arXiv:2404.17921v1 [math.NT] 27 Apr 2024

MONOGENIC EVEN OCTIC POLYNOMIALS AND THEIR GALOIS GROUPS

LENNY JONES

ABSTRACT. A monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree N is called *monogenic* if f(x) is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} and $\{1, \theta, \theta^2, \ldots, \theta^{N-1}\}$ is a basis for the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $f(\theta) = 0$. In a series of recent articles, a complete classification of the Galois groups was given for irreducible polynomials

$$\mathcal{F}(x) := x^8 + ax^4 + b \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

while a partial classification was given for irreducible polynomials

 $\mathcal{G}(x) := x^8 + ax^6 + bx^4 + ax^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x], \quad a \neq 0.$

In this article, for each Galois group G arising in these classifications, we either construct an infinite family of octic monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ or $\mathcal{G}(x)$ having Galois group G, or we prove that only a finite such family exists, or we prove that no such octic monogenic polynomial exists. Here, a "family" means that no two polynomials in the family generate isomorphic octic fields.

We also provide a minor contribution to the existing partial classification of the Galois groups of $\mathcal{G}(x)$ by giving simple conditions on the coefficients aand b to determine when $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is monogenic and the Galois group of $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is $C_2 \times D_4$ versus $C_2^2 \wr C_2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Unless stated otherwise, when we say that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is "irreducible", we mean irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . We let $\Delta(f)$ and $\Delta(K)$ denote the discriminants over \mathbb{Q} , respectively, of f(x) and a number field K. If f(x) is irreducible, with $f(\theta) = 0$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, then [5]

(1.1)
$$\Delta(f) = \left[\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]\right]^2 \Delta(K),$$

where \mathbb{Z}_K is the ring of integers of K. We define a monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ to be monogenic if f(x) is irreducible and $\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$, or equivalently from (1.1), that $\Delta(f) = \Delta(K)$. When f(x) is monogenic, $\{1, \theta, \theta^2, \ldots, \theta^{\deg(f)-1}\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{Z}_K , commonly referred to as a *power basis*. The existence of a power basis facilitates computations in \mathbb{Z}_K , as in the case of the cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_n(x)$ [39]. A number field K is defined to be *mongenic* if there exists a power basis for \mathbb{Z}_K .

We caution the reader concerning two items. Certainly, the monogenicity of f(x)implies the monogenicity of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $f(\theta) = 0$. However, the converse is not necessarily true. For example, let $f(x) = x^2 - 5$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $\theta = \sqrt{5}$. Then, easy calculations show that $\Delta(f) = 20$ and $\Delta(K) = 5$. Thus, f(x) is not monogenic, but nevertheless, K is monogenic since $\{1, (\theta + 1)/2\}$ is a power basis for \mathbb{Z}_K . A second item of concern is the following. We see from (1.1) that if $\Delta(f)$ is

Date: April 30, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R21, 11R32.

Key words and phrases. monogenic, octic, Galois.

LENNY JONES

squarefree, then f(x) is monogenic. However, the converse is false in general, and when $\Delta(f)$ is not squarefree, it can be quite difficult to determine whether f(x) is monogenic.

In a series of recent articles [1,2,4], a complete classification of the Galois groups was given for irreducible polynomials

(1.2)
$$\mathcal{F}(x) := x^8 + ax^4 + b \in \mathbb{Q}[x],$$

while a partial classification was given for irreducible polynomials

(1.3)
$$\mathcal{G}(x) := x^8 + ax^6 + bx^4 + ax^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x], \quad a \neq 0.$$

These classifications provide $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G})$, the Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} of $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$, respectively, by determining whether certain expressions involving only the coefficients of $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$ are, or are not, rational squares. Using the standard "8TX"-notation for transitive groups of degree 8 as given in MAGMA and [3,6,32], the values of X that arise in the classifications in [1,2,4] for $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$ are, respectively,

(1.4)
$$X_{\mathcal{F}} := \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 22, 26\}$$
 and $X_{\mathcal{G}} := \{2, 3, 4, 9, 10\}.$

Using C_n to denote the cyclic group of order n, D_n to denote the dihedral group of order 2n, Q_8 to denote the quaterion group, \times to denote a direct product, \rtimes to denote a semi-direct product, . to denote a non-split extension, \circ to denote a central product, \wr to denote a wreath product and Hol to denote the holomorph, we provide in Table 1 some more-familiar names for the groups in (1.4).

In this article, for each value of X in (1.4), we either construct an infinite family of monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ or $\mathcal{G}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ having Galois group 8TX, or we prove that at most finitely many such monogenic polynomials exist. By a "family" we mean that no two polynomials in the family generate isomorphic octic fields.

If $\operatorname{Gal}(g) \simeq 4T3 \simeq D_4$, where $g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + bx^2 + ax + 1$, then in the existing partial classification of the Galois groups of $\mathcal{G}(x)$ found in [4], no set of conditions on a and b is given to distinguish between $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8T9$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8T18 \simeq C_2^2 \wr C_2$. Deriving such conditions on a and b is difficult since it requires determining whether certain algebraic integers in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ are perfect squares in K, where $\mathcal{G}(\theta) = 0$. However, since the square root of an algebraic integer is also an algebraic integer, we only need to show that these algebraic integers are perfect squares in \mathbb{Z}_K . Thus, our strategy here is to isolate infinite subsets of the coefficients (a, b) so that $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is monogenic. Then, $\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ for these infinite subsets, and the computations become much more tractable. This approach allows us to provide a minor contribution to the classification of the Galois groups of $\mathcal{G}(x)$ by giving simple conditions on the coefficients of $\mathcal{G}(x)$ to make the distinction between the Galois groups 8T9 and 8T18 in the context of $\mathcal{G}(x)$ being monogenic.

More precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{F}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\mathcal{G}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be as defined in (3.27) and (1.3).

- (1) For each $X \in \{9, 15, 17, 26\}$, there exists at least one infinite family of monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ having Galois group 8TX. For all other values of $X \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$, there exist at most finitely many monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ having Galois group 8TX.
- (2) For each $X \in X_{\mathcal{G}}$, there exist at most finitely many monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{G}(x)$ having Galois group 8TX.
- (3) If $\mathcal{D} := (b+2-2a)(b+2+2a)(a^2-4b+8)$ is squarefree, and

 $(a \mod 4, b \mod 4) \in \{(1,3), (3,1), (3,3)\},\$

then $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is monogenic and

$$\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \begin{cases} 8\mathrm{T9} & \text{if } b = 2a - 1\\ 8\mathrm{T18} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, there exist infinitely many integer pairs (a, b) for which each case of item (3) of the theorem is valid.

The literature involving the construction of monogenic or non-monogenic polynomials is fairly extensive [7, 10, 14–16, 18–23, 25–29, 31, 35–37] check list. However, the approach used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 differs from most previously-addressed situations in that each monogenic family is constructed with the specific goal that each polynomial in the family has the same Galois group. We do point out that a similar approach was carried out in [10] for monogenic quartic polynomials and their Galois groups. Of course, in the quartic case, a complete classification of the Galois groups is known [30].

Another distinction of Theorem 1.1 is the fact that, for certain groups G, we also establish the nonexistence of a monogenic polynomial $\mathcal{F}(x)$ or $\mathcal{G}(x)$ having Galois group G. Consequently, Theorem 1.1 is somewhat more in line with the following theorem of Gras [8]:

Theorem 1.2. [8] Let ℓ be a prime, and let K be a degree- ℓ cyclic extension of \mathbb{Q} . If $\ell \geq 5$, then \mathbb{Z}_K does not have a power basis except in the case when $2\ell + 1$ is prime and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2\ell+1} + \zeta_{2\ell+1}^{-1})$, the maximal real subfield of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2\ell+1})$, where $\Phi_{2\ell+1}(\zeta_{2\ell+1}) = 0$.

By providing two examples, we illustrate how Theorem 1.2 fits into the framework of Theorem 1.1. Suppose first that $\ell = 7$. Then, since $2 \cdot 7 + 1 = 15$ is not prime, Theorem 1.2 tells us that no monogenic polynomial of degree 7 exists having Galois group $7T1 = C_7$. However, if $\ell = 5$, then $2 \cdot 5 + 1 = 11$ is prime, and we conclude from Theorem 1.2 that there exists a degree-5 monogenic polynomial f(x)having Galois group $5T1 = C_5$. For example, if $f(x) = x^5 + x^4 - 4x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x + 1$, which is the minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} of $\alpha = \zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^{-1}$, where ζ_{11} is a primitive 11th root of unity, then f(x) is monogenic and $\operatorname{Gal}(f) \simeq 5T1$. Moreover, Theorem 1.2 says that f(x) is essentially the *only* such polynomial, in the sense that if g(x)is a degree-5 monogenic polynomial with $g(x) \neq f(x)$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(g) \simeq 5T1$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where $g(\beta) = 0$.

2. Preliminaries

The next theorem follows from [33].

Theorem 2.1. Let $N \ge 0$ be an integer. Then $2^N - 1$ is a square if and only if $N \in \{0, 1\}$.

The formula for the discriminant of an arbitrary monic trinomial, due to Swan [38, Theorem 2], is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f(x) = x^n + Ax^m + B \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, where 0 < m < n, and let $d = \gcd(n, m)$. Then

$$\Delta(f) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} B^{m-1} \left(n^{n/d} B^{(n-m)/d} - (-1)^{n/d} (n-m)^{(n-m)/d} m^{m/d} A^{n/d} \right)^d$$

The next two theorems are transcriptions due to Awtrey and Patane [2] of the more-algorithmic classifications of $\mathcal{F}(x)$ given in [4].

Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathcal{F}(x)$ be as defined in (3.27). Suppose that b and \sqrt{b} are squares. Then $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to

- (1) 8T2 if and only if $-a^2 + 4b$ is a square,
- (2) 8T3 if and only if $a + 2\sqrt{b}$ is a square,
- (3) 8T4 if and only if $a 2\sqrt{b}$ is a square,
- (4) 8T9 if and only if none of $-a^2 + 4b$, $a + 2\sqrt{b}$ and $a 2\sqrt{b}$ is a square.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\mathcal{F}(x)$ be as defined in (3.27). Suppose that b is a square and \sqrt{b} is not a square. Then $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to

- (1) 8T2 if and only if $a + 2\sqrt{b}$ and $a\sqrt{b} 2b$ are both squares,
- (2) 8T4 if and only if both quantities in one of the following pairs are squares:

$$(a + 2\sqrt{b}, -a\sqrt{b} + 2b), \quad (a - 2\sqrt{b}, a\sqrt{b} + 2b), \quad (-a\sqrt{b} + 2b, a\sqrt{b} + 2b).$$

(3) 8T9 if and only if $(a - 4b)\sqrt{b}$ is a not a square, and exactly one of

$$a + 2\sqrt{b}$$
, $a - 2\sqrt{b}$, $-a\sqrt{b} + 2b$, $a\sqrt{b} + 2b$

is a square.

