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#### Abstract

We prove the non-linear stability of a class of travelling-wave solutions to the extended Aw-Rascle system with a singular offset function, which is formally equivalent to the compressible pressureless Navier-Stokes system with a singular viscosity. These solutions encode the effect of congestion by connecting a congested left state to an uncongested right state, and may also be viewed as approximations of solutions to the 'hard-congestion model'. By using carefully weighted energy estimates we are able to prove the non-linear stability of viscous shock waves to the Aw-Rascle system under a small zero integral perturbation, which in particular extends previous results that do not handle the case where the viscosity is singular.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Presentation of the model

We study the following generalised Aw-Rascle system on the real line:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \rho+\partial_{y}(\rho u)=0,  \tag{1}\\
\partial_{t}(\rho w)+\partial_{y}(\rho u w)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, the quantities $\rho$ represents the density while $u$ and $w$ respectively refer to the actual and desired velocities of agents. This system was originally coined in 2000 by Aw and Rascle [2] and has popularly been used to model the evolution of a system of interacting agents, such as the flow of vehicular traffic [21, 10, 18, or crowd dynamics [1]. The standard Aw-Rascle system is complemented by the relation $w=u+P(\rho)$, where $P=P(\rho)$ is known as the 'offset' function. In this paper, we consider the case where $w=u+\partial_{y} p_{\epsilon}(\rho)$, with $p_{\epsilon}$ being a singular function of the density depending on a parameter $\epsilon$. More precisely, for $\epsilon>0$ fixed, we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=u+\partial_{y} p_{\epsilon}(\rho)=u+\partial_{y}\left(\epsilon\left(\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}\right)^{\gamma}\right), \quad \gamma \geq 1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Note that the singularity as $\rho$ approaches 1 in 2 is physically significant, since it implies that the density of agents within the system may not exceed a maximal packing constraint, i.e. $\rho<1$. In one-dimension it is interesting to note that the system may be formally rewritten as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \rho+\partial_{y}(\rho u)=0  \tag{3}\\
\partial_{t}(\rho u)+\partial_{y}\left(\rho u^{2}\right)-\partial_{y}\left(\rho^{2} p_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\rho) \partial_{y} u\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

which resembles the one-dimensional compressible pressureless Navier-Stokes equations with a singular degenerate diffusion coefficient $\lambda_{\epsilon}:=\rho^{2} p_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\rho)$.

The system (3) with (2) was rigorously derived by Lefebvre-Lepot and Maury in [17] from a microscopic lubrication model, and describes the evolution of particles suspended in a viscous fluid that interact with each other via a lubricating force. The diffusion coefficient obtained by Lefebvre-Lepot and Maury is $\epsilon(1-\rho)^{-1}$, where $\epsilon$ is the viscosity of the interstitial fluid. The case $\gamma=1$ has also proven to be physically relevant in applications. Indeed, the diffusion coefficient of dense granular suspensions was experimentally measured to behave like $\left(\phi_{c}-\phi\right)^{-2}$ as the solid volume fraction $\phi$ (which corresponds to the non-dimensionalised density) approaches the maximal volume fraction $\phi_{c}$ (see for instance [15] for a review).

Let us now go back to the momentum equation of (3). In the region where $\rho$ is far from 1 , the viscosity $\lambda_{\epsilon}$ vanishes uniformly as $\epsilon$ goes to 0 and (3) formally degenerates towards the pressureless gas system [3, 4]. On the other hand, in the congested region, $\rho$ is very close to 1 , and the singularity $(1-\rho)^{-(\gamma+1)}$ compensates the degeneracy in $\epsilon$. The limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in model (3)-(2) (see [10]) is then viewed as a transition towards a granular suspension model, where the interacting force is governed by the contact between the solid grains. The Aw-Rascle system with this choice of offset function was investigated by the authors of [10] on a onedimensional periodic domain, where the global well-posedness for fixed $\epsilon$ was studied, as well as the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, which is known as the 'hard-congestion limit'. The authors demonstrated that up to a subsequence, solutions of (1) with (2) converge towards weak solutions of the 'hard-congestion model' (see also [18, 6]):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \rho+\partial_{y}(\rho u)=0  \tag{4a}\\
\partial_{t}\left(\rho u+\partial_{y} \pi\right)+\partial_{y}\left(\left(\rho u+\partial_{y} \pi\right) u\right)=0 \\
0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \quad(1-\rho) \pi=0, \pi \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this sense, the original system (1) may be referred to as an approximation of (4). System (4) is an example of a free-congested system, where the free phase refers to the region where $\rho<1$ and the congested region is where $\rho=1$. The potential $\pi$ is obtained in the limit and can be viewed as a Lagrange multiplier associated with the incompressibility constraint $\partial_{x} u=0$ which holds in the congested region. The existence of strong solutions to the system (4) is still not known and there are also no results on the stability of non-trivial solutions (i.e. where $\pi$ is not identically 0 ). Note however that the existence of weak and measure-valued (duality) solutions to this model was recently obtained on the real line in [9. We also refer to [8, 14, 16] and the references therein for further examples of the theoretical and numerical analysis pertaining to the Aw-Rascle system.

In the present work, we are concerned with the stability of a specific class of solutions to the system (1), namely the solutions $(\rho, u)$ which are travelling waves that connect a congested left state $(\rho=1)$ to a noncongested right state ( $\rho<1$ ). Since systems (1) and (3) are equivalent (for sufficiently regular solutions), our task is closely related to the stability of travelling wave solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes system, which has been studied by Dalibard and Perrin in [12. There, the authors studied system (3) with the addition of a pressure and a constant viscosity coefficient $\mu>0$. A similar study was also carried out in [22] by Vasseur and Yao. Both of these works make use of a new formulation of the system, which is obtained by introducing the 'effective velocity' $w$ and rewriting the system in terms of $(w, v)$. The parabolic equation satisfied by $v$ and the transport structure for $w$ then allows for desirable energy estimates, which are carried out with the help of integrated variables (see Equation (13) below). Rewriting the system using the effective velocity has also proven to be advantageous when investigating the existence and uniqueness of weak/strong solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes system with a density-dependent viscosity of the form $\mu(\rho)=\rho^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha>0$ (see [7, 11] for example).

To the best of our knowledge, there are no known results concerning the stability of shock waves where the viscosity coefficient is singular. The interest in such a result is twofold. Firstly, the stability of strong
solutions to (1) is significant due to the equivalence with the compressible Navier-Stokes system (3), for which such a result does not exist in the literature as far as we know. On the other hand, a stability result for partially congested solutions would imply that the system (4) is also expected to be stable, which provides additional validity for the model (4) and further verifies the need for a rigid well-posedness theory. Note that in our case the presence of a singular, degenerate viscosity coefficient and the lack of pressure prevents us from using the arguments of [12, 22 to obtain the estimates required to prove the global existence and stability of travelling wave solutions to (1). Nonetheless, we demonstrate in this paper that through a careful choice of weighted energy estimates and the identification of good unknowns taking congestion into account, we can obtain results analogous to those of [12] for the Aw-Rascle system (1) with the singular offset function (2).

Let us now give an outline of the paper. In the next subsection we detail our main results, which are the existence of travelling wave solutions to System (1)- 22, and the nonlinear stability of these solutions. Then in Section 2 we introduce basic properties of travelling wave solutions, an integrated formulation of the system and some useful preliminary estimates. The bulk of our work goes into Section 3, where we obtain the well-posedness of the integrated system. Lastly, we prove the equivalence between the integrated system and the original system in Section 4

### 1.2 Main results

We now mention our main results. Let the Lagrangian mass coordinate $x$ be such that $\mathrm{d} x=\rho \mathrm{d} y-\rho u \mathrm{~d} t$, and $v:=1 / \rho$ the specific volume. Then (3) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} v-\partial_{x} u=0  \tag{5}\\
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} u\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\epsilon}(v):=\frac{p_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(1 / v)}{v^{3}}=\frac{\epsilon \gamma}{v(v-1)^{\gamma+1}}, \quad \text { such that } \quad \partial_{y} p_{\epsilon}(\rho)=-\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these coordinates, it follows that

$$
w=u+\partial_{y} p_{\epsilon}(\rho)=u-\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v
$$

is constant, i.e. solves $\partial_{t} w=0$.
Remark 1.1. All of our results remain true if we replace (2) by

$$
w=u+\partial_{y} \tilde{p}_{\epsilon}(\rho)=u+\partial_{y}\left(\frac{\epsilon f(\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{\gamma}}\right), \quad \gamma \geq 1
$$

where $f$ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ and such that $\gamma f(\rho)+(1-\rho) f^{\prime}(\rho)>0$, i.e. such that $\tilde{p}_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\rho)>0$. In this case, one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\epsilon}(v)=\epsilon v^{\gamma-3} \frac{(v-1) f^{\prime}(1 / v)+\gamma f(1 / v)}{(v-1)^{\gamma+1}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to improve readability and without loss of generality, we will stick to the case $f(\rho)=\rho^{\gamma}$, for which the coefficient $\phi_{\epsilon}$ can be written in the more compact form (6). This is also the form considered in [10]. All computations are similar (but heavier) in the general case (7).

Our first lemma establishes the existence of travelling wave solutions, and gives a quantitative description of the profile.
Proposition 1.2. Let $1=v_{-}<v_{+}$and $u_{-}>u_{+}$be real numbers. Then there exists a unique (up to a translation) travelling wave solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})(t, x)=\left(u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}\right)(\xi)$ of (5), complemented with the far field condition $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow\left(u_{ \pm}, v_{ \pm}\right)$as $\xi \rightarrow \pm \infty$, where $\xi:=x-$ st and $s$ is the shock speed which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{u_{-}-u_{+}}{v_{+}-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is a smooth monotone increasing function connecting 1 (at $-\infty)$ to $v_{+}(a t+\infty)$.
By setting $v_{\epsilon}(0)=\left(1+v_{+}\right) / 2$, one then has the following estimates:

- In the congested region $(\xi<0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\left(B-\frac{A_{0}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{-1 / \gamma} \leq v_{\epsilon}(\xi) \leq 1+\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{-1 / \gamma} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{0}:=\frac{s\left(v_{+}-1\right)\left(v_{+}+1\right)}{2}, \quad A_{1}:=\frac{s\left(v_{+}-1\right)}{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad B:=\left(\frac{2}{v_{+}-1}\right)^{\gamma}
$$

- In the free region $\xi>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}-\frac{v_{+}-1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{A_{2}}{\epsilon} \xi\right) \leq v_{\epsilon}(\xi) \leq v_{+}-\frac{v_{+}-1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{A_{3}}{\epsilon} \xi\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{2}:=\frac{s\left(v_{+}+1\right)\left(v_{+}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{2^{\gamma+2} \gamma} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{3}:=\frac{s v_{+}\left(v_{+}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma}
$$

