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#### Abstract

A $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called Hamel function if its graph is a Hamel basis of the linear space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ over rationals. We construct, assuming CH , a free group of the size $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ contained in the class of all Hamel functions, with the indentity function included. This answers, consistently, Question 1 from [1.


## 1. Introduction

We consider $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ as a linear space over the field of rationals. The following notions were introduced by Płotka in his dissertation [3], see also [4]. A function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, is called partially linearly independent $(f \in \mathrm{PLIF})$ if its graph is a linearly independent subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. If $A=\mathbb{R}$ then we say that $f$ is linearly independent $(f \in \operatorname{LIF})$. A function $f \in \operatorname{LIF}$ is called Hamel function $(f \in \mathrm{HF})$ if its graph is a basis of the space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Those notions was studied also by other authors. In particular, Matusik and Natkaniec in 2010 paper [2] constructed a bijection $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is a Hamel function. Authors of the recent paper [1] construct a free group of continuum many generators within the family of all Hamel autobijection of $\mathbb{R}$ and they ask if it is possible to find such a free group with $2^{\text {c }}$ many generators, see [1, Question 1]. In this note we show that if $2^{<\mathfrak{c}}=\mathfrak{c}$ then this query has affirmative answer. Recall that the assumption $2^{<\mathfrak{c}}=\mathfrak{c}$ is independent with ZFC , it follows e.g. from CH , and its negation is a consequence of equalities $2^{\omega_{1}}=2^{\omega_{2}}$ and $\mathfrak{c}=\omega_{2}$. We are unable to prove an analogous result in ZFC without additional set-theoretic assumptions.

We use standard notations. In particular, $|X|$ denotes the cardinality of the set $X$ and $Y^{X}$ denotes the class of all functions from $X$ to $Y$. An ordinal number $\alpha$ is identiefied with the set of all ordinals less than $\alpha$. An ordinal $\kappa$ is a cardinal number if it is not equivalent to any ordinal $\xi<\kappa$. A function is identified with its graph. For a cardinal $\kappa, 2^{\kappa}$ denotes the set of all functions from $\kappa$ (i.e., the set of all ordinals less than $\kappa$ ) to 2 (where $2=\{0,1\}$ ). The symbol $2^{<\mathfrak{c}}$ denotes the binary tree of the high $\mathfrak{c}$, i.e. the set of all functions $f: \xi \rightarrow 2, \xi<\mathfrak{c}$, ordered by the inclusion.

We will modify the proof of [1, Theorem 1]. For a set $S$ of injections defined on subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ let $G(S)$ denote the group under composition generated by $S$, i.e., the family of all compositions $s_{k}^{n_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ s_{0}^{n_{0}}$, where $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{k} \in S, n_{0}, \ldots, n_{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Note that $|G(S)| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ whenever $|S| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. We say that $G(S)$ is a free group if each element of $G$ has a unique representation as a product $s_{0}^{n_{0}} \circ \ldots \circ s_{k}^{n_{k}}$, where

[^0]$s_{0}, \ldots, s_{k} \in S, n_{0}, \ldots, n_{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ and $s_{i} \neq s_{i+1}$ for $i=0, \ldots, k-1$. Then the set $S$ will be called the set of free generators of $G(S)$.

For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{i}, i=1,2$, the symbol $\operatorname{LIN}(A)$ denotes the linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{i}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by $A$. We will use the following facts.

Lemma 1. [2, Fact 2.2]. Let $f \in \operatorname{LIF}$. If $\langle 0, x\rangle \in \operatorname{LIN}(f)$ then $f \in$ HF.
Lemma 2. Assume that $A, B, C$ are subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ such that
(1) $|A|=\mathfrak{c}$ and $|C|<\mathfrak{c}$;
(2) $A \cap B=\emptyset$ and $A \cup B$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Then $A \backslash \operatorname{LIN}(C \cup B) \neq \emptyset$.
Proof. We may assume that $C$ is infinite. Let $|C|=\kappa$, list $\operatorname{LIN}(C)=\left\{c_{\xi}: \xi<\kappa\right\}$. Then $\operatorname{LIN}(C \cup B)=\bigcup_{\xi<\kappa}\left(c_{\xi}+\operatorname{LIN}(B)\right)$. Suppose that $A \subset \operatorname{LIN}(C \cup B)$. Then there are different $x_{1}, x_{2} \in A$ and $\xi<\kappa$ such that $x_{1}, x_{2} \in c_{\xi}+\operatorname{LIN}(B)$. Hence $0 \neq x_{1}-x_{2} \in \operatorname{LIN}(A) \cap \operatorname{LIN}(B)$, contrary to (2).

