

ARCHIMEDEAN ℓ -GROUPS WITH STRONG UNIT: COZERO-SETS AND COINCIDENCE OF TYPES OF IDEALS

PAPIYA BHATTACHARJEE, ANTHONY W. HAGER, WARREN WM. MCGOVERN, BRIAN WYNNE

ABSTRACT. \mathbf{W}^* is the category of the title. For $G \in \mathbf{W}^*$, we have the canonical compact space YG , and Yosida representation $G \leq C(YG)$, thus, for $g \in G$, the cozero-set $\text{coz}(g)$ in YG . The ideals at issue in G include the principal ideals and polars, $G(g)$ and $g^{\perp\perp}$, respectively, and the \mathbf{W}^* -kernels of \mathbf{W}^* -morphisms from G . The “coincidences of types” include these properties of G : (M) Each $G(g) = g^{\perp\perp}$; (Y) Each $G(g)$ is a \mathbf{W}^* -kernel; (CR) Each $g^{\perp\perp}$ is a \mathbf{W}^* -kernel (iff each $\text{coz}(g)$ is regular open). For each of these, we give numerous “rephrasings”, and examples, and note that (M) = (Y) \cap (CR). This paper is a companion to a paper in preparation by the present authors, which includes the present thrust in contexts less restrictive and more algebraic. Here, the focus on \mathbf{W}^* brings topology to bear, and sharpens the view.

1. INTRODUCTION

“ ℓ -group” means “lattice-ordered group”, and the theory is exposed in [7], [1], [6], where undefined terms may be found.

Definitions 1.1. In an ℓ -group G , let us say Abelian, an *ideal* I is the kernel of homomorphism (i.e., an ℓ -group homomorphism) out of G , which means I is a convex sub- ℓ -group of G . Here, for each $g \in G$, we have the principal ideal $G(g) = \{f \in G \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, |f| \leq n|g|\}$ (\mathbb{N} is the positive integers), and the principal polar $g^{\perp\perp}$. $g^{\perp} = \{f \in G \mid |f| \wedge |g| = 0\}$, thus $g^{\perp\perp} = (g^{\perp})^{\perp}$ (where, for $S \subseteq G$, $S^{\perp} = \bigcap \{s^{\perp} \mid s \in S\}$).

Now, the class (M) = $\{G \mid \forall g \in G, G(g) = g^{\perp\perp}\}$ is defined.

Next, an ideal I in G is maximal (in the usual sense) if and only if G/I embeds into the real numbers \mathbb{R} (Hölder’s Theorem).

Then, the class (Y) = $\{G \mid G(g) \text{ is an intersection of maximal ideals}\}$. (The description of (Y) in the Abstract will develop).

The notations (M) and (Y) are explained in [3].

Now we restrict to \mathbf{W}^* : $(G, u) \in \mathbf{W}^*$ means G is Archimedean and $u \in G$ is a non-negative strong unit ($G(u) = G$). A \mathbf{W}^* -morphism $(G, u) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (H, v)$ is an ℓ -group homomorphism with $\varphi(u) = v$. $Y(G, u)$ is $\{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal maximal for } u \notin I\}$.

Theorem 1.2. (Mostly Yosida; see [2] or [10].)

- (a) Given the hull-kernel topology, $Y(G, u)$ is compact Hausdorff, and there is the \mathbf{W}^* -embedding $(G, u) \hookrightarrow (C(Y(G, u)), 1)$ with the image of (G, u) 0-1 separating closed sets of $Y(G, u)$. The space $Y(G, u)$ is unique for that data. We simply write “ $G \leq C(Y(G, u))$ ”, and sometimes just YG for $Y(G, u)$.

- (b) If $(G, u) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (H, v)$ is a \mathbf{W}^* -morphism, there is unique continuous $YG \xleftarrow{\tau} YH$ for which $\varphi(g)$ in $C(YG)$ is $\varphi(g) = g \circ \tau$, for each g . φ is onto if and only if τ is one-to-one (thus a homeomorphic embedding, and we may say “ $YG \supseteq YH$ ”).

