The $\Xi_c^{(\prime,*)}N$ interactions and the corresponding bound states

Jin-Yu Huo¹, Li-Cheng Sheng¹, Rui Chen^{1*},[†] and Xiang Liu^{2,3,4,5,6‡}

¹Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education,

Department of Physics and Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects

and Applications, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China

²School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

Physics of Gansu Province, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

⁴Key Laboratory of Quantum Theory and Applications of MoE, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

⁵MoE Frontiers Science Center for Rare Isotopes, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

⁶Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Lanzhou University and Institute of Modern Physics of CAS, Lanzhou 730000, China

(Dated: April 30, 2024)

In the framework of a one-boson-exchange model, we carry out a comprehensive investigation of the interactions between an *S*-wave charm-strange baryon $\Xi_c^{(r,*)}$ and a nucleon by considering the *S*-*D* wave mixing effects and the coupled channel effects. We find that the one-pion-exchange interactions together with the coupled channel effects play an important role in generating the $\Xi_c N$ bound states with $I(J^P) = 0(0^+)$ and $0(1^+)$, the one-pion-exchange effective potentials are strong enough to bind the $\Xi'_c N$ states with $I(J^P) = 0(0^+, 1^+)$ and $\Xi_c^* N$ states with $0(1^+, 2^+)$, and the intermediate range and short range interactions arising from the scalar and vector meson exchanges are essential in forming the isovector *S*-wave $\Xi_c^{(r,*)} N$. We expect the experiments to search for our predictions of the $\Xi_c^{(r,*)} N$ bound states.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Pn, 14.20.Lq

I. INTRODUCTION

As is well known, the extensive experimental data on nucleon-nucleon interactions has led to a profound understanding of the nuclear force, allowing a comprehensive exploration of the properties for nuclear matter [1–6]. Extending this line of investigation to scenarios, where a light quark is replaced by a strange quark, paves the way for an in-depth study of hyperon-nucleon interactions (*YN*, with *Y* representing Λ or Σ). Such investigations may not only have the potential to predict the existence of *YN* hypernuclei, but also provide valuable insights into the properties of strange baryons within nuclear matter (as detailed in reviews [7, 8]).

The hypernuclei in the charmed sector might date back to 1977 [9], the authors studied the Y_cN ($Y_c = \Lambda_c, \Sigma_c$) interactions by extending the meson-exchange model in an SU(4) symmetry, and found that there should exist both two-body and many-body bound states of a charmed baryon and nucleons. Subsequently, many groups continued to study such Y_cN hypernuclei by using various models, such as the Woods-Saxon form phenomenological potential well [10], the quark-meson coupling model [11], the chiral soliton models [12], the chiral constituent quark model [13, 14], the meson-exchange model in the heavy quark limit [15–17], the HAL QCD method [18, 19], the chiral effective field theory [20, 21].

Recently, the E07 Collaboration at J-PARC observed a $\Xi^$ absorption event decaying into twin single Λ hypernuclei, $\Xi^- + {}^{14}N \rightarrow {}^{10}_{\Lambda}\text{Be} + {}^{5}_{\Lambda}\text{He}$, and deduced the binding energy of the Ξ^- hyperon in the $\Xi^{-}{}^{14}N$ system $E = 1.27 \pm 0.21$ MeV [22]. With this finding, it is natural to study the formation of the charmed-strange hypernuclei, which is closely related to the properties of the interactions between the charmed-strange baryon and the nucleon. Compared to the ΞN interactions, it is reasonable to expect that the attractions between $\Xi_c N$ might be slightly weaker due to the presence of fewer light quarks in the $\Xi_c N$ system. However, it is crucial to remember that the $\Xi_c N$ system is significantly heavier than the ΞN system. This increased mass results in a heavier reduced mass, which is favorable for the formation of a bound state due to the suppression of kinematic energy. It is therefore essential to carry out a quantitative calculation to explore the possible existence of a $\Xi_c N$ bound state. We have reason to believe that this study can enrich our knowledge of charmed hypernuclei.

Although charmed-strange hypernuclei belong to the focal topic of nuclear physics, they can be covered by hadron physics, since the system under discussion is a typical bound state problem, namely the molecular states composed of charmed-strange baryon and nucleon. Especially, in the last two decades, more and more candidates for exotic hadronic matter have been reported, including charmoniumlike *XYZ* states, hidden-charm pentaquarks $P_{\psi}^N/P_{\psi}^{\Lambda}$, and doubly charmed tetraquark T_{cc} , inspiring extensive discussions on different types of exotic hadronic states (see reviews [23–29] for more details), with molecular states being the most popular among those involving hadronic configurations. Various methods and approaches have been developed to deal with the bound state problem (see [23–25] for recent reviews).

In this work, we employ the one-boson-exchange (OBE) model [30–33] to derive the relevant effective potentials, a method often used to unravel the nature of these reported new hadronic states [34–45]. This model takes into account the contributions of different exchanged mesons, namely the π , σ , and ρ/ω mesons, which are responsible for long-range, intermediate-range, and short-range interactions, respectively.

³Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, Key Laboratory of Theoretical

^{*}Corresponding author

[†]Electronic address: chenrui@hunnu.edu.cn

[‡]Electronic address: xiangliu@lzu.edu.cn

In this context, the π exchange interaction plays a crucial role in binding the well-known deuteron.

However, when it comes to $\Xi_c N$ interactions, they show significant differences compared to NN interactions. First, the π exchange (OPE) interactions are strongly suppressed in the $\Xi_c N$ systems. This suppression results from the conservation of the light quark spin-parity, which forbids the $\Xi_c - \Xi_c$ – π vertices. On the other hand, the coupled channel effects play a significant role in $\Xi_c N$ interactions due to the proximity of the mass thresholds for the S-wave ground charm-strange baryons, namely (Ξ_c, Ξ'_c, Ξ^*_c) [46]. For example, in Ref. [15], the coupled channel effects from the $\Sigma_c N$ and $\Sigma_c^* N$ channels are essential to generate the $\Lambda_c N$ bound state. As shown in PDG [46], the spin-parities for the Ξ_c , Ξ'_c , and Ξ^*_c are $J^P =$ $1/2^+$, $1/2^+$, and $3/2^+$, respectively. The light quarks of the Ξ_c , $\Xi_c^{\prime(*)}$ are in flavor anti-symmetry and symmetry, respectively. The corresponding spin-parities of the light quarks j_{aa}^{P} are 0^{+} , and 1⁺, respectively. Their mass thresholds are very close, i.e., $M_{\Xi_c} - M_{\Xi_c} \simeq 100$ MeV, $M_{\Xi_c^*} - M_{\Xi_c} \simeq 170$ MeV.

In our study, we take into account both the *S*-*D* wave mixing effects and the coupled channel effects when deducing the $\Xi_c^{(r,*)}N$ interactions. Using these derived OBE effective potentials, we proceed to search for bound state solutions by solving the coupled channel Schrödinger equations. Ultimately, our investigation aims to answer the question of whether charmstrange deuteron-like $\Xi_c^{(r,*)}N$ hexaquarks could potentially exist. Indeed, the results of this investigation may not only provide invaluable guidance at the discovery of potential charmstrange hypernuclei but also expand our knowledge of the rich and intricate world of hypernuclei, shedding some light on the behavior of charm and strangeness within atomic nuclei to some extent.

This paper is organized as follows. After this introduction, in Sec. II we present the OBE effective potentials for the discussed systems. In Sec. III, we present the corresponding numerical results for the $\Xi_c^{(r,*)}N$ systems. The paper ends with a summary in Sec. IV.