Theorem 3. Assume that $2^{<\mathfrak{c}}=\mathfrak{c}$. Then there exists a family $\left\{f_{s}: s \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\right\}$ of Hamel bijections which are free generators of a group under composition contained in the class of Hamel bijections plus the indentity.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{R}=\left\{x_{\alpha}: \alpha<\mathfrak{c}\right\}$ with $x_{0}=0$. Let $\left\{H_{\kappa, \alpha, i}: \kappa, \alpha<\mathfrak{c}, i<3\right\}$ be the family of pairwise disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, each of size $\mathfrak{c}$ with the union $\bigcup_{\kappa, \alpha<\mathfrak{c}, i<3} H_{\kappa, \alpha, i}$ being linearly independent. Let

$$
W_{\kappa}=\operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{x_{\xi}: \xi<\kappa\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\xi<\kappa, \alpha<\mathfrak{c}, i<3} H_{\xi, \alpha, i}\right)
$$

We will construct, by induction, a family of real-valued functions $\left\{f_{s}: s \in 2^{<\mathfrak{c}}\right\}$ defined on subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, such that for each $\kappa<\mathfrak{c}$ the family $S_{\kappa}:=\left\{f_{s}: s \in 2^{\kappa}\right\}$ satisfies the following conditions
(I): $f_{s \mid \lambda} \subset f_{s}$ for each $s \in 2^{\kappa}$ and $\lambda<\kappa$;
(II): $f_{s}$ is a $1-1$ map from a subset of the set $W_{\kappa}$ into $W_{\kappa}$ for each $s \in 2^{\kappa}$;
(III): $G\left(S_{\kappa}\right) \subset$ PLIF;
(IV): $\left\langle 0, x_{\kappa}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{LIN}(f)$ for every $f \in G\left(S_{\kappa+1}\right)$;
(V): $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{s} \backslash f_{s \mid \kappa}\right) \subset \operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{x_{\kappa}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha<\mathfrak{c}, i<3} H_{\kappa, \alpha, i}\right)$ for $s \in 2^{\kappa+1}$;
(VI): $x_{\kappa} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{s}\right) \cap \operatorname{rng}\left(f_{s}\right)$ for all $s \in 2^{\kappa+1} ;$

Then, for $s \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, let $f_{s}=\bigcup_{\kappa<\mathfrak{c}} f_{s \mid \kappa}$ and let $S=\left\{f_{s}: s \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\right\}$. Note that conditions (I), (II), and (VI) imply that $f_{s}$ is an autobijection on $\mathbb{R}$ for every $s \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. Statements (III) and (IV) give that $G(S) \subset$ HF. Moreover, the condition $G(S) \subset$ LIF yields also that $S$ generates a free group - see [1]. Indeed, suppose that there is a function $g \in G(S)$ with two different representations

$$
f_{s_{k}}^{n_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{s_{0}}^{n_{0}}=f_{t_{k}}^{m_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{t_{0}}^{m_{0}} .
$$

Then

$$
f_{s_{k}}^{n_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{s_{0}}^{n_{0}} \circ f_{t_{0}}^{-m_{0}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{t_{k}}^{-m_{k}}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

where the left side written in reduced form remains non-trivial, so it belongs to the group $G(S)$ and consequently to LIF, while $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \notin$ LIF. In particular, we get $f_{s} \neq f_{s^{\prime}}$ for $s \neq s^{\prime}$. Thus $|S|=2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and therefore also $|G(S)|=2^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

So, fix $\lambda<\mathfrak{c}$ and assume that for all $\xi<\lambda$ we have functions $f_{t}, t \in 2^{\xi}$ satisfying the conditions (I)-(VI). If $\lambda$ is a limit ordinal then set

$$
f_{s}=\bigcup_{\xi<\lambda} f_{s \mid \xi}
$$

for each $s \in 2^{\lambda}$. Evidently, $f_{s}$ 's satisfy conditions (I)-(VI). So we can assume that $\lambda=\kappa+1$ and $f_{s}$ are defined for all $s \in 2^{\kappa}$.

