

BEST POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION FOR NON-AUTONOMOUS LINEAR ODES IN THE $\star$ -PRODUCT FRAMEWORK*

STEFANO POZZA[†]

Abstract. We present the first formulation of the optimal polynomial approximation of the solution of linear non-autonomous systems of ODEs in the framework of the so-called $\star$ -product. This product is the basis of new approaches for the solution of such ODEs, both in the analytical and the numerical sense. The paper shows how to formally state the problem and derives upper bounds for its error.

Key words. Non-autonomous linear ODEs, polynomial approximation, error analysis,

AMS subject classifications. 46F10, 37C60, 65L05

1. Introduction. Recently, a new approach has been introduced for the solution of systems of linear non-autonomous ordinary differential equations based on the so-called $\star$ -product [6, 7, 12, 16]. Such an approach has proved to be valuable and effective analytically, by producing new explicit expressions for the solution of certain problems [5, 6, 8], and numerically, being the basis of new efficient algorithms in quantum chemistry problems [3, 13, 14, 15].

Given a Hermitian matrix-valued function $\tilde{A}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ analytic on the bounded interval I , and the nonzero vector $\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ we consider the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{u}(t) = \tilde{A}(t) \tilde{u}(t), \quad \tilde{u}(a) = \tilde{v}, \quad t \in I = [a, b]. \quad (1.1)$$

The $\star$ -product is defined over a Fréchet-Lie group on distributions [16]. In such a group, the initial value problem becomes a $\star$ -linear system. Thanks to this “linearization” of the ODE, new techniques can be applied to solve the problem. Here, we focus on the polynomial approximation approach, which can be used both in numerical approaches and in the theoretical framework. In particular, in the latter one, a symbolic algorithm named $\star$ -Lanczos [8] is able to produce a Krylov-like subspace approximation, that is, a polynomial approximation in the $\star$ -product sense.

In this work, we will show that it is possible to formulate the problem of a best polynomial approximation for $\tilde{u}$ in the $\star$ -framework. Moreover, we will show that its error can be bounded by the best uniform norm polynomial approximation error for the exponential [11]. This result is crucial to understand the numerical behavior of polynomial-based numerical methods when solving linear systems derived by using the $\star$ -approach. Indeed, the polynomial approximation is central in the analysis of standard Krylov subspace methods (e.g., [10]), and its extension to the $\star$ -framework will also allow extending this kind of numerical analysis.

In Section 2, we introduce the basics of the $\star$ -product, and we state the main result. Section 3 shows how to extend matrix analysis results to the $\star$ -framework. The prove of the main result is given in Section 4 and Section 5 draw some conclusions.

*This work was supported by Charles University Research Centre program No. PRIMUS/21/SCI/009 and UNCE/24/SCI/005, and by the Magica project ANR-20-CE29-0007 funded by the French National Research Agency.

[†]Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic, (pozza@karlin.mff.cun.cz).

TABLE 1.1

List of the main $\star$ -definitions and related objects, f, g, x are generally from $\mathcal{A}(I)$.

Name	Symbol	Definition	Comments / Properties
$\star$ -product	$f \star g$	$\int_I f(t, \tau)g(\tau, s)d\tau$	
$\star$ -identity	δ	$f \star \delta = \delta \star f = f$	$\delta(t-s)$: Dirac delta
$\star$ -inverse	$f^{-\star}$	$f \star f^{-\star} = f^{-\star} \star f = \delta$	Existence [7, 16]
Heaviside function	Θ	$\Theta(t-s) = 1, t \geq s, 0$ otherwise	
Analytic Θ -set	$\mathcal{A}_\Theta(I)$	$\{\tilde{f}(t, s)\Theta(t-s): \tilde{f} \text{ analytic on } I^2\}$	$\star$ -product-closed set
Dirac 1st derivative	δ'	$\delta'(t-s)$	$\delta' \star \Theta = \Theta \star \delta' = \delta$
Dirac derivatives	$\delta^{(j)}$	$\delta^{(j)}(t-s)$	$\delta^{(j)} \star \delta^{(i)} = \delta^{(i+j)}$
$\star$ -powers	$f^{\star j}$	$f \star f \star \dots \star f, j \text{ times}$	$f^{\star 0} := \delta$, by convention
$\star$ -resolvent	$R^\star(x)$	$\sum_{j=0}^\infty x^{\star j}, x \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta(I)$	$R^\star(x) = (\delta - x)^{-\star}$
$\star$ -polynomial	$p^\star(x)$	$\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j x^{\star j}, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$	if $\alpha_n \neq 0$, n is the degree

TABLE 1.2

Useful properties of $\star$ -product actions on $\mathcal{A}_\Theta(I)$ elements.

