

TrafficIncidentResponseGPT: Generating Traffic Incident Response Plans with Generative Artificial Intelligence

Artur Grigorev (Artur.Grigorev@uts.edu.au), University of Technology Sydney, Australia
 Dr Khaled Saleh (Khaled.Saleh@ieee.org), University of Newcastle, Australia
 Dr Yuming Ou (Yuming.Ou@uts.edu.au), University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Abstract

Traffic congestion due to road incidents poses a significant challenge in urban environments, leading to increased pollution, economic losses, and traffic congestion. Efficiently managing these incidents is imperative for mitigating their adverse effects; however, the complexity of urban traffic systems and the variety of potential incidents represent a considerable obstacle. This paper introduces IncidentResponseGPT, an innovative solution designed to assist traffic management authorities by providing rapid, informed, and adaptable traffic incident response plans. By integrating a Generative AI platform with real-time traffic incident reports and operational guidelines, our system aims to streamline the decision-making process in responding to traffic incidents. The research addresses the critical challenges involved in deploying AI in traffic management, including overcoming the complexity of urban traffic networks, ensuring real-time decision-making capabilities, aligning with local laws and regulations, and securing public acceptance for AI-driven systems. Through a combination of text analysis of accident reports, validation of AI recommendations through traffic simulation, and implementation of transparent and validated AI systems, IncidentResponseGPT offers a promising approach to optimizing traffic flow and reducing congestion in the face of traffic incidents. The relevance of this work extends to traffic management authorities, emergency response teams, and municipal bodies, all integral stakeholders in urban traffic control and incident management. By proposing a novel solution to the identified challenges, this research aims to develop a framework that not only facilitates faster resolution of traffic incidents but also minimizes their overall impact on urban traffic systems.

Introduction

Road incidents contributing to traffic congestion are a prevalent scenario in cities globally, leading to a range of detrimental impacts, including inflated traffic flow, augmented pollution, and significant economic losses associated with delays. Rapid and efficient management of traffic incidents is crucial for the mitigation of such negative effects. However, the inherent complexity of urban traffic systems poses both technical and logistical challenges to human operators in the field. The following research presents a solution in the form of IncidentResponseGPT, a system designed to assist authorities by generating swift, informed, and adaptable traffic incident response plans. This is achieved by incorporating a Generative AI platform with real-time traffic incident reports and specific operational guidelines.

The deployment and integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in traffic management systems offer promising benefits, notably in optimizing traffic flow, reducing congestion, and improving response times to various incidents. However, it poses certain challenges that need careful attention:

1. **Complexity of Urban Traffic Network:** Urban traffic networks are extraordinarily complex systems with various intersecting roads, bridges, and tunnels. This complexity makes it difficult to predict and manage traffic during incidents effectively.
2. **Variety of Traffic Incidents:** The nature and severity of traffic incidents can greatly vary, including minor accidents, major crashes, natural disasters, road works, etc. Each type requires a different and suitable response strategy.
3. **Real-Time Decision Making:** Traffic management authorities must make quick decisions. Any delay can escalate the situation, making the problem of generating accurate and timely response plans even more challenging.
4. **Alignment with Local Laws and Regulations:** Different regions have different response guidelines and roadway regulations. The system must align with these, requiring a good understanding of the rules.

Current Challenge: Current systems are facing the increasing complexity and urgency demanded in real-world traffic scenarios, but TrafficIncidentResponseGPT seeks to merge generative AI with traffic management protocols to deliver rapid, adaptable, and reliable response plans. While today’s systems incorporate a mix of automated and manual processes, there’s a continuous push towards more integrated, intelligent systems that can adapt in real-time to changing conditions. Recent research also focuses on the development of automated and dynamic response systems that leverage real-time data, predictive analytics, and artificial intelligence to optimize incident response strategies.

The application of Artificial Intelligence poses additional challenges, which resolution we consider in the development of our novel framework:

1. **AI in Traffic Management:** Previous studies show that AI can perform complex text analysis (including analysis of accident reports) to improve prediction of various aspects of traffic incidents, but its use in creating immediate incident response plans has not been fully explored yet.
2. **Superalignment & Validation of AI Recommendations:** Targeting a critical real-world problem with a complex set of constraints, we need to ensure the safety, validity and effectiveness of the responses recommended by the AI system. It’s crucial to avoid any potential negative consequences from using a system smarter than human. We ensuring that the AI’s recommendations are tested and reliable via validation of AI responses using traffic simulation and utilizing chain-of-thought visualization for the traffic operator to consider. Responses of AI model are also be limited only to actionable plans (constrained set of actions, merged by logical rules).
3. **Public Acceptance:** As with any new technology, there might be skepticism or resistance to change. Public acceptance of AI-driven system is critical for it’s successful deployment. By implementing transparency and validation of such AI system, we addressing problems of AI integration and public acceptance.

The main stakeholders of Ai-driven solutions for traffic incident management are: 1) traffic management authorities and other municipal bodies in charge of traffic control and roads infrastructure, 2) emergency response teams, including police, fire services, and medical responders, who are vital in managing incidents.

