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#### Abstract

Let $\tau: I=[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a piecewise convex map with countably infinite number of branches. In Góra et al.(2022), the existence of absolutely continuous invariant measure (ACIM) $\mu$ for $\tau$ and the exactness of the system $(\tau, \mu)$ has been proven. In this paper, we develop an Ulam method for approximation of $f^{*}$, the density of ACIM $\mu$. We construct a sequence $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of maps $\tau_{n}: I \rightarrow I$ s. t. $\tau_{n}$ has a finite number of branches and the sequence $\tau_{n}$ converges to $\tau$ almost uniformly. Using supremum norms and Lasota-Yorke type inequalities, we prove the existence of ACIMs $\mu_{n}$ for $\tau_{n}$ with the densities $f_{n}$. For a fixed $n$, we apply Ulam's method with $k$ subintervals to $\tau_{n}$ and compute approximations $f_{n, k}$ of $f_{n}$. We prove that $f_{n, k} \rightarrow f^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$, both a.e. and in $L^{1}$. We provide examples of piecewise convex maps $\tau$ with countably infinite number of branches, their approximations $\tau_{n}$ with finite number of branches and for increasing values of parameter $k$ show the errors $\left\|f^{*}-f_{n, k}\right\|_{1}$.


## 1. Introduction

The existence and properties of absolutely continuous invariant measures (ACIMs) of deterministic dynamical systems reflect their long time behaviour and play an important role in understanding their chaotic nature Boyarsky \& Góra(1997), Lasota \& Mackey(1994), Lasota \& Yorke(1973)]. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the Lebesgue $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $I=[0,1]$ and let $\lambda$ be the normalized Lebesgue measure on $I$. Let $\tau: I \rightarrow I$ be a non-singular measurable transformation. A measure $\mu$ on $\mathcal{B}$ is $\tau$-invariant if $\mu\left(\tau^{-1}(A)\right)=\mu(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$. The Frobenius-Perron (F-P) operator $P_{\tau}: L^{1}(I, \mathcal{B}, \lambda) \rightarrow L^{1}(I, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ of $\tau$ plays an important role for the existence, approximations and properties of ACIMs. The F-P operator $P_{\tau}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} P_{\tau} f d \lambda=\int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} f d \lambda, \forall A \in \mathcal{B}, \forall f \in L^{1} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that for $\tau$ with countable number of monotonic branches, the F-P operator $P_{\tau}$ has the following representation Boyarsky \& Góra(1997)] :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\tau} f(x)=\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{f\left(\tau_{i}^{-1}(x)\right)}{\left|\tau^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i}^{-1}(x)\right)\right|} \chi_{I_{i}}(x)=\sum_{z \in\left\{\tau^{-1}(x)\right\}} \frac{f(z)}{\left|\tau^{\prime}(z)\right|}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\tau_{i}^{-1}$ are inverse branches of $\tau_{i}=\tau_{\mid I_{i}}, i \geq 1$, and $\left\{I_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ are the subintervals of the monotonicity partition. The F-P operator $P_{\tau}$ has a number of useful properties including: (i) Linearity: $P_{\tau}: L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ is a linear operator; (ii) Positivity: If $f \in L^{1}$ and $f \geq 0$, then $P_{\tau} f \geq 0$; (iii) Contractivity: $P_{\tau}: L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ is a contraction, i.e., $\left\|P_{\tau} f\right\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{1}$ for any $f \in L^{1}$. Moreover, $P_{\tau}: L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ is continuous with respect to norm topology; (iv) $P_{\tau}$ preserves integrals, i.e., $\int_{0}^{1} P_{\tau} f d \lambda=\int_{0}^{1} f d \lambda$; (v) If $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}: I \rightarrow I$ are non-singular transformations, then $P_{\tau_{1} \circ \tau_{2}} f=P_{\tau_{1}} \circ P_{\tau_{2}} f$. In particular, $P_{\tau^{n}} f=P_{\tau}^{n} f ;\left(\right.$ vi) Operator $P_{\tau}$ is conjugated to the Koopman operator $K g=g \circ \tau$ on $L^{\infty}$, i.e.,
$$
\int_{I} g \cdot P_{\tau} f d \lambda=\int_{I} g \circ \tau \cdot f d \lambda, f \in L^{1}, g \in L^{\infty}
$$
(vii) $P_{\tau}$ has a fixed point $f^{*}$ if and only if the measure $\mu=f^{*} \cdot \lambda$ defined by $\mu(A)=$ $\int_{A} f^{*} d \lambda$ is $\tau$-invariant. From the property (vii) above one can see that the fixed point of $P_{\tau}$ is the density $f^{*}$ of the ACIM $\mu$ of $\tau$ (see Boyarsky \& Góra(1997)]).

