Discovery of a [O III] Emission Shell Around the X-ray Binary CI Cam

Robert A. Fesen,¹ Marcel Drechsler,² Nicolas Martino,³ and Yann Sainty⁴

¹6127 Wilder Lab, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire, 03755, USA ²Équipe StDr, Bärenstein, Feldstraβe 17, 09471 Bärenstein, Germany

³Various Amateur Observatory Sites, France

Various Amateur Observatory Siles, France

⁴YSTY Astronomy, 54000 Nancy, Lorraine, France

ABSTRACT

We report the serendipitous discovery of a $8' \times 12'$ emission shell brightest in [O III] centered on the X-ray binary B[e] star, CI Cameleopardalis (CI Cam). This shell, detected during a survey of optical emission associated with the Galactic supernova remnant G150.3+4.5, is seen outside but immediately adjacent to the remnant's optical filaments along its northwestern edge. Assuming this shell is related to CI Cam's strong winds and transient outbursts, the adoption of CI Cam's Gaia DR3 statistically corrected distance of $4.1^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ kpc yields shell dimensions $\simeq 9.5$ pc $\times 14.3$ pc. While the distance to the G150.3+4.5 remnant is uncertain, the appearance of CI Cam's emission shell so close to the SNR's optical filaments appears likely to be a chance coincidence.

Keywords: X-ray Binary - Nebulae: individual - stars: emission line

1. INTRODUCTION

The star CI Cameleopardalis (CI Cam) was initially cataloged as a Be star showing broad H α and He I emission lines (MWC 84 = MW 143; Merrill et al. 1932; Merrill 1933). Its optical spectrum was later found to show forbidden lines, thus classifying it as a B[e] star. Its spectrum also shows numerous, narrow [Fe II] lines, a hot blue continuum, and an infrared excess suggesting the presence of hot circumstellar dust (Downes 1984; Allen 1973; Miroshnichenko 1995). Photometric data indicate CI Cam is a variable with an amplitude of 0.04 mag and a binary with a 19.4 day period based on its He II lines (Barsukova et al. 2023).

Interest in this star greatly increased following an unusually bright but short transient outburst detected in X-rays on 31 March 1998 (XTE J0421+560) with the Rossi-XTE satellite recording a peak intensity roughly equal to twice that of the Crab Nebula before rapidly decaying. Its peak optical brightness reached 7.1 mag in R compared to 10.6 mag in its quiescent state (Barsukova et al. 2023). Follow-up investigations suggest the primary is a B[e] or sgB[e] star of spectral type B0-B2 with an unseen compact companion either a black hole, a neutron star, or white dwarf.

During the 1998 outburst, radio observations indicated a high-velocity, asymmetric expanding envelope (~ 14,000 km s⁻¹; Mioduszewski & Rupen 2004) followed by a rapid deceleration as the shock progressed into dense circumstellar material, the product of the star's strong stellar wind. CI Cam is unusual in that it is the only B[e] showing stellar pulsations, and an X-ray behavior unique among X-ray binaries in that its 1998 X-ray outburst was softer compared to X-ray novae. Its outburst kinetic properties of ~ $10^{44-46} d_{5 \text{ kpc}}^2 \text{ erg}$ (Mioduszewski & Rupen 2004; Chugai 2010) have also led to the suggestion it may be related to the class of transients known as supernova imposters (Bartlett et al. 2019).

Here we report the serendipitous discovery of an [O III] emission shell centered on CI Cam and seen adjacent to optical emission-line filaments associated with the Galactic supernova remnant G150.3+4.5.

2. OBSERVATIONS

As part of a limited optical emission-line survey of Galactic supernova remnants (SNRs) (Fesen et al. 2024), wide-field exposures were obtained of the large $2.5^{\circ} \times 3^{\circ}$ Galactic supernova remnant G150.3+4.5 (Gerbrandt et al. 2014; Gao & Han 2014) using narrow passband emission-line filters along with broad passband continuum filters. These images were obtained using a Takahaski FSQ-85ED and a Takahaski FSQ-106ED refractor with CMOS cameras which provided field-ofview of 3.48° and 2.7° with image scales of 4.6'' and 2.04" pixel⁻¹, respectively. Narrow passband H α and [O III] filters ($\sim 30 - 50$ Å FWHM) were used. Total exposure times obtained were: $10.0 \text{ hr} (60 \times 600 \text{s})$ using a 3.0 nm [O III] filter, 13.8 hr (83×600) s) using a dual $H\alpha + [O III] 5.0 \text{ nm filter}$, and 22.5 hr (270 × 300s) in broadband RGB filters. These data were obtained over several nights in January and March 2023 at Puzieux, Moselle and Moydans, Haute-Alpes in southern France.



Figure 1. Top Left: [O III] λ 5007 + H α + RGB color composite of the western portion of the Galactic supernova remnant G150.3+4.5 showing an emission shell centered on CI Cam in upper right corner (from Fesen et al. 2024) Top right panels: [O III] and H α images of the CI Cam emission shell. Bottom Left: Blowup of color composite image of CI Cam's emission shell. Bottom Right: Negative [O III] image stretched to show faint emission in the direction of and surrounding CI Cam.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our imaging of the Galactic SNR G150.3+4.5 revealed a previously unknown emission shell around the highmass, X-ray binary star CI Cam. The shell appears immediately adjacent to optical filaments associated with the SNR along its northwestern boundary (see upper left panel in Fig. 1). The emission shell is elliptical in shape with outer angular dimensions $\sim 8' \times 12'$. While the shell's optical emission is dominated by [O III] $\lambda 5007$ line emission, a part of an emission arc along the shell's northern section is also visible in H α emission (see upper right panels in Fig. 1). The shell is tilted slightly east of north at a position angle (PA) $\sim 5 - 10$ degrees. Although much less than the $PA = 35 \pm 2^{\circ}$ for the star's small dust shell (7.6 mas; Thureau et al. 2009), it is close to the PA of 7 degrees for CI Cam's expanding radio emission seen in VLBI data 1 to 306 days following its 1998 outburst (Mioduszewski & Rupen 2004).