We usually shall adopt the view $G \leq C(YG)$ (recall $YG = Y(G, u)$), as above. Then, for $g \in G$, $\text{coz}(g) = \{x \in YG \mid g(x) \neq 0\}$, and $Z(g) = YG \setminus \text{coz}(g)$, and $\text{coz}(G) = \{\text{coz}(g) \mid g \in G\}$ (which is a base for the topology of YG).

If $(G, u) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (H, v)$ is a \mathbf{W}^* -morphism, $\ker(\varphi) = \{g \mid \varphi(g) = 0\}$ is called a \mathbf{W}^* -kernel, and $\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{k}(G)$ consists of all such $\ker(\varphi)$. The following is a synopsis from [2, Section 2] (an easy consequence of 1.2).

If S is a closed subset of $Y(G, u)$, then a \mathbf{W}^* -map is produced by restriction: $g \mapsto g|_S$, and this has kernel $I(S) = \{g \mid Z(g) \supseteq S\}$. Observe that $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ if and only if $I(S_1) \supseteq I(S_2)$.

Proposition 1.3. $\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{k}(G)$ and $\{S \mid S \text{ closed in } YG\}$ are complete lattices, and lattice anti-isomorphic: if $I \in \mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{k}(G)$, then $I = I(S)$ for $S = \bigcap \{Z(g) \mid g \in I\}$.

Armed with the “Yosida apparatus” just described, we discuss various types of ideals.

Facts 1.4. Suppose $(G, u) \in \mathbf{W}^*$, viewed as $G \leq C(Y(G, u))$.

- (a) The proper maximal ideals are, for $p \in Y(G, u)$, the $M_p \equiv I(\{p\}) = \{p \in G \mid g(p) = 0\}$.
- (b) The intersections of maximal ideals are exactly the $I(S)$ for S closed in $Y(G, u)$, i.e., exactly the \mathbf{W}^* -kernels (since $G \twoheadrightarrow G/I(S)$ is (isomorphic to) the map of restricting $G \twoheadrightarrow G|_S$).
- (c) If $G(g) = I(S)$ (S closed), then $S = Z(g)$.
- (d) $g^{\perp\perp} = I(\text{cl int } Z(g))$ (cl int is the topological closure of interior).
- (e) (A “regular open” (RO) subset of a topological space is a set $S = \text{int cl } S$.)
For $g \in G$, $\text{coz}(g)$ is RO if and only if $g^{\perp\perp} = I(Z(g))$.
- (f) (A “weak unit” in (any) G is an element $v \geq 0$ for which $v^\perp = \{0\}$ (or $v^{\perp\perp} = G$.)
 v is a weak (resp., strong) unit in $(G, u) \in \mathbf{W}^*$ if and only if $\text{coz}(v)$ is dense in $Y(G, u)$ (resp., $\text{coz}(v) = Y(G, u)$).
- (g) For all $g \in G$, $G(g) \subseteq I(Z(g)) \subseteq I(\text{cl int } Z(g)) = g^{\perp\perp}$.

Proof. (indications thereof)

- (a) Clear.
- (b) From (a), and $I(S) = I(\overline{S})$.
- (c) Suppose $G(g) = I(S)$. Then $g \in I(S)$, so $Z(g) \supseteq S$. If $x \in Z(g)$, then $x \in Z(f)$ for every $f \in G(g) = I(S)$, and it follows that $x \in S$. Hence $Z(g) \subseteq S$.
- (d) It is noted in [9] that $g^{\perp\perp} = \{f \in G \mid \text{coz}(f) \subseteq \text{coz}(g)\}$. Then, a little topology (see [?]) gives (d).
- (e) From (c) and (d).
- (f) First: In general, $v^\perp = \{f \in G \mid \text{coz}(f) \cap \text{coz}(v) = \emptyset\}$ and the statment about weak units follows. Second: It’s easy that $\text{coz}(v) = Y(G, u)$ if and only if $G = G(v)$.
- (g) Follows from the preceding. □

Definition 1.5. In \mathbf{W}^* , the class (CR) = $\{(G, u) \mid \forall g \in G, \text{coz}(g) \text{ is RO}\}$.