Step I. The main problem is to extend all functions $f_{s}, s \in 2^{\kappa}$, so as to keep the conditions (III) and (IV). Since the group $G\left(S_{\kappa}\right)$ has cardinality $\leq \mathfrak{c}$, we can write

$$
G\left(S_{\kappa}\right)=\left\{g_{\alpha}: \alpha<\mathfrak{c}\right\}
$$

Let $g_{\alpha}=f_{s_{k}}^{n_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{s_{0}}^{n_{0}}$ where $f_{s_{i}} \in S_{\kappa}, n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ for $i \leq k$, and $s_{i} \neq s_{i+1}$ for $i=0, \ldots, k-1$. For every $s \in 2^{\kappa}$ and $\alpha<\mathfrak{c}$ we will choose, inductively on $\alpha$, the function $f_{s}^{(\alpha)}$ such that

- $f_{s}^{(0)}=f_{s}$;
- if $\beta<\alpha$ then $f_{s}^{(\beta)} \subset f_{s}^{(\alpha)}$;
- $f_{s}^{(\alpha)}$ is one-to-one;
- $\left\langle 0, x_{\kappa}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{LIN}\left(g_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\right)$, where $g_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}=\left(f_{s_{k}}^{(\alpha)}\right)^{n_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ\left(f_{s_{0}}^{(\alpha)}\right)^{n_{0}}$, i.e., $g_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}$ is derived from $g_{\alpha}$ by substituting the component functions $f_{s_{i}}$ with $f_{s_{i}}^{(\alpha)}$;
- $G\left(S_{\kappa}^{(\alpha)}\right) \subset$ PLIF, where $S_{\kappa}^{(\alpha)}=\left\{f_{s}^{(\alpha)}: s \in 2^{\kappa}\right\}$.

Fix $\alpha<\mathfrak{c}$. Let $f_{s}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{\xi<\alpha} f_{s}^{(\xi)}$ for $s \in 2^{\kappa}$ and let $g_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\left(f_{s_{k}}^{\prime}\right)^{n_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ\left(f_{s_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{n_{0}}$. Let $k_{\alpha}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left|n_{i}\right|$. We will consider two cases.

Case 1. If $\left\langle 0, x_{\kappa}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{LIN}\left(g_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ then set $f_{s}^{(\alpha)}=f_{s}^{\prime}$ for all $s \in 2^{\kappa}$.
Case 2. Otherwise we choose finite sequences $\left\langle z_{0}^{\alpha}, \ldots, z_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left\langle r_{0}^{\alpha}, \ldots, r_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle$ such that
(1) $z_{i}^{\alpha} \in H_{\kappa, \alpha, 0} \backslash \operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{z_{j}^{\alpha}: j<i\right\} \cup\left\{x_{\kappa}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha, i<3} H_{\kappa, \beta, i} \cup \bigcup_{\xi<\kappa} W_{\xi}\right)$ for $i=0, \ldots, k_{\alpha}-1$;
(2) $r_{0}^{\alpha}=-z_{0}^{\alpha}$;
(3) $r_{i}^{\alpha} \in H_{\kappa, \alpha, 1} \backslash \operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{r_{j}^{\alpha}: j<i\right\} \cup H_{\kappa, \alpha, 0} \cup\left\{x_{\kappa}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha, i<3} H_{\kappa, \beta, i} \cup \bigcup_{\xi<\kappa} W_{\xi}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k_{\alpha}$;
(4) $z_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}=x_{\kappa}-r_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$.

Such a choice is possible by Lemma 2 as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{z_{j}^{\alpha}: j<i\right\} \cup\left\{x_{\kappa}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha, i<3} H_{\kappa, \beta, i} \cup \bigcup_{\xi<\kappa} W_{\xi}\right)= \\
\operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{z_{j}^{\alpha}: j<i\right\} \cup\left\{x_{\xi}: \xi \leq \kappa\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha, i<3} H_{\kappa, \beta, i} \cup \bigcup_{\xi<\kappa, \beta<\mathfrak{c}, i<3} H_{\xi, \beta, i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

and the set

$$
\operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{r_{j}^{\alpha}: j<i\right\} \cup H_{\kappa, \alpha, 0} \cup\left\{x_{\kappa}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha, i<3} H_{\kappa, \beta, i} \cup \bigcup_{\xi<\kappa} W_{\xi}\right)
$$

