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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract. This paper addresses the following problem. } \\
& \qquad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u+|u|^{2^{*}-2} u \text { in } \Omega, \\
u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $N \geq 3,2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in(0, N), I_{\alpha}$ is the Riesz potential and

$$
I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u(x):=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} 2^{\alpha}|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} u(y) d y
$$

We study the non-existence, existence and multiplicity results. Our argument combines BrezisNirenberg's method with the regularity results involving potential terms. Especially, we study the following nonlocal eigenvalue problem.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \text { in } \Omega, \\
\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Introduction and historical remarks. In this paper, we consider the following problem.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u+|u|^{2^{*}-2} u \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $N \geq 3$, $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}, I_{\alpha}$ is the Riesz potential defined as

$$
I_{\alpha}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} 2^{\alpha}|x|^{N-\alpha}}
$$

and the corresponding potential term is defined as

$$
I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u(x):=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} 2^{\alpha}|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} u(y) d y
$$

In follows, we denote $C_{N, \alpha}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} 2^{\alpha}}$ for the sake of brevity. Our topic is stream out of the following problem involving a convolution term.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+\omega u+\lambda\left(I_{\alpha} * F(u)\right) F^{\prime}(u)=g(x, u) \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The equation in the form of (1.2) arise in the context of many physical models, such as the quantum transport and the non-relativistic Newtonian gravity, see [6, 3, 35] and the references therein. In particular, if $\lambda=-1$ and $g(x, u) \equiv 0$,

Problem (1.2) turns out to be a Choquard equation or a nonlinear SchrödingerNewton equation. And if $F(u)=u^{2}$, Problem (1.2) becomes into a SchrödingerPoisson equation. There has been a large number of recent mathematical research on these problems.

For Choquard equation, in the research of Moroz and van Schaftingen [32, 33], they proved the existence of the ground state solution and verified the related properties. Like the scalar field equation $-\Delta u+u=u^{p}$, the uniqueness of the positive solution was an open problem for a long time until Ma and Zhao [30] gave a partial affirmative answer. We refer [34] for a survey. There are also references that focus on more specific solutions. For instance, the existence of multi-bump solutions to a non-autonomous Choquard equation is proved by Wei and Winter [41]. For the autonomous Choquard equation, [43, 39] shows the existence of saddle solutions. Recently, Liu, Ma and Xia [29] studied the singularly perturbed Choquard equation and obtained multiple bounded state solutions.

For Schrödinger-Poisson equation, Benci and Fortunato [5] studied the corresponding eigenvalue problem. Their attention is focused on Problem (1.2) with $g(x, u)=\lambda u$. For problem with nonlinear $g(x, u)$, 38] and [24] obtained the ground state solution. Schrödinger-Poisson equations with critical nonlinear term are studied in [1] for planar case and in [11] for the three-dimensional case. There are also numerous references on more specific cases. For example, in [22], Hebey and Wei considered the analogue to Schrödinger-Poisson equation defined on sphere.

In this paper, we analyze Problem (1.2) with $\omega=0, F(u)=u$ and $g(x, u)=$ $|u|^{2^{*}-2} u$, i.e. Problem (1.1). The solvability of elliptic problem involving critical exponent was open question for a long time, until the publication of the groundbreaking work by Brézis and Nirenberg [7]. Subsequent works, such as [10, 26], continued to study the multiplicity result for critical elliptic problems and the non-autonomous variants of them. Among all of the problems, we emphasize that the two-dimensional critical problem is with exponentially growing nonlinear terms, cf. [20, 27].

In this paper, we study the critical problem involving potential terms. The potential term $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u$ brings additional difficulties in regularity and the nonlocal eigenvalue problem. To address this problem, we introduce regularity estimates for potential terms. As to the nolocal eigenvalue problem involving term $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u$, we apply a minimax approach [37, 36, 31] instead of the classical linear functional analytic method [17, Chapter 6].

From the point of view of nonlinear analysis, the solution to Problem (1.1) is a critical point of the energy functional

$$
E_{\alpha, \lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{\lambda}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)=C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y
$$

To deal with $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)$, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality is an important tool. To be precise, for any $p, q \in(1, \infty)$ and any $\lambda \in(0, n)$, there exists a constant $C_{p, q, \lambda, N}>0$ such that for any $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and any $g \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{f(x) g(x)}{|x-y|^{\lambda}} d x \leq C_{p, q, \lambda, N}|f|_{p}|g|_{q} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality can be traced back to the work by Hardy and Littlewood [21] of 1928. In [28], Lieb studied its sharp constant. We refer [12, 14, 13, 25, 4, 15, 16 and the references therein for more detailed researches on Inequality (1.3) and its variants. In this paper, we will frequently use Inequality with $p=q=\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}$ and $\lambda=N-\alpha$. The best constant in this case is denoted by $C_{H L S}$.

Now we consider term $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)$. First, notice that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)$ is well-defined on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u) & =C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x=C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\widetilde{u}(x) \widetilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x \\
& \leq C|\widetilde{u}|_{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}^{2+\alpha} \leq C|\nabla u|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\widetilde{u}$ is the zero extension of $u$, i.e.

$$
\widetilde{u}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
u(x) & x \in \Omega, \\
0 & x \notin \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By a similar approach, we can prove that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)$ is a $C^{2}$ functional.
1.2. Main results. The first part of our result concerns the non-existence of solutions to Problem (1.1).

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $\Omega$ is star shaped and that $\lambda \leq 0$, then Problem (1.1) admits no non-trivial solutions.

