QUASI-CONTRACTIVITY, STABILITY AND CONVERGENCE OF WCT OPERATORS

Y. ESTAREMI, Z. HUANG, AND S. MUHAMMAD

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize quasi-contraction, stable and convergent weighted conditional type (WCT) operators on $L^p(\mu)$. Indeed we provide equivalent conditions for quasi-contraction WCT operators. Also, we prove that convergence, uniformly stability, strongly stability and weakly stability of WCT operators are equivalent. Finally we provided some concrete examples to illustrate our main results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert spaces, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} , where $I = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the identity operator on \mathcal{H} . If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then T^* is the adjoint of T.

Let $A, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where A is non-zero positive operator. The operator T is called A-contraction if $T^*AT \leq A$. It is easy to see that if T is A-contraction, then T^n is also A-contraction, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to [1], for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that T is n-quasi-contraction if T is $T^{*^n}T^n$ -contraction, i.e., $T^{*^{n+1}}T^{n+1} \leq T^{*^n}T^n$. Hence T is a *n*-quasi-contraction if and only if T is a contraction on $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$. Moreover, T is called quasi-contraction if it is 1-quasi-contraction.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. For a σ -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{F} , the conditional expectation operator associated with \mathcal{A} is the mapping $f \to E^{\mathcal{A}} f$, defined for all non-negative measurable function f as well as for all $f \in L^p(\mathcal{F}) =$ $L^p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, in which $1 \leq p < \infty$. The function $E^{\mathcal{A}}f$ is the unique \mathcal{A} -measurable function that satisfies the equation:

$$\int_{A} (E^{\mathcal{A}} f) d\mu = \int_{A} f d\mu, \qquad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We will often use the notation E instead of $E^{\mathcal{A}}$. This operator will play a significant role in our work, and we list some of its useful properties here:

- If g is \mathcal{A} -measurable, then E(fg) = E(f)g, for all $f \in L^p(\mathcal{F})$.

- If $f \ge 0$, then $E(f) \ge 0$; if E(|f|) = 0, then f = 0. $|E(fg)| \le (E(|f|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} (E(|g|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}}$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in L^p(\mathcal{F})$ and $f \in L^q(\mathcal{F})$. For each $f \ge 0$, S(E(f)) is the smallest \mathcal{A} -measurable set containing S(f), where $S(f) = \{ x \in X : f(x) \neq 0 \}.$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 03C45, 47A45, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Weighted conditional, power bounded, quasi-contraction, convergence, stability.

A detailed discussion and verifications of most of these properties may be found in [13].

Combination of conditional expectation operator E and multiplication operators appears more often in the service of the study of other operators such as multiplication operators and weighted composition operators. Specifically, in [12], S.-T. C. Moy has characterized all operators on $L^p(\mu)$ of the form $f \to E(fg)$, for $g \in L^q(\mu)$ with E(|g|) bounded. In [2], R. G. Douglas analyzed positive projections on $L^1(\mu)$ and many of his characterizations are in terms of combinations of multiplications and conditional expectations. Weighted conditional type operators are studied by many mathematicians recent years in [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and references therein.

Here we recall the definition of weighted conditional type operators on L^p -spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and \mathcal{A} be a σ -sub-algebra of \mathcal{F} such that $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu_{\mathcal{A}})$ is also σ -finite. Let E be the conditional expectation operator relative to \mathcal{A} . If $u, w : X \to \mathbb{C}$ are \mathcal{F} -measurable functions such that uf is conditionable (i.e., E(uf) exists) and $wE(uf) \in L^p(\mathcal{F})$ for all $f \in L^p(\mathcal{F})$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, then the corresponding weighted conditional type (or briefly WCT) operator is the linear transformation $M_w E M_u : L^p(\mathcal{F}) \to L^p(\mathcal{F})$ defined by $f \to wE(uf)$.

In this paper we characterize quasi-contraction, stable and convergent WCT operators on $L^{p}(\mu)$. Indeed we prove that a WCT operator is quasi-contraction iff it is *n*-quasi-contraction iff it is power bounded and we provide equivalent conditions for quasi-contraction WCT operators. Also, we prove that convergence, uniformly stability, strongly stability and weakly stability of WCT operators are equivalent. Finally we provided some concrete examples to illustrate our main results.