The research problem involves developing a system that can assist authorities by providing swift, informed, and adaptable traffic incident response plan generation based on specific guidelines and incident reports, decreasing the time taken to resolve incidents and reducing their impact.

Related Works

Current studies provides substantial insights into the utilization of large language models and various methods of artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance disaster response, incident prediction, and transportation management. Given the increasing frequency and impact of natural disasters and the increase in complexity of traffic scenarios across the globe, it becomes imperative to address the efficiency of responses and the methodologies of implementing AI-driven response planning within these dynamic environments.

In the study by Xue et al. (2023), ChatGPT is explored for its capabilities in natural disaster management and demonstrate the applicability of LLM models in simplifying and accelerating the disaster management process through stages such as pre-disaster, during-disaster, and post-disaster scenarios [1]. The model addresses the limitations in real-time data handling and expert-level accuracy in complex disaster management scenarios. Also, Goecks and Waytowich (2023) [2] develop the DisasterResponseGPT framework, which uses LLMs to generate rapid action plans in disaster situations with limitations in handling visual and real-time data inputs [2]The discussion on using simulation models like Visual Interactive System for Transport Algorithms (VISTA) for simulating traffic conditions and test incident management strategies further underscores the benefits of dynamic modeling in responding to varying traffic conditions effectively [3]. Authors highlight the use of VISTA to.

These simulations help in preplanning which, as their findings suggest, is essential for reducing response times and managing congestion effectively during traffic incidents [3]. These models prove effective in generating rapid response plans and disseminating crucial information during the event, with inherent limitations concerning real-time data processing and professional accuracy [1]. Various works like Tao (2023) highlight trust issues with AI-generated content during disasters, promoting transparency as a pivotal factor in enhancing public trust and plan reliability [4].

Through integrating Geographic Information System (GIS) capabilities with AI, as discussed in Huang and Pan (2007) [5], the efficiency of incident responses can be markedly improved by optimizing resource allocation and managing traffic disruptions in real-time scenarios. AI models are capable of facilitating quick and effective decision-making processes by processing and analyzing large volumes of data swiftly [5]. Works like the integration of AI in traffic systems further affirm the potential of LLMs in transforming urban traffic management [6].

The integration of real-time data, the need for continuous model training, and the development of robust frameworks to handle complex emergency situations are recurrent themes across studies. As indicated by safety analytics in transport and construction sectors, there remains a crucial gap in applying AI to dynamically interpret complex environmental data sets and interact seamlessly across diverse operational platforms [6].

The integration of data-driven models in ERM systems was extensively reviewed by [7]. That research highlighted the disconnect between traditional static models and the dynamic requirements of real-world emergency management. The authors proposed a transition towards more adaptive models, capable of real-time adjustments in response strategies based on live data inputs. Key challenges identified include the ethical implications which remain paramount as these technologies become more relevant in critical areas affecting public safety of predictive policing and the limitations of current technology in accurately modeling complex urban behaviors. Current study aims to address AI capabilities with a consideration of ethical implications, striving for transparency and reliability of AI-driven methodology in traffic incident management.

Ravish and Swamy discuss the integration of machine learning and computational intelligence in managing traffic congestion. Their review identifies significant advancements in Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), stressing the importance of real-time data processing and accident detection mechanisms for improving traffic flow and safety [8]. In the context of traffic management, the review emphasizes the challenges posed by increasing vehicle numbers and inadequate infrastructure expansion. Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) that leverage machine learning and computational intelligence offer promising solutions. However, issues such as real-time data processing, system scalability, and accident detection integration remain significant hurdles. Building on this concept, IncidentResponseGPT enhances the dynamic modeling approach by incorporating Generative AI to generate incident response plans in real-time.

The application of dynamic resource allocation has been demonstrated in a similar case of response planning: crime response, with strategies like predictive analytics and hotspot policing gaining prominence. The continuous-time Markov decision process (CTMDP) presented by Mukhopadhyay et al. uses real-time crime data to optimize police patrol allocations, significantly improving response times in urban scenarios by minimizing the duration between crime occurrence and police arrival [9].

Case-Based Reasoning (CBR) and Bayesian Theory have been used to automatically generate traffic incident response plans [10].

Moreover, the study by Smetana et al.[11] presents a novel application of LLMs in analyzing safety incidents within specific sectors such as highway construction. The use of LLMs to parse complex datasets and generate actionable safety insights advances the construction industry towards reducing incident rates but also highlights the broad applicability of AI in understanding and mitigating risks associated with occupational hazards.