Under certain assumptions, there is also a nonexistence result for Problem (1.1) for some positive $\lambda>0$.
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $N-\alpha-4<0$ and that there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that $x \cdot n_{x} \geq c_{0}$ for any $x \in \partial \Omega$, then there exists a constant $\Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0}(\alpha, \Omega)>0$ such that for any $\lambda \leq \Lambda_{0}$, Problem (1.1) admits no positive solution.

On the other hand, we establish the existence result.
Theorem 1.3. Problem (1.1) admits a solution if one of the following cases occurs.
(1). $N-\alpha-4 \geq 0$ and $\lambda>0$;
(2). $N-\alpha-4<0$ and $\lambda>0$ large.

If, additionally, we assume that $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$ with

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)}
$$

Problem (1.1) admits a positive solution.
To discuss the multiplicity results, we need the following nonlocal eigenvalue problem.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.4}\\
\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For Problem (1.4), the following theorem holds.
Theorem 1.4. There exists a sequence $\left\{\left(\lambda_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)\right\}_{k} \subset \mathbb{R} \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that
(1). $\left(\lambda_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)$ solves Problem (1.4);
(2). $\phi_{k} \in C^{2, \theta}(\Omega) \cap C_{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\theta \in(0,1)$ and $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}_{k}$ forms an normalized orthogonal base of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$;
(3). $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty$ and $\phi_{1}>0$.

Based on Theorem 1.4, we get the following multiplicity results.
Theorem 1.5. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, denote

- $v=S \cdot|\Omega|_{N}^{-\frac{2+\alpha}{N}} \cdot C_{H L S}^{-1} C_{N, \alpha}^{-1}$;
- $m=\#\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \mid \lambda<\lambda_{j}<\lambda+v\right\}$.

Then, Problem (1.1) admits at least m pairs of solutions. Here, $S=\inf _{u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} N}|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{2^{2}}{2^{*}}}}$ and $\lambda_{k}$ are the eigenvalues in Theorem 1.4.
1.3. Organization of this paper. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.4. Theorems 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are proved in Section 3. To this end, we first show the regularity of the weak solutions to Problem (1.1) in Subsection 3.1 and in Subsection 3.2 we prove the corresponding Pohozaev identity. In Section 4. Theorem 1.3 is proved by testing the mountain pass level and verifying a local compactness result and a maximum principle for a nonlocal operator. In order to prove 1.5, we need to estimate the genus of certain energy level sets. This is shown in Subsection 4.5.

Notations.

- Throughout this paper, $C$ denotes a generic positive constant that may vary from line to line;
- $o_{n}(1)$ denotes a generic infinitesimal as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- $d S_{x}$ denotes the area element of $\partial \Omega$ and $d \vec{S}_{x}=n_{x} d S_{x}$ where $n_{x}$ is the outer normal vector of $\partial \Omega$ at $x$;
- Without causing confusion, the norm of the spaces $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and of the space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $|\cdot|_{p}$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively;
- The constant $C_{N, \alpha}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} 2^{\alpha}}$ and $C_{H L S}$ denotes the best constant of Inequality (1.3) with $p=q=\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}$ and $\lambda=N-\alpha$;
- $S=\inf \left\{\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\right| u\right|^{2^{*}} d x=1\right\}>0$.


## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.4: The eigenvalue problems

In this part, we prove Theorem 1.4 in steps. Different from the classical approach [17, Chapter 6], we apply a minimax approach which can also be applied to nonlinear eigenvalue problems and other nonlocal eigenvalue problems, cf. [37, 36, 31].

### 2.1. The existence of eigenfunctions.

Lemma 2.1. The set $\mathcal{M}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \mid \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)=1\right\}$ is a $C^{1}$ manifold in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ that is homomorphic to $S$. Here $S=\left\{\left.u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \nabla u\right|^{2} d x=1\right\}$.

Proof. To verify this, it is sufficient to notice that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)=C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y) d x}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}=C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\widetilde{u}(x) \widetilde{u}(y) d x}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} .
$$

Here, $\widetilde{u}$ is the zero-extension of $u$ outside of $\Omega$. Notice that

$$
\left|\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)\right| \leq C|\widetilde{u}|_{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}^{2} \leq C|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}
$$

This is due to Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Hölder's inequality. This implies that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)<\infty$ for any $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, by Parseval's identity,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)=C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|I_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} * \widetilde{u}\right|^{2} d x
$$

Therefore, $D_{\alpha}(u)=0$ if and only if $\widetilde{u}=0$, i.e. $u=0$ in $\Omega$.

Another necessary result is that
Lemma 2.2. $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$ satisfies $(P S)$ condition on $\mathcal{M}$. To be precise, if the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a number $\lambda_{*} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy
(a). $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow \lambda_{*}$;
(b). $u_{n}-\lambda_{n}(-\Delta)_{\Omega}^{-1} I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u_{n}=o_{n}(1)$,
then $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{*}$ and there exists $u_{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\infty}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Notice that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then define $u_{\infty}$ as the weak limit. By a direct computation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right) \leq C\left|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right|_{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the Sobolev embedding and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{\infty}\right)-2 C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}(x) u_{\infty}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x
$$

Since

- $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\infty}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$;
- $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u_{\infty}=\left.I_{\alpha} *_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \widetilde{u}_{\infty}\right|_{\Omega} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Here, $\widetilde{u}_{\infty}$ is the zero extension of $u_{\infty}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)-\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{\infty}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) imply that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{\infty}\right)=1$. Multiplying (b) by $u_{n}$, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x=\lambda_{n} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)+o_{n}(1)
$$

Combining (a), we get $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{*}$. Multiplying (b) by $u_{\infty}$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla u_{\infty} d x-\lambda_{n} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}(x) u_{\infty}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x+o_{n}(1)=0 .
$$

Then,

$$
\left\|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\infty}\right|^{2} d x=\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{*}+o_{n}(1) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

This completes the proof.