II. THE OBE EFFECTIVE POTENTIALS

Before deriving the OBE effective potentials for the $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ systems, we first construct the wave functions. These wave functions can be expressed as the direct product of the spinorbit wave function $|^{2S+1}L_J\rangle$, the flavor wave function $|I, I_3\rangle$, and the spatial wave function $\psi(r)$. The isospin for the $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ systems can be either 0 or 1. The flavor wave functions for the isoscalar $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ systems are defined as $|0,0\rangle =$ $(|\Xi_c^{(',*)+}n\rangle - |\Xi_c^{(',*)0}p\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. For the isovector $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ systems, the flavor wave functions are $|1,1\rangle = |\Xi_c^{(',*)+}p\rangle$, $|1,0\rangle =$ $(|\Xi_c^{(',*)+}n\rangle + |\Xi_c^{(',*)0}p\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $|1,-1\rangle = |\Xi_c^{(',*)0}n\rangle$.

After taking into account the *S*-*D* wave mixing effects, we construct the spin-orbit wave functions for the $\Xi_c^{(\ell,*)}N$ systems as follows, i.e.,

$$\Xi_{c}^{(\prime)}N: \ J^{P} = 0^{+} \ \left| {}^{1}S_{0} \right\rangle, \tag{1}$$

$$J^{P} = 1^{+} |^{3}S_{1}\rangle, |^{3}D_{1}\rangle, \qquad (2)$$

$$\Xi_c^* N: J^P = 0^+ |{}^5D_0\rangle,$$
 (3)

$$J^{P} = 1^{+} |^{3}S_{1}\rangle, |^{3}D_{1}\rangle, |^{5}D_{1}\rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$J^{P} = 2^{+} \left| {}^{5}S_{2} \right\rangle, \quad \left| {}^{3}D_{2} \right\rangle, \quad \left| {}^{5}D_{2} \right\rangle. \tag{5}$$

The general expressions for the spin-orbit wave functions for the $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ systems are as follows

$$\begin{split} \Xi_{c}^{(\prime)}N\left|^{2S+1}L_{J}\right\rangle &= \sum_{m,n,m_{L}}C_{\frac{1}{2},m;\frac{1}{2},n}^{S,m_{S}}C_{S,m_{S};L,m_{L}}^{J,m_{J}}\chi_{\frac{1}{2},n}\chi_{\frac{1}{2},n}Y_{L,m_{L}},\\ \Xi_{c}^{*}N\left|^{2S+1}L_{J}\right\rangle &= \sum_{m,n,m_{L}}C_{\frac{1}{2},m;\frac{3}{2},n}^{S,m_{S}}C_{S,m_{S};L,m_{L}}^{J,m_{J}}\chi_{\frac{1}{2},m}\Phi_{\frac{3}{2},n}Y_{L,m_{L}}. \end{split}$$

Here, $C_{\frac{1}{2},m;\frac{1}{2},n}^{S,m_S}$, $C_{\frac{1}{2},m;\frac{3}{2},n}^{S,m_S}$, and $C_{S,m_S;L,m_L}^{J,m_J}$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. $\chi_{\frac{1}{2},m}$ and Y_{L,m_L} denote the spin wave function for the baryon with J = 1/2 and the spherical harmonics function, respectively. $\Phi_{\frac{3}{2},n} = \sum_{n_1,n_2} \langle \frac{1}{2}, n_1; 1, n_2 | \frac{3}{2}, n \rangle \chi_{\frac{1}{2},n_1} \epsilon^{n_2}$ is the spin wave function for the baryon with J = 3/2, where ϵ stands for the polarization vector, $\epsilon_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, \pm 1, i, 0)$ and $\epsilon_0 = (0, 0, 0, -1)$.

The general procedures for deducing the OBE effective potentials can be divided into three steps. First, one can write down the *t*-channel scattering amplitudes $\mathcal{M}(h_1h_2 \rightarrow h_3h_4)$ based on the corresponding effective Lagrangians, second, one can derive the OBE effective potentials in the momentum space by using a Breit approximation, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V}_E^{h_1h_2 \to h_3h_4}(q) = -\frac{\mathcal{M}(h_1h_2 \to h_3h_4)}{\sqrt{\prod_i 2M_i \prod_f 2M_f}}.$$
 (6)

Here, M_i and M_f are the masses of the initial states and final states, respectively. Finally, the effective potentials in the coordinate space $\mathcal{V}(r)$ can be obtained by performing a Fourier transformation,

$$\mathcal{V}_{E}^{h_{1}h_{2}\to h_{3}h_{4}}(r) = \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \mathcal{V}_{E}^{h_{1}h_{2}\to h_{3}h_{4}}(\boldsymbol{q}) \mathcal{F}^{2}(\boldsymbol{q}^{2}, m_{E}^{2}).$$
(7)

To reflect the finite size effect of the hadrons involved in these interactions, we have introduced a monopole form factor $\mathcal{F}(q^2, m_E^2) = (\Lambda^2 - m_E^2)/(\Lambda^2 - q^2)$ in each interaction vertex. m_E and q stand for the mass and four-momentum of the exchanged mesons, respectively. The cutoff Λ is a free parameter, which is related to the typical hadronic scale or the intrinsic size of hadrons.

In the present study, we assume that the intrinsic size of the charm-strange baryon is similar to that of the nucleon. In the following, we search for the bound state solutions by varying the cutoff Λ from 0.80 GeV to 5.00 GeV, using the similar cutoff Λ of the deuteron, $\Lambda \sim 1.00$ GeV [47, 48] is set as a reasonable input.

The effective Lagrangians describing the interactions between the charmed baryons and the light meson interactions can be constructed on the basis of the heavy quark symmetry, the chiral symmetry and the hidden local symmetry [15], which are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}} = l_B \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{3}} \sigma \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \rangle + i \beta_B \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{3}} v^\mu (\mathcal{V}_\mu - \rho_\mu) \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \rangle, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{6}} = l_{S} \langle \bar{S}_{\mu} \sigma S^{\mu} \rangle - \frac{3}{2} g_{1} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} v_{\kappa} \langle \bar{S}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu} S_{\lambda} \rangle + i\beta_{S} \langle \bar{S}_{\mu} v_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{V}^{\alpha} - \rho^{\alpha} \right) S^{\mu} \rangle + \lambda_{S} \langle \bar{S}_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu}(\rho) S_{\nu} \rangle,$$

$$(9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{3}\mathcal{B}_{6}} = ig_{4} \langle \bar{S}^{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \rangle + i\lambda_{I} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} v_{\mu} \langle \bar{S}_{\nu} F_{\lambda\kappa} \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \rangle + h.c..(10)$$

Here, v = (1, 0), $F^{\mu\nu}(\rho) = \partial^{\mu}\rho^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}\rho^{\mu} + [\rho^{\mu}, \rho^{\nu}]$ with $\rho^{\mu} = ig_V V^{\mu} / \sqrt{2}$. $\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi - \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger})$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi + \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger})$ are the axial current and vector current, respectively. $\xi = \exp(iP/f_{\pi})$ and $f_{\pi} = 132$ MeV. The superfield S is a combination of heavy baryon fields \mathcal{B}_6 with $J^P = 1/2^+$ and \mathcal{B}_6^* with $J^P = 3/2^+$, $\mathcal{S}_{\mu} = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}(\gamma_{\mu} + \nu_{\mu})\gamma^5\mathcal{B}_6 + \mathcal{B}_{6\mu}^*$. The matrices \mathcal{B}_3 , \mathcal{B}_6 , P, and V are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_c^+ & \Xi_c^+ \\ -\Lambda_c^+ & 0 & \Xi_c^0 \\ -\Xi_c^+ & -\Xi_c^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{B}_6^{(*)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_c^{(*)++} & \frac{\sum_c^{(*)+}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\Xi_c^{(',*)+}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\Sigma_c^{(*)+}}{\sqrt{2}} & \Sigma_c^{(*)0} & \frac{\Xi_c^{(',*)0}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\Xi_c^{(',*)+}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\Xi_c^{(',*)0}}{\sqrt{2}} & \Omega_c^{(*)0} \end{pmatrix}, \\ P &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta \end{pmatrix}, \\ V &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^+ & K^{*+} \\ \rho^- & -\frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{*0} \\ K^{*-} & \bar{K}^{*0} & \phi \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

