

A support preserving homotopy for the de Rham complex with boundary decay estimates

Andrea Nützi

Abstract

We study the de Rham complex of relative differential forms on compact manifolds with boundary. Chain homotopies for this complex are highly non-unique, and different homotopies can have different analytic properties, particularly near the boundary. We construct a chain homotopy that has desirable support propagation properties, and that satisfies estimates relative to weighted Sobolev norms, where the weights measure decay at the boundary. The estimates are optimal given the homogeneity properties of the de Rham differential under boundary dilation, and are obtained by showing that the homotopy is a b-pseudodifferential operator. As a corollary we obtain a right inverse of the divergence operator on Euclidean space that preserves support on large balls around the origin, and satisfies estimates that measure decay at infinity. Such a support preserving right inverse was constructed before by Bogovskii, but its mapping properties are not optimal with respect to decay.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	6
2.1	Homotopy equivalences	6
2.2	b-pseudodifferential operators	7
3	Collar neighborhood of boundary	12
3.1	Definition of operator	13
3.2	Auxiliary geometric constructions	15
3.3	Analysis of Schwartz kernel	17
4	Proof of Theorem 1	22
4.1	Homotopy equivalence between relative and compactly supported forms	22
4.2	Contraction from relative forms to homology	24
4.3	Composition of homotopy equivalences	25
5	Bogovskii type homotopy for de Rham on $\mathbb{R}^n$	26

1 Introduction

Consider a smooth compact manifold M with boundary ∂M . The de Rham complex on M is given by the smooth differential forms $\Omega(M)$ together with the de Rham differential d . We consider its subcomplex of relative forms, given by all forms whose tangential parts vanish at the boundary,

$$\Omega(M, \partial M) = \{\omega \in \Omega(M) \mid i^* \omega = 0\}$$

where $i : \partial M \hookrightarrow M$ is the natural inclusion. This is indeed a subcomplex because i^* and d commute. The relative homology

$$H(M, \partial M) = \frac{\{\omega \in \Omega(M, \partial M) \mid d\omega = 0\}}{\{d\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega(M, \partial M)\}}$$

is finite-dimensional, and isomorphic to the homology of the complex of compactly supported forms in the interior of M .

A chain homotopy for the complex of relative forms on M is, for our purpose, a linear map G from relative k -forms to relative $(k-1)$ -forms such that

$$\mathbb{1} - \Pi = dG + Gd$$

where Π is a projection onto a complement of the image of d in the kernel of d , in other words, it is a projection onto relative homology. This equation implies in particular that the restriction of G to closed forms is a right inverse of d , up to finitely many integrability conditions. Such a chain homotopy is highly non-unique. We construct a homotopy that has desirable properties with regard to support and estimates, in the following informal sense:

- (i) It is support preserving near the boundary: For all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, if the input vanishes in an ϵ -neighborhood of a boundary component, then also the output vanishes there. The ϵ -neighborhood is defined with respect to a boundary defining function.
- (ii) It is a pseudodifferential operator in the interior: For every smooth function φ with compact support in the interior of M , the map $\varphi G \varphi$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order -1 . This implies mapping properties, for example $\varphi G \varphi$ gains one derivative with respect to Sobolev norms.
- (iii) It is a pseudodifferential operator up to the boundary: The map G satisfies estimates for weighted Sobolev norms, where the weights measure decay at the boundary. The estimates are optimal with respect to the homogeneity properties, under boundary dilation, of the de Rham differential acting on relative forms. They are obtained by showing that G is a b-pseudodifferential operator in the sense of Melrose [12], see also [11], a natural class of pseudodifferential operators on manifolds with boundary that have controlled homogeneity, with a Schwartz kernel that vanishes in a neighborhood of the so-called left face. This is consistent with the fact that the de Rham differential acting on relative forms is b-differential.

Previous constructions yield chain homotopies which have some but not all of these properties. A well-known construction uses the Hodge decomposition.

Given a smooth Riemannian metric on M , then [15, Section 5.9] the Greens function of the Hodge Laplacian with relative boundary conditions post-composed with the codifferential is a chain homotopy that satisfies (ii) but does not satisfy (i) and has a different structure at the boundary than required in (iii). If one uses a metric with cylindrical ends rather than a smooth metric, then [12, Section 6.4] the Hodge decomposition yields a homotopy for a related complex that does not satisfy (i) but satisfies (ii) and part of (iii), namely it is b-pseudodifferential, but the kernel does not vanish near the left face.

Suppose that the manifold M is the radial compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the restriction of the chain homotopy G to a map from n -forms to $(n-1)$ -forms is a right inverse of the divergence operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for the Euclidean metric, up to the usual integrability condition. We compare with a construction of Bogovskii [1, 2], who introduced an explicit right inverse of the divergence designed to satisfy (i). Concretely, for f a smooth compactly supported function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ set

$$B(f)(x) = -x \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s^{n+1}} f\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0$$

This vector field is smooth away from the origin, and has an integrable singularity at the origin. It is a right inverse in the sense of distributions. Then the right inverse introduced by Bogovskii is given by the average

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(a)(T_a \circ B \circ T_{-a})(f) da \quad (1)$$

where T_a denotes translation by a , and ζ is a bump function around the origin with integral equal to one. It was pointed out in [14] that this inverse satisfies (ii). It does not satisfy (iii), essentially because the average over translations degenerates at infinity (see Corollary 2 and the discussion thereafter). This inverse was recently used in [8, 14] in the context of Euler flows respectively the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation; and in [9, 10] to prove various gluing results for the constraint equations of general relativity.

In [4], see also [3], more general underdetermined elliptic operators on compact domains are studied. They give sufficient conditions under which the inhomogeneous problem with smooth compactly supported source has a smooth compactly supported solution, using exponentially weighted Sobolev norms.

Our main motivation to study such chain homotopies are the constraint equations of general relativity. Specifically we are interested in solutions that are Schwartz class up to an explicit Kerr-tail at infinity, c.f. [13]. Constructing such solutions via an iteration scheme requires inverting the linearized constraints, a divergence-type operator, with precise control over the asymptotics at infinity. An interesting open problem is whether solutions of the Einstein equations with such initial data admit a smooth conformal compactification at null infinity.

We now state the main theorem, which yields existence of a chain homotopy for the complex of relative forms on M that has the properties (i), (ii), (iii). Recall that a boundary defining function for a boundary component $Y \subseteq \partial M$ is a smooth function $\rho : M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies $Y = \rho^{-1}(0)$ and for which $d\rho|_Y$ is nowhere zero. Given such a boundary defining function there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\rho^{-1}([0, \epsilon_0])$ is a collar neighborhood of Y , meaning that there is a diffeomorphism $\rho^{-1}([0, \epsilon_0]) \rightarrow [0, \epsilon_0] \times Y$ that restricts to the natural identification $Y \rightarrow \{0\} \times Y$, and whose first component $\rho^{-1}([0, \epsilon_0]) \rightarrow [0, \epsilon_0]$ is given by ρ .

Theorem 1. *Let M be a smooth connected compact manifold with boundary that has $N \geq 1$ boundary components, $\partial M = Y_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Y_N$. For each $i = 1 \dots N$ fix a boundary defining function ρ_i for Y_i . Fix $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each i the set $\rho_i^{-1}([0, \epsilon_0])$ is a collar neighborhood of Y_i and such that the collar neighborhoods are pairwise disjoint. Then there exists an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map*

$$G : \Omega^k(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M, \partial M) \quad (2)$$

defined for every integer k , with the following properties:

(a1) *Algebraic control: The map $\Pi : \Omega^k(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M, \partial M)$ defined by*

$$\mathbb{1} - \Pi = dG + Gd$$

satisfies $\Pi^2 = \Pi$ and $\Pi d = 0$ and $d\Pi = 0$. In particular, Π is a projection onto a complement of the image of d in the kernel of d .

(a2) *Support control: For all $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$, all $i = 1 \dots N$, and all $\omega \in \Omega(M, \partial M)$,*

$$\omega|_{\rho_i \leq \epsilon} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (G\omega)|_{\rho_i \leq \epsilon} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Moreover for all $i = 1 \dots N$ and $\omega \in \Omega(M, \partial M)$ one has $(\Pi\omega)|_{\rho_i \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}} = 0$.

(a3) *Analytic control: The maps G and Π are b -pseudodifferential operators,*

$$G \in \Psi_b^{-1, (0, \emptyset, 0)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M))$$

$$\Pi \in \Psi_b^{-\infty, (\emptyset, 0)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M))$$

See Definition 1 for explanation of this notation. Here $\wedge T^(M, \partial M)$ is defined as the vector bundle whose space of smooth sections is $\Omega(M, \partial M)$, as in Swan's theorem.*

The proof appears in Section 4.3.

We explain the mapping properties of G implied by (a3), see Lemma 8 in Section 2.2 for details. To state them we need the following definitions. Let $\mathcal{V}_b$ be the space of b -vector fields, given by all smooth vector fields on M that are tangential to the boundary ∂M . In local coordinates $(\rho, y^1, \dots, y^{n-1})$ around a boundary point, where ρ is a boundary defining function and $y^1, \dots, y^{n-1}$ with $n = \dim M$ restrict to coordinates on ∂M , a local C^∞ -basis of $\mathcal{V}_b$ is given by

$$\rho \partial_\rho, \partial_{y^1}, \dots, \partial_{y^{n-1}} \quad (4)$$

Note that these vector fields are invariant under scaling ρ by a positive number. For $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the b -Sobolev norm for smooth functions on M is defined by

$$\|f\|_{H_b^s(M)}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{V_1, \dots, V_j \in V} \|V_1 \cdots V_j f\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \quad (5)$$

where V is a finite C^∞ -generating set of $\mathcal{V}_b$, and where the L^2 -norm is defined using a smooth positive measure on M . One generalizes to $s \in \mathbb{R}$ by interpolation and duality. Then for $s, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ the weighted b -Sobolev norms are $\|f\|_{\rho^\delta H_b^s(M)} = \|\rho^{-\delta} f\|_{H_b^s(M)}$ with ρ a boundary defining function for ∂M .

Corollary 1. *Let G be as in Theorem 1. For all $s, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta > -\frac{1}{2}$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega(M, \partial M)$,*

$$\|G\omega\|_{\rho^\delta H_b^{s+1}(M)} \leq C \|\omega\|_{\rho^\delta H_b^s(M)} \quad (6)$$

where the norms are taken componentwise using local C^∞ -bases of $\Omega(M, \partial M)$.

Note that near the boundary a basis for the relative forms is

$$d\rho \wedge dy^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{i_k}, \quad \rho dy^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{i_k} \quad (7)$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ and k runs over the integers. Each basis element has the same homogeneity under scaling ρ by a positive number.

The estimates (6) are natural estimates for a chain homotopy for the de Rham complex of relative forms. Namely $d : \Omega(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \Omega(M, \partial M)$ is a first order b-differential operator, which means that in the basis (7) it only differentiates along the b-vector fields (4), see Lemma 5. Hence it satisfies

$$\|d\omega\|_{\rho^\delta H_b^s(M)} \leq C \|\omega\|_{\rho^\delta H_b^{s+1}(M)}$$

which is precisely the converse of (6).