The distance to CI Cam has long been uncertain with prior estimates spanning a range of 1 kpc to ≥ 5 kpc (Hynes et al. 2002; Robinson et al. 2002; Barsukova et al. 2006). However, Gaia DR3 data, after accounting for statistical corrections, indicates a distance of $4.1^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ kpc. Assuming this emission shell is the result of both CI Cam's strong stellar winds ($\geq 10^{-6}M_{\odot}$ yr⁻¹; Robinson et al. 2002) and prior transient outbursts like that observed in 1998, the emission shell (including the northern partial outer shell) has physical dimensions ~ 9.5 pc × 14.3 pc. These dimensions are comparable to the 5 pc to 40 pc range seen for Galactic and LMC Wolf-Rayet stars (Chu et al. 1999; Hung et al. 2021).

The similarity of the CI Cam shell's [O III] brightness plus its closeness to the SNR's filaments raises the question of whether or not the two objects might lie at roughly the same distance and be somehow related. Unlike G150.3+4.5's optical emission filaments along it southern boundary which show both [O III] and $H\alpha$ emissions, remnant filaments near the CI Cam shell mainly display only [O III] emission much like the CI Cam shell itself. Strong [O III] emission is a sign of shock velocities around 90 to 150 km s⁻¹. The lack of appreciable $H\alpha$ emission in SNRs can signal a shock progressing through a largely ionized medium, a conclusion consistent with the diffuse [O III] emission seen around and seemingly out ahead of the remnant filaments (see bottom panels of Fig. 1).

However, there is no emission or structural evidence for any direct physical connection, and based on current distance estimates for G150.3+4.5, a connection between CI Cam and the G150.3+4.5 seems doubtful. An analysis of the SNR's γ -ray properties, besides suggesting it is a dynamically young remnant due to the lack of X-rays, could only constrain its distance between 0.7 and 4.7 kpc (Devin et al. 2020). A study of shell-type SNRs with hard γ -ray spectra suggested a distance of 1 kpc and a diameter of 48 pc (Zeng et al. 2021), and a recent investigation of molecular clouds in the direction of G150.3+4.3 suggests a remnant distance of around 0.75 kpc leading to remnant dimensions of 33 pc \times 40 pc (Feng et al. 2024). If, however, the remnant's distance was somehow actually ~ 4 kpc like that of CI Cam, then its angular diameter of $2.5^{\circ} \times 3.0^{\circ}$ would imply unlikely dimensions of 180 pc \times 215 pc ranking it as perhaps the largest and oldest Galactic SNR known despite its bright [O III] filaments indicating relatively high shock velocities. Consequently, the appearance of the CI Cam shell near filaments of G150.3+4.5 would seem to be a chance coincidence.

REFERENCES

- Allen, D. A. 1973, MNRAS, 161, 145, doi: 10.1093/mnras/161.2.145
- Barsukova, E. A., Borisov, N. V., Burenkov, A. N., et al. 2006, Astronomy Reports, 50, 664, doi: 10.1134/S1063772906080075
- Barsukova, E. A., Burenkov, A. N., Goranskij, V. P., et al. 2023, Astrophysical Bulletin, 78, 1, doi: 10.1134/S1990341323010029
- Bartlett, E. S., Clark, J. S., & Negueruela, I. 2019, A&A, 622, A93, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201834315
- Chu, Y.-H., Weis, K., & Garnett, D. R. 1999, AJ, 117, 1433, doi: 10.1086/300777
- Chugai, N. N. 2010, Astronomy Letters, 36, 540, doi: 10.1134/S1063773710080025
- Devin, J., Lemoine-Goumard, M., Grondin, M. H., et al. 2020, A&A, 643, A28, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202038503
- Downes, R. A. 1984, PASP, 96, 807, doi: 10.1086/131437

- Feng, J.-C., Chen, X., Su, Y., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2403.19788, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2403.19788
- Fesen, R. A., Drechsler, M., Strottner, X., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2403.00317,
 - doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2403.00317
- Gao, X. Y., & Han, J. L. 2014, A&A, 567, A59, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201424128
- Gerbrandt, S., Foster, T. J., Kothes, R., Geisbüsch, J., & Tung, A. 2014, A&A, 566, A76, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201423679
- Hung, C. S., Ou, P.-S., Chu, Y.-H., Gruendl, R. A., & Li, C.-J. 2021, ApJS, 252, 21, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/abcc00
- Hynes, R. I., Clark, J. S., Barsukova, E. A., et al. 2002, A&A, 392, 991, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20020979
- Merrill, P. W. 1933, ApJ, 77, 44, doi: 10.1086/143442
- Merrill, P. W., Humason, M. L., & Burwell, C. G. 1932, ApJ, 76, 156, doi: 10.1086/143412

4

- Mioduszewski, A. J., & Rupen, M. P. 2004, ApJ, 615, 432, doi: 10.1086/424376
 Miroshnichenko, A. S. 1995, Astronomical and Astrophysical Transactions, 6, 251, doi: 10.1080/10556799508232071
- Robinson, E. L., Ivans, I. I., & Welsh, W. F. 2002, ApJ, 565, 1169, doi: 10.1086/324715
- Thureau, N. D., Monnier, J. D., Traub, W. A., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 398, 1309, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14949.x
- Zeng, H., Xin, Y., Zhang, S., & Liu, S. 2021, ApJ, 910, 78, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/abe37e