In Lasota \& Yorke(1973), Lasota and Yorke proved the existence of ACIMs for piecewise expanding maps. In this paper, we consider transformations that are not necessarily expanding, i.e., their derivatives may be smaller than 1 , but they possess another property which makes them very special, namely piecewise convexity. In Lasota \& Yorke(1982)], the authors studied the existence of ACIMs and the exactness for piecewise convex transformations with a finite number of branches and with a strong repeller. The authors in Lasota \& Yorke(1982)] considered the following properties as key properties for the proof of the existence of ACIMs (i) the F-P operator $P_{\tau}$ maps non-increasing functions to non-increasing functions; (ii) If $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a non-increasing function, then

$$
\left\|P_{\tau} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq A\|f\|_{\infty}+B\|f\|_{1}
$$

where $A<1$ and $B>0$ are some constants. In Rahman(2019)], the author studied ACIMs for piecewise convex maps with infinite number of branches, where 1 is the limit point of partition points. The literature on piecewise convex maps with a finite number of branches is quite rich, see for example [Bose et al.(2002)], [Ding(1996)], Inoue(1992)], [Inoue(2023)], Jabłoński \& Malczak(1984)], [Jabłoński \& Malczak(1983)].

In Góra et al.(2022)], we have studied ACIMs of maps in two classes $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I), \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$ of piecewise convex maps $\tau: I \rightarrow I$ with countably infinite number of branches. Any $\tau$ in the first class $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$ is a piecewise convex map $\tau: I \rightarrow I$ with a countable number of branches and arbitrary countable number of limit points of partition points separated from 0 . Let $\left\{0=a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ be a countable partition of $I$ such that $a_{0}<a_{1}$ and all $a_{2}, a_{3}, \cdots \in\left[a_{1}, 1\right]$. We do not assume the sequence $\left\{a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ to be increasing or decreasing. For any $i \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, let $n(i)$ be the index such that the interval $\left[a_{i}, a_{n(i)}\right]$ does not contain any other points of the partition. If $a_{k}$ is the limit point of decreasing subsequence of $a_{n}$ 's, the $n(k)$ is not defined. For such points the interval $\left[a_{k}, a_{k}\right]$ is not considered an element of the partition. We allow any countable number of the limit points of the points of the partition. We assume that the union of intervals $\left[a_{i}, a_{n(i)}\right]$ covers the whole interval $I$ except of a countable number of points. At any such point $x$ we assume $\tau(x)=0$ and $\tau^{\prime}(x)=+\infty$. These points do not contribute to the images of the $P_{\tau}$ operator.

Any $\tau$ in the second class $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$ is a piecewise convex map $\tau: I \rightarrow I$ with countably infinite number of branches such that there exists a countable partition
$\left\{0=a_{0}<\cdots<a_{0,-n}<a_{0,-(n-1)}<\cdots<a_{0,-2}<a_{0,-1}=a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ of $I$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{0,-n}=0$. It is proved in Góra et al.(2022) that any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I) \cup$ $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$ has the unique stationary density $f^{*}$ of the ACIM $\mu^{*}$. In this paper, we apply Ulam's method for approximation of $f^{*}$. Fixed points of the F-P operator $P_{\tau}$ of $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I) \cup \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$ are the stationary densities of $\tau$. The F-P operator $P_{\tau}$ is an infinite dimensional operator. Except some simple cases (where $\tau$ is piecewise linear Markov and the Frobenius-Perron operator has a matrix representation), it is not easy to obtain an analytical solution of the F-P equation $P_{\tau} f=f$.

A method for numerical computations of invariant measures of dynamical systems was suggested by Ulam Ulam(1960). For piecewise expanding deterministic transformations Lasota \& Yorke(1973), T-Y Li Li(1976)] first proved the convergence of Ulam's approximation. Since then, Ulam's method has been applied to one and higher dimensional expanding deterministic transformations (see, for example, Boyarsky \& Lou(1991), Bose \& Murray(2001), Bollt \& Santitissadeekorn(2013), Ding \& Zhou(1996). Ulam's method is one of the most used and the best understood methods among numerical methods for the approximation of ACIMs for deterministic maps $\operatorname{Li}(1976)$, Ulam(1960)]. However, approximate invariant densities via Ulam's methods are piecewise constant on subintervals of the partition of the state space. In Miller(1994), Miller proved the convergence of Ulam's method for piecewise convex transformations with a finite number of branches and a strong repeller. J. Ding Ding(1996) developed and presented piecewise linear and piecewise quadratic Markov finite approximation methods for such piecewise convex transformations.

In Section 2, we introduce notations and review the existence of stationary densities of ACIMs for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I) \cup \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$. In Section 3, we construct a sequence $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of maps $\tau_{n}: I \rightarrow I$ s. t. $\tau_{n}$ has a finite number of branches and the sequence $\tau_{n}$ converges to $\tau$ almost uniformly. Using supremum norms and LasotaYorke type inequalities, we prove the existence of densities $f_{n}$ of ACIMs $\mu_{n}$ for $\tau_{n}$. Moreover, we prove that $f_{n}$ converges to $f^{*}$. In Section 3.2 we apply Ulam's method with $k$ subintervals to $\tau_{n}$, compute an approximation $f_{n, k}$ of $f_{n}$ and prove that $f_{n, k} \rightarrow f_{n}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In Theorem 5] we prove that $f_{n, k} \rightarrow f^{*}$, as $n, k \rightarrow \infty$, both a.e. and in $L^{1}$. Theoretically, we could apply Ulam's method directly to the map $\tau$. Practically, such method would be impossible since the calculation of the Ulam's matrix $M_{\tau}^{(k)}$ would require solving of infinitely many equations. In Section (4) we present numerical examples.

