

## arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

<sup>1</sup> DEIB, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, I-20133, Milano, Italy

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

## arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024



arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024

arXiv:2405.02131v2 [eess.SP] 15 May 2024




Fig. 2. Conditional VAE system, encoder and decoder GNN structures.

for multiple antenna settings, and can be used to reproduce the responses of conventional array processing. In line with initial studies [15], [22], [23], the proposed generators are purely based on sequences of convolutional (and de-convolutional) layers.

The paper is organized as follows. Sect. II introduces the problem and the setup adopted for simulation, while Sect. III discusses relevant EM body models for passive radio sensing with multiple antennas. Sect. IV targets the problem of generative modelling, and discusses adaptations to VAE tools. Sect. V verifies the models effectiveness in reproducing the array response in typical dense MIMO configurations and compared with two EM body models. Conclusions are finally discussed in Sect. VI.

## II. GNNs FOR REPRODUCING RF PROPAGATION EFFECTS

The goal of radio sensing is to extract the EM effects ( $\mathbf{E}_\theta$ ) of human body movements on propagation, based on the noisy measurements  $\mathbf{S}_t$  of the RF radiation at time  $t$ . The subjects, or targets, are characterized by an unknown state  $\theta$  which we want to recover in real-time. Subject state might provide information about motions, location, size/shape, and orientation [4], [11], [12] of the bodies. As depicted in Fig. 1, we consider a scenario where the EM body effects  $\mathbf{E}_\theta$  are observed by a dense array of receivers. Following the classical Bayesian formulation, the objective is to maximize the a posterior distribution  $p(\mathbf{E}_\theta | \mathbf{S}_t)$ :

$$p(\mathbf{E}_\theta | \mathbf{S}_t) = \frac{p(\mathbf{S}_t | \mathbf{E}_\theta) \cdot p(\mathbf{E}_\theta)}{p(\mathbf{S}_t)} \quad (1)$$

of the (unknown) effects  $\mathbf{E}_\theta$ , given the measurements  $\mathbf{S}_t$ . Measurements considered here are in the form of complex base-band channel impulse response (CIR) [3] obtained from radio devices equipped with multiple antenna frontends. The observations  $\mathbf{S}_t$  are perturbed by body movements according to the prior distribution  $p(\mathbf{E}_\theta)$ , which models the expected

effects of the body in the state  $\theta$  as the result of the RF propagation.

Solving the radio sensing problem requires the knowledge of the RF measurements model  $p(\mathbf{S}_t | \mathbf{E}_\theta)$ , and the prior distribution  $p(\mathbf{E}_\theta)$ . While the first term depends on the data collection process, the prior distribution  $p(\mathbf{E}_\theta)$  is usually hard to model as it often requires time-consuming full-wave EM approaches. Therefore, these methods are commonly considered of limited practical use for real-time sensing scenarios [14]. In line with [18], the proposed GNN model approach is designed to generate samples from the prior distribution  $p(\mathbf{E}_\theta)$ . Generation times are analyzed to assess the feasibility of using the proposed model during real-time target tracking as well.

## III. EM BODY MODELLING WITH MULTIPLE ANTENNAS

In this section, we discuss relevant EM body models to reproduce the EM effects  $\mathbf{E}_\theta$  of body movements. As far as the diffraction-based model is concerned, we adopted the body model originally proposed in [11] for a single link scenario and extended in [21] for the case of multiple array receivers.

Full-wave electromagnetic simulations were performed with Altair® FEKO®, a commercial software package that implements, among others, the Method of Moments (MoM), a full-wave solution of Maxwell's integral equations in the frequency domain. These calculations are computationally-intensive: in fact, as described in Sect. V, the surface of the humanoid obstacle is discretised using triangles, then the Surface Equivalent Principle (SEP) is applied by introducing equivalent electric and magnetic currents on the surface of the dielectric body.

