

# A THOM ISOTOPY THEOREM FOR NONPROPER SEMIALGEBRAIC MAPS

LUIS RENATO GONÇALVES DIAS AND GIOVANNY SNAIDER BARRERA RAMOS

**ABSTRACT.** We prove a version of the Thom Isotopy Theorem for nonproper semialgebraic maps  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a semialgebraic set and  $f$  is the restriction to  $X$  of a smooth semialgebraic map  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a semialgebraic map. We say that  $F$  is locally trivial at  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  if there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $t_0$  and a diffeomorphism  $h: F^{-1}(t_0) \times U \rightarrow F^{-1}(U)$  such that  $F \circ h = pr_2$ , where  $pr_2: F^{-1}(t_0) \times U \rightarrow U$  denotes the second projection. The set of the points at which the local triviality of  $F$  fails is called the bifurcation set of  $F$  and is denoted by  $B(F)$ . It is well known that  $B(F)$  is the union of the singular values set of  $F$ , denoted by  $F(\text{Sing}F)$ , and the set of bifurcation values at infinity of  $F$ .

A full characterisation of  $B(F)$  is a challenging open problem. In order to estimate  $B(F)$ , we can use conditions that control the asymptotic behaviour of the fibres of  $F$ . Rabier [11] considered the asymptotic critical values set  $K_\infty(F)$  defined by

$$(1) \quad K_\infty(F) := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \exists (x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j\| = \infty \\ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(x_j) = t \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j\| \nu(d_{x_j} F) = 0\},$$

where  $\nu(d_x F) := \inf_{\|\varphi\|=1} \|d_x F^*(\varphi)\|$  and  $d_x F^*$  denotes the adjoint of  $d_x F$ . From [11], see also [3], [6] and [7], it follows that  $B(F) \subset F(\text{Sing}F) \cup K_\infty(F)$ . In fact, Rabier's result applies to  $C^2$  maps  $g: M \rightarrow N$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are Finsler Manifolds.

In [1], the authors considered the following set:

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{S}(F) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \exists (x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(F), \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j\| = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(x_j) = y\},$$

where  $M(F)$  is the critical locus of the map  $(F, \rho): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , with  $\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ . From [1], it follows that  $\mathcal{S}(F) \subset K_\infty(F)$  and  $B(F) \subset F(\text{Sing}F) \cup \mathcal{S}(F)$ . We call  $F(\text{Sing}F) \cup \mathcal{S}(F)$  the  $\rho$  non-regular values set of  $F$ .

We remark that the results presented above hold when we consider the restriction of  $F$  to a smooth semialgebraic set  $X$ .

---

*Date:* April 30, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58K30, 14B05.

*Key words and phrases.* bifurcation set, semialgebraic sets, semialgebraic maps, stratified  $\rho$  non-regular values set, Verdier stratification.

If  $X$  is a singular set and  $\mathcal{W}$  is a Whitney stratification of  $X$ , the Thom Isotopy Theorem (see for instance [4]) ensures that every smooth map  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  is locally trivial on any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , provided that the restriction of  $f$  to any stratum of  $\mathcal{W}$  is a submersion and the restriction of  $f$  to the closure of any stratum is a proper map.

Recently, in the complex case, Dinh and Jelonek [2] considered the singular case as follows. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an affine variety and let  $F: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  be a polynomial map. Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  be the restriction of  $F$  to  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be a Whitney stratification of  $X$ . Motivated by (1), the authors defined the stratified generalized critical values set of  $f$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(f, \mathcal{W})$ , and proved that  $B(f) \subset \mathcal{K}(f, \mathcal{W})$ . In the real case, Anna Valette [12] considered stratified submersion  $f: (X, \Sigma) \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are semialgebraic sets, and proved that  $B(f) \subset K_\Sigma(f) = \bigcup_{S \in \Sigma} K_\infty(f|_S)$ . The trivialization obtained in [12] is a semialgebraic trivialization. In particular, the results of [2] and [12] can be seen as an extension of the Thom Isotopy Theorem for nonproper maps.

In this paper, we consider a semialgebraic set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a Verdier ( $w$ ) stratification  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  of  $X$ , see Section 2 for details. Given a smooth semialgebraic map  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , we consider  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  the restriction of  $F$  to  $X$ . Motivated by (2), we define the stratified  $\rho$  non-regular values of  $f$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W})$ , see Definition 3.1. Then, we prove that  $B(f) \subset \mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W})$ , see Theorem 3.3. We also prove a trivialization theorem for  $f$  outside a compact set in Theorem 3.4.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is motivated by the arguments presented in [2]. We have constructed  $m$  rugose vector fields on  $X$ . These are defined on the complement of a sphere of sufficiently large radius  $R$ . They are tangent to  $(n-1)$ -dimensional spheres, and by integrating the  $m$  vector fields we obtain the diffeomorphism trivializing  $f$  outside a compact set. In the proof of Theorem 3.3, we defined  $m$  rugose vector fields on the ball of radius  $R$ . We glue these  $2m$  rugose fields to obtain  $m$  rugose vector fields on  $X$  which trivialize  $f$ .

In Section 2 we introduce the Verdier stratification, rugose vector fields and results for developing our objective. In section 3, we proof our main results, namely Theorems 3.3 and 3.4.

We point out that it follows from [1] that  $\mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(f, \mathcal{W})$ . So, our result refines the results of [2, 12].