It follows that $v_{\epsilon}$ converges to the shock wave $v(\xi):=\mathbf{1}_{\xi<0}+v_{+} \mathbf{1}_{\xi>0}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\epsilon}(\xi) \leq\left[1+\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{-1 / \gamma}\right] \mathbf{1}_{\xi<0}+v_{+} \mathbf{1}_{\xi \geq 0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this in hand, we dedicate the rest of our effort towards studying the stability of the profiles $\left(u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}\right)$ where $\epsilon \ll 1$. We first express System (5) in term of the unknowns $v$ and $w=u-\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} w=0  \tag{12}\\
\partial_{t} v-\partial_{x} w-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In order to obtain effective energy estimates, we take inspiration from (12, 22 and choose to re-write this system in terms of the integrated variables. Suppose we have initial data $\left(w_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ such that $\left(w_{0}-\left(w_{\epsilon}\right)(0), v_{0}-\right.$ $\left.\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)(0)\right) \in\left(L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}$ where $L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ is the subset of $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of zero mean functions. Then we define the integrated initial data as

$$
W_{0}(x):=\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(w_{0}(z)-w_{\epsilon}(z)\right) d z, \quad V_{0}(x):=\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(v_{0}(z)-v_{\epsilon}(z)\right) d z
$$

Supposing that $\left(w-w_{\epsilon}, v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(t) \in L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ holds true for positive times, we may also define the integrated variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t, x):=\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(w(t, z)-w_{\epsilon}(t, z)\right) d z, \quad V(t, x):=\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(v(t, z)-v_{\epsilon}(t, z)\right) d z \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating 12 between $-\infty$ and $x$ formally, we see that $(W, V)$ solves

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} W=0  \tag{14}\\
\partial_{t} V-\partial_{x} W-\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v+\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}=0 \\
(W, V)(0, \cdot)=\left(W_{0}, V_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $W$ is constant in time, we will denote it by its initial value $W_{0}$ from now on. The following result pertains to this system.

Theorem 1.3 (Existence of a strong solution to the integrated system). Let $T>0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. Assume that $\left(W_{0}, V_{0}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{0}:=\frac{\partial_{x} V_{0}}{\left.v_{\epsilon}\right|_{t=0}-1} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\eta_{0} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{k} W_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for $k=0,1,2$, and that the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{2}\left(c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k} E_{k}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2 k-1}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{k} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}\right)+\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{(\mathbb{R})}^{2}}^{2}+\left(\frac{T}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(\epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{(\mathbb{R})}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{(\mathbb{R})}}^{2}\right) \leq \delta_{0} \epsilon^{3} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $\delta_{0}, c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ depending only on $s, \gamma, v_{+}$. Then there exists a unique solution $\left(W_{0}, V\right)$ to (14) on $(0, T)$ such that

$$
V \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)
$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C=C\left(s, v_{+}, \gamma, \delta_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} V^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} V\right|^{2} d x d s\right) \\
& +\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{1} \epsilon^{2 k+2}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x}^{k}\left(\frac{\partial_{x} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x}^{k+1}\left(\frac{\partial_{x} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\right|^{2} d x d s\right]\right) \leq C \epsilon^{3} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.4. It follows from Proposition 1.2 that the coefficient of diffusion $\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)$ (see (6) above) is singular and tends to $+\infty$ as $x$ tends to $-\infty$. As a consequence, we cannot close the estimates on $V$ in the classical Sobolev spaces $H^{s}$. This is why we work with the weighted quantity $\eta:=\partial_{x} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$ instead (see (35)), which yields better estimates. The drawback of this method is that the time-independent quantity $W_{0}$ cannot be bounded uniformly in time in such weighted spaces. Hence we only obtain local in time well-posedness when $W_{0} \neq 0$, with a time of existence $T$ proportional to $1 /\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2 \gamma}$.

Remark 1.5. The assumption $\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{k} W_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for $k=0,1,2$ is classical for this kind of system and was already used in [13]. However, it is possible to remove this assumption and only assume that $W_{0} \in H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. One then obtains a shorter time of existence, proportional to $1 /\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}$ (see Remark 3.11 below).

Remark 1.6. Using $v_{\epsilon}<v_{+}$and the regularity of $v_{\epsilon}$, the bound 17 implies that $V \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Furthermore, by using the smallness assumption 16 , we will show the lower bound $v>1$ for every $t, x$. In other words, the perturbation does not reach the congested state (see Remark 3.1).

Under the same assumptions, we also prove a stability result.
Theorem 1.7 (Nonlinear stability of travelling wave solutions). Let $T>0, \gamma \geq 1$. Assume that the initial data $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}-\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{t=0} \in W_{0}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \frac{\partial_{x}\left[u_{0}-\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{t=0}\right]}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \quad v_{0}-\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)_{t=0} \in W_{0}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the associated integrated initial data $\left(W_{0}, V_{0}\right)$ satisfies (16). Then there exists a unique global solution $(u, v)$ to (1) on $[0, T]$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u-u_{\epsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \\
& v-v_{\epsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ dependent on $\gamma, v_{+}, T$ such that

$$
\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)}+\left\|v-v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)}+\left\|v-v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \leq C_{1} .
$$

There also exists a constant $C_{2}>0$ dependent on $\gamma, v_{+}, T, \epsilon$ such that

$$
\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)}+\left\|v-v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \leq C_{2} .
$$

As a consequence of the estimates derived during the course of proving the above theorem, we are also able to assert global existence with $T=+\infty$ and long-time stability if we remove the perturbation on the desired velocity.
Corollary 1.8. If we additionally assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(0)=\left(\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right)(0) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. that $\left(w-w_{\epsilon}\right)(0)=0$, then the solution $(u, v)$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}$, satisfies 17 with $T=+\infty$ and additionally

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\left|v-v_{\epsilon}\right|(t, x)+\left|u-u_{\epsilon}\right|(t, x)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

## 2 Properties of the travelling wave solutions

### 2.1 Existence and asymptotic behavior of the travelling waves

We give here the proof of Proposition 1.2 .
Proof. We first prove the existence of travelling wave solutions to (5):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} v-\partial_{x} u=0 \\
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} u\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We look for a travelling wave solution of this system, i.e. a pair $\left(u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}\right)$ where $u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}$ are functions of the variable $\xi=x-s t, s$ being the speed of propagation of the solution. We also suppose that $\left(u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{ \pm}, v_{ \pm}\right)$ and that $\left(u_{\epsilon}^{\prime}, v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(0,0)$ as $\xi$ goes to $\pm \infty$. We first obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-s v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}-u_{\epsilon}^{\prime}=0, \\
-s u_{\epsilon}^{\prime}-\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Integrating this equation between $\xi$ and $+\infty$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s v_{\epsilon}+u_{\epsilon}=s v_{+}+u_{+} \\
s u_{\epsilon}+\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\prime}=s u_{+}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The first equation yields $u_{\epsilon}=s v_{+}+u_{+}-s v_{\epsilon}$. Substituting this into the second line, we deduce that $v_{\epsilon}$ solves the following ODE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}=\frac{s\left(v_{+}-v_{\epsilon}\right)}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}=\frac{s\left(v_{+}-v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{\epsilon \gamma} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $v_{+}>1$ and $s>0$, we may deduce that $v_{-}=1$, and $u_{-}=s\left(v_{+}-1\right)+u_{+}$, or equivalently $s=\left(u_{-}-u_{+}\right) /\left(v_{+}-1\right)$. The function $v_{\epsilon}$ is therefore an increasing function taking values in the interval $\left(1, v_{+}\right)$(see Figure 1). The Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem thus yields that $v_{\epsilon}$ is the unique (up to a translation) global solution of 20), as stated in Proposition 1.2. Note that, as $v_{\epsilon}$ approaches 1, the diffusion coefficient $\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)$ tends to $+\infty$.

Let us now make this statement more quantitative. From now on, suppose that $v_{\epsilon}(0)=\left(1+v_{+}\right) / 2$, i.e. $v_{\epsilon}$ is halfway between 1 and $v_{+}$. Let $\xi<0$. From the ODE 20 and the monotonicity of $v_{\epsilon}$, we obtain the following bound:

$$
\frac{s\left(v_{+}-1\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}(\xi)-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{2 \epsilon \gamma} \leq v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\xi) \leq \frac{s\left(v_{+}-1\right)\left(v_{+}+1\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}(\xi)-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{2 \epsilon \gamma}
$$

Dividing by $\left(v_{\epsilon}(\xi)-1\right)^{\gamma+1}$ and integrating between $\xi$ and 0 yields

$$
-\frac{s\left(v_{+}-1\right)}{2 \epsilon \gamma} \xi \leq-\frac{2^{\gamma}}{\gamma\left(v_{+}-1\right)^{\gamma}}+\frac{1}{\gamma\left(v_{\epsilon}(\xi)-1\right)^{\gamma}} \leq-\frac{s\left(v_{+}-1\right)\left(v_{+}+1\right)}{2 \epsilon \gamma} \xi
$$



Figure 1: The profile of $v_{\epsilon}$, where we fix $v_{\epsilon}(0)=\left(1+v_{+}\right) / 2$ and $v_{+}=2, \gamma=5$.
i.e.

$$
1+\left(B-\frac{A_{0}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{-1 / \gamma} \leq v_{\epsilon}(\xi) \leq 1+\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{-1 / \gamma}
$$

where

$$
A_{0}:=\frac{s\left(v_{+}-1\right)\left(v_{+}+1\right)}{2}, \quad A_{1}:=\frac{s\left(v_{+}-1\right)}{2}, \text { and } B:=\left(\frac{2}{v_{+}-1}\right)^{\gamma}
$$

Estimate (11) follows. When $\xi>0$, one has similarly

$$
\frac{s\left(v_{+}+1\right)\left(v_{+}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{2^{\gamma+2} \epsilon \gamma} \leq \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\xi)}{v_{+}-v_{\epsilon}(\xi)} \leq \frac{s v_{+}\left(v_{+}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{\epsilon \gamma}
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{A_{2}}{\epsilon} \leq \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\xi)}{v_{+}-v_{\epsilon}(\xi)} \leq \frac{A_{3}}{\epsilon}
$$

with

$$
A_{2}:=\frac{s\left(v_{+}+1\right)\left(v_{+}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{2^{\gamma+2} \gamma} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{3}:=\frac{s v_{+}\left(v_{+}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma}
$$

Integrating between 0 and $\xi$ yields

$$
\frac{A_{2}}{\epsilon} \xi \leq-\ln \left[v_{+}-v_{\epsilon}(\xi)\right]+\ln \left[\frac{v_{+}-1}{2}\right] \leq \frac{A_{3}}{\epsilon} \xi
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{v_{+}-1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{A_{2}}{\epsilon} \xi\right) \geq v_{+}-v_{\epsilon}(\xi) \geq \frac{v_{+}-1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{A_{3}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)
$$

which is the desired estimate.