4

(4) 8T11 if and only if neither $-a\sqrt{b}+2b$ nor $a\sqrt{b}+2b$ is a square, and exactly one of

$$-a^{2} + 4b, \quad -a\sqrt{b} - 2b, \quad (a^{2} - 4b)\sqrt{b}, \quad a\sqrt{b} - 2b, \quad (4b - a^{2})\sqrt{b}$$

is a square,

(5) 8T22 if and only if none of

$$a + 2\sqrt{b}, \quad a - 2\sqrt{b}, \quad -a\sqrt{b} + 2b, \quad a\sqrt{b} + 2b,$$
$$-a^2 + 4b, \quad -a\sqrt{b} - 2b, \quad (a^2 - 4b)\sqrt{b}, \quad a\sqrt{b} - 2b, \quad (4b - a^2)\sqrt{b}$$
is a square.

The next theorem is a compilation of the classifications given in [1] and [2].

Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathcal{F}(x)$ be as defined in (3.27). Suppose that b is not a square.

- (1) Then $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T16$ if and only if $b(a^2 4b)$ is a square.
- (2) Then Gal(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T17 if and only if $b(a^2 4b)$ is not a square and $4b a^2$ is a square.
- (3) Suppose further that neither $b(a^2 4b)$ nor $4b a^2$ is a square.

(a) Then $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\mathrm{T6}$ if and only if one of

$$2\sqrt{-b}$$
, $4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$, $4b - 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$

is a nonzero square.

(b) Then Gal(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T8 if and only if $2(a^2 - 4b)\sqrt{-b}$ or $-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$

$$2(a - 4b)\sqrt{-b}$$
 or $-4b + 2\sqrt{-b}(a^2)$

is a nonzero square.

(c) Then Gal(\mathcal{F}) $\simeq 8T15$ if and only if -b or $-b(a^2-4b)$ is a square, and none of

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2\sqrt{-b}, & 2(a^2-4b)\sqrt{-b}, & 4b+2\sqrt{-b(a^2-4b)}, \\ & 4b-2\sqrt{-b(a^2-4b)}, & -4b+2\sqrt{-b(a^2-4b)} \\ is a nonzero square. \end{array}$$

(d) Then $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T26}$ if and only if neither $-b \text{ nor } -b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square.

The next theorem is a compilation of the classifications given in [4] for $\mathcal{G}(x)$ as defined in (1.3). We define

(2.1)
$$W_1 := b + 2 - 2a, \quad W_2 := b + 2 + 2a, \quad W_3 := \frac{b - 6 + \sqrt{W_1 W_2}}{2},$$
$$W_4 := \frac{b - 6 - \sqrt{W_1 W_2}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad W_5 := a^2 - 4b + 8.$$

Theorem 2.6. Let $\mathcal{G}(x)$ be as defined in (1.3).

- (1) Suppose that W_1W_2 is a square. Then
 - (a) Gal(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8T2 if and only if W_2 , W_3 and W_4 are not squares, and W_2W_5 is a square,
 - (b) $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8\mathrm{T3}$ if and only if W_2 is a square,
 - (c) $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8\mathrm{T4}$ if and only if W_2 is not a square and W_3 or W_4 is a square,
 - (d) $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8\mathrm{T9}$ otherwise.
 - (2) Suppose that W_1W_2 is not a square and $W_1W_2W_5$ is a square. Then
 - (a) $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8\mathrm{T2}$ if and only if W_1 or W_2 is a square,
 - (b) $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8 \operatorname{T10}$ otherwise.

The following theorem, known as *Dedekind's Index Criterion*, or simply *Dedekind's Criterion* if the context is clear, is a standard tool used in determining the monogenicity of a polynomial.

Theorem 2.7 (Dedekind [5]). Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be a number field, $T(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ the monic minimal polynomial of θ , and \mathbb{Z}_K the ring of integers of K. Let q be a prime number and let $\overline{*}$ denote reduction of * modulo q (in $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[x]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$). Let

$$\overline{T}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \overline{\tau_i}(x)^{e_i}$$

be the factorization of T(x) modulo q in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, and set

$$h_1(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k \tau_i(x),$$

where the $\tau_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ are arbitrary monic lifts of the $\overline{\tau_i}(x)$. Let $h_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic lift of $\overline{T}(x)/\overline{h_1}(x)$ and set

$$F(x) = \frac{h_1(x)h_2(x) - T(x)}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Then

$$[\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]] \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q} \iff \gcd\left(\overline{F}, \overline{h_1}, \overline{h_2}\right) = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_q[x].$$

The next result is the specific case for our octic situation of a "streamlined" version of Dedekind's index criterion for trinomials that is due to Jakhar, Khanduja and Sangwan [13].

Theorem 2.8. [13] Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be an algebraic number field with $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, the ring of integers of K, having minimal polynomial $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + ax^4 + b$ over \mathbb{Q} . A prime factor q of $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{16}b^3(a^2 - 4b)^4$ does not divide $[\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]$ if and only if all of the following statements are true:

(1) if $q \mid a$ and $q \mid b$, then $q^2 \nmid b$; (2) if $q \mid a$ and $q \nmid b$, then

either $q \mid a_2 \text{ and } q \nmid b_1 \quad \text{ or } \quad q \nmid a_2 \left(-ba_2^2 - b_1^2 \right),$

where $a_2 = a/q$ and $b_1 = \frac{b+(-b)^{q^j}}{q}$ with $q^j \mid\mid 8$; (3) if $q \nmid a$ and $q \mid b$, then

either
$$q \mid a_1 \text{ and } q \nmid b_2$$
 or $q \nmid a_1 b_2^3 (-aa_1 + b_2)$,

where
$$a_1 = \frac{a + (-a)^{q^e}}{q}$$
 with $q^e \parallel 4$, and $b_2 = b/q$;
(4) if $q = 2$ and $2 \nmid ab$, then the polynomials

$$H_1(x) := x^2 + ax + b$$
 and $H_2(x) := \frac{ax^4 + b + (-ax - b)^4}{2}$

are coprime modulo 2;

(5) if $q \nmid 2ab$, then $q^2 \nmid (a^2 - 4b)$.

Note that the conditions in Theorem 2.8 are mutually exclusive and exhaustive, and so it is enough to verify the single appropriate condition is true for each particular prime divisor q of $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$.

Remark 2.9. We will find both Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8 useful in our investigations.

Theorem 2.10. Let $G(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, and suppose that G(t) factors into a product of distinct non-constant polynomials $\gamma_i(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ that are irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , such that the degree of each $\gamma_i(t)$ is at most 3. Define

 $N_G(X) = |\{p \le X : p \text{ is prime and } G(p) \text{ is squarefree}\}|.$

Then,

(2.2)
$$N_G(X) \sim C_G \frac{X}{\log(X)},$$

where

(2.3)
$$C_G = \prod_{\substack{\ell \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_G\left(\ell^2\right)}{\ell(\ell-1)} \right)$$

and $\rho_G(\ell^2)$ is the number of $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $G(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^2}$.

Remark 2.11. Theorem 2.10 follows from work of Helfgott, Hooley and Pasten [11, 12, 34]. For more details, see the discussion following [20, Theorem 2.11].

Definition 2.12. In the context of Theorem 2.10, for $G(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and a prime ℓ , if $G(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^2}$ for all $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z})^*$, we say that G(t) has a local obstruction at ℓ . A polynomial $G(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is said to have no local obstructions, if for every prime ℓ there exists some $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $G(z) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^2}$.

Note that $C_G > 0$ in (2.3) if and only if G(t) has no local obstructions. Consequently, it follows that $N_G(X) \to \infty$ as $X \to \infty$ in (2.2), when G(t) has no local obstructions. Hence, we have the following immediate corollary of Theorem 2.10.

Corollary 2.13. Let $G(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, and suppose that G(t) factors into a product of distinct non-constant polynomials $\gamma_i(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ that are irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , such that the degree of each $\gamma_i(t)$ is at most 3. To avoid the situation when $C_G = 0$ (in (2.3)), we suppose further that G(t) has no local obstructions. Then there exist infinitely many primes p such that G(p) is squarefree.

The following lemma, which generalizes a discussion found in [22], will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.14. Let $G(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ with $\deg(G) = N$, and suppose that G(t) factors into a product of distinct non-constant polynomials that are irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , such that the degree of each factor is at most 3. If G(t) has an obstruction at the prime ℓ , then $\ell \leq (N_{\ell}+2)/2$, where N_{ℓ} is the number of not-necessarily distinct non-constant linear factors of G(t) in $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}[t]$.

Proof. Since no factors of G(t) in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ are constant, we can assume that the content of every factor of G(t) is 1. Furthermore, since a nonlinear factor of $G(t) \pmod{\ell}$ never has a zero in $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z})^*$, we can also assume, without loss of generality, that G(t) factors completely into N, not-necessarily distinct, non-constant linear factors in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$. Thus,

(2.4)
$$G(t) \equiv c \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (t-j)^{e_j} \pmod{\ell},$$

where $c \neq 0 \pmod{\ell}$, $e_j \geq 0$ for each j and $N = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} e_j$. Observe that if $e_j = 0$ for some $j \neq 0$ in (2.4), then $G(j) \neq 0 \pmod{\ell^2}$, contradicting the fact that G(t) has an obstruction at the prime ℓ . If $e_j = 1$ for some $j \neq 0$ in (2.4), then the zero j of $x - j \pmod{\ell}$ lifts to the unique zero j of $x - j \pmod{\ell^2}$. Thus, $G(j + \ell) \neq 0 \pmod{\ell^2}$, again contradicting the fact that G(t) has an obstruction at the prime ℓ . Hence, $e_j \geq 2$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$. Assume, by way of contradiction, that $\ell > (N + 2)/2$. Then

$$2(\ell - 1) > N = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell - 1} e_j = e_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell - 1} e_j \ge e_0 + 2(\ell - 1),$$

which is impossible, and the proof is complete.

LENNY JONES

3. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We follow closely the classifications given in Theorems 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6. We use Theorem 2.8 for the proof of item (1), and Theorem 2.7 for the proof of items (2) and (3) to determine the monogenic polynomials.

We begin with the proof of item (1). From Theorem 2.2, we have that

(3.1)
$$\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{16}b^3(a^2 - 4b)^4.$$

We see from the classification for $\mathcal{F}(x)$ [1,2,4] that $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ in (1.4) can be partitioned into the two sets

(3.2)
$$X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\sqcup} = \{2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 22\}$$
 and $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\sqcup} = \{6, 8, 15, 16, 17, 26\},$

where each element in $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\square}$ arises from polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ with b a square, while each element in $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\square}$ arises from polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ with b not a square. This partitioning is useful for the following reason. Suppose that b is a square, and q is a prime that divides b. We see then that condition (1) of Theorem 2.8 is false if $q \mid a$, while condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false if $q \nmid a$. Thus, the only possible monogenic polynomials in these cases must have b = 1. Consequently, we have immediately that the situations in the classification where b is a square and \sqrt{b} is not a square (see Theorem 2.4) have no monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$. In particular, there are no monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ having Galois group 8T11 or 8T22.

We systematically proceed through the values of X in $X_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \{11, 22\}$, beginning with the values of X in $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\Box} \setminus \{11, 22\}$ given in (3.2).

3.1. Values of X in $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\square} \setminus \{11, 22\}$. We assume that b = 1, and we use Theorem 2.3.

X=2. We assume that $Gal(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T2$. Then, from Theorem 2.3, we have that $-a^2 + 4$ is a square. If q is an odd prime prime dividing $-a^2 + 4$, we have that $q \nmid 2a$, and we conclude that condition (5) of Theorem 2.8 is false since $-a^2 + 4$ is a square. Thus, we must have that $-a^2 + 4 = 2^{2m}$, for some integer $m \ge 0$. Therefore,

$$4 - 2^{2m} = a^2 \ge 0,$$

which implies that m = 1 and a = 0. Hence, the only monogenic polynomial is this case is the cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{16}(x) = x^8 + 1$.