## 2. STRATIFICATION AND RUGOSE VECTOR FIELDS

### 2.1. Stratification.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A stratification of  $X$  is a collection  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  of pairwise disjoint connected smooth submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the following conditions

- i)  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} X_\alpha$ .
- ii)  $\mathcal{W}$  is locally finite.
- iii) the frontier condition:  $X_\beta \cap \overline{X}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  implies  $X_\beta \subset \overline{X}_\alpha$ .

Each  $X_\alpha$  is called a stratum of  $\mathcal{W}$ . If, in addition  $X$ ,  $\overline{X}_\alpha$  and  $\overline{X}_\alpha \setminus X_\alpha$  are semialgebraic sets, the stratification  $\mathcal{W}$  is called an affine stratification of  $X$ .

**2.2. Whitney stratification.** Let  $X$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  a stratification of  $X$ . We start by recalling the conditions of Whitney, see [14, Sections 18-19] or [4, Chapter 1].

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote by  $\overline{xy}$  the line passing through the origin and parallel to the line formed by the points  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X_\alpha, X_\beta$  be strata of  $\mathcal{W}$  such that  $X_\beta \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$  and let  $y \in X_\beta$ . The pair  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is Whitney (b) regular at  $y \in X_\beta$ , provided that for any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X_\alpha$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = y$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{x_n} X_\alpha = T$ , and for any sequence  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X_\beta$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{x_n y_n} = l$ , we have  $l \subset T$ .

The pair  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is Whitney (b) regular if  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is Whitney (b) regular at any  $y \in X_\beta$ . The stratification  $\mathcal{W}$  is a Whitney (b) stratification if  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is Whitney (b) regular for any strata  $X_\alpha, X_\beta$  of  $\mathcal{W}$  such that  $X_\beta \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $V_1, V_2$  be vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We define

$$\delta(V_1, V_2) = \sup_{a \in V_1; \|a\|=1} \|a - \pi_{V_2}(a)\|,$$

where  $\pi_{V_2}$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $V_2$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X_\alpha, X_\beta$  be strata of  $\mathcal{W}$  such that  $X_\beta \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$  and let  $y \in X_\beta$ . The pair  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is Verdier (w) regular at  $y \in X_\beta$ , provided that there exist a constant  $C > 0$  and a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that for any  $y' \in U \cap X_\beta$  and any  $x \in U \cap X_\alpha$ , we have

$$(3) \quad \delta(T_{y'} X_\beta, T_x X_\alpha) \leq C \|y' - x\|.$$

The pair  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is Verdier (w) regular if  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is Verdier (w) regular at any  $y \in X_\beta$ . The stratification  $\mathcal{W}$  is a Verdier (w) stratification if  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is Verdier (w) regular for any strata  $X_\alpha, X_\beta$  of  $\mathcal{W}$  such that  $X_\beta \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$ .

We have that any semialgebraic set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  admits a Whitney (b) stratification whose strata are semialgebraic sets, see [9] or Chapter I of [4]. Also, any semialgebraic set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  admits a Verdier (w) stratification  $\mathcal{W}$  whose strata are semialgebraic sets, see for instance [9].

Let  $X$  be a semialgebraic set and let  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a semialgebraic function. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be an affine stratification of  $X$ . For any  $X_\beta \in \mathcal{W}$ , we denote by  $g|_{X_\beta}$  the restriction of  $g$  to  $X_\beta$  and we set  $T_{x,g} := \ker D(g|_{X_\beta})(x)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and let  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a semialgebraic function. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be an affine stratification of  $X$  such that for any stratum  $X_\gamma \in \mathcal{W}$ , the restriction  $g|_{X_\gamma}$  is of constant rank. Let  $X_\alpha, X_\beta \in \mathcal{W}$  be such that  $X_\beta \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$ . We say that  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  satisfies the strict Thom condition ( $w_f$ ) at  $y \in X_\beta$  if there exist a constant  $C > 0$  and a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\delta(T_{x,f}, T_{y',f}) \leq C \|x - y'\|,$$

for any  $x \in X_\alpha \cap U$  and any  $y' \in X_\beta \cap U$ .

The pair  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is  $(w_f)$  regular if  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is  $(w_f)$  regular at any  $y \in X_\beta$ .

We have the following result, see [10, Section 8] or [5, Section 1].

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and let  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a semialgebraic function. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be an affine Verdier  $(w)$  stratification of  $X$  such that for any stratum  $X_\gamma \in \mathcal{W}$ , the restriction  $g|_{X_\gamma}$  is of constant rank. Let  $X_\alpha, X_\beta \in \mathcal{W}$  be strata of  $X$  such that  $X_\beta \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$ . If the restriction  $g|_{X_\beta}$  is a submersion, then  $(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$  is  $(w_f)$  regular.  $\square$*

### 2.3. Rugose vector fields.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an affine variety and let  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be an affine stratification of  $X$ . A function  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a rugose function if the following conditions are satisfied

- (a) The restriction  $\varphi|_{X_\alpha}$  to any stratum  $X_\alpha$  is a smooth function.
- (b) For any stratum  $X_\alpha$ , and for any  $x \in X_\alpha$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x')| \leq C\|y - x'\|,$$

for any  $y \in X \cap U$  and any  $x' \in X_\alpha \cap U$ .