### 2.2 Passage to the integrated system and reformulation of the equations

We now want to study the stability of the travelling wave solution obtained in the previous section. In order to do so, we first rewrite (5) in term of the unknowns $v$ and $w=u-\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} w=0  \tag{21}\\
\partial_{t} v-\partial_{x} w-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\left(w_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}\right)$ denote the travelling wave solution. It solves the same system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} w_{\epsilon}=0  \tag{22}\\
\partial_{t} v_{\epsilon}-\partial_{x} w_{\epsilon}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Taking the difference between these two systems yields

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t}\left(w-w_{\epsilon}\right)=0  \tag{23}\\
\partial_{t}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)-\partial_{x}\left(w-w_{\epsilon}\right)-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v\right)+\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Following [12, [22, we introduce $W, V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t, x):=\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(w\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)-w_{\epsilon}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} \text { and } V(t, x):=\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(v\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)-v_{\epsilon}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (23) between $-\infty$ and $x$ yields for $(W, V)$ the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} W=0 \\
\partial_{t} V-\partial_{x} W-\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v+\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Introducing $\psi_{\epsilon}$ such that $\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}=\phi_{\epsilon}$, we obtain that $W=W_{0}$ is constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} V-\partial_{x} W_{0}-\partial_{x}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}(v)-\psi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right)=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first notice that we can replace $v$ by $v_{\epsilon}+\partial_{x} V$. In order to write the system as a linearized part around $v_{\epsilon}$ plus a perturbation part, we also move the terms involving $\psi_{\epsilon}$ to the right-hand side and subtract $\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} V\right)$ from both sides:

$$
\partial_{t} V-\partial_{x} W_{0}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} V\right)=\partial_{x}\left[\psi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}+\partial_{x} V\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} V\right]
$$

This previous equation can be written in the following compact way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} V-\partial_{x} W_{0}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} V\right)=\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(f):=\psi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) f \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 Preliminary estimates

We give in this section some estimates on the functions $v_{\epsilon}, \psi_{\epsilon}, H$ and their derivatives.
Lemma 2.1. (Estimates on $\left.v_{\epsilon}\right)$ For any $k \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(k, \gamma, v_{+}, s\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \epsilon>0, \quad\left|\partial_{x}^{k} v_{\epsilon}\right| \leq \frac{C\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{k \gamma+1}}{\epsilon^{k}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By using the ODE (20) satisfied by $v_{\epsilon}$, one can show by induction that for every $k \geq 1$, there exists a function $f_{k}$ independent of $\epsilon$ such that

- $f_{k}$ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$,
- $f_{k}(1) \neq 0$,
- $\partial_{x}^{k} v_{\epsilon}=f_{k}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{k \gamma+1} / \epsilon^{k}$.

Since $f_{k}$ is continuous on $\left[1, v_{+}\right]$and $1<v_{\epsilon}<v_{+}$, the factor $f_{k}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)$ is uniformly bounded.
Lemma 2.2. (Estimates on $\psi_{\epsilon}$ ) Let $\bar{v}>1$ be arbitrary. For any $k \geq 1$, there exists $C=C(k, \gamma, \bar{v})$ such that, for every $v \in(1, \bar{v})$, for every $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{\epsilon}^{(k)}(v)\right| \leq \frac{C \epsilon}{(v-1)^{\gamma+k}} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As in the previous lemma, one can show that there exists a smooth function $g_{k}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, independent of $\epsilon$, such that $\psi_{\epsilon}^{(k)}(v)=\epsilon g_{k}(v)(v-1)^{-\gamma-k}$ and $g_{k}(1) \neq 0$. Since $g_{k}$ is smooth, we can bound it on $[1, \bar{v}]$.

As a consequence of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 , we obtain the following estimates:
Lemma 2.3. The functions $\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}$ and $\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \frac{\partial_{x}^{k} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}, k \geq 2$, belong to $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, with a time-independent $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ norm.

Proof. We first see with Proposition 1.2 and the ODE (20) satisfied by $v_{\epsilon}$ that, for any $k \geq 1, \partial_{x}^{k} v_{\epsilon} \in$ $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\phi_{\epsilon}$ and $v_{\epsilon}-1$ go to a positive and finite limit as $\xi$ goes to $+\infty$, the integrability of the functions $\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}$ and $\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \frac{\partial_{x}^{k} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}$ at $+\infty$ is a consequence of the one of $\partial_{x}^{k} v_{\epsilon}$.

Concerning the integrability when $\xi \rightarrow-\infty$, we first see with Lemma 2.1 that there exists $C=C(\epsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\left|\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right| \leq C\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{(\gamma+1) / 2}, \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \frac{\partial_{x}^{k} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right| \leq C\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{(2 k-1) \gamma / 2-1 / 2} \text {. }
$$

By Proposition 1.2, we deduce that

$$
\left|\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right| \leq C\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{-1 / 2-1 /(2 \gamma)} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \frac{\partial_{x}^{k} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right| \leq C\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{1 / 2+1 /(2 \gamma)-k} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)
$$

since $k \geq 2$. Note that we consider functions that depend only on the variable $\xi=x-s t$, and so the $L^{2}$-norms are independent of time.

Lemma 2.4. (Bounds on H) Let $f$ such that there exists $\delta<1$ with $\left\|\frac{f}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \delta$. Then one has the following bounds on $H(f)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
|H(f)| \leq \frac{C \epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}} f^{2},  \tag{30}\\
\left|\partial_{x} H(f)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} f^{2}+\frac{C \epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}|f|\left|\partial_{x} f\right|,  \tag{31}\\
\left|\partial_{x}^{2} H(f)\right| \leq \frac{C\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma-2}}{\epsilon} f^{2}+\frac{C \epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}|f|\left|\partial_{x}^{2} f\right|+\frac{C \epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}\left|\partial_{x} f\right|^{2}, \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some $C=C\left(s, \gamma, \delta, v_{+}\right)$.
Proof. We first prove (30). Recall that

$$
H(f)=\psi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) f .
$$

By Taylor's theorem,

$$
|H(f)| \leq \frac{f^{2}}{2} \sup _{\left|v-v_{\epsilon}\right| \leq|f|}\left|\psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}(v)\right| .
$$

By the hypothesis on $f$, for any $v$ such that $\left|v-v_{\epsilon}\right| \leq|f|$, it holds $0<(1-\delta)\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)<v-1<2 v^{+}$. Lemma 2.2 then implies that $\left|\psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}(v)\right| \leq C \epsilon(v-1)^{-(\gamma+2)}$. Hence

$$
|H(f)| \leq \frac{C \epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}} f^{2}
$$

for some constant $C$ depending on $\gamma, v_{+}, \delta$. This is the first inequality. The other inequalities are proved in the same way. We differentiate $H$ with respect to $x$ and obtain

$$
\partial_{x} H(f)=\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) f\right)+\partial_{x} f\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right)
$$

which yields 31 by the same arguments and Lemmas 2.1, 2.2. We now differentiate a second time with respect to $x$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x x} H(f)= & \partial_{x x} v_{\epsilon}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) f\right)+\left(\partial_{x} f\right)^{2} \psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right) \\
& +\left(\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{(3)}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) f\right) \\
& +2 \partial_{x} f \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{(2)}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+\partial_{x x} f\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (32) by similar computations.

## 3 Well-posedness for the integrated system

### 3.1 Basic energy estimate

The goal of this section is to prove the existence of strong solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} V-\partial_{x} W_{0}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} V\right)=\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $W_{0}$ is constant in time, we can control it for positive times through suitable assumptions on the initial data. Thus without loss of generality we treat $W_{0}$ as a perturbation of the system and prove the existence of a strong solution $V$ to (33). Our strategy is to employ a fixed-point argument, which requires us to first derive appropriate energy estimates. The first (zero-th order) estimate is obtained by multiplying (33) by $V$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V^{2}(t) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} V\right|^{2} d x d \tau=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V^{2}(0) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V\left(\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V\right)+\partial_{x} W_{0}\right) d x d \tau \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the left-hand side of the equation, we see that the natural energy space is $V \in L^{\infty}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and $\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)} \partial_{x} V \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$. Let us now move to the right-hand side of $\sqrt[34]{ }$ ) and try to close the estimate. For the term containing $W_{0}$, an integration by parts and Young's inequality yield

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V \partial_{x} W_{0}\right|=\left|-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0} \partial_{x} V\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} V\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{W_{0}^{2}}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}
$$

Hence this term can be bounded through suitable assumptions on $W_{0}$. For the term containing $H\left(\partial_{x} V\right)$, we also perform an integration by parts and use the estimate 30) on $H$ that we computed before:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V \partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V\right)\right|=\left|-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H\left(\partial_{x} V\right) \partial_{x} V\right| & \leq C \epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x} V\right|^{3}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}} \\
& \leq C \epsilon\left\|\frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} V\right|^{2} \frac{\left|\partial_{x} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By recalling that $\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)=\frac{\epsilon \gamma}{v_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}($ see (6) $)$, we obtain that

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V \partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V\right)\right| \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} V\right|^{2} \frac{\left|\partial_{x} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial_{x} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} V\right|^{2},
$$

where $C=C(s, \gamma, \delta)$. In order to close the estimate, we need that the norm of the quantity $\partial_{x} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$ in $L^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ be small enough. The ideas of the proof of the well-posedness of 33$)$ are thus the following:

- Derive a-priori energy estimates for the quantities $\partial_{x} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$ and $\partial_{x}\left[\partial_{x} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)\right]$.
- Use the fact that $\partial_{x} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right) \in L^{\infty}\left([0, T], H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and the injection $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ to complete the estimates and bound these quantities in the same function spaces as chosen for $V$.
- Use a fixed-point argument to obtain the local-in-time existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to (33).

Remark 3.1. Note that the assumption that $\left\|\partial_{x} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}$ is small, which enables to close the previous estimate, is also the one needed to bound the quantity $H\left(\partial_{x} V\right)$ and its derivatives (see Lemma 2.4). This assumption has a simple interpretation; by recalling that $\partial_{x} V=v-v_{\epsilon}$, we see that it prevents the perturbation $v$ from reaching 1. In other words, this assumption ensures that $\rho<1$, i.e. that the perturbation stays in the uncongested state.