Description	Definition	Property
“Integration” in t	$\tilde{F}\Theta, \tilde{F}(t, s)$ primitive of $\tilde{f}$ in $t, F(s, s) = 0$	$\tilde{F}\Theta = \Theta \star \tilde{f}\Theta$
“Integration” in s	$\tilde{F}\Theta, \tilde{F}(t, s)$ primitive of $\tilde{f}$ in $s, \tilde{F}(t, t) = 0$	$\tilde{F}\Theta = \tilde{f}\Theta \star \Theta$
“Differentiation” in t	$\tilde{f}^{(1,0)}\Theta, \tilde{f}^{(1,0)}(t, s)$ derivative of $\tilde{f}$ in t	$\delta' \star \tilde{f}\Theta = \tilde{f}^{(1,0)}\Theta + \tilde{f}\delta$
“Differentiation” in s	$\tilde{f}^{(0,1)}\Theta, \tilde{f}^{(0,1)}(t, s)$ derivative of $\tilde{f}$ in s	$\tilde{f}\Theta \star \delta' = -\tilde{f}^{(0,1)}\Theta + \tilde{f}\delta$

2. Basics and main result. In order to state the main result, we first summarize the $\star$ -product basics. Refer to [16] for the general definition of this product and the related properties. Given a bounded interval I , let us denote with $\mathcal{A}(I)$ the set of the bivariate distributions of the kind

$$f(t, s) = \tilde{f}_{-1}(t, s)\Theta(t-s) + \tilde{f}_0(t)\delta(t-s) + \tilde{f}_1(t)\delta'(t-s) + \dots + \tilde{f}_k(t)\delta^{(k)}(t-s),$$

where $\tilde{f}_{-1}, \dots, \tilde{f}_k$ are analytic functions over I both in t and s , Θ is the Heaviside function ($\Theta(t-s) = 1$ for $t \geq s$, and 0 otherwise), and $\delta, \delta', \dots, \delta^{(k)}$ are the Dirac delta and its derivatives. Then, the $\star$ -product of $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ is

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(t, s) := \int_I f_1(t, \tau)f_2(\tau, s)d\tau \in \mathcal{A}(I).$$

Some of the important properties, definitions, and facts about the $\star$ -product can be found in Tables 1.1 and 1.2. Specifically, it is easy to see that $\delta(t-s)$ is the $\star$ -product identity. Moreover, since $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is closed under $\star$ -product, we can define the $\star$ -powers of $f \in \mathcal{A}(I)$, denoted as $f^{\star n}$ with the convention $f^{\star 0} = \delta$. Therefore, for $x \in \mathcal{A}(I)$, we can define the $\star$ -polynomial of degree n as

$$p^\star(t, s) := \alpha_0\delta(t-s) + \alpha_1x(t, s) + \alpha_2x(t, s)^{\star 2} + \dots + \alpha_nx(t, s)^{\star n}, \quad (2.1)$$

with constants $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_n \neq 0$. We call $\mathcal{P}_n^\star$ the set of all such $\star$ -polynomials.

We define the subset $\mathcal{A}_\Theta(I) \subset \mathcal{A}(I)$ of the distributions of the form $f(t, s) = \tilde{f}(t, s)\Theta(t-s)$, with $\tilde{f}$ a function analytic over I^2 . The $\star$ -resolvent is defined as

$$R^\star(x) := \sum_{j=0}^\infty x^{\star j}.$$

Note that $R^\star(x)$ is well-defined (i.e., convergent) for every $x \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta$ [6].

When A, B are matrices with compatible sizes composed of elements from $\mathcal{A}(I)$, the $\star$ -product straightforwardly extends to a matrix-matrix (or matrix-vector) $\star$ -product. In the following, we denote with $\mathcal{A}^{N \times M}(I)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{N \times M}(I)$ the spaces of $N \times M$ matrices with elements from those sets. We denote with $I_\star = \tilde{I}\delta(t-s)$ the identity matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{N \times N}(I)$, with $\tilde{I}$ the standard $N \times N$ identity matrix.

Setting $I = [a, b]$, the solution $\tilde{u}(t)$ of the ODE (1.1) can be expressed by

$$\tilde{u}(t) = U(t, a)\tilde{v}, \quad t \in I, \quad U(t, s) = \Theta(t-s) \star R^\star(\tilde{A}(t)\Theta(t-s)); \quad (2.2)$$

as proven in [6]. From now on, we will skip the distribution arguments t, s whenever it is useful and clear from the context. Since $R^\star(\tilde{A}\Theta)$ is the $\star$ -inverse of $I_\star - \tilde{A}\Theta$ (e.g., [12]), then solving (2.2) means solving the system of $\star$ -linear equations

$$(I_\star - \tilde{A}\Theta) \star x = \tilde{v}\delta, \quad \tilde{u}(t) = (\Theta \star x)(t, a) \quad t \in I.$$

Note that this is not just a theoretical result since there is an efficient way to transform the $\star$ -linear system into a usual linear system that can be solved numerically [13, 14, 15].