## 2. ACIMS FOR PIECEWISE CONVEX MAPS IN CLASSES $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$

In this section, we review results on the existence of stationary densities of ACIMs of piecewise convex maps with countably infinite number of branches. We closely follow Góra et al.(2022)].
2.1. ACIMs for piecewise convex maps in $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$. For the maps in $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$ the limit points of the partition points are separated from 0.

We say that $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$ if
(1) $\tau_{0}=\left.\tau\right|_{\left[0, a_{1}\right)}$ is continuous and convex; $\tau_{i}=\left.\tau\right|_{\left[a_{i}, a_{n(i)}\right)}$ is continuous and convex, $i=1,2, \cdots ;$
(2) $\tau\left(a_{i}\right)=0, \tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)>0, i=1,2, \ldots$;
(3) $\tau(0)=0, \tau^{\prime}(0)=\alpha_{1}>1$;
(4) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)}<\infty$.

For $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I), f \in L^{1}(I), f \geq 0$ the F-P operator $P_{\tau}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\tau} f(x)=\frac{f\left(\tau_{0}^{-1}(x)\right)}{\tau^{\prime}\left(\tau_{0}^{-1}(x)\right)} \chi_{\tau\left[0, a_{1}\right)}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(\tau_{i}^{-1}(x)\right)}{\tau^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i}^{-1}(x)\right)} \chi_{\tau\left[a_{i}, a_{n(i)}\right)}(x) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following results are proved in Góra et al.(2022).
Lemma 1. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I), f \in L^{1}(I), f \geq 0, f$ be non-increasing. Then
(1) $P_{\tau}(f) \in L^{1}(I)$.
(2) $P_{\tau}(f) \geq 0$.
(3) $P_{\tau}(f)$ is non-increasing.
(4) $\left\|P_{\tau}(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\infty}$, where $C=\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)}\right)$.

Proposition 1. If $f \geq 0$ and $f$ is non-increasing, then $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{x} \lambda(f)$, for $x \in$ $[0,1]$, where

$$
\lambda(f)=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d \lambda(x)
$$

Lemma 2. If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is non-increasing and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{\tau}(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}\|f\|_{\infty}+D\|f\|_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{i}} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)}\right)$.
Theorem 1. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$. Then $\tau$ admits an $A C I M \mu=f^{*} \cdot \lambda$ with nonincreasing density function $f^{*}$. The ACIM $\mu$ is unique and the system $(\tau, \mu)$ is exact.
2.2. ACIMs for piecewise convex maps in $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$. For the maps in $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$ the point 0 is the limit point of partition points.

We say that $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}, 0(I)$ if
(1) $\tau_{-j}=\left.\tau\right|_{\left[a_{0,-(j+1)}, a_{0,-j}\right)}$ is continuous and convex, $j=1,2, \ldots$; $\tau_{i}=\left.\tau\right|_{\left[a_{i}, a_{n(i)}\right)}$ is continuous and convex, $i=1,2, \cdots$;
(2) $\tau\left(a_{0,-j}\right)=0, \tau^{\prime}\left(a_{0,-j}\right)>0, j=1,2, \ldots$;

$$
\tau\left(a_{i}\right)=0, \tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)>0, i=1,2, \ldots
$$

(3) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)}<\infty$;
(4) $D_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{0,-j}\right)}<1$.

Remark 1. The Condition 3 and the Condition 4 can be replaced by the following condition:

$$
\left(3^{+}\right) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{0,-j}\right)}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)}<\infty
$$

If $\left(3^{+}\right)$is satisfied, then we can find an integer $J \geq 1$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{0,-j}\right)}<1
$$

and after proper renaming of partition points, the Condition 3 and the Condition 4 are satisfied.

The following results are proved in Góra et al.(2022).
Lemma 3. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I), f \in L^{1}(I), f \geq 0, f$ be non-increasing. Then
(1) $P_{\tau}(f) \in L^{1}(I)$.
(2) $P_{\tau}(f) \geq 0$.
(3) $P_{\tau}(f)$ is non-increasing.
(4) $\left\|P_{\tau}(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\infty}$, where $C=\left(D_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)}\right)$.

Lemma 4. If $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is non-increasing and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{\tau}(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leq D_{1}\|f\|_{\infty}+D\|f\|_{1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{i} \tau^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)}$.
Theorem 2. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$. Then $\tau$ admits an ACIM $\mu=f^{*} \cdot \lambda$ with nonincreasing density function $f^{*}$. The ACIM $\mu$ is unique and the system $(\tau, \mu)$ is exact.

## 3. Ulam's method for piecewise convex maps with countable number of BRANCHES

In this section our main goal is to develop Ulam's method for stationary densities of ACIMs for piecewise convex maps with countably infinite number of branches. We do this in the following two steps.
3.1. Approximation of maps with infinite number of branches. In this section we approximate piecewise convex maps with countably infinite number of branches by piecewise convex maps with a finite number of branches.