Besides full-wave calculations, that are needed to represent the EM field, most of physical models designed to quantify realistic body motions must also take into account imperfect knowledge of the environment, namely small and involuntary body movements [11] which cause the subject to change its orientation or pose w.r.t. to the receivers. All these impairments make body features hard to obtain with an acceptable level of accuracy. A statistical approach is often adopted, being in line with classical Bayesian informative-prior modeling. We assume that EM effects  $\mathbf{E}_\theta$  are obtained for random instances of body configuration/features  $\theta$ , which follow the probability function  $p(\theta | \theta_k)$ . Formally, the received EM field  $\mathbf{E}_\theta$  is thus defined in probability as it is sampled from a distribution  $p(\mathbf{E}_\theta)$  defined as:

$$p(\mathbf{E}_\theta | \theta_k) = \mathbf{E}_{\theta \sim p(\theta | \theta_k)}, \quad (2)$$

where the function  $p(\theta | \theta_k)$  models the uncertainty with respect to the *nominal body features*  $\theta_k$ .

## IV. PHYSICS-INFORMED GENERATIVE NEURAL NETWORK

The generative models considered here are able to reproduce different body-induced EM effects  $\mathbf{E}_\theta$  as sampled from the ground-truth prior distribution  $p(\mathbf{E}_\theta | \theta_k)$  in (2), and conditioned on the input nominal body features, or configurations,  $\theta_k$ . Unlike [18], body effects are represented here by the EM field responses  $\mathbf{E}_\theta$ , while the generative tool is designed

TABLE I

C-VAE VS DIFFRACTION MODEL AND FEKO<sup>®</sup> SIMULATIONS: MODEL CONFIGURATION AND EM FIELD GENERATION TIME ANALYSIS.

| Model                                                   | Configuration<br>( $L$ links) | Generation time<br>[s/sample/link] |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>C-VAE</b><br>( $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_\theta$ generation) | $Z = 16, L = 1$               | $4.6 \times 10^{-5}$               |
|                                                         | $Z = 32, L = 1$               | $6.3 \times 10^{-5}$               |
|                                                         | $Z = 16, L = 81$              | $5.3 \times 10^{-2}$               |
|                                                         | $Z = 32, L = 81$              | $6.1 \times 10^{-2}$               |
| <b>EM Diffraction</b><br>(omnidirectional antennas)     | $\epsilon = 10^{-3}, L = 1$   | $5.4 \times 10^{-3}$               |
|                                                         | $\epsilon = 10^{-6}, L = 1$   | $3.81 \times 10^{-2}$              |
|                                                         | $\epsilon = 10^{-3}, L = 81$  | 0.78                               |
|                                                         | $\epsilon = 10^{-6}, L = 81$  | 3.1                                |
| <b>EM FEKO<sup>®</sup> simulations</b>                  | $L = 81$                      | > 240                              |

to reproduce the body effects observed for each receiving antenna/link  $\ell$ . We also limit our focus on simple body features  $\theta_k = [\mathbf{p}_k, \varphi_k, h_S, w_{S,1}, w_{S,2}]$  that include body locations  $\mathbf{p}_k$ , relative orientations  $-\pi/2 \leq \varphi_k \leq \pi/2$ , height  $h_S$ , max  $w_{S,1}$  and min  $w_{S,2}$  traversal sizes of the target, which could, for example, represent a change in the subject pose (see Sect. V-B).

#### A. Conditional Variational Auto-Encoder

As depicted in Fig. 2, the proposed VAE model, namely conditional VAE, or C-VAE for short, uses an encoder  $Q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{E}_\theta, \theta_k; \mathbf{W}_E)$ , parameterized by the NN parameters  $\mathbf{W}_E$ , which learns the latent space  $p_Z(\mathbf{z}|\theta_k) \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^2)$  for inputs  $\theta_k$ , assumed here as multivariate Gaussian distributed, with mean  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$  and standard deviation  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$  parameters. Note that other choices are not investigated here. The encoder uses training samples of (true) body-induced values  $\mathbf{E}_\theta$  and the corresponding features  $\theta_k$  of interest. The decoder produces a distribution  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_\theta^{\text{VAE}} \sim p_{\text{gen}}^{\text{VAE}}(\mathbf{E}_\theta|\theta_k)$

$$p_{\text{gen}}^{\text{VAE}}(\mathbf{E}_\theta|\theta_k) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}} p_{\text{gen}}^{\text{VAE}}(\mathbf{E}_\theta|\mathbf{z}, \theta_k; \mathbf{W}_D) p_Z(\mathbf{z}|\theta_k) d\mathbf{z}, \quad (3)$$

which is the marginalization of the conditional probability  $p_{\text{gen}}^{\text{VAE}}(\mathbf{E}_\theta|\mathbf{z}, \theta_k; \mathbf{W}_D)$  function of the NN parameters  $\mathbf{W}_D$ . The goal is to maximize the likelihood bound namely Evidence Lower BOund (ELBO)  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ELBO}}$  as described in [24].