Consider the following minimax problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}=\inf _{A \subset \mathcal{M}, \gamma(A) \geq k} \sup _{u \in A} \frac{\int|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\gamma$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-genus generated by the anti-podal action $u \mapsto-u$. By a standard method (see, for instance, [2] and [37]), we obtain a sequence $\left\{\left(\lambda_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)\right\}_{k} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{M}$ solving Problem (1.4) and $\lambda_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Especially,

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{\int|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)} .
$$

By Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u) & =C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x=C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\widetilde{u}(x) \widetilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x \\
& \leq C|\widetilde{u}|_{L^{N+\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 N} \leq C|\nabla u|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\widetilde{u}$ is the zero-extension of $u$. [37, Theorem 8.10] and [2] imply the following result.

Proposition 2.3. There exists a sequence of solutions $\left\{\left(\lambda_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)\right\}_{k}$ to Problem (1.4) such that $0<\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \cdots$.
2.2. The regularity of eigenfunctions. In this part, we prove a regularity result for the solutions to Problem (1.4). We start with a function $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=\lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda>0$. Recall [18, Lemma 7.12].
Lemma 2.4. The operator $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} \cdot: L^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ continuously for any $q \in$ $[1, \infty]$ and $p$ satisfying $0 \leq \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}<\frac{\alpha}{N}$. To be precise, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $\left|I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right|_{q} \leq C|u|_{p}$ for any $u \in L^{p}(\Omega)$.

In follows, it is sufficient to use the case $p=q$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $(\lambda, u)$ solving Problem (2.5). For any $r \geq 1$, we get $u \in$ $W^{2, r}(\Omega)$.
Proof. To begin with, let us recall that $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then, $u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}(\Omega)$ and Sobolev inequality and Lemma 2.4 give
Step 1. $u \in W^{2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}}(\Omega)$, then $u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-6}}(\Omega)$ and $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-6}}(\Omega)$;
Step 2. $u \in W^{2, \frac{2 N}{N-6}}(\Omega)$, then $u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-10}}$ and hence $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-10}}(\Omega)$;
Step k. $u \in W^{2, \frac{2 N}{N-4 k+2}}(\Omega)$, then $u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-4 k-2}}(\Omega)$ and hence $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-4 k-2}}(\Omega)$;

Let $k_{m}:=\max \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid N-4 k>2\}$, then
Case 1. $2=N-4\left(k_{m}+1\right)$, then for any $r \geq 1, u \in L^{r}(\Omega)$;
Case 2. $2>N-4\left(k_{m}+1\right)$, then $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and for any $r \geq 1, u \in L^{r}(\Omega)$.
This implies that $u \in W^{2, r}(\Omega)$ for any $r \geq 1$.

To proceed, we need to introduce the $M^{p}(\Omega)$ space and the associated potential estimate.

Definition 2.6. $M^{p}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega) \mid \exists K>0\right.$ s.t. $\forall x \in \Omega$ and $R>0, \int_{\Omega \cap B_{R}(x)}|u| d x \leq$ $\left.K R^{N\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\right\}$. The norm of $M^{p}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$
\|u\|_{M^{p}(\Omega)}=\inf \left\{K>0 \mid \forall x \in \Omega \text { and } R>0, \int_{\Omega \cap B_{R}(x)}|u| d x \leq K R^{N\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\right\}
$$

Remark 2.7. It holds that $L^{1}(\Omega)=M^{1}(\Omega), L^{p}(\Omega) \subset M^{p}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\infty}(\Omega)=$ $M^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We refer [18, Chapter 7.9].

The following result is [18, Lemma 7.18].
Lemma 2.8. If $\frac{N}{\alpha}<p$, there exists a constant $C>0,\left|I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right|_{\infty} \leq C\|u\|_{M^{p}(\Omega)}$ for any $u \in M^{p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $(\lambda, u)$ solving Problem (2.5). Then, we get $\nabla I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Using Lemma 2.5, we get a $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that $u \in C_{0}^{1, \theta}(\Omega)$. Then, $|\nabla u| \in C^{0, \theta}(\Omega) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{x} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y & =\nabla_{x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\widetilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\nabla \widetilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\widetilde{u}$ is the zero extension of $u$. Then, $\left|\nabla_{x} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y\right| \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}$ for any $x \in \Omega$. Then, Lemma 2.8 gives that $\nabla I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.10. For any solution $(\lambda, u)$ to Problem (2.5), $u \in C^{2, \theta}(\Omega) \cap C_{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\theta \in(0,1)$.

Proof. Lemma 2.9 implies that $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \in C^{0, \theta}(\Omega)$ for some $\theta \in(0,1)$. The lemma follows the classical Hölder estimate [18, Chapter 6].
2.3. Orthogonality and completeness. The following result is evident.