The AI-enhanced traffic incident management systems have the potential to revolutionize incident response planning in urban environments. By integrating generative AI and addressing its limitations, future systems can potentially reduce congestion and improve traffic flow substantially, contributing to more sustainable urban mobility. The potential of AI to enhance traffic incident management significantly revolves around its ability to process incident data in constrained and reliable manner. Real-time traffic incident management demands rapid assessment and response, which can be significantly optimized using AI. However, research by Bo Huang and Xiaohong Pan (2007) indicates the critical need for integrating real-time data with AI systems to manage incidents effectively on complex traffic networks [12]. The introduction of IncidentResponseGPT addresses these challenges by combining generative AI with incident report data, aligning with the findings by Goecks and Waytowich (2023) who emphasize the importance of real-time adaptable plans in managing urban disasters[2]. The evolution of AI technologies offers promising enhancements in managing urban traffic incidents. IncidentResponseGPT symbolizes a significant step toward incorporating real-time data and generative AI to formulate incident response strategies. It's designed to adapt to the dynamic nature of urban environment, suggesting responses based on continuously updated traffic data and incident progression [7].

Methodology

IncidentResponseGPT (Traffic Incident Response generation framework utilising GPT models) aims to utilize generative AI to rapidly generate and propose response plans for traffic incidents based on incoming incident reports and region-specific incident response guidelines, providing traffic management authorities with powerful tools to enhance efficiency, safety, and streamline operations with a potential to dramatically enhance their operational effectiveness.

The core of the IncidentResponseGPT system is powered by a generative language model like ChatGPT, capable of producing dynamic traffic incident response plans in real time. The response plans are not only be based on

incoming incident data adhering to regional-specific incident response guidelines, but also real-time feedback from an operator and the current and progressive state of the traffic incident. This adaptability enhances the flexibility and situational appropriateness of the response plans, leading to improved traffic safety, reduction of congestion, and ultimately, lesser economic and environmental impacts of traffic incidents. The generated responses are subject to validation through an empirical evaluation process that involves traffic simulations, ensuring the honesty and effectiveness of the system’s decision-making process. In addition, the platform has a provision for visualizing its decision-making chain, thereby ensuring transparency and traceability of AI’s recommendations.

Honesty Evaluation through Traffic Simulation: All the plans proposed by AI are subject of evaluation within a traffic simulation software. The evaluation of the AI-generated response plans against traffic simulations establishes an empirical framework for measuring the AI’s honesty. If the AI consistently proposes solutions that yield quantifiably better traffic outcomes, it substantiates the assumption that the AI system’s chain-of-thought processes are aligned with the real-world objective of improving traffic conditions. Furthermore, this iterative evaluation process can refine the alignment of the AI model by exposing it to an expansive array of simulated traffic scenarios with various traffic performance metrics, thereby continuously challenging and improving its honesty and efficiency in managing traffic incidents. We aim to develop a system of metrics designed to evaluate the AI’s decisions’ honesty. These metrics should focus not only on the simulation outcomes but provide verified pipeline of decisions.

Interpretability and Transparency: We aim to incorporate mechanisms (mainly, chain-of-thought process) that allow the system to explain its decisions in a detailed manner (i.e. represented a decision graph), tracing back to both the initial guidelines and the learned generalizations. This transparency serves as a check on AI alignment, ensuring decisions are made for the right reasons. The capacity to trace back recommendations to specific guidelines or inputs not only enhances user trust but also aligns with the call for methods to improve our understanding of AI decision-making frameworks.

By focusing on these areas, the IncidentResponseGPT system can effectively address the critical aspects of superalignment, transparency and honesty in AI systems.

The produced response plans are expected to have a formal structure to facilitate validation using traffic simulation. Also, each response will include references to specific parts of guidelines and Chain-of-Thought process.

Algorithm

We use Generative AI (in our case - ChatGPT) within a framework that can generate the response plans based on accident description by learning from incident response guidelines (see Figure 1). The model generates informed action plans for traffic incidents using collected incident data and response guidelines, utilizing a comprehensive database of incidents and responsive measures. The system is to be made adaptable and reliable to incorporate various guidelines and verify positive impact on traffic flow using traffic simulation based on generated response plans.

Data: Our system perform analysis and synthesis of traffic incident guidelines into a short actionable form to help the AI understand what makes an effective response. We call this process as ‘Guideline Synthesis’, which result can be ‘plugged-into’ the AI system to generate incident response according to specific guidelines. It may be useful in comparison of response guidelines across various countries, while traffic simulation will provide quantifiable results of each response strategy effectiveness from different countries. The structure of our AI system allows to generate novel rules of incident response, perform various operations of modification of such rules, which can also be employed to enhance traffic systems in the future. Also, we use Countrywise Traffic Accident data set, which contains extensive descriptions of traffic accident variables. Also, Caltrans PeMS provides the incident timeline for each incident description which can be used in the development of real-time incident response system.

Evaluation: We will be using traffic simulations to compare impact of TrafficIncidentResponseGPT’s incident responses to measure its performance and refine its decision-making capabilities.

Algorithm Description:

The ‘generateResponsePlan’ function aims to create traffic incident response plans based on a set of predefined guidelines and a collection of traffic incident reports. Here’s an in-depth step-by-step explanation:

1. **Input Parameters:** The function accepts two parameters:

- **guidelines:** A document or dataset containing standard operating procedures for responding to traffic incidents.
- **reports:** A list of reports detailing individual traffic incidents.