2. Quasicontraction, Stable and convergent WCT operators

In this section we first characterize quasi-contraction WCT operators. Then the relation between quasi-contractivity and power boundednes are investigated. In the sequel we find some equivalent conditions for uniformly, strongly and weakly stability of WCT operators. Moreover, convergent WCT operators are characterized.

Let X be a Banach space and $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The operator T is called power bounded if $\sup_n ||T^n|| < \infty$. In [3], Theorem 2.5, part (b) there is an error that we correct it in the next Theorem, indeed we should replace the symbol < by \leq .

Theorem 2.1. Let $T = M_w E M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{F})), \ 1 \le p < \infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then *T* is power bounded if and only if $|E(wu)| \le 1$ on $S((E(|w|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}(E(|u|^{p'}))^{\frac{1}{p'}})$.

Proof. Let $|E(wu)| \leq 1$ on $S((E(|w|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}(E(|u|^{p'}))^{\frac{1}{p'}})$. Since

$$S(E(uw)) \subseteq S((E(|w|^{p}))^{\frac{1}{p}}(E(|u|^{p'}))^{\frac{1}{p'}}),$$

then $||E(wu)||_{\infty} \leq 1$ on X. Hence $||(E(w))^n||_{\infty} = ||E(wu)||_{\infty}^n \leq 1$ and so the sequence $\{||E(uw)^n||_{\infty}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded. Therefore there exists C > 0 such that $||E(uw)^n||_{\infty} \leq C$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $T^n = M_{E(uw)^{n-1}}T$. Hence for every $f \in L^p(\mathcal{F})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$||T^n f||_p = ||E(uw)^{n-1}T(f)||_p \le ||E(uw)^{n-1}||_{\infty} ||T|| ||f||_p \le C ||T|| ||f||_p$$

This means that T is power bounded.

Conversely, let T be power bounded. Then we can find C > 0 such that

 $||M_{(E(uw))^{n-1}}T|| \leq C$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We know that

$$\begin{split} S(Tf) &\subseteq S((E(|w|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}(E(|u|^{p'}))^{\frac{1}{p'}}) \text{ and } S(E(uw)) \subseteq S((E(|w|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}(E(|u|^{p'}))^{\frac{1}{p'}}).\\ \text{Suppose that there exists } A &\in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } \mu(A) > 0 \text{ such that } |E(uw)| > 1 \text{ on } A. \text{ Then } \|E(uw)\|_{\infty} > 1 \text{ and so } \|(E(uw))^n\|_{\infty} \to \infty. \text{ In this case } M_{(E(uw))^{n-1}}T \text{ is bounded if and only if } T = 0. \text{ So if } T \neq 0, \text{ then we get a contradiction. Therefore we should have } |E(wu)| \leq 1 \text{ on } S((E(|w|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}(E(|u|^{p'}))^{\frac{1}{p'}}). \end{split}$$

Let
$$T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), n \in \mathbb{N}$$
. Then T is n -quasi-contraction if and only if
 $\|T^n x\|^2 \ge \|T^{n+1} x\|^2, \forall x \in \mathcal{H},$

since

$$T^{*^{n+1}}T^{n+1} \leq T^{*^n}T^n \Leftrightarrow T^{*^n}T^n \geq T^{*^{n+1}}T^{n+1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle T^{*^n}T^n x, x \rangle - \langle T^{*^{n+1}}T^{n+1}x, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \|T^n x\|^2 - \|T^{n+1}x\|^2 \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \|T^n x\|^2 \geq \|T^{n+1}x\|^2, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Now in the next theorem we characterize n-quasi-contraction WCT operators on L^p -spaces.