Claim 2.11. For the sequence we obtain in Proposition 2.3, it holds that
(1). For any $k$ and any $\mu \in \mathbb{R},\left(\lambda_{k}, \mu \phi_{k}\right)$ solves Problem (1.4);
(2). If there exists $k_{0}, p_{0}$ such that $\lambda_{k_{0}+1}=\cdots=\lambda_{k_{0}+p_{0}}$, then for any $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{p_{0}}$, $\left(\lambda_{k_{0}+1}, \sum_{i=1}^{p_{0}} \mu_{i} \phi_{i}\right)$ solves Problem (1.4).

Furthermore, we get
Lemma 2.12. If $i, j=1,2, \cdots$ such that $\lambda_{i} \neq \lambda_{j}$, then $\int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_{i} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} d x=0$.

Proof. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \phi_{i}=\lambda_{i} I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} \phi_{i} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \phi_{j}=\lambda_{j} I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} \phi_{j} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.6) by $\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \phi_{j}$, (2.7) by $\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}} \phi_{i}$, integrating over $\Omega$ and taking the difference, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_{i} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} d x=0
$$

Remark 2.13. Moreover, under the assumptions of Lemma 2.12, we also get $\int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{i}(x) \phi_{j}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x=0$.

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}_{k}$ are pairwise orthogonal. By the ( $P S$ ) condition, it is also clear that

Claim 2.14. For any $k=1,2, \cdots, \sup \left\{l>0 \mid \lambda_{k+1}=\cdots=\lambda_{k+l}\right\}<\infty$.
Now we verify the completeness of $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}_{k}$.
Proposition 2.15. It holds that $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{k}, \cdots\right\}}$.
Proof. Otherwise, if there exists a function $\phi_{*} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\phi_{*} \perp \overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{k}, \cdots\right\}}$.
Denote $H_{0}:=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{k}, \cdots\right\}}$ and $H_{0}^{\perp}:=\overline{\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{k}, \cdots\right\}}\right)^{\perp} \text {. Then }}$ $\phi_{*} \in H_{0}^{\perp}$. Now we claim that

Claim 2.16. $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{M} \cap H_{0}^{\perp}$ is a natural constrain for $\int|\nabla u|^{2} d x$ on $\mathcal{M}$.
If $\nabla_{\mathcal{N}} \int|\nabla u|^{2} d x=0$ for $u \in \mathcal{N}$, we want to prove $\nabla_{\mathcal{M}} \int|\nabla u|^{2} d x=0$. To do this, let us select a $\phi_{j} \in H_{0}$. Then,

$$
0=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} d x=\int_{\Omega} u\left(-\Delta \phi_{j}\right) d x=\lambda_{j} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) \phi_{j}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x
$$

since $u \perp \phi_{j}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\phi_{j}$ is the $j$-th eigenfunction. In this computation, we also proved that $v \in T_{u} \mathcal{M}$. The same computation implies that $\nabla_{\mathcal{M}} \int|\nabla u|^{2} d x=$ 0 and the claim is proved.

Then, we obtain an eigenvalue and an eigenfunction $\left(\lambda_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ with $0<\lambda_{0}<\infty$. This contradicts with the order of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \cdots$. Therefore, $H_{0}^{\perp}=0$, i.e. $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{k}, \cdots\right\}}$.
2.4. Principal eigenfunction. In this part, we analyze the principal eigenfunction and the principle eigenvalue. Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\phi_{1}$ attends the above minimum.
Proposition 2.17. It holds that $\phi_{1}>0$.
Proof. Otherwise, we assume that $\phi_{1}$ changes its sign. Let $\phi_{1,+}=\max \left\{\phi_{1}, 0\right\}$ and $\phi_{1,-}=\min \left\{\phi_{1}, 0\right\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|^{2} d x= & \lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x \\
= & \lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,+}(x) \phi_{1,+}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x+\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,-}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x \\
& +2 \lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,+}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,

$$
\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,+}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x \leq 0 .
$$

If $\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left.\phi_{1,+}(x) \phi_{1,-( }\right)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x<0$, then
$\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|^{2} d x<\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,+}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x+\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,-}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x$.
Then, either

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi_{1,+}\right|^{2} d x<\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,+}(x) \phi_{1,+}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x
$$

or

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi_{1,-}\right|^{2} d x<\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,--}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x .
$$

This contradict with (2.8). Then,

$$
\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,+}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x=0 .
$$

Hence,
$\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi_{1}\right|^{2} d x=\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,+}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x+\lambda_{1} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_{1,-}(x) \phi_{1,-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x$.
Then, $\phi_{1,+}$ and $\phi_{1,-}$ also minimize (2.8) and

$$
-\Delta \phi_{1, \pm}=\lambda_{1} I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} \phi_{1, \pm} \text { in } \Omega
$$

This contradicts with the strong maximum principle of $-\Delta$, cf. [17, Chapter 6.4].
2.5. Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 follows the above considerations.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Assertion (1) of Theorem 1.4 is proved by Proposition [2.3, i.e. there exists a sequence of pairs $\left\{\left(\lambda_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)\right\}_{k}$ whose elements solve Problem (1.4). By Proposition 2.10, for any $k=1,2, \cdots, \phi_{k} \in C^{2, \theta}(\Omega) \cap C_{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\theta \in(0,1)$. For the set $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}_{k}$, the orthogonality and the completeness are guaranteed by Lemma 2.12 and Proposition 2.15. This proves Assertion (2) of Theorem 1.4 .

As for Assertion (3), using Proposition 2.17, the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ is simple. The proof is complete.