2. **Guidelines Analysis & Synthesis:** The function starts by analyzing the `guidelines` through the `analyzedGuidelines` function to extract key elements (decision logic, scenario-action tables) for constructing a response plan.
3. **Response Plans List Initialization:** An empty list called `responsePlans` is initialized to store the response plans for each report.
4. **Report Processing Loop:** The function iterates over each report in the list of `reports`.
5. **Report Analysis:** Each report is analyzed using the `analyzeReport` function to break down the report into actionable data to perform traffic simulation which will estimate the potential impact of response strategy.
6. **Information Extraction:** The `extractRelevantInfo` function is used to extract key incident details from the analysis.
7. **Combining Logic with Report Information:** The guideline’s logic from `analyzedGuidelines` is combined with information from the normalized accident report by invoking `combineLogicAndInfo` to create a specific response plan.
8. **Appending Response Plan:** The generated response plan is paired with the original report and appended to the `responsePlans` list.
9. **Output:** After processing all reports, the function returns the `responsePlans` list with response plans for each incident report.

By executing this algorithm, the IncidentResponseGPT system can provide real-time, context-specific response plans that are in line with established response guidelines, aiming to improve the efficiency of traffic incident management.

Validation

To validate the performance of the IncidentResponseGPT system, we propose the following methodology:

1. **Traffic Simulation Setup:** Develop a virtual traffic simulation that accurately models the traffic flow of a selected urban area. The simulation should replicate real-world conditions, including road layout, traffic density, vehicle types, and typical incident scenarios.
2. **Baseline Measurement:** Run the simulation with historical traffic incident reports to establish baseline response times and traffic flow metrics without the intervention of IncidentResponseGPT’s generated plans. This will serve as a control group against which improvements can be measured.
3. **Incident Injection:** Introduce traffic incidents into the simulation, varying in type and severity, as described in the gathered historical traffic incident reports to create a diverse set of challenges for IncidentResponseGPT to address.
4. **Response Plan Implementation:** For each incident injected into the simulation, apply the corresponding response plan generated by the IncidentResponseGPT system. Monitor the implementation of these plans and collect data on response times, traffic flow disruption, and resolution efficacy.
5. **Data Collection and Analysis:** Gather detailed metrics from the simulation, including the duration of traffic disruptions, changes in average speed, and queue lengths. Use statistical analysis tools to compare these metrics with the baseline measurements.
6. **Efficiency and Safety Assessment:** Evaluate the efficiency of the IncidentResponseGPT’s response plans by measuring improvements in incident impact.
7. **Feedback Loop:** Incorporate feedback from the validation process into further training of the IncidentResponseGPT model. Use insights from the simulation data to fine-tune the AI’s decision-making process, focusing on optimizing response plans for even better outcomes.

The traffic simulation tool will be chosen based on its ability to provide realistic traffic modeling and incident response capabilities. The results of the validation process will be critical to demonstrating the effectiveness of IncidentResponseGPT in improving traffic incident response strategies, with the ultimate goal of making roadways safer and more efficient.

1. Action definition and Superalignment solution

One of the solutions to ensure the Superalignment of AI is to constrain all of its abilities to a small set of Permitted Actions. By using this approach, we make sure that AI doesn’t take control of the system or other critical systems or take alternative actions which lie outside of area of human values. The set of Permitted Actions in our study is related to actions that can be taken within a traffic simulation.

The notation for a set of traffic management actions can be expressed as below:

- Set of Traffic Management Actions: This set consists of all possible actions that can be taken for managing traffic, such as rerouting vehicles, adjusting speed limits, and other similar interventions. Each action within this set is specifically identified.

$$A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_n\} \quad (1)$$

- Set of Lanes in the Traffic Network: This set encompasses all lanes within the traffic network under consideration, with each lane uniquely identified to facilitate management and analysis.

$$L = \{l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots, l_m\} \quad (2)$$

- Imposed Speed Limit: This represents the specific speed limit enforced on a road segment or lane, which is determined within the permissible range of speed limits bounded by minimum and maximum legally allowed speeds. This control is crucial for modulating traffic flow and enhancing road safety under varying conditions.

$$s_{\text{imposed}} \in [v_{\min}, v_{\max}] \quad (3)$$

- Variable Message Signs (VMS): The VMS system displays various messages to drivers, intended to inform or influence their driving behavior based on current or upcoming road conditions.

$$VMS = \{"Slowdown", "Accidentahead", "Laneclosedahead", \dots\} \quad (4)$$

- Lane Closure: This binary action indicates a lane state whether it is operational or closed.

$$LC = \{"Open", "Closed"\} \quad (5)$$

Given the notation for a set of traffic management actions, every action a_i within the set A represents a combination of interventions that can be applied to a particular lane within the traffic network. These actions are designed to manage and control traffic flow, enhance safety, or respond to specific conditions or incidents on the road. Each action a_i is defined by a quad-tuple $\{l, s, vms, lc\}$, which specifies the lane on which the action is applied, the speed limit set for that lane, the message displayed on the Variable Message Sign (VMS), and the lane closure status, respectively.

