

Characterization of locally standard, $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariantly formal manifolds in general position

Nikolas Wardenski *

May 7, 2024

Abstract

We give a characterization of locally standard, $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariantly formal manifolds in general position. In particular, we show that for dimension $2n$ at least 10, to every such manifold with labeled GKM graph Γ there is an equivariantly formal torus manifold such that the restriction of the T^n -action to a certain T^{n-1} -action yields the same labeled graph Γ , thus showing that the (equivariant) cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients of those manifolds has the same description as that of equivariantly formal torus manifolds.

1 Introduction

In [MP03], Masuda and Panov studied the (equivariant) cohomology of locally standard torus manifolds, especially that of equivariantly formal ones. Further, it was shown that a torus manifold is equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if it is locally standard and its orbit space is face-acyclic, that is, the orbit space itself and the orbit space of all face submanifolds are acyclic.

Building on this, Ayzenberg and Masuda ([AM23]) studied R -equivariantly formal T -actions in general position on compact manifolds (where $R = \mathbb{Z}$ if all stabilizers are connected or $R = \mathbb{Q}$ in general) in a similar fashion. They showed that the orbit space of any such manifold has the R -homology of a sphere and also found a partial converse to this statement, which deduces the equivariant formality over R of a T -manifold in general position from the assumption that its orbit space has the R -homology of a sphere and that its faces are acyclic. However, for this converse it is needed that all stabilizers are connected, even for $R = \mathbb{Q}$.

Our main goal here is to extend these results for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ to locally standard T -actions in general position. At first, we have a sufficient condition for equivariant formality.

*University of Haifa, email: n.wardenski@web.de

Theorem 1.1. *Consider an action in general position of $T = T^{n-1}$ on the compact manifold M of dimension $2n \geq 8$ such that*

- *for all closed subgroups $H \subset T$, every connected component of M^H intersects M^T non-trivially.*
- *the orbit space M/T has the homology of a sphere (and is thus a homology sphere).*
- *M_{n-2}^* is $n - 3$ -acyclic over $\mathbb{Z}$.*
- *every face-submanifold of codimension 4 is equivariantly formal.*
- *for any isotropy submanifold Q fixed by $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset T$, corresponding to an ineffective subgraph of covalence 1, the map $H_*(Q^*) \rightarrow H_*(Q^*)$ given by multiplication with p is an isomorphism in positive degrees.*

Then the action is equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

If an isotropy submanifold Q as above does satisfy the assumption in the fifth point, we call Q (or Q^*) *admissible*.

This sufficient condition is indeed necessary.

Theorem 1.2. *Consider an action in general position of $T = T^{n-1}$ on the compact manifold M of dimension 6 or $2n \geq 10$ such that*

- *The odd (co)homology of M vanishes.*
- *Whenever x is a point whose stabilizer is finite, there is a neighborhood of x in which this is the only non-trivial stabilizer subgroup.*

The following statements hold.

1. *For all closed subgroups $H \subset T$ (not necessarily connected), every connected component of M^H intersects M^T non-trivially.*
2. *Any connected component Q^* of Z^* is admissible.*
3. *M_k^* is $k - 1$ -acyclic for $k \leq n - 2$.*
4. *M^* is a homology sphere.*

The second assumption, together with the first one, actually implies that the action is locally standard.

These results (see theorem 7.3 for the sufficient and theorem 8.1 for the necessary condition) are proven in their respective sections section 7 and section 8. In the sections before that, we build some machinery to then prove these statements. For potential later use, we

work with R -coefficients (where $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}$) immediately, although the main results of section 6 are formulated for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ only.

After that, section 3 serves as a preparation for the subsequent sections. There, we establish 'our' notion of 'orientability of a GKM graph' (there are some others, e.g. [BP15][Definition 7.9.16] and [GKZ22, Definition 2.19]), while constructing a smooth T^k -manifold with boundary $M'_1(\Gamma)$ out of any GKM graph Γ with (signed or unsigned) $\mathbb{Z}^k$ -labeling. We show that $M'_1(\Gamma)$ admits an equivariant deformation retract onto its equivariant one-skeleton, and that any GKM manifold with graph Γ is locally equivariantly homeomorphic to $M'_1(\Gamma)$.

Then we switch to manifolds in general position. In section 5 and $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we are working in the following setting: M is a compact, connected T -manifold of dimension $2n$ in general position, the action is locally standard, the faces are equivariantly formal and the orbit space of the equivariant $n - 2$ -skeleton, M_{n-2}^* , is $n - 3$ -acyclic. In section 4, we show that GKM graphs with certain natural properties, which are satisfied in the above setting, come from torus graphs. That is, we show that the $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ -labeling (signed or unsigned) of these graphs extends to a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -labeling (signed or unsigned).

Building on this, we then show in section 5 that the T -action in a neighborhood of M_k , $k \leq n - 3$, extends naturally to a T^n -action, and that, for $k \leq n - 2$, there is an equivariant deformation retract $M'_k \rightarrow M_k$, where M'_k is a smoothly embedded T^n -manifold (or T -manifold if $k = n - 2$) with boundary X_k . We close this section with a description of the homology of the topological manifold $X^* = X_{n-2}^* = X_{n-2}/T$.

In section 6, we study first the homological properties of $X = X_{n-2}$ and the map $H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(M_{n-2})$. An important tool for that matter is the result, also shown in that section, that M'_{n-3} admits a natural T^n -equivariant embedding into an equivariantly formal torus manifold $\tilde{M}$, which implies the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The equivariant cohomology of a $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariantly formal manifold in general position is that of an equivariantly formal torus manifold, after restricting its T^n -action to a certain subtorus of codimension 1.*

Then finally theorem 7.3 and theorem 8.1 are shown in section 7 respectively section 8.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 GKM actions and GKM graphs

Let M be a compact, oriented smooth manifold of dimension $2n$ on which a torus T of dimension m acts effectively. We use the standard notations Tx and $T_x \subset T$ for the orbit of T through $x \in M$ and the stabilizer of x in T , respectively. For H an arbitrary subgroup of T , we define $M^{(H)}$ to be all elements $x \in M$ with $T_x = H$ and M^H to be all elements $x \in M$ satisfying $H \subset T_x$. We denote by M_k the equivariant k -skeleton of M , that is,

$$M_k := \{x \in M \mid \dim(Tx) \leq k\} = \{x \in M \mid \dim(T_x) \geq m - k\}.$$

We get a filtration of M by

$$M^T = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_{m-1} = M$$

and an induced filtration of the orbit space $M/T = M^*$, where for any T -invariant set $X \subset M$ we set X^* to be the image of the projection $\pi: M \rightarrow M/T$. From now on, we always assume that M^T is finite and nonempty.

Definition 2.1. The closure F of a connected component of $M_i^* \setminus M_{i-1}^*$ in M^* is called a *face* if it intersects M_0^* non-trivially. We define its rank $\text{rk}(F)$ to be the number i and call $\pi^{-1}(F)$ a *face submanifold*.

We note that the latter definition is justified since $\pi^{-1}(F)$ is indeed a submanifold of M . Also, for any face F , we set

$$F_{-1} := \{x \in F : \dim Tx < \text{rk}(F)\}.$$

Now we turn to GKM actions. For a graph Γ , we denote by $E(\Gamma)$ the set of all edges and by $V(\Gamma)$ the set of all vertices. We can give each edge e two possible orientations, each determining an initial vertex $i(e)$ and a terminal vertex $t(e)$, respectively. On an oriented edge e , we denote by $\bar{e}$ the same unoriented edge with the other orientation. Thus $i(e) = t(\bar{e})$ and $t(e) = i(\bar{e})$. We let $\tilde{E}(\Gamma)$ be the set of all oriented edges, and let E_v and $\tilde{E}_v$ be the corresponding edges on any $v \in V(\Gamma)$.

An abstract unsigned GKM graph (Γ, α) consists of an n -valent graph Γ (multiple edges may appear between two vertices) and a *labeling* $\alpha: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k / \pm 1$ such that for all $v \in V$ and any two e_1, e_2 at v , $\alpha(e_1)$ and $\alpha(e_2)$ are linearly independent, and such that there is a compatible connection ∇ , which we define now.

Definition 2.2. A compatible connection ∇ on (Γ, α) is a bijection $\nabla_e: \tilde{E}_{i(e)} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{t(e)}$ (for each oriented edge e) such that

1. $\nabla_e e = \bar{e}$.
2. $\nabla_{\bar{e}} = (\nabla_e)^{-1}$.

3. for all $f \in \tilde{E}_{i(e)}$ we have $\alpha(\nabla_e f) \pm \alpha(f) = c\alpha(e)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Analogously, we call (Γ, α) an abstract **signed** GKM graph when α takes values in $\mathbb{Z}^k$, $\alpha(e) = -\alpha(\bar{e})$, and there is a compatible connection such that the third condition above is replaced with $\alpha(\nabla_e f) - \alpha(f) = c\alpha(e)$.

There is a rather obvious notion of isomorphism of GKM graphs.

Definition 2.3. Two (signed) GKM graphs (Γ, α) and (Γ', α') are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $\Psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ of unlabeled graphs, as well as an automorphism $\varphi: \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $\varphi(\alpha(e)) = \alpha'(\Psi(e))$.

There is a relationship between T^k -actions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the values of α on E_v . Namely, for every edge $e \in E_v$, $\alpha(e)$ corresponds to a homomorphism $\chi_e: T^k \rightarrow S^1$, which is well-defined up to complex conjugation on S^1 . In particular, the representation χ_v of T^k on $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by χ_e is well defined up to (real) isomorphism, and thus we get a representation of T^k on $\mathbb{C}^n$ (up to real isomorphism) by

$$t \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\chi_{e_1}(t)z_1, \dots, \chi_{e_n}(t)z_n).$$

We denote this representation by $\mathbb{C}^n(v)$.

Definition 2.4. We call a GKM graph Γ *ineffective* if the representation $\mathbb{C}^n(v)$ is unfaithful for some vertex (and hence any, if the graph is connected) v .

Note that the equivariant one skeleton $(\mathbb{C}^n(v))_1$ of $\mathbb{C}^n(v)$ is precisely the union of the single $\mathbb{C}$ -summands, because we assumed that any two labels are linearly independent. Of course, every T^k -representation on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathbb{C}_1^n$ is the union of single $\mathbb{C}$ -summands is of the above form, so determines a 'labeling'. More generally, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be a possibly open manifold acted on by $T = T^k$ smoothly with the following properties:*

- *The set M^T is finite and not empty.*
- *The equivariant one skeleton M_1 is given by a union of T -invariant 2-spheres.*

Then the set $\Gamma = M_1/T$ has a natural graph structure (vertices correspond to fixed points, edges correspond to T -invariant 2-spheres), and there is a labeling α determined by the isotropy representation at each vertex. Moreover, the tuple (Γ, α) is a GKM graph.

From now on, we will omit the labeling α and will only write about 'the GKM graph Γ '.

2.2 j -independence and the formality package

From now on, the coefficient ring R for all (co)homology is taken to be either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$. Again, we assume T acts smoothly on $M = M^{2n}$ with M^T finite and not empty.

Definition 2.6. The action of T is called j -independent, $j \geq 2$, if for any $x \in M^T$ any j weights of the tangent representation of T on $T_x M$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

If $j = n - 1$ and $T = (S^1)^j = T^j$, then we say that the action is in *general position*.

If $j = n$ (that is, the torus has maximal dimension), then we speak of a *torus manifold*.