By expanding the Eqs. (8)-(10), we can obtain the concrete effective Lagrangians, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}\sigma} = l_B \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{3}}\sigma \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \rangle, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{6}^{(*)}\mathcal{B}_{6}^{(*)}\sigma} = -l_{S}\langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6}\sigma\mathcal{B}_{6}\rangle + l_{S}\langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6\mu}^{*}\sigma\mathcal{B}_{6}^{*\mu}\rangle, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}V} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_{B}g_{V} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{3}}v \cdot V \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \rangle, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{6}^{(*)}\mathcal{B}_{6}^{(*)}P} = i\frac{g1}{2f_{\pi}}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}v_{\kappa}\langle\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\lambda}\partial_{\nu}P\mathcal{B}_{6}\rangle -i\frac{3g_{1}}{2f_{\pi}}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}v_{\kappa}\langle\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6\mu}^{*}\partial_{\nu}P\mathcal{B}_{6\lambda}^{*}\rangle +i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\frac{g_{1}}{f_{\pi}}v_{\kappa}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\langle\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6\mu}^{*}\partial_{\nu}P\gamma_{\lambda}\gamma^{5}\mathcal{B}_{6}\rangle + h.c., \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{6}^{(*)}\mathcal{B}_{6}^{(*)}V} = -\frac{\beta_{S}g_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6}v \cdot V\mathcal{B}_{6} \rangle$$

$$-i\frac{\lambda_{S}g_{V}}{3\sqrt{2}} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} \left(\partial^{\mu}V^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}V^{\mu} \right) \mathcal{B}_{6} \rangle$$

$$-\frac{\beta_{S}g_{V}}{\sqrt{6}} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6\mu}^{*}v \cdot V \left(\gamma^{\mu} + v^{\mu} \right) \gamma^{5}\mathcal{B}_{6} \rangle$$

$$-i\frac{\lambda_{S}g_{V}}{\sqrt{6}} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6\mu}^{*} \left(\partial^{\mu}V^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}V^{\mu} \right) \left(\gamma_{\nu} + v_{\nu} \right) \gamma^{5}\mathcal{B}_{6} \rangle$$

$$+\frac{\beta_{S}g_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6\mu}^{*} \left(\partial^{\mu}V^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}V^{\mu} \right) \mathcal{B}_{6\nu}^{*} \rangle + h.c., \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{3}\mathcal{B}_{6}^{(*)}V} = -\frac{\lambda_{I}g_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} v_{\mu} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{\nu} \left(\partial_{\lambda}V_{\kappa} - \partial_{\kappa}V_{\lambda} \right) \mathcal{B}_{3} \rangle$$

$$-\frac{\lambda_{I}g_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} v_{\mu} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{6\nu}^{*} \left(\partial_{\lambda}V_{\kappa} - \partial_{\kappa}V_{\lambda} \right) \mathcal{B}_{3} \rangle + h.c., \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}}\mathcal{B}_{\bar{6}}^{(*)}P} = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{g_4}{f_{\pi}} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{6}} \gamma^5 \left(\gamma^{\mu} + \nu^{\mu} \right) \partial_{\mu} P \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \rangle -\frac{g_4}{f_{\pi}} \langle \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{6}\mu}^* \partial^{\mu} P \mathcal{B}_{\bar{3}} \rangle + h.c..$$
(17)

The effective Lagrangians for the nucleon-nucleon interactions are

$$\mathcal{L}_{N} = g_{\sigma NN} \bar{N} \sigma N + \sqrt{2} g_{\pi NN} \bar{N} i \gamma_{5} P N + \sqrt{2} g_{\rho NN} \bar{N} \gamma_{\mu} V^{\mu} N + \frac{f_{\rho NN}}{\sqrt{2} m_{N}} \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\mu} V^{\nu} N.$$
(18)

In the above equations, the coupling constants are given in Refs. [33, 49, 50], $g_{\sigma NN}^2/4\pi = 5.69$, $g_{\pi NN}^2/4\pi = 13.60$, $g_{\rho NN}^2/4\pi = 0.84$, $f_{\rho NN}/g_{\rho NN} = 6.10$. For the coupling constants relevant to the charmed baryon interactions, they can be related to the nucleon-nucleon interactions by using the quark model [15], where $l_S = -2l_B = -\frac{2}{3}g_{\sigma NN}$, $g_1 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}g_4 = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}f_{\pi}g_{\pi NN}}{5M_N}$, $\beta_S g_V = -2\beta_B g_V = -4g_{\rho NN}$, $\lambda_S g_V = -\sqrt{8}\lambda_I g_V = -\frac{6(g_{\rho NN}+f_{\rho NN})}{5M_N}$.

With the above preparations, we can finally obtain the exact OBE effective potentials for all of the investigated processes, i.e.,

$$V_{\Xi_c N \to \Xi_c N}^{I} = -2g_{\sigma NN} l_B Y(\Lambda, m_{\sigma}, r) + \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho NN} \beta_B g_V \mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, r) + \frac{1}{8} \frac{f_{\rho NN} \beta_B g_V}{m_N^2} \nabla^2 \mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, r),$$
(19)

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Xi_{c}^{\prime}N\to\Xi_{c}^{\prime}N}^{I} = g_{\sigma NN}l_{S}Y(\Lambda,m_{\sigma},r) - \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}}\frac{g_{\pi NN}g_{1}}{f_{\pi}m_{N}}\mathcal{F}(r,\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2})\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda,m_{\pi},m_{\eta},r) - \left(\frac{\beta_{S}g_{V}f_{\rho NN}}{16m_{N}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{S}g_{V}g_{\rho NN}}{12m_{\Xi_{c}^{\prime}}}\right)\nabla^{2}\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda,m_{\rho},m_{\omega},r)$$

$$-\frac{\beta_{S}g_{V}g_{\rho NN}}{4}\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, r) - \frac{g_{\rho NN} + f_{\rho NN}}{36m_{N}}\lambda_{S}g_{V}\mathcal{F}'(r, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2})\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, r),$$
(20)