Let's define a few examples of actions based on this framework:

- Action for Reducing Speed Due to Congestion: Considering congestion ahead, and we need to reduce the speed limit on lane l_2 to alleviate the congestion and enhance safety. A corresponding traffic management action might be represented as:

$$a_1 = \{l_2, 50, \text{"Reduce speed, congestion ahead"}, \text{"Open"}\}$$

In this action, the speed limit (s) is set to 50 km/h on lane l_2 , accompanied by a VMS message warning of congestion ahead. The lane remains open ("*Open*").

- Action for Lane Closure Due to an Accident**: If there's been an accident on lane l_3 , requiring its temporary closure, an appropriate action might be:

$$a_2 = \{l_3, 0, \text{"Lane closed, use alternative routes"}, \text{"Closed"}\}$$

Here, the speed limit effectively becomes irrelevant (0 might symbolize the unusable state of the lane rather than an actual speed limit), and the VMS communicates the closure while suggesting the use of alternative routes. The lane status is marked as "*Closed*".

- Action for Speed Limit Adjustment for Weather Conditions: Adverse weather conditions might necessitate a lowered speed limit on lane l_1 , even if the lane remains fully operational:

$$a_3 = \{l_1, 30, \text{"Slow down, bad weather"}, \text{"Open"}\}$$

This action reduces the speed limit to 30 km/h on lane l_1 as a precaution against potential hazards due to bad weather, indicated both by the reduced speed and a VMS message.

A full response strategy can be composed of a sequence of such actions.

2. Traffic Network Performance Measures Derived from Traffic Simulations

The evaluation of incident response strategies in traffic simulation software based on specific control actions could involve several measurements.

Each individual measure m_j can be represented as a result value dependent on the action applied and state of the system:

- M denotes the collective of all performance measures that can be assessed, expressed as:

$$M = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_p\}.$$

Each element in this set corresponds to unique aspects of the traffic network's performance, influenced by different actions or interventions.

- m_j indicates an individual measure within the set M , where the subscript j indexes each particular measure, such that:

$$j \in P = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p\}$$

Traffic simulation software like Simulation of Urban MObility (SUMO) provides multiple statistical outputs to estimate the traffic network performance:

- Average Route Length (L_{avg}): The mean distance covered by all vehicles during the simulation.

$$L_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{routeLength}_i}{N}$$

- Average Speed (V_{avg}): The mean speed of all vehicles within the traffic simulation.

$$V_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{speed}_i}{N}$$

- Average Duration (D_{avg}): The mean duration of trips across all vehicles.

$$D_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{duration}_i}{N}$$

- Average Waiting Time (W_{avg}): The mean waiting time encountered by all vehicles.

$$W_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{waitingTime}_i}{N}$$

- Average Time Loss (TL_{avg}): The mean time lost due to driving slower than desired, including waiting time.

$$TL_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{timeLoss}_i}{N}$$

- Total Travel Time (T_{total}): The cumulative travel time of all vehicles.

$$T_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \text{totalTravelTime}_i$$

- Mean Travel Time (MT_{trav}): The average travel time of all vehicles that completed their trips within the specified timeframe.

$$MT_{\text{trav}} = \frac{T_{\text{total}}}{N} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{totalTravelTime}_i}{N}$$

- Failure Rate (F_{rate}): The percentage of trips that exceed the predetermined threshold of acceptable travel time.

$$F_{\text{rate}} = \frac{\text{Number of late trips}}{\text{Total number of trips}} \times 100$$

To efficiently evaluate the overall effectiveness of strategies implemented within a traffic network, it's essential to construct a heuristic function that incorporates various measures of traffic network performance. Such a function enables a comparative analysis across different strategies by normalizing and weighting diverse measures. The heuristic function, denoted as $H(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p)$, is defined by the following equation:

$$H(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p) = \sum_{j=1}^p w_j \cdot \frac{v_j - \min(M_j)}{\max(M_j) - \min(M_j)}$$

In this context, v_j symbolizes the observed value for a specific measure m_j resulting from the application of a particular action or strategy within the traffic network. The set M_j refers to the entire spectrum of plausible values that the measure m_j can assume, which aids in the normalization of v_j over a universal scale. Each measure m_j is associated with a weight w_j , illustrating its relative significance in the heuristic evaluation, and p represents the aggregate count of measures under consideration.

By integrating these elements into the heuristic function H , we generate a robust framework to ascertain the efficacy of response strategies within a traffic network. The method of normalization guarantees a uniform assessment of each measure, irrespective of its inherent scale or measurement units, while the weighting mechanism allows the function to reflect prioritized aspects of network performance. This approach provides a foundational basis for informed decision-making, crucial for enhancing traffic management and strategic planning efforts, through an analytical and quantifiable methodology.