Now we define what an action of GKM_j -type is. This is closely related, but not identical to the action being j -independent.

Definition 2.7. A j -independent action is said to be of GKM_j -type or GKM_j if the odd cohomology of M vanishes. For $j = 2$, we just omit the index and speak of a GKM action.

Remark 2.8. At first glance, it seems weird to ask for topological properties of the manifold acted on. However, there is a well-known result that, when the set of fixed points is isolated, this topological restriction is equivalent to the action being equivariantly formal (with respect to the coefficient ring R chosen). That is, denoting by $ET \rightarrow BT$ the classifying bundle of T , the equivariant cohomology

$$H_T^*(M) := H^*(M \times_T ET)$$

is a free $H^*(BT)$ -module, where the module structure comes from the homomorphism $H^*(BT) \rightarrow H^*(M \times_T ET)$ induced by the projection $M \times_T ET \rightarrow BT$. More precisely, we have the isomorphism of $H^*(BT)$ -modules

$$H_T^*(M) \cong H^*(BT) \otimes H^*(M),$$

since the Serre spectral sequence associated to $M \rightarrow M \times_T ET \rightarrow BT$ collapses at the second page due to degree reasons ($H^*(BT)$ is the polynomial ring in $\dim(T)$ generators of even degree). In particular, the restriction map $H_T^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ is surjective and its kernel is $H^{\geq 1}(BT) \otimes H^*(M)$.

There is a natural way to associate a ring to a GKM graph Γ . In order to do this, we set T to be a k -dimensional torus and note that, abstractly, the group of homomorphisms from T to S^1 is isomorphic to $H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$, because both are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^k$. However, there is even a natural isomorphism, coming from a fact that every homomorphism $T \rightarrow S^1$ gives a map $BT \rightarrow BS^1$ and thus, after fixing some generator of $H^2(BS^1) = \mathbb{Z}$, a unique element in $H^2(BT)$. So, if Γ is signed, we can uniquely identify any weight with an element in $H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$, and when Γ is not signed, this only works up to a sign in $H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 2.9. Let R be either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$. The equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(\Gamma; R)$ of a GKM graph Γ is defined by

$$\left\{ (\omega(v))_v \in \bigoplus_{v \in V(\Gamma)} H^*(BT; R) : \omega(u) - \omega(w) \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha(e)} \text{ for all edges } e \text{ between } u \text{ and } w \right\},$$

where $\omega(u) - \omega(w) \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha(e)}$ means that $\omega(u) - \omega(w)$ is contained in $H^*(BT; R) \cdot \alpha(e)$. The cohomology $H^*(\Gamma; R)$ of a GKM graph Γ is defined by

$$H^*(\Gamma; R) = H_T^*(\Gamma; R) / (H^{\geq 1}(BT; R) \cdot H_T^*(\Gamma; R)).$$

As one can already guess from the definition, the equivariant cohomology respectively the cohomology of a GKM manifold is strongly linked to the equivariant cohomology respectively the cohomology of the graph. The next theorem is [GKM98, Theorem 7.2], and is obtained by using the equivariant Mayer Vietoris sequence as well as the Chang-Skjelbred lemma [CS74, Lemma 2.3].

Theorem 2.10. *Let M be a GKM T -manifold over $\mathbb{Q}$. There is an isomorphism of $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q})$ -algebras $H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_T^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$, induced by the restriction map $H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_T^*(M^T; \mathbb{Q})$. This also induces an isomorphism*

$$H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) / (H^{\geq 1}(BT; \mathbb{Q}) \cdot H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q})) = H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Remark 2.11. This also holds for $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients if every finite stabilizer is contained in a proper subtorus, for then the statement of the Chang-Skjelbred lemma also holds for $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients (see [FP07, Theorem 2.1]).

Lemma 2.12 ([MP03, Lemma 2.2]). *If the action is equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$, then, for any subtorus $H \subset T$, any connected component of $M^H \subset M$ contains a fixed point and its odd $\mathbb{Q}$ - or $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology vanishes.*

When we assume 'more' linear independence together with equivariant formality, we get even stronger results.

Theorem 2.13 ([AMS22, Proposition 3.11]). *If the action is of GKM $_j$ -type and $R = \mathbb{Q}$, then*

1. *for any face F we have $H^i(F, F_{-1}) = 0$ for $i < \text{rk}(F)$. If, in addition, $\text{rk}(F) < j$, then $H^*(F, F_{-1}) = H^*(D^{\text{rk}(F)}, \partial D^{\text{rk}(F)})$.*
2. *M^* is $j+1$ -acyclic (that is, $H^i(M^*) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq j+1$).*
3. *M_r^* is $\min(r-1, j+1)$ -acyclic.*

If one assumes that all stabilizers are connected, then this also holds for $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

There is a kind of an inverse to the last theorem.

Theorem 2.14 ([AM23, Theorem 4, Chapter 6]). *Assume that the action of T on M is in general position and satisfies the following properties (here, rational or integer coefficients are taken):*

- *every face submanifold contains a fixed point;*
- *all stabilizers are connected;*
- *the orbit space is a homology $(n+1)$ -sphere;*
- *each face of Q_{n-2} is a homology disc;*

- Q_{n-2} is $(n-3)$ -acyclic.

Then the action is equivariantly formal.

The assumption on the orbit space being a homology-sphere is not as restrictive as it seems. We call an action in general position **appropriate**, if, for any closed subgroup $H \subset T$, the closure of $M^{(H)}$ contains a point x' whose stabilizer has a larger dimension than H .

Lemma 2.15. [A18, Theorem 2.10] *The orbit space of an appropriate T -manifold in general position is a topological manifold.*

Remark 2.16. From the last lemma, one can deduce that, for any generic point x in a $2k$ -dimensional face submanifold F of a manifold in general position, the isotropy representation of the connected component T_x^0 containing the identity element of T_x on the normal bundle of F is in general position. For if not, then the orbit space would not be a topological manifold. The reason for that, in turn, is that the orbit space of the T_x^0 -action on $S^{2n-2k-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ is not a manifold, since when the first $n-k-1$ weights, for example, are not linearly independent, then the kernel of the T_x^0 -action on $\mathbb{C}^{n-k-1} \times \{0\}$, which is a circle, rotates in the last factor of $\mathbb{C}$, and so the orbit space near a generic point in $\mathbb{C}^{n-k-1}$ has boundary.

We will treat actions in general position that are in some way *locally standard*, that is, every slice looks just like a slice from some linear T -action on $\mathbb{C}^n$ (these are clearly appropriate). The following lemma is 'standard' for a locally standard complexity one space in general position.

Lemma 2.17. *Whenever an action of $T = T^{n-1}$ on a $2n$ -dimensional manifold is locally standard and in general position, a non-trivial stabilizer subgroup T_x of any point x in M is either connected or a product of a subtorus with one non-trivial cyclic group.*

Proof. For $x \in M$ there is a representation of T on $\mathbb{C}^n$ together with a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $T_p = T_x$. Assume that precisely the last $n-k$ coordinates of p are 0, so that $\dim(T \cdot p) \leq k$. On the other hand, T_p acts effectively on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$, so $\dim(T_p) \leq n-k$. Using $\dim(T_p) + \dim(T \cdot p) = n-1$ we deduce $\dim(T \cdot p) \geq k-1$, that is, there are only the cases $\dim(T \cdot p) = k-1$ and $\dim(T \cdot p) = k$.

In the former case we have $\dim(T_p) = n-k$, so $T_p \cong T^{n-k}$ (because T_p acts effectively on $\mathbb{C}^{n-k}$). But this can not happen, since the orbit space of that action on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ is not a manifold, a contradiction to our assumption that the action of T on M is in general position.

So necessarily $\dim(T \cdot p) = k$, and thus T_p is a codimension one subgroup of T^{n-k} , and thus isomorphic to a product of a torus and a single cyclic group. $\square$

All the next lemmata, up until the end of the subsection, are highly technical in nature, and only important for lemma 5.8 in the setting $R = \mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 2.18. *Let ρ be an unfaithful T^n -representation on $\mathbb{C}^n$, where the kernel is cyclic of order m and contained in the last S^1 -factor. Then, up to automorphism of T^n , the representation is given by $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (t_1 z_1, \dots, t_{n-1} z_{n-1}, t_n^m z_n)$.*

Proof. By dividing out the kernel, we see that the representation ρ is given by the concatenation of the covering $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, t_n^m)$ and a standard, faithful representation of T^n on $\mathbb{C}^n$. The latter is, up to automorphism, given by $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (t_1 z_1, \dots, t_n z_n)$. Now this automorphism lifts to T^n under the covering, and we are done. $\square$

Lemma 2.19. *Let $T = T^{n-1}$ act on $\mathbb{C}^n$ linearly and in general position. Let $T_p = \mathbb{Z}_m \times T^{n-k-1}$ (m could also be 1) be an $n - k - 1$ -dimensional stabilizer subgroup of a point p in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \{0\}$. Then, up to automorphism of T , the T -action on $\mathbb{C}^k \times \{0\}$ is given by $(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_k) = (t_1 z_1, \dots, t_{k-1} z_{k-1}, t_k^m z_k)$.*

Proof. Fix the T_p -representation on $\mathbb{C}^{n-k}$. A local model around p is $(-1, 1)^k \times T \times_{T_p} \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$. Now T_p is contained in a subtorus T' of dimension $n - k$, and this subtorus consists of the last $n - k$ factors of S^1 in T , up to automorphism. In the same vein, we may assume that the embedding $T_p \hookrightarrow T' = T^{n-k} \hookrightarrow T^{n-1}$ is of the form

$$(e^{2k\pi i/m}, t_1, \dots, t_{n-k-1}) \mapsto (1, \dots, 1, e^{2k\pi i/m}, t_1, \dots, t_{n-k-1}).$$

Now $T^k \times \{0\}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^k \times \{0\}$ and the kernel of this action is a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ contained in the last S^1 -factor, implying the assertion by means of lemma 2.18 $\square$

Starting from there, we immediately obtain the following.

Lemma 2.20. *In the setting of lemma 2.19 (and the canonical form of the T -action on $\mathbb{C}^k \times \{0\}$), we find an automorphism of T that fixes the first $k - 1$ coordinates and sends $\{e\}^{k-1} \times T^{n-k}$ to itself, such that the resulting T -action on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ is given by*

$$(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \cdot (z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n) = (t_k^l z_{k+1}, t_{k+1} z_{k+2}, \dots, t_{n-1} z_n),$$

where l is an integer coprime to m .

Proof. Simply consider the representation of the last $n - k$ coordinates of T on the last $n - k$ coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and note that the kernel of this action is cyclic and contained in the k -th coordinate of T . Now apply lemma 2.18, again. $\square$

Remark 2.21. Note that, in the setting of the last lemma, we have no control over the action of T on the k -th coordinate of $\mathbb{C}^n$ anymore, except that the k -th circle still acts with weight m . In that sense, we have achieved that the action is standard in all coordinates, except the k -th one.

At last, we obtain

Lemma 2.22. *In the setting of the last lemma (and the canonical form of the T -action on $\mathbb{C}^n$), let S^1 act on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that the $T \times S^1$ -action is effective. Then, up to automorphism of $T \times S^1$ fixing $T \times \{e\}$, the $\{e\} \times S^1$ -action on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is given by*

$$t \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, t^{m'} z_k, t^{l'} z_{k+1}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_n)$$

for natural numbers m' and l' such that $ml' - m'l = \pm 1$.