A map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is called a rugose map if its components functions are rugose functions. A vector field  $v$  on  $X$  is called a rugose vector field if  $v$  is a rugose map and, for any  $x \in X$ , the vector  $v(x)$  is tangent to the stratum containing  $x$ .

We have the following result, see Proposition 4.3 of [13]:

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and let  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be an affine Verdier  $(w)$  stratification of  $X$ . Let  $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}$  and let  $B \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$  a closed union of strata. Let  $\eta: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a rugose map on  $B$ . Then there exists a rugose map  $\bar{\eta}: (B \cup X_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  on  $(B \cup X_\alpha)$  that extends  $\eta$ , that is  $\bar{\eta}|_B = \eta$ .  $\square$*

Under the above conditions, Verdier [13, Corollary 4.4] proved that if  $\eta$  is a rugose vector field on  $B$ , then it extends to a rugose vector field on  $A$ .

In the next theorem, we consider  $\rho: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ . We prove that if  $\eta$  is a rugose vector field on  $B$  and is tangent to the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius  $\|x\|$  for any  $x \in B$ , then  $\eta$  extends to a rugose vector field on  $A$  and to the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius  $\|y\|$  for any  $y \in A$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed semialgebraic set and let  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be an affine Verdier  $(w)$  stratification of  $X$ . Let  $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}$  and let  $B \subset \overline{X_\alpha}$  be a closed union of strata. Suppose that the restriction of  $\rho$  to any stratum of  $B$  is a submersion. Let  $\eta: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a rugose vector field on  $B$  tangent to the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius  $\|x\|$  for any  $x \in B$ . Then  $\eta$  extends to a rugose vector field on  $A := B \cup X_\alpha$  tangent to the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius  $\|y\|$  for any  $y \in A$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.8, there is a rugose map  $\bar{\eta} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  that  $\bar{\eta}$  is an extension of  $\eta$ . For any  $y \in X_\alpha$ , let  $\hat{\eta}$  be the extension of  $\eta$  obtained by projecting the vector  $\bar{\eta}(y)$  on  $T_y X_\alpha \cap T_y S^{n-1}$ . We will show that  $\hat{\eta} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a rugose vector field.

Since  $\bar{\eta}$  is a rugose vector field, it follows that if  $x \in B$  then there exist  $C > 0$  and a neighborhood  $G_x$  of  $x$  such that for any  $x' \in B \cap G_x$  and any  $y \in A \cap G_x$ , we have:

$$(4) \quad \|\bar{\eta}(y) - \bar{\eta}(x')\| \leq C\|y - x'\|.$$

Suppose that  $\hat{\eta}$  is not a rugose vector field on  $x$ . Then there exist sequences  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X_\alpha \cap G_x$  and  $(x'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B \cap G_x$  such that,  $y_k \rightarrow x$ ,  $x'_k \rightarrow x$  and

$$\|\hat{\eta}(y_k) - \hat{\eta}(x'_k)\| \geq (k + C)\|x'_k - y_k\|.$$

Thus,

$$(5) \quad \frac{\|\hat{\eta}(y_k) - \bar{\eta}(y_k)\|}{\|x'_k - y_k\|} + \frac{\|\bar{\eta}(y_k) - \hat{\eta}(x'_k)\|}{\|x'_k - y_k\|} \geq (k + C),$$

Since  $\hat{\eta}$  is an extension of  $\bar{\eta}$  and  $x'_k \in B$ , it follows that  $\hat{\eta}(x'_k) = \bar{\eta}(x'_k)$ . Then, by (4) and (5), we have:

$$(6) \quad \frac{\|\hat{\eta}(y_k) - \bar{\eta}(y_k)\|}{\|x'_k - y_k\|} \geq k.$$

Then  $\hat{\eta}(y_k) \neq \bar{\eta}(y_k)$  and, since  $\hat{\eta}(y_k)$  is the projection of  $\bar{\eta}(y_k)$  on  $T_y X_\alpha \cap T_y S^{n-1}$ , we have  $\bar{\eta}(y_k) \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$\frac{\|\hat{\eta}(y_k) - \bar{\eta}(y_k)\|}{\|\bar{\eta}(y_k)\|\|x'_k - y_k\|} \geq \frac{k}{\|\bar{\eta}(y_k)\|}.$$

Since  $\|\hat{\eta}(y_k) - \bar{\eta}(y_k)\| = \text{dist}(\bar{\eta}(y_k), T_{y_k} X_\alpha \cap T_{y_k} S^{n-1})$  and for every linear space  $T$  holds  $\text{dist}(\lambda v, T) = |\lambda| \text{dist}(v, T)$ , for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , it follows that

$$\frac{\text{dist}\left(\frac{\bar{\eta}(y_k)}{\|\bar{\eta}(y_k)\|}, T_{y_k} X_\alpha \cap T_{y_k} S^{n-1}\right)}{\|x'_k - y_k\|} = \frac{\text{dist}(\bar{\eta}(y_k), T_{y_k} X_\alpha \cap T_{y_k} S^{n-1})}{\|\bar{\eta}(y_k)\|\|x'_k - y_k\|} \geq \frac{k}{\|\bar{\eta}(y_k)\|}.$$