X=3. We assume that $Gal(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T3$. By Theorem 2.3, we have that a + 2 is a square. Suppose that q is an odd prime dividing a + 2. Then $q \nmid 2a$, and since a + 2 is a square, we deduce that $q^2 \mid (a^2 - 4)$, making condition (5) of Theorem 2.8 false.

Hence, $a + 2 = 2^{2m}$, for some integer $m \ge 0$. If $m \ge 1$, then $2 \mid a$, but $4 \nmid a$. Thus, $2 \nmid a_2$ and $b_1 = 1$. Since

$$a_2 \left(a_2^2 - (-1)^2 \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

we conclude that condition (2) of Theorem 2.8 is false. If m = 0, then a = -1, and it is easy to check that $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 - x^4 + 1$ is indeed monogenic. Therefore, there is exactly one monogenic polynomial in this situation.

X=4. We assume that $Gal(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T4$. Using Theorem 2.3, the approach is similar to X=3, and so we omit the details. The only monogenic in this case is $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + 3x^4 + 1$.

X=9. We employ a slightly different strategy here. Let G(t) = (4t + 1)(4t + 5). We claim that G(t) has no obstructions. By Lemma 2.14, we only have to check for obstructions at the prime $\ell = 2$, which is easily confirmed since $G(1) \neq 0 \pmod{4}$. Hence, by Corollary 2.13, there exist infinitely many primes p such that G(p) is squarefree. Let p be such a prime. We claim that $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + (4p + 3)x^4 + 1$ is monogenic with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \operatorname{XT9}$. We see from [22, Lemma 3.1] that $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is irreducible. Since (4p + 1)(4p + 5) is squarefree, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \operatorname{XT9}$. We use Theorem 2.8 to verify that $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is monogenic. Note that

$$\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{16}(4p+1)^4(4p+5)^4$$

from (3.1). If q is an odd prime dividing $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$, then $q \nmid 2(4p+3)$; and every condition of Theorem 2.8 is true, including condition (5) since (4p+1)(4p+5) is squarefree. If q = 2, then $2 \nmid (4p+3)$ and we need to check condition (4) of Theorem 2.8. An easy calculation reveals that

$$H_2(x) = \frac{(4p+3)x^4 + 1 + (-(4p+3)x - 1)^4}{2} \equiv (x+1)^2 \pmod{2}.$$

Since $H_1(x) = x^2 + (4p+3)x + 1 \equiv x^2 + x + 1 \pmod{2}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, we deduce that condition (4) of Theorem 2.8 is true. Thus, $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is monogenic. By equating discriminants, Maple confirms that there does not exist a prime p' such that the octic field generated by $x^8 + (4p'+3)x^4 + 1$ is isomorphic to the octic field generated by $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + (4p+3)x^4 + 1$. Thus, the set of all such $\mathcal{F}(x)$, where (4p+1)(4p+5) is squarefree does indeed represent an infinite family of distinct monogenic 8T9-octic trinomials.

Remark 3.1. We point out to the reader that the family of monogenic polynomials described above does not constitute all monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + ax^4 + b$ with $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T9}$.

3.2. Values of X in $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\square}$. We turn now to values of X in $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\square}$ given in (3.2). We assume that b is not a square, and we use Theorem 2.5.

X=6. Following Theorem 2.5, we suppose that neither $b(a^2 - 4b)$ nor $4b - a^2$ is a square, and that $Gal(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T6$. Then one of

(3.3)
$$2\sqrt{-b}, \quad 4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}, \quad 4b - 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$$

is a nonzero square.

We consider the three possibilities in (3.3) one at a time, but first we make the following useful observation. Suppose that q is a prime such that $q^2 \mid b$. If $q \mid a$, then condition (1) of Theorem 2.8 is false. If $q \nmid a$, then $b_2 = b/q \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, which implies that condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false. Hence, if $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is monogenic, then b must be squarefree. Thus, since -b is squarefree, we see easily that the first possibility in (3.3) is impossible.

Suppose next that $4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$ is a nonzero square, which implies that $-b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square. Let

$$d := \gcd(-b, a^2 - 4b).$$

Consider first the case when -b > 0. If d = 1, then $a^2 - 4b$ is a square, since $-b(a^2-4b)$ is a square, which yields the contradiction that $\mathcal{F}(x) = (x^4)^2 + a(x^4) + b$ is reducible. Thus, d > 1. Suppose that d has exactly $n \ge 1$ distinct prime divisors

LENNY JONES

 $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_n$. Then $d = \prod_{i=1}^n r_i$ since b is squarefree. Furthermore, since $-b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square and $gcd(-b/d, (a^2 - 4b)/d) = 1$, it follows that

$$-b = d$$
 and $a^2 - 4b = Sd$,

where S is a square. Thus,

(3.4)
$$a^2 = (S-4)d,$$

which implies that $S \ge 4$. Let q be a prime divisor of S, so that $q^2 \mid (a^2 - 4b)$ since S is a square. Suppose that $q \ge 3$. Observe that if $q \mid a$ or $q \mid b$, then $q^2 \mid b$, which contradicts the fact that b is squarefree. Therefore, it must be that q = 2, and we can assume that $S = 2^{2k}$, for some integer $k \ge 1$. Thus, $2 \mid a$, and we have from (3.4) that

(3.5)
$$(a/2)^2 = d(4^{k-1} - 1).$$

Suppose that $2 \mid b$. Then $r_1 = 2$ and

(3.6)
$$(a/2)^2 = 2\left(\prod_{i=2}^n r_i\right) \left(4^{k-1} - 1\right).$$

If k > 1, then $4^{k-1} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ which implies that (3.6) is impossible, since the right-hand side of (3.6) is not a square. If k = 1, then a = 0, $-b(a^2 - 4b) = 4d^2$ and

(3.7)
$$4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)} = -4d + 2(2d) = 0,$$

contradicting the fact that $4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$ is a nonzero square.

We conclude therefore that $2 \nmid b$. It k > 1, then we see from (3.32) that $2 \parallel a$ and $(a/2)^2 \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Thus, condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false since

$$\frac{a}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
 and $-b\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^2 - \left(-\frac{b+b^8}{2}\right)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

It follows that there are no monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ in the case when -b > 0.

Consider next the case that b > 0. Then $4b - a^2 > 0$, and since $-b(a^2 - 4b) = b(4b - a^2)$ is a square, we get the contradiction that b is a square if d = 1. Hence, d > 1. Arguing as in the case -b > 0, we arrive at

(3.8)
$$(a/2)^2 = (1 - 4^{k-1})d.$$

Thus, k = 1 and a = 0. Then

$$4b + 2\sqrt{b(4b - a^2)} = 8b,$$

which implies that b = 2 since 8b is a nonzero square and b is squarefree. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + 2$ is monogenic and $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T6}$. Thus, there is only one mongenic polynomial $\mathcal{F}(x)$ in this case.

The arguments for the final possibility from (3.3) are similar to the previous possibility, and so we omit the details. No further monogenic polynomials exist for this possibility.

X=8. Following Theorem 2.5, we assume that none of b, $b(a^2 - 4b)$ and $4b - a^2$ is a square, that either -b or $-b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square, and that $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T8}$. Then either

$$2(a^2 - 4b)\sqrt{-b}$$
 or $-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$

is a nonzero square.

We assume first that $2(a^2 - 4b)\sqrt{-b}$ is a nonzero square. Then -b is a square. Suppose that q is a prime dividing b. Then $q^2 \mid b$. Thus, condition (1) of Theorem 2.8 is false if $q \mid a$, while condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false if $q \nmid a$. Hence, b = -1, and $2(a^2 + 4)$ is a square. Suppose that $q \ge 3$ is a prime divisor of $a^2 + 4$. Then $q^2 \mid (a^2 + 4)$ and $q \nmid 2a$, which implies that condition (5) of Theorem 2.8 is false. Therefore, we may assume that $a^2 + 4 = 2^k$, for some odd integer $k \ge 3$. Then, if k > 3, we have that

$$(a/2)^2 = 2^{k-2} - 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

which is impossible. Hence, k = 3 and $a = \pm 2$. It is easy to verify that the two trinomials $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 \pm 2x^4 - 1$ are indeed monogenic and $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T8}$. However, Magma confirms that they generate isomorphic octic fields, distinct from the octic field generated by $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 - 2$.

Next, we assume that $-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$ is a nonzero square. It follows that $-b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square. Suppose that q is a prime divisor of b. If $q^2 \mid b$, then condition (1) of Theorem 2.8 is false if $q \mid a$, while condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false if $q \nmid a$. Hence, we assume that b is squarefree. Thus, if $2 \mid b$, then $2 \mid (a^2 - 4b)$ since $-b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square. Hence, $2 \mid a$. Suppose that $2 \nmid b$. If $2 \nmid a$, then $-b(a^2 - 4b) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, so that

$$-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)} \equiv 2 \pmod{4},$$

which is impossible since $-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$ is a square. Hence, $2 \mid a$ for any value of b. Also, if b > 0, then $4b^2 - a^2b < 4b^2$, so that

$$-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)} < -4b + 2\sqrt{4b^2} = 0,$$

which is impossible since $-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$ is a square. Thus, b < 0.

Next, let $q \ge 3$ be a prime divisor of $a^2 - 4b$, and suppose that $q^2 \mid (a^2 - 4b)$. Observe that if $q \mid a$ or $q \mid b$, then $q^2 \mid b$, which contradicts the fact that b is squarefree. Hence, since $2 \mid a$, we have that

$$a^2 - 4b = 2^k$$
 or $a^2 - 4b = 2^k \prod_{i=1}^n r_i$,

where $k \ge 2$, $n \ge 1$ and the r_i are distinct odd primes. Note that if $a^2 - 4b = 2^k$ with $2 \mid k$, then -b = 1 since b is squarefree and $-b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square, which contradicts the fact that -b is not a square. Therefore, we have that $2 \nmid k$ for the possibility $a^2 - 4b = 2^k$. Hence, since

$$-b(a^2 - 4b) = -b\left(2^k \prod_{i=1}^n r_i\right),$$

is a square, it follows that the possibilities for -b are

$$-b \in \left\{2, \quad 2\prod_{i=1}^{n}r_i, \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n}r_i\right\}.$$

The possibility -b = 2 occurs if $a^2 - 4b = 2^k$, where $2 \nmid k$. That is, we have $a^2 = 2^3(2^{k-3} - 1)$, which implies that k = 3, a = 0 and $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 - 2$. It is easily verified that $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is monogenic with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T8}$.

The next possibility is $-b = 2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i$ yields

$$a^{2} = 2^{2} \left(-2b\right) \left(2^{k-3} - 1\right),$$

which implies that k = 3 and a = 0. But then,

$$-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)} = 2^3(-2b),$$

which contradicts the fact that $-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$ is a square. Hence, there are no monogenic polynomials for this possibility.