Chain homotopy for de Rham on $\mathbb{R}^n$. As a special case one can apply Theorem 1 to the radial compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$, which is informally given by attaching to $\mathbb{R}^n$ a sphere at infinity. In this case the chain homotopy (2) restricts to, in particular, a chain homotopy for the complex of compactly supported forms on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and also, a chain homotopy for the complex of forms whose components are Schwartz functions. This homotopy preserves support on large balls around the origin. The estimates (6) are equivalent to estimates for standard weighted b-Sobolev norms on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The b-Sobolev norm for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is¹

$$\|f\|_{H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha| \leq s}} \|(\langle x \rangle \partial_{x^1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\langle x \rangle \partial_{x^n})^{\alpha_n} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad (8)$$

where x are the standard Cartesian coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$, and the L^2 -norm is defined using the standard Lebesgue measure $dx^1 \cdots dx^n$. One generalizes to $s \in \mathbb{R}$ by interpolation and duality. Then for $s, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ the weighted b-Sobolev norms are $\|f\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\langle x \rangle^\delta f\|_{H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Corollary 2. *Let G be as in Theorem 1 with M the radial compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For all $k = 0 \dots n$ and $s, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta > k - \frac{n}{2}$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all compactly supported forms $\omega \in \Omega_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|G\omega\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta+1} H_b^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\omega\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (9)$$

where the norms are taken componentwise using the basis given by all wedge products of zero or more of the differentials $dx^1, \dots, dx^n$.

¹Beware that this is not the same norm as (5) with M the radial compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$, which uses an L^2 -norm relative to a smooth measure on the compactification, see Lemma 19.

See Theorem 2 in Section 5 for details, which one can read without reading Sections 2, 3, 4. Specializing further to top degree forms, this chain homotopy is a right inverse of the divergence operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for the Euclidean metric, up to the usual integrability condition. The mapping properties (9) of this inverse are better than those of the Bogovskii inverse (1), for which (9) fails, see Remark 4.

Proof strategy. The construction of the chain homotopy G in Theorem 1 has essentially two parts. Near the boundary, it uses fiber integration familiar from the proof of the Poincaré lemma, and averages it over suitable topological homotopies; this average does not degenerate at the boundary, giving the optimal weighted estimates (Section 3 and 4.1). In the interior, the construction uses standard Hodge theory (Section 4.2). The two parts are patched together by formulating and composing them as homotopy equivalences (Section 4.3).

Acknowledgements. I thank Rafe Mazzeo for many discussions, and for very useful comments on drafts of this article. I was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, project number P500PT-214470.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Homotopy equivalences

In this purely algebraic section we recall the notion of a homotopy equivalence between two complexes, and how homotopy equivalences are composed.

A complex (C, d) is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded real vector space $C = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C^k$ together with a differential d , which is a linear map $C \rightarrow C$ of degree one with $d^2 = 0$, where degree one means that it maps $C^k \rightarrow C^{k+1}$ for every k . A homotopy equivalence between two complexes (C, d) and (C', d') is a four-tuple of linear maps

$$(r : C \rightarrow C', \ell : C' \rightarrow C, u : C \rightarrow C, v : C' \rightarrow C') \quad (10)$$

where r, ℓ have degree zero and u, v have degree negative one, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell \text{ and } r &\text{ are chain maps} \\ \mathbb{1} - \ell r &= du + ud \\ \mathbb{1} - r \ell &= d'v + vd' \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Pictorially, one has a non-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u \text{ homotopy} \curvearrowright (C, d) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{r \text{ chain map}} \\ \xleftarrow{\ell \text{ chain map}} \end{array} & (C', d') \curvearrowright v \text{ homotopy} \end{array}$$

A contraction is a special instance of a homotopy equivalence. Namely, a contraction from (C, d) to (C', d') is a triple of maps

$$(r, \ell, u) \quad (12)$$

such that $(r, \ell, u, 0)$ is a homotopy equivalence between (C, d) and (C', d') . Note that in this case ℓr is a projection, $(\ell r)^2 = \ell r$.

Lemma 3 (Composing homotopy equivalences). *Consider three complexes*

$$(C, d) \quad (C', d') \quad (C'', d'')$$

Let (r_1, ℓ_1, u_1, v_1) be a homotopy equivalence between (C, d) and (C', d') , and let (r_2, ℓ_2, u_2, v_2) be a homotopy equivalence between (C', d') and (C'', d'') . Then

$$(r_3 = r_2 r_1, \ell_3 = \ell_1 \ell_2, u_3 = u_1 + \ell_1 u_2 r_1, v_3 = v_2 + r_2 v_1 \ell_2)$$

is a homotopy equivalence between (C, d) and (C'', d'') . Furthermore, if $d'' = 0$ then (r_3, ℓ_3, u_3) is a contraction from (C, d) to $(C'', 0)$.

Proof. The properties (11) hold by direct computation. $\square$

Note that composition of homotopy equivalences is associative.

2.2 b-pseudodifferential operators

We recall basic notions of the calculus of b-pseudodifferential operators of Melrose, see [12, 11, 7], where we follow the conventions in [11]. We will only need a subspace of the full calculus, given by Schwartz kernels that are smooth at the boundary faces. All manifolds are assumed to be smooth and connected.

Distributions. Let X be a compact manifold with corners. We denote by $\dot{C}^\infty(X)$ the space of smooth real-valued functions that vanish to infinite order at the boundary. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ let $|\Omega|^s \rightarrow X$ be the s -density bundle². The space of (extendible) distributional s -densities $\mathcal{D}(X, |\Omega|^s)$ is given by all continuous linear maps $\dot{C}^\infty(X, |\Omega|^{1-s}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where the inclusion $\dot{C}^\infty(X, |\Omega|^s) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, |\Omega|^s)$ is given by integration. We abbreviate $\mathcal{D}(X, |\Omega|^0) = \mathcal{D}(X)$. Similarly, for $E \rightarrow X$ any smooth vector bundle, the space of distributional sections $\mathcal{D}(X, E)$ is given by all continuous linear maps $\dot{C}^\infty(X, E^* \otimes |\Omega|^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with E^* the dual bundle.

b-double space. Let M be a compact manifold with boundary that has $N \geq 1$ boundary components $\partial M = Y_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Y_N$. The product $M^2 = M \times M$ is a manifold with corners. Its boundary hypersurfaces are $Y_i \times M$ and $M \times Y_i$, and its corners are $Y_i \times Y_j$ for $i, j = 1 \dots N$. The diagonal is $\text{diag}(M) = \{(p, p) \in M^2\}$ and intersects the boundary in the corners

$$B = \cup_{i=1}^N (Y_i \times Y_i)$$

This intersection is not transversal, which motivates introducing the b-double space M_b^2 given by the blowup of B in M^2 ,

$$M_b^2 = [M^2, B] \quad \beta_b : M_b^2 \rightarrow M^2 \quad (13)$$

where β_b is the blowdown map, see Figure 1. This is again a manifold with corners, its boundary hypersurfaces are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ff}_i &= \overline{\beta_b^{-1}(Y_i \times Y_i)} \\ \text{lf}_i &= \overline{\beta_b^{-1}(Y_i \times M) \setminus \text{ff}_i} \\ \text{rf}_i &= \overline{\beta_b^{-1}(M \times Y_i) \setminus \text{ff}_i} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

²We use the standard convention that 1-densities can be integrated.




Figure 1: On the right is a neighborhood of the corner $Y_i^2 \subseteq M^2$, which is locally given by $[0, 1)^2 \times Y_i^2$, the factor Y_i^2 is suppressed in the figure. On the left is a neighborhood of $\text{ff}_i \subseteq M_b^2$, which is locally given by the blowup of $(0, 0)$ in $[0, 1)^2$, times Y_i^2 .

We denote $\text{ff} = \cup_{i=1}^N \text{ff}_i$, $\text{lf} = \cup_{i=1}^N \text{lf}_i$, $\text{rf} = \cup_{i=1}^N \text{rf}_i$ and refer to them as the front face, left face, right face respectively. The lift of the diagonal is the b-diagonal

$$\text{diag}_b = \overline{\beta_b^{-1}(\text{diag}(M))} \setminus \text{ff}$$

and intersects the boundary transversally in the front face. In particular it is an embedded closed $\dim M$ -dimensional submanifold of M_b^2 .

Remark 1 (Local model for M_b^2). After choosing a collar neighborhood $[0, 1) \times Y_i$ of Y_i , the b-double space M_b^2 is, locally around ff_i , given by

$$[[0, 1)^2, (0, 0)] \times Y_i^2$$

The first factor is the blowup of $(0, 0)$ in $[0, 1)^2$, given by $\{(r, \theta) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \mid r < 1/\max\{\sin \theta, \cos \theta\}\}$ with blowdown map $(r, \theta) \mapsto (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$. Then ff_i , lf_i , rf_i are locally given by $r = 0$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\theta = 0$ respectively. It is often useful to work with projective coordinates. Away from lf_i these are (t, u) with $u = t'/t$, where (t, t') are the coordinates on $[0, 1)^2$; then t and u are boundary defining functions for ff_i respectively rf_i . Away from rf_i these are (v, t') with $v = t/t'$.

Classical conormal distributions. For $m \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ let

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{cl}}^m(M_b^2, \text{diag}_b) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(M_b^2) \quad (15)$$

be the space of classical (or one step) conormal distributions of order m along diag_b . This is defined invariantly in [7, Chapter 18.2]. Here we give a characterization in terms of local coordinates. A distribution $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(M_b^2)$ is in (15) if and only if μ is smooth on $M_b^2 \setminus \text{diag}_b$ and for every $p \in \text{diag}_b$ there exist:

- An open neighborhood U of p with smooth coordinates (x, z) that range over $B \cap (H \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ where $B = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\|, \|z\| < 1\}$ and
 - $H = \mathbb{R}^n$ when $p \notin \text{ff}$.
 - $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x^1 \geq 0\}$ when $p \in \text{ff}$.

and such that p corresponds to $x = z = 0$ and $U \cap \text{diag}_b = \{z = 0\}$.

- A function $\varphi \in C^\infty(M_b^2)$ with support in U and with $\varphi = 1$ around p .
- A classical symbol $a \in S_{\text{cl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, see [5, Definition 3.11].

such that, as compactly supported distributions on $H \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\varphi\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x, \xi) e^{iz \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

where on the left hand side $\varphi\mu$ is extended by zero to $H \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and on the right hand side we use the distributional inverse Fourier transform in ξ .

For $E \rightarrow M_b^2$ a vector bundle the space $\mathcal{I}_{\text{cl}}^m(M_b^2, \text{diag}_b; E) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(M_b^2, E)$ is characterized analogously, using local trivializations of E .

We recall a simple sufficient condition for a distribution to be classical conormal, which will be enough for our purpose. Consider the blowup

$$[M_b^2, \text{diag}_b] \quad \beta_d : [M_b^2, \text{diag}_b] \rightarrow M_b^2 \quad (16)$$

where β_d is the blowdown map. Note that

$$\beta_d^{-1}(\text{diag}_b) \subseteq [M_b^2, \text{diag}_b] \quad (17)$$

is an embedded closed codimension one submanifold.

Lemma 4. *Let ρ be a boundary defining function for (17). For all $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(M_b^2)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \leq \dim M - 1$ one has*

$$\rho^m \beta_d^* \mu \in C^\infty([M_b^2, \text{diag}_b]) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{cl}}^{m-\dim M}(M_b^2, \text{diag}_b)$$

An analogous statement holds for distributional sections of bundles on M_b^2 .

