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#### Abstract

We give an estimate for Manolescu's $\kappa$-invariant of a rational homology 3 -sphere $Y$ by the data of a spin 4 -orbifold bounded by $Y$. By an appropriate choice of a 4 -orbifold, sometimes we can restrict and determine the value of $\kappa$. we give such examples in case of rational homology 3 -spheres obtained by Dehn surgeries along knots in $S^{3}$.


Let $Y$ be a rational homology 3 -sphere with spin structure $\mathfrak{s}$. Then there exists a compact smooth 4-manifold with spin structure $\left(X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)$ that satisfies $\partial\left(X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)=$ $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. Manolescu's $\kappa$-invariant $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ (which is simply denoted by $\kappa(Y)$ if $Y$ is an integral homology 3-sphere), defined via a Seiberg-Witten Floer homotopy type of $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ 10], [11], gives constraints on the intersection form of $X$ [12]. In case of almost rational homology 3-spheres, Dai-Sasahira-Stoffregen [3] determines their SeibergWitten Floer homotopy types and the values of $\kappa$-invariants. But it is still difficult to determine $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ in general. In this paper we give an estimate of $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ by the data of a compact spin 4 -orbifold $X$ bounded by $Y$. If $b_{2}(X)$ is small enough, the value of $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is restricted and sometimes determined. In $\S 2$ we apply it to Dehn surgeries along knots in $S^{3}$. For example, let $K_{1 / n}$ be a $(1 / n)$-surgery along a knot $K$. Then $\kappa\left(K_{1 / n}\right)=0$ for any knot $K$ if $n$ is even and positive (Theorem 5), while if $n$ is odd and positive, every integer is realized as the value of $\kappa\left(K_{1 / n}\right)$ for a certain knot $K$ (an explicit example is given in Proposition 3).

## 1. An estimate of $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ BY a SPin 4 -orbifold bounded by $Y$

Let $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ be a rational homology 3 -sphere with spin structure. Then $Y$ bounds a compact connected 4 -orbifold $X$ with spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$, which induces $\mathfrak{s}$ on $Y$.

Theorem 1. Let $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ be a rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure, and $\left(X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)$ be a compact connected spin 4 -orbifold bounded by $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. We assume that the set of the singular points of $X$ consists of isolated points only, which is denoted by $\left\{x_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$. Then a regular neighborhood of $x_{i}$ is a cone $c S_{i}$ over some spherical 3-manifold $S_{i}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_{i}$ be the spin structure on $S_{i}$ induced by $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$, and $k^{ \pm}=b_{2}^{ \pm}(X)$. Then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ has the following properties.
(1)

$$
\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv-\frac{k^{+}-k^{-}}{8}-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 1}}^{n} \bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right) \quad(\bmod 2 \mathbf{Z})
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
-k^{+}-\frac{k^{+}-k^{-}}{8}-\epsilon^{+} & -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right) \leq \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \\
& \leq k^{-}-\frac{k^{+}-k^{-}}{8}+\epsilon^{-}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon^{-}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \left(k^{-} \equiv 0\right. & (\bmod 2)) \\
1 & \left(k^{-} \equiv 1\right. & (\bmod 2))
\end{array}, \epsilon^{+}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \left(k^{+}=0\right) \\
-1 & \left(k^{+} \equiv 1\right. & (\bmod 2)) \\
-2 & \left(k^{+} \equiv 0\right. & (\bmod 2), k>0)
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and $\bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)$ is the Neumann-Siebenmann invariant of $\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)$ [13], [17].
The proof of the following corollary is straightforward from Theorem 1.
Corollary 1. Let $\kappa_{0}=-\frac{k^{+}-k^{-}}{8}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})= \begin{cases}\kappa_{0} & \text { if } k^{+} \leq 2 \text { and } k^{-}=0 \\ \kappa_{0} \text { or } \kappa_{0}-2 & \text { if } k^{+}=3,4 \text { and } k^{-}=0 \\ \kappa_{0} \text { or } \kappa_{0}+2 & \text { if } k^{+} \leq 2 \text { and } k^{-}=1,2\end{cases}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following inequalities by Manolescu. (In [20] we give the outline of the proof in case of a rational homology 3-sphere.)

Theorem 2. [12]
Let $\left(Y_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)(i=0,1)$ be rational homology 3-spheres with spin structures and $\left(W, \mathfrak{s}_{W}\right)$ be a spin cobordism with $b_{1}(W)=0$ from $\left(Y_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$ to $\left(Y_{1}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
-b_{2}^{-}(W)+\frac{\sigma(W)}{8}-1 \leq \kappa\left(Y_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)-\kappa\left(Y_{1}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right) \leq b_{2}^{+}(W)+\frac{\sigma(W)}{8}+1
$$

The first term of the above inequality can be replaced by $-b_{2}^{-}(W)+\frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$ if $b_{2}^{-}(W)$ is even, and the last term can be replaced by $b_{2}^{+}(W)+\frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$ if $b_{2}^{+}(W)$ is even.

Furthermore if $\left(Y_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$ is Floer $K_{G}$ split ${ }^{1}$, then the second inequality is improved as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa\left(Y_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)-\kappa\left(Y_{1}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \begin{cases}b_{2}^{+}(W)+\frac{\sigma(W)}{8}-1 & \text { if } b_{2}^{+}(W) \text { is odd, } \\
b_{2}^{+}(W)+\frac{\sigma(W)}{8}-2 & \text { if } b_{2}^{+}(W) \text { is even and } b_{2}^{+}(W)>0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]
## Proof of Theorem 1.

Let $c S_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be the cones over the spherical 3-manifolds $S_{i}$, which are the regular neighborhoods of the singular points of $X$. If $n \geq 2, S_{i}$ 's can be connected by 1-handles in $X \backslash \operatorname{Int} \cup_{i=1}^{n} c S_{i}$ to form a spin cobordism $W \subset X \backslash \operatorname{Int} \cup_{i=1}^{n} c S_{i}$ from $\cup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$ to the connected sums $S_{0}=\sharp_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$ of $S_{i}$ 's. Then $X_{0}=X \backslash \operatorname{Int}\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n} c S_{i} \cup W\right)$ is a spin cobordism from $S_{0}$ to $Y$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{W}, \mathfrak{s}_{X_{0}}$ be the spin structures on $S_{0}, W$, and $X_{0}$ induced by $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$ respectively.