Since the  $(w)$  condition is a local property and invariant under  $C^2$  local diffeomorphisms, we can suppose that  $B$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ . Thus,  $T_x B = \mathbb{R}^k$  for all  $x \in B$ . Then:

$$(7) \quad \frac{\delta(\mathbb{R}^k \cap T_{x'_k} S^{n-1}, T_{y_k} X_\alpha \cap T_{y_k} S^{n-1})}{\|z_k - y_k\|} \geq \frac{\text{dist}\left(\frac{\bar{\eta}(y_k)}{\|\bar{\eta}(y_k)\|}, T_{y_k} X_\alpha \cap T_{y_k} S^{n-1}\right)}{\|x'_k - y_k\|} \geq \frac{k}{\|\bar{\eta}(y_k)\|} \rightarrow \infty$$

when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the pair  $(X_\alpha, B)$  is Verdier regular and  $\rho|_B$  is a submersion, then by Proposition 2.6 we have that the pair  $(X_\alpha, B)$  is  $(w_\rho)$  regular, which implies that the expression on the left side of the equation (7) is bounded. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

The next result was originally proved in [13, Proposition 4.6] for real analytic sets  $X$  with a Whitney stratification. By similar argument as in [13], we have:

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be a Verdier (w) stratification of  $X$ . Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a map such that the restriction of  $f$  on any stratum of  $\mathcal{W}$  is a  $C^\infty$  submersion. Then, any rugose vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  can be lifted to a rugose vector field on  $X$ .  $\square$*

### 3. MAIN THEOREM

**3.1. Stratified Milnor set.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and let  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be an affine stratification of  $X$ , see Definition 2.1.

Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a semialgebraic map, where  $\dim X \geq m$ . Let  $\rho: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function given by  $\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ .

For any stratum  $X_\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ , we denote by  $\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}$  the critical locus of  $f|_{X_\alpha}$  and let  $f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha})$  be the critical values set of  $f|_{X_\alpha}$ . We denote by  $M(f|_{X_\alpha})$  the critical locus of  $(f, \rho): X_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  and we define:

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha}) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \exists (x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(f|_{X_\alpha}), x_j \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(x_j) = y\},$$

where  $x_j \rightarrow \infty$  means that the sequence  $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(f|_{X_\alpha})$  has no convergent subsequences in  $M(f|_{X_\alpha})$ .

**Definition 3.1.** We call  $M(f, \mathcal{W}) := \cup_{\alpha \in J} M(f|_{X_\alpha})$  the stratified Milnor set of  $f$  and we call

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W}) := \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} (f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}) \cup \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})).$$

the stratified  $\rho$  non-regular values set of  $f$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and let  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be an affine stratification. Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a semialgebraic map. Then, for any  $\alpha \in J$ , the sets  $\mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$  and  $(f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}) \cup \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha}))$  are closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})}$  and let  $(y^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$  be a sequence such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y^k = y$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_j^k)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(f|_{X_\alpha})$ , such that  $x_j^k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(x_j^k) = y^k$ . Up to a subsequence of  $(y^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we may suppose the following cases:

Case 1:  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j^k\| = \infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In this case, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $j(k) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $j \geq j(k)$ , then  $\|x_j^k\| > k$  and  $\|f(x_j^k) - y^k\| < 1/k$ . Setting  $z^k = x_{j(k)}^k$ , we have a sequence  $\{z^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(f|_{X_\alpha})$ , such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z^k\| = \infty$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(z^k) = y$ . Therefore, in this case, we have  $y \in \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$ .

Case 2:  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j^k = x^k \in \overline{X_\alpha} \setminus X_\alpha$ .

Thus, we have  $f(x^k) = y^k$  since  $f$  is a continuous map. For this case, up to a subsequence of  $(x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we may suppose the following subcases:

i-)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^k\| = \infty$ . Then, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $j(k) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $j \geq j(k)$  then  $\|x_j^k\| > k$ ,  $\|x_j^k - x^k\| < 1/k$  and  $\|f(x_j^k) - y^k\| < 1/k$ . Setting  $z^k = x_{j(k)}^k$ , we

have a sequence  $\{z^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(f|_{X_\alpha})$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z^k\| = \infty$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(z^k) = y$ . Therefore,  $y \in \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$ .

ii-)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k = x \in \overline{X_\alpha} \setminus X_\alpha$ . Then, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $j(k) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $j \geq j(k)$  then  $\|x_j^k - x^k\| < 1/k$  and  $\|f(x_j^k) - y^k\| < 1/k$ . Setting  $z^k = x_{j(k)}^k$ , we have a sequence  $\{z^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(f|_{X_\alpha})$ , such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z^k = x$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(z^k) = y$ . Hence,  $y \in \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$ .

This proves that  $\mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$  is a closed set.

Now, let  $t_0 \in \overline{(f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}) \cup \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha}))}$ . We may assume that  $t_0 \in \overline{f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha})}$  since  $\mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$  is a closed set. Then, there exists a sequence  $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(x_j) = t_0$ . We have the following cases:

Case 1:  $x_j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $t_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha})$  since  $\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha} \subset M(f|_{X_\alpha})$ .

Case 2:  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = x_0 \in X_\alpha$ . It follows that  $x_0 \in \text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}$  since  $\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}$  is a closed set in  $X_\alpha$ . Then,  $f(x_0) = t_0 \in f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha})$ .