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Xi_{c}^{*}N \to \Xi_{c}^{*}N}^{I} = g_{\sigma NN}l_{S}\sum_{a,b}^{m,n} C_{1/2,a;1,b}^{3/2,a+b}C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,a+b}C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n}\chi_{4a}^{\dagger}\chi_{2m}\epsilon_{2}^{\sigma} \cdot \epsilon_{4}^{b\dagger}Y(\Lambda, m_{\sigma}, r)$$

$$-\frac{g_{\pi NN}g_{1}}{8\sqrt{2}f_{\pi}m_{N}}\sum_{a,b}^{m,n} C_{1/2,a;1,b}^{3/2,a+b}C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,a+b}\chi_{4a}^{\dagger}\mathcal{F}(r, \sigma_{1}, i\epsilon_{2}^{n} \times \epsilon_{4}^{b\dagger})\chi_{2m}\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\pi}, m_{\eta}, r)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\beta_{S}g_{V}g_{\rho NN}\sum_{a,b}^{m,n} C_{1/2,a;1,b}^{3/2,a+b}C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n}\chi_{4a}^{\dagger}\chi_{2m}\epsilon_{2}^{n} \cdot \epsilon_{4}^{b\dagger}\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, r)$$

$$-\frac{\beta_{S}g_{V}f_{\rho NN}}{16m_{N}^{2}}\sum_{a,b}^{m,n} C_{1/2,a;1,b}^{3/2,a+b}C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n}\chi_{4a}^{\dagger}\chi_{2m}\epsilon_{2}^{n} \cdot \epsilon_{4}^{b\dagger}\mathcal{V}^{2}\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, r)$$

$$-\frac{\lambda_{S}g_{V}(g_{\rho NN} + f_{\rho NN})}{24m_{N}}\sum_{a,b}^{m,n} C_{1/2,a;1,b}^{3/2,a+b}C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,a+b}C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,a+b}\chi_{4a}^{\dagger}\mathcal{F}'(r, \sigma_{1}, i\epsilon_{2}^{n} \times \epsilon_{4}^{b\dagger})\chi_{2m}\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, r),$$

$$(21)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Xi_{c}N \to \Xi_{c}'N} = -\frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}}\frac{g_{\pi NN}g_{4}}{f_{\pi}m_{N}}\mathcal{F}(r, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2})\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda_{0}, m_{\pi 0}, m_{\eta 0}, r) - \frac{\lambda_{I}g_{V}(g_{\rho NN} + f_{\rho NN})}{6\sqrt{6m_{N}}}\mathcal{F}'(r, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2})\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda_{0}, m_{\rho 0}, m_{\omega 0}, r),$$

$$(22)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Xi_{c}N\to\Xi_{c}^{*}N}^{I} = + \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_{\pi NN}g_{4}}{f_{\pi}m_{N}} \sum_{m,n} C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n} \chi_{4m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{F}(r,\sigma_{1},\epsilon_{4}^{n\dagger}) \mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda_{1},m_{\pi_{1}},m_{\eta_{1}},r) \\
+ \frac{\lambda_{I}g_{V}(g_{\rho NN} + f_{\rho NN})}{6\sqrt{2}m_{N}} \sum_{m,n} C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n} \chi_{4m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{F}'(r,\sigma_{1},\epsilon_{4}^{n\dagger}) \mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda_{1},m_{\rho_{1}},m_{\omega_{1}},r), \qquad (23)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Xi_{c}'N\to\Xi_{c}^{*}N}^{I} = -\frac{l_{S}g_{\sigma NN}}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{m,n} C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n} \chi_{4m}^{\dagger} (\sigma_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{4}^{n\dagger}) \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_{2},m_{\sigma_{2}},r) + \frac{\beta_{S}g_{V}g_{\rho NN}}{4\sqrt{3}} \sum_{m,n} C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n} \chi_{4m}^{\dagger} (\sigma_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{4}^{n\dagger}) \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_{2},m_{\sigma_{2}},r) \\
+ \frac{g_{\pi NN}g_{1}}{8\sqrt{6}m_{N}f_{\pi}} \sum_{m,n} C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n} \chi_{4m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{F}(r,\sigma_{1},i\sigma_{2} \times \epsilon_{4}^{n\dagger}) \mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda_{2},m_{\sigma_{2}},m_{\eta_{2}},r) \\
+ \frac{\lambda_{S}g_{V}(g_{\rho NN} + f_{\rho NN})}{24\sqrt{3}m_{N}} \sum_{m,n} C_{1/2,m;1,n}^{3/2,m+n} \chi_{4m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{F}'(r,\sigma_{1},i\sigma_{2} \times \epsilon_{4}^{n\dagger}) \mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda_{2},m_{\rho_{2}},m_{\omega_{2}},r). \qquad (24)$$

In the above effective potentials, we define several useful functions, i.e.,

$$S(\hat{r}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) = 3(\hat{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{a})(\hat{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}) - \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \qquad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(r, a, b) = a \cdot b\nabla^2 + S(\hat{r}, a, b)r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{F}'(r, a, b) = 2a \cdot b\nabla^2 - S(\hat{r}, a, b)r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\pi}, m_{\eta}, r) = \mathcal{G}(I)Y(\Lambda, m_{\pi}, r) - \frac{1}{3}Y(\Lambda, m_{\eta}, r), (28)$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\prime I}(\Lambda, m_{\pi}, m_{\eta}, r) = \mathcal{G}(I)Y(\Lambda, m_{\pi}, r) + Y(\Lambda, m_{\eta}, r), \quad (29)$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{I}(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, r) = \mathcal{G}(I)Y(\Lambda, m_{\rho}, r) + Y(\Lambda, m_{\omega}, r).$$
(30)

Here, $Y(\Lambda, m, r) = \frac{e^{-mr} - e^{-\Lambda r}}{4\pi r} - \frac{\Lambda^2 - m^2}{8\pi\Lambda} e^{-\Lambda r}$, $\mathcal{G}(I)$ is the isospin factor, $\mathcal{G}(0) = -3$ and $\mathcal{G}(1) = 1$. The variables in Eqs. (23)-(24) are $q_0 = \frac{-m_{z_c}^2 + m_{z_c}^2}{2(m_{z_c}^2 + m_N)}$, $q_1 = \frac{-m_{z_c}^2 + m_{z_c}^2}{2(m_{z_c}^2 + m_N)}$, $q_2 = \frac{-m_{z_c}^2 + m_{z_c}^2}{2(m_{z_c}^2 + m_N)}$, $\Lambda_i^2 = \Lambda^2 - q_i^2$, $m_{E_i}^2 = m_E^2 - q_i^2$, (i = 0, 1, 2). The $a \cdot b$ and $S(\hat{r}, a, b)$ correspond to the spin-spin interactions and the tensor force operators, respectively. Sandwiching these operators between the corresponding spin-orbit wave functions, as shown in Eqs. (1)-(5), we can obtain the corresponding matrix elements, which are summarized in Table I.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Using the deduced OBE effective potentials, we numerically explore the properties of the $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ interactions and search for possible bound state solutions by solving the coupled channel Schrödinger equations. When considering loosely bound molecular states, viable solutions typically share two essential features: first, the binding energy is in the range of several MeV to several tens of MeV, and second, the root-mean-square (RMS) radius is approximately 1.00 fm or larger. In addition, experience with nucleon-nucleon interactions suggests that a reasonable cutoff value is taken around 1.00 GeV. Consequently, a bound state that satisfies the above features, in conjunction with the reasonable cutoff value, can be identified as a promising hadronic molecular candidate.

In this work, we not only search for potential charm-strange deuteron-like hexaquarks, mainly composed of the $\Xi_c N$ system, but also investigate the possible existence of $\Xi'_c N$ and $\Xi^*_c N$ molecules, the corresponding results are presented in Sections III A, III B, and III C, respectively.