The last possibility is $-b = \prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i$. In this case, we have that

$$a^{2} = 2^{2}(-b)\left(2^{k-2} - 1\right)$$

and

$$-4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)} = 2^2(-b)\left(2^{(k-2)/2} + 1\right).$$

Hence,

$$A := (-b) (2^{k-2} - 1)$$
 and $B := (-b) (2^{(k-2)/2} + 1)$,

are squares. Thus, AB is a square, which implies that

$$(2^{k-2}-1)(2^{(k-2)/2}+1) = (2^{(k-2)/2}-1)(2^{(k-2)/2}+1)^2,$$

is a square, which in turn implies that $2^{(k-2)/2} - 1$ is a square. By Theorem 2.1, it follows that $k \in \{2, 4\}$. When k = 2, we get that B = -2b, which contradicts the fact that B is a square. When k = 4, we get that b = -3, $a = \pm 6$ and $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 \pm 6x^4 - 3$. Although $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T8}$ for both of these polynomials, neither one is monogenic, which can be seen in the following way. Observe that $2 \mid a$ and $2 \nmid b$. Hence, since

$$a_2 = a/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
 and $b_1 = \frac{-b + (-b)^8}{2} = \frac{-3 + (-3)^8}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,

we see that $-ba_2^2 - b_1^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and therefore, condition (2) of Theorem 2.8 is false with q = 2.

In conclusion, there are exactly two monogenic polynomials

$$\mathcal{F}(x) \in \{x^8 - 2x^4 - 1, x^8 - 2\}$$

with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\mathrm{T8}$.

X=15. Following Theorem 2.5, we assume that none of b, $b(a^2 - 4b)$ and $4b - a^2$ is a square, and that $Gal(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T15$. Then, either -b or $-b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square, and none of

$$2\sqrt{-b}, \quad 2(a^2 - 4b)\sqrt{-b}, \quad 4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}, \\ 4b - 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}, \quad -4b + 2\sqrt{-b(a^2 - 4b)}$$

is a nonzero square.

We assume first that -b is a square. Suppose that q is a prime dividing b. Then $q^2 \mid b$. Thus, condition (1) of Theorem 2.8 is false if $q \mid a$, while condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false if $q \nmid a$. Hence, b = -1. Let $D := a^2 + 4$, and let $q \ge 3$ be a prime divisor of D. Note that $q \nmid 2a$. If $q^2 \mid D$, then condition (5) of Theorem 2.8 is false. Thus, we may assume that $D/2^{\nu_2(D)}$ is squarefree. If $4 \mid a$, then $a_2 \equiv 0$

(mod 2), and since $b_1 = 0$, we see that condition (2) of Theorem 2.8 is false with q = 2. Hence, we can also assume that $4 \nmid a$. Thus,

(3.9)
$$D = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 2^3 \prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where $n \ge 1$ and the r_i are distinct odd primes. Define

(3.10)
$$G(t) := \begin{cases} t^2 + 4 & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ t^2 + 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.14, we only have to check for obstructions at the prime $\ell = 2$. Since $G(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ in each case of G(t) in (3.10), we deduce that G(t) has no obstructions. Hence, from Corollary 2.13, it follows that there exist infinitely many values of a, in each case of a in (3.9), for which such a value of D exists.

We claim if $4 \nmid a, b = -1$ and D is as defined in (3.9), together with the restrictions set forth above from Theorem 2.5, then $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + ax^4 - 1$ is monogenic with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T15}$. From (3.1), we have that $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = -2^{16}D^4$. To establish the claim, suppose first that q is a prime divisor of D. If $q \geq 3$, then $q \nmid 2ab$, and it is easy to see that condition (5) and, consequently, all other conditions of Theorem 2.8 are true for q. If q = 2, then $2 \mid a$ and we see that $2 \nmid a_2$ and $2 \nmid (a_2^2 - b_1^2)$ since $b_1 = 0$. Hence, in particular, condition (2) of Theorem 2.8 is true, and so all conditions of Theorem 2.8 are true. Finally, suppose that q = 2 and $2 \nmid D$. Then $2 \nmid a$ and we examine condition (4) of Theorem 2.8, where

$$H_1(x) := x^2 + ax - 1$$
 and $H_2(x) := \frac{ax^4 - 1 + (-ax + 1)^4}{2}$.

Since $H_1(x) \equiv x^2 + x + 1 \pmod{2}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ and

$$H_2(x) \equiv \begin{cases} x^2(x+1)^2 \pmod{2} & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \\ x^2 \pmod{2} & \text{if } a \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

it follows that $H_1(x)$ and $H_2(x)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, which establishes the claim. Moreover, this argument proves that these polynomials account for all monogenics $\mathcal{F}(x)$ with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T15$.

Finally, with a > 0, together with the restrictions on a set forth above, we claim that the set $S := \{\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + ax^4 - 1\}$ is an infinite family of monogenic 8T15octic trinomials. The additional restriction of a > 0 is due to the fact that the octic field generated by $x^8 - ax^4 - 1$ is isomorphic to the octic field generated by $x^8 + ax^4 - 1$. Then, comparing discriminants using Maple, it is easy to confirm that the trinomials in S generate distinct octic fields.

X=16. Following Theorem 2.5, we assume that b is not a square and $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T16}$, so that $b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square. Let $d = \operatorname{gcd}(b, a^2 - 4b)$, and let q be a prime divisor of d. Then $q \mid a$. If $q^2 \mid b$, then condition (1) of Theorem 2.8 is false. Hence, we can assume that $q \mid | b$ so that d is squarefree. Next, suppose that $q \geq 3$ is a prime dividing $\hat{b} := b/d$, so that $q \nmid a$. If $q^2 \mid b$, then we see that condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false since $a_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = b/q \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. Thus, we may assume that $\hat{b}/2^{\nu_2(\hat{b})}$ is squarefree. Next, suppose that $q \geq 3$ is a prime divisor of $\hat{d} := (a^2 - 4b)/d$. Then $q \nmid a$ and so $q \nmid 2ab$. Hence, if $q^2 \mid \hat{d}$, then condition (5) of

Theorem 2.8 is false. Therefore, we can assume that $\hat{d}/2^{\nu_2(\hat{d})}$ is squarefree. Thus, since $b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square, it follows that

(3.11)
$$b = d\hat{b} = 2^c d \quad \text{and} \quad a^2 - 4b = d\hat{d} = 2^e d$$

for some nonegative integers c and e with $c \equiv e \pmod{2}$.

If $2 \mid d$, then $2 \mid a$ so that $2^2 \mid (a^2 - 4b)$. Note then that $2^2 \nmid b$, since d is squarefree. Thus, $2 \mid b$ and b = d. Hence, since $b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square, we have that $2^3 \mid (a^2 - 4b)$, which implies that $2^4 \mid a^2$. However, $2^4 \nmid (a^2 - 4b)$ since $2 \mid b$. Thus, $2^3 \mid (a^2 - 4b)$. Therefore, in this case, we have that c = 0, e = 2 and $a^2 = 8d$, which implies that b = d = 2 and $a = \pm 4$. It is straightforward to verify that the two polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 \pm 4x^4 + 2$ are both monogenic with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T16$.

Suppose next that $2 \nmid d.$ There are three cases to consider:

- (1) $2 \mid a \text{ and } 2 \nmid b$,
- $(2) \ 2 \nmid a \text{ and } 2 \mid b,$
- (3) $2 \nmid a \text{ and } 2 \nmid b$.

For case (1), we have from (3.11) that b = d and

$$(3.12) a^2 = 2^2(2^{e-2} + 1)d_1$$

where $e \ge 2$. Observe that the right-hand side of (3.12) is not a square if e = 2. If e = 3 in (3.12), then d = 3 = b, $a = \pm 6$, and $b(a^2 - 4b) = 72$, contradicting the fact that $b(a^2 - 4b)$ is a square. If $e \ge 4$ in (3.12), then $4 \nmid a$ and $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. An examination of condition (2) of Theorem 2.8 reveals then that $2 \nmid a_2$ and $2 \nmid b_1$, so that $2 \mid (a_2((-b)a_2^2 - b_1^2))$, which implies that condition (2) is false. Thus, there are no monogenic polynomials in this case.

For case (2), we see that $2 \nmid (a^2 - 4b)$. Hence, from (3.11), we have that $b = 2^c d$, for some $c \geq 1$, and $a^2 - 4b = d$. Then, since $2 \nmid d$ and $b(a^2 - 4b) = 2^c d^2$ is a square, we deduce that $c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ with $c \geq 2$. Thus, $2 \mid b/2$, which implies that condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false, and there are no monogenic polynomials in this case.

Finally, for case (3), we see from (3.11) that $b = d = a^2 - 4b$. Hence, $a^2 = 5d$, which implies that d = b = 5, since d is squarefree, and $a = \pm 5$. Since $2 \nmid ab$, we examine condition (4) of Theorem 2.8 with q = 2 for each of the two polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 \pm 5x^4 + 5$. For $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + 5x^4 + 5$, we get

 $H_1(x) \equiv x^2 + x + 1 \pmod{2}$ and $H_2(x) \equiv (x^2 + x + 1)^2 \pmod{2}$,

so that $H_1(x)$ and $H_2(x)$ are not coprime in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + 5x^4 + 5$ is not monogenic. For $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 - 5x^4 + 5$, we get

 $H_1(x) \equiv x^2 + x + 1 \pmod{2}$ and $H_2(x) \equiv (x+1)^2 \pmod{2}$,

so that $H_1(x)$ and $H_2(x)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 - 5x^4 + 5$ is monogenic with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T16$.

Note that

$$\Delta(x^8 - 4x^4 + 2) = 2^{31} = \Delta(x^8 + 4x^4 + 2)$$

and $\Delta(x^8 - 5x^4 + 5) = 2^{16}5^7$, so that the octic field generated by $x^8 - 5x^4 + 5$ is distinct from the other two. All zeros of $x^8 - 4x^4 + 2$ are real, while all zeros of $x^8 + 4x^4 + 2$ are non-real, and Magma confirms that the respective octic fields are not isomorphic. Therefore, in summary, there are exactly three monogenic polynomials

$$\mathcal{F}(x) \in \{x^8 - 4x^4 + 2, x^8 + 4x^4 + 2, x^8 - 5x^4 + 5\}$$

with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T16$.

X=17. Following Theorem 2.5, we assume that b is not a square and $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T17}$, so that $b(a^2 - 4b)$ is not a square and $4b - a^2$ is a square. Note then that $a \neq 0$ since b is not a square. Let $d = \operatorname{gcd}(b, 4b - a^2)$. Suppose that d > 1 and let q be a prime divisor of d. Then $q \mid a$. If $q^2 \mid b$, then condition (1) of Theorem 2.8 is false. Hence, we can assume that $q \mid\mid b$, so that d is squarefree. Furthermore, if $q \geq 3$, then since $q^2 \mid a^2$ and $q \mid\mid b$, it follows that $q^2 \nmid (4b - a^2)$, contradicting the fact that $4b - a^2$ is a square. Thus, $d \in \{1, 2\}$.