Corollary 1.6. In \mathbf{W}^* ,

- (a) $G \in (\text{Y})$ if and only if $\forall g \in G, G(g) = I(Z(g))$.

- (b) $G \in (\text{CR})$ if and only if $\forall g \in G, I(Z(g)) = g^{\perp\perp}$.
- (c) $(\text{M}) = (\text{Y}) \cap (\text{CR})$.

Proof. All immediate from the various items in 1.4. \square

2. THE CLASS (Y) IN \mathbf{W}^*

We remind that (in general) $G \in (\text{Y})$ means that each $G(g)$ is an intersection of maximal ideals.

Theorem 2.1. *For $(G, u) \in \mathbf{W}^*$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $G \in (\text{Y})$
- (2) $\forall g \in G, G(g) = I(Z(g))$.
- (3) $\forall g \in G, G(g)$ is a \mathbf{W}^* -kernel.
- (4) $\forall g \in G, G/G(g)$ is Archimedean.
- (5) The Yosida embedding $G \leq C(Y(G, u))$ has the property: $\text{coz}(f) \subseteq \text{coz}(g) \Rightarrow f \in G(g)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is 1.6(a).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) from 1.4(c).

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (3). If $G/G(g)$ is Archimedean, the quotient map $G \twoheadrightarrow G/G(g)$ is a \mathbf{W}^* -map (since any quotient preserves strong unit), so $G(g)$ is a \mathbf{W}^* -kernel.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Any \mathbf{W}^* -kernel is an intersection of maximal ideals (1.4(b)). (3) says $Z(f) \supseteq Z(g) \Rightarrow f \in G(g)$, which is what (2) says. \square

Remark 2.2. Assuming nothing about G , [12] says $G \in (\text{Y})$ if and only if there is an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^X$ (for some X) with the property 2.1(5) (which specifies the embedding).

3. THE CLASS (CR) IN \mathbf{W}^*

We remind the reader that $G \in (\text{CR})$ was defined in the Abstract as: Each $g^{\perp\perp}$ is a \mathbf{W}^* -kernel, and this was shown in 1.4 to be equivalent to: Each $\text{coz}(g)$ is RO – regular open (hence “CR”, for “cozero-regular”).

We shall create a compendium of conditions equivalent to “ $G \in (\text{CR})$ ”, at least eight. To avoid the mind-numbing effect of a single list of these, we first make two sub-groups of these, of rather similar conditions, as the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *(Regularity conditions) For $G \in \mathbf{W}^*$, the following are equivalent.*

- (R1) $G \in (\text{CR})$.
- (R2) $\forall f, g \in G$, if $\text{coz}(f) \subseteq \overline{\text{coz}(g)}$, then $\text{coz}(f) \subseteq \text{coz}(g)$.
- (R3) $\forall g \in G, I(Z(g)) = I(\text{cl int } Z(g))$ (i.e., $Z(g) = \text{cl int } Z(g)$).
- (R4) $\forall g \in G, I(Z(g)) = g^{\perp\perp}$.

Proof. (R2) says that $\text{coz}(g)$ is RO, i.e., (R1), and (R3) says $Z(g)$ is regular closed, which is equivalent to $\text{coz}(g) = YG \setminus Z(g)$ is RO. From 1.4, $g^{\perp\perp} = I(\text{cl int } Z(g))$, so (R4) if and only if (R3). \square

Now, in any G , an ideal I is called a d -ideal if $g \in I$ implies $g^{\perp\perp} \subseteq I$. (For further comment on this, see 3.4 below.) Observe that (i) any $g^{\perp\perp}$ is a d -ideal, and (ii) any intersection of d -ideals is a d -ideal.

Lemma 3.2. *(d -ideal conditions) For $G \in \mathbf{W}^*$, the following are equivalent:*

- (d1) Each \mathbf{W}^* -kernel of G is a d -ideal.
- (d2) $\forall g \in G$, $I(Z(g))$ is a d -ideal.
- (d3) $\forall p \in YG$, $M_p \equiv \{g \in G \mid g(p) = 0\}$ is a d -ideal.
(In our general notation, $M_p = I(\{p\})$.)

Proof. The \mathbf{W}^* -kernels are the $I(S)$, S closed in YG .