Since $S_{i}(i \geq 0)$ has a metric $g_{i}$ of positive scalar curvature, $\kappa\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)$ is related to the correction term $n\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ of the Seiberg-Witten-Floer homotopy type of $\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)$ with respect to the metric $g_{i}$ by the following proposition.
Proposition 1. [12] Let $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ be a rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure that admits a metric $g$ of positive scalar curvature. Then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})=-n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g)$ and $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is Floer $K_{G}$ split.

If $i \geq 1, \kappa\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)$ is also related to the Fukumoto-Furuta invariant $w\left(S_{i}, c S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{c S_{i}}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{s}_{c S_{i}}$ is the restriction of $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$ to $c S_{i}$.

Definition 1. Let $\left(X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)$ be a compact spin 4-orbifold with spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$ bounded by a rational homology 3 -sphere $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ with spin structure. Choose a compact spin 4-manifold $\left(X^{\prime}, \mathfrak{s}_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ with $\partial\left(X^{\prime}, \mathfrak{s}_{X^{\prime}}\right)=(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ and put $\left(Z, \mathfrak{s}_{Z}\right)=(X \cup$ $\left.\left(-X^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}_{X} \cup \mathfrak{s}_{X^{\prime}}\right)$. Then the Fukumoto-Furuta invariant $w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)=-\operatorname{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_{Z}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{Z}\right)+\frac{\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right)}{8}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{Z}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{Z}\right)$ is the Dirac operator of $\left(Z, \mathfrak{s}_{Z}\right)$. The value of $w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $X^{\prime}$. (The sign of $w$ is opposite to the original one in [4] .)

Since $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{S_{i}}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)=0, n\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)(i \geq 1)$ is represented by the eta invariants of the Dirac and the signature operators on $S_{i}$ as follows:

$$
n\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)=-\frac{1}{8}\left(4 \eta^{\operatorname{Dir}}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)+\eta^{\mathrm{sign}}\left(S_{i}, g_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Here we assume that the Clifford multiplication $c$ of the volume form vol ${ }_{S_{i}}$ on $S_{i}$ satisfies $c\left(\operatorname{vol}_{S_{i}}\right)=-1$ as in [20]. If we choose $c$ satisfying $c\left(\operatorname{vol}_{S_{i}}\right)=1, \eta^{\operatorname{Dir}}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ should be replaced with $-\eta^{\text {Dir }}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)$ ([20], Remark 4).

The right hand side of the above formula coincides with $w\left(S_{i}, s S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{C S_{i}}\right)$, which is the same as $\bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)([20])$, and hence

$$
(*) \quad \kappa\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)=-n\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)=-\bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right) .
$$

By considering the spin cobordism $W$ from $\cup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$ to $S_{0}$, we also have the relation $n\left(S_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}, g_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} n\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)([20])$. It follows that

$$
(* *) \quad \kappa\left(S_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=-n\left(S_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}, g_{0}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} n\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}, g_{i}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right) .
$$

Then applying the inequality in Theorem 2 (note that $\left(S_{0}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$ is Floer $K_{G}$ split) to the spin cobordism $X_{0}$ and ( $* *$ ), we obtain the inequality in Theorem 1 (2). We note that for a rational homology 3 -sphere with spin structure $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, the mod $2 \mathbf{Z}$ reduction of $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is the Rockhlin invariant $\mu(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ of $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. We also have $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv-n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) \equiv-\mu(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \bmod 2 \mathbf{Z}$ for some metric $g$. Thus by the additivity of signature, if we choose a spin 4-manifold $\left(W_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{W_{i}}\right)$ with $\partial\left(W_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{W_{i}}\right)=\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right)$, we have
$\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv-\frac{1}{8}\left(\sigma\left(X_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma\left(W_{i}\right)\right) \equiv-\frac{1}{8} \sigma\left(X_{0}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right) \bmod 2 \mathbf{Z}$.
This proves Theorem 1 (1).
On the other hand, $w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)$ for $(Y, X)$ in Theorem 1 is represented via the eta invariants of $S_{i}$ 's and (*) as follows (20]).

$$
w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)=\frac{\sigma(X)}{8}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\mu}\left(S_{i}, \mathfrak{s}_{i}\right) .
$$

Furthermore $w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right) \equiv \mu(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \bmod 2 \mathbf{Z}$ since $\operatorname{dimind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_{Z}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{Z}\right)$ is even, where $Z$ is a closed spin orbifold in the definition of $w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)$. The following theorem is deduced from these facts and Theorem 1 .

Theorem 3. Let $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ be a rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure and $\left(X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)$ be a spin 4 -orbifold bounded by $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ as in Theorem 1. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})+w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2 \mathbf{Z} \\
-k^{+}-\epsilon^{+} \leq \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})+w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right) \leq k^{-}+\epsilon^{-} .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $Y$ is a Seifert rational homology 3 -sphere, we can choose $X$ so that $w\left(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}\right)=$ $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ and $k^{ \pm}$are small, which restricts the value of $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})+\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})([20])$.

We also note that the $\kappa$-invariant of an almost rational (AR) homology 3 -sphere is determined by Dai-Sasahira-Stoffregen [3].

Theorem 4. 3] Let $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ be an AR homology 3-sphere with spin structure. Then either one of the followings holds.
(1) If $-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})=\delta(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})=-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$.
(2) If $-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})<\delta(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})=-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})+2$.

Here $\delta(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is the monopole Frøyshov invariant of $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. Note that we have always $-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq \delta(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ [1].

Remark 1. In [6], [8], the Frøyshov invariant is defined as $h(Y, \mathfrak{s})=-\delta(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ so that $d(Y, \mathfrak{s})=-2 h(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, where $d(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is the Ozsváth-Szabó's correction term [15].

For an AR homology 3-sphere $Y$, the correction term $\underline{d}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ of the involutive Floer homology is equal to $-2 \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})[2]$. If $Y$ is also an $L$-space, then the correction terms $\underline{d}(Y, \mathfrak{s}), d(Y, \mathfrak{s}), \bar{d}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ are all the same ([7]). Since $\delta(Y, \mathfrak{s})=d(Y, \mathfrak{s}) / 2$, we have $-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})=\delta(Y, \mathfrak{s})=\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ by the above theorem. (*) is also deduced from this fact because a spherical 3 -manifold is rational and also an $L$-space ([14]).