We concentrate on a case of a map $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$. On the example of point 0 , we show how to perform an approximation of $\tau$ with a point of a partition that is a limit point of other points of the partition. The method can be applied to maps in $\mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$, that have points with this property without substantial changes.

Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$. We assume that there are no other limit points of the partition points. For simplicity we rename the partition points. The new partition points are $a_{n}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$, with $a_{0}=1, a_{n+1}<a_{n}, n \geq 0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$. Then, the assumptions (3) and (4) of Section 2.2 are restated as:
(3') There exists an $N \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}=\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)}<1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the Lemma 3 and the Lemma 4 of Section 2.2 hold with changed constants

$$
\begin{align*}
C & =D_{1}+\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)}, \\
D & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{a_{n} \tau^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $n \geq N$, we construct a sequence $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n=N}^{\infty}$ of maps $\tau_{n}: I \rightarrow I$ s.t. $\tau_{n}$ has a finite number of branches and the sequence $\tau_{n}$ converges to $\tau$ almost uniformly. Using supremum norms and Lasota-Yorke type inequalities, we prove the existence of stationary densities $f_{n}$ of ACIMs $\mu_{n}$ for $\tau_{n}$. We approximate $\tau: I \rightarrow I$ with the following sequence of maps $\tau_{n}: I \rightarrow I, n \geq N$, with a finite number of branches:

$$
\tau_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x / a_{n}, 0 \leq x<a_{n}  \tag{3.3}\\
\tau(x), \quad a_{n} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the following, we show that for each $n \geq 0$, the map $\tau_{n}$ has an ACIM. It can be easily shown that each $\tau_{n}$ is a piecewise convex maps with the finite partition $\left\{1=a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}, a_{n+1}=0\right\}$ and $\tau_{n}$ satisfies following conditions:
(1) $\tau_{n_{j}}=\left.\tau_{n}\right|_{\left(a_{j}, a_{j-1}\right]}$ is continuous and convex, $j=1,2, \cdots, n+1$;
(2) $\tau_{n}\left(a_{j}\right)=0, \tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{j}\right)>0, j=1, \cdots, n+1$;
(3) $\tau_{n}(0)=0, \tau_{n}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{a_{n}}>1$.
(4) $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(a_{j}\right)}<\infty$.

Let $f \in L^{1}(I), f \geq 0$. Then, the F-P operator $P_{\tau_{n}}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\tau_{n}} f(x)=\frac{f\left(\tau_{a}^{-1}(x)\right)}{1 / a_{n}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{f\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(x)\right)}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(x)\right)} \chi_{\tau_{n}\left(a_{j}, a_{j-1}\right]}(x), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{a}=x / a_{n}$ on the interval $\left[0, a_{n}\right)$.
Lemma 5. Let $f \in L^{1}(I), f \geq 0, f$ be a non-increasing function. Then
(1) $P_{\tau_{n}}(f) \in L^{1}(I)$ for each $n=1,2, \ldots$
(2) $P_{\tau_{n}}(f) \geq 0$ for each $n=1,2, \ldots$.
(3) $P_{\tau_{n}}(f)$ is non-increasing for each $n=1,2, \ldots$.
(4) $\left\|P_{\tau_{n}}(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leq C_{n}\|f\|_{\infty} \leq(1+C)\|f\|_{\infty}$ for each $n=1,2, \ldots$ where $C_{n}=\left(a_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{j}\right)}\right)$.

Proof. (1) Holds for the F-P operator of any map.
(2) $P_{\tau_{n}} f(x)$ is shown in formula (3.4) and each summand is clearly non-negative.
(3) It can be easily shown that each summand in formula (3.4) is non-increasing.
(4) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\tau_{n}} f(x) & =\frac{f\left(\tau_{a}^{-1}(x)\right)}{1 / a_{n}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{f\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(x)\right)}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(x)\right)} \chi_{\tau_{n}\left(a_{j}, a_{j-1}\right]}(x) \\
& \leq a_{n}\|f\|_{\infty}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(x)\right)} \leq a_{n}\|f\|_{\infty}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{j}\right)} \\
& =\left(a_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{j}\right)}\right)\|f\|_{\infty} \leq(1+C)\|f\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. If $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is non-increasing, then for each $n \geq N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{\tau_{n}}(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left(a_{n}+D_{1}\right)\|f\|_{\infty}+D\|f\|_{1}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is non-increasing, $f(0) \geq\|f\|_{\infty}$, and by Lemma [5, $P_{\tau_{n}} f(0)=\|$ $P_{\tau_{n}} f \|_{\infty}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\tau_{n}} f(0) & =\frac{f\left(\tau_{a}^{-1}(x)\right)}{1 / a_{n}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{f\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(0)\right)}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(0)\right)} \chi_{\tau_{n}\left(a_{j}, a_{j-1}\right]}(0) \\
& =\frac{1}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}(0)} f(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{f\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(0)\right)}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(0)\right)}+\sum_{j=N+1}^{n} \frac{f\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(0)\right)}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{n_{j}}^{-1}(0)\right)} \\
& \leq a_{n} f(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{f\left(a_{n_{j}}\right)}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{n_{j}}\right)}+\sum_{j=N+1}^{n} \frac{f\left(a_{n_{j}}\right)}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{n_{j}}\right)} \\
& \leq\left(a_{n}+D_{1}\right) f(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda(f)}{a_{j}} \frac{1}{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{j}\right)} \\
& \leq\left(a_{n}+D_{1}\right)\|f\|_{\infty}+D\|f\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}, \tau_{n}$ admits an ACIM $\mu_{n}=f_{n}^{*} \cdot \lambda$ with non-increasing density function $f_{n}^{*}$.