#### B. Implementation considerations

C-VAE pre-trained models reproducing diffraction effects are available on-line [25] together with example codes for generating EM field samples ( $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_\theta$ ) according to specific body configurations. Generation times of C-VAE are compared in Tab. I with those obtained by classical diffraction models [12] as well as popular EM body simulator tools (Altair<sup>®</sup> FEKO<sup>®</sup> software, see Sect. V). In the example, samples are generated assuming  $L = 81$  MIMO links with variable number of antennas at TX and RX. VAE-based generation of the samples is about one order of magnitude faster than EM diffraction model computation, which also depends on the chosen numerical integration configurations (i.e., tiled integration method, and absolute error tolerance  $\epsilon$ ), target size, and antenna configuration. The generative model can produce up to  $50 \div 100$




Fig. 3. Array layout setups (top) and corresponding responses (bottom)  $R_\theta(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma = \pi/2$ . Target has dimensions  $h_S = 1.65$  m,  $w_{S,1} = 0.55$  m,  $w_{S,2} = 0.25$  m. Two subject positions are considered, namely  $x = 2$  m,  $y = 0.25$  m (left) and  $x = 2$  m,  $y = -0.25$  m (right). Response is obtained using VAE-generated field samples  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_\theta^{\text{VAE}}$  (green/red solid lines) and compared with the array response obtained with the EM body diffraction model (dashed lines).

samples per second, which is reasonable considering typical body movement speeds (max. 1m/s).

#### V. GENERATION OF EM BODY MODEL SAMPLES

C-VAE sample generation is assessed here at carrier frequency  $f_c = 2.4$  GHz. First, we analyze the reproduction accuracy against EM body diffraction [12]. Next, we compare the results with FEKO<sup>®</sup> simulations. The RX node is equipped with a Uniform Linear Array (ULA) of 9 omni-directional antennas and spaced at  $\Delta = \lambda/2$ . The TX node is equipped with a single antenna while  $L = 9$  links are considered. All the links of the array are horizontally placed at height  $h = 0.99$  m from the ground. Distance between the TX and the central RX of the array is  $d = 4$  m. C-VAE is trained here using examples of EM diffraction from: 1) 75 marked locations inside the first Fresnel ellipsoid of the central link of the array; 2) varying target dimension  $h_S$  in the interval  $[1.65 - 2.00]$  m.

In the following, we verify the ability of the proposed C-VAE model to generate samples of the EM field  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_\theta^{\text{VAE}} = [\hat{E}_{\ell,\theta}^{\text{VAE}}]_{\ell=1}^L$  which are able to reproduce the array excess attenuation response of the conventional linear beamforming processing [21], [26]. For  $L = 2M + 1$  antennas ( $M = 4$ ), we consider the vector  $\mathbf{w}(\gamma) = [w_k]_{k=-M, \dots, 0, \dots, +M} = [w_{-M} \dots w_{-1} w_0 w_1 \dots w_M]^T$  of linear beamforming coefficients designed to *steer* the antenna array in a direction  $\gamma$ . The received base-band signal  $r_\theta(\gamma)$  at the output of the beamforming processing is:

$$r_\theta(\gamma) = \sum_{m=-M}^{+M} w_m^*(\gamma) [E_{\ell,\theta} + n_\ell] = \mathbf{w}(\gamma)^H \cdot [\mathbf{E}_\theta + \mathbf{n}], \quad (4)$$




Fig. 4. Body-shape model built in FEKO<sup>®</sup> with size  $h_S = 1.80$  m,  $w_{S,1} = 0.52$  m, and  $w_{S,2} = 0.32$  m. Simulation settings are shown as well.