It is reasonable to consider a $\star$ -polynomial approximation $p^\star(\tilde{A}\Theta)\tilde{v} \approx R^\star(\tilde{A}\Theta)\tilde{v}$. Specifically, we aim at finding the best $\star$ -polynomial $p^\star(t, s)$ of degree n that approximates the $\star$ -resolvent $R^\star(A)\tilde{v}$ in the L_2 norm sense, i.e., the polynomial $q^\star$ that minimizes the error

$$\|\tilde{u}(t) - (\Theta \star q^\star(A)\tilde{v})(t, a)\|_{L_2} := \left(\int_a^b |\tilde{u}(\tau) - (\Theta \star q^\star(A))(\tau, a)\tilde{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in I.$$

Note that $\Theta \star q^\star(A) \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{N \times N}$, while $q^\star(A) \in \mathcal{A}^{N \times N}$.

THEOREM 2.1 (Main result). *Consider the initial value problem (1.1) and let $\tilde{\lambda}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_N(t)$ be the eigenvalues of $\tilde{A}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. We define the interval*

$$J := \left[\min_{t \in I, i=1, \dots, N} \tilde{\lambda}_i(t), \max_{t \in I, i=1, \dots, N} \tilde{\lambda}_i(t) \right] \times \text{length}(I),$$

and denote with $E_n(J)$ the minimal uniform error of the polynomial approximation of the exponential over J , i.e.,

$$E_n(J) := \min_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \max_{t \in J} |\exp(t) - p(t)|.$$

Define $A(t, s) = \tilde{A}(t)\Theta(t-s)$. Then the error of the L_2 -best $\star$ -polynomial approximant $q^\star$ can be bounded by

$$\|\tilde{u}(t) - (\Theta \star q^\star(A)\tilde{v})(t, a)\|_{L_2} \leq E_n(J) \leq M\rho^{n+1}, \quad t \in I$$

for some constant $M > 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$ depending on J .

The proof of this Theorem will be the outcome of the rest of the paper. The first step towards the proof is to derive an explicit form for the $\star$ -monomials $f^{\star n}$ in the case in which $f(t, s) = \tilde{f}(t)\Theta(t-s) \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta(I)$.

LEMMA 2.2. Consider the function $f(t, s) = \tilde{f}(t)\Theta(t-s) \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta$ and let $\tilde{F}(t)$ be a primitive of $\tilde{f}(t)$. Then, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$f(t, s)^{\star n} = \frac{\tilde{f}(t)}{(n-1)!} \left(\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{F}(s) \right)^{n-1} \Theta(t-s), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Theta(t-s) \star f(t, s)^{\star n} = \frac{1}{n!} \left(\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{F}(s) \right)^n \Theta(t-s). \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, $\Theta(t-s) \star f(t, s)^{\star 0} = \Theta(t-s)$ since $f(t, s)^{\star 0} = \delta(t-s)$ by convention.

Proof. For $n = 2$, the expression (2.3) is trivially obtained by

$$f(t, s)^{\star 2} = \tilde{f}(t)\Theta(t-s) \int_s^t \tilde{f}(\tau) d\tau = \tilde{f}(t) \left(\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{F}(s) \right) \Theta(t-s).$$

Now, by induction, assuming (2.3) we get

$$f(t, s)^{\star n+1} = \frac{\tilde{f}(t)}{(n-1)!} \Theta(t-s) \int_s^t \tilde{f}(\tau) \left(\tilde{F}(\tau) - \tilde{F}(s) \right)^{n-1} d\tau. \quad (2.5)$$

Integrating by part gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t \tilde{f}(\tau) \left(\tilde{F}(\tau) - \tilde{F}(s) \right)^{n-1} d\tau &= (\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{F}(s))^n - \\ &\quad (n-1) \int_s^t \tilde{f}(\tau) \left(\tilde{F}(\tau) - \tilde{F}(s) \right)^{n-1} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$n \int_s^t \tilde{f}(\tau) \left(\tilde{F}(\tau) - \tilde{F}(s) \right)^{n-1} d\tau = (\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{F}(s))^n.$$

Together with (2.5), this proves (2.3). Eq. (2.4) comes from observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(t-s) \star f(t, s)^{\star n} &= \Theta(t-s) \star \frac{\tilde{f}(t)}{(n-1)!} \left(\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{F}(s) \right)^{n-1} \Theta(t-s) \\ &= \frac{\Theta(t-s)}{(n-1)!} \int_s^t \tilde{f}(\tau) \left(\tilde{F}(\tau) - \tilde{F}(s) \right)^{n-1} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} (\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{F}(s))^n \Theta(t-s), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

An immediate consequence of Lemma 2.2 is that

$$\exp \left(\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{F}(s) \right) = \Theta(t-s) \star R^\star(f)(t, s),$$

a well-known result; see, e.g., [6].