Proof. Our proof works for all $n \geq n_{0}$, where we have $a_{n_{0}}+D_{1}<1$ and gives a uniform estimate. For the $n<n_{0}$ the claim follows from Subsection 2.1.

Let $f \in L^{1}$ be non-negative and non-increasing. Consider the sequence $\left\{P_{\tau_{n}}^{k} f\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. Since every $P_{\tau_{n}}^{k} f$ is non-negative and non-increasing, by part (3) of Lemma 5 we can apply Lemma 6 iteratively and obtain

$$
\left\|P_{\tau_{n}}^{k} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left(a_{n_{0}}+D_{1}\right)^{k}\|f\|_{\infty}+\frac{D}{1-\left(a_{n_{0}}+D_{1}\right)}\|f\|_{1}
$$

So the sequence $\left\{P_{\tau_{n}}^{k} f\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded and weakly compact. By YosidaKakutani Theorem Yosida \& Kakutani(1941), $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_{\tau_{n}}^{j} f$ converges in $L^{1}$ to a $P_{\tau_{n}}$ invariant function $f_{n}^{*}$. It is non-increasing since it is the limit of non-increasing functions.

Definition 1. Let $\tau$ and $\tau_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ be maps from I into itself. We say that $\tau_{n}$ converges to $\tau$ almost uniformly if for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a measurable set $A_{\epsilon} \subset[0,1], \lambda\left(A_{\epsilon}\right)>1-\epsilon$, such that $\tau_{n} \rightarrow \tau$ uniformly on $A_{\epsilon}$.
Lemma 7. Let $\tau$ be a piecewise convex map with countably many branches and the sequence $\tau_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ be defined by formula (3.3). Then, $\tau_{n}$ converges to $\tau$ almost uniformly.

Proof. Let $\epsilon>0$. Choose the decreasing partition $\left\{1=a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}, a_{n+1}=0\right\}$ of $[0,1]$ for $\tau_{n}$ such that $a_{n}<\epsilon$. Let $A_{\epsilon}=\left(a_{n}, 1\right)$. Then, $\lambda\left(A_{\epsilon}\right)=1-a_{n}>1-\epsilon$ and we have $\tau_{n}=\tau$ on $A_{\epsilon}$.
3.2. Ulam's method. In this section, first, we describe Ulam's method for a finite dimensional approximation $P_{n, k}$ of the Perron-Frobenius operator $P_{\tau_{n}}$ of $\tau_{n}$. Ulam's method computes $f_{n, k}$ on a partition of $k$ subintervals of the state space as an approximation of the actual stationary density function $f_{n}$ of $\tau_{n}, n \geq 1$. Moreover, we show that $f_{n, k}$ converges to $f_{n}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We follow $\operatorname{Li}(1976)$, $\operatorname{Miller(1994)}$ and Ding(1996)]. In general, the Ulam's method is widely studied and used, see for example Bose \& Murray(2001)], [Bollt \& Santitissadeekorn(2013)], Ding \& Zhou(1996)], Froyland(1999), [Góra \& Boyarsky(1989)] and the references within.

Let $\tau_{n}$ be an approximation of $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty}(I)$. Then, by the Theorem 3, $\tau_{n}$ has an ACIM $\mu_{n}$ with a stationary density function $f_{n}$. Now, we describe Ulam's method for approximating $f_{n}$. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}=\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{k}\right\}$ be a partition of the interval $[0,1]$ into $k$ equal subintervals. Now, construct the matrix

$$
\mathbb{M}_{n}^{(k)}=\left(\frac{\lambda\left(\tau_{n}^{-1}\left(J_{j}\right) \cap J_{i}\right)}{\lambda\left(J_{i}\right)}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}
$$

Let $L^{(k)} \subset L^{1}([0,1], \lambda)$ be a subspace of $L^{1}$ consisting of functions which are constant on elements of the partition $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$. We will represent functions in $L^{(k)}$ as vectors: vector $f=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k}\right]$ corresponds to the function $f=\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i} \chi_{J_{i}}$. Let $Q^{(k)}$ be the isometric projection of $L^{1}$ onto $L^{(k)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(k)}(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda\left(J_{i}\right)} \int_{J_{i}} f d \lambda\right) \chi_{J_{i}}=\left[\frac{1}{\lambda\left(J_{1}\right)} \int_{J_{1}} f d \lambda, \ldots, \frac{1}{\lambda\left(J_{k}\right)} \int_{J_{k}} f d \lambda\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k}\right] \in L^{(k)}$. We define the operator $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}: L^{(k)} \rightarrow L^{(k)}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)} f=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k}\right] \cdot\left(\mathbb{M}_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a finite dimensional approximation to the operator $P_{\tau_{n}} . A^{\mathrm{T}}$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $A$.