Since

$$4b - a^2 \equiv -a^2 \pmod{4},$$

and $4b-a^2$ is a square, it follows that $2 \mid a$ and $b-(a/2)^2$ is a square. Suppose that $2 \mid (b-(a/2)^2)$. If $2 \mid (a/2)$, then $2^2 \mid (a/2)^2$. But $2^2 \nmid b$ so that $2^2 \nmid (b-(a/2)^2)$, contradicting the fact that $b-(a/2)^2$ is a square. Thus, $2 \nmid (a/2)$ and $2 \nmid b$. Then, $(a/2)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and since $2 \mid (b-(a/2)^2)$ and $b-(a/2)^2$ is a square, we deduce that $2^2 \mid (b-(a/2)^2)$ so that $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then,

$$b_1 = \frac{b + (-b)^8}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$(-b)(a/2)^2 - b_1^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

which implies that condition (2) of Theorem 2.8 is false. Hence, we can assume that $2 \nmid (b - (a/2)^2)$, and therefore, $2^2 \parallel (4b - a^2)$.

Next, suppose that $q \ge 3$ is a prime divisor of $4b - a^2$. We have shown above that $q \nmid b$, and therefore $q \nmid a$. Thus $q \nmid 2ab$. However, since $4b - a^2$ is a square, we have that $q^2 \mid (4b - a^2)$. Thus, condition (5) of Theorem 2.8 is false. Hence, for the monogenicity of $\mathcal{F}(x)$, we must have that $4b - a^2 = 4$.

Consequently, we have shown that if $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + ax^4 + b$ is monogenic with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T17$, then a = 2t and $b = t^2 + 1$, for some integer $t \neq 0$. In fact, with $t \neq 0$, we claim that

(3.13) $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + 2tx^4 + t^2 + 1$ is monogenic if and only if $t^2 + 1$ is squarefree.

To establish (3.13), we begin by noting that $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + 2tx^4 + t^2 + 1$ is irreducible by [9, Lemma 3.4] if $t^2 + 1$ is squarefree, since

$$(2t \mod 4, t^2 + 1 \mod 4) \in \{(0,1), (2,2)\}$$

and $t^2 + 1 \ge 2$. Note, by (3.1), that

(3.14)
$$\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{24} (t^2 + 1)^3.$$

Observe that if q = 2 is a divisor of $t^2 + 1$, then, since $q \mid 2t$, condition (1) of Theorem 2.8 is false if and only if $4 \mid (t^2 + 1)$. If q = 2 does not divide $t^2 + 1$, then $t^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and in this case, we examine condition (2) of Theorem 2.8. We see that

$$a_2 = t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$
 and $b_1 = \frac{t^2 + 1 + (-(t^2 + 1))^8}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$

Thus, condition (2) of Theorem 2.8 is true. Suppose next that $q \ge 3$ is a prime divisor of $t^2 + 1$. Then $q \nmid 2t$. Thus, we examine condition (3) of Theorem 2.8. Since q is odd, we see that $a_1 = 0$ and therefore, the second statement under condition (3) is false. So, to determine whether condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is true or false, we need to examine the first statement under condition (3). Thus, since $b_2 = (t^2 + 1)/q$,

we see that condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 is false if and only if $q^2 \mid (t^2 + 1)$, which establishes the claim (3.13).

Since, $G(1) \neq 0 \pmod{4}$ for $G(t) = t^2 + 1$, we conclude from Lemma 2.14 that G(t) has no local obstructions, and therefore, from Corollary 2.13, we have that there exist infinitely many values of t such that G(t) is squarefree. Thus, we have shown that there exist infinitely many monogenic trinomials $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + 2tx^4 + t^2 + 1$ with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T17}$. Moreover, comparing discriminants (3.14) for all such t > 0, we see that the octic fields they generate are distinct.

X=26. Computer evidence suggests that there is an abundance of monogenic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$ with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8\text{T26}$. We use a strategy similar to the case of 8T9 to construct one infinite family. Let $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + tx^4 + 3$. By (3.1), we have that

(3.15)
$$\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{16} 3^3 (t^2 - 12)^4.$$

Let $G(t) = t^2 - 12$. Since $G(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we see from Lemma 2.14 that G(t) has no local obstructions. Hence, by Corollary 2.13, there exist infinitely many primes p such that G(p) is squarefree. Let $p \geq 5$ be such a prime. We claim that

(3.16)
$$\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + px^4 + 3$$
 is monogenic with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T26$

Since

$$(p \pmod{4}, 3 \pmod{4}) \in \{(1,3), (3,3)\}$$

we have that $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is irreducible by [9, Lemma 3.4]. With a = p and b = 3, we have that

$$b = 3,$$

$$b(a^{2} - 4b) = 3(p^{2} - 12) \neq 0 \pmod{9}$$

$$4b - a^{2} = -(p^{2} - 12) < 0,$$

$$-b = -3 < 0 \text{ and}$$

$$-b(a^{2} - 4b) = -3(p^{2} - 12) < 0.$$

Hence, it follows from Theorem 2.5 that $Gal(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 8T26$.

To see that $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is monogenic, first let q = 2. Since $2 \nmid p$, we examine condition (4) of Theorem 2.8. Then

$$H_1(x) \equiv x^2 + x + 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and}$$

$$H_2(x) = p(p^2 - p + 1) \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) x^4 + 6p^3 x^3 + 27p^2 x^2 + 54px + 42$$

$$\equiv x^2 \left(\overline{\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} x + 1\right)^2 \pmod{2}.$$

Hence, $H_1(x)$ and $H_2(x)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$.

Next, let q = 3. We examine condition (3) of Theorem 2.8. Since $a_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = 1$, we see that the first statement under condition (3) is true.

Finally, let q be a prime divisor of p^2-12 . Then, $q \nmid 6p$, and we see that condition (5) of Theorem 2.8 is true since $p^2 - 12$ is squarefree. Therefore, the claim (3.16) is established.

Suppose now that p_1 and p_2 are primes with $5 \le p_1 < p_2$ such that $G(p_1)$ and $G(p_2)$ are squarefree, and that $\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{p_2}(x)$ generate isomorphic octic fields.

Then, since $\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{p_2}(x)$ are both monogenic, we must have that

(3.17)
$$2^{16}3^3(p_1^2 - 12)^4 = \Delta(\mathcal{F}_{p_1}) = \Delta(\mathcal{F}_{p_2}) = 2^{16}3^3(p_2^2 - 12)^4,$$

which contradicts the fact that $5 \leq p_1 < p_2$. We deduce that the set

 $\{\mathcal{F}(x) = x^8 + px^4 + 3 : p \ge 5 \text{ is prime with } p^2 - 12 \text{ squarefree}\},\$

is an infinite family of monogenic 8T26-octic trinomials $\mathcal{F}(x)$, which completes the proof of item (1).

We turn now to the proof of item (2). For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, we recall the definition of W_i given in (2.1). The strategy is somewhat different here. Following Theorem 2.6, we divide the proof into two parts, with the first part being the situation when W_1W_2 is a square, and the second part being the situation when W_1W_2 is not a square and $W_1W_2W_5$ is a square. For the first part, we have $X \in \{2, 3, 4, 9\}$, while $X \in \{2, 10\}$ for the second part. In certain situations, it will be convenient to examine the monogenicity of

(3.18)
$$g(x) := x^4 + ax^3 + bx^2 + ax + 1,$$

in light of the fact that

(3.19) if
$$g(x)$$
 is not monogenic, then $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is not monogenic

and we use Theorem 2.7 to do so. Straightforward computations reveal that

$$\Delta(g) = (b+2-2a)(b+2+2a)(a^2-4b+8)^2 = W_1 W_2 W_5^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(\mathcal{G}) = 2^8 \Delta(g)^2$$

 $\mathbf{X} \in \{2, 3, 4, 9\}$: $W_1 W_2$ is a square. We claim that g(x) and, consequently from (3.19), $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is never monogenic for any value of $\mathbf{X} \in \{2, 3, 4, 9\}$ when $W_1 W_2$ is a square. Note that $W_1 W_2 \neq 0$ since g(x) is irreducible. Furthermore, if $W_1 W_2 = 1$, then $W_1 = W_2$, so that a = 0, which contradicts our assumption that $a \neq 0$ from (1.3). Hence, $W_1 \neq W_2$, and since $W_1 W_2 > 1$ is a square, there exists some prime divisor q of $W_1 W_2$, such that $q^2 \mid W_1$ or $q^2 \mid W_2$. Since these two cases are similar, we give details only in the case $q^2 \mid W_1$. Then $b \equiv 2a - 2 \pmod{q}$ and

$$g(x) \equiv x^4 + ax^3 + (2a-2)x^2 + ax + 1 \equiv (x+1)^2 g_1(x) \pmod{q},$$

where $g_1(x) = x^2 + (a-2)x + 1$ with $\Delta(g_1) = a(a-4)$. The three possibilities for the quadratic polynomial $g_1(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_q are:

- (3.20) $g_1(x)$ is irreducible,
- (3.21) $g_1(x)$ has a double zero,
- (3.22) $g_1(x)$ has two distinct zeros.

We use Theorem 2.7 with the prime q and T(x) := g(x) to show in each of these possibilities that x + 1 divides $gcd(\overline{F}, \overline{h_1}, \overline{h_2})$.

For possibility (3.20), we can let

$$h_1(x) = (x+1)g_1(x)$$
 and $h_2(x) = x+1$.

Then

$$qF(x) = h_1(x)h_2(x) - T(x) = (x+1)^2g_1(x) - g(x) = -(b+2-2a)x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2},$$

from which we conclude that g(x) is not monogenic.

For possibility (3.21), we have that

$$g_1(x) \equiv \begin{cases} (x+1)^2 \pmod{q} & \text{if and only if } q \mid (a-4) \\ (x-1)^2 \pmod{q} & \text{if and only if } q \mid a. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can choose $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ so that

$$h_1(x)h_2(x) = \begin{cases} (x+1)^4 & \text{if and only if } q \mid (a-4), \\ (x+1)^2(x-1)^2 & \text{if and only if } q \mid a, \end{cases}$$

and

$$qF(x) = \begin{cases} -((a-4)x^2 + (b-6)x + a - 4) & \text{if and only if } q \mid (a-4) \\ -(ax^2 + (b+2)x + a) & \text{if and only if } q \mid a. \end{cases}$$

Since, for both of these cases, we have that

$$qF(-1) = b + 2 - 2a \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2}$$

it follows that g(x) is not monogenic for this possibility.

Finally, for possibility (3.22), suppose that $g_1(x) \equiv (x-c)(x-d) \pmod{q}$, with $c \not\equiv d \pmod{q}$. Note that

$$g_1(-1) = (-1)^2 + (a-2)(-1) + 1 = -(a-4) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

so that $c \not\equiv -1 \pmod{q}$ and $d \not\equiv -1 \pmod{q}$. Then, we can let

$$h_1(x) = (x+1)(x-c)(x-d)$$
 and $h_2(x) = x+1$.

Then,

$$h_1(x)h_2(x) = (x+1)^2(x-c)(x-d),$$

so that

$$qF(x) = (x+1)^2(x-c)(x-d) - g(x)$$

= $(-c+2-a-d)x^3 + (-2c+1+dc-2d-b)x^2$
+ $(-d+2dc-a-c)x + dc - 1.$

Observe then that

$$qF(-1) = -(b+2-2a) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2}.$$

Hence, g(x) is not monogenic in this possibility as well, and the claim is established. Consequently, $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is not monogenic when W_1W_2 is a square.