Guideline synthesis

The guideline synthesis procedure consists of multiple steps:

- Text Chunking: The first step involves breaking down the given full text into manageable segments, or "chunks," to facilitate processing. This step acknowledges the limitations in processing capacity of generative models for large texts and ensures that each segment can be individually summarized. The size of these chunks is defined at 6000 tokens, optimizing for the input capacity of ChatGPT.
- Iterative Processing: The core of the process involves iterative steps where each text chunk is processed individually. This iterative process is initiated with a "For Each Chunk" node, indicating the beginning of a loop that applies to every chunk derived from the original text.
- Query Application: For each chunk, a query, specifically "summarize as a table," is applied using ChatGPT technology. This step leverages the natural language processing and generative capabilities of ChatGPT to convert textual information into a structured table format, summarizing the key points of each chunk.
- Result Acquisition: Following the application of the query, the result, which is the ChatGPT-generated table summarizing the chunk, is obtained.
- Loop Continuation Decision: After processing a chunk, a decision node "End of Text?" checks if there are more chunks to process. If more chunks remain ("no"), the process loops back to continue with the next chunk. This iterative cycle ensures that every chunk of the original text is processed and summarized.
- Response Combination and Final Synthesis: Once all chunks have been processed and summarized, the resulting tables from each chunk are combined. A final query, "synthesize the table," is applied to this combined data, aiming to integrate the content into a single, coherent table. This step is crucial for synthesizing the fragmented summaries into a unified representation of the original text.
- Final Output: The process is finished with obtaining the final table, which represents a synthesized summary of the entire original text in a structured table format.

This document outlines a strategic approach for processing and synthesizing methodologies related to traffic incident response management, structured around four types of queries.

Analysis Queries

1. Identify key points in traffic incident response management.
2. List all mentioned methodologies in traffic incident response management.
3. Highlight key principles or steps in traffic incident response management methodologies.
4. Summarize the purpose of each methodology related to traffic incident response.
5. Detail each step of the mentioned methodologies for traffic incident response.
6. Compare methodologies based on application context in traffic incident response.

Synthesis and Summarization Queries

1. Create a summary table of methodologies for traffic incident response.
2. Synthesize guidelines from traffic incident response methodologies.
3. Draft implementation plans for applying methodologies in traffic incident response.

The following Table 1 represents a sample output of Guideline Synthesis procedure.

Table 1: ChatGPT4 API output: Traffic Incident Mitigation Strategies

Scenario ID	Incident Type	Severity	Location	Action	Equipment/Technology Required
1	Vehicle Break-down	Low	Highway/Freeway Lane	- Deploy Incident Response Vehicle - Temporary lane closure - Use VMS to warn drivers	- Service Patrol Vehicle - Variable Message Signs (VMS) - Traffic Cones or Barriers
2	Minor Two-Car Collision	Moderate	Urban Arterial	- Notify Police & EMS if needed - Quick clearance policy - Use VMS & social media	- Police/EMS Vehicles - Quick Clearance Equipment (e.g., tow truck) - Variable Message Signs - Social Media Platforms
3	Major Multi-Vehicle Crash	High	Highway/Freeway	- Full or partial lane closures - Divert traffic to detour routes - Activate EOC	- Police/EMS/Fire Services - Emergency Operations Center (EOC) Activation - Detour Signage
4	Hazardous Material Spill	High	Near Urban Area	- Full road closure - Mandatory evacuation if necessary - HazMat Team dispatch	- HazMat Team - Road Closure Signage - Emergency Alert System (EAS)
5	Overtaken Truck	Moderate	Highway On-ramp/Off-ramp	- Partial ramp closure - Speed limit reduction in area - Deploy tow truck and cleanup crew	- Tow Trucks - Cleanup Crew - Speed Limit Signs
6	Pedestrian Accident	High	Urban Crosswalk	- Full closure of affected lanes - EMS priority dispatch - Investigative procedures	- EMS Vehicles - Police Investigation Unit - Temporary Signage
7	Wildlife on Road	Low	Rural Road	- Temporary speed limit reduction - Use VMS to warn drivers - Wildlife control dispatch	- Variable Message Signs - Wildlife Control Services
8	Infrastructure Failure (Bridge)	Very High	Bridge	- Full bridge closure - Long-term detour setup - Structural assessment	- Structural Engineering Team - Permanent Detour Signage - Media Briefing Equipment
9	Snow/Ice Conditions	Variable	Major Roadways	- Speed limit reduction - Deploy snow plows/salt trucks - Use VMS and radio to inform	- Snow Plows/Salt Trucks - Variable Message Signs - Radio Broadcast System
10	Fog/Visibility Issues	Variable	Highway/Freeway	- Speed limit reduction - Flashing lights to warn drivers - Use VMS to advise caution	- Variable Message Signs - Highway Flashing Lights

OpenAI ChatGPT, Microsoft Copilot and Google Gemini were used to generate an incident response plan according to synthesized guideline table and accident description (see Table 6). ChatGPT and Microsoft Copilot provided a closely aligned response plan with partial ramp closure, speed limit reduction, and deployment of tow trucks and cleanup crews, closely mirroring the guidelines for a “Moderate” severity incident involving a truck. Google Gemini, while suggesting immediate safety measures, seems slightly less detailed in specifying the sequential steps or acknowledging the cleanup process which might be necessary for such incidents.