The following Lemma will be used several times in the sequel.
Lemma 8. Let $\left\{g_{n}\right\}_{n=1,2 \ldots}$ be a sequence of non-increasing functions uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}$. If $g_{n} \rightarrow h$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, weakly in $L^{1}$, then $g_{n} \rightarrow h$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in $L^{1}$ and almost everywhere (a. e.).
Proof. Since $g_{n}$ 's are non-increasing and uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}$, they are also of uniformly bounded variation. By Helly's Theorem Rudin(1976) there is a subsequence $g_{n_{k}}$ convergent a.e. to some function $h_{1}$. Since $g_{n_{k}} \rightarrow h_{1}$ weakly in $L^{1}$
we have $h_{1}=h$. Considering all possible subsequences we prove that $g_{n} \rightarrow h$ a.e. Since $g_{n}$ converge to $h$ a.e. and they are uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}$, the convergence is also in $L^{1}$ (e.g. by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem).

Since each map $\tau_{n}$ is exact Lasota \& Yorke(1982)], by Proposition 1.2 of Hunt \& Miller(1992) we obtain that the invariant densities $f_{n, k}$ of $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}$ are unique.

Lemma 9. The invariant density $f_{n, k}$ of $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}$ is non-increasing for any $n, k>1$.
Proof. Let $f \in L^{(k)}$. Since $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)} f=Q^{(k)} P_{\tau_{n}} f$, and both operators $P_{\tau_{n}}$ and $Q^{(k)}$ transform non-increasing functions into non-increasing functions, the operators $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}$ also have this property. Let $f=1$ be a constant function understood as $[1,1, \ldots, 1] \in L^{(k)}$. It is non-increasing. Thus, all the functions $\left(P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}\right)^{m} f$, $m=1,2, \ldots$, are non-increasing. Similarly as the estimate (3.5) was obtained, they can be shown to be uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}$ and thus weakly compact in $L^{1}$. Then, Yosida-Kakutani theorem Yosida \& Kakutani(1941)] shows that the sequence $1 / s \sum_{m=1}^{s}\left(P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}\right)^{m} f$ converges in $L^{1}$ to the invariant density $f_{n, k}$. By Lemma 8 the convergence is also a.e. and $f_{n, k}$ is non-increasing.

Using Ulam's method and corresponding convergence analysis described in $\operatorname{Li}(1976)$ Miller(1994), Ding(1996), the following theorem can be proved.

Theorem 4. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$ be a piecewise convex map with countably many branches. Let $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the approximating sequence of piecewise convex maps with a finite number of branches where $\tau_{n}$ are defined in Equation (3.3) in Subsection 3.1, If $f_{n, k}$ is a normalized fixed point of $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}, k=1,2, \ldots$, defined in (3.7), then the sequence $\left\{f_{n, k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is weakly pre-compact in $L^{1}$. Any limit point $f_{n}^{*}$ of the sequence $\left\{f_{n, k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a fixed point of $P_{\tau_{n}}$.

Proof. Let $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}$ be the Ulam's approximation of the F-P operator $P_{\tau_{n}}$ of $\tau_{n}$. Let $Q^{(k)}$ be the isometric projection defined in (3.6). It can be shown that (see: (4), page 3 Miller(1994); def. (2.1), page $5 \operatorname{Li}(1976)])$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)} Q^{(k)} f=Q^{(k)} P_{\tau_{n}} Q^{(k)} f \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $Q^{(k)}$ it is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q^{(k)} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|f\|_{\infty} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By equations (2.3), (3.8) and (3.9) $P_{\tau_{n}^{(k)}}$ satisfies the following Lasota-Yorke type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left(a_{n}+D_{1}\right)\|f\|_{\infty}+D\|f\|_{1}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the following uniform estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n, k}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{D}{1-\left(a_{n}+D_{1}\right)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the proof continues exactly as in Miller(1994).
Theorem 5. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$ be a piecewise convex map with countably many branches. As described at the beginning of Subsection 3.1, let $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the approximating sequence of piecewise convex maps with finite numbers of branches. Let
$P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}, k=1,2, \ldots$ be the sequence of Ulam's operators approximating the operators $P_{\tau_{n}}$. Let $f_{n, k}$ be the normalized (in $L^{1}$ ) fixed point of $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}$. Then, the family $\left\{f_{n, k}\right\}_{n=1,2, \ldots, k=1,2, \ldots}$ is weakly compact in $L^{1}$ and uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}$. If $f_{n_{j}, k_{j}}, j=1,2, \ldots$ is a weakly convergent subsequence, then it converges in $L^{1}$ (and almost everywhere) to a function $f$ which is a fixed point of $P_{\tau}, P_{\tau} f=f$.

Proof. The stationary densities $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ are uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}$ by Theorem 3. Moreover, the densities $f_{n, k}$, the piecewise constant approximations of $f_{n}$ 's are also uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}$ by formula (3.11). Thus, the set $\left\{f_{n, k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is weakly compact in $L^{1}$. Assume that $\left\{f_{n, k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has weakly convergent subsequence $\left\{f_{n_{j}, k_{j}}\right\}$ with limit $f$. Since the functions $\left\{f_{n_{j}, k_{j}}\right\}$ are decreasing and uniformly bounded, by Lemma 8 they converge to $f$ a.e. and in $L^{1}$.