Fig. 5. Excess attenuation values obtained by FEKO<sup>®</sup> software (solid) and compared with C-VAE generation (dashed) for varying antennas of the array (9 antennas) and target dimensions. Three nominal subject positions are considered, namely  $x = 2$  m,  $y = 0.25$  m (red),  $x = 2$  m,  $y = 0$  m (black) and  $x = 2$  m,  $y = -0.25$  m (green). For all cases, attenuation responses account for small body movements around the nominal positions.

where  $n_\ell$  is the Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) complex vector  $\mathbf{n} = [n_{-M} \dots n_{-1} n_0 n_1 \dots n_M]^T$  of size  $2M + 1$ , with covariance  $\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ . We define the body-induced excess attenuation response  $F_\theta(\gamma)$  with target in state  $\theta$  as

$$F_\theta(\gamma|\mathbf{E}_\theta) = \sum_{m=-M}^{+M} w_m^*(\gamma) \frac{E_{\ell,0}}{E_{\ell,\theta}} = \mathbf{w}(\gamma)^H \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}_0}{\mathbf{E}_\theta}. \quad (5)$$

with  $\mathbf{E}_0$  being the EM field measured in free space and  $\gamma$  the DoA (Direction Of Arrival), namely the direction of propagation of the impinging wavefront w.r.t. the array axis.

#### A. Generation of antenna array responses

The C-VAE model is set here to reproduce the response obtained by EM body diffraction  $F_\theta(\gamma|\hat{\mathbf{E}}_\theta^{\text{VAE}}) \sim F_\theta(\gamma|\mathbf{E}_\theta)$  through the generation of the corresponding EM field samples  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_\theta^{\text{VAE}}$ . For conventional ULA scenarios, that assume planar wavefront propagation, the steering vector  $\mathbf{w}(\gamma) = [a_m]$  for the array is given by eq. (7) of [21] (see also [26] for details).




Fig. 6. DoA analysis for a subject moving across the LOS ( $-0.25 \leq y \leq 0.25$  m,  $x = 2$  m). Comparative analysis of array responses obtained from C-VAE generation and FEKO<sup>®</sup> simulations.

Fig. 3 shows the body-induced array response, in terms of the excess attenuation  $20 \log_{10} |F_\theta(\gamma)|$  as a function of the DoA  $\gamma$  and for different values of the  $y$  displacement of the target (w.r.t. the central LOS) and  $x = 2$  m. The human target has height  $h_S = 1.65$  m, traversal max. and min. sizes equal to  $w_{S,1} = 0.55$  m and  $w_{S,2} = 0.25$  m, respectively. The array signal processing extracts the response for varying DoA  $\gamma$  and is based on Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) with  $N_{FFT} = 257$  points. The figure shows two responses (red and green lines) for corresponding nominal displacements  $y = 0.25$  m and  $y = -0.25$  m, respectively. Dashed lines show the responses  $F_\theta(\gamma|\mathbf{E}_\theta)$  obtained using EM body diffraction, while solid lines represent the reproduced response  $F_\theta(\gamma|\hat{\mathbf{E}}_\theta^{\text{VAE}})$  using C-VAE. Notice that, in the example, each array response represents a random movement of the body in the surrounding of the nominal displacement. The maximum response of the array, namely the dominant DoA  $\gamma_{\max} = \arg \max_\gamma F_\theta(\gamma|\mathbf{E}_\theta)$ , is perturbed by the presence of the subject and such alteration is generally well reproduced by the C-VAE model.

#### B. Analysis with EM body simulations

In this section, we verify the ability of the C-VAE model to reproduce the results of EM field simulation obtained by diffraction and MoM methods (i.e., using Altair<sup>®</sup> FEKO<sup>®</sup> tools). The setup is again composed by a transmitter (TX) and a receiver array (RX) at 4 m distance. The former is an electric dipole transmitting at  $f = 2.4868$  GHz, the latter is composed by 9 isotropic elements spaced at  $\lambda/2$ . For FEKO<sup>®</sup> simulations, rather than a 2D perfectly absorbing sheet, as assumed in the scalar diffraction models [12], an anthropomorphic obstacle is introduced between the two devices. The obstacle is built as shown in Fig. 4: a body-shape model,  $h_S = 1.80$  m,  $w_{S,1} = 0.52$  m and  $w_{S,2} = 0.32$  m, is used,

which is an improvement over the 2D PEC object considered in [11], [12], [18], [21], [27], [28]. The material of the body is retrieved from a simulator available online [29]. Assuming the body mainly constituted by muscles, we set: the relative permittivity  $\epsilon_r = 60$ , the dielectric loss tangent  $\tan\delta = 0.242$ , and the mass density  $\rho = 1040.0 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . Simulations are taken with the body located in three positions: 1)  $x = 2 \text{ m}$  and  $y = 0 \text{ m}$  (LOS, black); 2)  $x = 2 \text{ m}$ , and  $y = 0.25 \text{ m}$  (red); 3)  $x = 2 \text{ m}$ , and  $y = -0.25 \text{ m}$  (green).