Remark 3.2. As explained in the previous remark, it is crucial to bound the quantity $\partial_{x} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$. Note that adding the factor $1 /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$ is not free, and the price to pay is that a lot of commutators appear and the equations become more complicated (see the next subsection). However, even if the weight $1 /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$ is singular, the commutators are not difficult to bound. For instance,

$$
\partial_{x}\left(\frac{\partial_{x} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)=\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}-\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \partial_{x} V
$$

From the estimate on $v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ that we derived in Lemma 2.1, it follows that the coefficient $v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}$ is bounded by $C\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma-1} / \epsilon$. Hence this coefficient is uniformly bounded in $t, x$ as soon as $\gamma \geq 1$, which is our hypothesis throughout the paper. The computations of the next subsections show that $\gamma \geq 1$ is the only hypothesis needed in order to obtain good bounds for every quantity which appears due to commutators. Furthermore, the factor $1 / \epsilon$ in the coefficient $v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}$ of the commutator suggests that the operator $\partial_{x}$ scales as $1 / \epsilon$ for solutions of the equations, i.e. that $\left\|\partial_{x} f\right\| \cong\|f\| / \epsilon$. This observation is reflected in the definition of the norm to be seen in Section 3.3 .

### 3.2 Formulation of the system for higher order estimates

In this subsection we describe our approach for deriving higher order energy estimates. Our estimates will heavily involve the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \equiv \eta\left(\partial_{x} V\right):=\frac{\partial_{x} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evolution equation for $\eta$ reads as

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \eta-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} \eta\right) & =\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} H}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\frac{s \eta v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \\
& +\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x}^{2} V}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{\eta \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)+\frac{1}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x} V\right), \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

which may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \eta-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} \eta\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}(\eta)+S_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} V\right), \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}(\eta):=\frac{s \eta v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\partial_{x} \eta+\frac{\eta v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{\eta \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)+\frac{1}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right) \eta\right), \\
& S_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} V\right):=\frac{\partial_{x}^{2}\left[W_{0}+H\left(\partial_{x} V\right)\right]}{v_{\epsilon}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating (37), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\left(\partial_{x} \eta\right)-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}^{2} \eta\right)=\partial_{x} S_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} V\right)+\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} \eta\right)+\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}(\eta) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}(\eta):=\left[\partial_{x}, \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} \cdot\right)\right] \eta+\left[\partial_{x}, \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\right] \eta=\partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} \eta\right)+\left[\partial_{x}, \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\right] \eta$ appears due to commutators and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\partial_{x}, \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\right] \eta } & :=s \eta \partial_{x}\left(\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \partial_{x} \eta \\
& +\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right) \eta+\partial_{x}\left(\eta \partial_{x}\left(\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right) \eta\right)+\frac{1}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)\right) \eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equations (37) and will be used to derive key estimates that allow us to eventually conclude the fixed-point argument.

Note that the operators $\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}$, that appear in equations 37 ) and 38), are linear. In order to improve readability, we give bounds for these two operators in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. There exists $C=C\left(\gamma, s, v_{+}\right)>0$ such that, for every $\alpha \in(0,1)$, for every $\epsilon>0$, for any $\eta$ sufficiently regular, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}(\eta) \eta\right| \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} \eta\right)^{2}+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} V\right)^{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}(\eta) \partial_{x} \eta\right| \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{2} \eta\right)^{2}+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\left(\partial_{x} \eta\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(\partial_{x} V^{2}\right)}{\epsilon^{2}}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We defer the proof of this lemma to Appendix B.

### 3.3 Construction of global strong solutions

In this subsection we lay out the framework for our fixed point argument before finally stating the result of this section, i.e. the well posedness of $\sqrt[33]{ }$ in an appropriate space. Firstly, we fix $T>0$ arbitrary. For $t \in[0, T]$, we define the energies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}(t ; V):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} V^{2}(t) d x, \quad D_{0}(t ; V):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} V(t)\right|^{2} d x \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}(t ; V):=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x}^{k-1} \eta\right|^{2}(t) d x, \quad D_{k}(t ; V):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x}^{k} \eta\right|^{2}(t) d x, \quad \text { for } k=1,2 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then given $V_{1}$, we introduce the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} V_{2}-\partial_{x} W_{0}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} V_{2}\right)=\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)  \tag{43}\\
\left.V_{2}\right|_{t=0}=V_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the application $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}: V_{1} \mapsto V_{2}$, where $V_{1}, V_{2} \in \mathcal{X}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}= & \left\{V: E_{k}(t, V) \in L^{\infty}(0, T) \text { and } D_{k}(t ; V) \in L^{1}(0, T) \text { for } k=0,1,2 .\right\}, \\
& \|V\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}:=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2} c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k}\left[E_{k}(t ; V(t))+\int_{0}^{t} D_{k}(\tau ; V(\tau)) d \tau\right]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{k}=c_{k}\left(s, \gamma, v_{+}\right)$, for $k=0,1,2$, are constants independent of $\epsilon$ which are to be determined. For a proof that the map $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ is well defined, see Appendix A. For $\delta>0$ we define the ball

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\delta}:=\left\{V \in \mathcal{X}:\|V\|_{\mathcal{X}}<\delta \epsilon^{3 / 2}\right\} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remainder of this section aims to prove the following result.
Proposition 3.4. Assume that for some $\delta_{0}=\delta_{0}\left(s, v_{+}, \gamma\right)>0$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{2}\left(c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k} E_{k}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2 k-1}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{k} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}\right)+\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\left(\frac{T}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(\epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right) \leq \delta_{0} \epsilon^{3} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{k}=c_{k}\left(s, \gamma, v_{+}\right)$for $k=0,1,2$ are positive constants. Then there exists $\delta=\delta\left(s, \gamma, v_{+}\right)$such that

1. the ball $B_{\delta}$ is stable by $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$,
2. the map $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ is a contraction on $B_{\delta}$.

Consequently, $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ has a unique fixed point in $B_{\delta}$.

### 3.4 Stability of the ball $B_{\delta}$

We let $\delta>0, V_{1} \in B_{\delta}$ and $V_{2}:=\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}\left(V_{1}\right)$. The aim of this subsection is to show that we can find $\delta>0$ such that $V_{2} \in B_{\delta}$. First, let us clarify the meaning of some notation we will use in this section.

- We denote by $C=C\left(s, \gamma, v_{+}\right)$an arbitrary positive constant independent of $\epsilon$. This constant may change, even within the same line. We also denote $C^{\prime}=C^{\prime}\left(s, \gamma, v_{+}, c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ another positive constant that may additionally depend on the constants $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ appearing in the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\| \mathcal{X}$.
- To ease notation, we will often shorten $\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right), \psi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)$ to $\phi_{\epsilon}, \psi_{\epsilon}$ respectively. For $i=1,2$ we also adopt the notation $\eta_{i}:=\frac{\partial_{x} V_{i}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}$.
- We adopt the notation $X_{t} Y_{x}:=X(0, T ; Y(\mathbb{R}))$ for appropriate function spaces $X$ and $Y$.

Next, we make note of some useful estimates which will be repeatedly used in the remainder of the paper. We have up to a constant independent of $\epsilon$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)=\frac{\epsilon \gamma}{v_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}} \\
& \phi_{\epsilon}^{(k)}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \cong \frac{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{k}}  \tag{46}\\
& \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}=v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \cong \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+1} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence of (46) and (47), we have $\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon} \leq C / \epsilon$ and $\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2} / \phi_{\epsilon} \leq C / \epsilon$, provided $\gamma \geq 1$. Let us now note a Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev interpolation inequality which we will use. For $f \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C\left\|\partial_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we mention some guidelines which our estimates will follow.

- We will often artificially place a factor of $\phi_{\epsilon}$ into the integral in order to obtain an expression which is a function of the energies (41)-42). This results in the multiplication of a factor of $1 / \epsilon$. For example, to estimate the term $A:=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} V \partial_{x} \eta d x d \tau$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \leq\left\|\frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta \left\lvert\, d x d \tau \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\right.\right\| \sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\left\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right\| \sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta \|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} .\right. \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

- To estimate terms involving the expression $\partial_{x}^{2} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$, we will make use of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}=\partial_{x} \eta+\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x} V}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4.1 Estimates for $k=0$

Fix $t \in[0, T]$. We multiply 43 by $V_{2}$ and integrate on $\mathbb{R} \times(0, t)$ to obtain as before:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{2}^{2}(t) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} V_{2}\right|^{2} d x d \tau=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{2}^{2}(0) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{2}\left(\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)+\partial_{x} W_{0}\right) d x d \tau \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{2} \partial_{x}\left(H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)+W_{0}\right)=-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right) \partial_{x} V_{2}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0} \partial_{x} V_{2}=: G_{1}+G_{2} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the estimate on $H$ and 48, and introducing $\eta_{2}=\frac{\partial_{x} V_{2}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|G_{1}\right| & \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \frac{\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|^{2}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left|\partial_{x} V_{2}\right|=C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\eta_{2}\right| \\
& \leq C\left\|\eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}^{\infty}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2} \leq C\left\|\eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}^{1 / 2}\left\|\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}^{1 / 2}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}  \tag{53}\\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.5. Note the following estimate, which can be obtained from (48) and will also be used later on:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\eta\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\|V\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now estimate $G_{2}$. Young's inequality gives that

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0} \partial_{x} V_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{W_{0}^{2}}{\phi_{\epsilon}}
$$

Using Fubini and the fact that $W_{0}$ is independant of time, one then has

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{W_{0}^{2}}{\phi_{\epsilon}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}} \mathbf{1}_{\xi<0} d \tau d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}} \mathbf{1}_{\xi>0} d \tau d x
$$

where $\xi:=x-s \tau$. By using Equation (11), one then obtain for the first integral the estimate

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}} \mathbf{1}_{\xi<0} d \tau d x \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{-1-1 / \gamma} \mathbf{1}_{\xi<0} d \tau d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} d x
$$

and for the second integral

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}} \mathbf{1}_{\xi>0} d \tau d x \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{x / s} \mathbf{1}_{\xi>0} d \tau d x=\frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0}^{2} x \mathbf{1}_{x \geq 0} d x
$$

Returning to (51), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{2}^{2}(t) d x+\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} V_{2}\right|^{2} d x d \tau \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{2}^{2}(0) d x+C\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \leq E_{0}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+C\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.6. Note that (55) does not depend on $T>0$. This is due to the assumption that $\sqrt{x} W_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. If this assumption is removed, one can still obtain a bound, but it is not global in time anymore. Indeed, we can simply apply Holder and Young's inequality to get

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0} \partial_{x} V_{2}\right| \leq\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C t}{\epsilon}\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau
$$

Thus instead of 55 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \leq E_{0}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\frac{C t}{\epsilon}\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4.2 Estimates for $k=1$

From (37), we deduce that $\eta_{2}$ solves

$$
\partial_{t} \eta_{2}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+S_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)
$$

Multiplying by $\eta_{2}$ and integrating in space and time, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\eta_{2}(t)\right|^{2} d x & +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right|^{2} d x d \tau-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\eta_{2}(0)\right|^{2} d x \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{2} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1} d x d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{2} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} d x d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \eta_{2} d x d \tau  \tag{57}\\
= & : \sum_{n=1}^{3} I_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

We now estimate each of $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$. For $I_{1}$, we first integrate by parts to get

$$
I_{1}=-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \eta_{2} \frac{\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{2} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}=:(1 a)+(1 b)
$$