## 2. $\kappa$-Invariants of Dehn surgeries along knots in $S^{3}$

We apply Theorem 1 to a rational homology 3-sphere obtained by Dehn surgery along a knot $K$ in $S^{3}$. We denote by $K_{p / q}$ the $(p / q)$-surgery along $K$. The set of spin structures on the complement $X_{K}:=S^{3} \backslash \operatorname{Int} N(K)$ of the tubular neighborhood $N(K)$ of $K$ is identified with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_{2}}\left(H_{1}\left(X_{K}, \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right), \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. Hence there are 2 spin structures $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ on $X_{K}$. Here $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ is the one that extends to the unique spin structure on $S^{3}$, and $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ is the one that does not extend to $S^{3}$. The spin structure on $X_{K}$ corresponding to $c \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_{2}}\left(H_{1}\left(X_{K}, \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right), \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)$ extends to $K_{p / q}$ if and only if $p c(\mu) \equiv p q \bmod 2$, where $\mu$ is the meridian of $K$. It follows that only $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ ) extends to the spin structure on $K_{p / q}$ if $p$ is odd and $q$ is even (resp. both $p$ and $q$ are odd), while both $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ extend to $K_{p / q}$ if $p$ is even and $q$ is odd. We denote the extended spin structures on $K_{p / q}$ by the same symbols as those on $X_{K}$.

Theorem 5. Let $K$ be any knot in $S^{3}$, and $p$ and $q$ are positive integers such that $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1, p+q \equiv 1 \bmod 2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\kappa\left(L(p,-q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right), \\
& \kappa\left(K_{-p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\kappa\left(L(p, q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right) \text { or } \kappa\left(L(p, q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ on $L(p, \pm q)$ is the spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ on the $\mp p / q$-surgery along the unknot, which is $L(p, \pm q)$. We note that $\kappa\left(L(p, \pm q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=-\bar{\mu}\left(L(p, \pm q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$. In particular, we have

$$
\kappa\left(K_{1 / 2 n}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n>0 \\ 0 \text { or } 2 & \text { if } n<0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. First suppose that $p>q>1$. Since $p+q \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, we have the continued fraction expansion of $p / q$ of the following form.

$$
\text { (†) } \frac{p}{q}=\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]=\alpha_{1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}-\frac{1}{\cdots-\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}}}
$$

where $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and $\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \geq 2$ for all $\alpha_{i}$. Then $K_{p / q}$ bounds a 4 -manifold $X$ represented by the framed link $\mathcal{L}$ in Figure 1.

Since every $\alpha_{i}$ is even, $X$ has a spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$ whose restriction to $K_{p / q}$ is $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ (see Remark 2 (1) below). The sublink $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ consisting of the components of framing $\alpha_{i}$


Figure 1. The framed link $\mathcal{L}$
$(i \geq 2)$ represents a plumbing $X_{\Gamma}$ whose boundary is a lens space $L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$, where $p^{\prime} / q^{\prime}=\left[\alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right]$. We can push $X_{\Gamma}$ into $\operatorname{Int} X$ and attach the cone $c L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$ over $L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$ to the complement $X_{0}=X \backslash \operatorname{Int} X_{\Gamma}$ along $L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$ to form a 4orbifold $\widehat{X}$. The spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$ over $X_{0}$ can be extended to $c L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$ and form the orbifold spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{\widehat{X}}$ on $\widehat{X}$ (This procedure is similar to those in [16], [19]). The intersection matrices of $X$ and $X_{\Gamma}$ are congruent to the diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are $\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i-1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right] \mid i \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i-1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right] \mid i \geq 2\right\}$ respectively. Hence $\sigma\left(X_{0}\right)=\sigma(X)-\sigma\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ is the sign of $\left[\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right]=p / q$, which is 1 . Since $b_{2}\left(X_{0}\right)=b_{2}(X)-b_{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)=1$, we have $b_{2}^{+}(\widehat{X})=b_{2}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)=1$ and $b_{2}^{-}(\widehat{X})=b_{2}^{-}\left(X_{0}\right)=0$ and hence by Corollary 1 ,

$$
\kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{8}-\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ is the restriction of $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$ to $L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{sgn}\left[\alpha_{i}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right]=\operatorname{sgn} \alpha_{i}$ and $\operatorname{sgn} \alpha_{1}=1$ and the characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ associated with $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ is empty, we have $\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{8} \sigma\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ and

$$
\kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{8}\left(1+\sigma\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \alpha_{i},
$$

which is the same as $-\bar{\mu}\left(L(p,-q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\kappa\left(L(p,-q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$. In fact, $\kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$ does not depend on $K$, and hence is the same as the $\kappa$-invariant of the $p / q$-surgery along the unknot, which is $L(p,-q)$. In case of $K_{-p / q}$, we form a spin 4-manifold $X^{\prime}$ with $\partial X^{\prime}=K_{-p / q}$ represented by a framed link $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$, which is obtained from $\mathcal{L}$ by replacing the framings $\alpha_{i}$ with $-\alpha_{i}$. There is a plumbing $-X_{\Gamma}$ corresponding to the sublink of $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$, which consists of the components of framing $-\alpha_{i}(i \geq 2)$ with $\partial\left(-X_{\Gamma}\right)=L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$ in $X^{\prime}$. Then by replacing it with the cone over $L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$, we also obtain the spin 4 -orbifold $\widehat{X}^{\prime}$. In this case $b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $b^{-}\left(\widehat{X}^{\prime}\right)=1$ and hence by Corollary 1,

$$
\kappa\left(K_{-p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{8}-\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right) \text { or } 2+\frac{1}{8}-\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ is the restriction of the spin structure on $X^{\prime}$ to $L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$. Here we have

$$
\frac{1}{8}-\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \alpha_{i}=-\frac{1}{8} \bar{\mu}\left(L(p, q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\kappa\left(L(p, q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)
$$

If $p$ is even and $q=1, K_{p}$ bounds a spin 4-manifold $X$ represented by a framed link $K$ with framing $p$ whose spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$ induces $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ on $K_{p}$. In this case we apply Manolescu inequality in Theorem 2 (by putting $Y_{0}=S^{3}$ ) directly to ( $X, \mathfrak{s}_{X}$ ). Then $\kappa\left(K_{p}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=-1 / 8=-\bar{\mu}\left(L(p,-1), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\kappa\left(L(p,-1), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$, and $\kappa\left(K_{-p}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$ is either $\kappa\left(L(p, 1), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$ or $\kappa\left(L(p, 1), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)+2$ since $\kappa\left(Y_{ \pm p}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right) \equiv-\mu\left(Y_{ \pm p}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right) \equiv \mp 1 / 8(\bmod 2 \mathbf{Z})$. Thus we prove Theorem 5 in the first case.

