{y}^{q}||a_{x} - b_{x}| \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} |a_{x}|^{i}|b_{x}|^{p-1-i}$$

$$\leq \left(q \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^{q-1} + p \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^{q} \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^{p-1}\right) ||c' - c||$$

$$\leq \frac{16}{r} (p+q) \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^{q} ||c' - c||.$$

Combining with Equations (27) and (35) we obtain

$$|\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_z(c') - \operatorname{Re} \log \psi_z(c)| \le \frac{16}{r} ||c' - c|| \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} (+q) 8^{-(p+q)} = \frac{16C_1}{r} ||c' - c||,$$

(where $C_1 = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} (p+q) 8^{-(p+q)} < +\infty$) for all $t \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0, \rho/2)$ and all $c, c' \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/16)$. Now fix $z, w \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ with $|z-w| \leq \delta$. It follows from (31) and (36) that

$$|\cdot| = |t| \left| \operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{w}(c') - \operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{w}(c) - (\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z}(c') - \operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z}(c)) \right|$$

$$= |t| \left| \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \infty (c_{p,q}(w) - c_{p,q}(z)) \left((c'_{x} - \operatorname{Re} c_{0})^{p} (c'_{y} - \operatorname{Im} c_{0})^{q} - (c_{x} - \operatorname{Re} c_{0})^{p} (c_{y} - \operatorname{Im} c_{0})^{q} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq (|t_{0}| + \rho) \frac{32C}{r} |z - w|^{\alpha} ||c' - c|| \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} (p+q) 2^{-(p+q)}$$

$$\leq \frac{4M\hat{K}_{c_{0}}C_{2}}{r} (|t_{0}| + \rho) ||c' - c|| ||z - w||^{\alpha},$$

where $C_2 = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} (p+q)2^{-(p+q)} < +\infty$. Which implies

$$v_{\alpha}\left(-t\text{Re}\log\psi_{(.)}(c) - (-t\text{Re}\log\psi_{(.)}(c'))\right) \le 4M\hat{K}_{c_0}C_2(|t_0| + \rho)r^{-1}||c' - c||.$$

for all $c, c' \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/16)$ and $t \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0, \rho)$, proving the continuity of the map $(c, t) \mapsto \psi_{(c,t)}(\cdot) = \exp\left(-t\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{(\cdot)}(c)\right)$, $(c,t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/16) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(c_0, \rho)$. This, combining with Equation (28) and the inequality $|e^b - e^a| = |e^a||e^{b-a} - 1| \le A|b-a|$, for some A depending on |a|, we have the continuity of the function $(c,t) \mapsto \psi_{(c,t)}(\cdot) = \exp\left(-t\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_{(\cdot)}(c)\right)$.

Rest to prove that the function $z \mapsto |\theta_{c_0}(\cdot)|^{-t}$, $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$, is in \mathcal{H}_{α} for $(c,t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0,r/16) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0,\rho)$. We know that

$$|\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t} = |\ell - e^z|^{-t} = \exp(-t\log|\ell - e^z|)$$

It follows that

$$M_5 = \sup\{|\theta_{c_0}(\cdot)|^{-t} : z \in \mathcal{J}(F)\} < +\infty.$$

Fixing additional $w \in \mathcal{J}(F) \cap B(z, \delta)$, combining Equations (22) and (24) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t} - |\theta_{c_0}(w)|^{-t} \right| &= \left| \exp\left(-t \log |\ell - e^z| \right) - \exp\left(-t \log |\ell - e^z| \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \ell - e^z \right|^{-t} \left| 1 - \exp\left(t (\log |\ell - e^z| - \log |\ell - e^w|) \right) \right| \\ &\leq M_5 E \left| t (\log |\ell - e^z| - \log |\ell - e^z|) \right| \\ &\leq M_5 E(t_0 \rho) M |z - w| \\ &\leq M_5 E(t_0 + \rho) M |z - w|^{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Proving that the map is in H_{α} . Since $|\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t}$ does not depend on c, to prove its continuity it is enough to prove it only for t.