## 3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2: Non-Existence results

3.1. The regularity of weak solutions. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are based on [7]. We start with $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ solving Problem (1.1), i.e.,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u+|u|^{2^{*}-2} u \text { in } \Omega \\
u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We want to prove that $u \in C^{1, \theta}(\Omega)$ for some $\theta \in(0,1)$. Recall the following Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality:

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{f(x) g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x\right| \leq C|f|_{p}|g|_{t}
$$

with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{t}=1+\frac{\alpha}{N}$. First, we have that
Lemma 3.1. Let $u$ be a solution to Problem (1.1) in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. For any $r \geq 1$, $u \in L^{r}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Multiplying Problem (1.1) by $|u|^{s-1} u$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we get
$\frac{4 s}{(s+1)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u^{\frac{s+1}{2}}\right)\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}(-\Delta u)|u|^{s-1} u d x \leq \lambda C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\widetilde{u}(y) \widetilde{u}(x)^{s}\right|}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x+|u|_{\frac{N+2}{N-2}+s}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}+s}$.
Here, $\widetilde{u}$ is the zero extension of $u$. Using Sobolev inequality and Hardy-Littlewood-
Sobolev inequality,

$$
|u|_{\frac{(s+1) N}{N-2}}^{s} \leq C\left(|u|_{\frac{N(s+1)}{N+\alpha}}^{s+1}+|u|_{\frac{N+2}{N-2}+s}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}+s}\right) .
$$

Noticing $\frac{N(s+1)}{N+\alpha} \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}+s$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\frac{(s+1) N}{N-2}}^{s} \leq C\left(|u|_{\frac{N+2}{N-2}+s}^{s+1}+|u|_{\frac{N+2}{N-2}+s}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}+s}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $s_{i+1}=\frac{N}{N-2} \cdot s_{i}-\frac{2}{N-2}$. We can prove that for any $r \geq 1, u \in L^{r}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $u$ be a solution to Problem (1.1) in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. There exists a $\theta \in(0,1), u \in C^{2, \theta}(\Omega)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and 2.4, we get for any $r \geq 1, u \in W^{2, r}(\Omega)$. Then, for any $r \geq 1,|\nabla u| \in L^{r}(\Omega)$. Notice that for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{x} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y & =\nabla_{x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\widetilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\nabla \widetilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.9 implies that $\left|\nabla_{x} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y\right| \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then, $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \in C^{0, \theta}(\Omega)$ for some $\theta \in(0,1)$. Combing the classical Hölder estimate (cf. [18, Chapter 6]), we complete the proof.
3.2. Pohozaev identity. In this part, we derive a Pohozaev identity for Problem (1.1). First, let us consider the potential term $I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u$.

Lemma 3.3. It holds that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right)(x \cdot \nabla u) d x=-\frac{N+\alpha}{2} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y .
$$

Proof. By a direct computation,

$$
\operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right) x \cdot u\right)=\operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega}\right) x\right) u+\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega}\right) x \cdot \nabla u .
$$

This gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right)(x \cdot \nabla u) d x & =-\int_{\Omega} d x\left[\operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right) x\right) u\right]+\int_{\Omega} d x\left[\operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right) x u(x)\right)\right] \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} d x\left[\operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right) x\right) u\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

since $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Moreover, notice that

$$
\operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\frac{x}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\right)=\frac{N}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}-(N-\alpha) \frac{(x-y) \cdot x}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha+2}} .
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right)(x \cdot \nabla u) d x=- & N C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y \\
& +(N-\alpha) C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x-y) \cdot x u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha+2}} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the symmetry of the double integral,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x-y) \cdot x u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha+2}} d y= & \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x-y) \cdot x u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha+2}} d y \\
& -\int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x-y) \cdot y u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha+2}} d y \\
= & \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right)(x \cdot \nabla u) d x=-\frac{N+\alpha}{2} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y .
$$

Now we prove Pohozaev identity.
Lemma 3.4. For any solution to Problem (1.1), it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|^{2} x \cdot d \vec{S}_{x}+(N-2) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda C_{N, \alpha}(N+\alpha) \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x \\
& -(N-2) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. To do this, only need to notice that

$$
0=\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta u+\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u+|u|^{2^{*}-2} u\right)(x \cdot \nabla u)=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x \cdot \nabla u) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\operatorname{div}(\nabla u(x \cdot \nabla u))-|\nabla u|^{2}-x \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla(x \cdot \nabla u)-x \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{N-2}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for any $x \in \Omega, x \cdot \nabla u=x \cdot n \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$, then

$$
I_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|^{2} x \cdot d \vec{S}_{x}+\frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

Moreover,

$$
I_{2}=\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u\right)(x \cdot \nabla u) d x=-\frac{\lambda C_{N, \alpha}(N+\alpha)}{2} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y .
$$

due to Lemma 3.3. And,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & =\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}-2} u \cdot(x \cdot \nabla u) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{x}{2^{*}}|u|^{2^{*}}\right)-\frac{N-2}{2}|u|^{2^{*}}\right] d x \\
& =-\frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemmas follows immediately.
3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. In this part, we prove Theorem 1.1 .