TABLE I: Matrix elements for the spin-spin interactions and tensor force operators in the OBE effective potentials. Here, $\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \epsilon_4^{n\dagger} \rangle = -\langle \sigma_1 \cdot (i\sigma_2 \times \epsilon_4^{n\dagger}) \rangle, \langle \sigma_2 \cdot \epsilon_4^{n\dagger} \rangle = 0.$

Spin	$\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 angle$	$\langle S(\hat{r}, \boldsymbol{\sigma_1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma_2}) \rangle$
J = 0	(-3)	(0)
J = 1	$\left(\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sqrt{8} \\ \sqrt{8} & -2 \end{array}\right)$
Spin	$\langle \epsilon_2^n \cdot \epsilon_4^{b\dagger} angle$	$\langle i \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\epsilon_2^n imes \epsilon_4^{b\dagger} ight) angle$
J = 0	(1)	(1)
J = 1	$ \left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
<i>J</i> = 2	$ \left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Spin	$\langle S(\hat{r}, \pmb{\sigma_1}, i \epsilon_2^{\pmb{n}} \times \epsilon_4^{\pmb{b}\dagger}) \rangle$	$\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \epsilon_4^{n\dagger} angle$
J = 0	(-2)	(0)
J = 1	$ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & -\sqrt{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -1 \\ -\sqrt{2} & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} $	$ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) $
<i>J</i> = 2	$ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} & \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} & \frac{3}{7} \end{pmatrix} $	
Spin	$\langle S(\hat{r}, \pmb{\sigma_1}, \pmb{\epsilon_4^{n\dagger}}) \rangle$	$\langle S(\hat{r}, \boldsymbol{\sigma_1}, i\boldsymbol{\sigma_2} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon_4^{n\dagger}}) \rangle$
J = 0	$\left(\sqrt{6}\right)$	(0)
J = 1	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ -\sqrt{3} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$

A. The $\Xi_c N$ systems

For the $\Xi_c N$ system, the discussed quantum configurations $I(J^P)$ include $O(0^+)$, $O(1^+)$, $1(0^+)$, and $1(1^+)$. As mentioned earlier, in the single channel case, the one-pion-exchange (OPE) interactions are absent because the $\Xi_c \Xi_c \pi$ coupling is suppressed by the spin-parity conservation for the light quarks in the heavy quark symmetry. If we perform the coupled channel analysis on the $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ interactions, the OPE interactions can exist in the off-diagonal elements of the coupled channel effective potentials, as in the $\Xi_c N \to \Xi'_c N$ process. In the following, we compare the bound state solutions for the coupled $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N$ and $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ systems, where we can explore the role of the $\Xi^*_c N$ channels in the coupled channel interactions.

For the *S*-wave $\Xi_c N / \Xi'_c N$ coupled systems, we obtain the loosely bound state solutions at the cutoff $\Lambda < 5.00$ GeV by using the OPE effective potentials as shown in Table II. Obviously, these bound states solutions satisfy the properties for the appropriate loosely bound molecular states, and the S – wave $\Xi_c N$ components are the dominant channels. Among these bound states, the cutoff values for the isoscalar coupled bound states are close to the reasonable value of $\Lambda \sim 1.00$ GeV. On the other hand, for the isovector bound states, the cutoff values are somewhat far away from the reasonable cutoff value. Thus, the OPE effective potentials can provide strong enough attractive interactions to form the S-wave isoscalar $\Xi_c N / \Xi'_c N$ coupled states with $J^P = 0^+$ and 1^+ . The coupled channel effects play an important role in binding these two bound states, especially for the $\Xi_c N / \Xi'_c N$ coupled system with $I(J^P) = O(0^+)$, the $\Xi'_c N$ channel has a remarkable probability with the increasing of the binding energy.

When we add the contributions from the Ξ_c^*N channels, the $\Xi_c N/\Xi_c'N/\Xi_c^*N$ bound states bind deeper due to their smaller cutoff values than those for the $\Xi_c N/\Xi_c'N$ bound states with the same quantum number configurations. Thus, the Ξ_c^*N channels can play a positive role in binding these bound states. For example, one can obtain the loosely bound state solutions for the $\Xi_c N/\Xi_c'N/\Xi_c^*N$ bound state with $I(J^P) = 1(0^+)$ at the cutoff around 2.00 GeV, while for the $\Xi_c N/\Xi_c'N$ state with $I(J^P) = 1(0^+)$, the loosely bound state solutions appear when the cutoff is taken larger than 3.00 GeV.

Next, we consider the additional contributions from the scalar and vector mesons exchanges interactions. For the single $\Xi_c N$ systems, as shown in Eq. (19), the σ exchange interaction contributes an attractive force. The ω exchange exerts a repulsive force. The ρ exchange interaction is attractive in the isoscalar $\Xi_c N$ system, while it is three times weaker repulsive in the isovector $\Xi_c N$ system. Finally, our numerical results indicate that the OBE effective potentials can provide strong attractive interactions to bind the single $\Xi_c N$ systems, as shown in Fig. 1, we obtain their bound state solutions at the cutoff around 1.00 GeV. And the cutoff value for the isoscalar $\Xi_c N$ bound states is slightly smaller than that for the isovector bound states with the same binding energy, reflecting the stronger OBE interactions for the isoscalar $\Xi_c N$ systems.



FIG. 1: The binding energy dependence of the cutoff for the single $\Xi_c N$ systems in the OBE case.

$I(J^P)$	Λ	Ε	r _{RMS}	$\Xi_c N(^1S_0)$	$\Xi_{c}^{'}N(^{1}S_{0})$	Λ	Ε	r _{RMS}	$\Xi_c N(^1S_0)$	$\Xi_{c}^{'}N(^{1}S_{0})$	$\Xi_c^* N(^5 D_0)$
0(0+)	0.73	-0.05	5.96	93.25	6.75	0.71	-0.34	5.12	93.79	5.84	0.37
	0.76	-6.14	1.48	69.50	30.50	0.74	-5.11	1.76	77.78	21.43	0.79
	0.79	-18.72	0.88	55.83	44.17	0.77	-15.28	1.05	64.33	34.82	0.85
1(0+)	3.05	-0.10	5.78	98.26	1.74	1.94	-0.19	5.59	98.53	0.59	0.87
	3.15	-4.52	1.76	93.01	6.99	2.04	-3.74	2.11	94.99	2.13	2.88
	3.25	-14.70	0.97	88.68	11.32	2.14	-12.01	1.24	91.45	3.74	4.81
$I(J^P)$	Λ	Ε	r _{RMS}	$\Xi_c N(^3S_1)$	$\Xi_c N(^3D_1)$	$\Xi_c' N(^3S_1)$	$\Xi_c' N(^3D_1)$	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3S_1)$	$\Xi_c^* N(^3D_1)$	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_1)$	
0(1+)	1.50	-0.38	5.16	98.37	0.06	0.19	1.38				
	1.62	-4.32	2.12	94.82	0.12	0.92	4.13				
	1.74	-13.42	1.32	90.47	0.12	2.45	6.96				
0(1+)	0.75	-0.68	4.33	94.01	~0.00	0.85	0.21	4.71	0.02	0.20	
	0.78	-5.83	1.69	81.66	~0.00	2.68	0.31	14.98	0.04	0.33	
	0.81	-16.63	1.02	69.59	~0.00	4.46	0.26	25.36	0.03	0.30	
1(1+)	2.05	-0.47	4.56	89.51	0.58	7.83	2.08				
	2.10	-5.28	1.57	70.12	1.67	22.79	5.42				
	2.15	-14.19	0.97	56.98	2.41	33.21	7.40				
1(1+)	1.80	-0.16	5.72	98.16	~0.00	0.20	0.53	0.64	0.05	0.42	
	1.90	-4.57	1.92	92.59	0.01	0.95	2.01	2.70	0.17	1.57	
	2.00	-15.31	1.11	86.97	0.02	1.87	3.41	4.84	0.29	2.60	

TABLE II: The bound state solutions and the probabilities (in the OPE model) for the coupled $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N$ and $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N/\Xi'_c N$ systems with $I(J^P) = 0, 1(0^+, 1^+)$. The cutoff Λ , the binding energy E, and the root-mean-square r_{RMS} are in the units of GeV, MeV, and fm, respectively.