We notice first that

$$\nabla(\ell - e^z) = -e^z(1, i),$$

and

$$\nabla(\overline{(\ell-e^z)} = \nabla(\ell-e^{\overline{z}}) = -e^{\overline{z}}(1,-i) = -\overline{e^z}(1,-i).$$

Using Leibnitz rule,

$$\nabla(|\ell - e^z|^2) = \nabla\left((\ell - e^z)\overline{(\ell - e^z)}\right) = -(\ell - e^z)\overline{e^z}(1, -i) - (\ell - \overline{e^z})e^z(1, i).$$

Since $\text{Re}z \ge -2\ell$ we have

$$\|\nabla(|\ell - e^z|^2)\| \le 2\ell |e^z \overline{e^z}| \|(1, -i)\| + 2\ell |\overline{e^z} e^z| \|(1, i)\| = 4\sqrt{2\ell} |e^{2x}|.$$

Thus

$$\|\nabla(|\ell - e^z|)\| = \|\nabla\left((|\ell - e^z|^2)^{1/2}\right)\| = \frac{1}{2}|\ell - e^z|^{-t}\|\nabla(|\ell - e^z|^2)\| \le 2\sqrt{2}\ell|e^{2x}||\ell - e^z|^{-1}.$$

Since

$$\nabla (|\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t}) = \nabla (|\ell - e^z|^{-t}) = -t|\ell - e^z|^{-t-1}\nabla (|\ell - e^z|),$$

then, for all $t_1, t_2 \in B(t_0, \rho)$ we have

$$\|\nabla \left(|\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_1} - |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_2}\right)\| = |\ell - e^z|^{-1} \left|t_1|\ell - e^z|^{-t_1} - t_2|\ell - e^z|^{-t_2}\right| \|\nabla (|\ell - e^z|)\|$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{2}\ell |e^{2x}||\ell - e^z|^{-2} \left||\ell - e^z|^{-t_1} - t_2|\ell - e^z|^{-t_2}\right|.$$

Since $(ta^{-t})' = a^{-t}(1 - t \log a)$, using the Mean Value Inequality, we can continue the above estimates as follows

$$|\cdot| = \|\nabla (|\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_1} - |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_2})\|$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{2}\ell|e^{2z}||\ell - e^z|^{-2}\sup\{|\ell - e^z|^{-t}(1 - t\log|\ell - e^z|) : t \in [t_1, t_2]\}|t_2 - t_1|.$$

Since $\mathcal{J}(F) \subset \{z \in Q : \operatorname{Re} z \geq -2\ell\}$, we that

$$M_6 := \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{e^z}{\ell - e^z} \right| : z \in \mathcal{J}(F) \right\} < +\infty.$$

Hence, from

$$M_7 := \sup\{|\ell - e^z|^{-t}(1 - t\log|\ell - e^z|) : z \in \mathcal{J}(F), t \in [t_1, t_2]\} < +\infty,$$

we obtain

$$\left|\nabla \left(|\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_1} - |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_2} \right) \right| \le 2\sqrt{2}\ell M_6 M_7 |t_2 - t_1|.$$

Then, for every $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ and every $w \in B(z, \delta)$ we have

$$\left| |\theta_{c_0}(w)|^{-t_1} - |\theta_{c_0}(w)|^{-t_2} - \left(|\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_1} - |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_2} \right) \right| \le \int_z^w \left| \nabla \left(|\theta_{c_0}(\xi)|^{-t_1} - |\theta_{c_0}(\xi)|^{-t_2} \right) \right| |d\xi|$$

$$\le 2\sqrt{2}\ell M_6 M_7 |t_2 - t_1| |w - z|$$

$$\le 2\sqrt{2}\ell M_6 M_7 |t_2 - t_1| |w - z|^{\alpha}.$$

Again, with the Mean Value Inequality we obtain

$$\left| |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_1} - |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-t_2} \right| \le \log |\ell - e^z| \sup\{ |\ell - e^z| : z \in \mathcal{J}(F), t \in [t_1, t_2] \} |t_2 - t_1|$$

$$\le M_7 |t_2 - t_1|.$$

Which proves the continuity of the map $(c,t) \mapsto |\theta_{c_0}(\cdot)|^{-t} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ completing the proof of assumption (c).

(d) The function $(c,t) \mapsto \phi_{(c,t)}(z) \in C$, $(c,t) \in G$ is holomorphic for every $z \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ This follows directly from the definition of $\phi_{(c,t)}$ and the first part of this proof.