(d3) \Rightarrow (d1) by (ii) above, and (d1) \Rightarrow (d2) obviously.

Suppose (d2) and $g \in M_p$. Then $p \in Z(g)$, so $M_p \supseteq I(Z(g))$. Thus $g^{\perp\perp} \subseteq I(Z(g)) \subseteq M_p$ and (d3) holds. \square

(d1) of 3.2 is a very curious property.

Theorem 3.3. *For $G \in \mathbf{W}^*$, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $G \in (\text{CR})$ (any/all of the conditions in 3.1 hold).
- (2) For each $g \in G$, $I(Z(g))$ is a d -ideal (any/all of the conditions in 3.2 hold).
- (3) In G , each weak unit is strong (see 1.4(f)).

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2), in the form 3.1(R4) \Leftrightarrow 3.2(d2): (\Rightarrow) $g^{\perp\perp}$ is always a d -ideal; (\Leftarrow) If $I(Z(g))$ is a d -ideal, then $g^{\perp\perp} \subseteq I(Z(g))$, thus $g^{\perp\perp} = I(Z(g))$ from 1.4.

(1) \Rightarrow (3), in the form 3.1(R4) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose v is a weak unit, so $v^{\perp\perp} = G$. By (R4), $v^{\perp\perp} = I(Z(v))$, so $Z(v) = \emptyset$. Thus, v is a strong unit (1.4(f)).

(3) \Rightarrow (1), in the form (3) \Rightarrow 3.1(R2). Suppose (R2) fails, with $f, g > 0$: $\text{coz}(f) \subseteq \overline{\text{coz}(g)}$ but $\text{coz}(f) \not\subseteq \text{coz}(g)$. Choose $p \in \text{coz}(f) \setminus \text{coz}(g)$. Then, there is $h > 0$ with $h(p) = 0$ and $Z(f) \subseteq \text{coz}(h)$ (since G separates closed sets in YG). Let $k = h + g$. We have $k(p) = h(p) + g(p) = 0 + 0 = 0$, so $\text{coz}(k) = \text{coz}(h) \cup \text{coz}(g) \neq YG$, and k is not a strong unit. But k is a weak unit, because $\overline{\text{coz}(k)}$ is dense in YG : if $\emptyset \neq U$ is open, and if $U \cap \text{coz}(h) = \emptyset$, then $U \subseteq Z(h) \subseteq \text{coz}(f)$, so $U \subseteq \overline{\text{coz}(g)}$ and therefore $U \cap \text{coz}(g) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Remark 3.4. For $(G, u) \in \mathbf{W}$ – meaning u is merely a weak unit – we again have the Yosida space $Y(G, u) = YG$, and the Yosida representation now of

$$G \hookrightarrow D(YG) = \{f \in C(YG, [-\infty, +\infty]) \mid f^{-1}(-\infty, +\infty) \text{ dense}\},$$

so again the family $\text{coz}(G)$, and $(G, u) \in (\text{CR})$ can be defined. (One sees that $(G, u) \in (\text{CR})$ if and only if $(G(u), u) \in (\text{CR})$ in \mathbf{W}^* .) This “(CR) in \mathbf{W} ” is addressed in [4], focusing on d -ideals. The present treatment of (CR) owes much to that result.

4. THE CLASS (M) IN \mathbf{W}^*

We exhibit a few ways of saying $G \in (\text{M})$ within \mathbf{W}^* .

(Of which there are many: 1.6 shows $(\text{M}) = (\text{Y}) \cap (\text{CR})$, and Section 2 gives 5 ways of saying $G \in (\text{Y})$, Section 3 gives eight ways of saying $G \in (\text{CR})$, and $5 \times 8 = 40$.)

Corollary 4.1. *For $G \in \mathbf{W}^*$, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $G \in (\text{M})$.
- (2) $\forall g \in G$, $G(g)$ is a d -ideal.
- (3) $\forall f, g \in G$, if $\text{coz}(f) \subseteq \overline{\text{coz}(g)}$, then $f \in G(g)$.
- (4) $\forall g \in G$, $G/G(g)$ is Archimedean, and in G every weak unit is strong.