Using (31),

$$
|(1 a)| \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right|\left|\frac{1}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|\left(\left|\frac{\partial_{x} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}\right|\right)
$$

The first term can be estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right|\left|\frac{1}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|\left|\frac{\partial_{x} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|^{2} & \leq\left\|\frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (48). Note that the identity (50) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{-2} \sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+1}}\left|\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right|\left|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|\left|\frac{\partial_{x} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right| & \leq\left\|\frac{\epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+1} \phi_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next note that using (31),

$$
|(1 b)| \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}\left|\partial_{x} V_{2}\right|\left|\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} V_{2}\right| \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial_{x} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}\right|\right)
$$

Then estimating in the same way as $(1 a)$, we also find that $(1 b) \leq\left(C^{\prime} / \epsilon^{7 / 2}\right)\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts,

$$
I_{2}=-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_{x} W_{0}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x} \eta_{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x} W_{0}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial_{x} V_{2}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}
$$

We first compute using Young's inequality that

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_{x} W_{0}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial_{x} W_{0}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)^{2}
$$

The last integral can be estimated by

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial_{x} W_{0}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} W_{0}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\xi<0}+\mathbf{1}_{\xi>0}\right) d \tau d x
$$

with $\xi:=x-s \tau$. The bound (11) then yields for the part $\{\xi<0\}$ the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\xi<0} & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t}\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon} \xi\right)^{-1+1 / \gamma} \mathbf{1}_{\xi<0} \\
& \leq C\left[\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon}(x-s t)\right)^{1 / \gamma}-\left(B-\frac{A_{1}}{\epsilon}(x-\max (x, 0))\right)^{1 / \gamma}\right] \mathbf{1}_{x-s t \leq 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the bound obtained in the end is a positive nondecreasing function that goes to $+\infty$ as $t$ goes to $+\infty$, for every $x$. The inequality (9) shows that this bound is optimal, i.e. that a similar lower bounds holds for this integral. Hence it seems complicated to bound the contribution of the integral $I_{2}$ uniformly in time. However, it is always possible to write a bound of the form

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\xi<0} \leq C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}
$$

uniformly in $x$. For the part $\{\xi>0\}$, one has as before

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} W_{0}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\xi>0} d \tau d x \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} W_{0}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{x / s} \mathbf{1}_{\xi>0} d \tau d x=\frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} W_{0}\right)^{2} x \mathbf{1}_{x \geq 0} d x
$$

For the second integral appearing in $I_{2}$, we use the same splitting between $\xi<0$ and $\xi>0$, together with (11) to obtain again

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x} W_{0}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial_{x} V_{2}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau, V_{2}\right) d \tau+C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparison with (55), we see that multiplicating by the singular weight $1 /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$ prevents the estimates from being uniform in time when $W_{0} \neq 0$.

Remark 3.7. If we do not suppose that $\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, but only that $W_{0} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, it is still possible to obtain a weaker estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{2}\right| & \leq\left\|\frac{1}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right) \sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3} \sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C t}{\epsilon}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+\frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we use Equation 39 of Lemma 3.3 with $\alpha=1 / 8$ in order to bound $I_{3}$ :

$$
\left|I_{3}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \eta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} V_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Collecting our estimates for $I_{1}-I_{3}$ and returning to (57), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \leq & E_{1}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields, after multiplication by $\epsilon^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \epsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \leq & \epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma} \epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+C \epsilon\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}  \tag{62}\\
& +\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+C \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.8. If we do not suppose that $\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0}$ belong to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we still have

$$
\epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \epsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \leq \epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+C t \epsilon\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}
$$

### 3.4.3 Estimates for $k=2$

Equation gives that $\partial_{x} \eta_{2}$ solves

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right)-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right)=\partial_{x} S_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)+\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right)+\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}\left(\eta_{2}\right)
$$

Multiplying by $\partial_{x} \eta_{2}$ and integrating in space and time leads to

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} \eta_{2}(t)\right|^{2} & d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right|^{2} d x d \tau-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} \eta_{2}(0)\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right) \partial_{x} \eta_{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \eta_{2} \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}\left(\eta_{2}\right)  \tag{63}\\
& =: \sum_{n=1}^{4} J_{n}
\end{array}
$$

We now estimate $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, J_{4}$. Using (32),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|J_{1}\right| \leq & C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma-2}}{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}\left|\partial_{x} V_{1} \| \partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}\right|+\frac{\epsilon}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+C \epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}\left|\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}\right|  \tag{64}\\
& +C \epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}\left|\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}\right| & \leq C\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \phi_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right| \\
& \leq C\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute that

$$
\frac{\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}=\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{1}+\frac{2\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}+\frac{\partial_{x} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left[\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\right]
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields with the previous computations that

$$
\epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}}\left|\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}\right| \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\| \mathcal{X}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}
$$

To estimate the final integral appearing in (64), let us first note that since

$$
\partial_{x}\left(\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right)=\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{2 \sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}+\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{1}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x}\left(\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}} \leq\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{1 / 2}\left\|\partial_{x}\left(\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this estimate and 50, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma+2}} & \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right| \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right|\left(\left|\partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{4}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In summary, we find $J_{1} \leq\left(C^{\prime} / \epsilon^{11 / 2}\right)\left\|V_{2}\right\| \mathcal{X}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}$.
Remark 3.9. From the estimate for $J_{1}$ we can deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left.\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta\right|^{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{5 / 2}}\|V\|_{\mathcal{X}}  \tag{68}\\
& \left\|\left.\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right|^{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\|V\|_{\mathcal{X}}  \tag{69}\\
& \left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\|V\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

which will be useful for later estimates.
For $J_{2}$, we proceed as in the $k=1$ case and obtain an estimate similar to 60 :

$$
\left|J_{2}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}
$$

Remark 3.10. If $\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{2} W_{0} \notin L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we still have using the Holder and Young inequalities that

$$
\left|J_{2}\right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C t}{\epsilon}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau
$$

We now use Equation (39) of Lemma 3.3 with $\alpha=1 / 6$ to obtain that

$$
\left|J_{3}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right) \partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x}^{2} \eta_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

It now remains to estimate the term involving $\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}$, i.e. $J_{4}$. We use this time Equation (40) of Lemma 3.3 with $\alpha=1 / 6$ to get

$$
\left|J_{4}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \eta_{2} \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x}^{2} \eta\right)^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(\left(\partial_{x} \eta_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(\partial_{x} V_{2}^{2}\right)}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)
$$

Returning to with our estimates for $K_{1}-K_{7}$ and $J_{1}-J_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} D_{2}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \leq & E_{2}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\
& +\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{4}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

We may assume that each $C>0$ appearing on the right hand-side is bounded by $B_{0}=B_{0}\left(\gamma, v_{+}, s\right)>0$. Thus letting $k_{0}:=1 /\left(2 B_{0}\right)$ and multiplying by $k_{0} \epsilon^{4}$ results in

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{0} \epsilon^{4} E_{2}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+k_{0} \epsilon^{4} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \leq & k_{0} \epsilon^{4} E_{2}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma} \epsilon^{4}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+\epsilon^{3}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2} \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding to what we found for $k=1$, i.e. (62), we find after simplifying the terms containing $D_{1}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+k_{0} \epsilon^{4} E_{2}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+k_{0} \epsilon^{4} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \\
& \leq \\
& \epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+k_{0} \epsilon^{4} E_{2}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(C \epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\
& \quad+C \epsilon\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+\epsilon^{3}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+\left(C+\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, we may assume that each $C+1 / 2, C>0$ that appear on the right-hand side is bounded by $B_{1}=$ $B_{1}\left(\gamma, v_{+}, s\right)>0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $B_{1}>1$. Thus letting $k_{1}:=1 /\left(2 B_{1}\right)$ and multiplying by $k_{1}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1} \epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+k_{1} \epsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+k_{1} k_{0} \epsilon^{4} E_{2}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+k_{1} k_{0} \epsilon^{4} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau \\
\leq & k_{1} \epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+k_{1} k_{0} \epsilon^{4} E_{2}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(\epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\
& +\epsilon\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+\epsilon^{3}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding this inequality to the one that we obtained for $k=0$, i.e. 55 , and simplifying the terms depending on $D_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{0}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} D_{0}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau+k_{1} \epsilon^{2}\left(E_{1}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau\right)+k_{1} k_{0} \epsilon^{4}\left(E_{2}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} D_{2}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau\right) \\
& \leq E_{0}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+k_{1} \epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+k_{1} k_{0} \epsilon^{4} E_{2}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(\epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\
& +\epsilon\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+C\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, we may assume that each $C>0$ in the right-hand side is bounded by $B_{2}=B_{2}\left(s, \gamma, v_{+}\right)>1$. We set $k_{2}:=1 / B_{2}$ and multiply by $k_{2}$. In order to simplify computations, we also define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}:=k_{2}, \quad c_{1}:=k_{2} k_{1}, \quad c_{2}:=k_{2} k_{1} k_{0} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{2} c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k}\left(E_{k}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} D_{k}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau\right) \leq & \sum_{k=0}^{2}\left(c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k} E_{k}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2 k-1}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{k} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}\right)+\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(\epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the inequality $t^{1 / \gamma} \leq T^{1 / \gamma}$ in the right-hand side, and then take the supremum in time in the left-hand side to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} & \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2} c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k}\left[E_{k}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} D_{k}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau\right]\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2}\left[c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k} E_{k}\left(0, V_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2 k-1}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{k} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}\right]  \tag{73}\\
& +\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\| \mathcal{X}+\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left(\frac{T}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, when $W_{0}=0$, one can take $T=+\infty$ and obtain a global bound. Using the constants $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ in
the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \sqrt{73}$ can be recast as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2} \leq & \sum_{k=0}^{2}\left[c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k} E_{k}\left(0, V_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2 k-1}\left\|\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{k} W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}\right]+\left\|W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left(\frac{T}{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(\left\|\partial_{x} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{C^{\prime}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \\
\leq & C^{\prime} \delta^{2} \epsilon^{3 / 2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\delta_{0}^{2} \epsilon^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used our assumption on the initial data 45). Taking $\delta<1$ to be such that $\delta<1 /\left(\sqrt{2} C^{\prime}\right)$, defining $\delta_{0}:=\delta / 2$ and using Young's inequality gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2} \leq \delta^{2} \epsilon^{3} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $V_{2} \in B_{\delta}$ as required. This completes the first part of Proposition 3.4 .
Remark 3.11. When we do not suppose that $\sqrt{x} \partial_{x}^{k} W_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for $k=0,1,2$, we still obtain that

$$
\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2}\left[c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k} E_{k}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+T \epsilon^{2 k-1}\left\|\partial_{x}^{k} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right]+C^{\prime} \delta^{2} \epsilon^{3 / 2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}
$$

Hence the proof of the proposition still works after suitable modification of the assumption on the initial data. In this case, one has to impose the condition

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{2}\left[c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k} E_{k}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+T \epsilon^{2 k-1}\left\|\partial_{x}^{k} W_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right] \leq \delta_{0}^{2} \epsilon^{3}
$$

### 3.5 The map $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ is a contraction

In this subsection we aim to show that the map $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ is a contraction. We consider two elements $V_{1}, V_{1}^{\prime} \in B_{\delta}$ and define $V_{2}:=\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $V_{2}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Our goal is to show that there exists $\delta<1$ with $\left\|V_{2}-V_{2}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq$ $\delta\left\|V_{1}-V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}$. Defining $\tilde{V}_{1}:=V_{1}-V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{V}_{2}:=V_{2}-V_{1}^{\prime}$, the following equations are satisfied:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \tilde{V}_{2}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{2}\right)=\partial_{x}\left(H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)  \tag{75}\\
\partial_{t} \tilde{\eta}_{2}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial_{x}^{2}\left(H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{\eta}_{2}\right) \\
\partial_{t} \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right)=\partial_{x}\left(\frac{\partial_{x}^{2}\left(H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)+\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}\left(\partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right)+\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{\eta}_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We see that $\tilde{V}_{2}, \tilde{\eta_{2}}$ and $\partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}$ solve the same equations than $V_{2}, \eta_{2}, \partial_{x} \eta_{2}$ respectively, up to two differences:

- The constant term $W_{0}$ is equal to zero here, as well as the initial data,
- The nonlinear terms (depending on $H$ ) are different.