Theorem 2.2. Let $T = M_w E M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mu))$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then T is n-quasicontraction if and only if T is 1-quasi-contraction if and only if $|E(uw)| \leq 1, \mu$, *a.e.*

Proof. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$T^n = M_{E(uw)^{n-1}} M_w E M_u = M_{E(uw)^{n-1}} T$$

and similarly

$$T^{*^{n}} = M_{E(\bar{u}\bar{w})^{n-1}} M_{\bar{u}} E M_{\bar{w}} = M_{E(\bar{u}\bar{w})^{n-1}} T^{*}.$$

Hence

$$T^{*}T^{n} = M_{|E(uw)|^{2(n-1)}}T^{*}T = M_{E(|w|^{2})|E(uw)|^{2(n-1)}}M_{\bar{u}}EM_{u}$$

 So

$$T^{*^{n}}T^{n} > T^{*^{n+1}}T^{n+1}$$

if and only if

$$M_{E(|w|^2)|E(uw)|^{2(n-1)}}M_{\bar{u}}EM_u \ge M_{E(|w|^2)|E(uw)|^{2(n)}}M_{\bar{u}}EM_u$$

if and only if

 $M_{E(|w|^2)|E(uw)|^{2(n-1)}}M_{(1-|E(uw)|^2)}M_{\bar{u}}EM_u \ge 0.$

Let $T_0 = M_{E(|w|^2)|E(uw)|^{2(n-1)}}$, $T_1 = M_{(1-|E(uw)|^2)}$ and $T_2 = M_{\bar{u}}EM_u$. Then it is easy to see that $T_0 \ge 0$, $T_2 \ge 0$ and

$$T_0T_1T_2 = T_1T_0T_2 = T_2T_0T_1 = T_1T_2T_0 = T_0T_2T_1.$$

Therefore $T_0T_1T_2 \ge 0$ if and only if $T_1 \ge 0$ if and only if $1 - |E(uw)|^2 \ge 0, \mu$, a.e., if and only if $|E(uw)| \le 1, \mu$, a.e., on F.

By these observations we get that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$T^{*^{n}}T^{n} > T^{*^{n+1}}T^{n+1}$$

if and only if

$$T^*T \ge T^{*2}T^2$$

if and only if $|E(uw)| \leq 1, \mu$, a.e., on F.

In the following Theorem we find that a WCT operators is n-quasi-contraction if and only if it is power bounded. Also, some other equivalent conditions are provided.

Theorem 2.3. Let $T = M_w E M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mu))$. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:

i: T is 1-quasi-contraction; ii: T is n-quasi-contraction, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$; iii: There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that T is n-quasi-contraction; iv: $|E(uw)| \leq 1$, a.e., on F = S(E(uw);v: T is power bounded; vi: $\sigma_T \subseteq D$.

In which D is closed unit disk and σ_T is the spectrum of T.

Proof. By Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we have the equivalence of conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v). As we know from [4], $\sigma(M_w E M_u) \setminus \{0\} = ess \ range(E(uw)) \setminus \{0\}$ and so $r(T) = ||E(uw)||_{\infty}$. So we easily get that (iv) and (vi) are equivalent. This completes the proof.

Let X, Y be a Banach spaces and $T_n, T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say the sequence $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to T if

$$||T_n - T|| \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Also, we say the sequence $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly to T if

$$||T_n x - Tx|| \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Moreover, we say the sequence $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to T if

$$|f(T_n x) - f(T x)| \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \quad \text{for all } x \in X, f \in Y^*.$$

By Banach-Steinhaus Theorem we get that if $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to T, then $\sup_n \|T_n\| < \infty$.

It is clear that

$$|T_n(x) - T(x)|| \le ||T_n - T|| ||x||, \quad |f(T_n x) - f(Tx)| \le ||f|| ||T_n x - Tx||,$$

for all $x \in X, f \in Y^*$. This means that uniformly convergence implies strongly convergence and strongly convergence implies weakly convergence. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X, X)$. The operator T is called uniformly stable, if the power

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X, X)$. The operator T is called uniformly stable, if the power sequence $\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to the null operator; that is

$$||T^m|| \to 0$$

and also T is called strongly stable if $\{T^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly to the null operator; that is

$$||T^n x|| \to 0$$
, for all $x \in X$.

Moreover, the operator T is called weakly stable if the sequence $\{T^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly convergent to the null operator; that is

$$|f(T^n x)| \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \quad \text{for all } x \in X, f \in X^*.$$

4

Hence by Banach-Steinhaus Theorem we get that if T is weakly stable, then it is power bounded. By the above observations we get that uniformly stability implies strongly stability and strongly stability implies weakly stability.

Now in the next Theorem for WCT operator $T = M_w E M_u$ on the Banach space $L^p(\mu)$ we have T is uniformly stable iff T is strongly stable iff T is weakly stable iff $||E(uw)||_{\infty} < 1$ iff ||E(uw)|| < 1, a.e., μ .