Next suppose that $q>p>0$. Then there is a continued fraction expansion of $q / p$ of the form

$$
\frac{q}{p}=\left[\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right] \quad n \geq 2,\left|\beta_{i}\right| \geq 2, \beta_{i} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2 \text { for all } i \geq 2
$$

In this case $K_{p / q}$ bounds a spin 4-manifold $X^{\prime}$ represented by a framed link $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$, which is obtained from the above $\mathcal{L}$ by replacing the framing $\alpha_{1}$ with 0 , and $\alpha_{i}$ with $-\beta_{i}$ for $i \geq 2$. The spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{X^{\prime}}$ on $X^{\prime}$ induces $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ on $K_{p / q}$. Then we have a plumbing $X_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ in $X^{\prime}$ corresponding to the sublink, which consists of the components of framing $-\beta_{i}(i \geq 2)$. The boundary of $X_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is a lens space $L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$, where $p^{\prime} / q^{\prime}=\left[\beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right]$. Again we can push $X_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ into $\operatorname{Int} X^{\prime}$ and replace it with the cone $c L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$ over $L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$ to form a spin 4-orbifold $\widehat{X}^{\prime}$. The intersection matrices of $X^{\prime}$ and $X_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ are congruent to the diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are $\left\{1 /\left[\beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right],-\left[\beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right], \cdots-\beta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{-\left[\beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right], \cdots,-\beta_{n}\right\}$ respectively. Hence $\sigma\left(\widehat{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is the sign of $1 /\left[\beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right]=p / q$ and hence 1 . It follows that $b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}^{\prime}\right)=$ 1 and $b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Thus again by Corollary 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{8}-\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{1}{8}\left(1-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\left[\beta_{i}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right]\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{8}\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(1 /\left[\beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right]+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\left[-\beta_{i}, \cdots,-\beta_{n}\right]\right)\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}=\left.\mathfrak{s}_{X^{\prime}}\right|_{L\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)}\right),\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the same as $-\bar{\mu}\left(L(p,-q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\kappa\left(L(p,-q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$. In case of $K_{-p / q}$, it bounds a spin 4 -manifold $X^{\prime \prime}$ represented by a framed link $\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}$, which is obtained from $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ by replacing the framing $-\beta_{i}$ with $\beta_{i}(i \geq 2)$. The spin structure $\mathfrak{s}_{X^{\prime \prime}}$ also induces $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ on $K_{-p / q}$. Then we obtain the spin 4 -orbifold $\widehat{X}^{\prime \prime}$ by deleting the plumbing $-X_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ with $\partial\left(-X_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)=L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$ from $X^{\prime \prime}$ and attaching the cone $c L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$ over $L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right)$. In this case $b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ and $b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$ and hence by Corollary 1 we have

$$
\kappa\left(K_{-p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{8}-\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right) \text { or } 2+\frac{1}{8}-\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $\frac{1}{8}-\bar{\mu}\left(L\left(p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right)=-\bar{\mu}\left(L(p, q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=\kappa\left(L(p, q), \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$. Thus we obtain the required result in the second case.

On the other hand, the value of $\kappa$ may depend on the choice of $K$ in case of a $p / q$ surgery with $p$ and $q$ odd. In fact, examples below show the following proposition.
Proposition 2. Every integer is realized as the value of the $\kappa$-invariant of $a(+1)$ surgery along some torus knot.

Remark 2. (1) Let $L_{i}$ be the component of $\mathcal{L}$ with framing $\alpha_{i}\left(L_{1}=K\right)$, and $\mu_{i}$ be a meridian of $L_{i}$. Then $L_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$ are oriented so that we have the following relations in $H_{1}\left(K_{p / q}, \mathbf{Z}\right)$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\alpha_{i} & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mu_{i}}{\mu_{i-1}}=\binom{\mu_{i+1}}{\mu_{i}} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

where $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{0}\right)$ corresponds to the pair $(\mu, \lambda)$ of the meridian and the preferred longitude of $K \subset S^{3}$, and $\left(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n}\right)$ corresponds to the pair of the meridian and the longitude of the solid torus attached to $X_{K}$ by the $(p / q)$ surgery along $K$ (and hence $\mu_{0}=\mu_{n+1}=0$ in $\left.H_{1}\left(K_{p / q}, \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$. If we put

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{p} & \widetilde{q} \\
\widetilde{r} & \widetilde{s}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\alpha_{n} & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\alpha_{n-1} & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\alpha_{1} & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $(\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{q})= \pm(p, q)$. We note that the set of spin structures on $K_{p / q}$ is also identified with the set of $\widetilde{c} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{1}\left(S^{3} \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}, \mathbf{Z}\right), \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}\left(L_{i}, L_{j}\right) \widetilde{c}\left(\mu_{j}\right) \equiv \operatorname{lk}\left(L_{i}, L_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i} \quad(\bmod 2)(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