With this in mind, multiplying the equations by $\tilde{V}_{2}, \tilde{\eta}_{2}, \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}$ respectively and using again Lemma 3.3 (taking advantage of the linearity of $\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}$ ), we see that the computations of the previous section can be repeated. We get a similar estimate after suitable modification of the nonlinear terms. Since here $W_{0}$ and the initial data are equal to zero, we are left with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2} \leq & C\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{V}_{2} \partial_{x}\left(H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|+C \epsilon^{2}\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial_{x}^{2}\left(H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\right| \\
& +C \epsilon^{4}\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2} \partial_{x}\left(\frac{\partial_{x}^{2}\left(H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right.}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\right|=: C \sum_{k=1}^{3} \epsilon^{2 k-2}\left|L_{k}\right| \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate each $L_{k}$ we will need the following result.

Lemma 3.12. If $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathcal{X}$ are such that $\left\|\frac{f_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\frac{f_{2}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \delta$ for some $\delta<1$, then there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $\epsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|H\left(f_{1}\right)-H\left(f_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|\left(\left|f_{1}\right|+\left|f_{2}\right|\right),  \tag{77}\\
&\left|\partial_{x} H\left(f_{1}\right)-\partial_{x} H\left(f_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|\left(\left|f_{1}\right|+\left|f_{2}\right|\right)+\left|\partial_{x}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)\right|\left|f_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x} f_{2}\right|\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|\right),  \tag{78}\\
&\left|\partial_{x}^{2} H\left(f_{1}\right)-\partial_{x}^{2} H\left(f_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma-2}}{\epsilon}\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|\left(\left|f_{1}\right|+f_{2} \mid\right)  \tag{79}\\
&+\frac{C}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\left(\left|\partial_{x}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)\right|\left|f_{2}\right|+\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|\left|\partial_{x} f_{1}\right|\right) \\
&+\frac{C \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left|\partial_{x}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)\right|\left(\left|\partial_{x} f_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x} f_{2}\right|\right) \\
&+\frac{C \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|\left|\partial_{x}^{2} f_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x}^{2}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)\right|\left|f_{2}\right|+\frac{\left(\partial_{x} f_{2}\right)^{2}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof follows the same approach as that of Lemma 2.4, and a very similar result can be seen in Lemma 3.4 of [12]. Thus we omit the proof. Integrating by parts and using (77),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L_{1}\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{2}\left(H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{2}\right|\left(\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left(\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \\
& \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}}\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{2}\right| \frac{\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}}\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|+\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}}\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\tilde{\eta}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the definition of the norm,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{1}\right| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right) . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

To deal with $L_{2}$, we first integrate by parts to get

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{2} & =-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\eta}_{2} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)-\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)  \tag{81}\\
& =:(2 a)+(2 b) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (78),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(2 a)| \leq & C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\tilde{\eta}_{2}\right| \frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \phi_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{4}}\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left(\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right)+C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\tilde{\eta}_{2}\right| \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1} \| \partial_{x} V_{1}\right| \\
& +C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\tilde{\eta}_{2}\right| \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right| \\
\leq & C\left\|\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{4}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right) \\
& +C\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (48) and (58), we therefore find

$$
|(2 a)| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right) .
$$

For $(2 b)$ we use $\sqrt{78}$ to get
$|(2 b)| \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right| \frac{\phi_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left(\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right)+\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\right)=: b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}$.
We then have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{1} & \leq C\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2} \| \sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left(\left|\eta_{1}\right|+\left|\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}+\left\|\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\| \mathcal{X}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\| \mathcal{X}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\| \eta_{1}\right| \leq C\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\| \mathcal{X}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We find similarly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{3} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields with the previous estimates that

$$
\epsilon^{2}\left|L_{2}\right| \leq \epsilon^{2} \frac{C}{\epsilon^{7 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right)=\frac{C}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right)
$$

We now estimate the term $L_{3}$. Using $(79$ and 46 ,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|L_{3}\right| \leq & \frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right|\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma-3}\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left(\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right)+C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}\left(\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}\right|\right) \\
& +C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right| \frac{\phi_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left(\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right)+C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right| \frac{\phi_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\left(\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left|\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}\right|+\left|\partial_{x}^{3} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|\left|\partial_{x} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& +C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right| \frac{\phi_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right|=: \sum_{n=1}^{5} M_{n} . \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (58),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma-2}}{\phi_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}+\left\|\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2} & \leq C\left\|\frac{1}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}+\left\|\tilde{\eta}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{3} & \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right|\left|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|\left(\left|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|\right) \\
& \leq C\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}+\left\|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (50), 67) and 60,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{V}_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}} \leq\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{\eta}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}}+\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand 50 also gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}} \leq C\left\|\partial_{x} \eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}+\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
M_{3} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right)
$$

For $M_{4}$, we use again 65), thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{4} & \leq C\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\tilde{\eta}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{3} V_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+C\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{3} \tilde{V}_{1}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\| \mathcal{X}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\| \mathcal{X}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly, using (58) and 85,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{5} & \leq C\left\|\tilde{\eta}_{1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{2}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}}\left\|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{7}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}=\frac{C}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\frac{\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^{4}\left|L_{3}\right| & \leq \epsilon^{4} \frac{C}{\epsilon^{11 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|_{V_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Gathering our estimates for $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ and returning to 76 , using the fact that $V_{1}, V_{1}^{\prime} \in B_{\delta}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2} & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left\|V_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\right)  \tag{86}\\
& \leq \delta C\left\|\tilde{V}_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\left\|\tilde{V}_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore taking $\delta<1 / C$ we obtain that $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ is a contraction, as required. This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.4

## 4 Asymptotic stability of $\left(u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}\right)$

So far, we have proved that if $(u, v)$ is a solution to the original system such that $v-v_{\epsilon}, u-u_{\epsilon} \in L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for all positive times then the system can be re-expressed in terms of the integrated quantities ( $V, W_{0}$ ), as 25). Furthermore, provided the initial energy is small enough (i.e. satisfying 45 ) then there exists a unique strong solution to 25 . In order to prove the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the original system (1), we will need the following result:

Proposition 4.1. Provided that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(0) \in L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \frac{\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(0)}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}),  \tag{87}\\
& \left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(0) \in L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

1. $\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, t ; L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)}+\left\|v-v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, t ; L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \leq C(t, \epsilon)$,
2. $\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t),\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(t) \in L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for each $t>0$.

Our strategy of proof is as follows.

- Step 1: Establish the stability of the profile $u_{\epsilon}$. This involves bounding the quantity $u-u_{\epsilon}$ in $L_{t}^{\infty} H_{x}^{1}$, which will in particular be needed for the next steps.
- Step 2: Use the previous estimates to show that $u-u_{\epsilon}$ and $v-v_{\epsilon}$ remain in $L^{1}$ for positive times.
- Step 3: Complete the remaining part of Proposition 4.1 using the estimates from the previous steps.

For the remainder of this section we define $v:=v_{\epsilon}+\partial_{x} V, w:=w_{\epsilon}+\partial_{x} W_{0}$ and $u:=w+\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v$. Recall that $\eta=\partial_{x} V /\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)$ is bounded, with $\|\eta\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}} \leq C \epsilon^{-3 / 2}\|V\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \delta$ since $V \in B_{\delta}$, hence $v \geq$ $1+(1-C \delta)\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)>1$ (up to reducing $\delta$ ) and $\phi_{\epsilon}(v)$ is well defined. As a consequence, the pair $(w, v)$ satisfies the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} w=0 \\
\partial_{t} v-\partial_{x} w-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 4.1 Stability of the profile $u-u_{\epsilon}$

From the system solved by $(w, v)$, we deduce that $u$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)=\partial_{x}\left[\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x} u\right]=: G \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the quantity $G$ appearing on the right-hand side depends on the difference $\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)$. In order to control $G$, we will make use of the following lemma, which is analogous to Lemma 2.4

Lemma 4.2. Let $\Delta \phi_{\epsilon}(f):=\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}+f\right)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Delta \phi_{\epsilon}(f)\right| \leq C \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|f|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}  \tag{90}\\
& \left|\partial_{x} \Delta \phi_{\epsilon}(f)\right| \leq C \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}|f|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x} f\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)  \tag{91}\\
& \left|\partial_{x}^{2} \Delta \phi_{\epsilon}(f)\right| \leq C \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\left(\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}|f|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}+\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} f\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}+\left|\frac{\partial_{x} f}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} f\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, we also have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{\epsilon}(v)\right|=\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}+\partial_{x} V\right)\right| \leq\left|\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right|+\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \leq(|\eta|+1) \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

We omit the proof since it follows the same argument as that of Lemma 2.4. We now wish to prove the following existence result.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that $\left(U_{0}, V_{0}\right) \in H^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\sqrt{45}$ is satisfied by $\left(W_{0}, V_{0}\right)$, and that (87) is also satisfied. Consider the solution $\left(W_{0}, V\right) \in B_{\delta} \subset \mathcal{X}$ of 25) obtained in Proposition 3.4. Then there exists a unique solution $u-u_{\epsilon}$ to such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-u_{\epsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $u-u_{\epsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{1}\left[E_{k}\left(t ; u-u_{\epsilon}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} D_{k}\left(\tau ; u-u_{\epsilon}\right) d \tau\right]\right) \leq C \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(\epsilon, \delta, v_{+}, \gamma, s\right)$.