Proof. Upon choosing local coordinates this follows from elementary properties of the Fourier transform, see for example [6, Corollary 2.26]. $\square$

b-pseudodifferential operators. Let $\pi_L, \pi_R : M^2 \rightarrow M$ be the projections onto the left respectively right factor. Let $E, F \rightarrow M$ be smooth vector bundles on M . Recall that the Schwartz kernel of a continuous linear map $C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, F)$ is a distribution in³

$$\mathcal{D}(M^2, \text{Hom}(E, F) \otimes \pi_R^* |\Omega|^1) \quad (18)$$

where the bundle $\text{Hom}(E, F)$ has fiber $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(E_{p'}, F_p)$ at $(p, p') \in M^2$, and where the bundle $\pi_R^* |\Omega|^1$ is the pullback of the one-density bundle on M along π_R . Using $\pi_L^* \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \pi_R^* \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on M^2 , this space is canonically isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{D}(M^2, \mathcal{H}(E, F) \otimes |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (19)$$

where we abbreviate $\mathcal{H}(E, F) = \text{Hom}(E \otimes |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, F \otimes |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. The pullback along the blowdown map $\beta_b : M_b^2 \rightarrow M^2$ defines a map from (19) to

$$\mathcal{D}(M_b^2, \beta_b^*(\mathcal{H}(E, F)) \otimes |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (20)$$

that we also denote by β_b^* . Beware that this map is defined using two distinct pullbacks, the pullback of sections of $\mathcal{H}(E, F)$ to sections of $\beta_b^*(\mathcal{H}(E, F))$, and the pullback of densities on M^2 to densities on M_b^2 .

³Explicitly, the Schwartz kernel K_A of a map A is the unique distribution that satisfies $\langle A(e), f \rangle = \langle K_A, \pi_R^* e \otimes \pi_L^* f \rangle$ for all $e \in C^\infty(M, E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M, F^* \otimes |\Omega|^1)$.

For a triple $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})$ with $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\emptyset\}$ define the subspace⁴

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{E}}(M_b^2) \subseteq C^\infty(M_b^2) \quad (21)$$

given by all smooth functions on M_b^2 that vanish to order $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}}$ at the front face, left face, right face respectively, where vanishing to order $\emptyset$ means vanishing to infinite order. In other words, if $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{E}}(M_b^2) = \rho_{\text{ff}}^{\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}} \rho_{\text{lf}}^{\mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}} \rho_{\text{rf}}^{\mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}}} C^\infty(M_b^2) \quad (22)$$

where $\rho_{\text{ff}}, \rho_{\text{lf}}, \rho_{\text{rf}}$ are boundary defining functions for ff, lf, rf respectively. If one or more of $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}}$ are equal to $\emptyset$ then (21) is given by taking the intersection of (22) over all integers, as in, for example,

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\emptyset, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})}(M_b^2) = \bigcap_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{A}^{(\ell, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})}(M_b^2)$$

Definition 1. *The space of b-pseudodifferential operators of order $m \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and index set $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}}) \in (\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\emptyset\})^3$, denoted by*

$$\Psi_b^{m, \mathcal{E}}(M; E, F) \quad (23)$$

is given by all continuous linear maps $A : C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, F)$ such that

$$\beta_b^* K_A \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{\text{ff}}}} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{E}}(M_b^2) \mathcal{I}_{\text{cl}}^m \left(M_b^2, \text{diag}_b; \beta_b^*(\mathcal{H}(E, F)) \otimes |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad (24)$$

where K_A is the Schwartz kernel of A , and $\beta_b^ K_A$ its pullback to (20), and where ρ_{ff} is a boundary defining function for the front face. Furthermore define*

$$\Psi_b^{m, (\mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})}(M; E, F) = \Psi_b^{m, (\emptyset, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})}(M; E, F) \quad (25)$$

b-pseudodifferential operators are also called totally characteristic operators. The operators in Definition 1 are only a subspace of the full calculus of Melrose in [11, 12], which also contains operators with more general index sets that allow certain singular behavior at the boundary faces. Further, in (24) we only allow conormal distributions that are classical, which suffices for our purpose. The Schwartz kernels of the operators (25) vanish to infinite order at the front face, and hence they have a simple behavior already on the blowdown space M^2 . We abbreviate $\Psi_b^{m, \mathcal{E}}(M; E) = \Psi_b^{m, \mathcal{E}}(M; E, E)$, and $\Psi_b^{m, \mathcal{E}}(M) = \Psi_b^{m, \mathcal{E}}(M; M \times \mathbb{R})$ where $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is the trivial bundle of rank one.

We give basic examples of b-pseudodifferential operators [11, Eq. 3.2-3.3]. Multiplication by a smooth function is in $\Psi_b^{0, (0, \emptyset, \emptyset)}(M)$. Differentiation with respect to the b-vector fields $\mathcal{V}_b$ (see (4)) is in $\Psi_b^{1, (0, \emptyset, \emptyset)}(M)$. More generally, define a first order b-differential operator $A : C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, F)$ to be any first order differential operator that satisfies $A(\rho C^\infty(M, E)) \subseteq \rho C^\infty(M, F)$, with ρ a boundary defining function for ∂M . This is equivalent to the more standard definition that requires that, in a local trivialization, the principal part of A be given by b-vector fields⁵. For such A one has

$$A \in \Psi_b^{1, (0, \emptyset, \emptyset)}(M; E, F) \quad (26)$$

⁴Beware that some references use different orderings of the indices. In particular, in [11] the indices are ordered as in $(\mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}})$, the convention used here is more standard now.

⁵Recall that $\mathcal{V}_b$ is given by all vector fields that are tangential to ∂M . The claim follows from the fact that $\mathcal{V}_b$ is equivalently given by all vector fields V with $V(\rho C^\infty(M)) \subseteq \rho C^\infty(M)$.

Lemma 5. *The de Rham differential $d : \Omega(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \Omega(M, \partial M)$ is a first order b-differential operator, in particular $d \in \Psi_b^{1, (0, \emptyset, \emptyset)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M))$.*

This fails if the de Rham differential is viewed as a map $d : \Omega(M) \rightarrow \Omega(M)$.

Proof. Using $\Omega(M, \partial M) = d\rho \wedge \Omega(M) + \rho\Omega(M)$ one gets $d(\rho\Omega(M, \partial M)) \subseteq \rho\Omega(M, \partial M)$ as required. Alternatively, one can make a local calculation using the trivialization (7): For smooth functions f, g and every multiindex I one has $d((fd\rho + g\rho) \wedge dy^I) = ((\partial_{y^i} f)dy^i + (\rho\partial_\rho g + g) \wedge d\rho \wedge dy^I + (\partial_{y^i} g)\rho dy^i \wedge dy^I)$, so in this trivialization, the principal part of d is given by the b-vector fields (4). $\square$

Composition. Commutators. Mapping properties. We state properties of b-pseudodifferential operators that will be used later on.

Unlike the full calculus, the space of b-pseudodifferential operators in Definition 1 is not closed under composition. For example, even if one composes operators whose Schwartz kernels are smooth on M_b^2 , their composition can have logarithmic behavior at the boundary faces. In this article we will not encounter this effect, that is, all compositions that we need stay in the more restrictive space in Definition 1. These compositions are summarized in the next lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let $m, m' \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}''$ be one of the triples*

$\mathcal{E}$	$\mathcal{E}'$	$\mathcal{E}''$
$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})$	$(\mathcal{E}'_{\text{ff}}, \emptyset, \emptyset)$	$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}} + \mathcal{E}'_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}} + \mathcal{E}'_{\text{ff}})$
$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \emptyset, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})$	$(\emptyset, \mathcal{E}'_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\text{rf}})$	$(\emptyset, \mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}} + \mathcal{E}'_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\text{rf}})$
$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \emptyset, \emptyset)$	$(\mathcal{E}'_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\text{rf}})$	$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}} + \mathcal{E}'_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}} + \mathcal{E}'_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\text{rf}})$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \dots, \mathcal{E}'_{\text{rf}} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\emptyset\}$, with the understanding that $\ell + \emptyset = \emptyset$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\emptyset\}$. If $A \in \Psi_b^{m, \mathcal{E}}(M)$ and $B \in \Psi_b^{m', \mathcal{E}'}(M)$ then $A \circ B \in \Psi_b^{m+m', \mathcal{E}''}(M)$.

Proof. By [11, Theorem 3.15]. $\square$

We recall a commutation result.

Lemma 7. *Let $A \in \Psi_b^{m, \mathcal{E}}(M)$ with $m \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{E} \in (\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\emptyset\})^3$, let $V \in \mathcal{V}_b$, and let $f \in \rho^\ell C^\infty(M)$ where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and ρ is a boundary defining function for ∂M . Then*

$$[A, f] \in \Psi_b^{m-1, (\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}+\ell, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})}(M) \quad (27a)$$

$$[A, fV] \in \Psi_b^{m, (\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}+\ell, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}})}(M) \quad (27b)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutator.

Proof. Let K_A be the Schwartz kernel of A . Then the kernel of $[A, f]$ is

$$(\pi_R^* f - \pi_L^* f)K_A$$

The pullback of $\pi_R^* f - \pi_L^* f$ along the blowdown map vanishes along $\text{diag}_b \subseteq M_b^2$, and vanishes to order ℓ at ff, which implies (27a). We show that both terms in

$$[A, fV] = f[A, V] + [A, f]V$$

are in (27b). First term: We have $f \in \Psi_b^{0,(\ell,\emptyset,\emptyset)}(M)$ and $[A, V] \in \Psi_b^{m,\mathcal{E}}(M)$ by [11, Proposition 3.30]. Then Lemma 6 implies that this term is in (27b). Second term: This follows from (27a) and (26) and Lemma 6. $\square$

Recall the weighted b-Sobolev norms $\|\cdot\|_{\rho^\delta H_b^s(M)}$ in (5). The functions $\dot{C}^\infty(M)$ are dense in the corresponding weighted b-Sobolev spaces $\rho^\delta H_b^s(M)$.

Lemma 8. *Let $s, m, \delta, \delta' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}}) \in (\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\emptyset\})^3$ such that*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{ff}} \geq \delta' - \delta \quad \mathcal{E}_{\text{lf}} - \delta' > -\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathcal{E}_{\text{rf}} + \delta > -\frac{1}{2}$$

with the understanding that each inequality that involves $\emptyset$ holds trivially. If $A \in \Psi_b^{m,\mathcal{E}}(M)$ then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $f \in \dot{C}^\infty(M)$ one has

$$\|Af\|_{\rho^{\delta'} H_b^{s-m}(M)} \leq C \|f\|_{\rho^\delta H_b^s(M)} \quad (28)$$

In particular, A extends to a bounded linear map $\rho^\delta H_b^s(M) \rightarrow \rho^{\delta'} H_b^{s-m}(M)$.

Proof. By [11, Corollary 3.23 and Theorem 3.25]. $\square$

Remark 2. Lemma 6, 7, 8 hold analogously for maps between sections of vector bundles, where in (28) the norms are taken componentwise relative to local trivializations of the bundles. It is understood that the trivializations are regular up to the boundary of M .

3 Collar neighborhood of boundary

We construct the chain homotopy (2) in a collar neighborhood of the boundary. Let Y be a smooth connected closed manifold. Define $U = [0, 1] \times Y$, where we denote by t the coordinate on the first factor $[0, 1]$, and denote $Y_0 = \{0\} \times Y$. The relative forms $\Omega(U, Y_0)$ are given by all forms on U whose pullback along the inclusion $Y_0 \hookrightarrow U$ vanishes. This complex is exact, which follows from the fact that the complex of forms on $[0, 1]$ whose pullback to 0 vanishes, is exact.