In Fig. 5, we report the body-induced excess attenuation values measured by each antenna element for the corresponding subject positions (black, red and green colors). Responses consider the effect of small body movements (5 cm) around the nominal subject position. FEKO<sup>®</sup> simulated results are shown in solid lines, while in dashed lines we reported the corresponding C-VAE generated attenuation samples reproducing EM body diffraction effects. In general, the GNN mostly underestimates the FEKO<sup>®</sup> results, due to different hypotheses about body composition and shape, namely about 4 dB for positions on the LOS and 2 dB for positions outside ( $y = 0.25 \text{ m}$ ,  $y = -0.25 \text{ m}$ ). A possible remedy, to be investigated in the future, is to train the GNN network using examples from both diffraction model and FEKO<sup>®</sup> simulation samples, with the goal of improving the GNN generalization capabilities.

Fig. 6 compares the array responses and the DoA  $\gamma_{\max}$  obtained from the C-VAE generator  $\gamma_{\max} = \arg \max_{\gamma} F_{\theta}(\gamma | \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\theta}^{\text{VAE}})$  (on the left) and FEKO<sup>®</sup> simulated array response under the same configuration (right figure). In all cases the target is moving across the LOS ( $-0.25 \text{ m} \leq y \leq 0.25 \text{ m}$ ,  $x = 2 \text{ m}$ ), with speed  $0.5 \text{ m/s}$ . The movements of the target are distinguishable, as associated with separable DoAs, considering both generated samples (reproducing diffraction effects) and FEKO<sup>®</sup> simulations.

## VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The paper considered the adoption of an EM-informed Generative Neural Network (GNN) model to predict the effects of body movements on radio propagation, with applications to passive radio localization and sensing. A variational auto-encoder (VAE) tool is designed to generate samples of EM field to reproduce body-induced EM diffraction effects, considering small and involuntary movements of the body, as well as multiple antenna settings. Moreover, the C-VAE is several orders of magnitude faster than diffraction and full-wave methods. The paper verified the model effectiveness in reproducing the array response in typical MIMO configurations and the perturbations induced by body movements on such responses. Results are compared with scalar diffraction models as well as EM simulations based on FEKO<sup>®</sup> software.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Youssef, et al., "Challenges: Device-free passive localization for wireless environments," 13th Annu. ACM Intl. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw. (MobiCom'07), pp. 222–229, 2007.
- [2] J. Wilson, et al., "Radio tomographic imaging with wireless networks," IEEE Trans. on Mob. Comp., vol. 9, no.5, pp. 621–632, May 2010.
- [3] S. Savazzi, et al., "On the use of stray wireless signals for sensing: A look beyond 5G for the next generation of industry," Computer, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 25–36, Jul. 2019.
- [4] O. Kaltiokallio, et al., "A Novel Bayesian Filter for RSS-Based Device-Free Localization and Tracking," IEEE Trans. on Mob. Comp., vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 780–795, Mar. 2021.
- [5] S. Palipana, et al., "Recent advances in RF-based passive device-free localisation for indoor applications," Ad Hoc Netw., vol. 64, pp. 80–98, 2017.
- [6] Z. Wang, et al., "A Diffraction Measurement Model and Particle Filter Tracking Method for RSS-Based DFL," IEEE J. on Select. Areas in Comm., vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 2391–2403, Nov. 2015.
- [7] Z. Krupka, "The effect of the human body on radiation properties of small-sized communication systems," IEEE Trans. on Ant. Prop., vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 154–163, Mar. 1968.
- [8] A. Abdelgawwad, et al., "A Trajectory-Driven 3D Channel Model for Human Activity Recognition," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 103393–103406, 2021.
- [9] G. Koutitas, "Multiple human effects in body area networks," IEEE Ant. Wir. Prop. Letters, vol. 9, pp. 938–941, 2010.
- [10] E. Plouhinec, et al., "UTD Human Body Models Comparison based on dual Motion Capture and Radio Measurements," IEEE-APS Topical Conf. on Ant. and Prop. in Wir. Comm. (APWC'19), Granada, pp. 192–197, 2019.
- [11] V. Rampa, et al., "EM models for passive body occupancy inference," IEEE Ant. Wir. Prop. Letters, vol. 17, no. 16, pp. 2517–2520, 2017.
- [12] V. Rampa, et al., "Electromagnetic Models for Passive Detection and Localization of Multiple Bodies," IEEE Trans. on Ant. Prop., vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 1462–1745, 2022.
- [13] B. R. Hamilton, et al., "Propagation modeling for radio frequency tomography in wireless networks," IEEE J. Sel. Topics Sig. Process., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 55–65, Feb. 2014.
- [14] A. Eleryan, et al., "Synthetic generation of radio maps for device-free passive localization," IEEE Global Telecomm. Conf., pp. 1–5, 2011.
- [15] Z. Zhao, et al., "Generative Models for Inverse Imaging Problems: From mathematical foundations to physics-driven applications," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 148–163, Jan. 2023.
- [16] R. He, et al., "Guest Editorial Artificial Intelligence in Radio Propagation for Communications," IEEE Trans. on Ant. Prop., vol. 70, no. 6, Jun. 2022.
- [17] S. Bakirtzis, et al. "EM DeepRay: An expedient, generalizable and realistic data-driven indoor propagation model," IEEE Trans. on Ant. Prop., vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 4140–4154, Jun. 2022.
- [18] S. Savazzi et al., "A physics-informed generative model for passive radio-frequency sensing," IEEE-APS Topical Conf. on Ant. and Prop. in Wir. Comm. (APWC'23), Venice, pp. 1–5, 2023.
- [19] P. Zhang, et al., "Predictive modeling of millimeter-wave vegetation scattering effect using hybrid physics-based and data-driven approach," IEEE Trans. on Ant. Prop., vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 4056–4068, Jun. 2022.
- [20] S. Bond-Taylor, et al., "Deep generative modelling: A comparative review of VAEs, GANs, normalizing flows, energy-based and autoregressive models," IEEE Trans. Patt. Anal. Mach. Intell., vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 7327–7347, Nov. 2021.
- [21] V. Rampa, et al., "An EM body model for Device-Free Localization with multiple antenna receivers: A first study," IEEE-APS Topical Conf. on Ant. and Prop. in Wir. Comm. (APWC'23), Venice, pp. 1–5, 2023.
- [22] Paolo Di Barba, "Future Trends in Optimal Design in Electromagnetics," IEEE Trans. on Magnetics, vol.58, no.9, pp. 1–4, 2022.
- [23] M. Baldan, et al., "Physics-Informed Neural Networks for Inverse Electromagnetic Problems," IEEE Trans. on Magnetics, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 1–5, 2023.
- [24] D. P. Kingma, et al., "Auto-encoding variational Bayes," Proc. Intl. Conf. Learn. Represent (ICLR), 2013. [Online] Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6114>
- [25] Code and Data: diffraction model generation pre-trained network and sample codes, [Online], accessed: Apr. 28, 2023. Available: [https://github.com/labRadioVision/generative\\_diffraction\\_model](https://github.com/labRadioVision/generative_diffraction_model)
- [26] J. Benesty, et al., "A Brief Overview of Conventional Beamforming," in Array Beamforming with Linear Difference Equations, Springer Topics in Signal Processing, vol 20, pp. 13–21, 2021.
- [27] F. Fieramosca, et al., "Modelling of the Floor Effects in Device-Free Radio Localization Applications," 17th EU Conf. on Ant. and Prop. (EuCap'23), Mar. 26-31, Florence, pp. 1–5, 2023.

- [28] F. Fieramosca, et al., “On the impact of the antenna radiation patterns in passive radio sensing,” *IEEE Ant. Wir. Prop. Letters*, to appear, 2024.
- [29] Body Tissue Dielectric Parameters: <https://www.fcc.gov/general/body-tissue-dielectric-parameters>, FCC, US. Accessed: 11 Oct. 2023.