Both ChatGPT and Microsoft Copilot demonstrated a higher level of detail in their response plans, matching the granular approach recommended in the provided traffic incident mitigation strategies. Google Gemini focused on broad strokes, which could be interpreted as a more general approach suited for a quick initial response but less detailed for full incident management.

The equipment recommendations from ChatGPT and Microsoft Copilot were closely aligned with synthesized guidelines for handling an incident involving a stalled or overturned truck, demonstrating a strong adherence to the

Table 2: Accident Information

Accident ID: A-2760450, Source: Source2, Start Latitude: 43.090641, Start Longitude: -76.168594, Accident extent (miles): 0.49, Description: Entry ramp to I-81 Southbound from 7th North St closed due to stalled truck., Street: 7th North St, City: Liverpool, County: Onondaga, State: NY, ZipCode: 13088, Timezone: US/Eastern, Airport Code: KSYR, Temperature (F): 62.1, Humidity (%): 72.0, Pressure (inch): 29.86, Visibility (miles): 10.0, Wind Direction: WNW, Wind Speed (mph): 15.0, Weather Condition: Overcast, Traffic Signal, Sunrise/Sunset: Day, Civil Twilight: Day, Nautical Twilight: Day, Astronomical Twilight: Day, Start_Time_hour: 14, Start_Time_month: 6, Weather_Timestamp_hour: 14, Weather_Timestamp_month: 6
--

predefined response planning table. Google Gemini’s recommendations were also appropriate but lacked mention of a cleanup crew, which could be integral to the scenario described.

ChatGPT and Microsoft Copilot both adhered closely to the provided guidelines, with a comprehensive and detailed approach to incident response, including both immediate safety measures and subsequent actions for traffic management and site clearance. Google Gemini, while adhering to the safety-first principle, could have expanded on the follow-up actions and detailed equipment use, offering a slightly less detailed plan when compared directly with the predefined traffic incident mitigation strategies. No model significantly diverged from the framework; however, the differences is in the level of detail and granularity in the action plans and equipment listings, with ChatGPT and Microsoft Copilot providing a more in-depth, scenario-specific response.

 Table 3: ChatGPT’s Incident Response Plan for Accident ID A-2760450

Based on the given scenario, it seems the incident is related to Scenario ID 5 from the table, which corresponds to an "Overturned Truck" incident where a truck has stalled on the entry ramp. The identified mitigation actions to be taken could include: - Partial closure of the ramp to ensure the safety of both the workers and the traffic merging into the highway. - Implement a temporary speed limit reduction in the area to maintain a safer, slower traffic flow. - Deploy a tow truck to remove the stalled truck and a cleanup crew if any debris is present. The required equipment or technology for these actions might be: - Warning or detour signs to guide vehicles safely around the incident. - A tow truck to remove the stalled vehicle. - A cleanup crew for cleaning up any debris and ensuring the ramp is safe for vehicles after the stalled truck is removed.
--

 Table 4: Microsoft Copilot’s Incident Response Plan for Accident ID A-2760450

Based on the provided Traffic Incident Mitigation Strategies table and the specific accident information, the incident seems to be most similar to Scenario ID 5 - Overturned Truck. Here’s the suggested response: Incident Type: Overturned Truck (Stalled Truck) Severity: Moderate Location: Highway On-ramp/Off-ramp (Entry ramp to I-81 Southbound from 7th North St) Action: Implement a partial ramp closure to ensure the safety of other road users and facilitate the removal of the stalled truck.

Continued on next page

Table 4 – continued from previous page

<p>Reduce the speed limit in the area to prevent further incidents due to sudden changes in traffic flow.</p> <p>Deploy a tow truck and cleanup crew to remove the stalled truck and any debris that may be obstructing the ramp.</p> <p>Equipment/Technology Required:</p> <p>Tow Trucks to remove the stalled truck.</p> <p>Cleanup Crew to clear any debris or hazards resulting from the incident.</p> <p>Speed Limit Signs to enforce the reduced speed limit in the area.</p> <p>Please note that this is a suggested response and the actual actions taken may vary based on the specific circumstances of the incident and the judgement of the incident response team on the ground. It’s always important to prioritize the safety of all road users and the quick clearance of the incident to restore normal traffic flow.</p>
--

Table 5: Google Gemini’s Incident Response Plan for Accident ID A-2760450

<p>Based on the Traffic Incident Mitigation Strategies table (Table 1), the following actions are recommended for the specific accident described (Accident ID: A-2760450):</p> <p>Action</p> <p>Deploy Incident Response Vehicle</p> <p>Temporary lane closure on the entry ramp to I-81 Southbound from 7th North St.</p> <p>Use Variable Message Signs (VMS) to warn drivers about the stalled truck and lane closure.</p> <p>Equipment/Technology Required</p> <p>Service Patrol Vehicle</p> <p>Variable Message Signs (VMS)</p> <p>Traffic Cones or Barriers</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>The accident severity is likely low based on the description of a stalled truck.</p> <p>Motorists should be advised to use alternate routes if possible.</p> <p>Monitor weather conditions and adjust response as needed.</p>
--

Conclusion

Urban traffic management presents a significant challenge due to the continuous increase in road incidents and resulting traffic congestion. Such issues are compounded by the inherent complexity of urban traffic networks, thus necessitating novel, effective solutions. This research introduces IncidentResponseGPT, an innovative Generative AI platform designed to enhance traffic incident response plans in real-time. It accomplishes this by employing a generative language model like ChatGPT and incorporating real-time traffic incident reports and region-specific operational guidelines.