X=2: W_1W_2 is not a square and $W_1W_2W_5$ is a square. We assume that $Gal(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8T2$. Then, following Theorem 2.6, we have that W_1 or W_2 is a square. Since $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is irreducible, we see that $W_1W_2W_5 \neq 0$. If $W_1W_2W_5 = 1$, then

either $W_1 = 1$ and $W_2 = -1$ or $W_1 = -1$ and $W_2 = 1$. If $W_1 = 1$ and $W_2 = -1$, then

$$W_1 = b + 2 - 2a = 1$$
 and $W_2 = b + 2 + 2a = -1$,

which implies the contradiction that a = -1/2. The other case yields a similar contradiction. Thus, $W_1W_2W_5 > 1$ and, since $W_1W_2W_3$ is a square, there exists a prime q such that $q^2 | W_1W_2W_3$.

We begin with the case when W_1 is a square. We claim in this case that g(x), as defined in (3.18), is not monogenic, and therefore, $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is not monogenic from (3.19).

Suppose $W_1 = 1$, so that b = 2a - 1. Then $g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (2a - 1)x^4 + ax + 1$, and W_2W_5 is a square, where

$$W_2 = 4a + 1$$
 and $W_5 = a^2 - 8a + 12 = (a - 2)(a - 6).$

Using Sage to find all nontrivial integral points on the elliptic curve

(3.23)
$$y^2 = (x+1)(x-8)(x-24),$$

where x = 4a, yields the two solutions $a \in \{1, 11\}$, so that $(a, b) \in \{(1, 1), (11, 21)\}$. However, $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is reducible when (a, b) = (1, 1), while when (a, b) = (11, 21), it is easy to verify, using Theorem 2.7 with the prime q = 3, that g(x) is not monogenic.

Thus, we can assume that $W_1 > 1$. Let q be a prime divisor of W_1 . Then $q^2 | W_1$ and, omitting the details, we can proceed as in the previous section when W_1W_2 was a square to establish that g(x) is not monogenic.

We turn next to the case when W_2 is a square. Suppose first that $W_2 = 1$. Then b = -2a - 1, so that $g(x) = x^6 + ax^3 - (2a + 1)x^2 + ax + 1$ and W_1W_5 is a square, where

$$W_1 = -4a + 1$$
 and $W_5 = a^2 + 8a + 12 = (a + 2)(a + 6).$

Here, we arrive at the same elliptic curve as (3.23), but with x = -4a. Thus, the solutions are $a \in \{-1, -11\}$, so that $(a, b) \in \{(-1, 1), (-11, 21)\}$. When (a, b) = (-11, 21), we see that $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is reducible. Using Theorem 2.7 or a computer algebra system, it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is monogenic when (a, b) = (-1, 1). If $W_2 > 1$, then for any prime divisor q of W_2 , we can proceed as in the previous section for $q^2 \mid W_1$ to verify that g(x) and, consequently, $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is not monogenic.

In conclusion, there is exactly one monogenic polynomial

$$\mathcal{G}(x) = \Phi_{10}(x^2) = x^8 - x^6 + x^4 - x^2 + 1,$$

where $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8\mathrm{T2}$.

X=10. We assume that $Gal(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8T10$. Then, following Theorem 2.6, we remind the reader that

(3.24) $W_1 = b + 2 - 2a, \quad W_2 = b + 2 + 2a \text{ and } W_5 = a^2 - 4b + 8,$ where W_1, W_2 and $W_1 W_2$ are not squares, while $W_1 W_2 W_5$ is a square.

Note then that W_5 is also not a square, and that $W_1W_2W_5 > 1$. Thus, since $W_1W_2W_5$ is a square, there exists a prime q such that $q^2 | W_1W_2W_5$.

We claim that if $q^2 | W_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 5\}$, then $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is not monogenic. If $q^2 | W_1$ or $q^2 | W_2$, then, omitting the details, an argument similar to the one given for q^2 dividing W_1 with W_1W_2 a square when $X \in \{2, 3, 4, 9\}$ shows here that g(x) and, consequently, $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is not monogenic. So, we can assume that W_1 and W_2 are squarefree, with $q^2 | W_5$.

Suppose first that $q \ge 3$. Then $b \equiv (a^2 + 8)/4 \pmod{q}$, since $W_5 = a^2 - 4b + 8$, and

$$g(x) \equiv (x^2 + (a/2)x + 1)^2 \pmod{q}.$$

We consider the three possibilities for the polynomial

(3.25)
$$g_1(x) := x^2 + (a/2)x + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]:$$

- (1) $g_1(x)$ is irreducible,
- (2) $g_1(x)$ has a double zero,
- (3) $g_1(x)$ has two distinct zeros.

We use Theorem 2.7 with the prime q and T(x) := g(x) to examine each of these possibilities.

If $g_1(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, then we can let

$$h_1(x) = h_2(x) = x^2 + \left(\frac{q^2 + 1}{2}\right)ax + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

so that

$$qF(x) = h_1(x)h_2(x) - T(x)$$

= $\left(x^2 + \left(\frac{q^2 + 1}{2}\right)ax + 1\right)^2 - g(x)$
= $-x\left(-aq^2x^2 + \left(b - 2 - \frac{(q+1)^2}{4}a^2\right)x - aq^2\right)$
= $\left(\frac{a^2 - 4b + 8}{4}\right)x^2 \pmod{q^2}$
= $0 \pmod{q^2}$.

Hence, g(x) and, consequently, $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is not monogenic.

Suppose next that $g_1(x)$ has a double zero in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Then $q \mid (a^2 - 16)$, since $\Delta(g_1) = (a^2 - 16)/4$. Thus, $q \mid (b - 6)$ since $a^2 - 16 - (a^2 - 4b + 8) = 4(b - 6)$ and $q \geq 3$. Consequently,

$$W_1W_2 = (b+2)^2 - 4a^2 \equiv (b+2)^2 - 4(4b-b) \equiv (b-6)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2}.$$

Hence, since W_1 and W_2 are squarefree, it follows that $q \mid \gcd(W_1, W_2)$. Then $q \mid a$, since q divides $W_1 - W_2 = -4a$, and $q \geq 3$. Thus, q divides $a^2 - (a^2 - 16) = 16$, which contradicts the fact that $q \geq 3$. Therefore, $g_1(x)$ never has a double zero in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ when $q \geq 3$.

Next, with $g_1(x)$ as defined in (3.25), suppose that $g_1(x) \equiv (x-c)(x-d) \pmod{q}$, where $c \not\equiv d \pmod{q}$. Then

$$x^{2} + \left(\frac{q^{2} + 1}{2}\right)ax + 1 = (x - c)(x - d) - qr(x),$$

for some linear polynomial $r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Thus, with T(x) = g(x) and

$$h_1(x) = h_2(x) = (x - c)(x - d) = x^2 + \left(\frac{q^2 + 1}{2}\right)ax + 1 + qr(x),$$

a straightforward computation reveals that

$$F(x) = \frac{h_1(x)h_2(x) - T(x)}{q}$$

= $aqx^3 + \left(\frac{a^2 - 4b + 8}{q} + \frac{a^2(q^3 + 2q)}{4} + 2r(x)\right)x^2$
+ $(aq^2r(x) + aq + ar(x))x + qr(x)^2 + 2r(x)$
 $\equiv 2r(x)\left(x^2 + \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)x + 1\right) \pmod{q}$
 $\equiv 2r(x)(x - c)(x - d) \pmod{q},$

which implies that $gcd(\overline{F}, \overline{h_1}) > 1$. Hence, g(x) and, consequently, $\mathcal{G}(x)$ are not monogenic.

We turn now to the special case of q = 2. That is, we suppose that the conditions in (3.24) hold, and we assume additionally that W_1 and W_2 are squarefree, $2 \mid W_5$, and W_5 is not divisible by the square of any odd prime. Since $2 \mid W_5$, we see that $2 \mid a$, and so $4 \mid W_5$. We claim that $2 \nmid b$. Assume, by way of contradiction, that $2 \mid b$. Note that if $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $4 \mid W_1$, contradicting the fact that

 W_1 is squarefree. Hence, $4 \mid b, 2 \mid W_1$ and $2 \mid W_2$. Thus, $16 \mid W_1 W_2 W_5$, so that $M := W_1 W_2 W_5/16$ is a square and

$$M = ((b/2) + 1 - a)((b/2) + 1 + a)((a/2)^2 + b - 2)$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} (1)(1)(3) \equiv 3 \pmod{4} & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, \\ (3)(3)(3) \equiv 3 \pmod{4} & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \\ (3)(3)(2) \equiv 2 \pmod{4} & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, \\ (1)(1)(2) \equiv 2 \pmod{4} & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

which is impossible in any case. Thus, the claim that $2 \nmid b$ is established, and therefore,

$$g(x) \equiv (x^2 + x + 1)^2 \pmod{2}$$
.

Note that $x^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Then, applying Theorem 2.7 with T(x) := g(x) and q = 2, we can let

(3.26)
$$h_1(x) = h_2(x) = x^2 + x + 1,$$

so that

(3.27)
$$F(x) = -x\left(\left(\frac{a-2}{2}\right)x^2 + \left(\frac{b-3}{2}\right)x + \left(\frac{a-2}{2}\right)\right).$$

Next, we determine the possible values of $(a \mod 4, b \mod 4)$. Since $4 \mid W_1 W_2 W_5$, then $N := W_1 W_2 W_5 / 4$ is a square with

(3.28)
$$N = \frac{(b+2-2a)(b+2+2a)(b^2-4b+8)}{4}$$
$$= (b+2-2a)(b+2-2a)((a/2)^2-b+2).$$

Hence, since $2 \mid a$ and $2 \nmid b$, we see that $(b+2-2a)(b+2+2a) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and

$$N \equiv (a/2)^2 - b - 2 \equiv \begin{cases} -b - 1 \pmod{4} & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ -b - 2 \pmod{4} & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, it follows that

(3.29)
$$b \equiv \begin{cases} 3 \pmod{4} & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ 1 \pmod{4} & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, from (3.27) and (3.29), we have that

$$\overline{F}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ x(x^2 + x + 1) & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and we deduce from (3.26) and Theorem 2.7 that, in either case of the congruence class of a modulo 4, g(x) and $\mathcal{G}(x)$ are not monogenic.

Finally, we assume conditions (3.24) and that W_i is squarefree for $i \in \{1, 2, 5\}$. Since W_5 is squarefree, we have that $2 \nmid a$. We claim that $2 \nmid b$. To see this, we proceed by way of contradiction and consider the two cases: $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. If $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $W_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, contradicting the fact that W_1 is squarefee. If $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $4 \mid W_1 W_2 W_5$ and N, as given in (3.28), is a square with

$$N = ((b/2)+1-a)((b/2)+1+a)(a^2-4b+8) \equiv (2-a)(2+a)(1) \equiv -a^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

which is impossible. Hence, $2 \nmid b$ and $W_1 W_2 W_5 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$

Note that W_1W_2 and W_5 are either both positive or both negative since $W_1W_2W_5$ is a square. If

$$W_1 W_2 = (b+2)^2 - 4a^2 < 0$$
 and $W_5 = a^2 - 4b + 8 < 0$

then

$$(b+2)^2 < 4a^2 < 16b - 32,$$

which yields the contradiction

$$(b+2)^2 - 16b + 32 = (b-6)^2 < 0$$

Hence,

 $(3.30) W_1 W_2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_5 > 0.$

Let

$$P = \gcd(W_1, W_5), \quad Q = \gcd(W_1, W_2) \text{ and } R = \gcd(W_2, W_5).$$

Then,

(3.31)

$$|W_1| = |b + 2 - 2a| = PQ$$

$$|W_2| = |b + 2 + 2a| = QR$$

$$W_5 = a^2 - 4b + 8 = PR.$$

where PQR is squarefree. Thus, either PQR = 1 or PQR is the product of distinct odd primes.