Following in the same procedure, we present the bound state solutions for the coupled $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N$ and $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N/\Xi'_c N$ systems with $0(0^+)$, $0(1^+)$, $1(0^+)$, and $1(1^+)$ by adopting the OBE effective potentials. As shown in Fig. 2, we also obtain the loosely bound state solutions with the cutoff around 1.00 GeV for all the systems studied. The cutoff values used in the OPE case are larger than those in the OBE case, confirming the positive contribution of scalar and vector mesons exchanges interactions. The cutoff values in the coupled $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N/\Xi'_c N$ systems are smaller than those in the coupled $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N$ systems with the same quantum numbers, supporting the idea that coupled channel effects from the $\Xi^+_c N$ system play a positive role in the formation of these bound states.

From the current results, we can predict four charm-strange molecular candidates, which are dominanted by the *S*-wave $\Xi_c N$ components with $I(I^P) = 0(0^+)$, $0(1^+)$, $1(0^+)$, and $1(1^+)$. And the OPE interactions and the coupled channel effects play a crucial role in the formation of the *S*-wave isoscalar $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N/\Xi'_c N$ bound states, while the OBE effective potentials provide strong enough interactions to bind the coupled $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N/\Xi'_c N$ bound states with $1(0^+)$ and $1(1^+)$.

B. The $\Xi'_c N$ systems

For the $\Xi'_c N$ system, there are the OPE interactions as shown in Eq. (20). In the OPE case, we don't find the bound state solutions for the single $\Xi'_c N$ system with $I(J^P) = 1(0^+)$ in the range of $\Lambda < 5.00$ GeV, as shown in Table III. For the remaining three systems, the loosely bound state solutions for the $\Xi'_c N$ states with the $0(0^+)$, $0(1^+)$, and $1(1^+)$ emerge as the cutoffs are taken around 0.66 GeV, 1.55 GeV, and 2.20 GeV, respectively. And the *S*-wave component is the dominant channel.

After delving into the coupled channel effects, the bound state properties for the coupled $\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ systems with $I(J^P) = 0, 1(0^+)$ do not change too much, since there is no the *S*-wave component for the $\Xi^*_c N$ system with $J^P = 0^+$. However, the coupled channel effects positively contribute to the binding of the $\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ couple with $0(1^+)$ and $1(1^+)$, since the weakly bound state solutions appear at a smaller cutoff than that observed in the single channel case. And the $\Xi'_c N(^3S_1)$ are still the dominant channels.

In the OBE case, the bound state solutions for the single $\Xi'_c N$ states appear when the cutoff values are taken around 1.00 GeV, as shown in Fig. 3. Compared to the results in the OPE case, these four bound states bind much deeper at

	$I(J^P)$	Λ	Ε	r _{RMS}	$I(J^P)$	Λ	Ε	r _{RMS}	$\Xi_{c}^{\prime}N(^{3}S_{1})$	$\Xi_c' N(^3D_1)$
F	0(0+)	0.66	-0.28	5.17	0(1+)	1.55	-0.44	5.17	96.78	3.22
anne		0.74	-5.83	1.74		1.75	-4.39	2.27	92.66	7.34
le ch		0.82	-18.86	1.06		1.95	-14.03	1.42	89.62	10.38
Sing	1(0+)				1(1+)	2.20	-0.22	5.47	98.34	1.66
						2.40	-6.89	1.59	94.43	5.57
						2.60	-24.75	0.91	91.71	8.29
	$I(J^P)$	Λ	Ε	r _{RMS}		$\Xi_{c}^{'}N(^{3}S_{1})$	$\Xi_c' N(^3D_1)$	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3S_1)$	$\Xi_c^* N(^3D_1)$	$\Xi_c^* N(^3D_1)$
	$I(J^P)$ $0(1^+)$	Λ 0.89	Е -0.29	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 5.23		$\Xi_c' N({}^3S_1)$ 86.81	$\Xi_c' N(^3D_1)$ 1.83	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3S_1)$ 11.31	$\Xi_c^* N(^3 D_1) $ ~ 0.00	$\frac{\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_1)}{0.04}$
nnel	$ \begin{array}{c} I(J^P) \\ 0(1^+) \end{array} $	Λ 0.89 0.92	Е -0.29 -5.63	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 5.23 1.47		$\Xi_c' N({}^3S_1)$ 86.81 50.13	$\Xi_c' N(^3D_1)$ 1.83 2.43	$\frac{\Xi_c^* N({}^3S_1)}{11.31}$ 47.37	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_1)$ ~ 0.00 0.01	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_1)$ 0.04 0.07
channel	$\frac{I(J^P)}{0(1^+)}$	Λ 0.89 0.92 0.95	<i>E</i> -0.29 -5.63 -16.32	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 5.23 1.47 0.86		$\frac{\Xi_c' N({}^3S_1)}{86.81}$ 50.13 32.53	$\frac{\Xi_c' N(^3D_1)}{1.83}$ 2.43 1.76	$\frac{\Xi_c^* N({}^3S_1)}{11.31}$ 47.37 65.55	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_1)$ ~ 0.00 0.01 0.02	$\frac{\Xi_c^* N(^3D_1)}{0.04}$ 0.07 0.15
uple channel	$ I(J^P) \\ 0(1^+) \\ 1(1^+) $	Λ 0.89 0.92 0.95 1.90	<i>E</i> -0.29 -5.63 -16.32 -0.43	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 5.23 1.47 0.86 4.86		$\Xi_c' N({}^3S_1) \\ 86.81 \\ 50.13 \\ 32.53 \\ 97.75 \\$			$\frac{\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_1)}{\sim 0.00}$ 0.01 0.02 0.05	
Couple channel	$ I(J^P) \\ 0(1^+) \\ 1(1^+) $	Λ 0.89 0.92 0.95 1.90 2.00	<i>E</i> -0.29 -5.63 -16.32 -0.43 -3.55	r _{RMS} 5.23 1.47 0.86 4.86 2.15		$\frac{\Xi'_c N({}^3S_1)}{86.81}$ 50.13 32.53 97.75 94.72		$\frac{\Xi_c^* N({}^3S_1)}{11.31}$ 47.37 65.55 0.56 1.60	$\begin{array}{c} \Xi_c^* N(^3D_1) \\ & \sim 0.00 \\ & 0.01 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 0.05 \\ & 0.12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \Xi_c^* N(^3D_1) \\ \hline 0.04 \\ 0.07 \\ \hline 0.15 \\ \hline 0.28 \\ 0.73 \end{array}$

TABLE III: The bound state solutions and the probabilities (in the OPE model) for the coupled $\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ systems with $I(J^P) = 0, 1(1^+)$. The cutoff Λ , the binding energy *E* and the root-mean-square r_{RMS} are in the units of GeV, MeV, and fm, respectively.



FIG. 2: The bound state solutions for the coupled $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N$ and $\Xi_c N/\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ systems with $I(I^P) = 0(0^+), 0(1^+), 1(0^+), \text{ and } 1(1^+).$

cases, respectively. And the corresponding cutoff values in these two cases are slightly smaller than those observed in the single $\Xi'_c N$ bound state. This suggests that the coupled channel effects play a favorable role in the binding of this state.



the smaller cutoff values, indicating that the OBE interactions provide much stronger attractive interactions. For example, if we include the effective potentials from scalar σ and vector mesons ρ , ω exchanges, for the $\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ couple system with 1(1⁺), we can find the loosely bound state solutions at the cutoff around 2.00 GeV and 1.00 GeV in the OPE and OBE

FIG. 3: The bound state solutions for the single $\Xi'_c N$ and coupled $\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ systems with $I(I^P) = 0(0^+), 0(1^+), 1(0^+), \text{ and } 1(1^+)$.

In summary, since the cutoff values for these four coupled systems are close to those found in nucleon-nucleon interactions [47, 48], and the RMS radius r_{RMS} is around or larger than 1.00 fm, the coupled $\Xi'_c N/\Xi^*_c N$ systems with $I(I^P) = 0(0^+), 0(1^+), 1(0^+)$, and $1(1^+)$ can be considered as good hadronic molecular candidates. The coupled channel effects here do not significantly affect these four bound states.