Therefore,  $t_0 \in (f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}) \cup \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha}))$ . This proves that  $(f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}) \cup \mathcal{S}_\infty(f|_{X_\alpha}))$  is a closed set.  $\square$

**3.2. Main theorem.** In the following we denote by  $B_R$  the open ball centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with radius  $R$ .

In this section we prove our main results:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and let  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be an affine Verdier (w) stratification of  $X$ . Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m): X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be the restriction of a smooth semialgebraic map  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  to  $X$ . Then  $B(f) \subset \mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W})$ .*

First, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and let  $\mathcal{W} = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$  be an affine Verdier (w) stratification of  $X$ . Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m): X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be the restriction of a smooth semialgebraic map  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  to  $X$ . Let  $z \notin \mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W})$  and  $B$  an open ball such that  $z \in B$  and  $B \cap \mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W}) = \emptyset$ . Then, there is  $R > 0$  such that  $f|_{X \cap B_R^c}$  is a topologically trivial fibration over  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W})$ . It follows by Proposition 3.2 that there exists an open ball  $B$  such that  $z \in B$  and  $\overline{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathfrak{S}(f, \mathcal{W})$ . After a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that  $z$  is the origin  $0$  and  $B = (-1, 1)^m$ , that is,  $B$  is the cartesian product of the open interval  $(-1, 1)$   $m$ -times.

It follows by Definition 3.1 that there exist  $R > 0$  such that

$$(10) \quad (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_R}) \cap X_\beta \cap f^{-1}(B) \cap M(f, \mathcal{W}) = \emptyset,$$

for any stratum  $X_\beta$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ .

We set  $U_\beta := (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_R}) \cap X_\beta$  and  $U := \cup_{\beta \in J} U_\beta$ .

Then, for any  $x \in f^{-1}(B) \cap U$ , the restriction  $(f, \rho): X_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  is a submersion at  $x$ , where  $X_\beta$  is the stratum of  $\mathcal{W}$  containing  $x$ . It follows that the restriction of the linear map

$$d_x(f|_{X_\beta}): T_x X_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$



to  $T_x X_\beta \cap T_x S^{n-1}$  is a surjective linear map, i.e.  $d_x(f|_{X_\beta})(T_x X_\beta \cap T_x S^{n-1}) = \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then, there exist  $m$  smooth vector fields  $\{V_{1_\beta}, \dots, V_{m_\beta}\}$  on  $X_\beta$  satisfying the following two conditions:

(a)  $\{V_{1_\beta}(x), \dots, V_{m_\beta}(x)\}$  is a basis of

$$Z_\beta = \{w \in T_x X_\beta \cap T_x S^{n-1} \mid \langle w, u \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } u \in (\text{Ker} d_x(f|_{X_\beta})) \cap T_x S^{n-1}\}.$$

(b)  $d_x(f|_{X_\beta})(V_{i_\beta}(x)) = e_i$ , and  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), V_{i_\beta}(x) \rangle = 0$ , for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

We point out that the vector fields on  $f^{-1}(B) \cap U$  coinciding with  $V_{1_\beta}, \dots, V_{m_\beta}$  on each stratum  $X_\beta$  are not necessarily rugose vector fields. In what follows, we use these vector fields to produce  $m$  rugose vector fields on  $f^{-1}(B) \cap U$ .

For  $0 \leq d \leq \dim X$ , let  $I_d := \{\beta \in J \mid 0 \leq \dim X_\beta \leq d\}$  and  $U_d := \cup_{\beta \in I_d} U_\beta \cap f^{-1}(B)$ . Then,

$$\cup_{0 \leq d \leq \dim X} U_d = f^{-1}(B) \cap U.$$

We define the  $m$  rugose vector fields on  $f^{-1}(B) \cap U$  inductively as follows:

**First step.** We have  $U_d = \emptyset$ , for  $0 \leq d \leq m$ .

In fact, for  $0 \leq d < m$  and  $\alpha \in I_d$ , we have  $f(X_\alpha) \subset f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha})$ . Since  $B \cap f(\text{Sing} f|_{X_\alpha}) = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $U_d = \emptyset$ , for  $0 \leq d < m$ .

For  $d = m$  and  $\beta \in I_m$ , we have  $X_\beta \subset M(f|_{X_\beta})$ . It follows by (10) and the definition of  $U_\beta$  that  $U_m = \emptyset$ .

**Second step.** For  $d = m + 1$  and  $\beta \in I_{m+1}$ , we define  $V_i^{m+1}(x) = V_{i_\beta}(x)$ , for any  $x \in X_\beta$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Since each  $V_{i_\beta}(x)$  is a smooth vector field on  $X_\beta$ , it follows that  $V_1^{m+1}, \dots, V_m^{m+1}$  are rugose vector fields on  $U_{m+1}$  satisfying  $df_x(V_i^m(x)) = e_i$  and  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), V_i^m(x) \rangle = 0$ , for any  $x \in U_m$ .

**Third step.** Let  $m + 1 < d \leq \dim X$  and assume that we have constructed  $m$  rugose vector fields  $V_1^d, \dots, V_m^d$  on  $U_d$  such that

$$d_x f(V_i^d(x)) = e_i \text{ and } \langle \nabla \rho(x), V_i^d(x) \rangle = 0, \text{ for any } x \in U_d.$$

We will construct  $V_1^{d+1}, \dots, V_m^{d+1}$  separately on each stratum  $X_\alpha$ , with  $\alpha \in I_{d+1} \setminus I_d$ . Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that  $I_{d+1} \setminus I_d = \{\alpha\}$ .

We fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . It follows by Theorem 2.9 that there exists a rugose vector field  $\widehat{V}_i^{d+1}$  on  $U_{d+1}$ , which extends  $V_i^d$ , such that  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), \widehat{V}_i^{d+1}(x) \rangle = 0$ , for any  $x \in U_{d+1}$ .

Since  $\{V_{1_\alpha}(x), \dots, V_{m_\alpha}(x)\}$  is a basis of

$$Z_\alpha = \{w \in T_x X_\alpha \cap T_x S^{n-1} \mid \langle w, u \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } u \in (\text{Ker} d_x(f|_{X_\alpha})) \cap T_x S^{n-1}\},$$

we can write for each  $x \in U_{d+1}$  the following:

$$(11) \quad \widehat{V}_i^{d+1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(x) V_{j_\alpha}(x) + G_\alpha(x),$$



where  $G_\alpha(x) \in \{z \in (T_x X_\alpha \cap T_x S^{n-1}) \mid \langle z, V_{j_\alpha}(x) \rangle = 0, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m\}$ . We define  $V_i^{d+1}$  on  $U_{d+1}$  as follows:

$$(12) \quad V_i^{d+1}(x) := \begin{cases} V_{i_\alpha}(x) + G_\alpha(x), & \text{if } x \in U_{d+1} \setminus U_d, \\ V_i^d(x), & \text{if } x \in U_d. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have:

**Lemma 3.5.**  $V_i^{d+1}$  is a rugose vector field on  $U_{d+1}$  that satisfies, for any  $x \in U_{d+1}$ , the following conditions:

- (a)  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), V_i^{d+1}(x) \rangle = 0$ .
- (b)  $d_x f(V_i^{d+1}(x)) = e_i$ .

*Proof.* For  $x' \in U_d$  and  $y \in U_{d+1} \setminus U_d$ , we can write:

$$(13) \quad V_i^d(x') = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j(x', y) V_{j_\alpha}(y) + G(x', y) + P(x', y) + S(x', y),$$

where  $G(x', y) \in \{z \in (T_y X_\alpha \cap T_y S^{n-1}) \mid \langle z, V_{j_\alpha}(y) \rangle = 0, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $P(x', y)$  is in the complementary space of  $T_y X_\alpha \cap T_y S^{n-1}$  in  $T_y X_\alpha$  and  $S(x', y) \in (T_y X_\alpha)^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since  $\widehat{V}_i^{d+1}$  is a rugose vector field, it follows that for each  $x \in U_d$ , there exist a constant  $C > 0$  and a neighborhood  $W_x$  of  $x$  such that for any  $y \in W_x \cap X_\alpha$  and any  $x' \in W_x \cap X_\beta$ , where  $X_\beta$  is a stratum containing  $x$ , we have

$$(14) \quad \|\widehat{V}_i^{d+1}(y) - \widehat{V}_i^{d+1}(x')\| < C\|y - x'\|.$$

By (12), (13) and (14) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j(y) - b_j(x', y)) V_{j_\alpha}(y) \right\| &\leq C\|x' - y\|, \\ \|G_\alpha(y) - G(x', y)\| &\leq C\|x' - y\|, \\ \|P(x', y)\| &\leq C\|x' - y\|, \\ \|S(x', y)\| &\leq C\|x' - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Shrinking  $W_x$  and increasing  $C$  if for necessary, we can suppose that  $dF : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  defined by  $dF(x) = d_x F$  is Lipschitz on  $W_x$ . Then,

$$\|d_y F - d_{x'} F\| < C\|y - x'\|.$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\|d_y F((V_i^d(x'))) - d_{x'} F((V_i^d(x')))\| < C\|y - x'\| \|V_i^d(x')\|.$$

Therefore, substituting the expression (13) into  $d_y F((V_i^d(x')))$  in the above inequality and using the fact that  $d_{x'} F(V_i^d(x')) = e_i$  and  $G(x', y) \in \text{Ker } d_y(f|_{X_\alpha})$ , we obtain:

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m b_j(x', y) e_j + d_y F(P(x', y)) + d_y F(S(x', y)) - e_i \right\| \leq C\|x - y\| \|V_i^d(x')\|.$$

Then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m b_j(x', y) e_j - e_i \right\| - \|d_y F(P(x', y))\| - \|d_y F(S(x', y))\| \leq C \|x' - y\| \|V_i^d(x')\|.$$

We put  $M := \sup_{z \in U_d \cap W_x} \|V_i^d(z)\|$  and  $N := \sup_{z \in W_x} \|d_z F\|$ . Then we get

$$(15) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m b_j(x', y) e_j - e_i \right\| \leq C(2N + M) \|x' - y\|.$$

If  $D = \sup_{z \in W_x \cap X_\alpha} \|V_{i_\alpha}(z)\|$ , it follows by (12), (13) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_i^{d+1}(y) - V_i^{d+1}(x')\| &< \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m b_j(x', y) V_{j_\alpha}(y) - V_{i_\alpha}(y) \right\| + \|G_\alpha(y) - G(x', y)\| \\ &\quad + \|P(x', y)\| + \|S(x', y)\| \\ &< (CD(2N + M) + 3C) \|x' - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $V_i^{d+1}$  is rugose vector field on  $U^{d+1}$ . Now, it follows by definition of  $V_i^{d+1}$  that  $d_x f(V_i^{d+1}(x)) = e_i$  and  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), V_i^{d+1}(x) \rangle = 0$ , for any  $x \in U_{d+1}$ . The proof of the Lemma 3.5 is complete.  $\square$

Continuing inductively we get  $m$  rugose vector fields  $V_1^{\dim X}, \dots, V_m^{\dim X}$  defined on  $U_{\dim X}$ , such that for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  the following two conditions hold:

$$(16) \quad d_x f(V_i^{\dim X}(x)) = e_i,$$

$$(17) \quad \langle \nabla \rho(x), V_i^{\dim X}(x) \rangle = 0.$$

Now, let  $\zeta_{x_0}(t)$  be a integral curve of the vector field  $V_i^{\dim X}$  starting from  $x_0 \in (f^{-1}(B) \cap U \cap f_i^{-1}(0))$ . Then,

- a) the curve  $\zeta_{x_0}(t)$  preserves the stratification since  $V_i^{\dim X}$  is a rugose vector field.
- b) it follows by (16) that  $f(\zeta_{x_0}(t)) = te_i$  and by (17) that  $\|\zeta_{x_0}(t)\| = \|x_0\|$ .

It follows that the domain of existence of  $\zeta_{x_0}(t)$  is the interval  $] -1, 1[$  and that  $\zeta_{x_0}(t) \in (f^{-1}(B) \cap U)$ , for any  $t \in ] -1, 1[$ .

Now we can construct the diffeomorphism trivializing the map  $f : f^{-1}(B) \cap U \rightarrow B$  by integrating the vector fields  $V_1^{\dim X}, \dots, V_m^{\dim X}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* We will use the vector fields  $V_1^{\dim X}, \dots, V_m^{\dim X}$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.4. Let  $R$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.4 and let  $R_1, R_2$  fixed such that  $R < R_1 < R_2$ .

From Proposition 2.10, there exist  $m$  rugose vector fields  $W_1, \dots, W_m$  on  $f^{-1}(B)$  lifting  $\frac{\partial}{\partial 1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial m}$ , respectively.

It follows by [8, Lemma 2.22] that there exists a  $C^\infty$  function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(1) = \bar{B}_{R_1}$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{R_2}$ .

For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we define on  $f^{-1}(U)$  the vector fields

$$H_i(x) := \varphi(x)W_i(x) + (1 - \varphi(x))V_i^{\dim X}(x).$$

Then, the restriction of  $H_i$  on each stratum of  $\mathcal{W}$  is a smooth vector field and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad d_x f(H_i(x)) &= d_x f(\varphi(x)W_i(x) + (1 - \varphi(x))V_i^{\dim X}(x)) \\
 &= \varphi(x)d_x f(W_i(x)) + (1 - \varphi(x))d_x f(V_i^{\dim X}(x)) \\
 &= \varphi(x)e_i + (1 - \varphi(x))e_i = e_i,
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Moreover, we have:

**Lemma 3.6.**  *$H_i$  is a rugose vector field for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in f^{-1}(B)$  and let  $X_\beta$  be the stratum of  $\mathcal{W}$  containing  $x$ . Since  $W_i$  and  $V_i^{\dim X}$  are rugose vector fields, we need to check the rugosity of  $H_i$  on  $f^{-1}(B) \cap (\overline{B}_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1})$ . Thus, we may assume  $x \in f^{-1}(B) \cap (\overline{B}_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1})$ .

It follows that there exist  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  and a neighborhood  $\Omega_x$  of  $x$  such that for any  $x' \in X_\beta \cap \Omega_x \cap f^{-1}(B)$  and  $y \in X \cap \Omega_x \cap f^{-1}(B)$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|W_i(y) - W_i(x')\| &\leq C_1 \|y - x'\|, \\
 \|V_i^{\dim X}(y) - V_i^{\dim X}(x')\| &\leq C_2 \|y - x'\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|H_i(y) - H_i(x')\| &= \|\varphi(y)W_i(y) + (1 - \varphi(y))V_i^{\dim X}(y) - \varphi(x')W_i(x') - (1 - \varphi(x'))V_i^{\dim X}(x')\| \\
 &\leq \|\varphi(y)(W_i(y) - W_i(x'))\| + \|(1 - \varphi(x'))(V_i^{\dim X}(y) - V_i^{\dim X}(x'))\| \\
 &\quad + \|(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x'))W_i(x')\| + \|(1 - \varphi(y) - (1 - \varphi(x'))))V_i^{\dim X}(y)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have:

- $1 - \varphi$  is a smooth function and so it is locally Lipschitz. Without loss of generality, assume that  $1 - \varphi$  is Lipschitz on  $\Omega_x$  with constant  $C_3$ . Then,  $|(1 - \varphi(y)) - (1 - \varphi(x'))| \leq C_3 \|y - x'\|$ .

- $\varphi$  is locally Lipschitz. So, we assume that  $\varphi$  is Lipschitz on  $\Omega_x$  with constant  $C_4$ . Then  $|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x')| \leq C_4 \|y - x'\|$ .

- By the continuity of  $W_i$  and  $V_i^{\dim X}$ , we have that these vector fields are bounded in  $\Omega_x$ . Thus we take  $C_5 = \sup_{z \in \Omega_x} \|V_i^{\dim X}(z)\|$  and  $C_6 = \sup_{z \in \Omega_x} \|W_i(z)\|$ .

Then,

$$\|H_i(y) - H_i(x')\| \leq (C_1 + C_2 + C_4 C_6 + C_3 C_5) \|y - x'\|,$$

which shows that  $H_i$  is a rugose vector field.  $\square$

Let us return to the proof of the theorem. Let  $\xi_{x_0}(t)$  be a integral curve of the vector field  $H_i$  starting from  $x_0 \in (f^{-1}(B) \cap f_i^{-1}(0))$ . Then,

- the curve  $\xi_{x_0}(t)$  preserves the stratification since  $H_i$  is a rugose vector field (see for instance [13, Proposition 4.8]).
- it follows by (18) that  $f(\xi_{x_0}(t)) = te_i$ .
- by definition of  $H_i$ , we have  $H_i(x) = V_i(x)$ , for any  $\|x\| > R_2$ . Then,  $\|\xi_{x_0}(t)\|$  does not converges to infinity as  $t$  goes to  $\tau$ ,  $|\tau| < 1$ .

It follows that the domain of existence of  $\xi_{x_0}(t)$  is the interval  $] - 1, 1[$  and that  $\xi_{x_0}(t) \in (f^{-1}(B))$ , for any  $t \in ] - 1, 1[$ .

Now we can construct the diffeomorphism trivializing the map  $f : f^{-1}(B) \rightarrow B$  by integrating the vector fields  $H_1, \dots, H_m$ .  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was partially supported by Fapemig-Brazil Grant APQ-02085-21 and CNPq-Brazil grant 301631/2022-0. The second author was partially supported by the CAPES Grant PROEX-12113190/D. The authors are grateful to Professor Raimundo Nonato Araújo dos Santos for many helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] DIAS, LUIS RENATO GONÇALVES AND RUAS, MARIA APARECIDA SOARES AND TIBŔR, M, *Regularity at infinity of real mappings and a Morse–Sard theorem*, Journal of Topology, **5(2)** (2012), 323-340.
- [2] ĐINH, SĨ TIÊP AND JELONEK, ZBIGNIEW, *Thom isotopy theorem for nonproper maps and computation of sets of stratified generalized critical values*, Discrete and Computational Geometry, **65** (2021), 279-304.
- [3] GAFFNEY, TERENCE, *Fibers of polynomial mappings at infinity and a generalized Malgrange condition*, Compositio Mathematica, **119(2)** (1999), 157-167.
- [4] GIBSON, CHRISTOPHER G. AND WIRTHMÜLLER, KLAUS AND DU PLESSIS, ANDREW A. AND LOOIJENGA, EDUARD J. N., *Topological stability of smooth mappings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **552** (1976), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York.
- [5] HENRY, JEAN-PIERRE AND MERLE, MICHEL AND SABBAB, CLAUDE, *Sur la condition de Thom stricte pour un morphisme analytique complexe*, In Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure (1984) (Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 227-268).
- [6] JELONEK, ZBIGNIEW, *On the generalized critical values of a polynomial mapping*, Manuscripta Mathematica, **110** (2003), 145-157.
- [7] KURDYKA, KRZYSZTOF AND ORRO, PATRICE AND SIMON, STÉPHANE, *Semialgebraic Sard theorem for generalized critical values*, Journal of differential Geometry, **56(1)** (2000), 67-92.
- [8] LEE, JOHN M, *Smooth manifolds*, Springer New York (2012), (pp. 1-31).
- [9] LOI, TA LÊ, *Lecture 2: Stratifications in o-minimal structures*, The Japanese-Australian Workshop on Real and Complex Singularities—JARCS III, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ. **43** (2010), 31–39.
- [10] PARUSIŃSKI, ADAM AND PĂUNESCU, LAURENȚIU, *Arc-wise analytic stratification, Whitney fibering conjecture and Zariski equisingularity*, Advances in Mathematics, **309** (2017), 254-305.
- [11] RABIER, PATRICK J, *Ehresmann fibrations and Palais-Smale conditions for morphisms of Finsler manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics, (1997), 647-691.
- [12] VALETTE, ANNA, *Stratified  $C^p$ -semialgebraic triviality*, manuscripta mathematica, **166(1-2)**, (2021) 315-322.
- [13] VERDIER, JEAN-LOUIS, *Stratifications de Whitney et théoreme de Bertini-Sard*, Inventiones Mathematicae, **36(1)** (1976), 295-312.
- [14] WHITNEY, HASSLER, *Tangents to an analytic variety*, In Hassler Whitney Collected Papers, Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, (1965) (pp. 537-590).

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE UBERLÂNDIA, FACULDADE DE MATEMÁTICA, AV. JOÃO NAVES DE  
ÁVILA 2121, 1F-153 - CEP: 38408-100, UBERLÂNDIA, BRAZIL.

*Email address:* lrgdias@ufu.br

UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, ICMC-USP, AV. TRAB. SÃO CARLENSE, 400-CENTRO, CEP:  
13566-590, SÃO CARLOS-SP, BRAZIL.

*Email address:* giovannybarreramos@usp.br