In particular, if we denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the fundamental vector field of that S^1 -action, then there are rational constants C_1 and C_2 such that $\mathcal{X} = C_1\mathcal{X}_1 + C_2\mathcal{X}_2$, where $\mathcal{X}_1$ is the fundamental vector field of the k -th circle in T , and $\mathcal{X}_2$ is the fundamental vector field of a certain S^1 -representation on the $k+1$ -th coordinate of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof. An automorphism ϕ as mentioned in the statement is uniquely determined by what it does on $\{e\} \times S^1$. If the action of the latter on the first coordinate, for example, has weight j , then we want $\phi(e, t)$ to have first coordinate t^{-j} for $t \in S^1$. Repeat this for all coordinates in T , except the k -th one. The resulting action is then of the desired form; the restriction of m' and l' come from the assumption that the $T \times S^1$ -action is effective. $\square$

2.3 Torus manifolds and equivariant formality

When we deal with a high amount of abelian symmetry, that is, with torus manifolds, there are particularly nice links between the homology of its orbit space and their equivariant formality over $\mathbb{Z}$ due to Masuda and Panov, [MP03].

Theorem 2.23. *The following are equivalent for a torus manifold M :*

- *The action is equivariantly formal.*
- *The action is locally standard and each face F of M^* as well as M^* itself is acyclic.*

We now formulate the (specialization of a) key theorem for this, which comes from [FP07, Theorem 2.1]. To formulate this, we recall the notion T^p for the minimal subgroup in T containing all elements of order p , as well as the notion $M_{p,i}$ for the set of all T^p -orbits consisting of at most p^i points.

Theorem 2.24. *Let M be a closed $(S^1)^n = T$ -manifold such that the T -action is equivariantly formal over a coefficient ring $R \in \{\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}\}$. Assume that $M_{p,i} = M_i$ for $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $M_{p,i-1} \subset M_i$ for all $p \neq -1, 0, 1$ for $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Then the Atiyah-Bredon sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_T^*(M) \rightarrow H_T^*(X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_T^{*+n}(X_n, X_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where the first map is the natural restriction, and the other maps are the boundary maps coming from the long exact cohomology sequence of the triple (X_{i+1}, X_i, X_{i-1}) .

Remark 2.25. In the setting of lemma 2.17, we conclude that the condition $M_{p,i-1} \subset M_i$ always holds for those manifolds, since there is at most one cyclic subgroup in T_x for all $x \in M$, so that T_x is contained in a subtorus of dimension $\dim(T_x) + 1$ (see [FP07, Corollary 2.2] ff.), or connected.

Likewise, the conditions $M_{p,i} = M_i$ respectively $M_{p,i-1} \subset M_i$ are clearly fulfilled whenever a T^n -action on a $2n$ -dimensional manifold is locally standard.

Using theorem 2.24 as well as the same ideas as in [AM23], it is straightforward to show the following:

Theorem 2.26. *Let R be either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_p$, p a prime. Then any face F of M^* as well as M^* itself is acyclic over R for an equivariantly formal (and locally standard, if $R \neq \mathbb{Q}$) torus manifold M .*

Proof. For $R = \mathbb{Q}$, this is really just the proof of [AM23, Proposition 2.4] carried out for the torus having dimension n as opposed to $n - 1$. For $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$, we can do the same thing (using the version of theorem 2.24 for $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$); we only need to check in addition that M^H for a subtorus $H \subset T^n$ of dimension 1 (and thus any subtorus by induction) is equivariantly formal.

Since we assumed that the action is locally standard in this case, to any connected component C of M^H there is a subgroup G of order p such that C is a connected component of M^G . Therefore, it suffices to show that $H^{odd}(M^G; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$. But it is standard that

$$\dim H^{odd}(M^G; \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim H^{odd}(M; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0.$$

□

3 Orientability of GKM graphs and smooth neighborhoods of the equivariant one skeleton

Suppose an abstract GKM graph Γ is given. If this was the GKM graph of a GKM manifold, then a small equivariant neighborhood would have to be orientable as an open manifold. Here, we will construct to every GKM graph Γ a smooth (not necessarily orientable) manifold with boundary M'_1 that models this small neighborhood, together with an equivariant deformation retract $M'_1 \rightarrow M_1$, where we mean by M_1 the actual equivariant one skeleton corresponding to Γ , and then investigate some conditions under which we can assure that M'_1 becomes orientable.

This is partly done in [GKZ22], and also in [GZ01] for an 'open thickening'.

Remark 3.1. We will associate a T^k -manifold $M'_1(\Gamma)$ (this can be seen as an 'equivariant tubular neighborhood' of the equivariant one-skeleton) with boundary $X_1(\Gamma)$ to a GKM graph Γ . The construction will depend on certain choices, for example the connection on Γ . We will deal with this later.

For a fixed element p in $V(\Gamma)$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_p^n$ a representation of T^k on $\mathbb{C}^n$ according to the labels of the edges emerging at p and by $S(p), D(p) \subset \mathbb{C}_p^n$ the unit sphere respectively the unit disc (this corresponds to choosing signs for the labels). Let T' be some maximal tree of the graph. Whenever two vertices p_1 and p_2 are connected by an edge in T' , then we consider the equivariant connected sum of $S(p_1)$ and $S(p_2)$ along their shared invariant subcircle, which means that we take out a neighborhood of this S^1 in both $S(p_1)$ and $S(p_2)$ and glue the spaces along the boundaries $S^1 \times S^{2n-3} \subset S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with a T^k -equivariant diffeomorphism that restricts to a linear isomorphism $h_{(p_1, p_2)}$ on $\{e\} \times S^{2n-3}$ which sends $S^1 \subset S^{2n-3}$ corresponding to an edge e at p_1 to $S^1 \subset S^{2n-3}$ corresponding to the edge $\nabla_{(p_1, p_2)} e$ (this is well-defined due to the compatibility condition between connection and labeling of the graph). This will be the boundary of the space

$$M' = ((D(p_1) \setminus S^1) \amalg (D(p_2) \setminus S^1)) / \sim,$$

where we identify those two in an open neighborhood of the S^1 's we take out (this open neighborhood minus S^1 is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times D^{2n-2} \times (0, 1]$, so we can identify those neighborhoods in the same way as for the $S(p_i)$ before). Also, there is a natural map r_1 from M' to the T -invariant sphere inside it, which is an equivariant deformation retract. This comes from the natural deformation retracts from the $D(p_i)$ to 0. Indeed, we can deform these on $D(p_i) \setminus S^1$ only in a neighborhood of S^1 as indicated in fig. 1 and now it is clear that this extends to the desired map r_1 on M' canonically. Note further that the homotopy from the identity to r_1 restricted to $\partial M'$ defines a collar of $\partial M'$, and that the image of $\partial M'$ under the whole homotopy intersects itself only at the very beginning.

Doing this for all points in T' we obtain a simply-connected T^k -manifold $M'_1(T')$ with boundary $X_1(T')$ and the map $r_1(T')$ to its equivariant one-skeleton. Now we take an edge $e \in \Gamma \setminus T'$ with vertices v_1 and v_2 , and perform the equivariant connected sum, again. Doing this for all edges in $\Gamma \setminus T'$ gives us a (not necessary orientable!) T^k -manifold M'_1 (with the map




Figure 1: The deformation for $n = 3$. The red lines represent the one-skeleton, and the black lines the map.

r_1 to its one-skeleton, and with boundary X_1) whose fundamental group is isomorphic to that of Γ . We also have $H_2(X_1) \cong H_2(M_1)$ realized by r_1 , which can be seen inductively, using the iterative construction of M_1 respectively X_1 and the Mayer Vietoris sequence. Indeed, when we denote by $X_1(k)$ the manifold constructed corresponding to a subtree $T_k \subset T'$ with k edges and we assume that $H_2(X_1(k)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_2(M_1(k)) = \mathbb{Z}^k$ is an isomorphism, then we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots \rightarrow H_2(X_1(k) \setminus S^1) \oplus H_2(S^{2n-1} \setminus S^1) & \longrightarrow & H_2(X_1(k+1)) & \longrightarrow & H_1(S^1 \times S^{2n-3}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
\cdots \rightarrow H_2(M_1 \setminus S^1) \oplus H_2(D^{2n} \setminus S^1) & \longrightarrow & H_2(M_1(k+1)) & \longrightarrow & H_1(S^1) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

The assertion follows because $H_2(X_1(k) \setminus S^1) = H_2(X_1(k))$, $H_2(S^{2n-1} \setminus S^1) = H_2(D^{2n} \setminus S^1) = 0$ and

$$H_1(S^1 \times S^{2n-3}) \rightarrow H_1(X_1(k) \setminus S^1) \oplus H_1(S^{2n-1} \setminus S^1)$$

is the 0-map. Similarly, we can argue for each step after $X_1(T')$ is already constructed (that is, when we start gluing $X_1(T')$ to itself).

Note that the statement about the second homology groups does not depend on the choices of the T^k -representation on $\mathbb{C}^n$ made.

We made some choices in the construction. In order to argue that they are not restrictive, we need an elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A \in O(2n)$ act linearly on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = (\mathbb{R}^2)^n = \mathbb{C}^n$ such that it commutes with an S^1 -representation on $\mathbb{C}^n$ that only fixes 0. Then A is contained in the standard $U(n) \subset SO(2n)$.*

Proof. Since A and the S^1 -representation commute, we may average a scalar product invariant under A and thus get a scalar product which is invariant under both A and the S^1 -representation. Thus, $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ decomposes orthogonally into subrepresentations of S^1 , indexed by their unsigned weight, none of which is 0 by assumption. Then A leaves those

subrepresentations whose weight is not ± 1 invariant because it commutes with the representation, and thus also the orthogonal complement, the subrepresentation with weight ± 1 . On such a subrepresentation of complex dimension k , after dividing out the kernel, we simply have the diagonal S^1 -action on $\mathbb{C}^k$. This commutes with A restricted to this $\mathbb{C}^k$, and so A acts as an element of $U(k)$. This shows the claim. $\square$

Now we can slowly go through the choices made in remark 3.1.

Remark 3.3. In the construction, we specified how the linear isomorphism $h = h_{(p_1, p_2)}$ has to look like, but actually any choice of linear isomorphism g with respect to which the gluing map $S^1 \times S^{2n-3} \rightarrow S^1 \times S^{2n-3}$ becomes T^k -equivariant will be homotopic to linear isomorphisms with the same property (which makes the resulting manifolds equivariantly diffeomorphic). To see this, we view g as a map $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and denote by T' the kernel of $\alpha = \alpha((p_1, p_2))$. This is of the form $T \times Z$, where T is a $k-1$ -dimensional torus and Z a cyclic subgroup (non-trivial if and only if α is not primitive). Now T' acts on both copies of $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, and similar to the proof of lemma 3.2, we have a scalar product invariant under T' and $h^{-1} \circ g$ and an orthogonal decomposition of any such $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ into subspaces on which T' , after dividing out the kernel, acts as the diagonal S^1 -action. Hence, both g and h restricted to any such m -dimensional subspace S will be equivariantly homotopic to each other, because $h^{-1} \circ g$ centralizes a subcircle $S^1 \subset U(m)$ and is thus contained in $U(m)$ by lemma 3.2, and homotopic to the identity through elements in $U(m)$ centralizing this S^1 .