It follows that $\widetilde{c} \equiv 0$ (corresponding to the spin structure on $K_{p / q}$ induced by $\mathfrak{s}_{X}$ ), if and only if $c(\mu) \equiv 0$ (corresponding to $\mathfrak{s}_{0}$ ) since $\alpha_{i}$ is even. On the other hand, if $p$ is even and $q$ is odd, then $n$ is odd in the continued fraction $(\dagger)$. Hence $\widetilde{c} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{1}\left(S^{3} \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}, \mathbf{Z}\right), \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\widetilde{c}\left(\mu_{i}\right) \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2) \quad \text { if } i \text { is odd, } \widetilde{c}\left(\mu_{i}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2) \quad \text { if } i \text { is even }
$$

corresponds to $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$, whose associated characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\cup_{i}$ odd $L_{i}$.
(2) $K_{1 / 2 m}$ bounds a compact spin 4-manifold $X$ represented by a framed link consisting of $K$ and its meridian with framings 0 and $-2 m$ respectively. A direct application of Theorem 2 (by putting $Y_{0}=S^{3}$ ) to $X$ shows that $\kappa\left(K_{1 / 2 m}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=0$ or 2 . Theorem 5 slightly improves the estimate of $\kappa$ when $m>0$.
(3) If we reverse the orientation of $K_{p / q}$, we obtain $\bar{K}_{-p / q}$, where $\bar{K}$ is the mirror image of $K$. In case of $K_{p / q}$ with $p>0, q>0$ and $p+q \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, the computation of the above theorem shows that

$$
\kappa\left(\bar{K}_{-p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)=-\kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right) \text { or }-\kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)+2
$$



Figure 2. $\quad \Gamma$ corresponding to $\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+3)$
We can see that both cases actually occur (examples below).
(4) Let $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ be a rational homology 3 -sphere $Y$ with spin structure $\mathfrak{s}$, which bounds a compact 4 -manifold $X$ represented by a framed link $\mathcal{L}$. Suppose that there is a sublink $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ which forms a plumbing $X_{\Gamma}$ whose boundary is a spherial 3 -manifold $S$, and contains the characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{s}$. Then by replacing $X_{\Gamma}$ with the cone over $S$ we obtain a spin 4-orbifold with smaller Betti numbers, from which we could restrict the value of $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. In case of $\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)$ with $p+q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ or $\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)$ with $p \equiv q \equiv 1(\bmod 2), K_{p / q}$ bounds a compact 4-manifold $X$ represented by a framed link $\mathcal{L}$ similar to Figure 1, which is obtained by the continued fraction expansion of $p / q$. But in either case, we cannot obtain an orbifold by collapsing the characteristic sublink $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ if $K$ is nontrivial, because $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ contains a component $K$.

## Some examples.

Let $T_{p, q}$ be the right-handed $(p, q)$ torus knot. Then the $(1 / n)$-surgery along $T_{p, q}$ is diffeomorphic to a Brieskorn homology 3 -sphere up to orientation as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{p,-q}\right)_{-1 / n} \cong \Sigma(p, q, p q n-1),\left(T_{p, q}\right)_{-1 / n} \cong \Sigma(p, q, p q n+1), \\
& \left(T_{p, q}\right)_{1 / n} \cong-\Sigma(p, q, p q n-1),\left(T_{p,-q}\right)_{1 / n} \cong-\Sigma(p, q, p q n+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $p, q, n>0$. Then by Theorem $5, \kappa(-\Sigma(p, q, 2 p q m-1))=\kappa(-\Sigma(p, q, 2 p q m+1))=$ 0 , while $\kappa(\Sigma(p, q, 2 p q m-1))$ and $\kappa(\Sigma(p, q, 2 p q m+1)$ are either 0 or 2 if $m>0$, and both cases occur. For example, $\left(T_{2,3}\right)_{-1 / 2} \cong \Sigma(2,3,13)$ bounds a contractible manifold, and hence $\kappa(\Sigma(2,3,13))=0$. On the other hand $\left(T_{2,-3}\right)_{-1 / 2 m} \cong \Sigma(2,3,12 m-1)$ and $\kappa(\Sigma(2,3,12 m-1))=2[12]$. Another example is $\left(T_{2,-7}\right)_{-1 / 2 k} \cong \Sigma(2,7,28 k-1)$. In this case $\bar{\mu}=-\beta=0$ and $\delta=2([18])$, and hence $\kappa(\Sigma(2,7,28 k-1))=2$ by Theorem 4.

On the other hand, $\kappa\left(K_{1 / n}\right)$ for $n$ odd may vary according to the choice of $K$. For example, $\left(T_{2,-4 k-1}\right)_{1} \cong-\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+3)$, where $\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+3)$ has the Seifert invariants of the form

$$
\{(2,1),(4 k+1,2 k),(8 k+3,-8 k-2)\}
$$

(we follow the sign convention in [9], which is opposite to those in [5], [19]), and bounds a spin plumbing $X(\Gamma)$ corresponding to the weighted graph $\Gamma$ in Figure 2.


Figure 3. $K(4 c, 2 d+1)$ and $\mathcal{L}$
Hence we have $\bar{\mu}(\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+3))=\frac{1}{8} \sigma(X(\Gamma))=k$. (We note that $\sigma(X(\Gamma))$ is the sum of the sign of $\operatorname{deg}(\partial X(\Gamma))$ (which is -1 ) and the signs of the weights of the vertices of $\Gamma$ other than the central one in Figure 2.) In [20] we claim that if a Seifert rational homology 3 -sphere $Y$ contains a singular fiber of even multiplicity and has positive degree, then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})=-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. It follows that
$\kappa(-\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+3))=-\bar{\mu}(-\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+3))=\bar{\mu}(\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+3))=k$.
Likewise $\left(T_{2,4 k+1}\right)_{1} \cong-\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+1)$, where $\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+1)$ has the Seifert invariants of the form

$$
\{(2,-1),(4 k+1,-2 k),(8 k+1,8 k)\}
$$

and hence

$$
\kappa(-\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+1))=\bar{\mu}(\Sigma(2,4 k+1,8 k+1))=-k
$$

by computation similar to the above case. This proves Proposition 2 ,
As another example, we consider the knot $K(4 c, 2 d+1)$ with $c \neq 0$ in Figure 3. Here $\ell$ means $\ell$ times right-handed half twists if $\ell>0$, and $|\ell|$ times left-handed half twists if $\ell<0$.