Proof. (2) says $g^{\perp\perp} \subseteq G(g)$, thus $g^{\perp\perp} = G(g)$ by 1.4.

(3) is 2.1(5) plus 3.1(R2).

(4) is 2.1(4) plus 3.3(3). □

Now we relate (M) to two well-studied properties (see [7], inter alia).

Definitions and Facts 4.2. (a) G is hyperarchimedean (HA) if every quotient of G is Archimedean.

G is projectable (Pr) if each $g^{\perp\perp}$ is a ℓ -group direct summand.

(b) For $G \in \mathbf{W}^*$, as usual taking the “Yosida view” $G \leq C(YG)$:

(i) $G \in (\text{HA})$ if and only if each $\text{coz}(g)$ is closed (see [8]).

(One sees $(\text{HA}) \subseteq (\text{M})$, e.g., by 4.1.)

(ii) $G \in (\text{Pr})$ if and only if each $\text{coz}(g)$ is open (see [9]).

Bigard [5] notes that in general ℓ -groups $(\text{Pr}) \cap (\text{M}) = (\text{HA})$. This sharpens in \mathbf{W}^* .

Corollary 4.3. In \mathbf{W}^* , $(\text{Pr}) \cap (\text{CR}) = (\text{HA})$.

Proof. Evident using 4.2(b). □

5. SOME EXAMPLES

Within \mathbf{W}^* , we shall: (1) indicate where $C(K)$ is in one class or another; (2) give examples showing that (M) is neither (Y) nor (CR) (the $(\text{M}) = (\text{Y}) \cap (\text{CR})$ is more or less sharp); (3) give examples in (M) (especially not (HA)).

Theorem 5.1. Suppose K is compact.

(a) The following are equivalent:

(i) $C(K) \in (\text{HA})$;

(ii) $C(K) \in (\text{Y})$;

(iii) $C(K) \in (\text{M})$;

(iv) K is finite.

(b) $C(K) \in (\text{CR})$ if and only if K is an almost- P -space (\equiv every nonempty zero-set has nonempty interior, or, is regular-closed (see [11])).

Proof. (a) If K is finite, then all subsets are closed, thus all cozero-sets, so $C(K) \in (\text{HA}) \subseteq (\text{M}) \subseteq (\text{Y})$. If K is not finite, a non-closed $\text{coz}(g)$ is easily found (so $C(K) \notin (\text{HA})$), and then (take $g > 0$), $G(g^2) \neq I(Z(g^2))$ (with $\sqrt{g^2} = g$), so 2.1(2) fails and $C(K) \notin (\text{Y})$.

(b) Clear. □

Several examples to follow use the one-point compactification of \mathbb{N} , denoted $\alpha\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\alpha\}$.

Example 5.2. $(\text{Y}) \not\Rightarrow (\text{CR})$.

We give two (types of) examples.

(1) Let $G \leq C(\alpha\mathbb{N})$ be generated by $F \equiv \{f \in C(\alpha\mathbb{N}) \mid f(\alpha\mathbb{N}) \text{ finite}\}$ and

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & x \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & x = \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Note that $g \in G$ can be put $(*)$ $g \doteq r + sv$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, with \doteq denoting “eventually equal on \mathbb{N} ”. Here, $\text{coz}(v) = \mathbb{N}$, so v is a weak unit, not strong. Thus $G \notin (\text{CR})$. That $G \in (\text{Y})$ can be checked using any of 2.1, with some calculating using $(*)$.

(2) (A simplification of [12, Example 5.5]). Let $G \leq C([0, +\infty])$ consist of the g which are “finitely piecewise linear” ($[0, +\infty] = [x_0, x_1] \cup [x_1, x_2] \cup \cdots \cup [x_{n-1}, x_n]$, with $x_0 = 0$, $x_n = +\infty$, and g linear on each $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$). Take $g \in G$ such that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in [0, 1] \\ 1 & x \in [1, +\infty]. \end{cases}$$

Then g is a weak unit that is not strong, which shows that $G \notin (\text{CR})$. That $G \in (\text{Y})$ can be checked using 2.1(2) and some arithmetic.