Definition 3.4. We call the GKM graph Γ *orientable* if there exists a choice of representations $\mathbb{C}_p^n$, $p \in V(\Gamma)$, and a connection ∇ on Γ such that $X_1(\Gamma)$ as in remark 3.1 is orientable.

Remark 3.5. This does not actually depend on the choice of connection. Indeed, a different choice of connection on an edge (p_1, p_2) , while fixing all other choices, only gives rise to a different map $h'_{(p_1, p_2)}$, which, by the last remark, is equivariantly homotopic to $h_{(p_1, p_2)}$ (the map from the 'old' connection) through linear isomorphisms.

Remark 3.6. Note that this was a construction based on an abstract GKM graph. However, given a GKM manifold M whose graph Γ is orientable, we will show that, with the choices of signs coming from the orientability, $M'_1(\Gamma)$ can be embedded equivariantly (non-smoothly, up to now) into M . Indeed, M'_0 can clearly be embedded equivariantly (with those choices of signs), and we may modify this embedding in such a way that, whenever (p_1, p_2) is an edge, $D(p_1)$ and $D(p_2)$ touch precisely in neighborhoods $\mathcal{N}_1(S^1) \subset D(p_1)$ respectively $\mathcal{N}_2(S^1) \subset D(p_2)$ of the shared subcircle in their boundaries and the induced map

$$S^1 \times D^{2n-2} = \mathcal{N}_1(S^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2(S^1) = S^1 \times D^{2n-2}$$

is an equivariant fiberwise linear isomorphism. The image of these $D(p_i)$ under this modification is not necessarily a smooth manifold, but a topological manifold with boundary equivariantly homeomorphic to $M'_1(\Gamma)$ (the smoothness will be done later in remark 3.6).

Remark 3.7. There is a natural singular foliation $\mathcal{F}_1$, whose leaves are tori of different dimension, on M'_1 . This is given on M'_0 by the orbits of the natural T^n -action on D^{2n} , and this is preserved under the gluing maps used in remark 3.1 (although the T^n -action might not be). We will denote by $Y_1 \subset X_1$ the leaves of maximal dimension n . This has the natural structure of a (not necessarily principal) T^n -bundle over a space B_1 which is homotopy equivalent to Γ , because, via the construction in remark 3.1, B_1 is obtained by gluing disks (namely the orbit spaces of the free T^n -orbits of the natural action on S^{2n-1}) onto each other along smaller disks.

Remark 3.8. There is also the definition of an oriented graph as in [BP15][Definition 7.9.16]. It is unknown to the author how these definitions are connected.

Definition 3.9. The GKM graph Γ is called j -independent, $j \geq 2$, if for any vertex any j labels are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

If $j = k = n - 1$, then we say that the graph is in *general position*.

If $j = k = n$, then we speak of a *torus graph*.

Note that the following holds for any j -independent GKM graph Γ : at any vertex, any $k < j$ edges determine a unique (k -independent) GKM subgraph (see [AC22, Proposition 5.7], for example).

Definition 3.10. A closed simple (that is, edges occur at most once) edge path

$$e_1 = (p_1, p_2), e_2 = (p_2, p_3), \dots, e_{n-1} = (p_{n-1}, p_n), e_n = (p_n, p_1), e_{n+1} = e_1, \dots$$

is called a *connection path* of the GKM graph (Γ, ∇) if $\nabla_{e_{i-1}} e_i = e_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Remark 3.11. There are two basic properties of orientability of graphs that we want to mention now.

1. A subgraph Γ' of an orientable torus graph Γ is orientable. Indeed, the manifold $X_1(\Gamma')$ is a connected component of $X_1(\Gamma)^H$ for a closed subgroup $H \subset T^n$, and the normal bundle of $X_1(\Gamma)^H$ is a sum of line bundles, hence orientable.
2. If the fundamental group of a 3-independent graph is generated by connection paths, then it is orientable. The reason is that the equivariant two-skeleton $(X_1)_2$ of X_1 generates $\pi_1(X_1)$, that every connected component of $(X_1)_2$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times T^2$ with an action of T^k on T^2 induced by an epimorphism and that the normal bundle of such an $S^1 \times T^2$ is orientable. The last statement is true for 4-independent graphs, because then the normal bundle splits into line bundles. But this is not necessarily true anymore if the graph is 3-independent. In this case, however, there is a linear T^{k-2} -action on the fiber $\mathbb{R}^{2n-4}$ of the normal bundle that only fixes 0 and commutes with the element $A \in \mathrm{O}(2n-4)$ that defines the normal bundle of $S^1 = (S^1 \times T^2)/T^2$ in X_1/T^2 . This implies that A is in $\mathrm{U}(n-2)$ by lemma 3.2.

4 Some statements about graphs

The main result of this small section is theorem 4.3, which states that certain 4-independent GKM graphs are actually restrictions of a torus graph. The main assumption is that the fundamental group of Γ is generated by connection paths (see definition 3.10). This assumption is quite natural in view of theorem 2.13: if Γ was realizable as an equivariantly formal (even only over $\mathbb{Q}$) GKM manifold M , then it would follow that $b_1(M_2^*) = 0$, which is equivalent to the statement that $H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by connection paths, because M_2^* is obtained from $M_1^* \cong \Gamma$ by attaching disks only along connection paths. We will see in remark 4.2 that this, in turn, is equivalent to the same statement on fundamental groups.

Let us begin with a more fundamental concept, for which we do not need the 4-independency yet.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Γ be an n -valent GKM graph with the following properties:*

1. *Any connection path is a two-valent GKM subgraph.*
2. *The group $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is generated by connection paths.*

Then there is a maximal contractible tree $T \subset \Gamma$ together with an ordered tuple of edges $e_1, \dots, e_k = E(\Gamma) \setminus E(T)$ such that attaching e_1 to T closes a connection path, attaching e_2 on $T \cup e_1$ closes a connection path, $\dots$, attaching e_k on $\Gamma \setminus e_k$ closes a connection path.

Proof. We denote by $\mathcal{G}_1$ the set of all connection paths of Γ , ordered increasingly by the number of their edges. Take any of the first connection paths γ_1 of $\mathcal{G}_1$ and remove an edge. This will be e_1 . Now set $\Gamma_2 := \Gamma / \gamma_1$, and let $\mathcal{G}_2$ be the set of all non-trivial (that is, non-constant paths) descensions of elements of $\mathcal{G}_1$, ordered by number of edges. Γ_2 is homotopy equivalent to $\Gamma \setminus e_1$, and we claim that the elements of $\mathcal{G}_2$ generate the fundamental group of Γ_2 . To see this, we need to check that no connection path γ in Γ_1 except γ_1 descends to a point in Γ_2 . This is true as long as not all edges of γ collapse. If γ has more edges than γ_1 , this is clear. If γ has the same amount of edges, then these would have been precisely those of γ_1 , so $\gamma = \gamma_1$.

Now take the first element $\gamma'_2 \in \mathcal{G}_2$ and remove an edge e'_2 of γ'_2 . There is a corresponding $\gamma_2 \subset \Gamma$ (which might possibly intersect e_1) and a corresponding edge e_2 in γ_2 , which is not contained in γ_1 . Therefore, putting e_1 inside $\Gamma \setminus (e_1 \cup e_2)$ still closes a connection path, and so does putting e_2 inside $\Gamma \setminus e_2$. Now set $\Gamma_3 := \Gamma_2 / \gamma'_2$, which is homotopy equivalent to $\Gamma \setminus (e_1 \cup e_2)$, define $\mathcal{G}_3$ as usual, and so on. Once again, $\mathcal{G}_3$ generates the fundamental group of Γ_3 by the same argument as before.

We may repeat these arguments until some Γ_{k+1} is contractible (which eventually has to happen before $\mathcal{G}_k$ becomes empty, because $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is generated by connection paths). The ordered tuple $(e_1, \dots, e_k)$ then has the desired properties. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Under the assumption that each connection path is a two-valent GKM subgraph, the assumption that $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is generated by connection paths is implied by (and thus equivalent to) the assumption that $H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by connection paths. Indeed, by

the same process as in the proof of lemma 4.1, $b_1(\Gamma_{k+1})$ eventually has to become 0, which, since Γ_{k+1} is a graph, implies that Γ_{k+1} is contractible.

So, going backwards from Γ_{k+1} , we see that γ_1 generates the fundamental group of $\Gamma_{k+1} \cup e_1$, γ_1 and γ_2 generate the fundamental group of $\Gamma_{k+1} \cup e_1 \cup e_2$, and so on, since γ_2 does never cross e_1 by choice of γ_2 .

Consider a two-valent GKM subgraph γ of Γ and fix a vertex $v \in V(\gamma)$. Denote by $F(v)$ the set $E(\Gamma)_v \setminus E(\gamma)_v$. When taking an edge in $F(v)$ and pushing this one time around γ with the connection, we get a bijection $\mu_\gamma: F(v) \rightarrow F(v)$, the monodromy map of γ . Note that this is trivial for all γ if the graph is at least 4-independent, and that in this case, any two edges at one vertex determine a unique two-valent GKM subgraph. We want to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Let Γ be an n -valent 3-independent GKM graph with the property that any three edges belong to a unique 3-valent GKM-subgraph and that the group $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is generated by two-valent GKM subgraphs. Then the $\mathbb{Z}^k$ -labeling (respectively $\mathbb{Z}^k / \pm 1$) extends to an effective $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -labeling (respectively $\mathbb{Z}^n / \pm 1$).*

Proof. We do this in the signed case, the unsigned case is analogous. We begin by noting three things.

- For every maximal contractible tree $T \subset \Gamma$, there is an extension by extending at one vertex and then pushing this over whole T via the connection.
- By the same reasoning, whenever there is an extension, it is uniquely determined by the extension at one vertex.
- At any vertex, any three edges e_1, e_2 and e_3 define a unique 3-valent, 3-independent subgraph Γ' by assumption. We can extend the labeling $\alpha(e_i)$ on this vertex to a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -labeling $(\alpha(e_i), 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$ and this clearly gives a well-defined extension on Γ' . It follows that any three labels $\alpha'(e_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ give a well-defined $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -labeling on Γ' , since the GKM condition is linear and there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$ sending $(\alpha(e_i), 0)$ to $\alpha'(e_i)$.

In particular, for any connection path γ , there is an extension of the labeling on all edges meeting γ . Indeed, we may extend the $\mathbb{Z}^k$ -labeling at some vertex to an effective $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -labeling. Now for some vertex v of γ , we take some edge e at v transverse to γ , and this gives an extension on all edges that meet γ **and** are contained in the unique 3-valent GKM subgraph Γ' spanned by γ and e . Now take some other transverse edge $e' \neq e$ at v and repeat the argument, then take a transverse edge $e'' \neq e, e'$ at v and repeat the argument, and so forth. This will give a well-defined labeling near γ , since the intersection of two of these 3-valent subgraphs near γ is precisely γ .

Now choose a maximal contractible tree T as in lemma 4.1 (which is possible, because any two adjacent edges belong to a unique two-valent GKM subgraph by our assumption), choose an extension at some vertex of T and consider the induced extension on whole T . Normally,

when attaching e_1 , this extension of T might not be compatible. But here, we close a connection path γ_1 when attaching e_1 , so by the third bullet above, the GKM-condition at each edge of γ_1 is indeed fulfilled, so in particular at e_1 . When attaching e_2 , we close a connection path, again, so the GKM condition is fulfilled, and so on. This shows that the GKM condition holds everywhere on Γ , which we wanted to prove. $\square$

In particular, every realizable GKM graph which is at least 4-independent comes from an n -independent GKM graph, since for GKM₄-manifolds M we have $b_1(M_2^*) = \pi_1(M_2^*) = 0$, so $M_1^* = \Gamma$ has its fundamental group generated by two-valent subgraphs.