Rest to prove assumption (f):

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\phi_{(c,t)}}{\phi_{(c_1,t_1)}} \right| : (c,t) \in \overline{B((c_2,t_2),r)} \right\}.$$

Fix $c_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/16)$ and $t_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0, \rho)$. Take $\gamma > 0$ so small that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_2, \gamma) \subset \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/16)$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_2, 2\gamma) \subset \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_0, \rho)$. Then fix arbitrary $c_1 \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_0, r/16)$ and $t_1 \in (1, \operatorname{Re}(t_2) - \gamma)$. Then for every $(c, t) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(c_2, \gamma) \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_2, \gamma)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\phi_{(c,t)}(z)}{\phi_{(c_1,t_1)}(z)} \right| = \frac{e^{-t\text{Re}\log\psi_z(c)}}{e^{-t_1\text{Re}\log\psi_z(c_1)}} |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-(t-t_1)} = e^{t_1\text{Re}\log\psi_z(c_1) - t\text{Re}\log\psi_z(c)} \cdot |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-(t-t_1)}$$

$$= e^{t_1(\text{Re}\log\psi_c(c_1) - \text{Re}\log\psi_z(c))} \cdot e^{(t_1-t)\text{Re}\log\psi_z(c)} \cdot |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-(t-t_1)}.$$

Using Equation (28) we have the estimation

$$|e^{t_1(\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c_1) - \operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c))}| = e^{\operatorname{Re}(t_1(\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c_1) - \operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c)))} \le e^{|t_1(\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c_1) - \operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c))|} \le e^{8t_1M1},$$

and

$$|e^{(t_1-t)\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c)}| = e^{\operatorname{Re}((t_1-t)\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c))} \le e^{|(t_1-t)\operatorname{Re}\log\psi_z(c)|} \le e^{4\rho M_1}.$$

Since $A = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{J}(F)} |\theta_{c_0}(z)|$ is positive, $\text{Re}(t_1 - t) < 0$ and $\text{Re}(t_1 - t) > -\rho$, we have

$$|\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{-(t-t_1)} = |\theta_{c_0}(z)|^{\operatorname{Re}(t_1-t)} \le \min\{1, |\theta_{c_0}(z)|\}^{\operatorname{Re}(t_1-t)} \le \min\{1, |\theta_{c_0}(z)|\}^{-\rho} \le \min\{1, A\}^{\rho}.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{\phi_{(c,t)}(z)}{\phi_{(c_1,t_2)}(z)} \right| \le \exp\left(8t_1 M_1 + 4\rho M_1\right) \min\{1,A\}^{-\rho},$$

which verifies assumption (f) and concludes the proof.

REFERENCES

[Bak68] I.N. Baker, Repulsive fixpoints of entire functions. Mat. Z. 104, 252:256, (1968).

[Bar95] K. Barański. Hausdorff dimension and conformal measures of Feigenbaun Julia sets. Fundamenta Mathematicae 147(3), 239-260, (1995).

[Be95-1] W. Bergweiler. *Invariant domains and singularities*. Mathematical Proceedings of Cambridge Philosophical Society 117(3), 525-532, (1995).

[Be95-2] W. Bergweiler. On the Julia set of analytic self-map of the punctured plane. Analysis 15, 251-256, (1995).

[EI23] A. Esparza-Amador & I. Inoquio-Renteria. Thermodynamic formalism for entire transcendental maps with hyperbolic Baker domains. arXiv:2310.15996v1

[EM21] A. Esparza-Amador & M. Moreno-Rocha. Carathèodory convergence for Leau and Baker domains. Dynamical Systems, 36:2, 256-276, DOI: 10.1080/14689367.2021.1875991 (2021).

[EL92] A. Eremenko & M. Yu Lyubich. Dynamical properties of some classes of entire functions. Annales de l'institut Frourier, 42:4, 989-1020, (1992).

[Ka95] T. Kato Perturbation theory for linear operators Springer, 1995.

[KU02] J. Korus & M. Urbański. Conformal, geometric and invariant measures for transcendental expanding functions. Math. Ann., 324(3):619-656, (2002).

[KU05] J. Korus & M. Urbański. The dynamics and geometry of the Fatou functions. Discrete and Continuous dynamical Systems, 13(2):291-338, 2005.

THERMODYNAMIC FORMALISM AND HYPERBOLIC BAKER DOMAINS II:REAL-ANALYTICITY OF THE HAUSDORFF DIMEN

- [MU08] V. Mayer & M. Urbański. Geometric thermodynamic formalism and real analyticity for meromorphic function of finite order. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 28(3): 915-946, (2008).
- [MU21] V. Mayer & M. Urbański. Thermodynamic Formalism and Geometric Applications for Trascendental Meromorphic and Entire Functions. In: Pollicot, M, Vaienti, S. (eds) Thermodynamic Formalism. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 2290. Springer, Cham. 2021 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-74863-0_3.
- [Sta90] G.M Stallard. Entire functions with Julia sets of zero measure Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 108(3):551-557, 1990.

Instituto de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad Austral de Chile $\it Email\ address: adrian.esparza@uach.cl$