Lemma 9. *Let $U = [0, 1] \times Y$ be as above. There exists an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map*

$$G : \Omega^k(U, Y_0) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(U, Y_0)$$

defined for every integer k , with the following properties:

(a1) *Algebraic control: It satisfies $\mathbb{1} = dG + Gd$.*

(a2) *Support control: For all $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ and all $\omega \in \Omega(U, Y_0)$,*

$$\omega|_{t \leq \epsilon} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (G\omega)|_{t \leq \epsilon} = 0$$

(a3) *Analytic control: If $\varphi \in C^\infty([0, 1])$ vanishes in a neighborhood of $t = 1$ then*

$$\varphi G \varphi \in \Psi_b^{-1,(0,\emptyset,0)}(U; \wedge T^*(U, Y_0)) \quad (29)$$

where $\wedge T^(U, Y_0)$ is the bundle whose space of smooth sections is $\Omega(U, Y_0)$.*

We prove this lemma in the remainder of this section. The proof is constructive. In Section 3.1 we define an operator that satisfies (a1) and (a2). In Sections 3.2 and 3.3 we show that it also satisfies (a3).




Figure 2: Left: The figure shows the interval $[0, 1]$ times a patch on Y . The two lines indicate the path $[0, 1] \ni s \mapsto h_a(s, (t, y))$ for $a = 0$ respectively for a general $a \neq 0$, where $s = 1$ corresponds to the starting point (t, y) . Depending on the value of a , the paths approach different boundary points. Right: For a starting point (t', y) with $t' < t$, the angle between the two paths increases, and they approach the same boundary points for all values of t' , including when $t' = 0$.

3.1 Definition of operator

We start with some preliminary definitions. Fix $\ell \geq \dim Y$ smooth vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ on Y that pointwise span the tangent space. Since Y is closed, the vector fields are complete. For $i = 1 \dots \ell$ let $\phi^{V_i} : \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the flow of V_i . For every $a \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ define $\phi_a : Y \rightarrow Y$ by $\phi_a = \phi_{a_1}^{V_1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{a_\ell}^{V_\ell}$ and define

$$h_a : [0, 1] \times U \rightarrow U \quad (s, (t, y)) \mapsto (st, \phi_{(1-s)a}(y)) \quad (30)$$

Here s is the coordinate on $[0, 1]$, and (t, y) is in $U = [0, 1] \times Y$. See Figure 2.

Remark 3. For each a the map h_a is a topological homotopy between the identity $h_a(1, \cdot)$ and the map $h_a(0, \cdot)$. The image of $h_a(0, \cdot)$ is contained in the boundary component $Y_0 = \{0\} \times Y$, but its restriction to Y_0 is not the identity map for general $a \neq 0$, see Figure 2. This property will be important to conclude that the chain homotopy (31) below satisfies (a3) in Lemma 9. Geometrically it means that the average in (31) does not degenerate at the boundary, in the sense that the Schwartz kernel is smoothed out including at the boundary. By contrast, the average over translations in the Bogovskii operator (1) can be seen to degenerate, leading to non-optimal mapping properties.

Fiber integration is the map $\pi_* : \Omega([0, 1] \times U) \rightarrow \Omega(U)$ given by

$$\pi_* \omega = \int_0^1 j_s^*(\iota_{\partial_s}(\omega)) ds$$

Here s is the coordinate on $[0, 1]$, ι denotes interior multiplication, and j_s^* is the pullback along the map $j_s : U \rightarrow [0, 1] \times U$ given by $j_s(t, y) = (s, (t, y))$.

Definition 2. For every $a \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ define the $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$G_a = \pi_* h_a^* : \Omega^k(U, Y_0) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(U, Y_0)$$

where k is any integer. For every smooth function $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with compact support and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^\ell} \zeta(a) da = 1$ define

$$G_\zeta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\ell} \zeta(a) G_a da : \Omega^k(U, Y_0) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(U, Y_0) \quad (31)$$

The dependence on the fixed vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ is suppressed in the notation.

The map G_a indeed maps relative forms to relative forms, using the fact that $h_a \circ j_s \circ i$ maps to Y_0 , where $i : Y_0 \hookrightarrow U$ is the natural inclusion.

Lemma 10. *For all ζ the map G_ζ satisfies (a1) and (a2) in Lemma 9.*

The property (a1) would fail if G_ζ was defined on all forms rather than just relative forms.

Proof. It suffices to check the lemma for G_a . (a1): Following the proof of the Poincaré lemma one obtains $1 - h_a(0, \cdot)^* = dG_a + G_a d$, where $h_a(0, \cdot)^*$ vanishes on relative forms. (a2): The value of $G_a(\omega)$ at $(t, y) \in U$ is

$$(G_a(\omega))(t, y) = \int_0^1 j_s^* \iota_{\partial_s} (h_a^* \omega)(s, (t, y)) ds$$

where by (30) the form ω is only evaluated at points (t', y') with $t' \leq t$. $\square$

In the next two sections we show that for all ζ with support in a sufficiently small ball around the origin, G_ζ satisfies (a3) in Lemma 9. This uses the average over a . To illustrate this we first consider a toy case where Y is parallelizable.

Example 1. Let $Y = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^q$ be the q -dimensional torus with standard coordinates y . Choose $\ell = q$ and $V_i = \partial_{y^i}$, which yields $\phi_a(y) = y + a$. To show that G_ζ satisfies (a3) in Lemma 9 one must show that each component of $\varphi G_\zeta \varphi$ with respect to a $C^\infty(U)$ -basis of $\Omega(U, Y_0)$ is in $\Psi_b^{-1, (0, \emptyset, 0)}(U)$. For concreteness we only consider one such component: For every $f \in C^\infty(U)$ consider in $G_\zeta(f dt \wedge dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^q)$ the coefficient function of $tdy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^q$, and denote it by $g_\zeta(f)$. This is a map $g_\zeta : C^\infty(U) \rightarrow C^\infty(U)$. Explicitly,

$$g_\zeta(f)(t, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} \zeta(a) \left(\int_0^1 f(st, y + (1-s)a) ds \right) da$$

Assume that $\text{supp}(\zeta)$ is contained in a ball with small radius $\epsilon > 0$ around the origin. Then we can substitute (s, a) with $(t', y') = (st, y + (1-s)a)$, obtaining

$$g_\zeta(f)(t, y) = \int_{B_\epsilon(y)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(1-u)^q} \zeta\left(\frac{y' - y}{1-u}\right) f(t', y') dt' \right) dy'$$

where we set $u = t'/t$, and where $B_\epsilon(y)$ is the ball of radius ϵ around y . From this formula one can easily read off the Schwartz kernel K of g_ζ , which is

$$K(t, y, t', y') = \chi_{B_\epsilon(y)}(y') H(1-u) \frac{1}{(1-u)^q} \zeta\left(\frac{y' - y}{1-u}\right) \frac{1}{t} |tdy dt' dy'|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

as a section of (19) with E and F the trivial bundle, and for the purpose of this example we have identified $\mathcal{H}(E, F)$ with the trivial bundle using $|tdy|$ and $|dt' dy'|$ as reference densities. Here H is the Heaviside function, in particular the kernel vanishes for $u > 1$. To check $\varphi g_\zeta \varphi \in \Psi_b^{-1, (0, \emptyset, 0)}(U)$ we pullback K to the b-double space (see Figure 1) where due to the support of φ we are away from $t = 1$ and away from $t' = 1$. This pullback has support away from the left face, where the projective coordinates (t, y, u, y') are regular coordinates, and t is a boundary defining function for the front face, see Remark 1. Note that $t^{-1} |tdy dt' dy'|^{\frac{1}{2}} = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |tdy du dy'|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. From Lemma 4 with $m = q$ it is then immediate that this pullback is classical conormal of order -1 along the b-diagonal $u = 1$, $y = y'$, up to the singular factor $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, consistent with (24).

3.2 Auxiliary geometric constructions

The constructions in this section will be used to make a substitution similar to that in Example 1. Fix an auxiliary Riemannian metric on Y . Let $\text{dist} : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the associated distance function. For $\epsilon > 0$ we denote

$$\text{diag}_\epsilon(Y) = \{(y, y') \in Y \times Y \mid \text{dist}(y, y') < \epsilon\}$$

For $y \in Y$ we denote by $B_\epsilon(y, Y) = \{y' \in Y \mid \text{dist}(y, y') < \epsilon\}$ the open metric ball of radius ϵ around y , and by $\exp_y : T_y Y \rightarrow Y$ the exponential map.

Define the vector bundle map $L : Y \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow TY$ that is fiberwise given by

$$L_y : \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow T_y Y \quad a \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a^i V_i(y)$$

The assumption that the vector fields V_i pointwise span the tangent space implies that L is fiberwise surjective. Thus it defines a direct sum decomposition

$$Y \times \mathbb{R}^\ell = W \oplus W^\perp$$

where W and $W^\perp$ are the bundles whose fibers are $W_y = \ker(L_y)$ respectively the orthogonal complement $W_y^\perp = \ker(L_y)^\perp$, using the standard inner product. We denote by $B_r(W_y)$, $B_r(W_y^\perp)$ the open balls of radius $r > 0$ around the origin.

Lemma 11. *There exist $\epsilon, r, r' > 0$ such that the following holds.*

- For all $(y, y') \in \text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)$ and $a_0 \in B_r(W_y)$ there exists a unique $a_1 \in B_{r'}(W_y^\perp)$ such that $\phi_{a_0 \oplus a_1}(y) = y'$. Denoting this a_1 by $A(y, y', a_0)$ yields

$$\phi_{a_0 \oplus A(y, y', a_0)}(y) = y' \quad (32)$$

One has $A(y, y, 0) = 0$. The section $A(y, y', a_0)$ depends smoothly on y, y', a_0 , and extends smoothly to the closures of $\text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)$ and of $B_r(W_y)$.

- For all $y \in Y$ and all $a_0 \in B_r(W_y)$ the map

$$A(y, \cdot, a_0) : B_\epsilon(y, Y) \rightarrow W_y^\perp \quad (33)$$

is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Moreover for all $c \in [0, 1]$ and all $a_0 \in B_{cr}(W_y)$ one has $B_{cr}(W_y^\perp) \subseteq A(y, B_{c\epsilon}(y, Y), a_0)$.

If the lemma holds for one triple of parameters ϵ, r, r' , then for every smaller ϵ there exists a smaller r such that the lemma still holds.

Proof. This is a routine application of the implicit function theorem. $\square$

For every $y \in Y$ the Lebesgue measure da on $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ induces measures da_0 and da_1 on W_y respectively $W_y^\perp$, using the standard inner product. Let $\epsilon, r, r' > 0$ be as in Lemma 11. Then for every $y \in Y$ and $a_0 \in B_r(W_y)$ define the one-density

$$\mu_{y, a_0} = A(y, \cdot, a_0)^* da_1 \in C^\infty(B_\epsilon(y, Y), |\Omega|^1) \quad (34)$$

where we take the pullback along the diffeomorphism (33). The density $\mu_{y,a_0}(y')$ depends smoothly on y, y', a_0 and it is nowhere zero.

In local trivializations $A(y, y', a_0)$ is approximately $y' - y$, which is made precise in the next lemma.

Lemma 12. *Let $\epsilon, r, r' > 0$ be constants for which Lemma 11 holds and such that ϵ is strictly smaller than the injectivity radius⁶ of Y . Then there exists $C_A > 0$ such that for all $(y, y') \in \text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)$ and $a_0 \in B_r(W_y)$ one has*

$$\|A(y, y', a_0) - (L_y|_{W_y^\perp})^{-1} \exp_y^{-1}(y')\| \leq C_A(\text{dist}(y, y')^2 + \|a_0\|^2) \quad (35)$$

Proof. By Taylor's theorem it suffices to show that the difference on the left hand side of (35) and its derivatives with respect to y, y', a_0 vanish when $y = y'$ and $a_0 = 0$. It vanishes there because $A(y, y, 0) = 0$ by Lemma 11 and $\exp_y^{-1}(y) = 0$. The derivatives of A can be computed by differentiating (32). For example, the Jacobian of A with respect to y equals $-(L_y|_{W_y^\perp})^{-1}$, hence the Jacobian of the difference in (35) vanishes. Analogously for y', a_0 . $\square$

The quotient $(y' - y)/(1 - u)$ in Example 1 will be replaced by $A(y, y', (1 - u)a_0)/(1 - u)$ in the general analysis, which we control using the next lemma.