5 Technical properties of manifolds in general position

In this section, we establish some results regarding the homological behaviour of a (possibly non-compact) T -manifold M near the equivariant k -skeleton M_k , for $k \leq n - 2$. We assume that the action of T on M is in general position, that any $j \leq n - 2$ edges emerging from a vertex belong to a T -invariant, equivariantly formal (coefficients R are taken to be $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$) face submanifold of dimension $2j$, and that M_k^* is $k - 1$ -acyclic, $k \leq n - 2$. In particular $b_1(M_2^*) = 0$ and thus $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is generated by connection paths by remark 4.2.

While M is automatically orientable then for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ (by the universal coefficient theorem we have $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$), we need to additionally assume M to be orientable for $R = \mathbb{Q}$.

We set F_{k+1} to be M_{k+1} minus an open equivariant neighborhood of M_k in M_{k+1} . Every connected component of this belongs to a face submanifold Z of dimension $2k + 2$, hence to a unique GKM subgraph of valence $k + 1$, and can be considered to be the complement of a small equivariant neighborhood of Z_k in Z .

There are two settings now: $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $R = \mathbb{Q}$. The reason why these are different is that for $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the action of T on every such $Z \setminus Z_k$ is free after dividing out the kernel (by theorem 2.23). This also implies that F_{k+1} is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the product of a homology disk and T^{k+1} , where T acts on T^{k+1} via some surjective homomorphism. Likewise, any connected component of the boundary of F_{k+1} is the product of a homology sphere and T^{k+1} .

For $R = \mathbb{Q}$, the equivariant formality does not imply that the action on Z is locally standard, of course. There might be many disconnected stabilizers occurring, which needs to be respected in the local description of M around its equivariant k -skeleton, $k \leq n - 2$. If, in the following, a statement is written without specifying the coefficients, then it holds for both $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 5.1. In both cases, we may consider the boundary of F_{k+1} both as a subset of F_{k+1} and of the boundary of a small T -invariant neighborhood M'_k of M_k in M intersected with M_{k+1} . We write ∂F_{k+1} for the boundary considered in F_{k+1} and $\mathcal{M}_k$ for the boundary considered in M'_k . Though they are named differently, these are the same sets, and there is the 'natural identification' $\partial F_{k+1} \cong \mathcal{M}_k$ given by $p \mapsto p$.

Although it seems to be redundant, it will be convenient to use these two different notions for the same set. We will come back to this later.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $n \geq 4$. For $k \leq n - 3$, the normal bundle of any $2k$ -dimensional face submanifold Z splits equivariantly into line bundles (in particular, Z is orientable also for $R = \mathbb{Q}$).*

Specifically for $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the normal bundle restricted to $Z \setminus Z_{k-1}$ is equivariantly trivial (that is, a product space endowed with a product action), and for Z of dimension $2n - 4$, the normal bundle of Z restricted to the boundary of an equivariant neighborhood of $Z_{n-3} \subset Z$ is equivariantly trivial.

Proof. Z is contained in $n - k$ face submanifolds of dimension $2(k + 1)$, so the normal bundle of Z in all of these is an equivariant line bundle. This implies the first claim.

For $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the bundle (which is a sum of equivariant line bundles) is equivariantly trivial over $Z \setminus Z_{k-1}$ if every line bundle is equivariantly trivial over $Z \setminus Z_{k-1}$, and the latter is true if and only if the corresponding S^1 -bundle $S^1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow Z \setminus Z_{k-1}$ is equivariantly trivial over $Z \setminus Z_{k-1}$. By [LMS83, Theorem A], this is true if and only if the equivariant first Chern class c_1 of this bundle vanishes. Since the T -action on $Z \setminus Z_{k-1}$ is free after dividing out the kernel and the orbit space is a homology disk, c_1 is determined by its restriction to $T^k \times_T BT$. But the S^1 -bundle restricted to a T -orbit in $Z \setminus Z_{k-1}$ is equivariantly trivial, because the total space itself is a T -orbit, so we are done.

The third claim will be treated soon in remark 5.11. $\square$

In the next lemma, we establish the existence of something like an 'equivariant tubular neighborhood' of the equivariant k -skeleton in M .

Lemma 5.3. *Let $n \geq 3$. For all $k \leq n - 2$, there is a closed equivariant deformation retract $r_k: M'_k \rightarrow M_k$ in M whose boundary X_k is a smooth manifold. Moreover, r_{k+1} restricted to M'_k minus a neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_k = (M_{k+1} \cap X_k)$ may be assumed to be equivariantly homotopic to r_k and, when restricted to a suitable embedding of the normal bundle of F_{k+1} in $M'_{k+1} \setminus (M'_k \setminus X_k)$, it may be assumed to collapse the fibers.*

Further, the flow $F_{[0,1]}(X_k)$ of X_k under the whole homotopy intersects X_k only at $t = 0$, and F restricted to $[0, \varepsilon] \times X_k$ may be assumed to be smooth with $\partial_{t=0} F_t$ being a vector field transverse to X_k .

If the T -action comes from a T^n -action, then we may take everything equivariant with respect to this T^n -action.

Proof. This exists for $k = 0$ as already mentioned in remark 3.1.

Assume r_k and an equivariant homotopy F_t from the identity to r_k exists for some $k \leq n - 3$. In order to construct this for $k + 1$, we need to construct r_{k+1} on M'_k , first.

By lemma 5.2, a small, closed equivariant neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_k$ in X_k is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$ (and we fix this identification together with a fiber metric g from now on), where we just mean that this is an equivariant bundle over $\mathcal{M}_k$ (which splits equivariantly into line bundles for $k \leq n - 4$). The complement N_k of the interior of M'_k in M is a manifold with boundary X_k , and its equivariant $k + 1$ -skeleton is precisely F_{k+1} . Again, a neighborhood of F_{k+1} in N_k is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$. By possibly shrinking the neighborhood, we may assume that under the identification in remark 5.1 $\partial F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$ is even mapped into $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$. It is now standard differential topology that this embedding can be isotoped rel $\partial F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} \{0\}$ equivariantly into a fiberwise linear embedding and maps $\partial F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$ into the set $g(v, v) \leq \beta$ for some $\beta > 0$. Then we can rescale those fibers to make this embedding an isomorphism

$$f_k: \partial F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)},$$

which, in a local section, is of the form

$$f_k(p, v) := (p, h_k(p)(v)),$$

where $h_k(p) \in \text{SO}(2(n-k-1))$ is an invariant map with the additional property that $h_k(p)$ has to commute with the action of T_p , the stabilizer of p , on the $D^{2(n-k-1)}$ -fiber over p .

By the inductivity assumption, a neighborhood V of $\mathcal{M}_k$ in M'_k is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)} \times [0, \varepsilon]$ via the flow of $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$ under F_t . We may assume, by choosing all neighborhoods small enough, that $F_t(X_k)$ hits V only for $p \in \mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)} \subset X_k$ and $t \leq \varepsilon$.

Assuming that the disk has radius 1, we consider the subset U in there consisting of all points (p, v, t) that satisfy $1/2 \leq \|v\| + \varepsilon^{-1}t \leq 1$ (the red lines in fig. 2). This




Figure 2

is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)} \times [1/2, 1]$ (the black lines in fig. 2). In fact, there is a straightforward isotopy $j_t \text{ rel } \mathcal{M}_k \times \{0\} \times [1/2, 1]$ from the embedding $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)} \times [1/2, 1] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)} \times [0, 1]$ into U .

Likewise, U' consisting of all points with $0 < \|v\| + \varepsilon^{-1}t \leq 1$ (the union of the red lines and the green lines) is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)} \times (0, 1]$, and we fix this identification from now on.

Choose a bump function $\rho^1: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ identically 0 on $[0, 1/2 + \delta]$ and identically 1 on $[1/2 + 2\delta, 1]$ for some small $\delta > 0$. Moreover, choose a bump function $\rho^2: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ identically 1 near 1 and identically 0 on $[0, 3/4]$. Define

$$\rho_1: (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \rho_1(x) := \rho^1(x)/x, \quad \rho_1: (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \rho_2(x) := \rho^2(x)/x$$

For $x \in \mathcal{M}_k$ we define $r_{k+1}(x) := x$, for $x = (p, v, t) \in U'$ we define

$$r_{k+1}(x) := F_{\rho_1(\|v\| + \varepsilon^{-1}t)}(p, \rho_2(\|v\| + \varepsilon^{-1}t)v, t + \varepsilon(1 - \rho_2(\|v\| + \varepsilon^{-1}t))\|v\|),$$

and for x not in U' we set $r_{k+1}(x) := r_k(x)$ (that is, we interpolate between r_k way outside $\mathcal{M}_k$ and the collapse of the $D^{2(n-k-1)}$ -fibers corresponding to the green lines in fig. 2).

The image of r_{k+1} is in M_{k+1} . There is an equivariant homotopy G_s from the identity to r_{k+1} which is given by F_s outside U' and inside U' by

$$G_s(y) = F_{s\rho_1(\|v\| + \varepsilon^{-1}t)}(p, (1 - s(1 - \rho_2(\|v\| + \varepsilon^{-1}t)))v, t + \varepsilon s(1 - \rho_2(\|v\| + \varepsilon^{-1}t))\|v\|)$$

(that is, we interpolate between the homotopy F_s way outside $\mathcal{M}_k$ and the continuous shrinking of the $D^{2(n-k-1)}$ -fibers corresponding to the green lines in fig. 2). Moreover, by the choice of V above, this homotopy can be restricted to M^k (by which we mean M'_k minus the green

region in fig. 2), and so r_{k+1} may be assumed to be equivariantly homotopic to the identity on M^k as well. It is clear that $\partial_{s=0}G_s$ is transverse to $X_k \cap M^k$.

We now define the space M'_{k+1} to be $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$ attached to M^k along $U \cong \mathcal{M}_k \times D^{2(n-k-1)} \times [1/2, 1]$ using f_k . It is straightforward to see that this embeds into M in the desired way. The map r_{k+1} and the homotopy extend to $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$ and thus M'_{k+1} naturally, and the homotopy defines a collar of X_{k+1} in M'_{k+1} .

Now, r_{k+1} restricted to M_{k+1} is not the identity, but it is the identity on M_k and equivariantly homotopic to the identity on M_{k+1} with support in a neighborhood of M_k . Since M_{k+1} is an equivariant subcomplex of the equivariant CW-complex M'_{k+1} , this homotopy lifts and we may take this new map as our r_{k+1} , which then fulfills all properties we wanted it to have. $\square$

Corollary 5.4. *The deformation retract $r_k: M'_k \rightarrow M_k$ is natural with respect to subspaces in the sense that for a face submanifold $Z \subset M$, we have that the restriction $r_k: M'_k \cap Z \rightarrow M_k \cap Z$ is well-defined and has all the properties that the map r_k has.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the equivariance of the map $r_k: M'_k \rightarrow M_k$ as well as the equivariance of its homotopy to the identity. $\square$

Remark 5.5. We have fixed some identification of a small neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_k$ in X_k with $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$, and relative to this we have the isomorphism f_k as in the last proof. Whenever we have a T^n -action on M'_k , we choose this identification T^n -equivariant, though. We will show soon that the T -action on M_k always comes from a T^n -action for $k \leq n-3$, and then we fix this identification to be T^n -equivariant (and refer to f_k as the isomorphism relative to this fixed identification).