3. Matrix spectral decomposition and the $\star$ -product. Consider a time-dependent $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix-valued function $\tilde{A}(t)$ analytic over the closed interval I . Then, for every $t \in I$ there exist matrix-valued functions $\tilde{Q}(t)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}(t)$ analytic over I such that:

$$\tilde{A}(t) = \tilde{Q}(t) \tilde{\Lambda}(t) \tilde{Q}(t)^H, \text{ with } \tilde{\Lambda}(t) = \text{diag}(\tilde{\lambda}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n(t)), \tilde{Q}(t)^H \tilde{Q}(t) = I, \quad (3.1)$$

for every $t \in I$; see [9, Chapter II, Section 6] (we refer to [4] for extensions to the non-analytic case). The elements of the diagonal matrix $\tilde{\Lambda}(t)$ are analytic functions and, for every $t \in I$, the $\tilde{\lambda}_j(t)$ are the eigenvalues (eigencurves) of $\tilde{A}(t)$. The columns of $\tilde{Q}(t)$, denoted $\tilde{q}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{q}_N(t)$, are the corresponding eigenvectors (analytic over I).

Given $A(t, s) \in \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{N \times N}(I)$, the $\star$ -eigenproblem is to find the $\star$ -eigenvalues $\lambda(t, s) \in \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}(I)$ and the $\star$ -eigenvector $q(t, s) \in \mathcal{A}^{N \times 1}(I)$ such that

$$A(t, s) \star q(t, s) = \lambda(t, s) \star q(t, s). \quad (3.2)$$

If $\lambda(t, s)$ and $q(t, s)$ exist, then $q(t, s) \star a(t, s)$ is also a $\star$ -eigenvector, for every $a(t, s) \neq 0$ from $\mathcal{A}(I)$. For the specific case of interest, where $A(t, s) = \tilde{A}(t)\Theta(t-s)$, the solution to the $\star$ -eigenproblem is in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $A(t, s) = \tilde{A}(t)\Theta(t-s)$ be in $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta}(I)$, and let $\tilde{\lambda}_i(t)$ and $\tilde{q}_i(t)$, be the (analytic) eigencurves and the corresponding eigenvectors as defined in (3.1) for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then, the solution to the $\star$ -eigenvalue problem (3.2) is given by*

$$\lambda_i(t, s) = \tilde{\lambda}_i(t)\Theta(t-s), \quad q_i(t, s) = \tilde{q}'_i(t)\Theta(t-s) + \tilde{q}_i(t)\delta(t-s), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

where $\tilde{q}'_i(t)$ is the derivative of $\tilde{q}_i(t)$.

Proof. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_i(t)\delta(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_i(t)\Theta(t-s) &= \tilde{\lambda}_i(t) \int_I \delta(t-\tau) \tilde{q}_i(\tau) \Theta(\tau-s) d\tau \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}_i(t) \tilde{q}_i(t) \Theta(t-s) = \tilde{A}(t) \tilde{q}_i(t) \Theta(t-s) \\ &= \tilde{A}(t) \delta(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_i(t) \Theta(t-s). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\tilde{\lambda}_i(t)\delta(t-s) \star \Theta(t-s) = \tilde{\lambda}_i(t)\Theta(t-s)$, and that $\delta'(t-s) \star \Theta(t-s) = \Theta(t-s) \star \delta'(t-s) = \delta(t-s)$, see Table 1.1, we obtain (we omit the variables for the sake of readability)

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i \delta \star \tilde{q}_i \Theta = \tilde{\lambda}_i \delta \star \Theta \star \delta' \star \tilde{q}_i \Theta = \tilde{\lambda}_i \Theta \star \delta' \star \tilde{q}_i \Theta = \tilde{\lambda}_i \Theta \star q_i,$$

where $q_i(t, s) := \delta'(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_i(t)\Theta(t-s)$. Similarly, $\tilde{A} \delta \star \tilde{q}_i \Theta = \tilde{A} \Theta \star q_i$. Combining these results, we get

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i \Theta \star q_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i \delta \star \tilde{q}_i \Theta = \tilde{\lambda}_i \tilde{q}_i \Theta = \tilde{A} \tilde{q}_i \Theta = \tilde{A} \delta \star \tilde{q}_i \Theta = \tilde{A} \Theta \star q_i.$$

Finally, we obtain the following expression for the $\star$ -eigenvectors:

$$\begin{aligned} q_i(t, s) &= \delta'(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_i(t)\Theta(t-s) \\ &= \tilde{q}'_i(t)\Theta(t-s) + \tilde{q}_i(t)\delta(t-s); \end{aligned}$$

see Table 1.2. As a final remark, note that all the $\star$ -products are well-defined thanks to the fact that the $\tilde{\lambda}_i(t)$ and $\tilde{q}_i(t)$ are analytic functions. $\square$

Consider the matrix

$$A(t, s) = \tilde{A}_{-1}(t, s)\Theta(t-s) + \sum_{j=0}^k \tilde{A}_j(t)\delta^{(j)}(t-s) \in \mathcal{A}^{N \times M}(I) \quad (3.3)$$

we define the Hermitian transpose of A as

$$A^H(t, s) = \tilde{A}_{-1}^H(t, s)\Theta(t-s) + \sum_{j=0}^k \tilde{A}_j^H(t)\delta^{(j)}(t-s) \in \mathcal{A}^{M \times N}(I), \quad (3.4)$$

with $\tilde{A}_j^H$ the usual Hermitian transpose of a matrix. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following $\star$ -factorization of $A(t, s)$.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Under the same assumption of Theorem 3.1, we have*

$$A(t, s) = Q(t, s) \star \Lambda(t, s) \star Q(t, s)^H,$$

with $\Lambda(t, s) = \tilde{\Lambda}(t)\Theta(t-s)$ and $Q(t, s) = [q_1(t, s), \dots, q_N(t, s)]$. Moreover, it holds

$$Q(t, s) \star Q(t, s)^H = Q(t, s)^H \star Q(t, s) = I_\star,$$

that is, $Q(t, s)^H$ is the matrix $\star$ -inverse of $Q(t, s)$.

Proof. We first show that for every $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ we have

$$q_i(t, s)^H \star q_j(t, s) = \delta_{ij} \delta(t-s),$$

with δ_{ij} the Kronecker delta. Since $q_k(t, s) = \delta'(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_k \Theta(t-s)$, for $k = 1, \dots, N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} q_i(t, s)^H \star q_j(t, s) &= (\delta'(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_i^H(t) \Theta(t-s)) \star (\delta'(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_j(t) \Theta(t-s)) \\ &= \delta'(t-s) \star (\tilde{q}_i^H(t) \Theta(t-s) \star \delta'(t-s)) \star \tilde{q}_j(t) \Theta(t-s) \\ &= \delta'(t-s) \star (\tilde{q}_i^H(t) \delta(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_j(t) \Theta(t-s)) \\ &= \delta'(t-s) \star \tilde{q}_i^H(t) \tilde{q}_j(t) \Theta(t-s) = \delta'(t-s) \star \delta_{ij} \Theta(t-s) \\ &= \delta_{ij} \delta(t-s). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.1 we get the equality

$$A(t, s) \star Q(t, s) = Q(t, s) \star \Lambda(t, s).$$

The conclusion follows from $\star$ -multiplying from the right by $Q(t, s)^H$. $\square$

Since our final goal is to measure an error, we need to introduce a $\star$ -inner product and the relative $\star$ -norm. To this aim, we take inspiration from the results in [16], but we develop them in a different direction. Following [16], we define the $\star$ -Hermitian-transpose of $A(t, s)$ in (3.3) as

$$A^{\star H}(t, s) := A^H(s, t) = \tilde{A}_{-1}^H(s, t) \Theta(s-t) + \sum_{j=0}^k \tilde{A}_j^H(s) \delta^{(j)}(s-t) \in \mathcal{A}^{M \times N}(I).$$

Roughly speaking, one has to take the usual Hermitian transpose and then swap the variable t, s . Note the difference with the Hermitian transpose (3.4). Now, setting $I = [a, b]$, and given v, w such that $\Theta \star v, \Theta \star w \in \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{N \times 1}(I)$, for any fixed $s \in [a, b]$ we can define the inner product:

$$\langle v, w \rangle_\star(s) := ((\Theta \star v)^{\star H} \star \Theta \star w)(s, s) = \int_I v^H(\tau, s) w(\tau, s) d\tau.$$

Note that, denoting $\Theta(t-s) \star v(t, s) = \tilde{V}(t, s) \Theta(t-s)$ and $\Theta(t-s) \star w(t, s) = \tilde{W}(t, s) \Theta(t-s)$, then

$$\langle v, w \rangle_\star(s) = \int_s^b \tilde{V}^H(\tau, s) \tilde{W}(\tau, s) d\tau,$$

which, for the fixed s , is the classical inner product of the functions $\tilde{V}(\cdot, s)$ and $\tilde{W}(\cdot, s)$ on the interval $[s, b]$ (note that $v, w \equiv 0$ if and only if $\tilde{V}, \tilde{W} \equiv 0$). The inner product $\langle v, w \rangle_\star(s)$ is, in fact, a family of inner products depending on the parameter $s \in [a, b]$. With an abuse of notation, we refer to the function $\langle v, w \rangle_\star : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as the $\star$ -inner product of v and w . Thus, again with a notation abuse, we define the $\star$ -norm as

$$\|v\|_\star(s) := \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle_\star(s)}, \quad s \in [a, b].$$

The definition is justified by the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3 (Properties of the $\star$ -norm). *Given v, w such that $\Theta \star v, \Theta \star w \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{N \times 1}(I)$, with $I = [a, b]$, then the following properties hold for every $s \in [a, b]$:*

1. $\|v\|_\star(s) \geq 0$;
2. $\|v\|_\star(s) \equiv 0$ if and only if $v \equiv 0$;
3. $\|\alpha v\|_\star(s) = \|\alpha \delta \star v\|_\star(s) = |\alpha| \|v\|_\star(s)$ for any scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;
4. $\|v + w\|_\star(s) \leq \|v\|_\star(s) + \|w\|_\star(s)$.

Hence $\|v\|_\star(s)$ is a norm for every fixed $s \in [a, b]$.

Proof. Since $\Theta \star v = \tilde{V}\Theta$, with $\tilde{V}$ an analytic function over I^2 , then

$$\|v\|_\star^2(s) = \int_s^b \tilde{V}^H(\tau, s) \tilde{V}(\tau, s) d\tau,$$

is the classical norm of the function $\tilde{V}(\cdot, s)$ over the interval $[s, b]$. Thus Item 1. is trivial. Item 2. is true since $\tilde{V}(t, s) \equiv 0$ over I^2 if and only if $v \equiv 0$ over I^2 . Item 3. and 4. hold since $\Theta \star \alpha v = \alpha(\Theta \star v)$ and $\Theta \star (v + w) = \Theta \star v + \Theta \star w$. $\square$

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $Q(t, s)$ as in Corollary 3.2, then for every $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{N \times 1}(I)$, it holds*

$$\langle Q \star v, Q \star w \rangle_\star(s) = \langle Q^H \star v, Q^H \star w \rangle_\star(s) = \langle v, w \rangle_\star(s), \quad s \in [a, b],$$

that is Q and Q^H are unitary with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\star$ (note that $Q^H \neq Q^{\star H}$).

Proof. Recalling that $Q(t, s) = \delta'(t-s) \star Q(t) \Theta(t-s)$, we get $\Theta(t-s) \star Q(t, s) = \tilde{Q}(t) \Theta(t-s)$. Therefore,

$$\langle Q \star v, Q \star w \rangle_\star(s) = \left[(\tilde{Q} \Theta \star v)^{\star H} \star \tilde{Q} \Theta \star w \right] (s, s).$$

Now, denoting $\tilde{V}(t, s) \Theta(t-s) := \Theta(t-s) \star v(t, s)$ and $\tilde{W}(t, s) \Theta(t-s) := \Theta(t-s) \star w(t, s)$, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}(t) \Theta(t-s) \star v(t, s) &= \tilde{Q}(t) (\Theta(t-s) \star v(t, s)) = \tilde{Q}(t) \tilde{V}(t, s) \Theta(t-s), \\ \tilde{Q}(t) \Theta(t-s) \star w(t, s) &= \tilde{Q}(t) (\Theta(t-s) \star w(t, s)) = \tilde{Q}(t) \tilde{W}(t, s) \Theta(t-s). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(\tilde{Q} \Theta \star v)^{\star H} \star \tilde{Q} \Theta \star w \right] (s, s) &= \int_s^b \tilde{V}^H(\tau, s) \tilde{Q}^H(\tau) \tilde{Q}(\tau) \tilde{W}(\tau, s) d\tau \\ &= \int_s^b \tilde{V}^H(\tau, s) \tilde{W}(\tau, s) d\tau \\ &= \left[(\Theta \star v)^{\star H} \star \Theta \star w \right] (s, s) = \langle v, w \rangle_\star(s). \end{aligned}$$

Analogous arguments show that $\langle Q^H \star v, Q^H \star w \rangle_\star = \langle v, w \rangle_\star$. $\square$

Finally, given a matrix A so that $\Theta \star A \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{N \times N}(I)$, we define the *induced matrix $\star$ -norm* of A as

$$\|A\|_\star(s) := \sup_{v \neq 0: \Theta \star v \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{N \times 1}(I)} \frac{\|A \star v\|_\star(s)}{\|v\|_\star(s)}, \quad s \in [a, b]. \quad (3.5)$$

The term norm is again an abuse of notation. The following theorem explains in which sense $\|A\|_\star$ is a norm and provides several useful properties.

THEOREM 3.5 (Properties of the induced matrix $\star$ -norm). *Let A, B such that $\Theta \star A, \Theta \star B \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{N \times N}(I)$ with $I = [a, b]$. Then, $\|A\|_\star$ satisfies the following properties for every $s \in [a, b]$:*

1. $\|A\|_\star(s) \geq 0$;
2. $\|A\|_\star(s) \equiv 0$ if and only if $A \equiv 0$;
3. $\|\alpha A\|_\star(s) = \|\alpha \delta \star A\|_\star(s) = |\alpha| \|A\|_\star(s)$ for any scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;
4. $\|A + B\|_\star(s) \leq \|A\|_\star(s) + \|B\|_\star(s)$;
5. $\|A \star B\|_\star(s) \leq \|A\|_\star(s) \star \|B\|_\star(s)$.

Therefore, $\|\cdot\|_\star(s)$ is a sub-multiplicative matrix norm for every fixed $s \in [a, b]$.

Proof. Items 1–4 are corollaries of Theorem 3.3. Note that

$$\|A \star v\|_\star(s) = \frac{\|A \star v\|_\star(s)}{\|v\|_\star(s)} \|v\|_\star(s) \leq \|A\|_\star(s) \|v\|_\star(s), \quad s \in [a, b].$$

Therefore, $\|A \star B \star v\|_\star(s) \leq \|A\|_\star(s) \star \|B \star v\|_\star(s) \leq \|A\|_\star(s) \star \|B\|_\star(s)$, proving Item 5. $\square$

4. Approximation of the $\star$ -resolvent. Let $\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be a (constant) vector and the $A(t, s) = \tilde{A}(t)\Theta(t-s) \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{N \times N}(I)$ a matrix-valued function, with $\tilde{A}(t)$ Hermitian for $t \in I$ and $I = [a, b]$. We want to find the optimal $\star$ -polynomials of degree at most n approximating the $\star$ -resolvent $R^\star(A)\tilde{v}$ in the sense of the $\star$ -norm. That is, finding $q^\star \in \mathcal{P}_n^\star$ so that

$$\|R^\star(A)\tilde{v} - q^\star(A)\tilde{v}\|_\star(s) = \min_{p^\star \in \mathcal{P}_n^\star} \|R^\star(A)\tilde{v} - p^\star(A)\tilde{v}\|_\star(s), \quad s \in [a, b]. \quad (4.1)$$

By Corollary 3.2, $A(t, s) = Q(t, s) \star \Lambda(t, s) \star Q(t, s)^H$, where the diagonal elements of Λ are the $\star$ -eigenvalues $\lambda_j(t, s) = \tilde{\lambda}_j(t)\Theta(t-s)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Since $Q^H \star Q = I_\star$, then we have $A^{\star 2} = Q \star \Lambda \star Q^H \star Q \star \Lambda \star Q^H = Q \star \Lambda^{\star 2} \star Q^H$, and hence

$$A^{\star k} = Q \star \Lambda^{\star k} \star Q^H, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.2)$$

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $w = Q^H \star \tilde{v} \delta = Q^H \tilde{v}$. Then, the problem (4.1) is equivalent to finding the n -degree $\star$ -polynomial $q^\star$ such that*

$$\|R^\star(A)\tilde{v} - q^\star(A)\tilde{v}\|_\star(s) = \min_{p^\star \in \mathcal{P}_n^\star} \|R^\star(\Lambda) \star w - p^\star(\Lambda) \star w\|_\star(s), \quad s \in [a, b].$$

Moreover, for a fixed $s \in [a, b]$, the error can be bounded by

$$\frac{\|R^\star(A)\tilde{v} - q^\star(A)\tilde{v}\|_\star(s)}{\|\tilde{v} \delta\|_\star(s)} \leq \min_{p^\star \in \mathcal{P}_n^\star} \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \|R^\star(\lambda_i) - p^\star(\lambda_i)\|_\star(s), \quad s \in [a, b],$$

where $\lambda_1(t, s), \dots, \lambda_N(t, s)$ are the $\star$ -eigenvalues of $A(t, s)$.

Proof. Every $\star$ -polynomial can be expanded in the $\star$ -monomial basis, i.e., $p^\star(x) = \alpha_0\delta + \alpha_1x + \cdots + \alpha_nx^{\star n}$. Therefore, by using (4.2), we get

$$p^\star(A) = Q \star p^\star(\Lambda) \star Q^H, \quad R^\star(A) = Q \star R^\star(\Lambda) \star Q^H.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, for every fixed $s \in [a, b]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^\star(A)\tilde{v} - p^\star(A)\tilde{v}\|_\star(s) &= \|Q \star (R^\star(\Lambda) - p^\star(\Lambda)) \star Q^H \star \tilde{v}\delta\|_\star(s) \\ &= \|(R^\star(\Lambda) - p^\star(\Lambda)) \star Q^H \star \tilde{v}\delta\|_\star(s) \\ &\leq \|R^\star(\Lambda) - p^\star(\Lambda)\|_\star(s) \|Q^H \star \tilde{v}\delta\|_\star(s) \\ &\leq \|R^\star(\Lambda) - p^\star(\Lambda)\|_\star(s) \|\tilde{v}\delta\|_\star(s) \\ &\leq \max_{i=1,\dots,N} \|R^\star(\lambda_i) - p^\star(\lambda_i)\|_\star(s) \|\tilde{v}\delta\|_\star(s), \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. $\square$

Now we can prove the main result of this paper.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Denote $p^\star(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^{\star k}$. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 4.1, for $s \in [a, b]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^\star(\lambda_i) - p^\star(\lambda_i)\|_\star^2(s) &= \int_s^b \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(L_i(\tau, s))^k}{k!} - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \frac{(L_i(\tau, s))^k}{k!} \right|^2 d\tau \\ &= \int_s^b |\exp(L_i(\tau, s)) - p(L_i(\tau, s))|^2 d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $L_i(\cdot, s)$ is the primitive of $\tilde{\lambda}_i(\cdot)$ so that $L_i(s, s) = 0$ and $p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k!} t^k$ is a (usual) polynomial. Note that $L_i(\tau, s)$ is a real function with values in the interval

$$J_i(s) = \left[\min_{\tau \in [s, b]} L_i(\tau, s), \max_{\tau \in [s, b]} L_i(\tau, s) \right].$$

Therefore,

$$\|R^\star(\lambda_i) - p^\star(\lambda_i)\|_\star(s) \leq E_n(J_i(s)) \sqrt{(b-s)}.$$

Under the assumptions of the Theorem, the interval $J_i(s) \subset J$ for every $s \in [a, b]$. By the classical Bernstein's Theorem (see, e.g., [11, page 91]), there exist constant $M > 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$ such that

$$E_n(J) \sqrt{(b-a)} \leq M \rho^{n+1}.$$

Now, note that

$$\left(\int_s^b |U(\tau, s)\tilde{v} - (\Theta \star q^\star(A))(\tau, s)\tilde{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|R^\star(A)\tilde{v} - q^\star(A)\tilde{v}\|_\star(s) \|\tilde{v}\delta\|_\star(s).$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\int_s^b |U(\tau, s)\tilde{v} - (\Theta \star q^\star(A))(\tau, s)\tilde{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M \rho^{n+1} \|\tilde{v}\delta\|_\star(s).$$

Setting $s = a$ concludes the proof.

5. Conclusion. The results presented are a first step in the direction of a new approach for the analysis of $\star$ -product approximations of the solution of linear non-autonomous ordinary differential equations. The error analysis can affect the study of the related analytic expression and symbolic algorithms [5, 8] as well as their numerical counterparts [3] opening the way to the use of efficient Krylov subspace methods. Moreover, they can open the way to the analysis of the *localization* (or *decay*) phenomenon of the time-ordered exponential [2, 6] by extending the techniques presented, e.g., in [1]. Finally, future works will try to derive more refined bounds for the error than the one in Theorem 2.1. This will be possible by using more information on the eigecurves' behavior.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BENZI AND P. BOITO, *Decay properties for functions of matrices over C^* -algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., 456 (2014), pp. 174–198.
- [2] M. BENZI, V. SIMONCINI, EDITORS, *Exploiting hidden structure in matrix computations: Algorithms and applications*, Springer; 2016.
- [3] C. BONHOMME, S. POZZA, AND N. V. BUGGENHOUT, *A new fast numerical method for the generalized Rosen-Zener model*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04144, (2023).
- [4] L. DIECI AND T. EIROLA, *On smooth decompositions of matrices*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 20 (1999), pp. 800–19.
- [5] P.-L. GISCARD AND C. BONHOMME, *Dynamics of quantum systems driven by time-varying Hamiltonians: Solution for the Bloch-Siegert Hamiltonian and applications to NMR*, Phys. Rev. Research, 2 (2020), p. 023081.
- [6] P.-L. GISCARD, K. LUI, S. J. THWAITE, AND D. JAKSCH, *An exact formulation of the time-ordered exponential using path-sums*, J. Math. Phys., 56 (2015), p. 053503.
- [7] P.-L. GISCARD AND S. POZZA, *Lanczos-like algorithm for the time-ordered exponential: The $\star$ -inverse problem*, Appl. Math., 65 (2020), pp. 807–827.
- [8] P.-L. GISCARD AND S. POZZA, *A Lanczos-like method for non-autonomous linear ordinary differential equations*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., 16 (2023), pp. 81–102.
- [9] T. KATO, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Second ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [10] J. LIESEN AND Z. STRAKOS, *Krylov subspace methods: principles and analysis*, Oxford University Press, 2012.
- [11] G. MEINARDUS, *Approximation of Functions: Theory and Numerical Methods*, Springer Tracts Natur. Philos., vol. 13, Springer, New York, 1967.
- [12] S. POZZA, *A new closed-form expression for the solution of ODEs in a ring of distributions and its connection with the matrix algebra*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, to appear. arXiv:2302.11375 [math.NA]
- [13] S. POZZA AND N. VAN BUGGENHOUT, *A new Legendre polynomial-based approach for non-autonomous linear ODEs*, Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal., to appear. arXiv:2303.11284 [math.NA]
- [14] S. POZZA AND N. VAN BUGGENHOUT, *A new matrix equation expression for the solution of non-autonomous linear systems of ODEs*, Proc. Appl. Math. Mech., 22 (2023), p. e202200117.
- [15] ———, *A $\star$ -product solver with spectral accuracy for non-autonomous ordinary differential equations*, Proc. Appl. Math. Mech., 23 (2023), p. e202200050.
- [16] M. RYCKEBUSCH, *A Fréchet-Lie group on distributions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09037, (2023).