Lemma 13. *Set $C_L = \sup_{y \in Y} \|L_y|_{W_y^\perp}\|$ using the ℓ^2 matrix norm. Let $\epsilon, r, r' > 0$, $C_A > 0$ be constants for which Lemma 11 and 12 hold and for which*

$$C_A(2C_L\epsilon + r) \leq 1 \quad (36)$$

Then for all $(y, y') \in \text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)$, all $a_0 \in B_r(W_y)$ and all $s \in [0, 1]$ one has

$$\text{dist}(y, y') \geq (2C_L)rs \Rightarrow \|A(y, y', sa_0)\| \geq rs \quad (37)$$

Proof. By the reverse triangle inequality $\|A(y, y', sa_0)\| \geq |f - g|$ where

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \|(L_y|_{W_y^\perp})^{-1} \exp_y^{-1}(y')\| \geq \frac{1}{C_L} \text{dist}(y, y') \\ g &= \|A(y, y', sa_0) - (L_y|_{W_y^\perp})^{-1} \exp_y^{-1}(y')\| \\ &\leq C_A(\epsilon \text{dist}(y, y') + sr^2) \end{aligned}$$

using $\|\exp_y^{-1}(y')\| = \text{dist}(y, y')$ and Lemma 12. Therefore

$$f - g \geq \left(\frac{1}{C_L} - C_A\epsilon\right) \text{dist}(y, y') - C_A sr^2$$

By (36) the first term on the right hand side is nonnegative. Hence we can use the lower bound for $\text{dist}(y, y')$, which yields

$$f - g \geq rs(2 - C_A(2C_L\epsilon + r)) \geq rs$$

where we again use (36). Since this is nonnegative, it implies the claim. $\square$

⁶That is, for every $y \in Y$ the map $\exp_y$ is a diffeomorphism $B_\epsilon(T_y Y) \rightarrow B_\epsilon(y, Y)$.

3.3 Analysis of Schwartz kernel

Here we derive a formula for the Schwartz kernel of G_ζ , similar to Example 1. We then lift the kernel to the b-double space discussed in Section 2.2, which will identify G_ζ as a b-pseudodifferential operator and prove (a3) in Lemma 9.

For the remainder of this section we fix constants $\epsilon, r, r' > 0$ for which Lemmas 11, 12, 13 hold. We also fix a function ζ as in Definition 2 that satisfies

$$\text{supp}(\zeta) \subseteq B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^\ell) \quad (38)$$

For every $a \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ define the auxiliary $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$Q(a, s) = j_s^* \iota_{\partial_s} h_a^* : \Omega(U, Y_0) \rightarrow \Omega(U, Y_0)$$

using notation from Section 3.1; note that $G_a(\omega) = \int_0^1 Q(a, s)(\omega) ds$. This map depends smoothly on a, s . Moreover, for every $p \in U$ there exists a unique $Q_p(a, s) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\wedge T_{h_a(s, p)}^*(U, Y_0), \wedge T_p^*(U, Y_0))$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega(U, Y_0)$,

$$(Q(a, s)(\omega))(p) = Q_p(a, s)(\omega(h_a(s, p))) \quad (39)$$

where $\wedge T_p^*(U, Y_0)$ is the fiber of $\wedge T^*(U, Y_0)$ at p . The map $Q_p(a, s)$ depends smoothly on p, a, s .

Lemma 14. *For all $\omega \in \Omega(U, Y_0)$ and $(t, y) \in U$ with $t \neq 0$ one has*

$$(G_\zeta(\omega))(t, y) = \int_{W_y} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_{B_\epsilon(y, Y)} \frac{1}{(1-u)^{\dim Y}} \zeta(a_0 \oplus a_1) \right. \\ \left. Q_{t, y}(a_0 \oplus a_1, u)(\omega(t', y')) d\mu_{y, (1-u)a_0}(y') dt' \right) da_0 \quad (40)$$

where we set $u = \frac{t'}{t}$ and $a_1 = \frac{A(y, y', (1-u)a_0)}{1-u}$, in particular, with (32),

$$Q_{t, y}(a_0 \oplus a_1, u) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\wedge T_{t', y'}^*(U, Y_0), \wedge T_{t, y}^*(U, Y_0))$$

The integral converges absolutely. The integrand vanishes when $\text{dist}(y, y') \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Proof. By Fubini, (38), (39) and (30) we have

$$(G_\zeta(\omega))(t, y) = \int_{B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(W_y)} \int_0^1 \int_{B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(W_y^\perp)} \zeta(a) Q_{t, y}(a, s)(\omega(st, \phi_{(1-s)a}(y))) da_1 ds da_0 \quad (41)$$

where $a = a_0 \oplus a_1$. For $t \neq 0$ consider the map

$$(0, t) \times B_\epsilon(y, Y) \rightarrow (0, 1) \times W_y^\perp \\ (t', y') \mapsto \left(\frac{t'}{t}, \frac{A(y, y', (1-t'/t)a_0)}{1-t'/t} \right) \quad (42)$$

By Lemma 11 this is a diffeomorphism onto its image, and the image contains $(0, 1) \times B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(W_y^\perp)$. Hence we can substitute, which yields (40), using (32) and the definition of μ in (34). The image of the restriction of (42) to $(0, t) \times B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(y, Y)$ also contains $(0, 1) \times B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(W_y^\perp)$, hence the integrand of (40) vanishes when $y' \notin B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(y, Y)$. Clearly the integral (41) converges absolutely. Since it agrees with (40) up to substitution, also (40) converges absolutely. $\square$

Recall the discussion of the Schwartz kernels in (18).

Lemma 15. *The map G_ζ has a Schwartz kernel*

$$K_{G_\zeta} \in \mathcal{D}(U^2, \text{Hom}(\wedge T^*(U, Y_0), \wedge T^*(U, Y_0)) \otimes \pi_R^* |\Omega|^1)$$

It is absolutely integrable on U^2 . As a function of $(t, y, t', y') \in U^2$ it is given, for $0 < t \neq t'$, by

$$K_{G_\zeta}(t, y, t', y') = \int_{W_y} \left(\frac{1}{t} H(t - t') \chi_{\text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)}(y, y') \frac{1}{(1 - u)^{\dim Y}} \right. \\ \left. \zeta(a_0 \oplus a_1) Q_{t,y}(a_0 \oplus a_1, u) \otimes |dt'| \mu_{y, (1-u)a_0}(y') \right) da_0 \quad (43)$$

where $u = \frac{t'}{t}$ and $a_1 = \frac{A(y, y', (1-u)a_0)}{1-u}$. Here H is the Heaviside function and $\chi_{\text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)}$ the characteristic function. The kernel vanishes when $\text{dist}(y, y') \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Proof. One checks that (43) defines an absolutely integrable distribution by using the substitution (42). Then (43) is the Schwartz kernel of G_ζ by Lemma 14 and Fubini. It vanishes for $\text{dist}(y, y') \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ because the integrand of (40) vanishes there. $\square$

Lemma 16. *The map G_ζ satisfies (a3) in Lemma 9.*

Proof. Abbreviate $E = \wedge T^*(U, Y_0)$ and $q = \dim Y$. The Schwartz kernel of $\varphi G_\zeta \varphi$ is

$$K = (\pi_L^* \varphi)(\pi_R^* \varphi) K_{G_\zeta} \in \mathcal{D}(U^2, \text{Hom}(E, E) \otimes \pi_R^* |\Omega|^1)$$

with K_{G_ζ} as in Lemma 15. As explained in (19), this is equivalently a section

$$K \in \mathcal{D}(U^2, \mathcal{H}(E, E) \otimes |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (44)$$

Fix a nowhere vanishing reference density $\gamma \in C^\infty(U, |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}})$. For $(t, y, t', y') \in U^2$ with $(y, y') \in \text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)$ and for $a_0 \in B_r(W_y)$ define

$$\Gamma(a_0, t, y, t', y') = \frac{|dt'| \mu_{y, a_0}(y')}{\gamma^2(t', y')}$$

where γ^2 is the second tensor power of γ , which is a one-density. Then Γ is a smooth nowhere vanishing function by (34). We factor K in (44) as

$$K = \tilde{K} \otimes \frac{1}{t} (\pi_L^* \gamma)(\pi_R^* \gamma) \quad (45)$$

where $\tilde{K} \in \mathcal{D}(U^2, \mathcal{H}(E, E))$. By Lemma 15 this is given, for $0 < t \neq t'$, by

$$\tilde{K}(t, y, t', y') = \int_{W_y} \varphi(t) \varphi(t') H(t - t') \chi_{\text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)}(y, y') \frac{1}{(1 - u)^q} \\ \zeta(a_0 \oplus a_1) \Gamma((1 - u)a_0, t, y, t', y') \tilde{Q}_{t,y}(a_0 \oplus a_1, u) da_0 \quad (46)$$

with $u = \frac{t'}{t}$ and $a_1 = \frac{A(y, y', (1-u)a_0)}{1-u}$, and $\tilde{Q}_{t,y}(a_0 \oplus a_1, u)$ given by pre- and post-composing $Q_{t,y}(a_0 \oplus a_1, u)$ with multiplication by $\gamma(t', y')$ respectively $\frac{1}{\gamma(t, y)}$.




Figure 3: The figure illustrates the subspace $X \simeq [[0, 1)^2, (0, 0)] \times Y^2$ of U_b^2 , where the factor Y^2 is suppressed. The support of $\beta_b^* K$ is contained in the gray region.

Define the b-double space $\beta_b : U_b^2 \rightarrow U^2$ as in (13), and for $i = 0, 1$ define $\text{ff}_i, \text{lf}_i, \text{rf}_i$ as in (14) with $M = U$ and $Y_i = \{i\} \times Y$. The kernel K vanishes in a neighborhood of $t = 1$ and of $t' = 1$, hence its pullback to U_b^2 has compact support in the subset $X \subseteq U_b^2$ given by

$$X = [([0, 1) \times Y)^2, Y_0^2] \simeq [[0, 1)^2, (0, 0)] \times Y^2$$

See Figure 3. In particular it vanishes around $\text{ff}_1, \text{lf}_1, \text{rf}_1$. Furthermore the kernel K vanishes for $t < t'$, hence its pullback to U_b^2 vanishes around lf_0 . This explains in particular the empty index at the left face in (29). On $X \setminus \text{lf}_0$ the projective coordinates (t, y, u, y') with $u = \frac{t'}{t}$ are regular coordinates. In these coordinates:

- t and u are boundary defining functions for ff_0 respectively rf_0 .
- The b-diagonal is given by $u = 1, y = y'$.
- The blowdown map is given by $\beta_b(t, y, u, y') = (t, y, tu, y')$.