Proof. We start from the equation satisfied by $u-u_{\epsilon}$ :

$$
\partial_{t}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)=\partial_{x}\left[\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x} u\right]=G
$$

Multiplying by $u-u_{\epsilon}$ and integrating by parts where appropriate, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|u-u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}(t) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x d \tau & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|u-u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}(0) d x \\
& =-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x} u d x d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

With (90) and the triangle inequality, the right-hand side can be bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x} u\right| & \leq C\|\eta\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& \leq C\|\eta\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+C\|\eta\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C \delta\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\delta, v_{+}, s, \epsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by using $\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}=-s \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}$ and $\|\eta\|_{\infty} \leq \delta$. Hence we obtain the following estimate (up to reducing $\delta$ ):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|u-u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}(t) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|u-u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}(0) d x+C\left(\delta, v_{+}, s, \epsilon\right)
$$

We now wish to find an estimate for $\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)$. Notice that 89 has the same structure as the equation for $V$ (i.e. (33), up to the source term. As we did for $V$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu:=\mu\left(\partial_{x} u\right)=\frac{\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

The computations that we did for $V$ imply that $\mu$ is solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \mu-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} \mu\right)+\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}(\mu)=\frac{\partial_{x} G}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}$ is the same as in Equation (37). Multiplying by $\mu$ and integrating yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^{2}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} \mu\right)^{2}=\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^{2}\right|_{t=0}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}(\mu) \mu+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu \frac{\partial_{x} G}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (39), for $\alpha=1 / 2$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}(\mu) \mu\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} \mu\right)^{2}+C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left[\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

The first integral can be absorbed by the left-hand side of 98 . The second integral can be bounded by using the energy estimate satisfied by $u-u_{\epsilon}$. Hence it is enough to bound the last integral in the right-hand side of 98 . We compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu \frac{\partial_{x} G}{v_{\epsilon}-1}= & -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \mu \frac{G}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu \frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon} G}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \\
= & -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \mu \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \mu-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \mu \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \frac{\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \mu\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \frac{\partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \mu\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \mu+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \frac{\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{8} I_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (91) and Young's inequality, for any $\alpha>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{1}\right| & \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\mu \partial_{x} \mu\right|\left(\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}|\eta|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \\
& \leq C\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\|\eta\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}+\|\mu\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C \delta^{2}}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+\|\mu\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line uses the fact that

$$
\|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}} \delta \epsilon^{3 / 2} \leq C \delta
$$

(see Equation (84)). Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{2}\right| & \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\partial_{x} \mu\right|\left(\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}|\eta|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \frac{\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \\
& \leq \alpha\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\alpha}\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}\left\|\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}^{2}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}\right)\left\|\frac{\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\alpha, \epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (90),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{3}\right| \leq & C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} \mu\right||\eta| \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \\
& \leq \alpha\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C \delta^{2}}{\alpha}\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by using

$$
\frac{\partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}=\partial_{x} \mu+\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|I_{4}\right| \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} \mu\right||\eta| \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \leq \alpha\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C \delta^{2}}{\alpha}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\alpha, \epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right), \\
&\left|I_{5}\right| \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^{2} \phi_{\epsilon} \frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}|\eta|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C \delta}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left[\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\|\mu\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{\infty}} \\
& \leq \alpha\|\mu\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\alpha, \epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right), \\
&\left|I_{6}\right| \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\mu| \phi_{\epsilon} \frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}|\eta|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \frac{\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right), \\
&\left|I_{7}\right| \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\mu| \phi_{\epsilon}|\eta| \frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\|\eta\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\alpha, \epsilon, \delta, v_{+}, s, \gamma\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|I_{8}\right| \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\mu| \phi_{\epsilon}|\eta| \frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{3}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq C\left(\epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right)
$$

Hence, coming back to Equation (98), by taking $\alpha>0$ sufficiently small, up to reducing $\delta$, we obtain that there exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\alpha_{0}\left(\|\mu\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \mu\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\mu_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\alpha_{0}, \epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right)
$$

with $C\left(\alpha_{0}, \epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
With this estimate, the existence and uniqueness of $u-u_{\epsilon}$ is classical. It remains to verify that $u-u_{\epsilon}$ is continuous in time. Let $f \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|f\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}=1$. Then using $\partial_{t}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)=\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\partial_{t}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right), f\right\rangle_{H^{-1} \times H^{1}} & =\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right), f\right)=\left(u-u_{\epsilon}, \partial_{x} f\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left\|\partial_{t}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} H_{x}^{-1}} \leq\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}$. Since $v-v_{\epsilon}=\partial_{x} V$, we have that $\partial_{t} \partial_{x} V \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \subset$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Since we also have $\partial_{x} V \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, it follows (e.g. from Theorem II.5.13. of [5]) that $\partial_{x} V \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and so $V \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Now since $w=u-\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x} v$ and $w_{\epsilon}=$ $u_{\epsilon}-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}$, we have that $\partial_{x} W_{0}=u-u_{\epsilon}+\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(v) \partial_{x} v-\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}$. After rearranging, we find that

$$
u-u_{\epsilon}=\partial_{x} W_{0}-\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(v) \partial_{x} V+\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}
$$

The continuity in time of $W_{0}, v_{\epsilon}, \phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(v)$ and $V$ imply that $u-u_{\epsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ as claimed.

### 4.2 Bounding $\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)$ in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$

We first obtain a $L_{x}^{1}$ bound for $u-u_{\epsilon}$. Let $\left\{j_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a convex approximation of $|\cdot|$ with $\left|j_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq C, j_{n}^{\prime \prime}>0$. For example, we may take $j_{n}(z):=\sqrt{z^{2}+n^{-1}}-\sqrt{n^{-1}}$. Multiplying 89) by $j_{n}^{\prime}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(0) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right) \phi_{\epsilon}(v)\left|\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{2}  \tag{99}\\
= & \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}+\left(\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}=-s \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}$, 900, (91) and (58), the right-hand side can be bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} V \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} v_{\epsilon}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}+C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} V\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon} \\
\leq & C\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& +C\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.3 ,

$$
\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq C\left(s, \gamma, v_{+}, \epsilon\right) t^{1 / 2}
$$

Hence we obtain a global bound of the form $C\left(\epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right) t^{1 / 2}$ for the right-hand side. Note that the second term on the left-hand side of (99) has a positive sign and therefore can be discarded. Returning to (99) and taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}} \leq\left\|\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(0)\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}}+C\left(\epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right) t^{1 / 2} \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now wish to find an estimate for $v-v_{\epsilon}$. From (5) we have that

$$
\partial_{t}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)=\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right) .
$$

Multiplying this equation by $j_{n}^{\prime}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)$ where $j_{n}$ is defined as above and integrating in space, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right| d x . \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, to obtain a $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ estimate for $v-v_{\epsilon}$ it is sufficient to bound $\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)$ in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Now, the evolution equation of $\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v) \partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)= & \partial_{x}\left(\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)+\partial_{x}\left[\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x} u\right] \\
& +\partial_{x}\left[\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $j_{n}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ and integrating by parts where appropriate,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)(t) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)(0) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \phi_{\epsilon}(v)\left|\partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & \left.\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}\left(\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}\left[\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x} u\right]  \tag{102}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}\left[\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right]=: \sum_{n=1}^{3} I_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Firstly using (91),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{1}\right| & =\mid \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\left(\left(\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}+\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}^{3} u_{\epsilon}\right) \mid\right. \\
& \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|j_{n}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)\right| \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\left|\partial_{x}^{2} v_{\epsilon}\right|\left(\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} V\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{3} v_{\epsilon}\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left|\partial_{x} V\right|\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{3} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right) t^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
I_{2}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}^{2}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right] \partial_{x} u+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \partial_{x}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right] \partial_{x}^{2} u=: K_{1}+K_{2}
$$

Using (92),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{1}\right| \leq & C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\left(\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}\left|\partial_{x} V\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}+\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}+\left|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{3} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\left|\partial_{x} u\right| \\
\leq & C\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{3} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L^{2} L^{\infty}}\left\|\frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty} L^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the triangle inequality

$$
\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}
$$

enables to bound $K_{1}$. For $K_{2}, 91$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{2}\right| & \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\left|\partial_{x} V\right|}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{2} V\right|}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\left|\partial_{x}^{2} u\right| \\
& \leq\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right)\left(\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$, we decompose

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{3}\right|= & C\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{n}^{\prime}\left[\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}+\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)+\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right]\right| \\
\leq & C\left[\left\|\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2} v_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right]\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& +C\left\|\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ with the help of Fatou's lemma gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}} \leq\left\|\partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(0)\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}}+C\left(\epsilon, \delta, s, v_{+}, \gamma\right) t^{1 / 2} \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have now shown that $\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t),\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(t)$ belong to $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t>0$. Note that since the equations for both quantities are conservative, we actually have that $\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t),\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(t) \in L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. This marks the end of the proof of Proposition 4.1.

### 4.3 Concluding the proofs of Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.8

We are now in a position to justify the equivalence between the original and integrated systems, which will allow us to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.7. Firstly, consider the original system with some initial data $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.7, and let $(V, W)$ be the corresponding solution of the integrated system (25). Since we defined $(u, v):=\left(u_{\epsilon}+\partial_{x} U, v_{\epsilon}+\partial_{x} V\right)$, it follows that $(u, v)$ is a solution to the original system. Moreover, Lemma 4.3 tells us that $\partial_{x} U \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and Theorem 1.3 tells us that $\partial_{x} V \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})\right)$. Thus, $(u, v) \in\left(u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}\right)+\left(C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)^{2}$ solves the original system and using Proposition 4.1 we have that $(u, v)(t) \in L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t \in(0, T]$. It remains to show that the solution is unique. To this end, suppose that $(u, v)$ is another solution to the original system with initial data $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.7. Then we may write $(u, v)=\left(u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}\right)+(f, g)$ for some $f, g \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Now, define the integrated quantities

$$
U(t, x):=\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(u(t, z)-u_{\epsilon}(t, z)\right) d z, \quad V(t, x):=\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(v(t, z)-v_{\epsilon}(t, z)\right) d z
$$

and

$$
W:=U+\phi_{\epsilon}(v)-\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) .
$$

Then $(V, W)$ is a solution of the integrated system (25). Furthermore, thanks to the hypotheses on the initial data $(u, v)$ we have that $\partial_{x} V \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Therefore $V \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, due to the assumptions on the initial data, we in fact have $V \in B_{\delta}$ and so since $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ is a contraction on $B_{\delta}$ we easily deduce that $V$ is uniquely determined by the initial data. Since $W$ is constant in time, we conclude that the pair $(W, V)$ is unique and therefore so is $(u, v)$.