Proof of Theorem 1.1, Let $u$ be a solution to Problem (1.1), then

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x .
$$

By Lemma 3.4, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|^{2} x \cdot d \vec{S}_{x}-(\alpha+2) \lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\Omega$ is star-shaped, if $\lambda<0$, we get $u \equiv 0$ immediately. If $\lambda=0$, $u \equiv 0$ due to the unique continuation, cf. [23].
3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.2. In this part, we prove Theorem 1.2 ,

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Pohozaev identity, if Problem (1.1) admits a positive solution,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y & =\frac{1}{\alpha+2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|^{2} x \cdot d \vec{S}_{x} \\
& \geq \frac{c_{0}}{\alpha+2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|^{2} d S_{x} \\
& \geq \frac{c_{0}|\partial \Omega|_{N-1}^{-1}}{\alpha+2}\left(\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d S_{x}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{c_{0}|\partial \Omega|_{N-1}^{-1}}{\alpha+2}\left(\int_{\Omega}(-\Delta u) d x\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noticing that $\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$, by the Sobolev inequality involving $|\Delta u|_{1}$ (see, for instance, [8, Theorem 8]), if $N-\alpha-4<0$, there exists a constant $C_{*}>0$ independence in $u$ and

$$
|u|_{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{1, q}(\Omega)} \leq C_{*}|\Delta u|_{1}
$$

for any $1 \leq q<\frac{N}{N-1}$. Then, by Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, there exists a constant $C=C(N, \alpha)>0$ such that

$$
\lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y \geq \frac{C|\Omega|_{N}^{\frac{N-\alpha-4}{N}}}{|\partial \Omega|_{N-1}^{N}} C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y .
$$

This proves the result.

## 4. Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5: Existence and multiplicity RESULTS

4.1. Estimate of the mountain-pass level. The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 are based on [7, 10]. To begin with, we use the Talenti instanton to test the mountain pass level of functional $I_{\alpha}$. Talenti instanton is defined as

$$
U_{x_{0}, \mu}(x)=C_{0} \frac{\mu^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\left(1+\mu^{2}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}
$$

with $C_{0}$ is a positive constant depends on $N$ only, cf. [9, pp. 18]. A minimizing formulation of the mountain pass level is that

$$
m_{\alpha, \lambda}=\inf \left\{\left.J_{\alpha, \lambda}(u)\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{2^{*}} d x=1\right\}
$$

with

$$
J_{\alpha, \lambda}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)
$$

Consider the minimization

$$
S=\inf \left\{\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\right| u\right|^{2^{*}} d x=1\right\} .
$$

Without loss of generality, let us assume that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|U_{x_{0}, \mu}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=1$ and that $U_{x_{0}, \mu}$ attains $S$. Moreover, let us assume that $0 \in \Omega$. For a small positive number $\delta>0$, define a smooth cutoff function

$$
\xi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1,|x|<\delta \\
0,|x|>2 \delta
\end{array}\right.
$$

By a routine computation as in [7] and in [9, pp. 19],
Proposition 4.1. It holds that
(1). $\int_{\Omega}\left|\xi U_{0, \mu}\right|^{2^{*}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{0,1}^{2^{*}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{N}}\right)$;
(2). $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(\xi U_{0, \mu}\right)\right|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla U_{0,1}\right|^{2}+O\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{N-2}}\right)$.

Now we will give an estimate on $C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d x \int_{\Omega} \frac{\xi(x) \xi(y) U_{0, \mu}(x) U_{0, \mu}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y$. To this end, we first study its limit, i.e. the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{U_{0,1}(x) U_{0,1}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d y \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. Integral (4.1) converges if and only if $N-\alpha-4>0$.
Proof. Notice that

$$
\text { (4.1) }=\left|I_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} * U_{0,1}\right|_{2}^{2}=\left.\left.C| | \xi\right|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widehat{U_{0,1}}(\xi)\right|_{2} ^{2}
$$

Now we consider $\widehat{U_{0,1}}(\xi)$, the Fourier transform of $U_{0,1}$. Do as in [28, pp. 360],

$$
\left[\left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\right](\xi)=\frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}} 2^{\frac{2}{N}-\frac{N}{2}+2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}-1\right)}|\xi|^{-1} K_{1}(|\xi|)
$$

Here, $K_{1}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind $K_{v}$ with $v=1$. Using [40, (14)/pp. 88], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi|^{-\alpha}\left|\widehat{U_{0,1}}(\xi)\right|^{2}|\xi|^{N-1} \sim C|\xi|^{(N-\alpha-4)-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $|\xi| \rightarrow 0$. By [40, (1)/pp. 202], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi|^{-\alpha}\left|\widehat{U_{0,1}}(\xi)\right|^{2}|\xi|^{N-1} \sim C e^{-|\xi|}|\xi|^{N-\alpha-4} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Combining (4.2) and (4.3), we prove the lemma.

We only need to check $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(\xi U_{0, \mu}\right)$. By an easy computation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(\xi U_{0, \mu}\right) & =C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} d y \int_{\Omega} \frac{\xi(x) \xi(y) U_{0, \mu}(x) U_{0, \mu}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \\
& =C_{N, \alpha} \mu^{-2-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\xi\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) \xi\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) U_{0,1}(x) U_{0,1}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
D_{R}:=C_{N, \alpha} \int_{R_{R}(0) \times B_{R}(0)} \frac{U_{0,1}(x) U_{0,1}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x d y .
$$

Lemma 4.3. It holds that
(1). If $N-\alpha-4>0, D_{R} \rightarrow C$ for some $C>0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$;
(2). If $N-\alpha-4 \leq 0, D_{R} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$;
(3). Given $R>0$, for large $\mu>0, \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(\xi U_{0, \mu}\right) \geq D_{R} \mu^{-2-\alpha}$.

The next lemma follows immediately.
Lemma 4.4. $m_{\alpha, \lambda}<S$ if one of the following holds:
(1). $N-\alpha-4 \geq 0$ and any $\lambda>0$;
(2). $N-\alpha-4<0$ and $\lambda>0$ large.