Therefore for (29) it remains to show that

$$\sqrt{t}\beta_b^* K \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(U_b^2, \text{diag}_b; \beta_b^*(\mathcal{H}(E, E)) \otimes |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (47)$$

Using (45) we have

$$\sqrt{t}\beta_b^* K = \beta_b^* \tilde{K} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\beta_b^*((\pi_L^* \gamma)(\pi_R^* \gamma))$$

where the second factor is a smooth nowhere vanishing half-density on $X \setminus \text{lf}_0$. To see this use $\beta_b^* |dt dt'| = t |dt du|$. Hence for (47) it remains to show that

$$\beta_b^* \tilde{K} \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(U_b^2, \text{diag}_b; \beta_b^* \mathcal{H}(E, E)) \quad (48)$$

Using (46), for $(t, y, u, y') \in X \setminus \text{lf}_0$ with $u \neq 1$ we have

$$(\beta_b^* \tilde{K})(t, y, u, y') = \int_{W_y} \varphi(t) \varphi(tu) H(1-u) \chi_{\text{diag}_\epsilon(Y)}(y, y') \frac{1}{(1-u)^q} \zeta(a_0 \oplus a_1) \Gamma((1-u)a_0, t, y, tu, y') \tilde{Q}_{t,y}(a_0 \oplus a_1, u) da_0 \quad (49)$$

where $a_1 = \frac{A(y, y', (1-u)a_0)}{1-u}$. Then by Lemma 13 and (38) and by Lemma 15,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\beta_b^* \tilde{K}) &\subseteq \{(t, y, u, y') \in X \setminus \text{lf}_0 \mid 0 \leq u \leq 1 \\ &\quad \text{dist}(y, y') \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &\quad \text{dist}(y, y') \leq 2C_L r(1-u)\} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $C_L > 0$ is a constant defined in Lemma 13. We claim that

$$\beta_b^* \tilde{K} \text{ is smooth on } U_b^2 \setminus \text{diag}_b \quad (51)$$

To check this let $p = (t, y, u, y') \in \text{supp}(\beta_b^* \tilde{K}) \setminus \text{diag}_b$. Then (50) and $p \notin \text{diag}_b$ imply $u < 1$, hence $\beta_b^* \tilde{K}$ is smooth around p because $\varphi, \zeta, \Gamma, \tilde{Q}, A$ are smooth, using Lemma 11.

We now analyze $\beta_b^* \tilde{K}$ near the b-diagonal, where we use the blowup $\beta_d : [U_b^2, \text{diag}_b] \rightarrow U_b^2$ in (16). Let ρ be a boundary defining function for $\beta_d^{-1}(\text{diag}_b)$. Then by Lemma 4 with $m = q$, for (48) it suffices to show that

$$\rho^q(\beta_d^* \beta_b^* \tilde{K}) \in C^\infty([U_b^2, \text{diag}_b], \beta_d^* \beta_b^* \mathcal{H}(E, E))$$

By (51) this section is smooth away from $\beta_d^{-1}(\text{diag}_b)$. We now show that for all $p \in \text{diag}_b$ one has

$$\rho^q(\beta_d^* \beta_b^* \tilde{K}) \text{ is smooth around } \beta_d^{-1}(p) \quad (52)$$

By (50) we can assume that $p \in X \setminus \text{lf}_0$. We denote $\beta_b(p) = (t_0, y_0, t_0, y_0)$.

To show this we fix local coordinates around $y_0 \in Y$ such that y_0 corresponds to the coordinate origin. By abuse of notation we will not distinguish between points and their coordinates, so $y_0 = 0$. The coordinates around $y_0 \in Y$ induce coordinates around $(y_0, y_0) \in Y^2$. We also fix local trivializations of the bundles W and $W^\perp$ around y_0 that respect the inner product on the fibers, and a local trivialization of $\beta_b^* \mathcal{H}(E, E)$ around p .

Relative to these trivializations, $\beta_b^* \tilde{K}$ is a matrix whose entries are distributions around p . Let κ be one such entry. Then, for $Z \subseteq X \setminus \text{lf}_0$ a sufficiently small open neighborhood of p , there exists a smooth function

$$f : \mathbb{R}^{\ell-q} \times \mathbb{R}^q \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

whose support in the first and second arguments is contained in the ball of radius $r/2$ centered at the origin, and such that on Z one has

$$\kappa(t, y, u, y') = H(1-u) \frac{1}{(1-u)^q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\ell-q}} f(a_0, \frac{A(y, y', (1-u)a_0)}{1-u}, t, y, u, y') da_0$$

The function f is defined by the formula (49), using the trivializations. It is smooth because $\varphi, \zeta, \Gamma, \tilde{Q}$ are smooth, and it has the given support by (38).

Fix an auxiliary smooth function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that satisfies $\psi(\lambda w) = \psi(w)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$, that is equal to 1 when $|w_1| \leq w_2$, and that vanishes identically when $|w_1| \geq 2w_2$. By (50) there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\psi(\|y - y'\|, c(1-u)) = 1 \text{ on the support of } \kappa$$

where, as explained above, we identify points with their coordinates. Then for (52) it suffices to show that each of

$$\beta_d^*(\psi(\|y - y'\|, c(1-u))H(1-u)) \quad (53a)$$

$$\rho \beta_d^*(\psi(\|y - y'\|, c(1-u))\frac{1}{1-u}) \quad (53b)$$

$$\beta_d^* f(a_0, \frac{A(y, y', (1-u)a_0)}{1-u}, t, y, u, y') \quad (53c)$$

is smooth around $\beta_d^{-1}(p)$, where in (53c) we require joint smoothness also in $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell-q}$. To check this we introduce coordinates (x, z) around p that are adapted to the b-diagonal. Concretely we set

$$x = (t - t_0, y + y') \quad z = (1 - u, y - y')$$

They range over a neighborhood of the origin in $H \times \mathbb{R}^n$ where $n = q + 1$ and

- $H = \mathbb{R}^n$ when $p \notin \text{ff}_0$.
- $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x^1 \geq 0\}$ when $p \in \text{ff}_0$.

The point p corresponds to $x = z = 0$, and diag_b is locally given by $z = 0$.

Relative to these coordinates, an open neighborhood of $\beta_d^{-1}(p)$ in $[U_b^2, \text{diag}_b]$ is given by an open neighborhood of $0 \times (0 \times S^{n-1})$ in

$$H \times [\mathbb{R}^n, 0] \quad (54)$$

Here $[\mathbb{R}^n, 0] = [0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}$ using polar coordinates $z = r\omega$ with $r \in [0, \infty)$ and $\omega \in S^{n-1}$. We set $\rho = r$ locally. We can now show that (53) are smooth, when viewed as functions on (54), defined locally around $x = 0, r = 0$:

- For $r > 0$ the functions (53a) and (53b) are equal to, respectively,

$$\psi(\|\vec{\omega}\|, c\omega^1)H(\omega^1) \quad \psi(\|\vec{\omega}\|, c\omega^1)\frac{1}{\omega^1}$$

where $\vec{\omega} = (\omega^2, \dots, \omega^n)$. The function $\psi(\|\vec{\omega}\|, c\omega^1)$ vanishes around $\omega^1 = 0$, hence these are smooth functions of $(r, \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}$.

- Consider (53c). By the support of f we can assume $\|a_0\| \leq r/2$. Let $(J_z A)(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$ be the Jacobian matrix of $A(y, y', (1-u)a_0)$ with respect to z and evaluated at $(x, 0) \in H \times \mathbb{R}^n$. By Lemma 12 this is independent of a_0 , and for each x we have $(J_{z^1} A)(x) = 0$ and the square matrix $(J_{\vec{z}} A)(x)$ is invertible, where $\vec{z} = (z^2, \dots, z^n)$. By Taylor's theorem with remainder and using polar coordinates $z = r\omega$, for $r > 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{A(y, y', (1-u)a_0)}{1-u} = \frac{(J_{\vec{z}} A)(x)\vec{\omega} + r \sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega^i \omega^j R_{ij}(x, r\omega, a_0)}{\omega^1}$$

where R_{ij} are smooth functions with values in $\mathbb{R}^q$, defined around $(x, z) = (0, 0)$ and for $\|a_0\| \leq r/2$. Since $(J_{\vec{z}} A)(x)$ is invertible, the numerator on the right hand side is nonzero around $r = 0, \omega^1 = 0$. Since f has compact support in the second argument, this implies that (53c) vanishes around $r = 0, \omega^1 = 0$, thus it is smooth there. Away from $\omega^1 = 0$ the denominator is nonzero, hence (53c) is smooth because f is smooth. Hence (53c) is a smooth function of (x, r, ω, a_0) around $x = 0, r = 0$, as claimed.

This concludes the proof of (52), and hence the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proof (of Lemma 9). Set $G = G_\zeta$ and use Lemma 10 and Lemma 16. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 1

The strategy to prove Theorem 1 is as follows. We will first construct a homotopy equivalence and a contraction (see Section 2.1):

$$G_\partial \text{ homotopy } \hookrightarrow \Omega(M, \partial M) \xrightleftharpoons[I_\partial]{P_\partial} \Omega_c(M) \hookleftarrow G_c \text{ homotopy} \quad (55a)$$

$$G_H \text{ homotopy } \hookrightarrow \Omega(M, \partial M) \xrightleftharpoons[I_H]{P_H} \mathcal{H}_g(M) \hookleftarrow 0 \text{ homotopy} \quad (55b)$$

Here $\Omega_c(M)$ are all forms that vanish in an $\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$ -neighborhood of the boundary; and $\mathcal{H}_g(M) \simeq H(M, \partial M)$ are the relative harmonic forms for a smooth Riemannian metric g . The homotopy equivalence (55a) is obtained using Lemma 9 on collar neighborhoods, with a homotopy G_∂ that has good analytic properties, it preserves support and is b-pseudodifferential. The contraction (55b) is obtained using the Hodge decomposition, with a homotopy G_H that does not have good analytic properties. Homotopy equivalences can be composed, see Lemma 3. We will compose (55) as follows, using (55a) twice:

$$\Omega(M, \partial M) \xleftarrow{(55a)} \Omega_c(M) \xleftarrow{(55a)} \Omega(M, \partial M) \xleftarrow{(55b)} \mathcal{H}_g(M) \quad (56)$$

This yields a new contraction from $\Omega(M, \partial M)$ to $\mathcal{H}_g(M)$. The resulting homotopy on $\Omega(M, \partial M)$ will be the map G in Theorem 1, explicitly,

$$G = G_\partial + I_\partial G_c P_\partial + I_\partial P_\partial G_H I_\partial P_\partial \quad (57)$$

Here G_H only appears sandwiched between $I_\partial P_\partial$, which maps to forms that vanish in an $\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$ -neighborhood of the boundary. This will imply that G inherits the good analytic properties of G_∂ , suitable for Theorem 1. The algebraic properties will follow from the defining properties of a contraction.

The homotopy G can be used to solve the inhomogeneous equation $d\omega = \eta$, where η is a given exact relative form, namely $d(G\eta) = \eta$. The three terms in (57) can be viewed as solving this equation in three steps:

$$\begin{aligned} d(G_\partial \eta) &= \eta - I_\partial P_\partial \eta \\ d(I_\partial G_c P_\partial \eta) &= I_\partial P_\partial \eta - (I_\partial P_\partial)^2 \eta \\ d(I_\partial P_\partial G_H I_\partial P_\partial \eta) &= (I_\partial P_\partial)^2 \eta \end{aligned}$$

In the first step the equation is solved near the boundary, the error $I_\partial P_\partial \eta$ vanishes near the boundary. In the second step the error is modified to $(I_\partial P_\partial)^2 \eta$, which is then conveniently solved using G_H in the third step.

We note that the specific composition (56) is chosen partly for convenience to simplify the analysis. We have not pursued alternative constructions composing fewer than three homotopy equivalences, since we saw no particular benefit.