Theorem 2.4. Let $T = M_w E M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu))$. Then T is uniformly stable iff T is strongly stable iff T is weakly stable iff |E(uw)| < 1, a.e., μ .

Proof. It is clear that if T is uniformly stable, then it is strongly stable also if T is strongly stable, then it is weakly stable. In addition if T is weakly stable, then it is power bounded and so by Theorem 2.1 we have $|E(uw)| \leq 1$, a.e., μ . We claim that we should have |E(uw)| < 1, a.e., μ . Because, if |E(uw)| = 1, a.e., μ on A with $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|E(uw)^n| = 1$, a.e., μ on A. We can find $f \in L^p(\mu)$ and $g \in L^q$,

$$\left|\int_{X} T^{n}(f)gd\mu\right| \nrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. So T is not weakly stable. This is a contradiction.

For the converse, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in L^p(\mu)$. Then we have $T^n f = E(uw)^{n-1}Tf$ and so

$$|T^{n}|| = \sup_{\|f\|_{p} \le 1} \|E(uw)^{n-1}Tf\|_{p} \le \|E(uw)^{n-1}\|_{\infty} \|T\|.$$

By these observations if |E(uw)| < 1, a.e., μ , then $||E(uw)^{n-1}||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore $||T^n|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence T is uniformly stable and the proof is complete.

A bounded linear operator on the Banach space X is called convergent if

$$\sigma_T \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}.$$

Hence by the above observations we get that the WCT operator $T = M_w E M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu))$ is convergent iff ess $range(E(uw)) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$ iff |E(uw)| < 1, a.e., μ . By these observations we have the following results.

Theorem 2.5. The WCT operator $T = M_w E M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu))$ is convergent iff ess range $(E(uw)) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$ iff |E(uw)| < 1, a.e., μ .

Proof. As we know from Theorem 2.8 of [4], the spectrum of T is as

$$\sigma(T) \cup \{0\} = ess \ range(E(uw)) \cup \{0\}.$$

Since $\sigma(T)$ is compact, then we have the result.

Now by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 we have the following result.

Corollary 2.6. If WCT operator $T = M_w E M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu))$, then the following statements are equivalent:

- T is convergent.
- T is uniformly stable.
- T is strongly stable.
- T is weakly stable.
- $||E(uw)||_{\infty} < 1.$

• $|E(uw)| < 1, a.e., \mu$.

$$\|T^{n+1}f - T^n f\| = \|M_{(E(uw)-1)}M_{E(uw)^{n-1}}Tf\|$$
$$\|T^n\| = \|M_{E(uw)^{n-1}}T\| \le \|E(wu)\|_{\infty}^{n-1}\|T\|$$

It is clear that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, for a Banach space X, is power bounded, then $r(T) \leq 1$. But the converse is not true in general, i.e., $r(T) \leq 1$ doesn't imply power boundedness of T. To see this, let $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ on \mathbb{C}^2 . $r(T) \leq 1$, but T is not power bonded. Here, as you see in the next Proposition, we have a large class of bounded linear operators that for each T of them the power boundedness is equivalent to the condition $r(T) \leq 1$.

Proposition 2.7. Let $T = M_w E M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu))$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. Then T is power bounded if and only if $r(T) \le 1$.

Proof. Since

 $\sigma(T) \cup \{0\} = ess \ range(E(uw)) \cup \{0\},\$

then we have $r(T) = ||E(uw)||_{\infty}$. Therefore by Theorem 2.1 we get that T is power bounded if and only if $r(T) \leq 1$.

In general r(T) < 1 iff $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T^n|| = 0$ iff T is uniformly exponentially stable i.e., there exist $M \ge 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $||T^n|| \le Me^{-\epsilon n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If we apply it to WCT operators $T = M_w E M_u$ then we have $||E(uw)||_{\infty} < 1$ iff $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||E(uw)|^{n-1}(E(|u|^{p'}))^{\frac{1}{p'}}(E(|w|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}|| = 0$ iff T is uniformly exponentially stable i.e., there exist $M \ge 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $||T^n|| \le Me^{-\epsilon n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in which $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Since $T^* = M_{\bar{u}} E M_{\bar{w}}$, $||T^n|| = ||T^{*n}||$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r(T^*) = r(T) =$

Since $T^* = M_{\bar{u}} E M_{\bar{w}}$, $||T^n|| = ||T^{*^n}||$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r(T^*) = r(T) = ||E(uw)||_{\infty}$, then T is power bounded if and only if T^* is power bounded if and only if $|E(uw)| \leq 1$, a.e., μ . Moreover, we get that T^* is convergent iff T is convergent. Also, T^* is stable (uniformly, strongly, weakly) iff T is stable (uniformly, strongly, weakly) iff |E(uw)| < 1, a.e., μ .