can be written in a similar way. Our goal is to extend a partial functions $f_{s}^{\prime}$, $s \in 2^{\kappa}$, to $f_{s}^{(\alpha)}$ to get the conditions $g_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\left(z_{0}^{\alpha}\right)=z_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$, and $g_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\left(r_{0}^{\alpha}\right)=r_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$. The
idea of this construction is exactly the same as in [1]. We wander, for each $\alpha<\mathbf{c}$, according to the structure of $g_{\alpha}$ from $z_{0}^{\alpha}$ to $z_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$ via $z$ 's and from $r_{0}^{\alpha}$ to $r_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$ via $r$ 's. Then $\left\langle z_{0}^{\alpha}, z_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left\langle r_{0}^{\alpha}, r_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle \in g_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}$, and since $r_{0}^{\alpha}=-z_{0}^{\alpha}, r_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}=x_{\kappa}-z_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$, so $\left\langle 0, x_{\kappa}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{LIN}\left(g_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\right)$. So, if $s \neq s_{i}$ for all $i \leq k$ then $f_{s}^{(\alpha)}=f_{s}^{\prime}$. Otherwise define an increasing sequence

- $p_{0}=0$;
- $p_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{j-1}\left|n_{i}\right|$ for $0<j<k$;
- $p_{k}=k_{\alpha}$.

Then for $j<k$ we extend $f_{s_{j}}^{\prime}$ in the following way.

- If $n_{j}<0$ then

$$
f_{s_{j}}^{(\alpha)}=f_{s_{j}}^{\prime} \cup\left\{\left\langle z_{p_{j}+1}^{\alpha}, z_{p_{j}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle z_{p_{j+1}}^{\alpha}, z_{p_{j+1}-1}^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left\langle r_{p_{j}+1}^{\alpha}, r_{p_{j}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle r_{p_{j+1}}^{\alpha}, r_{p_{j+1}-1}^{\alpha}\right\rangle\right\} .
$$

- If $n_{j}>0$ then

$$
f_{s_{j}}^{(\alpha)}=f_{s_{j}}^{\prime} \cup\left\{\left\langle z_{p_{j}}^{\alpha}, z_{p_{j+1}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle z_{p_{j+1}-1}^{\alpha}, z_{p_{j+1}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left\langle r_{p_{j}}^{\alpha}, r_{p_{j+1}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle r_{p_{j+1}-1}^{\alpha}, r_{p_{j+1}}^{\alpha}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

To prove the inclusion $G\left(S_{\kappa}^{(\alpha)}\right) \subset$ PLIF fix $\beta<\mathfrak{c}$ and assume that

- $g_{\beta}^{\prime}$ is derived from $g_{\beta}$ by substituting the component functions $f_{s_{i}}$ with $\bigcup_{\xi<\alpha} f_{s_{i}}^{(\xi)}$, and
- $g_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}$ is derived from $g_{\beta}$ by substituting the functions $f_{s_{i}}$ with $f_{s_{i}}^{(\alpha)}$ (hence $\left.g_{\beta}^{\prime} \subset g_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}\right)$.
Suppose that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} q_{i}\left\langle v_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle=0
$$

for some $q_{0}, \ldots, q_{m} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\left\langle v_{0}, y_{0}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle v_{m}, y_{m}\right\rangle \in g_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}$ with $v_{i} \neq v_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{m} q_{i} v_{i}=0$. Let $N_{0}:=\left\{i \leq m: v_{i} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(g_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ and $N_{1}:=\left\{i \leq m: v_{i} \notin\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{dom}\left(g_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. If $N_{1}=\emptyset$ then $q_{1}=\ldots q_{m}=0$ since $g_{\beta}^{\prime} \in$ PLIF. So assume that $N_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Observe that then $g_{\beta}$ is "a part" of $g_{\alpha}$ in the sense that if $g_{\alpha}=f_{s_{k}}^{n_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{s_{0}}^{n_{0}}$ then there are $k^{\prime} \leq k$ and $i_{0} \geq 0$ such that

$$
g_{\beta}=f_{s_{k^{\prime}}}^{m_{k^{\prime}}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{s_{i_{0}}}^{m_{i_{0}}}
$$