Remark 5.6. In our special case of the graph being in general position and the action being locally standard (lemma 2.15), the orbit space X_1^* is homeomorphic to $\#_k S^1 \times S^{n-1}$ (where k is the rank of $\pi_1(\Gamma)$) and we can choose k embedded circles in the free stratum as a basis of $\pi_1(X_1^*)$. Indeed, the orbit space of $X_1(T')$ (see remark 3.1 for the notation), is homeomorphic to S^n , and for every edge $e \in \Gamma \setminus T'$, we may choose a path connecting the two T -fixed points in $X_1(T')$ corresponding to e whose interior is in the free stratum. The connected sum of $X_1(T')$ along these points now gives X_1 together with k subcircles in the orbit space of the free stratum forming a basis of $\pi_1(X_1) = \pi_1(X_1^*)$. That the k -fold connected sum of S^n with itself gives $\#_k S^1 \times S^{n-1}$ is standard, because a connected sum of any manifold $M = M^n$ with itself is the connected sum of M with $S^1 \times S^{n-1}$ (write M as $M \# S^n$ and perform the sum on two points of S^n).

Remark 5.7. For $R = \mathbb{Z}$, it is clear from the construction in lemma 5.3 that if the T^{n-1} -action on M'_k is locally standard (which is true for $k = 0$), then the T^{n-1} -action on M'_{k+1} is locally standard, so that all orbit spaces X_k^* are topological manifolds for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ by lemma 2.15.

For $R = \mathbb{Q}$, these are orbifolds. Indeed, X_1^* is a manifold, and for a connected component

C of F_{k+1} and its principal isotropy subgroup T' , $C \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}/T' = C \tilde{\times} (D^{2(n-k-1)}/T') = C \tilde{\times} D^{n-k+1}$ is a manifold, and this makes $C \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}/T = (C \tilde{\times} D^{n-k+1})/(T/T')$ into an orbifold (the action of T/T' is almost free on the latter space). Since X_{k+1}^* is obtained from $(X_k \setminus \mathcal{M}_k)^*$ by smoothly (in the 'total space') attaching $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$, X_{k+1}^* is an orbifold if X_k^* is.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $n \geq 5$. For $k \leq n - 3$, the $T = T^{n-1}$ -action on M'_k extends to an effective T^n -action such that every T^n -orbit of dimension at most $n - 2$ is a T -orbit.*

If every subgraph of covalence one is ineffective, the statement also holds for $k = n - 2$.

If $n = 4$ and any three edges emerging at a vertex belong to an ineffective subgraph, then the statement holds as well.

Proof. We may extend the labeling of Γ to a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -labeling by theorem 4.3, and so we have the extension of the T^{n-1} -action on M'_0 .

So assume we have shown the statement for some $k \leq n - 4$. Consider a generic stabilizer T' of the T -action on a connected component of F_{k+1} . By remark 2.16, the action of its connected component on the fiber $D^{2(n-k-1)}$ of the normal bundle of F_{k+1} in M'_{k+1} is in general position, in particular GKM (we have $\dim(T') \geq 2$ due to $k \leq n - 4$). Therefore, the centralizer of T' in $\mathrm{SO}(2(n - k - 1))$ is in the maximal torus. This is also true for $k = n - 3$ if every subgraph of covalence one is ineffective, since then the S^1 -representation on D^4 splits canonically in $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_2}$, where $\alpha_i \neq 0, \pm 1$.

Since for any point p in the latter connected component of F_{k+1} the stabilizer T_p of p contains that T' and acts on $D^{2(n-k-1)}$, in particular commuting with the T' -representation, T_p is contained in the maximal torus of $\mathrm{SO}(2(n - k - 1))$. This shows that any equivariant bundle automorphism in a local section is of the form $(p, v) \mapsto h_k(p)(v)$, where $h_k(p)$ is in the maximal torus of $\mathrm{SO}(2(n - k - 1))$, and in particular, that the T -action can be extended (though not necessarily effectively) on $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$ by letting an additional S^1 -factor act on the fiber, arbitrarily.

Conversely, we look at the way the T^n -action on $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$ in X_k is obtained from the T -action there. Around every point p with generic stabilizer, a T -neighborhood is isomorphic to $(0, 1)^k \times T \times_{T_p} \mathbb{C}^{n-k-1}$, and neither the subgroup $T_p \subset T$ nor its representation on $\mathbb{C}^{n-k-1}$ depend on the generic p chosen. Moreover, the transition functions between these trivializations preserve any torus action, which implies that lemma 2.22 can be applied to this setting; so consider the vector field $\mathcal{X} := C_1 \mathcal{X}_1 + C_2 \mathcal{X}_2$ as in lemma 2.22 on whole $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$, which agrees with the fundamental vector field of the $\{e\} \times S^1$ -action on generic points of $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$, hence everywhere. This shows that we can extend this fundamental vector field to $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$. Again, on generic points of the latter, this extension integrates to a periodic flow, hence it does so everywhere.

We have thus found an extension of the T -action as desired. $\square$

Remark 5.9. In the situation of lemma 5.8, denote by Y_k all points through which the T^n -orbit has dimension n . By the same reasoning as in remark 5.7, $(X_k \setminus Y_k)^*$ (orbit space taken

with respect to the T -action, not the T^n -action) is a manifold for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and an orbifold for $R = \mathbb{Q}$, relying on the fact that this statement is true for $k = 0$.

Remark 5.10. We assumed in the proofs of lemma 5.3 and lemma 5.8 that M is smooth. However, this assumption is not needed necessarily. It is only needed that a neighborhood of each $\mathcal{M}_k$ in X_k is equivariantly homeomorphic to a sum of equivariant line bundles over $\mathcal{M}_k$ and that the attaching maps f_k are of the above mentioned form. This might be important when one tries to construct these spaces M'_k from an abstract graph, without a manifold M present (although this won't happen, here).

Remark 5.11. Since we know now that the T -action on M'_{n-3} extends to a T^n -action, the normal bundle of a $2n - 4$ -dimensional face submanifold Z restricted to Z_{n-3} splits equivariantly into line bundles regardless of the assumption on subgraphs of covalence one as for example in lemma 5.8. In particular, the normal bundle of $\mathcal{M}_{n-3}$ splits equivariantly into line bundles. If $R = \mathbb{Z}$, then this bundle is equivariantly trivial, since the T -equivariant line bundle extends to a T -equivariant $\mathbb{C}^2$ -bundle (this is indeed a $\mathbb{C}^2$ -bundle and not only an $\mathbb{R}^4$ -bundle, because the structure group centralizes a subtorus of the maximal torus in $\mathrm{SO}(4)$) over $Z \setminus Z_{n-3}$, and hence its first Chern class vanishes. That it is equivariantly trivial follows as in lemma 5.2.

We assume now that we are in the situation of lemma 5.8. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_k^j$ the set of all points in T^n -orbits (see lemma 5.8) in X_k whose dimension is j , by X_k^j the union of all $\mathcal{F}_k^j$, where $j \geq k$, (of course, it is understood that $j \geq k + 1$, because the dimension of an orbit in X_k is at least $k + 1$) and set $Y_k := \mathcal{F}_k^n$. From now on, for a T -invariant set $O \subset M'_k$ we still mean by O^* the orbit space with respect to the T -action on M'_k unless stated otherwise.

Now that we have the T^n -action, we will, as explained in remark 5.5, take the isomorphisms f_k with respect to T^n -equivariant identifications of a neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_k$ with $\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k-1)}$.

Remark 5.12. It should be noted that there are inclusions

$$X_1^j \subset X_2^j \subset \dots \subset X_{j-1}^j$$

by construction of the X_k^j , since X_{k+1} is obtained from X_k by removing a neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_k$ and gluing in $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} S^{2n-2k-3} = F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} \partial D^{2(n-k-1)}$ using f_k as in the proof of lemma 5.3. We may call this process 'equivariant surgery' due to the similarities to the process of surgery.

Take a generic point in a connected component C of F_k , $k \leq n - 3$, and consider its stabilizer in T . This is a subtorus $T' \subset T$ of dimension $n - k - 1$, acting on $D^{2(n-k)}$ linearly. Since T' is contained in every (abelian) stabilizer T_p of F_k , and every such stabilizer acts on $T^{n-k} \subset D^{2(n-k)}$, T_p/T' acts on $T^{n-k}/T' = S^1$. It follows that the T_p/T -representation on S^1 factors through that of a cyclic group G . Since $D^{2(n-k)}/T'$ is homeomorphic to a disk of dimension $n - k + 1$, so is $D^{2(n-k)}/T_p$. Indeed, we can think of the boundary of $D^{2(n-k)}/T'$, a sphere of dimension $n - k$, as $D^{n-k-1} \times S^1$ with the S^1 -fibers collapsed in the boundary,

and under this identification, the action of G on said sphere is simply given by rotation in the fiber.

While $(C \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k)})/T' = C \tilde{\times} (D^{2(n-k)}/T')$ is a D^{n-k+1} -bundle over C , it is important to stress that this does not necessarily make $(C \tilde{\times} D^{2(n-k)})/T$ a D^{n-k+1} -bundle over C^* as it is if $R = \mathbb{Z}$, or more generally, if the stabilizer on C is constant.

When we define $S' := S_{j-k}^{2n-2k-3}$ to be $S^{2n-2k-3}$ with all torus orbit (with respect to the maximal linear torus action on $S^{2n-2k-3}$) of dimension less than $j-k$ removed and set $S := (S_{j-k}^{2n-2k-3})^*$ (with respect to any linear T^{n-k-2} -action whose orbit space is a manifold; the homeomorphism type of the resulting orbit space does not depend on the choice of such action), X_{k+1}^j is obtained from X_k^j (for $j \leq k+2$ of course) by gluing in $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} S_{j-1}^{2n-2k-3}$. For $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we get a diagram coming out of the Mayer-Vietoris sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{g_*} & H_*(F_{k+1}^* \times S) \oplus H_*((X_k^j)^*) & \longrightarrow & H_*((X_{k+1}^j)^*) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathcal{M}_k^* \times S) \xrightarrow{g_*^{-1}} \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & H_*(F_{k+1}^*) \oplus H_*(M_k^*) & \longrightarrow & H_*(M_{k+1}^*) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathcal{M}_k^*) \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

We get a similar diagram for the total spaces. Later, we will explain how the maps g_* look like (for orbit spaces and 'total spaces').

The cases especially important to us are $j = k+2$ and $j = n$. The first case corresponds to the case of 'surgery' on X_k^* itself (because $X_k^{k+2} = X_k \setminus \mathcal{M}_k$ and $X_{k+1}^{k+2} = X_{k+1}$), and the second one corresponds to the inclusions $Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset \dots$, and Y_{k+1} is obtained by gluing $F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} (\mathring{D}^{n-k-2} \times T^{n-k-1})$ onto Y_k via

$$\partial F_{k+1} \tilde{\times} (\mathring{D}^{n-k-2} \times T^{n-k-1}) \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} (\mathring{D}^{n-k-2} \times T^{n-k-1}) = Y_k \cap (\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} S^{2n-2k-3}).$$

Although the following statements hold similarly for $R = \mathbb{Q}$, the way to show them is way more involved than for $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Since, in addition, we do not really need these statements for $R = \mathbb{Q}$ later, we will assume $R = \mathbb{Z}$ from now on until the end. That is, we assume in particular that the normal bundle of $\mathcal{M}_k$ in X_k is equivariantly trivial for $k \leq n-3$ (see lemma 5.2 respectively remark 5.11).