We claim that $Q \neq 1$. To see this, we assume that Q = 1 and proceed by way of contradiction. Then, we deduce from (3.30) and (3.31) that

$$(b+2)^2 - 4a^2 = a^2 - 4b + 8,$$

so that

(3.32)
$$a^2 = \frac{b^2 + 8b - 4}{5}.$$

Thus,

(3.33)
$$W_1 W_2 = (b+2)^2 - 4a^2 = (b+2)^2 - 4\left(\frac{b^2 + 8b - 4}{5}\right) = \frac{(b-6)^2}{5}$$

Since Q = 1, it follows that W_1W_2 is squarefree. Hence, from (3.33), we conclude that $b \in \{1, 11\}$. If b = 1, then $a = \pm 1$ from (3.32). Thus, $W_1 = 1$ if a = 1, and $W_2 = 1$ if a = -1, contradicting the fact that neither W_1 nor W_2 is a square. If b = 11, then $a^2 = 41$ from (3.32). Therefore, the claim is established, and $Q \ge 3$, since Q is odd.

Note that if $P = R \neq 1$, then $W_5 = R^2 > 1$, which contradicts the fact that W_5 is squarefree. If P = R = 1, then $W_1W_2 = |W_1W_2| = Q^2$, which contradicts the fact that W_1W_2 is not a square. Therefore, $P \neq R$. Similar arguments show that $P \neq Q$ and $Q \neq R$.

We proceed by providing details in the situation when $W_1 > 0$ and $W_2 > 0$. We omit details when $W_1 < 0$ and $W_2 < 0$ since the arguments are similar, and no new solutions arise. Invoking Maple to solve the system (3.31), we get that

$$(3.34) P^2Q^2 - 2PQ^2R + Q^2R^2 - 32PQ - 32QR - 16PR + 256 = 0.$$

It follows from (3.34) that

$$(3.35) P \mid (QR - 16), \quad Q \mid (PR - 16) \text{ and } R \mid (PQ - 16).$$

Thus, since PQR is squarefree, we deduce from (3.35) that PQR divides

$$Z := \frac{(QR - 16)(PR - 16)(PQ - 16) - PQR(PQR - 16P - 16Q - 16R)}{256}$$
$$= PQ + QR + PR - 16.$$

It is easy to see that $Z \ge 7$ since P, Q and R are distinct odd positive integers. Hence, since PQR divides Z, we have that $H := PQR - Z \le 0$. On the other hand, using Maple, we see that the minimum value of H, subject to the constraints $\{P \ge 3, Q \ge 3, R \ge 3\}$, is 16. Thus, we deduce that P = 1 or R = 1. Letting P = 1in (3.34), and solving for Q yields

(3.36)
$$Q = \frac{4(4R + 4 \pm \sqrt{R^3 - 2R^2 + 65R})}{(R-1)^2}$$

while solving for R produces

(3.37)
$$R = \frac{Q^2 + 16Q + 8 \pm 4\sqrt{4Q^3 + Q^2 + 16Q + 4}}{Q^2}.$$

For Q to be a viable solution, we conclude from (3.36) that

$$(3.38) y^2 = R^3 - 2R^2 + 65R,$$

for some integer y. Using Sage to find all integral points (with $y \ge 0$) on the elliptic curve (3.38) we get

$$(R, y) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 8), (5, 20), (13, 52), (16, 68), (45, 300), (65, 520), (1573, 62348)\}$$

In (3.36), we cannot have R = 1, and since $R \ge 1$ is odd and squarefree, we have that $R \in \{5, 13, 65\}$. Plugging these values back into (3.36) reveals only the two valid solution triples $(P, Q, R) \in \{(1, 11, 5), (1, 3, 13)\}$. Although the triple (1, 1, 5)arises here, it is not legitimate since we know that $P \ne Q$. Because (3.34) is symmetric in P and R, we can also derive the two additional solutions (5, 11, 1)and (13, 3, 1). Using the same approach on (3.37) yields no additional solutions. Also, the substitution of R = 1 into (3.34) produces no additional solutions as well. In summary, the only solutions are given by

$$(3.39) (P,Q,R) \in \{(1,11,5), (1,3,13), (5,11,1), (13,3,1)\}.$$

The corresponding values of (a, b) can be found by using the values of P, Q and R in (3.39), and solving the resulting systems in (3.31). These pairs are

$$(a,b) \in \{(11,31), (9,19), (-11,31), (-9,19)\},\$$

and the corresponding polynomials are

(3.40)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{1}(x) &= x^{8} + 11x^{6} + 31x^{4} + 11x^{2} + 1 \quad \text{with } \Delta(\mathcal{G}_{1}) = 2^{8}5^{6}11^{4}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{2}(x) &= x^{8} + 9x^{6} + 19x^{4} + 9x^{2} + 1 \quad \text{with } \Delta(\mathcal{G}_{2}) = 2^{8}3^{4}13^{6}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{3}(x) &= x^{8} - 11x^{6} + 31x^{4} - 11x^{2} + 1 \quad \text{with } \Delta(\mathcal{G}_{3}) = 2^{8}5^{6}11^{4}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{4}(x) &= x^{8} - 9x^{6} + 31x^{4} - 9x^{2} + 1 \quad \text{with } \Delta(\mathcal{G}_{4}) = 2^{8}3^{4}13^{6}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{G}_i(x)$ is monogenic and that $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}_i) \simeq 8\text{T10}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. From the discriminants in (3.40), we see that $\mathcal{G}_1(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2(x)$, as well as $\mathcal{G}_3(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_4(x)$, generate distinct octic fields. Also, $\mathcal{G}_1(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_3(x)$, as well as $\mathcal{G}_2(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_4(x)$, generate distinct octic fields since the octic fields generated by

 $\mathcal{G}_1(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2(x)$ are imaginary, while the octic fields generated by $\mathcal{G}_3(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_4(x)$ are real.

For item (3), since \mathcal{D} is squarefree and

 $(a \mod 4, b \mod 4) \in \{(1,3), (3,1), (3,3)\},\$

it follows from [22, Theorem 1.1] that $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is monogenic. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $\mathcal{G}(\theta) = 0$. Then, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}(x)$ factors over K as

$$\mathcal{G}(x) = (x-\theta)(x+\theta)(x-\theta^{-1})(x+\theta^{-1})\mathcal{G}_1(x),$$

where

(3.41)
$$\mathcal{G}_{1}(x) = x^{4} - Bx^{2} + 1,$$

with $B = \theta^{6} + a\theta^{4} + (b-1)\theta^{2}.$

with $D = b^{\dagger} + ab^{\dagger} + (b - ab^{\dagger})$

Note that if $B^2 - 4 = C^2$ in \mathbb{Z}_K , then

(3.42)
$$\mathcal{G}_1(x) = \left(x^2 - \frac{B+C}{2}\right)\left(x^2 - \frac{B-C}{2}\right)$$

Since \mathcal{D} is squarefree, we conclude from [4, Proposition 4.2] that $\operatorname{Gal}(g) \simeq D_4$, where

$$g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + bx^2 + ax + 1.$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \in \{8T4, 8T9, 8T10, 8T18\}$ by [4, Proposition 4.3] and $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8T18$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}_1(x)$ from (3.41) is irreducible over K.

Suppose first that b = 2a - 1. Then

$$\mathcal{G}(x) = x^8 + ax^6 + (2a-1)x^4 + ax^2 + 1, \quad \mathcal{D} = (4a+1)(a-2)(a-6)$$

and

$$B = \theta^6 + a\theta^4 + (2a - 2)\theta^2.$$

A straightforward calculation verifies that

$$B = A^2 - 2, \quad \text{where} \quad A = \theta^7 + (a - 1)\theta^5 + a\theta^3.$$

Therefore,

(3.43)
$$\mathcal{G}_1(x) = x^4 - (A^2 - 2)x^2 + 1 = (x^2 - Ax + 1)(x^2 + Ax + 1).$$

Thus, we see from (3.43) that $\mathcal{G}_1(x)$ does not factor as given in (3.42), and hence,

$$B^{2} - 4 = (A^{2} - 2)^{2} - 4 = A^{2}(A^{2} - 4)$$

is not a square in \mathbb{Z}_K , which in turn implies that $A^2 - 4$ is also not a square in \mathbb{Z}_K . It follows that both $x^2 - Ax + 1$ and $x^2 + Ax + 1$ in (3.43) are irreducible over K. Consequently, the splitting field of $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is $L = \mathbb{Q}(\theta, \alpha)$, where $\alpha^2 + A\alpha + 1 = 0$, and $|\text{Gal}(\mathcal{G})| = 16$. Hence, $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \in \{8\text{T9}, 8\text{T10}\}$ since |8T4| = 8 and |8T18| = 32 [6].

We show next that L contains 7 quadratic subfields so that $Gal(\mathcal{G})$ contains 7 subgroups of index 2. This information proves that $Gal(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8T9$, since 8T10 contains exactly 3 subgroups of index 2 [6]. Let

$$u := 4a + 1$$
, $v := a - 2$ and $w := a - 6$.

We claim that L contains the 7 quadratic subfields defined by the 7 irreducible quadratic polynomials $x^2 - c$, where

$$(3.44) c \in \{u, -v, -w, -uv, -uw, vw, uvw\}$$

To prove the claim, we show that, for each c in (3.44), there exists $\beta_c \in L$ such that $\beta_c^2 - c = 0$. Observe that it is enough to show that there exists such a β_c for each

 $c \in \{u, -v, -w, \}$, since β_c for the other values of c can be constructed from these three particular values of β_c . Using MAPLE, it is easy to verify that:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{-v} &= \theta^5 + (a-1)\theta^3 + (a-1)\theta, \\ \beta_{-w} &= 2\theta^7 + (2a-1)\theta^5 + (3a-1)\theta^3 + (a-1)\theta, \\ \beta_u &= 2\theta^6 + (2a-2)\theta^4 + 2a\theta^2 + 1 - (2\theta^7 + 2a\theta^5 + (4a-2)\theta^3 + (2a-2)\theta) \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8\text{T9}$ when b = 2a - 1.

Suppose now that $b \neq 2a - 1$. To show that $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8\text{T18}$, we must show that $\mathcal{G}_1(x)$ from (3.41) is irreducible over K. To do this, we use [30, Theorem 2], which says that $\mathcal{G}_1(x)$ is irreducible over K if and only if none of

$$B_1 := B^2 - 4, \quad B_2 := B + 2, \quad B_3 := B - 2$$

is a square in K. Our strategy here is to proceed by way of contradiction and assume, for each i, that B_i is a square of some element in \mathbb{Z}_K . That is, we assume that

(3.45)
$$B_i = \left(\sum_{j=0}^7 c_j \theta^j\right)^2,$$

for some $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, we expand the right-hand side of (3.45) and we use the fact that $\mathcal{G}(\theta) = 0$ to reduce (3.45) down to an equation of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{7} C_j \theta^j = 0$, where each C_j will involve a, b and the c_j 's. Since the powers $\theta^j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, 7$, are linearly independent, we use Maple to solve the set of equations

$$S_i := \{C_0 = 0, C_1 = 0, \dots, C_7 = 0, b \neq 2a - 1\}$$

for each c_j . In each case of $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, Maple gives no solutions, and we conclude that $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq 8\text{T18}$, which completes the proof of item (3).