4.1 Homotopy equivalence between relative and compactly supported forms

We construct the homotopy equivalence (55a).

Lemma 17. *Let M , ρ_i with $i = 1 \dots N$, and ϵ_0 be as in Theorem 1. Define*

$$\Omega_c(M) = \{\omega \in \Omega(M) \mid \forall i = 1 \dots N : \omega|_{\rho_i \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}} = 0\}$$

which is a subcomplex of the de Rham complex. Let $I_\partial : \Omega_c(M) \hookrightarrow \Omega(M, \partial M)$ be the inclusion. Then there exist $\mathbb{R}$ -linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} G_\partial &: \Omega^k(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M, \partial M) \\ P_\partial &: \Omega^k(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \Omega_c^k(M) \end{aligned}$$

defined for every integer k , with the following properties:

- (a1) *Algebraic control: The restriction of G_∂ to $\Omega_c(M)$ maps to $\Omega_c(M)$. We denote this restriction by G_c . The four-tuple $(P_\partial, I_\partial, G_\partial, G_c)$ is a homotopy equivalence (see (10)) between $\Omega(M, \partial M)$ and $\Omega_c(M)$.*
- (a2) *Support control: For all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, all $i = 1 \dots N$, and all $\omega \in \Omega(M, \partial M)$ one has $\omega|_{\rho_i \leq \epsilon} = 0 \Rightarrow (G_\partial \omega)|_{\rho_i \leq \epsilon} = 0$.*
- (a3) *Analytic control:*

$$\begin{aligned} G_\partial &\in \Psi_b^{-1, (0, \emptyset, 0)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M)) \\ I_\partial P_\partial &\in \Psi^{0, (\emptyset, 0)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first prove the lemma for $\dim M \geq 2$ and in the special case $N = 1$, denoting $\rho_1 = \rho$. Then ∂M is a connected closed manifold of dimension ≥ 1 . Without loss of generality we can assume that $\epsilon_0 = 1$. Then, by assumption, $\rho^{-1}([0, 1])$ is a collar neighborhood of ∂M . Fix an identification

$$\rho^{-1}([0, 1]) \simeq [0, 1]_\rho \times \partial M \quad (58)$$

Fix a smooth cutoff $\varphi : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that only depends on the value of ρ , that is equal to 1 for $\rho \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and vanishes for $\rho \geq \frac{3}{4}$. Fix a map $G : \Omega^k([0, 1] \times \partial M, \{0\} \times \partial M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}([0, 1] \times \partial M, \{0\} \times \partial M)$ as in Lemma 9 applied to $Y = \partial M$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} G_\partial &= \varphi G \varphi \\ P_\partial &= 1 - dG_\partial - G_\partial d \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Note that G_∂ maps to smooth forms since $\varphi = 0$ for $\rho \geq \frac{3}{4}$. We check that P_∂ indeed maps relative to compactly supported forms. Let $\omega \in \Omega(M, \partial M)$. Then

$$dG_\partial(\omega) = (d\varphi) \wedge G(\varphi\omega) + \varphi dG(\varphi\omega) \quad (60)$$

$$G_\partial(d\omega) = \varphi G(d(\varphi\omega)) - \varphi G((d\varphi) \wedge \omega) \quad (61)$$

For $\rho \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\varphi = 1$, hence the first term in (60) vanishes there. Furthermore the second term in (61) vanishes there, using (a2) in Lemma 9. Hence

$$P_\partial(\omega)|_{\rho \leq \frac{1}{2}} = \omega - \varphi(dG + Gd)(\varphi\omega) = (1 - \varphi^2)\omega = 0$$

where for the second equality we use (a1) in Lemma 9.

We check that (59) have the stated properties. (a2): By (a2) in Lemma 9. (a1): By (a2) with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ the restriction of G_∂ to $\Omega_c(M)$ maps to $\Omega_c(M)$. We

check the properties (11). Clearly I_∂ is a chain map; and P_∂ is a chain map by $P_\partial d = d - dG_\partial d = dP_\partial$ using $d^2 = 0$; the remaining identities are immediate from the definition of P_∂ and I_∂ . (a3): For G_∂ this follows from (a3) in Lemma 9 and the fact that φ vanishes for $\rho \geq \frac{3}{4}$. For $I_\partial P_\partial$ we first show that

$$I_\partial P_\partial \in \Psi_b^{0,(0,\emptyset,0)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M)) \quad (62)$$

This holds separately for each of the three terms in $I_\partial P_\partial = \mathbb{1} - dG_\partial - G_\partial d$, using Lemma 5 and the composition rule in Lemma 6. Since $I_\partial P_\partial$ maps to forms that vanish for $\rho \leq \frac{1}{2}$, its Schwartz kernel vanishes in a neighborhood of $\partial M \times M \subseteq M^2$, which includes the corner $(\partial M)^2$. Hence its pullback to the b-double space vanishes in a neighborhood of the front face. Together with (62) this implies (a3) for $I_\partial P_\partial$. This concludes the proof for $N = 1$.

The proof for $N \geq 2$ is analogous, using the assumption that the collars are disjoint. The definition of G_∂ in (59) is replaced by $G_\partial = \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i G_i \varphi_i$ with φ_i a cutoff for the collar of Y_i , and G_i as in Lemma 9 applied to $Y = Y_i$.

We comment on the case $\dim M = 1$, where the manifold is the interval $[0, 1]$. Here one must apply Lemma 9 with $U = [0, 1]$ to each boundary component 0 and 1 of M separately. It is easy to see that Lemma 9 also holds when $U = [0, 1]$, here G is given by $\pi_* h^*$ using the topological homotopy $h(s, t) = st$. $\square$

4.2 Contraction from relative forms to homology

We construct the contraction (55b) using the Hodge decomposition. For a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) with boundary let

$$\mathcal{H}_g(M) = \{\omega \in \Omega(M, \partial M) \mid d\omega = 0, \delta_g \omega = 0\}$$

be the finite-dimensional space of relative harmonic forms. Here δ_g is the codifferential, given by $\delta_g = (-1)^{\dim(M)(k+1)+1} \star_g d \star_g$ on k -forms, with $\star_g$ the Hodge star operator. Recall that the inclusion of $\mathcal{H}_g(M)$ into the kernel of d induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_g(M) \simeq H(M, \partial M)$$

Lemma 18. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold with boundary. Let $P_H : \Omega(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g(M)$ be the L^2 -orthogonal projection using the inner product induced by the metric, and let $I_H : \mathcal{H}_g(M) \hookrightarrow \Omega(M, \partial M)$ be the inclusion. Then there exists an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map*

$$G_H : \Omega^k(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M, \partial M)$$

defined for every integer k , with the following properties:

- (a1) *Algebraic control: Viewing $\mathcal{H}_g(M)$ as a complex with zero differential, the triple (P_H, I_H, G_H) is a contraction (see (12)) from $\Omega(M, \partial M)$ to $\mathcal{H}_g(M)$.*
- (a2) *Analytic control: If $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ vanishes in a neighborhood of ∂M then*

$$\begin{aligned} G_H \varphi &\in \Psi^{-1,(0,\emptyset)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M)) \\ I_H P_H \varphi &\in \Psi^{-\infty,(0,\emptyset)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denote by $i : \partial M \hookrightarrow M$ the natural inclusion. Denote by $P'_H : \Omega(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g(M)$ the L^2 -orthogonal projection, in particular P_H is the restriction of P'_H to relative forms. The Greens function of the Hodge Laplacian $\Delta_g = -(\delta_g d + d\delta_g)$ is [15, Chapter 5.9] the unique $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$G'_H : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \{\omega \in \Omega^k(M) \mid i^*\omega = 0, i^*\delta_g\omega = 0\} \quad (63)$$

that satisfies

$$-\Delta_g G'_H = \mathbb{1} - P'_H \quad (64)$$

and $G'_H P'_H = 0$ and $P'_H G'_H = 0$. By (63) the composition $\delta_g G'_H$ maps relative forms to relative forms, and we now define

$$G_H = (\delta_g G'_H)|_{\Omega(M, \partial M)}$$

We show that this has the stated properties. (a1): We check (11). Clearly I_H is a chain map; P_H is a chain map because $P_H d = 0$, which follows from integrating by parts where the boundary terms vanish for relative forms; we have $\mathbb{1} - I_H P_H = dG_H + G_H d$ by (64) and the fact that for all relative forms ω one has $(dG'_H - G'_H d)\omega = 0$ because the left hand side is in the common kernel of Δ_g and P'_H and satisfies homogeneous relative boundary conditions; clearly $P_H I_H$ is the identity on $\mathcal{H}_g(M)$. (a2): We first show that

$$G'_H \varphi \in \Psi^{-2, (0, \emptyset)}(M; \wedge T^* M)$$

Since Δ_g is elliptic, G'_H is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order -2 in the interior of M . Hence it remains to show that the Schwartz kernel of G'_H is smooth near every point in $\partial M \times (M \setminus \partial M)$. Near such a point the kernel of the right hand side of (64) is smooth, therefore also the kernel of G'_H is smooth, because it solves a regular elliptic boundary value problem with smooth data in the left factor of M^2 , depending parametrically on the right factor. Since δ_g is a first order differential operator we obtain $\delta_g G'_H \varphi \in \Psi^{-1, (0, \emptyset)}(M; \wedge T^* M)$. Together with the fact that G_H maps relative to relative forms this implies (a2) for G_H . The kernel of $I_H P_H$ is smooth on M^2 , which implies (a2) for $I_H P_H$. $\square$

4.3 Composition of homotopy equivalences

Proof (of Theorem 1). Define the complex $\Omega_c(M)$ as in Lemma 17. Fix:

- Maps $P_\partial, I_\partial, G_\partial, G_c$ as in Lemma 17.
- A smooth Riemannian metric g on M .
Then fix maps P_H, I_H, G_H as in Lemma 18.

We compose the second and third homotopy equivalences in (56). By (a1) in Lemma 17, (a1) in Lemma 18, and Lemma 3, the triple

$$(P' = P_H I_\partial, I' = P_\partial I_H, G' = G_c + P_\partial G_H I_\partial) \quad (65)$$

is a contraction (see (12)) from $\Omega_c(M)$ to $\mathcal{H}_g(M)$. We now compose the first homotopy equivalence in (56) with (65). By (a1) in Lemma 17, the fact that (65) is a contraction, and Lemma 3, the triple

$$(P = P' P_\partial, I = I_\partial I', G = G_\partial + I_\partial G' P_\partial) \quad (66)$$

is a contraction from $\Omega(M, \partial M)$ to $\mathcal{H}_g(M)$. In particular, see (11),

$$I, P \text{ are chain maps} \quad (67a)$$

$$\mathbb{1} - IP = dG + Gd \quad (67b)$$

$$\mathbb{1} - PI = 0 \quad (67c)$$

We show that G has the properties stated in Theorem 1. (a1): By (67b) we have $\Pi = IP$, hence Π is a projection by (67c), and $\Pi d = 0$, $d\Pi = 0$ by (67a) and the fact that the differential on $\mathcal{H}_g(M)$ is zero. (a2): By (65) and (66),

$$G = G_\partial + I_\partial G_c P_\partial + I_\partial P_\partial G_H I_\partial P_\partial \quad (68)$$

The first term satisfies (3) by (a2) in Lemma 17. The second and third terms both map to $\Omega_c(M)$, because P_∂ maps to $\Omega_c(M)$, G_c acts on $\Omega_c(M)$, and I_∂ is the inclusion. Hence these two terms satisfy (3) as well. By (65) and (66) we have $\Pi = IP = I_\partial P_\partial I_H P_H I_\partial P_\partial$, which maps to $\Omega_c(M)$ because P_∂ does. (a3): We show that each of the three terms in (68) is in $\Psi_b^{-1, (0, \emptyset, 0)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M))$:

- The first by (a3) in Lemma 17.
- The second is equal to $G_\partial(I_\partial P_\partial)$, see (a1) in Lemma 17. Thus it is in the given space by (a3) in Lemma 17 and the composition rule in Lemma 6.
- The third is equal to $(I_\partial P_\partial)(G_H \varphi)(I_\partial P_\partial)$ where $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ is a cut-off that vanishes identically in a neighborhood of ∂M and is equal to 1 on the complement of $\cup_{i=1}^N \rho_i^{-1}([0, \frac{\epsilon_i}{4}])$. By (a3) in Lemma 17, (a2) in Lemma 18, and the composition rule in Lemma 6 we have $(I_\partial P_\partial)(G_H \varphi) \in \Psi_b^{-1, (\emptyset, \emptyset)}(M; \wedge T^*(M, \partial M))$. Applying Lemma 6 again yields the claim.