Now let us look at the map $g_* = g_*^1 \oplus g_*^2$ in the above diagram. As explained in remark 5.5, we have fixed a T^n -equivariant embedding of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{M}_k$ into X_k and defined f_k relative to this fixed embedding. So (on level of orbit spaces, but also for the total spaces) g_*^2 is just induced by the embedding $\mathcal{M}_k^* \times S \rightarrow (X_k^j)^*$. However, g_*^1 is induced by the inclusion $\partial F_{k+1}^* \times S \rightarrow F_{k+1}^* \times S$ precomposed with $f_k^{-1}: \mathcal{M}_k^* \times S \rightarrow \partial F_{k+1}^* \times S$. Remember that $\mathcal{M}_k^*$ and ∂F_{k+1}^* are the same sets (remark 5.1). Thus, the formulation of the following lemma is justified.

Lemma 5.13. *Assume $(k, j) \neq (1, n)$. On level of homology of the orbit spaces, f_k is the identity.*

The same statement also holds for the 'total spaces' if, in addition, $(n, k) \neq (6, 3)$.

Proof. Since f_k is given by

$$f_k(p, v) = (p, h_k(p)(v))$$

and h_k takes values in a torus if $k \leq n - 4$, the assertion follows for orbit spaces and total spaces because h is nullhomotopic for $k \geq 2$ and a T^n -orbit in $S_{j-k}^{2n-2k-3}$ and $(S_{j-k}^{2n-2k-3})^*$ is nullhomologous for $j \neq n$.

For $k = n - 3$, we use that h_k is invariant under the T -action on $\mathcal{M}_k$, so that the effect of f_k on homology is governed by the effect on homology of the induced maps

$$\mathcal{M}_k^* \xrightarrow{h_k^*} \mathrm{U}(2) \rightarrow S^3 \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_k^* \xrightarrow{h_k^*} \mathrm{U}(2) \rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow S^2$$

for total space and orbit space, respectively.

This is clearly always trivial for the orbit spaces. For the total spaces it is trivial for $n \neq 6$ by degree reasons. This shows the lemma. $\square$

Remark 5.14. In the case of $(n, k) = (6, 3)$ it could certainly happen that f_k is not the identity because the induced map $\mathcal{M}_k^* \xrightarrow{h_k^*} \mathrm{U}(2) \rightarrow S^3$ does not have to be trivial. The non-trivial effect of f_k on homology,

$$(H_3(\mathcal{M}_3^*) \oplus (H_0(\mathcal{M}_3^*) \otimes H_3(S^3))) \otimes H_*(T^4) \rightarrow (H_3(\mathcal{M}_3^*) \oplus (H_0(\mathcal{M}_3^*) \otimes H_3(S^3))) \otimes H_*(T^4),$$

can then be described by $(x, y) \otimes z \mapsto (x, \tilde{h}(x) + y) \otimes z$, where $\tilde{h}: H_*(\mathcal{M}_3) \rightarrow (H_0(\mathcal{M}_3) \otimes H_3(S^3))$ is induced by h_3^* .

Lemma 5.15. *In the situation of lemma 5.8 and $k \leq n - 3$, the restriction of r_k to $B_k := Y_k/T^n$ induces an isomorphism in homology between B_k and M_k^* .*

This also holds for $k = n - 2$ when the T^n -action is defined on X_{n-2} .

Proof. This is true for $k = 0$, because then Y_0 is the disjoint union of $\mathring{D}^{n-1} \times T^n$, one for each vertex of Γ , and M_0 is the vertex set of Γ . So assume it holds for some k . Since $B_{k+1} \setminus B_k \cong F_k^* \times \mathring{D}^{n-k-2}$ is acyclic, we may consider the diagram for $* \geq 1$ (the horizontal sequences are Mayer-Vietoris sequences)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_*(B_k) & \longrightarrow & H_*(B_{k+1}) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}((\mathcal{M}_k \tilde{\times} S')/T^n) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_*((M_{k+1} \cap M'_k)^*) & \longrightarrow & H_*(M_{k+1}^*) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathcal{M}_k^*) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by r_{k+1}^* . Now, the left vertical map is the same as the one induced by r_k (since r_k and r_{k+1} are equivariantly homotopic on Y_k) and the assertion follows from the inductivity assumption and the five lemma. $\square$

Remark 5.16. It is certainly true that B_k is homotopy equivalent to M_k^* via r_k for $R = \mathbb{Z}$, but we do not need this.

Lemma 5.17. *A connected component C of $(\mathcal{F}_k^j)^*$, where $k \leq n-3$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq n-2$, is $k-1$ -acyclic.*

Proof. Note that C is given by $X_k^j \cap Z$ for a certain face submanifold $Z \subset M$ (namely that to which C is mapped under r_k) of dimension $2j$. By corollary 5.4 combined with lemma 5.15, $C^* = (X_k^j \cap Z)^*$ has the same homology as $(M_k \cap Z)^*$, that is, the same homology as the orbit space of the equivariant k -skeleton of an equivariantly formal torus manifold. This shows the claim. $\square$

Remark 5.18. For $n \geq 6$ it is immediate that $Y_k \cong B_k \times T^n$ for $k \leq n-3$, since $H^2(B_k) = 0$ for $k \neq 2$ and $B_2 \subset B_3$.

For $n = 5$ this holds nonetheless. The reason is that $H_2(X_2^*)$ is generated by $H_2(\mathcal{M}_2^*)$, and then the bundle $T^n \rightarrow Y_2 \rightarrow B_2$ is trivial. Indeed, the normal bundle of $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a sum of line bundles as seen in remark 5.11, and this extends to a $\mathbb{C}^2$ -bundle over F_3 whose first Chern class is 0.

It follows that the T^n -bundle restricted to the intersection of B_2 with a small neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_2^*$ in X_2^* is trivial. Since $H_2(B_2)$ is generated by the intersection of B_2 with a small neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_2^*$ in X_2^* , the claim follows.

Lemma 5.19. *The normal bundle of $\mathcal{F}_k^j$, where $k \leq n-3$, $k+1 \leq j$, in X_k^j is equivariantly trivial. The same holds for T -orbit spaces.*

Proof. For $j \leq n-2$, said normal bundle is a restriction of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{M}_{j-1}$ in X_{j-1} , which is equivariantly trivial (the same holds for T -orbit spaces). We need to check $j = n-1$.

The boundary of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{F}_k^{n-1}$ in X_k^{n-1} is a principal S^1 -bundle. Its total space carries the natural structure of a principal T^n -bundle (remember that we have a T^n -action on X_k^{n-1}), extending the S^1 -action on the fiber. The embedding of this T^n -bundle into Y_k is a morphism of principal T^n -fiber bundles. Since $T^n \rightarrow Y_k \rightarrow B_k$ is a trivial bundle by remark 5.18, we get the claim. $\square$

We defined X_k^j by removing certain closed subsets out of X_k . However, the way we obtained X_{k+1} from X_k was by removing a small open neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_k$, to obtain a manifold with boundary we now call X'_k , and gluing in something into X'_k along its boundary. This makes it so that $\mathcal{F}_k^{k+2} \subset X'_k$ can be considered as a manifold with boundary. We will make use of this in the next theorem.

Theorem 5.20. *Assume that the homology of M_k^* is nontrivial only in degrees 0 and k for $k \leq n-2$. Then the map $H_*(X_k^*) \rightarrow H_*(M_k^*)$ is surjective and its kernel is $H_n(X_k^*)$ plus the image of $H_0(\mathcal{M}_{k-1}) \otimes H_*(S^{n-k}) \rightarrow H_*((X'_{k-1})^*) \rightarrow H_*(X_k^*)$. If the T^n -action exists on whole M , then the same is true for $k = n-1$.*

Proof. The surjectivity of $H_*(X_k^*) \rightarrow H_*(M_k^*)$ for $k \leq n-3$ follows from lemma 5.15, because the S^1 -bundle is trivial due to remark 5.18. For $k = n-2$ it is true as well because of the

following diagram for $k = n - 3$ (* is supposed to be ≥ 1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots \rightarrow [H_0(\mathcal{M}_k) \otimes H_*(S^{n-k-1})] \oplus H_*((X_k^{k+2})^*) & \longrightarrow & H_*(X_{k+1}^*) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathcal{M}_k^* \times S^{n-k-1}) & \xrightarrow{g} & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\cdots \rightarrow 0 \oplus H_*(M_k^*) & \longrightarrow & H_*(M_{k+1}^*) & \longrightarrow & H_{*-1}(\mathcal{M}_k^*) & \xrightarrow{h} & \cdots
\end{array}$$

We know that $H_*(\mathcal{M}_k^* \times \{pt.\}) \rightarrow H_*((X_k^{k+2})^*)$ factorizes over $H_*(Y_k^*)$ and that $H_*(\mathcal{M}_k^* \times \{pt.\})$ is sent to 0 in $H_0(\mathcal{M}_k) \otimes H_*(S^{n-k-1})$ by lemma 5.13. This implies that the kernel of h is the image of the kernel of g .

The other statements are already shown for $k = 1$ (see remark 5.6), so we assume that they hold for some $k \leq n - 3$. Since the isotropy action of T on a sphere in the fiber of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{M}_k$ is in general position by remark 2.16, the orbit space of this sphere is a sphere of dimension $n - k - 1$, and the process of 'equivariant surgery' (remark 5.12) on X_k corresponds to the process of surgery on X_k^* . Moreover, all orbit spaces X_k^* are topological manifolds by remark 5.7, which enables us to use Poincaré duality throughout, that is, $b_i(X_k^*) = b_{n-i}(X_k^*)$ and $\text{Tor}(H_i(X_k^*)) = \text{Tor}(H_{n-i-1}(X_k^*))$ (using the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology in the last statement, of course).

Assuming at first that $k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $H_k((X'_k)^*) \rightarrow H_k(X_k^*)$ is an isomorphism, and so $H_k((X'_k)^*) \rightarrow H_k(M_k^*)$ is. Hence, using the above diagram, $H_k(X_{k+1}^*) = H_k(M_{k+1}^*) = 0$, which implies $H_{n-k}(X_{k+1}^*) = 0$ using Poincaré duality, and $H_{k+1}(X_{k+1}^*) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(M_{k+1}^*)$ is injective, thus an isomorphism. All in all, $H_*(X_{k+1}^*)$ is concentrated in the desired degrees and the kernel of $H_*(X_{k+1}^*) \rightarrow H_*(M_{k+1}^*)$ is generated by $H_n(X_{k+1}^*)$ plus the image of

$$H_0(\mathcal{M}_{k-1}) \otimes H_*(S^{n-k-1}) \rightarrow H_*((X'_k)^*) \rightarrow H_*(X_{k+1}^*)$$

as claimed.