Finally, for each $X \in \{9, 18\}$ in item (3), we provide an infinite family of monogenic 8TX-polynomials.

$$\mathcal{G}_t(x) := x^8 + (4t+3)x^6 + (8t+5)x^4 + (4t+3)x^2 + 1$$

Then $\Delta(\mathcal{G}) = 256(16t+13)^2(4t+1)^4(4t-3)^4$. Let G(t) := (16t+13)(4t+1)(4t-3). Since $G(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we deduce from Lemma 2.14 that G(t) has no local obstructions. Hence, from Corollary 2.13, there exist infinitely many primes p such that G(p) is squarefree. Let p be such a prime. Observe then for $\mathcal{G}_p(x)$ that a = 4p + 3 and b = 8p + 5, so that b = 2a - 1. Since $\mathcal{D} = G(p)$ is squarefree and $(a \mod 4, b \mod 4) = (3, 1)$, we conclude from the proof of item (3) that $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}_p) \simeq 8$ T9 and that $\mathcal{G}_p(x)$ is monogenic. Suppose that, for some primes p < q, with G(p) and G(q) both squarefree, we have that $\mathcal{G}_p(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_q(x)$ generate the same octic field. Then, it must be that $\Delta(\mathcal{G}_p) = \Delta(\mathcal{G}_q)$, which implies that

$$256(16p+13)^2(4p+1)^4(4p-3)^8 = 256(16q+13)^2(4q+1)^4(4q-3)^4,$$

contradicting the fact that p < q. Thus, $\{\mathcal{G}_p(x) : G(p) \text{ is squarefree}\}\$ is an infinite family of monogenic even octic 8T9-polynomials.

X=18. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_t(x) := x^8 + 3x^6 + (2t+1)x^4 + 3x^2 + 1.$$

Then $\Delta(\mathcal{G}) = 256(2t+9)^2(2t-3)^2(8t-13)^4$. Let G(t) := (2t+9)(2t-3)(8t-13). Since $G(1) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we deduce from Lemma 2.14 that G(t) has no local obstructions. Hence, from Corollary 2.13, there exist infinitely many primes p such that G(p) is squarefree. Let $p \geq 3$ be such a prime. Observe then for $\mathcal{G}_p(x)$ we have a = 3 and b = 2p+1, so that $b \neq 2a-1$. Since $\mathcal{D} = G(p)$ is squarefree and

 $(a \mod 4, b \mod 4) = (3 \mod 4, 2p+1 \mod 4) \in \{(3,1), (3,3)\},\$

we conclude from the proof of item (3) that $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{G}_p) \simeq 8\text{T18}$ and that $\mathcal{G}_p(x)$ is monogenic. Suppose that, for some primes $3 \leq p < q$, with G(p) and G(q) both squarefree, we have that $\mathcal{G}_p(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_q(x)$ generate the same octic field. Then, it must be that $\Delta(\mathcal{G}_p) = \Delta(\mathcal{G}_q)$, which implies that

$$256(2p+9)^2(2p-3)^2(8p-13)^4 = 256(2q+9)^2(2q-3)^2(8q-13)^4,$$

contradicting the fact that p < q. Thus, $\{\mathcal{G}_p(x) : G(p) \text{ is squarefree}\}\$ is an infinite family of monogenic even octic 8T18-polynomials.

We have summarized the results of Theorem 1.1 below in Tables 2 and 3.

Х	Distinct Monogenic 8TX-Polynomials	#
2	$x^{8} + 1$	1
3	$x^8 - x^4 + 1$	1
4	$x^8 + 3x^4 + 1$	1
6	$x^{8} + 2$	1
8	$x^8 - 2x^4 - 1, x^8 - 2$	2
9	$x^8 + (4p+3)x^4 + 1$	∞
	where p is prime with $(4p+1)(4p+5)$ squarefree	
11	none	0
15	$x^8 - ax^4 - 1$	∞
	where $a > 0, 4 \nmid a$ with $(a^2 + 4) / \gcd(a^2, 4)$ squarefree	
16	$x^8 - 4x^4 + 2$, $x^8 + 4x^4 + 2$, $x^8 - 5x^4 + 5$	3
17	$x^8 + 2tx^4 + t^2 + 1$	∞
	where $t > 0$ with $t^2 + 1$ squarefree	
22	none	0
26	$x^8 + px^4 + 3$	∞
	where $p \ge 5$ is prime with $p^2 - 12$ squarefree	

TABLE 2. Distinct Monogenic 8TX-even-trinomials with $X \in X_F$

Х	Distinct Monogenic 8TX-Polynomials	#	
2	$x^8 - x^6 + x^4 - x^2 + 1$	1	
3	none	0	
4	none	0	
9	$x^{8} + (4p+3)x^{6} + (8p+5)x^{4} + (4p+3)x^{2} + 1$	∞	
	where p is prime with $(16p + 13)(4p + 1)(4p - 3)$ squarefree		
10	$x^8 \pm 11x^6 + 31x^4 \pm 11x^2 + 1, x^8 \pm 9x^6 + 19x^4 \pm 9x^2 + 1$	4	
18	$x^8 + 3x^6 + (2p+1)x^4 + 3x^2 + 1$	∞	
	where p is prime with $(2p+9)(2p-3)(8p-13)$ squarefree		

TABLE 3. Distinct Monogenic 8TX-even-reciprocal polynomials with $X \in X_G$ and $X \in \{10, 18\}$

References

- A. Altmann, C. Awtrey, S. Cryan, K. Shannon and M. Touchette, *Galois groups of doubly even octic polynomials*, J. Algebra Appl. **19** (2020), no. 1, 2050014, 15 pp.
- [2] C. Awtrey and F. Patane, An elementary characterization of the Galois group of a doubly even octic polynomial, J. Algebra Appl. (to appear).
- [3] G. Butler and J. McKay, The transitive groups of degree up to eleven, Comm. Algebra 11 (1983), no. 8, 863–911.
- [4] M. Chen, A. Chin and T. Tan Galois groups of certain even octic polynomials, J. Algebra Appl. 22 (2023), no. 12, Paper No. 2350263.
- [5] H. Cohen, A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [6] T. Dokchitser,
- https://people.maths.bris.ac.uk/~matyd/GroupNames/T31.html
- [7] T. Gassert, H. Smith and K. Stange, A family of monogenic S₄ quartic fields arising from elliptic curves, J. Number Theory 197 (2019), 361–382.
- [8] M.-N. Gras, Non monogénéité de l'anneau des entiers des extensions cycliques de Q de degré premier ℓ ≥ 5, (French) [Nonmonogeneity of the ring of integers of cyclic extensions of Q of prime degree ℓ ≥ 5] J. Number Theory 23 (1986), no. 3, 347–353.
- [9] J. Harrington and L. Jones. The irreducibility and monogenicity of power-compositonal trinomials, Math. J. Okayama Univ. (to appear).
- [10] J. Harrington and L. Jones. Monogenic quartic polynomials and their Galois groups, arXiv:2404.05487v3.
- [11] H. A. Helfgott, Square-free values of f(p), f cubic, Acta Math. **213** (2014), no. 1, 107–135.
- [12] C. Hooley, Applications of Sieve Methods to the Theory of Numbers, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 70. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, (1976). xiv+122 pp.
- [13] A. Jakhar, S. Khanduja and N. Sangwan, Characterization of primes dividing the index of a trinomial, Int. J. Number Theory 13 (2017), no. 10, 2505–2514.
- [14] L. Jones, A brief note on some infinite families of monogenic polynomials, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 100 (2019), no. 2, 239–244.
- [15] L. Jones, Monogenic polynomials with non-squarefree discriminant, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2020), no. 4, 1527–1533.
- [16] L. Jones, Generating infinite families of monogenic polynomials using a new discriminant formula, Albanian J. Math. 14 (2020), no. 1, 37–45.
- [17] L. Jones, Some new infinite families of monogenic polynomials with non-squarefree discriminant, Acta Arith. 197 (2021), no. 2, 213–219.
- [18] L. Jones, Sextic reciprocal monogenic dihedral polynomials, Ramanujan J. 56 (2021), no. 3, 1099–1110.
- [19] L. Jones, Infinite families of non-monogenic trinomials, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 87 (2021), no. 1–2, 95–105.

LENNY JONES

- [20] L. Jones, Infinite families of reciprocal monogenic polynomials and their Galois groups, New York J. Math. 27 (2021), 1465–1493.
- [21] L. Jones, Monogenic reciprocal trinomials and their Galois groups, J. Algebra Appl. 21 (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 2250026, 11 pp.
- [22] L. Jones, Reciprocal monogenic quintinomials of degree 2ⁿ, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 106 (2022), no. 3, 437–447.
- [23] L. Jones, On necessary and sufficient conditions for the monogeneity of a certain class of polynomials, Math. Slovaca 72 (2022), no. 3, 591–600.
- [24] L. Jones, Infinite families of monogenic quadrinomials, quintinomials and sextinomials, Colloq. Math. 169 (2022), no. 1, 1–10.
- [25] L. Jones, The monogenity of power-compositional Eisenstein polynomials, Ann. Math. Inform. 55 (2022), 93–113.
- [26] L. Jones, Generalized Wall-Sun-Sun primes and monogenic power-compositional trinomials, Albanian J. Math. 17 (2023), no. 2, 3–17.
- [27] L. Jones, On the monogenicity of power-compositional Shanks polynomials, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 69 (2023), no. 1, 93–103.
- [28] L. Jones and T. Phillips, Infinite families of monogenic trinomials and their Galois groups, Internat. J. Math. 29 (2018), no. 5, 1850039, 11 pp.
- [29] L. Jones and D. White, Monogenic trinomials with non-squarefree discriminant, Internat. J. Math. 32 (2021), no. 13, Paper No. 2150089, 21 pp.
- [30] L-C. Kappe and B. Warren, An elementary test for the Galois group of a quartic polynomial, Amer. Math. Monthly 96 (1989), no. 2, 133–137.
- [31] K. Kedlaya, A construction of polynomials with squarefree discriminants,
- [32] J. Klüners and G. Malle, http://galoisdb.math.upb.de/home.
- [33] W. Ljunggren, Some theorems on indeterminate equations of the form $\frac{x^n-1}{x-1} = y^q$, Norsk. Mat. Tidsskr. **25** (1943), 17–20.
- [34] H. Pasten, The ABC conjecture, arithmetic progressions of primes and squarefree values of polynomials at prime arguments, Int. J. Number Theory 11 (2015), no. 3, 721–737.
- [35] H. Smith, Two families of monogenic S₄ quartic number fields, Acta Arith. 186 (2018), no. 3, 257–271.
- [36] B. Spearman, Monogenic A₄ quartic fields, Int. Math. Forum 1 (2006), no. 37–40, 1969–1974.
- [37] B. Spearman, A. Watanabe and K. Williams PSL(2,5) sextic fields with a power basis, Kodai Math. J. 29 (2006), no. 1, 5–12.
- [38] R. Swan, Factorization of polynomials over finite fields, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 1099– 1106.
- [39] L. C. Washington, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

PROFESSOR EMERITUS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIPPENSBURG UNIVERSITY, SHIPPENSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17257, USA

Email address, Lenny Jones: lkjone@ship.edu