The statement for Π follows from $\Pi = (I_\partial P_\partial)(I_H P_H \varphi)(I_\partial P_\partial)$, (a3) in Lemma 17, (a2) in Lemma 18, and Lemma 6. Hence (a3) holds. $\square$

5 Bogovskii type homotopy for de Rham on $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section we apply Theorem 1 to the radial compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$. By definition, this is the compact manifold

$$\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} = (\mathbb{R}^n \sqcup ([0, \infty) \times S^{n-1})) / \sim \quad (69)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(t, \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}$ are equivalent, $x \sim (t, \omega)$, if and only if $tx = \omega$. It is diffeomorphic to the closed unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$. Then $\langle x \rangle^{-1}$ is smooth on $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, and a boundary defining function for $\partial \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$. The vector fields $\langle x \rangle \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \langle x \rangle \partial_{x^n}$ are a basis of the b-vector fields $\mathcal{V}_b$ on $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, see (4). This motivates the definition of the weighted b-Sobolev norms $\|\cdot\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ in (8). The smooth compactly supported functions are dense in the corresponding weighted b-Sobolev spaces $\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We denote by $\Omega_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of forms with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^n$. By the standard dx -basis we mean the basis of $\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by all wedge products of zero or more of the $dx^1, \dots, dx^n$.

Theorem 2. For every real number $R > 0$ there exists an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$G : \Omega^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$$

defined for every integer k , with the following properties:

(a1) Algebraic control: The map Π defined by

$$\mathbb{1} - \Pi = dG + Gd$$

is a projection to homology, equivalently given as follows: There exists $\mu \in \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mu|_{\|x\| \geq R} = 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu = 1$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$,

$$\Pi\omega = \begin{cases} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega)\mu & \text{if } k = n \\ 0 & \text{if } k \leq n-1 \end{cases} \quad (70)$$

(a2) Support control: For all $r \geq R$ and all $\omega \in \Omega(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$,

$$\omega|_{\|x\| \geq r} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (G\omega)|_{\|x\| \geq r} = 0$$

(a3) Analytic control: For all $k = 0 \dots n$ and $s, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta > k - \frac{n}{2}$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|G\omega\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta+1} H_b^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\omega\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (71)$$

where the norms are taken componentwise using the standard dx -basis.

(a4) For all $k = 0 \dots n$ and $s, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta > k - \frac{n}{2}$, all $i = 1 \dots n$ and all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\| [G, \langle x \rangle^{1-\ell} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{x_i}}] \omega \|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta+1-\ell} H_b^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\omega\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where the norms are taken componentwise using the standard dx -basis.

Here $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutator, and $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{x_i}}$ is the Lie derivative of forms.

Note that by (a3) and by density, the map G extends to a bounded linear map between the corresponding weighted b-Sobolev spaces.

Note that G restricts to a chain homotopy for the complex of compactly supported forms $\Omega_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It also restricts to a chain homotopy for the complex of forms whose components are Schwartz functions, and the estimates (a3) and (a4) also hold for such forms with Schwartz components.

For later reference observe that a $C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ -basis of $\Omega^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is given by

$$\langle x \rangle^{-(k+1)} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \quad (72)$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon_0 = \frac{2}{R}$. Fix a boundary defining function $\rho \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ for $\partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ such that $\rho^{-1}([0, \epsilon_0])$ is a collar neighborhood in the sense of Theorem 1 and

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \quad \text{on } \rho^{-1}([0, \epsilon_0]) \quad (73)$$

One may set $\rho(x) = (\|x\|^2 + \varphi(x)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ where $\varphi : \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \rightarrow [0, \frac{R}{4}]$ is a smooth function that satisfies $\varphi(x) = 0$ when $\|x\| \geq \frac{R}{4}$ and $\varphi(x) = \frac{R}{4}$ when $\|x\| \leq \frac{R}{8}$.

Let G be a map as in Theorem 1 applied to $M = \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, the boundary defining function ρ , and $\epsilon_0 = \frac{2}{R}$. We check that this has the stated properties.

(a1): Recall from standard de Rham homology that

$$\dim H^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = n \\ 0 & \text{if } k \leq n-1 \end{cases} \quad (74)$$

with isomorphism $H^n(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by integration. We show (70) for $k \leq n-1$: By (a1) in Theorem 1 we have $d\Pi\omega = 0$; then by (74) we have $\Pi\omega = d\omega'$ with $\omega' \in \Omega^{k-1}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$; and then $\Pi\omega = \Pi^2\omega = \Pi d\omega' = 0$. Fix any $\mu' \in \Omega^n(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu' = 1$ and set $\mu = \Pi\mu'$. Then $\mu|_{\|x\| \geq R} = 0$ by (a2) in Theorem 1 and (73), and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu' = 1$ using $d\mu' = 0$ and Stokes' theorem. We now show (70) for $k = n$: Write

$$\omega = (\omega - (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega)\mu') + (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega)\mu'$$

The first term vanishes in homology, hence it is in the kernel of Π , hence

$$\Pi\omega = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega)\Pi\mu' = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega)\mu$$

where we use linearity of Π . This concludes the proof of (a1).

(a2): This is a direct consequence of (a2) in Theorem 1, using (73).

(a3): By (a3) in Theorem 1 and Lemma 8, see also Remark 2, for all $s, \delta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta_0 > -\frac{1}{2}$ there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|G\omega\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta_0} H_b^{s+1}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})} \leq C_0 \|\omega\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta_0} H_b^s(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})}$$

where the norms are taken componentwise using the $C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ -basis (72) of $\Omega(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$. To be sure, this is a different basis than used in (71). Now the claim follows from Lemma 19 below and setting $\delta = \delta_0 + k + 1 - \frac{n+1}{2}$.

(a4): Using (72) it is easy to see that $\langle x \rangle \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{x^i}} : \Omega(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}) \rightarrow \Omega(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is a first order b-differential operator. Hence by (26) and Lemma 7,

$$[G, \langle x \rangle^{-\ell+1} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{x^i}}] \in \Psi_b^{-1, (\ell, \emptyset, 0)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}; \wedge T^*(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}))$$

Now one can conclude (a4) analogously to the proof of (a3). $\square$

Remark 4. The restriction of G in Theorem 2 to top degree forms is a right inverse of the divergence operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for the Euclidean metric, up to the usual integrability condition. We compare this to the Bogovskii right inverse (1). The Bogovskii inverse satisfies (a2). It does not satisfy (a3), that is, there exists no constant $C > 0$ such that (71) holds for all compactly supported forms ω , for no s and δ . To see this, one can apply the Bogovskii inverse to a moving bump for which the norm on the right hand side is fixed, and show that the left hand side grows as the bump moves to infinity. The Bogovskii inverse does satisfy (a3) if one restricts to forms ω whose support is contained in a fixed large ball centered at the origin.

Lemma 19 (Equivalence of norms). *Let $\delta, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 0 \dots n$. Recall the following b-Sobolev norms for compactly supported functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$:*

- $\|f\|_{H_b^s(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})}$ is the norm (5) with $M = \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$.
- $\|f\|_{H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is the norm (8).

We use the following b -Sobolev norms for k -forms $\omega \in \Omega_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

- $\|\omega\|_{H_b^s(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \wedge^k T^*(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}))}$ is the norm given by applying $\|\cdot\|_{H_b^s(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})}$ componentwise, using the $C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ -basis (72) of the relative forms $\Omega^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$.
- $\|\omega\|_{H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^k T^*\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is the norm given by applying $\|\cdot\|_{H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ componentwise, using the standard dx -basis of the forms $\Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then the following norms are equivalent:

$$\|\cdot\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} H_b^s(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-(\delta - \frac{n+1}{2})} H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (75)$$

$$\|\cdot\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-\delta} H_b^s(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \wedge^k T^*(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}, \partial\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}))} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_{\langle x \rangle^{-(\delta+k+1 - \frac{n+1}{2})} H_b^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^k T^*\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (76)$$

Proof. It suffices to consider $\delta = 0$. It suffices to consider $s = 0$, using interpolation and duality, and the fact that $\langle x \rangle \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \langle x \rangle \partial_{x^n}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{V}_b$. Then (75) holds because $\langle x \rangle^{-(n+1)} dx^1 \cdots dx^n$ is a smooth positive measure on $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$; for (76) use the change of basis between (72) and the standard dx -basis. $\square$

References

- [1] Bogovskiĭ M., Soviet Math. Dokl., Vol 20, 1094-1098 (1979), *Solution of the first boundary value problem for an equation of continuity of an incompressible medium*
- [2] Bogovskiĭ M., Trudy Seminara S. L. Soboleva, 5-40 (1980), *Solutions of some problems of vector analysis, associated with the operators div and grad*
- [3] Chruściel P., Delay E., *Mem. Soc. Math. France* 94 1-103 (2003), *On mapping properties of the general relativistic constraints operator in weighted function spaces, with applications*
- [4] Delay E., [arXiv:1003.0535](#) (2010), *Smooth compactly supported solutions of some under-determined elliptic PDE, with gluing applications*
- [5] Hintz P., *Lecture notes, ETH Zurich* (2022), *Introduction to microlocal analysis*
- [6] Hintz P., [arXiv:2302.13803](#) (2023), *Microlocal analysis of operators with asymptotic translation- and dilation-invariances*
- [7] Hörmander L., *Classics in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin (2007), *The analysis of linear partial differential operators III*
- [8] Isett P., Oh S., [arXiv:1402.2305v2](#) (2014), *On Nonperiodic Euler Flows with Hölder Regularity*
- [9] Mao Y., Tao Z., [arXiv:2210.09437](#) (2022), *Localized initial data for Einstein equations*
- [10] Mao Y., Oh S., Tao Z., [arXiv:2308.13031](#) (2023), *Initial data gluing in the asymptotically flat regime via solution operators with prescribed support properties*
- [11] Mazzeo R., *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 16(10):1615-1664 (1991), *Elliptic theory of differential edge operators I*
- [12] Melrose R., *Research Notes in Mathematics*, Volume 4, A K Peters, Wellesley, Mass (1993), *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*
- [13] Nützi A., *Thesis, ETH Zurich* (2023), *Maurer-Cartan perturbation theory and scattering amplitudes in general relativity*
- [14] Oh S., Tataru D., [arXiv.1503.01560](#) (2015), *Local well-posedness of the $(4+1)$ -dimensional Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation at energy regularity*
- [15] Taylor M., *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, New York (2011), *Partial Differential Equations I, 2nd Edition*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD CA 94305, USA
Email address: anuetzi@stanford.edu