with $m_{i}=n_{i}$ for $i \in\left\{i_{0}+1, \ldots, k^{\prime}-1\right\}$ and $m_{i_{0}} \in\left[0, n_{i_{0}}\right], m_{k^{\prime}} \in\left[0, n_{k^{\prime}}\right]$.
We have two cases to consider.
(i) First, assume that $\left\{r_{0}^{\alpha}, z_{0}^{\alpha}\right\} \not \subset\left\{v_{i}: i \leq m\right\}$. Then the set $\left\{v_{i}: i \in N_{1}\right\}$ is linearly independent and

$$
\operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{v_{i}: i \in N_{1}\right\}\right) \cap \operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{v_{i}: i \in N_{0}\right\}\right)=\{0\},
$$

so $q_{i}=0$ for all $i \in N_{1}$. Hence

$$
\sum_{i \in N_{0}} q_{i}\left\langle v_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle=\langle 0,0\rangle
$$

and since $g_{\beta}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{PLIF}$, so $q_{i}=0$ for $i \in N_{0}$.
(ii) Otherwise, $\left\{r_{0}^{\alpha}, z_{0}^{\alpha}\right\} \subset\left\{v_{i}: i \leq m\right\}$. Then $i_{0}=0$ and $m_{i_{0}}=n_{i_{0}}$ Let $r_{0}^{\alpha}=v_{j}, z_{0}^{\alpha}=v_{k}$. Then

$$
0=\sum_{i \leq m} q_{i} y_{i}=\sum_{i \in N_{0}} q_{i} g_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \in N_{1}} q_{i} g_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}\left(v_{i}\right)
$$

Now we have the following subcases.

- If $g_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}\left(\left\{v_{j}, v_{k}\right\}\right) \cap\left\{r_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}, z_{k_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right\}=\emptyset$ then the set $\left\{g_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}\left(v_{i}\right): i \in N_{1}\right\}$ is linearly independent and $\operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{g_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}\left(v_{i}\right): i \in N_{1}\right\}\right) \cap \operatorname{LIN}\left(\operatorname{rng}\left(g_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\{0\}$. Hence $q_{i}=0$ for $i \in N_{1}$ and since $g_{\beta}^{\prime} \in$ PLIF, $q_{i}=0$ for $i \in N_{0}$.
- Otherwise $k^{\prime}=k$ and $m_{k^{\prime}}=n_{k}$ and therefore $g_{\beta}=g_{\alpha}$.

Step II. At this step we will expand each $f_{s}^{\prime \prime}:=\bigcup_{\alpha<\mathfrak{c}} f_{s}^{(\alpha)}, s \in 2^{\kappa}$, to two functions $f_{s \frown 0}$ and $f_{s \frown 1}$ so as to provide the condition (VI). Since $\left|2^{\lambda}\right| \leq \mathfrak{c}$, we can write $2^{\lambda}=\left\{s_{\alpha}: \alpha<\mathfrak{c}\right\}$. We will work inductively on $\alpha$. Note that

$$
V_{\alpha}:=\bigcup_{t \in 2^{\kappa}}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{t}^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{rng}\left(f_{t}^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{x_{\kappa}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\xi<\kappa} V_{\xi} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha<\mathfrak{c}, i<2} H_{\kappa, \alpha, i}\right)
$$

Fix $\alpha<\mathfrak{c}$ and set $t=s_{\alpha} \mid \kappa$. If $x_{\kappa} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ then $f_{s_{\alpha}}^{\prime \prime \prime}=f_{t}^{\prime \prime}$. Otherwise choose

$$
y_{\alpha} \in H_{\kappa, \alpha, 2} \backslash \operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{y_{\beta}, z_{\beta}: \beta<\alpha\right\} \cup V_{\alpha}\right)
$$

Such a choice is possible by Lemma2, Let $f_{t}^{\prime \prime \prime}:=f_{t}^{\prime \prime} \cup\left\langle x_{\kappa}, y_{\alpha}\right\rangle$. Now, if $x_{\kappa} \in \operatorname{rng}\left(f_{t}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ then $f_{s_{\alpha}}=f_{t}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Otherwise, again use Lemma 2 and choose

$$
z_{\alpha} \in H_{\kappa, \alpha, 2} \backslash \operatorname{LIN}\left(\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\} \cup\left\{y_{\beta}, z_{\beta}: \beta<\alpha\right\} \cup V_{\alpha}\right)
$$

and put $f_{s_{\alpha}}=f_{t}^{\prime \prime \prime} \cup\left\langle z_{\alpha}, x_{\kappa}\right\rangle$.
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