It remains to show that $f$ is a fixed point of $P_{\tau}, P_{\tau} f=f$. We will show that the measures $f d \lambda$ and $\left(P_{\tau} f\right) d \lambda$ are equal. It is enough to show that for any $g \in C(I)$, we have $\int g\left(f-P_{\tau} f\right) d \lambda=0$. To simplify the notation we assume that the whole sequence $f_{n, k}$ converges to $f$. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int g\left(f-P_{\tau} f\right) d \lambda\right| \leq\left|\int g\left(f-f_{n, k}\right) d \lambda\right|+\left|\int g\left(f_{n, k}-P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)} f_{n, k}\right) d \lambda\right| \\
& +\left|\int g\left(P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)} f_{n, k}-P_{\tau_{n}} f_{n, k}\right) d \lambda\right|+\left|\int g\left(P_{\tau_{n}} f_{n, k}-P_{\tau_{n}} f\right) d \lambda\right|+\left|\int g\left(P_{\tau_{n}} f-P_{\tau} f\right) d \lambda\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{n, k} \rightarrow f$ in $L^{1}$, the first and the fourth term go to 0 as $n, k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f_{n, k}$ are the fixed points of $P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)}$, the second term is 0 . We have
$\int g\left[P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)} f_{n, k}-P_{\tau_{n}} f_{n, k}\right] d \lambda=\int g\left[Q^{(k)}\left(P_{\tau_{n}} f_{n, k}\right)-P_{\tau_{n}} f_{n, k}\right] d \lambda=\int\left[Q^{(k)} g-g\right] P_{\tau_{n}} f_{n, k} d \lambda$,
by the self adjointness of $Q^{(k)}$. Since $Q^{(k)} g \rightarrow g$ in $L^{1}$ and the densities $\left\{f_{n, k}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded, $\int g\left[P_{\tau_{n}}^{(k)} f_{n, k}-P_{\tau_{n}} f_{n, k}\right] d \lambda$ converges to 0 as $k \rightarrow+\infty$. By the property (vi) of the F-P operator we have
$\left|\int g\left(P_{\tau_{n}} f-P_{\tau} f\right) d \lambda\right|=\left|\int\left(g \circ \tau_{n}-g \circ \tau\right) f d \lambda\right|=\left|\int_{\left[0, a_{n}\right]}\left(g \circ \tau_{n}-g \circ \tau\right) f d \lambda\right| \leq a_{n} \cdot 2 M \cdot\|f\|_{1}$,
where $M=\sup |g|$. This shows that $\left|\int g\left(P_{\tau_{n}} f-P_{\tau} f\right) d \lambda\right|$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow$ $+\infty$.

## 4. Examples

Example 1. Consider the piecewise expanding and piecewise linear map $T:[0,1] \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$ with countable number of branches defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)=i(i+1)\left(x-\frac{1}{i+1}\right) \quad \text { on } \quad\left[\frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i}\right], i=1,2, \cdots \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that the Lebesgue measure is invariant under $T$ and $f=\mathbf{1}$ is the invariant density of $T$. Now, consider the conjugation $h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by $h(x)=1-(1-x)^{2}$. We construct the piecewise convex map $\tau:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ with countable number of branches defined by $\tau=h^{-1} \circ T \circ h$. See Figure 1 for a graph of $\tau$. The piecewise convex map $\tau$ is topologically conjugate to the piecewise linear and piecewise expanding map $T$ via the conjugation $h$. Therefore, the stationary


Figure 1. The graph of the piecewise convex map $\tau$ with countably infinite number of branches for Example $\mathbb{\square}$
density $g$ of $\tau$ is given by $g=f \circ h \times\left|h^{\prime}\right|$. Now, $h(x)=1-(1-x)^{2}$. Hence, $g(x)=f(h(x)) \times\left|h^{\prime}(x)\right|=|2(1-x)|$.

The map $\tau$ is defined on the partition

$$
\left\{\left[1-\sqrt{\frac{i}{i+1}}, 1-\sqrt{\frac{i-1}{i}}\right]\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}
$$

i.e., the partition points are

$$
\left\{\ldots, 1-\sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}, 1-\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}, 1-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, 1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}, 1\right\} .
$$

We have
$\tau(x)=1-\sqrt{1-i^{2}+i(i+1)(1-x)^{2}}$ for $x \in\left[1-\sqrt{\frac{i}{i+1}}, 1-\sqrt{\frac{i-1}{i}}\right], i=1,2, \ldots$
The first few, starting from 1 to the left, branches of $\tau$ are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\tau_{1}(x) & =1-\sqrt{2}(1-x) & \text { for } 1-\sqrt{1 / 2} \leq x \leq 1 ; \\
\tau_{2}(x)=1-\sqrt{6(1-x)^{2}-3} & \text { for } 1-\sqrt{2 / 3} \leq x<1-\sqrt{1 / 2} ; \\
\tau_{3}(x)=1-\sqrt{12(1-x)^{2}-8} & \text { for } 1-\sqrt{3 / 4} \leq x<1-\sqrt{2 / 3} \\
\tau_{4}(x)=1-\sqrt{20(1-x)^{2}-15} & \text { for } 1-\sqrt{4 / 5} \leq x<1-\sqrt{3 / 4} .
\end{array}
$$