Proposition 3. Let $Y=K(4 c, 2 d+1)_{1 / n}$ with $c \neq 0$ and $n$ odd. Then for the unique spin structure $\mathfrak{s}$ on $Y$, we have

$$
\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})= \begin{cases}-c & \text { if } n>0 \\ -c \text { or }-c+2 & \text { if } n<0\end{cases}
$$

We note that $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})=0$ if $c=0$ since $K(0,2 d+1)$ is the unknot and hence $Y=S^{3}$. To prove Proposition 3, we consider a 4 -manifold $X$ represented by a framed link $\mathcal{L}$ in Figure 3, which is bounded by $Y$. We denote the components of $\mathcal{L}$ by $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ from left to right. Let $x_{i}$ be the basis of $H_{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ represented by a union of the core of the 2-handle attached along $L_{i}$ and the cone over $L_{i}$ in the 4 -ball. Then the characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}$ associated with $\mathfrak{s}$ is $L_{3}$, and the Poincare
dual of $x_{3} \bmod 2$ is the obstruction to extending $\mathfrak{s}$ to the spin structure on $X$. Then the 4-manifolds $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}^{\prime}$ represented by $L_{1}$ and $L_{3} \cup L_{4}$ have boundaries $L(2 c,-1)$ and $L(p, q)$ respectively, where $p / q=[8 c, n]$ (since $L_{3}$ is also the unknot). Hence by pushing $\widetilde{X}$ and $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ separately into Int $X$ and replacing them with the cones over $L(2 c,-1)$ and $L(p, q)$, we obtain a 4 -orbifold $\widehat{X}$ with spin structure $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{\prime}$ be the spin structures on $L(2 c,-1)$ and $L(p, q)$ induced from $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}$ respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\mu}(L(2 c,-1), \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}})=\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(\tilde{X}))=\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{sgn} c, \\
& \bar{\mu}\left(L(p, q), \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(\sigma\left(\widetilde{X}^{\prime}\right)-x_{3}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{8}(-\operatorname{sgn}[8 c, n]-\operatorname{sgn} n+8 c),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ extends to the spin structure on $\widetilde{X}$, while the characteristic sublink of $L_{3} \cup L_{4}$ associated with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}}$ is also $L_{3}$. The intersection matrix of $X$ is congruent to the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are $\{1 / 4 n, 4 /[8 c, n],-[8 c, n],-n\}$ and hence $\sigma(X)=0$. It follows that $b_{2}(\widehat{X})=1$ and $\sigma(\widehat{X})=\sigma(X)-\sigma(\widetilde{X})-\sigma\left(\widetilde{X}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{sgn} n$ since $\operatorname{sgn}[8 c, n]=\operatorname{sgn} c$. Hence $b_{2}^{+}(\widehat{X})=1$ and $b_{2}^{-}(\widehat{X})=0$ if $n>0$, and $b_{2}^{+}(\widehat{X})=0$ and $b_{2}^{-}(\widehat{X})=1$ if $n<0$. Thus we deduce from Corollary 1 that

$$
\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})=-\frac{\sigma(\widehat{X})}{8}-\left(\bar{\mu}(L(2 c,-1), \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}})+\bar{\mu}\left(L(p, q), \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{\prime}\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{8}(1-\operatorname{sgn} n+8 c)=-c
$$

if $n>0$, and $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})=-c$ or $-c+2$ if $n<0$.
We note that $K(4 c,-1)=T_{2,4 c+1}$ if $c>0$, and hence if $n>0$ and $n$ is odd,
$\kappa\left(K(4 c,-1)_{1 / n}\right)=\kappa(-\Sigma(2,4 c+1,(8 c+2) n-1))=\bar{\mu}(\Sigma(2,4 c+1,(8 c+2) n-1))$,
which is $-c$ by computation similar to the above case.
On the other hand, if we consider $K(2 c, 2 d)$ (the double twist knot with $c$ times full twists and $d$ times full twists) instead, $K(2 c, 2 d)_{1 / n}$ is diffeomorphic to the Dehn surgery along the Borromean rings with framings $-1 / c,-1 / d$, and $1 / n$ respectively, and also diffeomorphic to $K(2 c,-2 n)_{-1 / d}$ and $K(2 d,-2 n)_{-1 / c}$ by the symmetry of the Borromean rings. Hence if $c$ or $d$ is even, $\kappa\left(K(2 c, 2 d)_{1 / n}\right)=0$ or 2 , and if $c<0$ or $d<0$ in addition, it is 0 by Theorem 5 for every nonzero integer $n$.

Proposition 3 can be generalized to the case of the $\pm p / q$-surgery along $K:=$ $K(4 c, 2 d+1)(c \neq 0)$ as follows.

Proposition 4. Let $K=K(4 c, 2 d+1)$ with $c \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ be the spin structure on $K_{ \pm p / q}$ defined as in the first paragraph of this section.
(1) Suppose that $p \equiv 0(\bmod 2), q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, and $p>q>0$, Then there exist $\beta_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $p / q=\left[\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right], \beta_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, and
$\left|\beta_{i}\right| \geq 2$ (note that $n$ is odd in this case). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{0} & =-\frac{1}{8}\left(1+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \beta_{i}-\sum_{i \text { odd }} \beta_{i}\right)-c, \\
\kappa_{0}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{8}\left(1+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \beta_{i}-\sum_{i \text { odd }} \beta_{i}\right)-c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\kappa_{0} & \left(\text { if } c>\frac{p}{8 q} \text { or } c<0\right), \\
\kappa_{0} \text { or } \kappa_{0}+2 & \left(\text { if } 0<c<\frac{p}{8 q}\right),\end{cases} \\
& \kappa\left(K_{-p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\kappa_{0}^{\prime} & \left(\text { if }-\frac{p}{8 q}<c<0\right), \\
\kappa_{0}^{\prime} \text { or } \kappa_{0}^{\prime}+2 & \left(\text { if } c<-\frac{p}{8 q}<0 \text { or } c>0\right) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Suppose that $q>p>0, p \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Then there exist $\gamma_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}(2 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $q / p=\left[\gamma_{2}, \cdots, \gamma_{n}\right],\left|\gamma_{i}\right| \geq 2, \gamma_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)(n$ is also odd). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{1} & =-\frac{1}{8}\left(1-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \gamma_{i}+\sum_{i \text { odd }} \gamma_{i}\right)-c, \\
\kappa_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{8}\left(1-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \gamma_{i}+\sum_{i \text { odd }} \gamma_{i}\right)-c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)=\kappa_{1} \\
& \kappa\left(K_{-p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)=\kappa_{1}^{\prime} \text { or } \kappa_{1}^{\prime}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Suppose that $p>0, q>0, p \equiv q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Then there exist $\delta_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $(p+q) / p=\left[\delta_{1}, \cdots, \delta_{n}\right],\left|\delta_{i}\right| \geq 2, \delta_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{2}=-\frac{1}{8}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \delta_{i}\right)-c, \\
& \kappa_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{8}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \delta_{i}\right)-c
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa\left(K_{p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\kappa_{2} & \left(\text { if } c<0 \text { or } \frac{p}{8 q}<c\right), \\
\kappa_{2} \text { or } \kappa_{2}+2 & \left(\text { if } 0<c<\frac{p}{8 q}\right),\end{cases} \\
& \kappa\left(K_{-p / q}, \mathfrak{s}_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\kappa_{2}^{\prime} & \left(\text { if }-\frac{p}{8 q}<c<0\right), \\
\kappa_{2}^{\prime} \text { or } \kappa_{2}^{\prime}+2 & \left(\text { if } c>0 \text { or } c<-\frac{p}{8 q}\right) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $p>q>0, p \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, and $q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ be a framed link obtained from $\mathcal{L}$ in the proof of Proposition 3 by replacing $L_{4}$ with $\cup_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime}$, where