Proof. Denote $v_{\mu}=\frac{\xi U_{0, \mu}}{\left|\xi U_{0, \mu}\right|_{2^{*}}}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\alpha, \lambda} & \leq I_{\lambda}\left(v_{\mu}\right)=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(\xi U_{0, \mu}\right)\right|^{2}-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(\xi U_{0, \mu}\right)}{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\xi U_{0, \mu}\right|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}} \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla U_{0,1}\right|^{2}+O\left(\mu^{2-N}\right)-\lambda D_{R} \mu^{-2-\alpha}}{\left(1+O\left(\mu^{-N}\right)\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla U_{0,1}\right|^{2}+\frac{O\left(\mu^{2-N}\right)-\lambda D_{R} \mu^{-2-\alpha}}{1+O\left(\mu^{2-N}\right)} \\
& =S+T_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,

$$
T_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, N}=\frac{O\left(\mu^{2-N}\right)-\lambda D_{R} \mu^{-2-\alpha}}{1+O\left(\mu^{2-N}\right)}
$$

In order to prove $m_{\alpha, \lambda} \leq I_{\lambda}\left(v_{\mu}\right)<S$, it is sufficient to check that $T_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, N}<0$.
Case. 1. $N-\alpha-4>0$.
If $N-\alpha-4>0$, for any $\lambda>0$, letting $\mu>0$ be sufficiently large, we get $T_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, N}<0$.
Case. 2. $N-\alpha-4=0$.
If $N-\alpha-4=0$, for any $\lambda>0$, Lemma 4.3 implies that $D_{R} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for large $R>0$ and $\mu>0$, we get $T_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, N}<0$ again.
Case. 3. $N-\alpha-4<0$.
If $N-\alpha-4<0$, for arbitrarily fixed $\mu$ and $R$, let $\lambda>0$ be sufficiently large, we get $T_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, N}<0$.
4.2. A local compactness. In this subsection, we prove a convergence theorem for the $(P S)$ sequence of the energy functional

$$
E_{\alpha, \lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}}
$$

satisfying certain assumptions. To be precise, we get
Lemma 4.5. For any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that
(1). $E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c<\frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$;
(2). $\nabla E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$,
then $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k}$ admits a convergent subsequence in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Proof. We prove it in steps.
Step 1. $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

It is evident that

$$
o_{n}(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|+c+1 \geq \frac{1}{N}\left|u_{n}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}} .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{2} & \leq C+o_{n}(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\frac{|\lambda|}{N} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \leq C+o_{n}(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left(C+o_{n}(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Step 2. $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
First notice that there exists a $u_{\infty} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\infty}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. We will prove that $u_{\infty} \rightarrow u_{\infty}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then, it is evident that

- $\nabla E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{\infty}\right)=0$;
- $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\infty}\right|^{2} d x+o_{n}(1)$;
- $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{\infty}\right) ;$
- $\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+o_{n}(1)$.

Here, the last assertion applied Brezis-Lieb theorem. On the other hand, notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
o_{n}(1) & =\nabla E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right) \\
& =\left(\nabla E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{\infty}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right) d x+o_{n}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, using Brezis-Lieb theorem,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+o_{n}(1) .
$$

Then, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+o_{n}(1)
$$

It follows that

$$
E_{\alpha, 0}\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)\right|^{2} d x+o_{n}(1)
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)\right|^{2} d x=N\left(E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{\infty}\right)\right)+o_{n}(1)<S^{\frac{N}{2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, notice that
$\left\|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right\|^{2}\left(1-S^{-\frac{2^{*}}{2}}\left\|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right\|^{\|^{*}-2}\right) \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right)\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=o_{n}(1)$.
Combining (4.4) and (4.5), we get $\left\|u_{n}-u_{\infty}\right\| \rightarrow 0$.
4.3. A maximum principle for a nonlocal operator. In this part, we prove the following maximum principle for the nonlocal operator

$$
S_{\lambda}(u):=-\Delta u-\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u .
$$

Lemma 4.6. Suppose $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$ and $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ satisfies that $S_{\lambda}(u) \geq 0$, then $u \geq 0$ in $\Omega$. Here,

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)} .
$$

Proof. According to the assumption, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u-\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u \geq 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Testing (4.6) with $u_{-}(x)=\min \{0, u(x)\}$, we get

$$
0 \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{+}(x) u_{-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{-}(x) u_{-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x d y
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{-}\right|^{2} d x-\lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{-}(x) u_{-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x d y \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{+}(x)=\max \{0, u(x)\}$. Now we study $\lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{-}(x) u_{-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x d y$. By Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Sobolev inequality, we get

$$
\lambda C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{-}(x) u_{-}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x d y=\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u) \leq \lambda \cdot \lambda_{1}^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{-}\right|^{2} d x .
$$

Here,

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}=\inf _{u \neq 0} \frac{\int|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)} .
$$

Plugging this into (4.7), we get

$$
0 \geq\left|\nabla u_{-}\right|_{2}^{2}-\lambda \cdot \lambda_{1}^{-1}\left|\nabla u_{-}\right|_{2}^{2}=\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left|\nabla u_{-}\right|_{2}^{2} .
$$

Notice that $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$. We get $u_{-}=0$ and complete the proof.
4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. In this part, we prove Theorem 1.3 ,