Example 5.3. $(\text{CR}) \not\Rightarrow (\text{Y})$.

We give two (types of) examples.

(1) $C(K)$ for any K infinite and almost- P is (CR) not (Y) , by 5.1.

(2) (Reconsidering 5.2(1)) Let $G \leq C(\alpha\mathbb{N})$ be generated by F , a , and b , where

$$a(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & x \text{ is even} \\ 0 & x \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$b(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^2} & x \text{ is even} \\ 0 & x \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that $g \in G$ can be put

$$(*) g \doteq r + sa + tb, \text{ for some } r, s, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that $\text{coz}(g)$ differs by a finite set in \mathbb{N} from either a clopen $U \ni \alpha$, or from the evens. Thus $G \in (\text{CR})$. $G \notin (\text{Y})$ since $\text{coz}(a) = \text{coz}(b)$ but $a \notin G(b)$.

Example 5.4. Some $G \in (\text{M})$.

Of course, $(\text{HA}) \subseteq (\text{M})$, and any $F(K, \mathbb{R}) \in (\text{HA})$, and many “weird” members of (HA) are exhibited in [8].

Beyond (HA) , and resembling 5.2(1) and 5.3(2): let $G \leq C(\alpha\mathbb{N})$ be generated by F and

$$a(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & x \text{ is even} \\ 0 & x \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

As before, $G \in (\text{CR})$. Also, $G \in (\text{Y})$ is visible from 2.1(5). So $G \in (\text{M})$.

(This kind of example can be manufactured replacing $\alpha\mathbb{N}$ by any K compact zero-dimensional with a proper dense cozero-set S . S will be a disjoint union $\bigcup_n U_n$, with the U_n ’s clopen. Then, “split” $\{U_n\}$ into “evens and odds”, and define a accordingly.

Question 5.5. In \mathbf{W}^* , what does $G \in (\text{M})$, (Y) , or (CR) entail about the Yosida space YG ?

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Anderson, T. Feil, *Lattice-Ordered Groups*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [2] R.N. Ball, A.W. Hager, *Applications of spaces with filters to archimedean ℓ -groups with weak unit*, in: *Ordered Algebraic Structures*, J. Martinez (ed.), Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989, 99–112.
- [3] Bhattacharjee, P., Hager, A.W., McGovern, W. Wm., Wynne, B., *Martínez ℓ -group* (in preparation).
- [4] Bhattacharjee, P. and W. Wm. McGovern, *Maximal d -subgroups and ultrafilters*, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series*, 67, 421–440.
- [5] Bigard, Alain *Sur les z -sous-groupes d’un groupe réticulé*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 266 (1968), A261–A262.
- [6] Bigard, A., Keimel, K., Wolfenstein, S.: *Groupes et Anneaux Reticules*. Lecture Notes in Math. **608**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1977)

- [7] Darnel, M. R. Theory of Lattice-Ordered Groups. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 187. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1995.
- [8] Hager, A.W., Kimber, C. M.: Some examples of hyperarchimedean lattice-ordered groups. Fund. Math. **182**, no.2, 107–122 (2004)
- [9] Hager, A.W., Martinez, J.: α -Projectable and laterally α -complete archimedean lattice-ordered groups. In S. Bernau (ed.): Proc. Conf. on Mem. of T. Retta (Temple U., PA/Addis Ababa, 1995). Ethiopian J. Sci. **19**, 73 – 84 (1996)
- [10] Hager, A.W., Robertson, L. C.: Representing and ringifying a Riesz space. Symp. Math. **21**, 411–431 (1977)
- [11] R. Levy, *Almost-P-spaces*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 29 (1977), no. 2, 284–288.
- [12] Martínez , J. and E. R. Zenk, Eric R. *Yosida frames*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **204** (2006), no. 3, 473–492.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHARLES E. SCHMIDT COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY, BOCA RATON, FL 33431, USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, CORVALLIS, OR 97331-4605, USA

WILKES HONORS COLLEGE, FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY, JUPITER, FL 33458, USA

Email address: pbhattacharjee@fau.edu (P. Bhattacharjee)

Email address: warren.mcGovern@fau.edu (W. Wm. McGovern)