Now, consider the following sequence $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ of piecewise convex maps $\tau_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$ with a finite number of branches:

$$
\tau_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{1-\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}}} x, 0 \leq x<1-\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}} \\
\tau(x), 0 \leq x<1-\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

See Figure 2 for a graph of $\tau_{n}$ with $n=5$. The sequence $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ of piecewise convex


Figure 2. The graph of the piecewise convex map $\tau_{n}$ with a finite number of branches $(n=8)$.
map $\tau_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ with finite number of branches converges almost uniformly to $\tau$ with the countable number of branches.

In Figure 3, we present the graph of the actual density $f^{*}$ (in red) of the piecewise convex map $\tau$ with countable number of branches and graphs of the approximate stationary densities $f_{n, k}$ (in blue) via Ulam's method for maps $\tau_{n}$ with a finite number of branches. Numerical computations are performed for a number of cases. In the following table, we present the $L^{1}$ norm error $\left\|f^{*}-f_{n, k}\right\|_{1}$. Note that for each $n, \tau_{n}$ is a map with a finite number of branches which approximates the piecewise convex map $\tau$ in Figure 1 with countable number of branches.

| $n$ | $k$ | $\left\\|f^{*}-f_{n, k}\right\\|_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 100 | 0.2195470623 |
| 5 | 1000 | 0.2195243505 |
| 6 | 100 | 0.1943541673 |
| 6 | 1000 | 0.1943541673 |
| 7 | 1000 | 0.1742407352 |
| 10 | 1000 | 0.133493040 |
| 12 | 1000 | 0.11551923 |

The above table shows that as we increase $n$, the $L^{1}$ norm error $\left\|f^{*}-f_{n, k}\right\|_{1}$ gets smaller. For the fixed $n$, the increasing of $k$ is not effective. For example, the


Figure 3. The graph of the actual invariant density $f^{*}$ of the piecewise convex map $\tau$ with infinite number of branches (in red) and the graph of the approximating density $f_{n, k}$ (in blue): $n=$ $5, k=1000$ on the left and $n=10, k=1000$ on the right hand side.
errors for $k=100$ and $k=1000$ are almost the same. The main method to lower the error is to increase the number of branches of $\tau_{n}$.

By Theorem 5, $L^{1}$ norm error can be made arbitrarily small by making $n$ and $k$ large enough.
Example 2. Consider the piecewise convex map $\tau:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ with countable number of branches defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(x)=\frac{1}{\frac{2 i+1}{i(i+1)}-x}-i \quad \text { on } \quad\left[\frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i}\right], i=1,2, \cdots \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Figure 4. The map $\tau$ is defined on the countable partition of $[0,1]$, with partition points $a_{0}=1, a_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, a_{2}=\frac{1}{3}, \cdots, a_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}, \cdots$.


Figure 4. The graph of the piecewise convex map with countable number of branches for Example 2.

It is shown in Góra et al.(2022) that $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p c}^{\infty, 0}(I)$ and hence by Theorem 2. $\tau$ has an ACIM. The actual density of $\tau$ is not known. The sequence $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ of piecewise convex maps $\tau_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ with finite number of branches, see Figure 5 for graphs of $\tau_{n}$ with $n=8$ and $n=10$, converges almost uniformly to $\tau$, where

$$
\tau_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(n+1) x, 0 \leq x<\frac{1}{n+1} \\
\tau(x), \frac{1}{n+1} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

In Figure 6, we present the graph of the Ulam's approximation $f_{n, k}, n=10, k=$ 1000 of the actual invariant density of $f_{n}, n=10$, of the piecewise convex map $\tau_{n}, n=10$, with finite number of branches. By Theorem 5 it is also an approximation of the invariant density $f^{*}$ of the map $\tau$. The same Figure 6 shows also the approximation $f_{n, k}, n=10, k=500$, as the densities $f_{10,1000}$ and $f_{10,500}$ are indistinguishable at this scale. We have $\left\|f_{10,1000}-f_{10,500}\right\|_{1} \sim 0.00055$. In Figure 7 we show the enlargement of both graphs on a small subinterval. The scales on $x$, $y$ axes are different.


Figure 5. The graphs of the piecewise convex maps $\tau_{n}$ with finite number of branches $n=8$ and $n=10$.

Similarly as in Example 亿, the effect of increasing $k$ (after some threshold) is negligible. The improvement of the approximation is achieved by increasing the parameter $n$. The density $f_{11,1000}$ is indistinguishable from $f_{10,1000}$ at the paper illustrations scale with $\left\|f_{10,1000}-f_{11,1000}\right\|_{1} \sim 0.00035$. The error $\left\|f_{11,1000}-f_{12,1000}\right\|_{1} \sim$ 0.00029 .


Figure 6. The graph of the Ulam's approximation $f_{n, k}, n=$ $10, k=1000$.
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