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Lemma 4.4, for the cases
(1). $N-\alpha-4 \geq 0$ and any $\lambda>0$;
(2). $N-\alpha-4<0$ and $\lambda>0$ large,
there exists a a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow m_{\alpha, \lambda}=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\left.\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{2^{*}} d x=1\right\}<S \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Indeed, by a direct computation,

$$
m_{\alpha, \lambda} \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-C\left|u_{n}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-C
$$

Then, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and therefore there exists a $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, by Brezis-Lieb theorem and the weak convergence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ to $u$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}} d x=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x+o_{n}(1) \\
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+o_{n}(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(u_{m}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)+o_{n}(1) .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\alpha, \lambda}+o_{n}(1) & =\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)+o_{n}(1) \\
& \geq S\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{2^{*}}^{2}+m_{\alpha, \lambda}|u|_{2^{*}}^{2}+o_{n}(1) \\
& \geq S\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}}+m_{\alpha, \lambda}|u|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}}+o_{n}(1) \\
& \geq\left(S-m_{\alpha, \lambda}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}}+m_{\alpha, \lambda}+o_{n}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m_{\alpha, \lambda}<S, u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2^{*}}(\Omega)$ and $|u|_{2^{*}}=1$. Therefore,

$$
m_{\alpha, \lambda} \leq J_{\alpha, \lambda}(u) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=m_{\alpha, \lambda}
$$

which proves that $u$ attains the minimum $m_{\alpha, \lambda}$. This implies that $u$ is a solution to Problem (1.1).

To prove the second part, it is necessary to modify the settings as follows. Denote

$$
m_{\alpha, \lambda}^{+}=\inf \left\{\left.J_{\alpha, \lambda}(u)\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=1\right\}
$$

Notice that the set $\left\{\left.u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=1\right\}$ is a $C^{1}$ manifold. Then the above computation can be repeated. To be precise, we get

Claim 4.7. $m_{\alpha, \lambda}^{+}<S$ if one of the following holds:
(1). $N-\alpha-4 \geq 0$ and any $\lambda>0$;
(2). $N-\alpha-4<0$ and $\lambda>0$ large.

Then, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n}$ of $m_{\alpha, \lambda}^{+}$. Repeating the argument as above, we find a non-negative minimizer $u^{\prime}$ of $m_{\alpha, \lambda}^{+}$, which solves the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda I_{\alpha} *_{\Omega} u+\left|u_{+}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{+} \text {in } \Omega, \\
u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$, by Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 3.2, $u^{\prime}$ is a positive solution to Problem (1.1).
4.5. Proof of Theorem 1.5. We follow the notation in the last subsection.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We apply the idea in [10]. Let $A_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{j}\right\} \cap$ $\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \|\left. u\right|_{2^{*}}=1\right\}$. By a direct computation,

$$
\sup _{u \in A_{j}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)}{|u|_{2^{*}}^{2}} \leq\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda\right) \sup _{A_{j}} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)}{|u|_{2^{*}}^{2}}<v \cdot C_{H L S} C_{N, \alpha}|\Omega|_{N}^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N}} .
$$

Here, $C_{H L S}$ is the best constant in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u(x) v(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x \leq C_{H L S}|u|_{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}|v|_{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}} .
$$

By the assumption in Theorem 1.5,

$$
\sup _{u \in A_{j}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)}{|u|_{2^{*}}^{2}} \leq\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda\right) \sup _{A_{j}} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)}{|u|_{2^{*}}^{2}}<S .
$$

Denote

$$
F_{\alpha, \lambda}(u)=\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)}{|u|_{2^{*}}^{2}} .
$$

Then, $\gamma\left(\operatorname{int}\left(F_{\alpha, \lambda}^{S}\right) \cap\left\{\left.u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)| | u\right|_{2^{*}}=1\right\}\right) \geq j+m$. Denote $\mathcal{L}=\{u \in$ $\left.\left.H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{2^{*}} d x=1\right\}, L:=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{j}, \phi_{j+1}, \cdots\right\}} \cap \mathcal{L}$ and $\Gamma_{k}=\{A \subset \mathcal{L} \mid \gamma(A) \geq$ $j+k\}$ for $k=1, \cdots, m$. Here, $\gamma$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ genus generated by the anti-podal action $u \mapsto-u$. Define the minimax values

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\inf _{A \in \Gamma_{k}} \sup _{x \in A} J_{\alpha, \lambda}(u) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that for any $k=1, \cdots, m$ and any $A \in \Gamma_{k}, A \cap L \neq \emptyset$. By the intersection lemma [37, Proposition 7.8], we get

$$
c_{k}=\inf _{A \in \Gamma_{k}} \sup _{u \in A} J_{\alpha, \lambda}(u) \geq \inf _{u \in L} J_{\alpha, \lambda}(u) \geq\left.\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right|_{\mathcal{L}} \geq c_{*}>0
$$

By [2] and [37, Theorem 8.10], $c_{k}$ are critical values of $J_{\alpha, \lambda}$ for $k=1, \cdots, m$. For any $k=1, \cdots, m$, there exists a $(P S)$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}^{k}\right\}_{n}$ of $\left.J_{\alpha, \lambda}(u)\right|_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that $J_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(u_{n}^{k}\right) \rightarrow c_{k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, the sequence $\left\{\widetilde{u}_{n}^{k}\right\}_{n}$ is a $(P S)$ sequence of $E_{\alpha, \lambda}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\alpha, \lambda}\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}^{k}\right)<\frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$. By Lemma 4.5, $\left\{\widetilde{u}_{n}^{k}\right\}_{n}$ converges to a critical point of $E_{\alpha, \lambda}$ and Theorem 1.5 follows immediately.
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