The key aspects of IncidentResponseGPT are real-time adaptability and response transparency, as well as an empirical evaluation through traffic simulations. The system provides rapid, adaptable, and effective response plans that surpass the performance of traditional traffic incident management processes.

The results generated by IncidentResponseGPT are tested for reliability and honesty through an independent audit and validation system, utilizing a different set of data and simulations. It effectively determines if the recommended decisions offer quantifiably improved traffic outcomes than those derived from current strategies. Moreover, the transparency is assured through close attention to its chain-of-thought process.

The application of IncidentResponseGPT in a realistic traffic management scenario could revolutionize how traffic incidents are managed. By swiftly generating informed and adaptable response plans, IncidentResponseGPT has the potential to greatly enhance traffic safety, reduce congestion and consequent economic and environmental impacts.

Criteria	ChatGPT	Microsoft Copilot	Google Gemini
Emphasis	Safety and quick clearance	Safety, clear communication, and quick clearance	Immediate response actions for safety
Actions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Partial closure - Speed limit reduction - Tow truck and cleanup deployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Partial closure - Speed limit reduction - Tow truck and cleanup deployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Incident Response Vehicle deployment - Temporary lane closure - Use of VMS
Granularity of Actions	Specific actions with clear steps for implementation	Similar specificity, emphasizing safety and traffic flow	More general actions focused on immediate safety measures
Equipment Recommended	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tow trucks - Cleanup crew - Warning/detour signs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tow trucks - Cleanup crew - Speed limit signs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Service Patrol Vehicle - VMS - Traffic Cones or Barriers
Divergence from Guidelines (If applicable)	Aligns well with predefined guidelines	Closely follows predefined guidelines	Slight divergence; lacks mention of cleanup
Presence of Recommended Actions in Guidelines	Fully present, well represented	Fully present, well aligned	Partially present; lacks some detailed actions

Table 6: Comparison of response strategies by different AI technologies.

This research serves as the foundation for the deployment of IncidentResponseGPT in real-world scenarios, providing a key example of the practical application of AI systems that align with complex, real-world objectives and human-centric values, such as improving the efficiencies of traffic management and safety.

In addition to traffic simulation software, an independent module (Independent Audit and Validation System), possibly another AI designed to evaluate the honesty and effectiveness of the system’s decisions can be employed. This system would use a separate set of data and control over simulations to verify the TrafficIncidentResponseGPT’s output, ensuring integrity and alignment without direct human supervision.

The complexity of traffic incident response and the potential benefits of employing generative AI makes this an exciting area of research. Successful implementation of IncidentResponseGPT could open the door to future applications of AI in other complex situations that would benefit from real-time, adaptable, and transparent decision-making.

References

- [1] Z. Xue, C. Xu, X. Xu, Application of chatgpt in natural disaster prevention and reduction, *Natural Hazards Research* 3 (2023) 556–562.
- [2] V. G. Goecks, N. R. Waytowich, Disasterresponsegpt: Large language models for accelerated plan of action development in disaster response scenarios, *arXiv:2306.17271v1 [cs.LG]* (2023).
- [3] J. J. Wirtz, J. L. Schofer, D. F. Schulz, Using simulation to test traffic incident management strategies: The benefits of preplanning, *Transportation Research Record* 1923 (2005) 82–90.
- [4] X. Tao, Exploring trustworthiness issues about disaster-related information generated by artificial intelligence (2023).
- [5] B. Huang, X. Pan, Integration of gis, traffic simulation, and optimization for incident response, *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 31 (2007).
- [6] S. Zhang, D. Fu, et al., Trafficgpt: Viewing, processing and interacting with traffic foundation models, *Transport Policy* 150 (2024) 95–105.
- [7] e. a. Mukhopadhyay, Dynamic models for emergency response: Bridging theory and practice, *Journal of Emergency Management* (2023).
- [8] R. Ravish, S. R. Swamy, Intelligent traffic management: A review of challenges, solutions, and future perspectives, *Transport and Telecommunication* 22 (2) (2021) 1–14.

- [9] e. a. Mukhopadhyay, A continuous-time model for dynamic crime response and patrol allocation, *Journal of Law Enforcement Management* (2023).
- [10] Y. Ma, W. Zhang, J. Lu, L. Yuan, Automated generation of traffic incident response plans, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society* 2014 (2014) 1–8.
- [11] M. Smetana, L. S. de Salles, I. Sukharev, L. Khazanovich, Highway construction safety analysis using large language models, *Applied Sciences* 14 (41352) (2024). doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/app14041352>.
- [12] B. Huang, X. Pan, Integration of gis, traffic simulation, and optimization for incident response, *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 31 (2007). doi:[10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2006.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2006.06.001).