- $L_{1}^{\prime}=L_{4}$ and $L_{i}^{\prime}$ is a meridian of $L_{i-1}^{\prime}(i \geq 2)$,
- the framings of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ are $-8 c+\beta_{1}(i=1)$, and $\beta_{i}(i \geq 2)$ respectively.

Then $K_{p / q}$ bounds a 4 manifold $X_{(1)}$ represented by $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ and the characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ is $\cup_{i}$ odd $L_{i}^{\prime}$. Furthermore $\cup_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime}$ represents a plumbing $X_{\Gamma_{(1)}}$ with $\partial X_{\Gamma_{(1)}}=L(p-8 c q,-q)$. The intersection matrix of $X_{(1)}$ is congruent to the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are

$$
\left\{p /(4 q),-4 q /(p-8 c q),(p-8 c q) / q,\left[\beta_{i}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right](i \geq 2)\right\}
$$

Furthermore the intersection matrices of the 4 -manifold $X_{L_{1}}$ corresponding to $L_{1}$ and $X_{\Gamma_{(1)}}$ are congruent to the diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are $\{2 c\}$ and $\left\{(p-8 c q) / q,\left[\beta_{i}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right](i \geq 2)\right\}$ respectively. Then by pushing $X_{\Gamma_{(1)}}$ and $X_{L_{1}}$ into $X_{(1)}$ and replacing them with the cones over $L(p-8 c q,-q)$ and $L(2 c,-1)$ we obtain a spin orbifold $\widehat{X}_{(1)}$ bounded by $K_{p / q}$ whose spin structure $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}$ induces $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ on $K_{p / q}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{L_{1}}$ be the spin structures on $L(p-8 c q, q)$ and $L(2 c,-1)$ induced by $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}$ respectively. It follows that $\sigma\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}\right)=\sigma\left(X_{(1)}\right)-\sigma\left(X_{\Gamma_{(1)}}\right)-\sigma\left(X_{L_{1}}\right)=$ $1-\operatorname{sgn}(p-8 c q)-\operatorname{sgn} c$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}\right)=1, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}\right)=0 \text { if } c<0 \text { or } p / q<8 c, \\
& b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}\right)=0, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}\right)=1 \text { if } 0<8 c<p / q
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore we have

$$
\bar{\mu}\left(L(p-8 c q, q), \mathfrak{s}_{(1)}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(\operatorname{sgn}(p-8 c q)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \beta_{i}-\sum_{i \text { odd }} \beta_{i}\right)+c,
$$

and $\bar{\mu}\left(L(2 c,-1)=\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{sgn} c\right.$ since $\operatorname{sgn}\left[\beta_{i}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right]=\operatorname{sgn} \beta_{i}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}^{\prime}$ be a framed link obtained from $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ by replacing the framings of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ with $-8 c-\beta_{1}(i=1)$ and $-\beta_{i}$ $(i \geq 2)$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}^{\prime}$ represents a 4-manifold $X_{(1)}^{\prime}$ bounded by $K_{-p / q}$ and the characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}^{\prime}$ is also $\cup_{i \text { odd }} L_{i}^{\prime}$. In this case $\cup_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime}$ represents a plumbing $X_{\Gamma_{(1)}^{\prime}}$ with $\partial X_{\Gamma_{(1)}^{\prime}}=L(p+8 c q, q)$. We obtain a spin orbifold $\widehat{X}_{(1)}^{\prime}$ with $\partial \widehat{X}_{(1)}^{\prime}=K_{-p / q}$ by replacing $X_{\Gamma_{(1)}^{\prime}}$ and $X_{L_{1}}$ with the cones over their boundaries, whose spin structure $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}}$ induces $s_{1}$ on $K_{-p / q}$. By computation similar to the above case, we have $\sigma\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(p+8 c q)-1-\operatorname{sgn} c$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}^{\prime}\right)=1, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { if }-p / q<8 c<0 \\
& b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}^{\prime}\right)=0, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(1)}^{\prime}\right)=1 \text { if } c>0 \text { or } 8 c<-p / q
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore we have

$$
\bar{\mu}\left(L(p+8 c q, q), \mathfrak{s}_{(1)^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(-\operatorname{sgn}(p+8 c q)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \beta_{i}+\sum_{i \text { odd }} \beta_{i}\right)+c,
$$

where $\mathfrak{s}_{(1)^{\prime}}$ is the spin structure induced from $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}}$.
Next suppose that $q>p>0, p \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}$ be a framed link obtained from $\mathcal{L}$ by replacing $L_{4}$ with $\cup_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime}$, where

- $L_{1}^{\prime}=L_{4}, L_{i}^{\prime}$ is a meridian of $L_{i-1}^{\prime}(i \geq 2)$,
- the framings of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ are $-8 c(i=1),-\gamma_{i}(i \geq 2)$ respectively.