C. The $\Xi_c^* N$ systems

For the $\Xi_c^* N$ systems, the quantum number configurations discussed are $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$, $0(2^+)$, $1(1^+)$, and $1(2^+)$. Using the OPE effective potentials, as shown in Table IV, we can obtain the weakly binding energies and reasonable RMS radii for the $\Xi_c^* N$ systems with $0(1^+)$ and $0(2^+)$ when the cutoff values fall into the reasonable cutoff region. Thus, the isoscalar $\Xi_c^* N$ states with 1^+ and 2^+ can be suggested as the good molecular candidates. While for the isovector $\Xi_c^* N$ systems with $1(1^+)$ and $1(2^+)$, the OPE interactions are too weak to form the loosely bound states.

TABLE IV: In the OPE case, the bound state solutions and the probabilities of the studied systems for the single $\Xi_c^* N$ systems. The cutoff Λ , the binding energy E, and the root-mean-square r_{RMS} are given in the units of GeV, MeV, and fm, respectively.

$I(J^P)$	Λ	Ε	r _{RMS}	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3S_1)$	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_1)$	$\Xi_c^* N({}^5D_1)$
0(1+)	0.70	-0.39	4.95	99.09	0.08	0.84
	0.80	-7.23	1.64	98.30	0.15	1.55
	0.90	-23.96	1.00	98.14	0.17	1.69
$1(1^{+})$	4.25	-0.43	4.95	97.36	0.36	2.28
	4.40	-3.70	2.16	93.05	0.96	5.99
	4.55	-11.28	1.29	88.68	1.58	9.74
$I(J^P)$	Λ	Ε	r _{RMS}	$\Xi_c^* N({}^5S_2)$	$\Xi_c^*N(^3D_2)$	$\Xi_c^* N({}^5D_2)$
$\frac{I(J^P)}{0(2^+)}$	Λ 1.40	Е -0.82	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 4.44	$\Xi_c^* N({}^5S_2)$ 94.80	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_2)$ 1.04	$\Xi_c^* N({}^5D_2)$ 4.16
$\frac{I(J^P)}{0(2^+)}$	Λ 1.40 1.55	Е -0.82 -4.65	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 4.44 2.27	$\Xi_c^* N({}^5S_2)$ 94.80 89.85	$\Xi_c^* N({}^3D_2)$ 1.04 1.95	$\Xi_c^* N({}^5D_2)$ 4.16 8.20
$\frac{I(J^P)}{0(2^+)}$	Λ 1.40 1.55 1.70	<i>E</i> -0.82 -4.65 -12.88	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 4.44 2.27 1.52	$\Xi_c^* N({}^5S_2)$ 94.80 89.85 85.89	$\Xi_c^* N(^3D_2)$ 1.04 1.95 2.64	$\Xi_c^* N(^5D_2)$ 4.16 8.20 11.46
$I(J^{P})$ 0(2 ⁺) 1(2 ⁺)	Λ 1.40 1.55 1.70 1.95	<i>E</i> -0.82 -4.65 -12.88 -0.46	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 4.44 2.27 1.52 4.74	$\frac{\Xi_c^* N({}^5S_2)}{94.80}$ 89.85 85.89 98.39		$\frac{\Xi_c^* N(^5 D_2)}{4.16}$ 8.20 11.46 1.06
$I(J^{P})$ 0(2 ⁺) 1(2 ⁺)	Λ 1.40 1.55 1.70 1.95 2.10	<i>E</i> -0.82 -4.65 -12.88 -0.46 -5.55	<i>r_{RMS}</i> 4.44 2.27 1.52 4.74 1.74	$\frac{\Xi_c^* N({}^5S_2)}{94.80}$ 89.85 85.89 98.39 96.19	$\frac{\Xi_c^* N(^3D_2)}{1.04}$ 1.95 2.64 0.55 1.30	$\frac{\Xi_c^* N({}^5D_2)}{4.16}$ 8.20 11.46 1.06 2.51

When we adopt the OBE effective potentials, as shown in Fig. 4, we find that the Ξ_c^*N states with $0(1^+)$ and $0(2^+)$ bind much deeper. The weakly bound state properties for the isovector Ξ_c^*N bound states with $J^P = 1^+$ and 2^+ appear at the cutoff $\Lambda \sim 1.00$ GeV. Thus, these two isovector bound states may also be good molecular candidates. The σ , ρ and ω exchanges play an important role.



FIG. 4: The bound state solutions for the single $\Xi_c^* N$ systems with $I(J^P) = 0, 1(1^+, 2^+).$

IV. SUMMARY

The study of the hadron-hadron interactions can provide invaluable insights into the intrinsic structures of the new hadron states. With the continuous increase in experimental energy levels and the accumulation of experimental data, we can expect further breakthroughs in the experimental study of these new hadronic states. In this work, we systematically study the interactions between charm-strange baryons in the *S*-wave configuration and nucleons using the OBE model. In addition, we consider both the *S*-*D* wave mixing effects and the coupled channel effects.

Finally, our results indicate that

- 1. All the *S*-wave $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ states can be recommended as possible molecular candidates.
- 2. With the same input parameters, the *S*-wave isoscalar $\Xi_c^{(\prime,*)}N$ states bind correspondingly deeper compared to the isovector bound states.
- 3. The coupled channel effects play a key role in the formation of the isoscalar $\Xi_c N$ bound states with $J^P = 0^+$ and 1^+ .
- 4. The long-range interactions arising from the OPE model play an important role in binding the isoscalar *S*-wave $\Xi_c^{'(*)}N$ bound states.
- 5. The short-range and intermediate-range interactions arising from the exchange of scalar meson and vector mesons are very important in binding the isovector *S*-wave $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ bound states.

In conclusion, our investigations can not only predict the existence of the possible *S*-wave $\Xi_c^{(',*)}N$ molecular candidates, but also explore the roles of the OPE exchange interactions, the scalar and vector mesons exchange interactions, and the coupled channel effects in the generation of these loosely bound states. The predicted mass spectrum behaviors can provide valuable information for the search for the charmed hypernuclei in future experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.C. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12305139 and the Xiaoxi-