Finally, we provide some concrete examples to illustrate our main results.

Example 2.8. (a) Let $X = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathcal{G} = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let $\mu(\{x\}) = \frac{e^{-\theta}\theta^x}{x!}$, for each $x \in X$ and $\theta \geq 0$. Elementary calculations show that μ is a probability measure on \mathcal{G} , i.e., $\mu(X) = 1$. Let \mathcal{A} be the σ -algebra generated by the partition $B = \{\emptyset, X, \{0\}, X_1 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, ...\}, X_2 = \{2, 4, 6, 8, ...\}, \}$ of \mathbb{N} . Note that, \mathcal{A} is a sub- σ -algebra of Σ and each of element of \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{A} -atom. Thus, the conditional expectation of any $f \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ relative to \mathcal{A} is constant on \mathcal{A} -atoms. Hence there exists scalars a_1, a_2, a_3 such that

$$E(f) = a_1 \chi_{\{0\}} + a_2 \chi_{X_1} + a_3 \chi_{X_2}.$$

So

$$E(f)(0) = a_1, \quad E(f)(2n-1) = a_2, \quad E(f)(2n) = a_3,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition of conditional expectation with respect to \mathcal{A} , we have

$$f(0)\mu(\{0\}) = \int_{\{0\}} f d\mu = \int_{\{0\}} E(f) d\mu = a_1 \mu(\{0\}),$$

6

so $a_1 = f(0)$. Also,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(2n-1) \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} = \int_{X_1} f d\mu = \int_{X_1} E(f) d\mu$$
$$= a_2 \mu(X_2) = a_2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}.$$

 $and \ so$

$$a_{2} = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(2n-1) \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}}$$

By the same method we have

$$a_3 = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(2n) \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{2n}}{(2n)!}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{2n}}{(2n)!}}.$$

If u and w are real functions on X such that $M_w E M_u$ is bounded on l^p , then we have

$$\sigma(M_w E M_u) = \{a_1 = u(0)w(0), a_2 = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u(2n-1)w(2n-1)\frac{e^{-\theta}\theta^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{e^{-\theta}\theta^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}}, a_3 = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u(2n)w(2n)\frac{e^{-\theta}\theta^{2n}}{(2n)!}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{e^{-\theta}\theta^{2n}}{(2n)!}}\}.$$

Hence by Theorem 2.3 we ge that $T = M_w E M_u$ is power bounded iff T is quasicontraction iff T is n-quasi-contraction, for every n iff $\max\{a_1, a_2, a_3\} \leq 1$. Also by Corollary 2.6 we get that T is convergent iff T is uniformly stable iff T is strongly stable iff T is weakly stable iff $\max\{a_1, a_2, a_3\} < 1$.

(b) Let $X = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{G} = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let $\mu(\{x\}) = pq^{x-1}$, for each $x \in X$, $0 \le p \le 1$ and q = 1 - p. Elementary calculations show that $\mu(X) = 1$ and so μ is a probability measure on \mathcal{G} . Let \mathcal{A} be the σ -algebra generated by the partition $B = \{X_1 = \{3n : n \ge 1\}, X_1^c\}$ of X. So, for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(E^{\mathcal{A}})$,

$$E(f) = \alpha_1 \chi_{X_1} + \alpha_2 \chi_{X_1^c}$$

and direct computations show that

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\sum_{n \ge 1} f(3n) p q^{3n-1}}{\sum_{n \ge 1} p q^{3n-1}}$$

and

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\sum_{n \ge 1} f(n) p q^{n-1} - \sum_{n \ge 1} f(3n) p q^{3n-1}}{\sum_{n \ge 1} p q^{n-1} - \sum_{n \ge 1} p q^{3n-1}}$$