Finally, let us explain how Corollary 1.8 is obtained. With the additional assumption $(19)$, the inequality (73) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2} c_{k} \epsilon^{2 k}\left[E_{k}\left(t ; V_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} D_{k}\left(\tau ; V_{2}\right) d \tau\right]\right)  \tag{104}\\
& \quad \leq C\left(E_{0}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2} E_{1}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{4} E_{2}\left(0 ; V_{2}\right)\right)+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{align*}
$$

and so we may take $T=+\infty$ which gives us a solution defined on $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then using (48) we have that there exists $C>0$ independent of time such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C\left\|\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{1 / 2}\left\|\partial_{x}\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{1 / 2}, \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand-side tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ since $V \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. This shows that $\left(v-v_{\epsilon}\right)(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, the bounds obtained in Lemma 4.3 imply that

$$
\left\|\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t)\right\|_{H_{x}^{1}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

and so we also find that $\left(u-u_{\epsilon}\right)(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof.

## A Proof that the map $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ is well defined

We give here a proof that the map $\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon}$ of Section 3.3 is well defined, i.e. we show that Equation 43):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} V_{2}-\partial_{x} W_{0}-\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \partial_{x} V_{2}\right)=\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right) \\
\left.V_{2}\right|_{t=0}=V_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is well posed. Equation (43) rewrites as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} V_{2}=\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} V_{2}\right)+f  \tag{106}\\
\left.V_{2}\right|_{t=0}=V_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f=\partial_{x} H\left(\partial_{x} V_{1}\right)+\partial_{x} W_{0}$. Since the operator $\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} \cdot\right)$ is uniformly elliptic, one can hope that it is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic evolution operator $(U(t, \tau))_{t, \tau \geq 0}$. The unique solution of 106 is then given by

$$
V_{2}(t)=U(t, 0) V_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, \tau) f(\tau) d \tau
$$

The proof that $\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} \cdot\right)$ generates an analytic evolution operator is classical. Note that one has to be careful because the coefficient $\phi_{\epsilon}=\phi_{\epsilon}(t, x)$ depends on time and is unbounded. In order to simplify the situation, we take advantage of the fact that $\phi_{\epsilon}$ is a function of the variable $\xi:=x-s t$. A change of variable $(t, x) \mapsto(t, \xi) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$ then yields that 106 can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} V_{2}=\partial_{\xi}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(\xi) \partial_{\xi} V_{2}\right)+s \partial_{\xi} V_{2}+f \\
\left.V_{2}\right|_{t=0}=V_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence in these coordinates, the operator $\partial_{\xi}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(\xi) \partial_{\xi} \cdot\right)+s \partial_{\xi}$ is independant of time. Consider the Hilbert space $H=L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, and define the unbounded operator

$$
T_{c} V:=\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{\xi} V, \quad \text { with } \quad D\left(T_{c}\right)=C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Let $T$ be the closure of $T_{c}$, i.e.

$$
D(T)=\left\{V \in H, \phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{\xi} V \in H, \exists V_{n} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), V_{n} \rightarrow V, \phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{\xi} V_{n} \rightarrow \phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{\xi} V\right\}
$$

The adjoint $T^{*}$ of $T$ is given by

$$
D\left(T^{*}\right)=\left\{V \in H, \partial_{\xi}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} V\right) \in H\right\}, \quad \text { with } \quad T^{*} V=-\partial_{\xi}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} V\right)
$$

Indeed, if $V \in D\left(T^{*}\right)$, then $T^{*} V=-\partial_{\xi}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} V\right)$ in the sense of distributions. Conversely, if $V$ and $\partial_{\xi}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} V\right) \in H$, then $<-\partial_{\xi}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} V\right), \psi>_{H}=<V, T \psi>_{H}$ for every $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, and the same is true for every $\psi \in D(T)$ by density.

Since $T$ is closed and densely defined, $T^{*} T$ defined by

$$
D\left(T^{*} T\right)=\left\{V \in D(T), T V \in D\left(T^{*}\right)\right\}, \quad T^{*} T V=T^{*}(T V)=-\partial_{\xi}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}(\xi) \partial_{x} V\right)
$$

is self-adjoint and positive (see Theorem 13.13 in [20, Chapter 13). It follows that $-T^{*} T$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup. Now define the closed operator $B:=s \partial_{\xi}$, with $D(B)=H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Since there exists $\alpha>0$ such that $\phi_{\epsilon} \geq \alpha$, we deduce that $D\left(T^{*} T\right) \subset D(T) \subset D(B)$. Furthermore, for every $\epsilon>0$, for every $V \in D\left(T^{*} T\right)$, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B V\| \leq \frac{s}{\alpha}\|T V\| & =\frac{s}{\alpha}\left|<V, T^{*} T V>_{H}\right|^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{s}{\alpha}\|V\|^{1 / 2}\left\|T^{*} T V\right\|^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \epsilon\left\|T^{*} T V\right\|+\frac{s^{2}}{4 \alpha^{2} \epsilon}\|V\|
\end{aligned}
$$

by Young's inequality. By Theorem 12.37 in [19], it follows that $-T^{*} T+B$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup.

Note that in fact it is possible to prove that

$$
D(T)=\left\{V \in H, \phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{x} V \in H\right\}
$$

Indeed, let $\theta$ a smooth function such that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1,\left.\theta\right|_{\left[-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right]} \equiv 1, \operatorname{supp}(\theta) \subset[-1,1]$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta=1$. For $V \in H$ with $\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{\xi} V \in H$, define

$$
V_{n}(\xi):=\theta\left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)\left(\rho_{n} * V\right)(\xi)
$$

where $\rho_{n}:=n \theta(n \cdot) \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is an approximation of unity. Then $V_{n} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_{n} \rightarrow V$ in $L^{2}$. Furthermore,

$$
\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{\xi} V_{n}=\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2}}{n} \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)\left(\rho_{n} * V\right)(\xi)+\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \theta\left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)\left(\rho_{n} * \partial_{\xi} V\right)(\xi)
$$

Since $\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2}(\xi) \leq C\left(1+|\xi|^{1 / 2+1 /(2 \gamma)}\right)$ and $\theta$ is compactly supported,

$$
\left|\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2}}{n} \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)\right| \leq C n^{1 /(2 \gamma)-1 / 2} \mathbf{1}_{n / 3 \leq|\xi| \leq n} .
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2}}{n} \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)\left(\rho_{n} * V\right)(\xi) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \theta\left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)\left(\rho_{n} * \partial_{\xi} V\right)(\xi) \rightarrow \phi_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2} \partial_{\xi} V
$$

Hence $V \in D(T)$.

## B Proof of Lemma 3.3

We give here the proof of Lemma 3.3.
Proof. We first do the computations of the estimate for $\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}$. We compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}(\eta) \eta= & \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{s \eta^{2} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} d x d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \eta\left(\partial_{x} \eta+\frac{\eta v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) d x d \tau \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta \partial_{x}\left(\frac{\eta \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) d x d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right) \eta\right) d x d \tau=: \sum_{k=1}^{4} I_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us fix $\alpha \in(0,1)$. For $I_{1}$, we exploit the smallness of $v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ (i.e. 47) to get

$$
\left|I_{1}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{s \eta^{2} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} d x d \tau\right| \leq\left\|\frac{s v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{3}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{2}\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \eta\left(\partial_{x} \eta+\frac{\eta v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) d x d \tau\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right|\left|\eta \| \partial_{x} \eta\right|+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x} V}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial_{x} V}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{4}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left(\int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{3} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Young's inequality for the last line. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{3}\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta \partial_{x}\left(\frac{\eta \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) d x d \tau\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} \eta\right|\left|\frac{\eta v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right| \leq\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{3} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

To deal with the last term, we first integrate by parts to get

$$
I_{4}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right) \eta\right) d x d \tau=-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_{x} \eta}{v_{\epsilon}-1} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x} V+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \eta}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x} V
$$

Then in the same spirit as the previous estimates and with the estimate 46) on $\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{4}\right| & \leq\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{4}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{3} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

We finally put all terms together to obtain that

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}(\eta) \eta\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{4}\left|I_{k}\right| \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau
$$

We now move on to the proof of the second estimate. Again, we fix $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and compute that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \eta \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}(\eta)= & -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta \partial_{x} \eta+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta \partial_{x} \eta \partial_{x}\left(\frac{s v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} \eta\right)^{2} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta \partial_{x} \eta \partial_{x}\left(\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \eta \partial_{x}\left(\eta \partial_{x}\left(\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \eta \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right) \eta\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}  \tag{107}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_{x} \eta}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x}\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)\right) \eta\right)=: \sum_{n=1}^{7} K_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

We can estimate each of these terms using the same strategy as our estimates for $I_{1}-I_{4}$. This leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|K_{1}\right| \leq\left\|\frac{\partial_{x} \phi_{\epsilon}}{\phi_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau \\
& \left|K_{2}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{s v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\left(\left(\partial_{x} \eta\right)^{2}+\eta \partial_{x}^{2} \eta\right)\right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{4}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau \\
& \left|K_{3}\right|=2\left|\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{\epsilon} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta \partial_{x} \eta\right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau \\
& \left|K_{4}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \phi_{\epsilon}}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}\left(\eta \partial_{x}^{2} \eta+\left(\partial_{x} \eta\right)^{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{4}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau \\
& \left|K_{5}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \eta \partial_{x}^{2} \eta\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and we compute with the estimate (46) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\left|\partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{v_{\epsilon}-1}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \phi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2 \gamma} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \phi_{\epsilon}
$$

hence

$$
\left|K_{5}\right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{4}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau
$$

Next,

$$
K_{6}=-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} \eta\right)^{2} \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta \partial_{x} \eta \frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x}\left(\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)\right)}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

With the estimate 46 and Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)\right]\right| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|K_{6}\right| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{4}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau
$$

To estimate $K_{7}$, we first integrate by parts to obtain

$$
K_{7}=-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta \partial_{x}^{2} \eta}{v_{\epsilon}-1} \partial_{x}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta_{2} \partial_{x} \eta}{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{2}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \partial_{x}\left[\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)\right]
$$

Then using again 108 and the Holder and Young inequalities,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|K_{7}\right| & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} V\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\left(\left\|\frac{\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma-2}}{\phi_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x}^{2} \eta\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}+\left\|\frac{v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(v_{\epsilon}-1\right)^{\gamma-3}}{\phi_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{\phi_{\epsilon}} \partial_{x} \eta\right\|_{L_{t, x}^{2}}\right)  \tag{109}\\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{C}{\alpha \epsilon^{4}} \int_{0}^{t} D_{0}(\tau ; V) d \tau+\frac{\alpha}{6} \int_{0}^{t} D_{2}(\tau ; V) d \tau .
\end{align*}
$$

Summing $\left|K_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|K_{7}\right|$ finally yields the desired estimate.
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