- L. C. Gomes, J. D. Walecka and V. F. Weisskopf, Properties of nuclear matter, Annals Phys. 3, 241-274 (1958)
- [2] G. Krein, Review of hadrons in medium, AIP Conf. Proc. **1701**, no.1, 020012 (2016)
- [3] S. A. Moszkowski and B. L. Scott, Nuclear forces and the properties of nuclear matter, Annals Phys. **11**, 65-115 (1960)
- [4] H. A. Bethe, Theory of nuclear matter, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 21, 93-244 (1971)
- [5] A. D. Jackson, NUCLEAR MATTER THEORY: A STATUS REPORT, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 33, 105-141 (1983)
- [6] R. Brockmann and R. Machleidt, Relativistic nuclear structure.1: Nuclear matter, Phys. Rev. C 42, 1965-1980 (1990)
- [7] A. Hosaka, T. Hyodo, K. Sudoh, Y. Yamaguchi and S. Yasui, Heavy Hadrons in Nuclear Matter, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 96, 88-153 (2017),
- [8] G. Krein, A. W. Thomas and K. Tsushima, Nuclear-bound quarkonia and heavy-flavor hadrons, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 100, 161-210 (2018)
- [9] C. B. Dover and S. H. Kahana, Possibility of Charmed Hypernuclei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1506-1509 (1977)
- [10] C. H. Cai, L. Li, Y. H. Tan and P. Z. Ning, Could Λ⁺_c hypernuclei exist?, EPL 64, 448-453 (2003)
- [11] K. Tsushima and F. C. Khanna, Study of Λ_c , Σ_c , Ξ_c and Λ_b hypernuclei in the quark meson coupling model, J. Phys. G **30**, 1765-1786 (2004)
- [12] V. B. Kopeliovich and A. M. Shunderuk, Strange and Heavy Flavoured Hypernuclei in Chiral Soliton Models, Eur. Phys. J. A 33, no.3, 277-281 (2007)
- [13] H. Garcilazo, A. Valcarce and T. F. Caramés, J = 3/2 charmed hypertriton, Phys. Rev. C 92, no.2, 024006 (2015)
- [14] E. Epelbaum, A. M. Gasparyan, H. Krebs and C. Schat, Three-nucleon force at large distances: Insights from chiral effective field theory and the large- N_c expansion, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, no.3, 26 (2015)
- [15] Y. R. Liu and M. Oka, $\Lambda_c N$ bound states revisited, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 014015 (2012)
- [16] S. Maeda, M. Oka, A. Yokota, E. Hiyama and Y. R. Liu, A model of charmed baryon–nucleon potential and two- and three-body bound states with charmed baryon, PTEP 2016, no.2, 023D02 (2016)
- [17] S. Maeda, M. Oka and Y. R. Liu, Resonance states in the Y_cN potential model, Phys. Rev. C 98, no.3, 035203 (2018)
- [18] T. Miyamoto, S. Aoki, T. Doi, S. Gongyo, T. Hatsuda, Y. Ikeda, T. Inoue, T. Iritani, N. Ishii and D. Kawai, *et al.* $\Lambda_c N$ inter-

ang Scholars Programme of Hunan Normal University. X.L. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 12335001 and 12247101, National Key Research and Development Program of China under Contract No. 2020YFA0406400, the 111 Project under Grant No. B20063, the fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the project for top-notch innovative talents of Gansu province.

- action from lattice QCD and its application to Λ_c hypernuclei, Nucl. Phys. A **971**, 113-129 (2018)
- [19] T. Miyamoto [HAL QCD], Coupled-channel $\Lambda_c N \Sigma_c N$ interaction from lattice QCD, PoS Hadron2017, 146 (2018)
- [20] J. Haidenbauer and G. Krein, Scattering of charmed baryons on nucleons, Eur. Phys. J. A 54, no.11, 199 (2018)
- [21] L. Meng, B. Wang and S. L. Zhu, Σ_cN interaction in chiral effective field theory, Phys. Rev. C 101, no.6, 064002 (2020)
- [22] S. H. Hayakawa *et al.* [J-PARC E07], Observation of Coulomb-Assisted Nuclear Bound State of Ξ--N14 System, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, no.6, 062501 (2021)
- [23] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, The hidden-charm pentaquark and tetraquark states, Phys. Rept. 639, 1-121 (2016).
- [24] F. Κ. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, Zhao and B. S. Zou, Wang, Q. Hadronic О. Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, no.1, 015004 (2018), molecules, [erratum: Rev. Mod. Phys. 94, no.2, 029901 (2022)].
- [25] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, An updated review of the new hadron states, Rept. Prog. Phys. 86, no.2, 026201 (2023).
- [26] Y. R. Liu, H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Pentaquark and Tetraquark states, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 107, 237-320 (2019).
- [27] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, A review of the open charm and open bottom systems, Rept. Prog. Phys. 80, no.7, 076201 (2017).
- [28] X. Liu, An overview of *XYZ* new particles, Chin. Sci. Bull. **59**, 3815 (2014).
- [29] A. Hosaka, T. Iijima, K. Miyabayashi, Y. Sakai and S. Yasui, Exotic hadrons with heavy flavors: X, Y, Z, and related states, PTEP 2016, 062C01 (2016).
- [30] H. Yukawa, On the Interaction of Elementary Particles I, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jap. 17, 48-57 (1935)
- [31] V. G. J. Stoks, R. A. M. Klomp, C. P. F. Terheggen and J. J. de Swart, Construction of high quality N N potential models, Phys. Rev. C 49, 2950-2962 (1994)
- [32] R. B. Wiringa, V. G. J. Stoks and R. Schiavilla, An Accurate nucleon-nucleon potential with charge independence breaking, Phys. Rev. C 51, 38-51 (1995)
- [33] R. Machleidt, K. Holinde and C. Elster, The Bonn Meson Exchange Model for the Nucleon Nucleon Interaction, Phys. Rept. 149, 1 (1987).
- [34] R. Chen, X. Liu, X. Q. Li and S. L. Zhu,

Identifying exotic hidden-charm pentaquarks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no.13, 132002 (2015)

- [35] R. Chen and X. Liu, Is the newly reported X(5568) a BK molecular state?, Phys. Rev. D 94, no.3, 034006 (2016)
- [36] R. Chen, Z. F. Sun, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Strong LHCb evidence supporting the existence of the hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks, Phys. Rev. D 100, no.1, 011502 (2019)
- [37] K. Chen, R. Chen, Z. F. Sun and X. Liu, $\bar{K}\Lambda$ molecular explanation to the newly observed $\Xi(1620)^0$, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.7, 074006 (2019)
- [38] R. Chen, Q. Huang, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Predicting another doubly charmed molecular resonance Tcc'+ (3876), Phys. Rev. D 104, no.11, 114042 (2021)
- [39] R. Chen, Can the newly reported $P_{cs}(4459)$ be a strange hidden-charm $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ molecular pentaquark?, Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.5, 054007 (2021)
- [40] R. Chen and Q. Huang, $Z_{cs}(3985)^-$: A strange hidden-charm tetraquark resonance or not?, Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.3, 034008 (2021)
- [41] R. Chen and Q. Huang, Charmoniumlike resonant explanation on the newly observed X(3960), Phys. Lett. B 846, 138254 (2023)
- [42] M. Z. Liu, Y. W. Pan, F. Z. Peng, M. Sánchez Sánchez, L. S. Geng, A. Hosaka and M. Pavon Valderrama, Emergence of a complete heavy-quark spin symmetry multiplet: seven molecular pentaquarks in light of the latest LHCb analysis,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, no.24, 242001 (2019)

- [43] J. He, Study of $P_c(4457)$, $P_c(4440)$, and $P_c(4312)$ in a quasipotential Bethe-Salpeter equation approach, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.5, 393 (2019)
- [44] Y. Yamaguchi, H. García-Tecocoatzi, A. Giachino, A. Hosaka, E. Santopinto, S. Takeuchi and M. Takizawa, *P_c* pentaquarks with chiral tensor and quark dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 101, no.9, 091502 (2020)
- [45] T. J. Burns and E. S. Swanson, Molecular interpretation of the $P_c(4440)$ and $P_c(4457)$ states, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.11, 114033 (2019)
- [46] R. L. Workman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], PTEP 2022, 083C01 (2022)
- [47] N. A. Tornqvist, From the Deuteron to Deusons, an Analysis of Deuteron-like Meson Meson Bound States, Z. Phys. C 61, 525 (1994).
- [48] N. A. Tornqvist, On Deusons or Deuteron-like Meson Meson Bound States, Nuovo Cim. A 107, 2471 (1994).
- [49] R. Machleidt, The High precision, charge dependent Bonn nucleon-nucleon potential (CD-Bonn), Phys. Rev. C 63, 024001 (2001).
- [50] X. Cao, B. S. Zou and H. S. Xu, Phenomenological analysis of the double pion production in nucleon-nucleon collisions up to 2.2 GeV, Phys. Rev. C 81, 065201 (2010).