So, if u and w are real functions on X such that $M_w E M_u$ is bounded on l^p , then we have

$$\sigma(M_w E M_u) = \{\alpha_1 = \frac{\sum_{n \ge 1} u(3n)w(2n)pq^{3n-1}}{\sum_{n \ge 1} pq^{3n-1}}, \alpha_2 = \frac{\sum_{n \ge 1} u(n)w(n)pq^{n-1} - \sum_{n \ge 1} u(3n)w(3n)pq^{3n-1}}{\sum_{n \ge 1} pq^{n-1} - \sum_{n \ge 1} pq^{3n-1}}\}$$

Hence by Theorem 2.3 we ge that $T = M_w E M_u$ is power bounded iff T is quasicontraction iff T is n-quasi-contraction, for every n iff max $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \leq 1$.

Also by Corollary 2.6 we get that T is convergent iff T is uniformly stable iff T is strongly stable iff T is weakly stable iff $\max \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} < 1$.

(c) Let $X = [0, a] \times [0, a]$ for a > 0, $d\mu = dxdy$, Σ the Lebesgue subsets of X and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A \times [0, a] : A$ is a Lebesgue set in $[0, a]\}$. Then, for each $f \in \mathcal{D}(E)$, $(Ef)(x, y) = \int_0^a f(x, t)dt$, which is independent of the second coordinate. For example, if we set a = 1, w(x, y) = 1 and $u(x, y) = e^{(x+y)}$, then $E(u)(x, y) = e^x - e^{x+1}$ and $M_w EM_u$ is bounded. Therefore $\sigma(M_w EM_u) = [e - e^2, 1 - e]$. Therefore we have |E(uw)| > 1, for all x, y. Consequently by Theorem 2.3 we ge that $T = M_w EM_u$ is not power bounded, equivalently is not quasi-contraction and also is not n-quasi-contraction. Also by Corollary 2.6 we get that T is not convergent, is not uniformly stable, is not strongly stable and also is not weakly stable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest. On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement. Our manuscript has no associate data.

References

- G. Cassier and L. Sucia, Mapping theorems and similarity to contractions for classes of Acontractions. Hot topics in Operator theory, Theta Series in Advanced Mathematices, (2008), 39-58.
- [2] R. G. Douglas, Contractive projections on an L1 space, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 443-462.
- [3] Y. Estaremi, On a class of bounded operators and their ascent and descent. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser 69 (2020), 1393–1399.
- [4] Y. Estaremi, On the algebra of WCE operator, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 48 (2018) 501 -517.
- [5] Y. Estaremi, M. R. Jabbarzadeh, Weighted lambert type operators on L p-spaces. Oper. Matrices. 1 (2013) 101–116.
- [6] Y. Estaremi and M. R. Jabbarzadeh, Spectral radius algebras of WCE operators, Oper. Matrices. 11 (2017) 337-346.
- [7] Y. Estaremi, and S. Shamsigamchi, Unbounded WCT operators and applications to linear equations. Comp. Appl. Math. 238 (2022).
- [8] J. J. Grobler and B. de Pagter, Operators representable as multiplication-conditional expectation operators, J. Operator Theory 48 (2002), 15-40.
- [9] J. Herron, Weighted conditional expectation operators, Oper. Matrices 1 (2011), 107-118.
- [10] M. Lacruz, Invariant subspaces and Deddens algebras, Expositiones Mathematicae, 33 (2015) 116-120.
- [11] A. Lamberta and S. Petrovic, Beyond hyperinvariance for compact operators, J. Functional Analysis, 219 (2005) 93–108.
- [12] Shu-Teh Chen, Moy, Characterizations of conditional expectation as a transformation on function spaces, Pacific J. Math. 4 (1954), 47-63.
- [13] M. M. Rao, Conditional measure and applications, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.

Y. Estaremi

Email address: y.estaremi@gu.ac.ir

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, GOLESTAN UNIVERSITY, GORGAN, IRAN.

Z. HUANG Email address: jameszhuang923@gmail.com Huxley Building Department of Mathematics, South Kensington Campus, Imperial College London, London, UK

S. MUHAMMAD Email address: skabeer@ksu.edu.sa

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455, RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA.