

Spin-spin correlators on the β/β^* boundaries in 2D Ising-like models: asymptotics of block Toeplitz determinants and “anomalous contributions” in the scaling region

Yizhuang Liu^a

^a*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Jagiellonian University, 30-348 Kraków, Poland*

E-mail: yizhuang.liu@uj.edu.pl

ABSTRACT: In this work, we investigate quantitative properties of correlation functions on the boundaries between two 2D Ising-like models with dual parameters β and β^* . Spin-spin correlators in such constructions without reflection symmetry with respect to transnational-invariant directions are usually represented as 2×2 block Toeplitz determinants which are normally significantly harder than the scalar (1×1 block) versions. Nevertheless, we show that for the specific β/β^* boundaries considered in this work, the symbol matrices allow explicit commutative Wiener-Hopf factorizations. As a result, the constants $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$ for the large n asymptotics allow simple explicit representations. However, the Wiener-Hopf factors at different z do not commute. We will show that due to this non-commutativity, “logarithmic divergences” in the Wiener-Hopf factors generate “anomalous contributions” in the re-scaled correlators.

Contents

1	The model and the correlator	1
2	Block Toeplitz determinants and their commutative Wiener-Hopf factorization	3
3	Asymptotics of block determinants and scaling limits of the correlator	6
4	Conclusion and comments	13
A	Calculation of $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$	16

1 The model and the correlator

We consider the following two systems. First, we introduce the 2D Ising model with the action

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\sigma) = & \beta \sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l+1} + \beta \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_{k,l} \sigma_{k+1,l} \\
& + \beta^* \sum_{k=-M}^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l+1} + \beta^* \sum_{k=-M}^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_{k,l} \sigma_{k+1,l} \\
& + \frac{\beta + \beta^*}{2} \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_{0,l} \sigma_{0,l+1} .
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here $e^{-2\beta} = \tanh \beta^*$. The periodic boundary condition is imposed on the horizontal direction (l) while the open boundary condition is imposed on the vertical direction (k). We are interested in the spin-spin correlator on the β/β^* boundary

$$\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_\beta = \lim_{N, M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\{\sigma\} \in \{-1,1\}^{N(2M+1)}} e^{S(\sigma)} \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n}}{\sum_{\{\sigma\} \in \{-1,1\}^{N(2M+1)}} e^{S(\sigma)}} . \tag{1.2}$$

Introducing the transfer matrix acting on $\otimes_{l=1}^N R^2$

$$\hat{T}(\beta) = e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^x \sigma_{l+1}^x} e^{-\beta^* \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^z} e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^x \sigma_{l+1}^x} , \tag{1.3}$$

and denote its charge-even “ground state” with $(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^+ \sigma_i^-} = 1$ as $|\Omega_\beta, N\rangle_+$, the correlator can be re-expressed as the following “quantum” average

$$\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_\beta = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{+\langle \Omega_\beta, N | \sigma_0^x \sigma_n^x | \Omega_{\beta^*}, N \rangle_+}{+\langle \Omega_\beta, N | \Omega_{\beta^*}, N \rangle_+} . \tag{1.4}$$

The second system we consider is the “transverse field Ising chain” with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(H) = - \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^x \sigma_{l+1}^x + H \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^z , \quad (1.5)$$

with the periodic boundary condition. Again denote the “charge even” ground state as $|\Omega_H, N\rangle_+$, one has the similar quantity

$$\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_H = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{+ \langle \Omega_H, N | \sigma_0^x \sigma_n^x | \Omega_{1/H}, N \rangle_+}{+ \langle \Omega_H, N | \Omega_{1/H}, N \rangle_+} . \quad (1.6)$$

Without losing generality, we chose $0 < H < 1$ and $\beta > \beta^* > 0$. It is not hard to show that these correlators are all given by 2×2 block Toeplitz determinants. For the Ising chain correlator in Eq. (1.6), one introduces the 2×2 matrix a with

$$a_{11}(z) = a_{22}(z) = \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \alpha} \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} , \quad (1.7)$$

$$a_{12}(z) = \frac{2\sqrt{1 - \alpha z}\sqrt{1 - \alpha z^{-1}}}{(1 + \alpha)(1 - z)} , a_{21}(z) = z a_{12}(z) , \quad (1.8)$$

where $0 < \alpha = H < 1$. For the Ising model correlator, the a_{11} and a_{22} are the same with the identification $\alpha = e^{-2(\beta - \beta^*)} < 1$, but the a_{12} and a_{21} require the following modifications

$$\tilde{a}_{12}(z) = \frac{2\sqrt{1 - \alpha z}\sqrt{1 - \alpha z^{-1}}}{(1 + \alpha)(1 - z)} \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha_1 z}{1 - \alpha_1 z^{-1}}} , \quad (1.9)$$

$$\tilde{a}_{21}(z) = \frac{2z\sqrt{1 - \alpha z}\sqrt{1 - \alpha z^{-1}}}{(1 + \alpha)(1 - z)} \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha_1 z^{-1}}{1 - \alpha_1 z}} , \quad (1.10)$$

where $\alpha_1 = e^{-2(\beta + \beta^*)} < \alpha < 1$. As expected, the Toeplitz symbol for the 2D Ising model is slightly more complicated.

Naively, in the “massive scaling limit” $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ with $r = n(1 - \alpha)$ fixed [1], one expects that the scaling function, if exists, should be controlled by the behavior of the Toeplitz symbols near $z = 1$. Since α_1 remains far away from $z = 1$ even at $\beta = \beta^*$, one expects that the additional square roots involving α_1 should play no role in the “scaling function”. Moreover, since $z \approx 1$ in the scaling region, one may even hope that the z factor in the relationship $a_{21} = z a_{12}$ can also be approximated by 1 at the very beginning. It is the purpose of this work to perform a throughout investigation of the correlators Eq. (1.2) and Eq. (1.6), especially in the scaling region. We will show that the second hope is incorrect, while the first hope is consistent with our results but in a rather non-trivial manner. More precisely, the major results of this work are summarized as:

1. In the large n limit, one has

$$\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_H^2 \rightarrow E(a) \neq 0 , \quad (1.11)$$

$$\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_\beta^2 \rightarrow E(\tilde{a}) \neq 0 . \quad (1.12)$$

The constants $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$ scale as $\sqrt{1-\alpha}$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$:

$$E(a) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{7\zeta_3}{2\pi^2}}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$E(\tilde{a}) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha_1}{1-\alpha_1}} \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{7\zeta_3}{2\pi^2}}. \quad (1.14)$$

In particular, the ratio $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{E(a)}{E(\tilde{a})}$ remains the same as the homogeneous models.

2. The scaling functions

$$F_H^2(r) \equiv \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_H^2}{E(a)} \Big|_{n=r(1-\alpha)^{-1}}, \quad (1.15)$$

and

$$F_\beta^2(r) \equiv \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_\beta^2}{E(\tilde{a})} \Big|_{n=r(1-\alpha)^{-1}}, \quad (1.16)$$

exit and equal to each other, at least to the second order in the exponential form factor expansions. In particular, their leading large r asymptotics are

$$F_H^2(r) - 1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-r}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(e^{-\frac{4G}{\pi}} + e^{\frac{4G}{\pi}} \right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right), \quad (1.17)$$

$$F_\beta^2(r) - 1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-r}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(e^{-\frac{4G}{\pi}} + e^{\frac{4G}{\pi}} \right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right). \quad (1.18)$$

Here $G = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2}$ is the Catalan's constant.

3. The $e^{\frac{4G}{\pi}}$ terms above shown in red are generated in a rather subtle manner through some form of “anomaly-mechanism” caused by non-commutativity of Wiener-Hopf factors that amplifies the would be “power-corrections” in $z-1$ in the scaling region. In particular, this shows that for $a_{21} = za_{12}$, one can not set $z=1$ at the beginning and proceed with $a_{21} = a_{12}$. On the other hand, although the scaling functions in the two formulations are still the same at least to the order we reached, this is also realized in a rather subtle manner. It requires very specific relations between the non-universal constants in the Wiener-Hopf factors and the coefficients of the anomalous terms.

2 Block Toeplitz determinants and their commutative Wiener-Hopf factorization

More precisely, due to the fact that the ground states $|\Omega_H, N\rangle_+$ and $|\Omega_{1/H}, N\rangle_+$ in the Eq. (1.6) are all “free” in the sense that their wave functions in the fermionic coherent states are all exponential functions of quadratic forms, the Eq. (1.6) can still be calculated

as a Pfaffian in terms of the “fermionic two point functions”. Straightforward calculations lead to

$$\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_H^2 = D_n(\hat{a}) = \det T_n(\hat{a}) , \quad (2.1)$$

where $T_n(\hat{a}) = P_n T(\hat{a}) P_n$ is the semi-infinite Toeplitz operator $\hat{a}_{ij} \equiv \hat{a}_{i-j}$ projected to the upper-left $n \times n$ entries. The $\hat{a}_{i-j}$ is defined as

$$\hat{a}_{i-j} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{PV} \oint_{C_1} \frac{dz}{z} z^{i-j} a(z) , \quad (2.2)$$

where C_η denotes the circle with radius η , and one has the principal value prescription for the pole at $z = 1$. Given the principal value prescription, the $T_n(\hat{a})$ is actually anti-symmetric. This is manifest since $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is anti-symmetric under $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$ (we use $z = e^{i\theta}$), while

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{j-i;12} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{PV} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \frac{2\sqrt{(1-\alpha e^{i\theta})(1-\alpha e^{-i\theta})}}{(1+\alpha)(1-e^{i\theta})} e^{i(j-i)\theta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{PV} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \frac{2e^{i\theta} \sqrt{(1-\alpha e^{i\theta})(1-\alpha e^{-i\theta})}}{(1+\alpha)(1-e^{i\theta})} e^{i(i-j)\theta} \equiv -\hat{a}_{i-j;21} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Notice that for $\alpha = 1$, the a_{11} and a_{22} all vanish, and the block determinant factorizes into a product of two identical Toeplitz determinants for the homogeneous model at the critical parameter.

For $\alpha \neq 1$, the presence of the principal value is not convenient for the following analysis. To facilitate the analysis, one introduces the matrix

$$a_{i-j} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\eta} \frac{dz}{z} z^{i-j} a(z) \equiv \frac{\eta^{i-j}}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta e^{i\theta(i-j)} a(\eta e^{i\theta}) , \quad (2.4)$$

with the integration path chosen to be along a circle C_η with radius $\alpha < \eta < 1$. Clearly, the matrix $a(z)$ is analytic and has determinant 1 within this region. The motivation of introducing the block matrix a_{i-j} is, for all $i-j \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can write

$$a_{i-j} = \hat{a}_{i-j} + \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} v v^T , \quad (2.5)$$

with $v^T = (1, 1)$ is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Now, introducing the vector $v_n = \otimes_{k=1}^n v$, one has

$$T_n(a) = T_n(\hat{a}) + \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} v_n v_n^T . \quad (2.6)$$

The point is, if $T_n(\hat{a})$ is invertible, then due to the antisymmetry of $T_n(\hat{a})$, it is easy to show that

$$D_n(\hat{a}) = \det T_n(\hat{a}) = D_n(a) = \det T_n(a) . \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, if $\hat{T}_n(a)$ is not invertible, then its rank can at most be $2n - 2$ and adding an operator with rank 1 will never make it invertible. Given the above, Eq. (2.7) is always true, and the task of calculating D_n then reduces to the block determinant with symbol a_{i-j} . Notice that the construction above holds for the 2D Ising model with the symbol matrix $\tilde{a}$ as well. In particular, one has

$$\langle \sigma_{00} \sigma_{0n} \rangle_\beta^2 = D_n(\tilde{a}) = \det T_n(\tilde{a}) , \quad (2.8)$$

where $D_n(\tilde{a})$ is defined in the same way as Eq. (2.4) with $a(z)$ replaced by the $\tilde{a}(z)$.

Now, we introduce the polynomial matrix for the Ising chain

$$J(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (2.9)$$

and for the Ising model

$$\tilde{J}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 - \alpha_1 z \\ z - \alpha_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.10)$$

The crucial fact is , the matrices a and $\tilde{a}$ allow the exponentiation

$$a = \exp \left(J(z) \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{2\sqrt{z}\sqrt{(1-\alpha z)(1-\alpha z^{-1})}}{(1-\alpha)(z+1)} \right) , \quad (2.11)$$

$$\tilde{a} = \exp \left(\tilde{J}(z) \times \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_1 z)(1 - \alpha_1 z^{-1})}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{2\sqrt{z}\sqrt{(1-\alpha z)(1-\alpha z^{-1})}}{(1-\alpha)(z+1)} \right) , \quad (2.12)$$

where $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$ with $-\pi < \theta < \pi$, $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{|z|}e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}$ and the logarithm in the arctanh is defined with the principal branch. Notice that although there is a $\sqrt{z}$ in the definition, the functions

$$f(z, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{2\sqrt{z}\sqrt{(1-\alpha z)(1-\alpha z^{-1})}}{(1-\alpha)(z+1)} , \quad (2.13)$$

$$\tilde{f}(z, \alpha, \alpha_1) = \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_1 z)(1 - \alpha_1 z^{-1})}} f(z, \alpha) , \quad (2.14)$$

are in fact analytic in the region $\alpha < |z| < 1$. Moreover, $f(z, \alpha)$ can be analytically continued outside the unit circle with branch cut singularity along the real axis in $(1, \infty)$ and $(0, \alpha)$. The simplest way to see this is to use the representation in $\alpha < |z| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z, \alpha) = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)(z+1)}{2\sqrt{z}\sqrt{(1-\alpha z)(1-\alpha z^{-1})}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \ln \frac{2\sqrt{z}\sqrt{(1-\alpha z)(1-\alpha z^{-1})}}{(1-\alpha)(z+1)} \\ & - \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \ln \frac{(1+\alpha)(1-z)}{(1-\alpha)(1+z)} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

from which the analytic continuation to the outside can be performed with the princi-

pal branch for all the logarithms and square roots. In particular, there is no singularity in the negative real axis. The above implies the existence of the additive Wiener-Hopf factorization for $\alpha < \eta < 1$

$$f^\pm(z, \alpha) = \frac{\mp}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\eta} dz' \frac{f(z', \alpha)}{z - z'} , \quad (2.16)$$

$$\tilde{f}^\pm(z, \alpha, \alpha_1) = \frac{\mp}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\eta} dz' \frac{\tilde{f}(z', \alpha, \alpha_1)}{z - z'} , \quad (2.17)$$

which are actually η -independent and analytic respectively in the regions $|z| < 1$ (for f^+) and $|z| > \alpha$ (for f^-). The equalities

$$f(z, \alpha) = f^+(z, \alpha) + f^-(z, \alpha) , \quad (2.18)$$

$$\tilde{f}(z, \alpha, \alpha_1) = \tilde{f}^+(z, \alpha, \alpha_1) + \tilde{f}^-(z, \alpha, \alpha_1) , \quad (2.19)$$

hold within the region $\alpha < |z| < 1$. Also notice that f^- and $\tilde{f}^-$ decay at infinity at the speed $\frac{1}{z}$.

Given the above and due to the polynomial nature of $J(z)$ and $\tilde{J}(z)$, one obtains the commutative Wiener-Hopf factorization [2] for the symbol matrix a

$$a(z) = \phi_+(z)\phi_-(z) = \phi_-(z)\phi_+(z) , \quad (2.20)$$

$$\phi_\pm(z) = \exp \left(J(z)f^\pm(z, \alpha) \right) , \quad (2.21)$$

and similarly for the symbol matrix $\tilde{a}$

$$\tilde{a}(z) = \tilde{\phi}_+(z)\tilde{\phi}_-(z) = \tilde{\phi}_-(z)\tilde{\phi}_+(z) , \quad (2.22)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_\pm(z) = \exp \left(\tilde{J}(z)\tilde{f}^\pm(z, \alpha, \alpha_1) \right) . \quad (2.23)$$

Clearly, $\phi_\pm$ and $\tilde{\phi}_\pm$ are analytic in the regions $|z| < 1$ (for $+$) or $|z| > \alpha$ (for $-$), and ϕ_- , $\tilde{\phi}_-$ and their inverses remain bounded as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, at $z = 0$ or $z = \infty$, $\phi_\pm(z)$ are upper or lower triangle matrices with diagonal elements all equals to 1, and $\tilde{\phi}_\pm(z)$ are also constant matrices with unit determinants. The above essentially determines the $\phi_\pm$ and $\tilde{\phi}_\pm$ in the left or right decompositions up to constant matrices $\phi_+ \rightarrow \phi_+ L$, $\phi_- \rightarrow L^{-1} \phi_-$ for the $+-$ left decomposition, and $\phi_+ \rightarrow R \phi_+$, $\phi_- \rightarrow \phi_- R^{-1}$ for the $-+$ right decomposition. We should note that although the factors $\phi_\pm$ commute at the same z , they still do not commute at different z . As we will show later, this has important consequences.

3 Asymptotics of block determinants and scaling limits of the correlator

Given the above, we return to the correlator Eq. (2.1). As known in the literature [3, 4], the presence of Wiener-Hopf for a and $\tilde{a}$ with bounded $\phi_\pm$, $\phi_\pm^{-1}$, $\tilde{\phi}_\pm$, $\tilde{\phi}_\pm^{-1}$ implies that $T(a_\eta)$, $T(a_\eta^{-1})$, $T(\tilde{a}_\eta)$, $T(\tilde{a}_\eta^{-1})$ are all invertible, where $a_\eta(z) = a(\eta z)$ with $\alpha < \eta < 1$. As a result,

in the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit one always has

$$D_n(a) \equiv D_n(a_\eta) \rightarrow E(a) \equiv \det T(a_\eta)T(a_\eta^{-1}) \neq 0 , \quad (3.1)$$

$$D_n(\tilde{a}) \equiv D_n(\tilde{a}_\eta) \rightarrow E(\tilde{a}) \equiv \det T(\tilde{a}_\eta)T(\tilde{a}_\eta^{-1}) \neq 0 . \quad (3.2)$$

Notice that $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$ are clearly η independent. The above is consistent with the physical expectation that the magnetization should be non-vanishing on the β/β^* boundary. In fact, for the Ising model given by Eq. (1.1), due to the fact that $\beta_c < \frac{\beta+\beta^*}{2} < \beta$ and $\beta^* > 0$, the magnetization on the $k=0$ row is bounded from below by the magnetization on the $\frac{\beta+\beta^*}{2}/0$ boundary, which is just the standard boundary magnetization for a $T < T_c$ homogeneous 2D Ising model and is well known to be non-vanishing [5]. More precisely, we show in the Appendix. A that in a way similar to the strong Szegő's theorem [6] for scalar symbols, one still has the following compact expressions in terms of scalar functions

$$\ln E(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) f_{-k} f_{k+1} , \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ln E(\tilde{a}) = & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) \tilde{f}_{-k} \tilde{f}_{k+1} \\ & + \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1-\alpha_1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{-k} \left((2k+1) \tilde{f}_{k+1} - k \tilde{f}_k - (k+1) \tilde{f}_{k+2} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Given the above, one can show that in the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limit, $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$ all scale as $\sqrt{1-\alpha}$. In particular, in the Appendix. A we show that one has the following leading asymptotics

$$E(a) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{7\zeta_3}{2\pi^2}} , \quad (3.5)$$

$$E(\tilde{a}) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha_1}{1-\alpha_1}} \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{7\zeta_3}{2\pi^2}} . \quad (3.6)$$

The above should be compared with the $\sqrt{2(1-\alpha)}$ of the homogeneous Ising chain and the $\sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha_1}{1-\alpha_1}} \sqrt{2(1-\alpha)}$ of the homogeneous Ising model.

Now, for finite n one has the BOCG-identity which represents the finite- n corrections as Fredholm determinants [7, 8]

$$D_n(a) = E(a) \det (1 - \mathcal{K}_\eta) , \quad (3.7)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_\eta$ is an operator acting on the $l^2(\{n, n+1, \dots\} \otimes R^2)$ with matrix elements ($\alpha < \eta < 1$)

$$\mathcal{K}_\eta(i, j) = \eta^{-i} K(i, j) \eta^j, \quad (3.8)$$

$$K(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\phi_+}{\phi_-} \right)_{i+k} \left(\frac{\phi_-}{\phi_+} \right)_{-j-k}, \quad (3.9)$$

which are well defined due to the fact that ϕ_+ and ϕ_- commute for any given z . The same holds for the $D_n(\tilde{a})$ for which the overall constant is defined with $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{a}^{-1}$, and K is replaced by $\tilde{K}$ defined with $\tilde{\phi}_\pm$. Notice that for more general left and right decompositions with L and R , the kernel needs to be expressed in a way that distinguishes the left and right decompositions, but the determinant remains the same. Also notice that the η dependency in $\mathcal{K}_\eta$ is simply to guarantee the boundness of the operator $\mathcal{K}_\eta$. Neither the determinant $\det(1 - \mathcal{K}_\eta)$ nor the traces $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{K}_\eta^l)$ ($l \geq 1$) depend on η . In fact, the matrix elements $K(i, j)$ decay at large i at the exponential speed α^i , implying $\text{Tr}(K^l)$ defined in terms of infinite sums

$$\text{Tr}(K^l) \equiv \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l=n}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(K(i_1, i_2) K(i_2, i_3) \dots K(i_l, i_1)), \quad (3.10)$$

are all finite. Moreover, one always has $\text{Tr}(K^l) \equiv \text{Tr}(\mathcal{K}_\eta^l)$. Thus, the $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{K}_\eta^l)$ -based exponential form factor expansion [1, 9] can be performed based on $\text{Tr}(K^l)$ in a manifestly η -independent manner.

We now investigate the scaling limit of the correlator, defined as $n = r(1 - \alpha)^{-1}$ with r fixed, while $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$. As the cases of homogeneous Ising models, one expects the overall factors $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$ contain all the “UV singularities” in the scaling limit, while the $\det(1 - \mathcal{K}_\eta)$, $\det(1 - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\eta)$ should allow scaling limits at the level of the exponential form factor expansion in terms of $\text{tr}(K^l)$ and $\text{tr}(\tilde{K}^l)$, provided that the scaling limits of $\phi_\pm$ and $\tilde{\phi}_\pm$ are not “very singular”. To proceed, one must understand the behavior of

$$f^\pm(z, \alpha) = \frac{\mp}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\eta} dz' \frac{f(z', \alpha)}{z - z'}, \quad (3.11)$$

with

$$f(z, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \text{arctanh} \frac{2\sqrt{z} \sqrt{(1 - \alpha z)(1 - \alpha z^{-1})}}{(1 - \alpha)(z + 1)}, \quad (3.12)$$

in the scaling region, and similarly for $\tilde{f}(z, \alpha, \alpha_1)$. In parallel to the scaling limit in the coordinate space, we also introduce $z = e^{i(1-\alpha)p}$ where the p plays the role of the “momentum” in the scaling region and is kept finite as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. Clearly, the upper and lower half-planes in p correspond to the $|z| < 1$ and $|z| > 1$ regions.

To present the result, we introduce the function

$$C(p) = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^2 + 1}} , \quad (3.13)$$

$$C(p) = C_+(p)C_-(p) . \quad (3.14)$$

Here C_+ is analytic in the upper half-plane and C_- is analytic in the lower half-plane. They are given by

$$\ln C_{\pm}(p) = \mp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp'}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{p - p' \pm i0} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(p')^2 + 1}} \right) . \quad (3.15)$$

Now, to simplify the expression for $C_+(p)$, we notice that for $\Im p > 0$ the p' can be deformed to the lower half-plane to obtain

$$\ln C_+(p) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} dt \frac{1}{p - it} \text{Disc} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(it)^2 + 1}} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^{\infty} dt \frac{\arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 - 1}}}{-ip + t} . \quad (3.16)$$

Similarly, for the C_- one simply flips $p \rightarrow -p$. They are all finite and bounded functions in the corresponding half-planes. In fact, in the large p limits, one has the Mellin's representation

$$\ln C_{\pm}(p) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds \mathcal{M}(s) (\mp ip)^{-s} , \quad 0 < c < 1 , \quad (3.17)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(s) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1)}{2s^2 \Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2}) \cos^2 \frac{\pi s}{2}} + \frac{\pi}{2s \sin \pi s} . \quad (3.18)$$

Now, there is a series of double-poles at $s = 2k + 1$, $k \geq 0$, which leads to $\frac{1}{p^{2k+1}} \ln p$ asymptotics in the large p limit, and another series of single-poles at $s = 2k$, $k \geq 1$, which leads to $\frac{1}{p^{2k}}$ asymptotics. In particular, this implies that the $C_{\pm}(p)$ are bounded in the upper and lower half-planes and approach 1 in the large p limits.

Given the above, one can state the results of the leading asymptotics of $f^{\pm}$ in the scaling region:

$$f^+(e^{i(1-\alpha)p}, \alpha) \rightarrow \ln C_+(p) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - ip) - \ln(-ip) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \alpha) + A , \quad (3.19)$$

$$f^-(e^{i(1-\alpha)p}, \alpha) \rightarrow \ln C_-(p) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + ip) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \alpha) - A , \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$A = \ln 2 , \quad (3.21)$$

is a *non-universal* constant due to short distance contributions, and the remainder terms are bounded by $\sqrt{1 - \alpha}$ in the scaling region. Notice that as expected, the f^+ is analytic in the upper half-plane, while f^- is analytic in the region $\Im(p) < 1$. The same holds also

for the $\tilde{f}^\pm$ with a different constant $\tilde{A}$

$$\tilde{A} = A - \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \frac{\sqrt{-e^{-i\theta}} (\sqrt{6 - 2 \cos \theta} - 2) e^{i\theta}}{(-1 + e^{i\theta}) \sqrt{6 - 2 \cos \theta}} \equiv \frac{3}{4} \ln 2. \quad (3.22)$$

To obtain this result, we have used the fact that $\beta_c = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \sqrt{2})$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{3+2\sqrt{2}}$ at $\beta = \beta_c$. From the above, one has the important relation $e^{4A} = 2e^{4\tilde{A}}$ which will be used later.

Since the constants A and $\tilde{A}$ are crucial, here we explain how they can be derived. The simplest way is to use the explicit representations in Eq. (A.21) and Eq. (A.22) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^+(z, \alpha) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k f_{-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}(1-zx)} \arctan \frac{(1-\alpha)(1+x)}{2\sqrt{(1-\alpha x)(\alpha-x)}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2-\alpha^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{2k+1} z^k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^-(z, \alpha) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_{k+1}}{z^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}(z-x)} \arctan \frac{(1-\alpha)(1+x)}{2\sqrt{(1-\alpha x)(\alpha-x)}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{2k+1} \frac{1}{z^{k+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

From the above, it is clear that f^+ has the natural analyticity region $|z| < 1$, while f^- has the natural analyticity region $|z| > \alpha$. In the scaling region $z \approx 1 + i(1-\alpha)p$, the integrals involving the arctan functions are clearly dominated by the scaling region $x \approx 1 - (1-\alpha)t$ and converges to $\ln C_\pm(p)$, while the sums over k can be explicitly performed and expanded to obtained the constant $A = \ln 2$. For $\tilde{f}$, one can write $\tilde{f} = f + (\tilde{f} - f)$ and notice that the scaling region in $\tilde{f} - f$ has been suppressed. In particular, in the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limit one has

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_{1-}} \frac{dz'}{1-z'} \left(\tilde{f}(z', \alpha, \alpha_1) - f(z', \alpha) \right) \\ & \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \frac{\sqrt{-e^{-i\theta}} (\sqrt{6 - 2 \cos \theta} - 2) e^{i\theta}}{(-1 + e^{i\theta}) \sqrt{6 - 2 \cos \theta}} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \ln 2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

which is nothing but the difference $\tilde{A} - A$ between the constants. Alternatively, the constants A and $\tilde{A}$ can also be derived by introducing the parameterization $z' = e^{i(1-\alpha)(i\epsilon+p')}$ and splitting the dp' integrals into the scaling region $|p'| < \mu$ and the “UV region” $\mu < |p'| < \frac{\pi}{1-\alpha}$ with $1 \ll \mu \ll \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$. Then, in the scaling region one approximates using the scaling limits of the integrands, while in the UV part one approximates by setting $z = 1$ and using the limiting function $f \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{1}{z}}$ away from the scaling region. It is not hard to show that at the leading power, the $\ln \mu$ dependencies from the two regions cancels, and the constants $A, \tilde{A}$ obtained this way agree with the first method based on the explicit

representations Eq. (3.23) and Eq. (3.24).

Now, after investigating in details the Wiener-Hopf factors, one moves back to the Fredholm determinant $\det(1 - \mathcal{K}_\eta)$. By shifting the contours of the $\left(\frac{\phi_+}{\phi_-}\right)_{i+k}$ and $\left(\frac{\phi_-}{\phi_+}\right)_{-j-k}$ factors (as Fourier components, they are defined through integrals) inside or outside the region $\alpha < |z| < 1$ and picking up the singularities, one obtains the combinations ($z = e^{\mp(1-\alpha)t}$) in order to evaluate the $\text{Tr}(K)$

$$\text{Disc} \frac{\phi_+^2(it_1)}{a(it_1)} \text{Disc} \frac{\phi_-^2(-it_2)}{a(-it_2)}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\text{Disc} \frac{\phi_+^2(it_1)}{a(it_1)} \text{Res} \frac{\phi_-^2(-it_2)}{a(-it_2)}. \quad (3.27)$$

Notice that to perform the analytic continuation, it is convenient to write $\frac{\phi_+}{\phi_-} = \frac{\phi_+^2}{a}$ and $\frac{\phi_-}{\phi_+} = \frac{\phi_-^2}{a}$ in the analyticity domain $\alpha < |z| < 1$. Then, since ϕ_+ and ϕ_- themselves are analytic inside or outside, singularities inside or outside can be read directly from $a^{-1} \equiv a_{11}1 - a_{12}J$. Due to the fact that a^{-1} has a pole at $t_2 = 0^+$, the combination $\text{Disc} \frac{\phi_+^2(it_1)}{a(it_1)} \text{Res} \frac{\phi_-^2(-it_2)}{a(-it_2)}$ leads to the leading exponential decay. Naively, one expects that in the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limit, the $J(e^{\pm(1-\alpha)t}) \rightarrow \sigma_x$ and one can simply reduce all the matrices into the form $e^{f\sigma_x}$. However, due to the presence of $\ln(1-\alpha)$ in the $f^\pm$, the matrix $J(e^{\pm(1-\alpha)t})$ can not be replaced by the σ_x at the beginning, since the $\ln(1-\alpha)$ term, after exponentiation, can be amplified.

In fact, by taking the trace first and then taking the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limit, one can show that in the scaling region $t = \mathcal{O}(1)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{i}{2\pi t} \text{Tr} \left(\text{Disc}_{t \geq 1} \frac{\phi_+^2(it)}{a(it)} \times \text{Res}_{t_2=0^+} \frac{\phi_-^2(-it_2)}{a(-it_2)} \right) \Big|_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{t-1}}{\sqrt{t+1}} \frac{1}{C_+^2(it)} - \frac{e^{4A}}{16\pi t^2} (t+1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{t-1} C_+^2(it), \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where the second term depends explicitly on the non-universal constant A ! Thus, due to the “anomaly mechanism” which amplifies the “would-be power corrections” in $1-\alpha$ from $e^{(1-\alpha)t} - 1$ through the exponentiation of the $\ln(1-\alpha)$ terms, non-universal short distance contributions have been promoted to the leading power. More generally, one has the formula in the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limit

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left(e^{(-\ln(1-\alpha)+f_1)J(e^{-(1-\alpha)t_1})} e^{(\ln(1-\alpha)+f_2)J(e^{(1-\alpha)t_2})} \right) \\ & \rightarrow e^{f_1+f_2} + e^{-f_1-f_2} - \frac{1}{16} e^{f_1-f_2} (t_1+t_2)^2 + \mathcal{O}((1-\alpha)\ln(1-\alpha))(e^{\pm f_1}, e^{\pm f_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where the error terms are all regular functions in f_1 and f_2 . Clearly, the first two terms correspond to the naive scaling limit in which one replaces $J = \sigma^x$ at the very beginning, while the third term shown in red corresponds to the “anomalous contribution”. From the above, it is also clear that the power corrections in f_1 and f_2 remain power corrections

after the matrix exponentiation and will not be enhanced further through infinitely many logarithms. The anomalous contribution is mainly due to the non-commutativity of the polynomial matrices $J(e^{-(1-\alpha)t_1})$ and $J(e^{(1-\alpha)t_2})$. At $t_1 = -t_2$, the two matrices commute, and the anomalous contributions vanish. As such, this “anomalous” contribution is a unique feature of block determinants. Similarly, for the Ising model’s case one also has

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left(e^{(-\ln(1-\alpha)+\tilde{f}_1)\tilde{J}(e^{-(1-\alpha)t_1})} e^{(\ln(1-\alpha)+\tilde{f}_2)\tilde{J}(e^{(1-\alpha)t_2})} \right) \\ & \rightarrow e^{\tilde{f}_1+\tilde{f}_2} + e^{-\tilde{f}_1-\tilde{f}_2} - \frac{1}{8} e^{\tilde{f}_1-\tilde{f}_2} (t_1+t_2)^2 + \mathcal{O}((1-\alpha)\ln(1-\alpha))(e^{\pm\tilde{f}_1}, e^{\pm\tilde{f}_2}) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

with the anomalous term shown in red. To obtain this result, we have used the explicit definition of $\alpha_1 = e^{-2(\beta+\beta^*)}$ to express α_1 as a function of α in order to expand. Notice that the anomalous terms for the two models shown in red differ by a factor of two.

Now, given the crucial formula Eq. (3.28) and due to the fact that the none scaling region $t \gg 1$ is exponentially suppressed by the e^{-tr} factors, one obtains, after summing over k and performing the trace in i, j , the leading large r asymptotics of the “scaling function”

$$F_H^2(r) \equiv \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{D_n(a)}{E(a)} \Big|_{n=r(1-\alpha)^{-1}} , \quad (3.31)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} F_H^2(r) \rightarrow & 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\sqrt{t-1}}{\sqrt{t+1}} \frac{1}{C_+^2(it)} e^{-tr} \\ & + \frac{e^{4A}}{16\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t^3} (t+1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{t-1} C_+^2(it) e^{-tr} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2r}) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Similarly, for the Ising model’s version, the scaling function defiend as

$$F_\beta^2(r) \equiv \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{D_n(\tilde{a})}{E(\tilde{a})} \Big|_{n=r(1-\alpha)^{-1}} , \quad (3.33)$$

has the following large r asymptotics

$$\begin{aligned} F_\beta^2(r) \rightarrow & 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\sqrt{t-1}}{\sqrt{t+1}} \frac{1}{C_+^2(it)} e^{-tr} \\ & + \frac{e^{4\tilde{A}}}{8\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t^3} (t+1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{t-1} C_+^2(it) e^{-tr} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2r}) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

One must notice that despite the explicit presence of the non-universal constants A and $\tilde{A}$ in the scaling functions, since $e^{4A-4\tilde{A}} = e^{4\ln 2 - 3\ln 2} \equiv 2$, the “scaling functions” in the two formulations are still equal, at least to the order we reached. By expanding the integrands

around $t = 1$, one obtains the leading asymptotics

$$F_H^2(r) - 1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-r}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{C_+^2(i)} + 4C_+^2(i) \right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right), \quad (3.35)$$

$$F_\beta^2(r) - 1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-r}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{C_+^2(i)} + 4C_+^2(i) \right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right). \quad (3.36)$$

Furthermore, the number $C_+(i)$ is

$$\ln C_+(i) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^\infty dt \frac{\arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2-1}}}{t+1} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} (4G - \pi \ln 2), \quad (3.37)$$

where $G = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2}$ is the Catalan's constant. The above serve as the major results of this work. Notice that the $\frac{e^{-r}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ form of the leading exponential tail is qualitatively the same as on the $\beta/0$ boundaries with $\beta > \beta_c$ [5] and should hold for all such boundaries between $\beta_1 > \beta_c$ and $\beta_2 < \beta_c$.

4 Conclusion and comments

In this work, based on explicit Wiener-Hopf factorization of the symbol matrices, we managed to perform exact analysis of the correlation functions Eq. (1.2) and Eq. (1.6) and study their quantitative properties in the scaling region. Before ending this work, let's make the following comments:

1. We must emphasize that the “anomalous-contribution” is due to the non-commutativity of the Wiener-Hopf factors at different arguments, which can be probed at the level of the Fredholm kernels K , $\tilde{K}$ and their traces. However, the “one-point functions” $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$ are still controlled by the Wiener-Hopf factors and their derivatives at the same z , see Eq. (A.1). In principle, due to the presence of derivatives, there is still a chance to see the “anomalous contributions” in the one-point functions if the $e^{f^\pm J}$ factors fail to cancel completely, but the crucial formulas Eq. (A.5) and Eq. (A.28) forbid this scenario. As a result, $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$ are still controlled by the scalar functions in Eq. (3.3) and Eq. (3.4) in a way similar to scalar symbols. Due to this, they fail to see the “anomalous contributions”.
2. Due to the representations in Eq. (1.4) and Eq. (1.6), these correlators can be regarded as the most natural candidates for the microscopic construction of the “Sine-Gordon” correlator (with free fermion parameters)

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_{-m} | \sin \frac{\Phi(r)}{2} \sin \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} | \Omega_m \rangle}{\langle \Omega_{-m} | \Omega_m \rangle}, \quad (4.1)$$

between two ground states with $+m$ and $-m$ of the free-fermion masses. Naively, one may argue that since the Sine-Gordon theories with $\pm m$ share the common UV limit and differ only in the IR, such a correlator should exist in the continuum limit.

However, the very subtle nature for two of the most natural microscopic constructions found in this work, especially the explicit e^{4A} and $e^{4\tilde{A}}$ dependencies should be regarded as a warning sign to such simple arguments. Due to this, it is interesting to see if one can construct directly the correlator Eq. (4.1) in the continuum and if so, how the results compare with our scaling functions.

3. Notice that at the level of $\text{tr}(K)$ and $\text{tr}(\tilde{K})$, although the $(1-\alpha)$ dependencies in the $J(e^{\pm(1-\alpha)t})$ and $\tilde{J}(e^{\pm(1-\alpha)t})$ can not be thrown away at the very beginning and leads to “anomalous-contributions” proportional to t^2 , the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limits of the traces still exist. At $l = 2$, we have verified that the scaling limit of $\text{tr}(K^2)$ and $\text{tr}(\tilde{K}^2)$ exists as well. In fact, we have the following $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limits

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left(e^{(-\ln(1-\alpha)+f_1)J(e^{-(1-\alpha)t_1})} e^{(\ln(1-\alpha)+f_2)J(e^{(1-\alpha)t_2})} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. e^{(-\ln(1-\alpha)+f_3)J(e^{-(1-\alpha)t_3})} e^{(\ln(1-\alpha)+f_4)J(e^{(1-\alpha)t_4})} \right) \\ & \rightarrow e^{f_{1234}} + e^{-f_{1234}} - \frac{e^{f_{134}-f_2}}{16} t_{12} t_{23} - \frac{e^{f_{123}-f_4}}{16} t_{34} t_{41} - \frac{e^{f_1-f_{234}}}{16} t_{41} t_{12} - \frac{e^{f_3-f_{124}}}{16} t_{23} t_{34} \\ & \quad + \frac{e^{f_{13}-f_{24}}}{256} t_{12} t_{23} t_{34} t_{41} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left(e^{(-\ln(1-\alpha)+f_1)\tilde{J}(e^{-(1-\alpha)t_1})} e^{(\ln(1-\alpha)+f_2)\tilde{J}(e^{(1-\alpha)t_2})} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. e^{(-\ln(1-\alpha)+f_3)\tilde{J}(e^{-(1-\alpha)t_3})} e^{(\ln(1-\alpha)+f_4)\tilde{J}(e^{(1-\alpha)t_4})} \right) \\ & \rightarrow e^{f_{1234}} + e^{-f_{1234}} - \frac{e^{f_{134}-f_2}}{8} t_{12} t_{23} - \frac{e^{f_{123}-f_4}}{8} t_{34} t_{41} - \frac{e^{f_1-f_{234}}}{8} t_{41} t_{12} - \frac{e^{f_3-f_{124}}}{8} t_{23} t_{34} \\ & \quad + \frac{e^{f_{13}-f_{24}}}{64} t_{12} t_{23} t_{34} t_{41} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Here we have adopted the notation $f_J = \sum_{i \in \{J\}} f_i$, $t_J = \sum_{i \in \{J\}} t_i$. From the above, one can see that the two formulations still relate to each other through the substitution $\frac{e^{4A}}{16} \rightarrow \frac{e^{4\tilde{A}}}{8}$. Since $e^{4A} \equiv 2e^{4\tilde{A}}$, the above implies that the scaling functions in the two formulations remain the same up to the quadratic order in the exponential form factor expansion. At high orders, namely, for $\text{tr}(K^l)$, $\text{tr}(\tilde{K}^l)$ with $l \geq 3$, at the moment, we have neither found any counterexamples nor proved the existence of the scaling limits, but all the evidences so far strongly suggest their existence and the equality between the scaling functions in the two formulations.

Clearly, the precise forms of the small r asymptotics of the scaling functions (in case they exist) should be regarded as one of their most important properties. At the moment, it is hard to see if the “anomalous terms” will be strong enough to modify the leading $r^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ rule, or just modify power corrections at orders $r^{\frac{3}{4}}$ and higher. Naively, one might favor the second scenario based on perturbative analysis

of $\det(1 + (T_n^{(0)})^{-1} \Delta_n)$, where $T_n^{(0)} = T_n(a)|_{\alpha=1}$ and $\Delta_n = T_n - T_n^{(0)}$, in a way similar to the homogeneous case [1]. Naively taking the scaling limits at the level of matrix elements as in [1], at the power $r^{\frac{3}{4}}$ one encounters logarithmic UV divergences of the form $\int_0^1 du \int_0^1 du' \frac{f(u)g(u')}{|u-u'|}$ but not power divergence, and the UV divergences will persist to all powers. This seems to indicate that the “anomalous terms” might not be sufficient to modify the leading power part of the scaling function, consistent with the $\sqrt{1-\alpha}$ scaling of the $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$. Of course, it is also possible that the perturbative analysis based on the naive scaling limit will not work at all. In any case, more precise methods have to be adopted to really determine the fate of the scaling functions at small r .

4. Finally, here we comment again on the differences between the $\ln(1-\alpha)$ terms for scalar and matrix factorizations. For scalar Wiener-Hopf, for example, for one of the simplest symbol

$$C(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha z^{-1}}{1 - \alpha z}}, \quad (4.4)$$

if one require that the $\ln C_-(z)$ vanishes at $z = \infty$, then in the scaling region, $\ln C_{\pm}(e^{i(1-\alpha)p})$ also contain $\ln(1-\alpha)$ terms. However, since such divergences are simply constants, one can always redefine the $\ln C_{\pm}(z)$ such that these divergences never appear by adding and subtracting. In particular, in the kernel K of the Fredholm determinant

$$K(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{C_+}{C_-} \right)_{i+k} \left(\frac{C_-}{C_+} \right)_{-j-k}, \quad (4.5)$$

such divergences always cancel for scalar symbols. In our matrix case, however, the scalar functions $f(z, \alpha)$ and $\tilde{f}(z, \alpha, \alpha_1)$ are multiplied by the polynomial matrices $J(z)$ and $\tilde{J}(z)$. As such, the f^- and $\tilde{f}^-$ must vanish at $z = \infty$ in order for the ϕ_- , $\tilde{\phi}_-$ to be bounded at $z = \infty$ and one loss the freedom of adding and subtracting to remove the $\ln(1-\alpha)$ in $f^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{f}^{\pm}$. Moreover, since the $\ln(1-\alpha)$ are multiplied by the $J(z)$, they can not be factorized out in the $K(i, j)$ and their traces as the scalar case. As demonstrated in the paper, this amplifies the short-distance contributions through the “anomaly” mechanism.

To summarize, the lesson is: the $\ln(1-\alpha)$ terms in matrix factorizations are harder to remove than the scalar cases. And when they appear, due to the non-commutative nature of matrices, there is a high chance that they can introduce anomalous contributions in the scaling limits or even prevent their existence. As such, for other quantities given by block determinants such as the “entanglement entropy” in certain fermionic models, the existence and universality of the “massive scaling limits” and their relationships to naive field theoretical descriptions in the continuum, must be investigated in a more careful manner.

Acknowledgments

Y. L. is supported by the Priority Research Area SciMat and DigiWorlds under the program Excellence Initiative - Research University at the Jagiellonian University in Kraków.

A Calculation of $E(a)$ and $E(\tilde{a})$

In this appendix we calculate the constants for the leading large n asymptotics. Here we need to use the formula [3] for the derivative of $\ln E(t) = \ln E(a(t, z))$ where the symbol matrix $a(t, z)$ depends smoothly on certain parameters t :

$$\frac{d \ln E(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\eta} dz \text{Tr} \frac{da}{dt} \left(\frac{dU^+}{dz} U^- - \frac{dV^-}{dz} V^+ \right) , \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$a^{-1} = U^+ U^- = V^- V^+ . \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Notice that the above is invariant under the transformation $a(z) \rightarrow a(\eta' z)$, as far as the integration path lies in the analyticity domain $\alpha < |z| < 1$ for the un-rescaled z . Now, for commutative Wiener-Hopf, one has $a = e^{(f^+ + f^-)J}$, $U^\pm = V^\pm = e^{-f^\pm J}$. Introducing the parameter t as $f^\pm \rightarrow t f^\pm$, one has

$$\frac{da}{dt} = (f^+ + f^-) J e^{t(f^+ + f^-)J} , \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\frac{dU^\pm}{dz} = -tJ \left(\frac{df^\pm}{dz} + \frac{f^\pm}{2z} \right) e^{-t f^\pm J} - \frac{\sinh t f^\pm \sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}} \left(\frac{dJ}{dz} - \frac{J}{2z} \right) . \quad (\text{A.4})$$

To simplify the above, one needs the formula

$$\text{Tr} J e^{t(f^+ + f^-)J} \left(\frac{dJ}{dz} - \frac{J}{2z} \right) e^{-t f^\pm J} \equiv 0 . \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In this manner, the $\frac{dJ}{dz} - \frac{J}{2z}$ terms all drop, and after simplification one obtains

$$\frac{d \ln E(t)}{dt} = \frac{t}{\pi i} \oint_{C_\eta} dz \left(2z \frac{\partial f^+}{\partial z} f^- + f^+ f^- \right) . \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Now, for $t = 0$, clearly one has $E(0) = 1$, thus one obtains by integrating t from 0 to 1

$$\ln E(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\eta} dz \left(2z \frac{\partial f^+}{\partial z} f^- + f^+ f^- \right) . \quad (\text{A.7})$$

In terms of the Fourier components $f_i = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} z^i f(z)$, one has

$$\ln E(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) f_{-k} f_{k+1} . \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The above should be compared with the $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k f_{-k} f_k$ for scalar symbols.

Now, we are interested in the $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ limit of the $E(a)$. For this purpose, notice that the $f_{-k}f_{k+1}$ sum can be evaluated directly in terms of the $\alpha = 1$ limit of the symbol. For the $kf_{-k}f_{k+1}$ terms, both the UV region $k = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and the scaling region $k = \mathcal{O}(1 - \alpha)^{-1}$ contribute, so one can split the summation into

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{\mu(1-\alpha)^{-1}} (2k+1)f_{-k}f_{k+1} + 2 \sum_{k=\mu(1-\alpha)^{-1}}^{\infty} kf_{-k}f_{k+1} , \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where $1 \ll \mu(1 - \alpha)^{-1} \ll (1 - \alpha)^{-1}$. For the first term, one evaluates using the $\alpha = 1$ version with $f_{\text{cr}}(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{-e^{-i\theta}}$.

$$S_{\text{UV}} = - \sum_{k=0}^{\mu(1-\alpha)^{-1}} \frac{1}{2k+1} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \ln \mu + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \alpha) - \frac{\gamma_E}{2} - \ln 2 . \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Notice the presence of $\frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \alpha)$. For the second term, one calculates using the expressions in the scaling region. The μ then becomes the lower cutoff for the r integrals which contain $\ln \mu$ as UV divergences that must cancel with the $\ln \mu$ term in the S_{UV} . To perform the sum, one needs the following expressions in the scaling region

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\pm k} \Big|_{k=r(1-\alpha)^{-1}} &\rightarrow (1 - \alpha) \hat{f}(\pm r) , \\ \hat{f}(r) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{ipr} \left(\ln C(p) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + p^2) - \ln(-ip) \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Now, deforming the contours to the upper and lower half-planes, one has for $r > 0$

$$f(-r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^{\infty} dt e^{-tr} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 - 1}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} dt e^{-tr} + \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-tr} , \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^{\infty} dt e^{-tr} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 - 1}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} dt e^{-tr} . \quad (\text{A.13})$$

In terms of the above, one has

$$S_{\text{IR}} = 2 \sum_{k=\mu(1-\alpha)^{-1}}^{\infty} kf_{-k}f_{k+1} \rightarrow \int_{\mu}^{\infty} dr 2r f(-r) f(r) . \quad (\text{A.14})$$

To proceed, one needs the following convergent integrals

$$I_1 = \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty dt_1 dt_2 \frac{\arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1^2-1}} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_2^2-1}}}{(t_1 + t_2)^2} = 2\pi G - \frac{7\zeta_3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \ln 2 , \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$I_2 = \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty dt_1 dt_2 \frac{\arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1^2-1}}}{(t_1 + t_2)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(4G - \pi \ln 2 \right) , \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$I_3 = \int_1^\infty \int_0^\infty dt_1 dt_2 \frac{\arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1^2-1}}}{(t_1 + t_2)^2} = \frac{\pi \ln 2}{2} . \quad (\text{A.17})$$

In terms of above, one has

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{IR}} &\rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi^2} I_1 - \frac{2}{\pi} I_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} I_3 - \int_\mu^\infty dr \frac{e^{-r}}{r} \left(1 - \frac{e^{-r}}{2} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \mu + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{7\zeta_3}{\pi^2} + \gamma_E + \ln 2 \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Clearly, the $\ln \mu$ cancels with the one for S_{UV} . Combining the S_{IR} and S_{UV} , one obtains the final result

$$\ln E(a) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \alpha) - \frac{\ln 2}{2} - \frac{7\zeta_3}{2\pi^2} , \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$E(a) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{7\zeta_3}{2\pi^2}} . \quad (\text{A.20})$$

As expected, all the Catalan's constants disappear in $E(a)$. Notice that the $E(a)$ still scales as $\sqrt{1 - \alpha}$, consistent with the scaling dimension $\frac{1}{4}$ of the spin field and strongly suggesting that the small r asymptotics of the scaling function should still be $r^{-\frac{1}{4}}$.

More generally, by picking up the branch discontinuities using the representation Eq. (2.15), for generic α one actually has

$$f_{-k} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha dt t^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \arctan \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 + t)}{2\sqrt{(1 - \alpha t)(\alpha - t)}} \right) + \frac{2 - \alpha^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{2k + 1} , \quad k \geq 0 , \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$f_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha dt t^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \arctan \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 + t)}{2\sqrt{(1 - \alpha t)(\alpha - t)}} \right) - \frac{\alpha^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{2k + 1} , \quad k \geq 0 . \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Clearly, in the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limit, the integrals containing the arctan contribute at leading power only in the scaling region. Denoting

$$\mathcal{G}(t, \alpha) = \arctan \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 + t)}{2\sqrt{(1 - \alpha t)(\alpha - t)}} \right) , \quad (\text{A.23})$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) \int_0^\alpha dx \int_0^\alpha dy (xy)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}(x, \alpha) \mathcal{G}(y, \alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha dx \int_0^\alpha dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{xy}} \frac{1+xy}{(1-xy)^2} \mathcal{G}(x, \alpha) \mathcal{G}(y, \alpha) \Big|_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi^2} I_1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

and similarly

$$-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha dx \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} \mathcal{G}(x, \alpha)}{\sqrt{x}(1-\alpha x)} \Big|_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \rightarrow -\frac{2}{\pi} I_2, \quad (\text{A.25})$$

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha dx \frac{\mathcal{G}(x, \alpha)}{\sqrt{x}(1-x)} \Big|_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi} I_3. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Given the above, in the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limit one has

$$\begin{aligned} \ln E(a) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) f_{-k} f_{k+1} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi^2} I_1 - \frac{2}{\pi} I_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} I_3 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{k+\frac{1}{2}} (2 - \alpha^{k+\frac{1}{2}})}{2k+1} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln(1-\alpha) - \frac{\ln 2}{2} - \frac{7\zeta_3}{2\pi^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

consistent with the calculation based on the $S_{\text{UV}} + S_{\text{IR}}$ method.

The case of $E(\tilde{a})$ can be evaluated similarly. Denoting $\Delta(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha_1)^2} (z-\alpha_1)(1-\alpha_1 z)$, one still has the crucial formula

$$\text{Tr} \tilde{J} e^{t(\tilde{f}^+ + \tilde{f}^-) \tilde{J}} \left(\frac{d\tilde{J}}{dz} - \frac{1}{2\Delta(z)} \frac{d\Delta(z)}{dz} \tilde{J} \right) e^{-t\tilde{f}^\pm \tilde{J}} \equiv 0. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Given the above, one proceeds as the case of a to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln E(\tilde{a}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i (1-\alpha_1)^2} \oint_{C_\eta} dz (z-\alpha_1)(1-\alpha_1 z) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}^+}{\partial z} \tilde{f}^- - \frac{\partial \tilde{f}^-}{\partial z} \tilde{f}^+ \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) \tilde{f}_{-k} \tilde{f}_{k+1} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1-\alpha_1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{-k} \left((2k+1) \tilde{f}_{k+1} - k \tilde{f}_k - (k+1) \tilde{f}_{k+2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.29})$$

In the $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ limit, the scaling region only contributes to the first term, due to the fact that in the second term, the three terms proportional to k cancel among themselves in the

$k \geq \mu(1 - \alpha)^{-1}$ sum where $(1 - \alpha) \ll \mu \ll 1$. Introducing the function $g = \tilde{f} - f$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \ln E(\tilde{a}) - \ln E(a) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) (g_{-k} f_{k+1} + f_{-k} g_{k+1} + g_{-k} g_{k+1}) \\ &+ \frac{2\alpha_1}{(1 - \alpha_1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{-k} \left((2k+1) \tilde{f}_{k+1} - k \tilde{f}_k - (k+1) \tilde{f}_{k+2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.30})$$

In the $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ limit, the above can be evaluated using the limiting symbols

$$f(z) \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{-z^{-1}}, \quad (\text{A.31})$$

$$\tilde{f}(z) \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{-z^{-1}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6 - z - z^{-1}}}, \quad (\text{A.32})$$

$$g(z) \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{-z^{-1}} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{6 - z - z^{-1}}} - 1 \right), \quad (\text{A.33})$$

due to the fact that $g_k = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{k^2})$ in this limit. Expressing all the Fourier components as integrals along the deformed paths inside or outside the unite circles, the first sum reduces to $(\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{3+2\sqrt{2}})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) (g_{-k} f_{k+1} + f_{-k} g_{k+1} + g_{-k} g_{k+1}) \\ &= \int_{\alpha_1}^1 dx \int_{\alpha_1}^1 dy \frac{1+xy}{(1-xy)^2} \left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt{xy}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(6x-1-x^2)(6y-1-y^2)}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\alpha_1} dx \int_0^{\alpha_1} dy \frac{1+xy}{(1-xy)^2 \sqrt{xy}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\alpha_1} dx \int_{\alpha_1}^1 dy \frac{1+xy}{(1-xy)^2 \sqrt{xy}} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\alpha_1}{1-\alpha_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.34})$$

Similarly, the second sum reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \tilde{f}_{-k} \left(2\tilde{f}_{k+1} - \tilde{f}_k - \tilde{f}_{k+2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{-k} \left(\tilde{f}_{k+1} - \tilde{f}_{k+2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha_1}^1 dx \int_{\alpha_1}^1 dy \frac{(1-x)(1-y)}{(1-xy)^2 \sqrt{(6x-1-x^2)(6y-1-y^2)}} = -\frac{1}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.35})$$

Notice that all the integral formulas above have been checked numerically. Combining the above, one has

$$\ln E(\tilde{a}) - \ln E(a) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\alpha_1}{1-\alpha_1} \approx 0.173287. \quad (\text{A.36})$$

It is interesting to notice that this difference remains the same as the homogeneous models.

References

- [1] T. T. Wu, B. M. McCoy, C. A. Tracy, and E. Barouch, [Phys. Rev. B **13**, 316 \(1976\)](#).
- [2] D. S. Jones,
[Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences **393**, 185 \(1984\)](#).
- [3] H. Widom, [Advances in Mathematics **13**, 284 \(1974\)](#).
- [4] E. L. Basor and T. Ehrhardt, [Communications in Mathematical Physics **274**, 427–455 \(2007\)](#).
- [5] T. T. Wu, [Phys. Rev. **149**, 380 \(1966\)](#).
- [6] G. Szegő, Comm. Sém. Math. Univ. Lund [Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem.] **1952**, 228 (1952).
- [7] A. Borodin and A. Okounkov, “A fredholm determinant formula for toeplitz determinants,” (1999), [arXiv:math/9907165 \[math.CA\]](#) .
- [8] E. L. Basor and H. Widom, “On a toeplitz determinant identity of borodin and okounkov,” (2000), [arXiv:math/9909010 \[math.FA\]](#) .
- [9] I. Lyberg and B. M. McCoy,
[Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **40**, 3329–3346 \(2007\)](#).