Then $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}$ represents a 4 -manifold $X_{(2)}$ bounded by $K_{p / q}$ and the characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}$ associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ is $\cup_{i}$ odd $L_{i}^{\prime}$. Furthermore $\cup_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime}$ represents a plumbing $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}}$ with $\partial X_{\Gamma_{(2)}}=L(p-8 c q,-q)$. We can also form a spin orbifold $\widehat{X}_{(2)}$ from $X_{(2)}$ by replacing $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}}$ and $X_{L_{1}}$ with the cones over their boundaries, whose spin structure $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{(2)}$ induces $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ on $K_{p / q}$. The intersection matrix of $X_{(2)}$ is congruent to the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are

$$
\left\{p /(4 q),-4 q /(p-8 c q),(p-8 c q) / q,-\left[\gamma_{i}, \cdots, \gamma_{n}\right](i \geq 2)\right\}
$$

and we have $\sigma\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=1-\operatorname{sgn}(p-8 c q)-\operatorname{sgn} c$ by computation similar to the above case. Hence we have $b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=1, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=0$ since $0<p / q<1$ and

$$
\bar{\mu}\left(L\left((p-8 c q,-q), \mathfrak{s}_{(2)}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(\operatorname{sgn}(p-8 c q)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \gamma_{i}+\sum_{i \text { odd }} \gamma_{i}\right)+c\right.
$$

and $\bar{\mu}\left(L(2 c,-1), \mathfrak{s}_{L_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{sgn} c$, where $\mathfrak{s}_{(2)}$ and $s_{L_{1}}$ are the spin structures induced by $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{(2)}$. On the other hand, $K_{-p / q}$ bounds a 4 -manifold $X_{(2)}^{\prime}$ represented by a framed link $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{\prime}$, which is obtained from $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}$ by replacing the framings of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ with $\gamma_{i}(i \geq 2)$. The characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{\prime}$ associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ is also $\cup_{i \text { odd }} L_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\cup_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime}$ forms a plumbing $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}^{\prime}}$ with $\partial X_{\Gamma_{(2)}^{\prime}}=L(p+8 c q, q)$. We also form a spin 4-orbifold $\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}$ from $X_{(2)}^{\prime}$ by replacing $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}^{\prime}}$ and $X_{L_{1}}$ with the cones over their boundaries, whose spin structure $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{(2)^{\prime}}$ induces $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ on $K_{-p / q}$. Then computation similar to the above case shows that $\sigma\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(p+8 c q)-1-\operatorname{sgn} c$, and $b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=0, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=1$. Furthermore we have

$$
\bar{\mu}\left(L(p+8 c q, q), \mathfrak{s}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(-\operatorname{sgn}(p+8 c q)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \gamma_{i}-\sum_{i \text { odd }} \gamma_{i}\right)+c
$$

where $\mathfrak{s}_{(2)}^{\prime}$ is induced from $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}(2)_{\prime}$.
Finally suppose that $p>0, q>0$, and $p \equiv q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. In this case we consider a framed link $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}$ obtained from $\mathcal{L}$ by replacing $L_{4}$ with $\cup_{i=1}^{n+2} L_{i}^{\prime}$, where

- $L_{1}^{\prime}=L_{4}, L_{i}^{\prime}$ is a meridian of $L_{i-1}^{\prime}(i \geq 2)$,
- the framings of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ are $-8 c-1(i=1),-1(i=2),-\delta_{i-2}(i \geq 3)$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}$ represents a 4-manifold $X_{(2)}$ bounded by $K_{p / q}$, and $\cup_{i=1}^{n+2} L_{i}^{\prime}$ represents a plumbing $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}}$ bounded by $L(p-8 c q,-q)$. The characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}$ associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ is $L_{1}^{\prime}$. The intersection matrices of $X_{(2)}$ and $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}}$ are congruent to the diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{p /(4 q),-4 q /(p-8 c q),(p-8 c q) / q,-q /(p+q),-\left[\delta_{i}, \cdots, \delta_{n}\right](i \geq 1)\right\} \\
& \left\{(p-8 c q) / q,-q /(p+q),-\left[\delta_{i}, \cdots, \delta_{n}\right](i \geq 1)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that if we form a spin 4-orbifold $\widehat{X}_{(2)}$ from $X_{(2)}$ by replacing $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}}$ and $X_{L_{1}}$ with the cones over their boundaries, whose spin structure $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{(2)}$ induces $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ on $K_{p / q}$, we have $\sigma\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=1-\operatorname{sgn}(p-8 c q)-\operatorname{sgn} c$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=1, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=0 \text { if } c<0 \text { or } 8 c>p / q, \\
& b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=0, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}\right)=1 \text { if } 0<8 c<p / q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore we have

$$
\bar{\mu}\left(L(p-8 c q,-q), \mathfrak{s}_{(2)}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(\operatorname{sgn}(p-8 c q)-\sum_{i=1} \operatorname{sgn} \delta_{i}\right)+c,
$$

where $\mathfrak{s}_{(2)}$ is induced by $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{(2)}$. On the other hand $K_{-p / q}$ bounds a 4-manifold $X_{(2)}^{\prime}$ represented by a framed link $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{\prime}$, which is obtained from $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}$ by replacing the framings of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ with $-8 c+1(i=1),+1(i=2), \delta_{i-2}(i \geq 3)$ respectively. In this case $\cup_{i=1}^{n+2} L_{i}^{\prime}$ forms a plumbing $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}^{\prime}}$ bounded by $L(p+8 c q, q)$, and the characteristic sublink of $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{\prime}$ is also $L_{1}^{\prime}$. If we form a spin orbifold $\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}$ from $X_{(2)}^{\prime}$ by replacing $X_{\Gamma_{(2)}^{\prime}}$ and $X_{L_{1}}$ with the cones over their boundaries, whose spin structure $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{(2)}^{\prime}$ induces $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ on $K_{-p / q}$ as before, computation similar to the above case shows that $\sigma\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{sgn}(p+8 c q)-1-\operatorname{sgn} c$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=1, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { if }-p / q<8 c<0 \\
& b_{2}^{+}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=0, b_{2}^{-}\left(\widehat{X}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=1 \text { if } c>0 \text { or } 8 c<-p / q
\end{aligned}
$$

and also

$$
\bar{\mu}\left(L(p+8 c q, q), \mathfrak{s}_{(2)}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(-\operatorname{sgn}(p+8 c q)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \delta_{i}\right)+c,
$$

where $\mathfrak{s}_{(2)}^{\prime}$ is induced from $\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{(2)^{\prime}}$.
We obtain the required results by applying Corollary 1 to the above computation.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See [12] for the definition of this terminology.