If $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we need to argue differently. Assume that $n \geq 6$ is even (there is nothing to do for $n = 4$). We still want to show that $H_k(X_{k+1}^*) = 0$. We have $H_k(Y_{k+1}^*)$ because of lemma 5.15. Now we consider the chain of inclusions

$$Y_{k+1}^* = (X_{k+1}^n)^* \rightarrow (X_{k+1}^{n-1})^* \rightarrow (X_{k+1}^{n-2})^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (X_{k+1}^{k+2})^* = X_{k+1}^*$$

Using that $(\mathcal{F}_k^j)^*$ is $k - 1$ -acyclic for $j \leq n - 2$ (see lemma 5.17), the Mayer Vietoris sequence (where $m - 1 \geq k + 2$)

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_*((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{m-1})^*) \oplus H_*((X_{k+1}^m)^*) \rightarrow H_*((X_{k+1}^{m-1})^*) \rightarrow H_{*-1}((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{m-1})^* \times S^{n-m+1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

shows that the cokernel of $H_k((X_{k+1}^m)^*) \rightarrow H_k((X_{k+1}^{m-1})^*)$ is trivial except for $m = n$, where it could happen that $H_{k-2}((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) \neq 0$. So, in our current situation, we have that the kernel of $H_*(X_{k+1}^*) \rightarrow H_*(M_{k+1}^*)$ is given by

$$H_{k-1}(X_{k+1}^*) \oplus H_k(X_{k+1}^*) \oplus H_n(X_{k+1}^*),$$

so this is an isomorphism in degree $k + 1$.

Now assume $n \geq 8$. If we start at $k = n/2$ and go up with k just as before, doing the surgery on X_k^* in every step, we see that $H_j(X_{n-2}^*) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}^*)$ is an isomorphism for $k + 1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. This, in turn, implies that $H_{\leq k-2}(X_{n-2}^*) = 0$ unless in degrees 0 and 2, and that $H_j(X_{n-3}^*) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-3}^*)$ is an isomorphism as well. The MVs

$$\dots \rightarrow H_*((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) \oplus H_*(Y_{n-3}^*) \rightarrow H_*((X'_{n-3})^*) \rightarrow H_{*-1}((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^* \times S^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

gives us (using also that $H_{n-3}(Y_{n-3}^*) \rightarrow H_{n-3}((X'_{n-3})^*) \rightarrow H_{n-3}(M_{n-3}^*)$ is an isomorphism)

$$H_{k-1}((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = \dots = H_{n-5}((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = H_{n-4}((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = 0.$$

That is, we have $H_*((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = 0$ for $k - 1 = \frac{n-2}{2} \leq * \leq n - 4$. But $(\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^* \subset (X'_{n-3})^*$ is a compact manifold of dimension $n - 2$ whose boundary is a union of homology spheres, so $H_*((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = 0$ for all $2 \leq * \leq n - 4$ by Lefschetz duality. A posteriori, we find that

$$H_{k-2}((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) = H_{k-2}((\mathcal{F}_{n-2}^{n-1})^*) = 0$$

, thus $H_k(X_{k+1}^*) = 0$, and we are done.

We then also have $H_1((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = 0$, for if not, then $H_3((X'_{n-3})^*) \neq 0$ would inject into $H_3(X_{n-2}^*) = 0$. That is, the homology of $(\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*$ is generated by its boundary.

Now, for the case $n = 6$, if $H_1((\mathcal{F}_3^{n-1})^*) \neq 0$, then $b_1((\mathcal{F}_3^{n-1})^*) \neq 0$ by remark 4.2, and then $H_3((\mathcal{F}_3^{n-1})^*)$ is not generated by its boundary (using Lefschetz duality), so $H_5((X_3^5)^*)$ is not generated by its boundary $\mathcal{M}_3^* \times S^2$ (because the homology of $\mathcal{M}_3^* \times S^2$ in $H_5((X_3^5)^*)$ is mapped to $H_3((\partial \mathcal{F}_3^5)^*) \otimes H_1(S^1)$ under the boundary map of the MVs above). This contradicts $H_5(X_4^*) = 0$.

Let us now turn to odd $n \geq 7$. Here, we want to show that $H_{k+1}(X_{k+1}^*) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(M_{k+1}^*)$ is an isomorphism. Certainly $H_{k+1}(Y_{k+1}^*) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(M_{k+1}^*)$ is, so by the same reasons as for even n , we need to argue why $H_{k-1}((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) = 0$.

Since $(\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*$ is obtained from $(\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{n-1})^*$ by attaching homological cells of degree at least $k + 2$, the inclusion induces an isomorphism in homology of degree $\leq k$, and then we have with Lefschetz duality

$$b_{k-1}((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) = b_{k-1}((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) \stackrel{\text{LD}}{=} b_k((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = b_k((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{n-1})^*)$$

and

$$b_k((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) = b_{k+2}((X_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) = b_{k+2}((X_{k+1}^{n-2})^*) = \dots = b_{k+2}(X_{k+1}^*) = 0,$$

where the last equations come from the obvious Mayer Vietoris sequence. Therefore, we could only have torsion. But this would imply that $H_{k+1}((X_{k+1}^{n-1})^*)$ has torsion (the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{k+1}(Y_{k+1}^*) \rightarrow H_{k+1}((X_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_{k-1}((\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) \otimes H_1(S^1) \rightarrow 0$$

splits indeed, because $H_{k+1}((X_{k+1}^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(M_{k+1}^*) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{k+1}(Y_{k+1}^*)$ is a split) which injects into $H_{k+1}(X_{k+1}^*)$. But this is impossible due to Poincaré duality and $H_{k-1}(X_{k+1}^*) = 0$.

When we now go up, we see that the kernel of $H_*((X_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_*(M_{n-3}^*)$ is non-trivial at most in degrees 2 and k with the same arguments used as in the case $k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. In k , it could only be a torsion group T by PD. By the same MVs, we would have $H_{k-2}((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = H_k((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) = T$, but then there would be non-trivial kernel of $H_*((X_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_*(M_{n-3}^*)$ in degree $k+2$ with the same arguments as before.

For $n = 5$, we only need to show that $H_3((X_2^4)^*) = 0$, which is equivalent to $H_1((\mathcal{F}_2^4)^*) = 0$, which is equivalent to $b_1((\mathcal{F}_2^4)^*) = 0$ by remark 4.2. This can be argued as for $n = 6$. $\square$

As a side product, we have proven

Lemma 5.21. *Let $n \geq 5$ and F_k be a connected component of $\mathcal{F}_k^{n-1}$ (for all $k \leq n-2$ when F_k belongs to an isotropy submanifold, and $k \leq n-3$ otherwise). The homology of F_k^* is concentrated in degree k and, if it is defined, F_{n-2}^* has the homology of a sphere.*

For $n = 4$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the situation is not so clear. It is not even clear if isotropy submanifolds of X_2 are automatically orientable. To motivate this, consider the spaces M'_1 and $X_1 = \partial M'_1$ associated to Γ as in remark 3.1. These are automatically orientable by remark 3.11, because $\pi_1(X_1)$ is generated by $\mathcal{M}_1$. We need to think about the singular foliation $\mathcal{F}_1$ on X_1 as in remark 3.7, particularly in a neighborhood of a connected component C of $\mathcal{M}_1$, depending on whether the connection path γ_C associated to C has trivial monodromy or not.

If it does, then $\mathcal{F}_1^3$, the space of leaves of $\mathcal{F}_1$ dimension 3, intersected with $C \times S^3$, the boundary of a neighborhood of C in X_1 , is simply given by the two components $C \times (S^1 \times \{0\})$ and $C \times (\{0\} \times S^1)$ (as it is the case when the labeling of Γ extends to a $\mathbb{Z}^4$ -labeling), whereas if the monodromy of γ_C is not trivial, then $\mathcal{F}_1^3 \cap (C \times S^3)$ will only have one connected component. The reason is that, when thinking of $C \times S^3$ as $[0, 1] \times T^2 \times S^3$ glued together along its ends, $\mathcal{F}_1^3$ on there is given by the union of $[0, 1] \times T^2 \times (S^1 \times \{0\})$ and $[0, 1] \times T^2 \times (\{0\} \times S^1)$ in both cases, but the gluing map in case of a trivial monodromy is the identity, and the map for non-trivial monodromy on S^3 is given by switching the entries. By the same reasoning, the space $Y_1^* = (\mathcal{F}_1^4)^*$ might not be the total space of a trivial S^1 -bundle over B_1 anymore (compare with lemma 5.15), but only the total space of an unorientable S^1 -bundle over B_1 (which implies that B_1 itself is necessarily unorientable, then).

Albeit these difficulties in a neighborhood of M_1 constructed out of a graph Γ occur, we will show the following.

Lemma 5.22. *If M'_2 can be embedded in a $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariantly formal T^3 -manifold M (which is then necessarily orientable), then any isotropy submanifold Z of X_2 is indeed orientable and its orbit space is a two-sphere. If, moreover, every connected isotropy submanifold of M contains a fixed point, then $T \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z^*$ is a trivial bundle.*

Proof. Let C be the connected component of isotropy submanifolds of M that contains Z . After dividing out the kernel of the T -action on C , we may view the T -action to be effective. Let $C' \subset C$ be a connected component of those isotropy submanifolds whose principal stabilizer H is disconnected. A neighborhood in C of a T -orbit through a point in C' is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $T \times_H \mathbb{R}^3$, where H acts as a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Since H is abelian, it is necessarily cyclic by the classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, and thus the orbit space of C' has dimension one. Thus, the only stabilizer subgroup occurring in C' is H , and C'/T is S^1 . Moreover, since the orbit space of $T \times_H \mathbb{R}^3$ is the orbit space of $\mathbb{R}^3/H$ and thus homeomorphic to a sphere, C^* is a topological manifold of dimension 3 with boundary $\partial C^* \cong Z^*$.

Let us show that C is orientable. If H , generated by some element h , is not of order two, the sphere bundle belonging to the normal bundle of C in M can be oriented by saying that a positive orientation of a fiber is given by the direction of a geodesic from some point p to $h \cdot p$ not passing through $h^2 \cdot p$. If H is of order two, then, since M is equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\dim H^{\mathrm{odd}}(C; \mathbb{Z}_2) \leq \dim H^{\mathrm{odd}}(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0,$$

so in particular $w_1(C) \in H^1(C; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the 0-class, which makes C orientable again.

In order to show that Z^* is a two-sphere, we only need to show that Z^* is a rational homology sphere, or equivalently, that C^* is a rational homology disk. By the above inequality with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset H$, p a prime, we see that C has vanishing odd rational cohomology, so that C^* is necessarily rationally face-acyclic by theorem 2.26.

As a consequence, if every connected isotropy submanifold of M contains a fixed point, the first Chern class of the principal bundle $T \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z^*$ is the image of the first Chern class of the principal bundle $T \rightarrow C \rightarrow C^*$ under $H^2(C^*) \rightarrow H^2(Z^*)$. But $H^2(C^*)$ is torsion, so the bundle $T \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z^*$ is trivial as claimed. $\square$

6 Equivariant formality and orbit spaces of manifolds in general position

From now on and until the end, we take coefficients to be $\mathbb{Z}$ unless stated otherwise. In this section, we want to link the equivariant formality of a manifold in general position (with certain isotropy assumptions) to the homology of its orbit space. The next lemma more or less states that, although M does not have to be an equivariantly formal torus manifold, $X := X_{n-2}$ has the 'homological properties' as if M was an equivariantly formal torus manifold. The exclusion of $n = 6$ in the last point seems a bit peculiar at first, but this will turn out to be fine later, when we look at the greater context.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $X = X_{n-2} = \partial M'_{n-2}$ be as in section 5 respectively lemma 5.3. If $n = 4$, assume in addition that M is compact, equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Z}$ and that every component of an isotropy submanifold contains a fixed point. Denote by ∂Z (this could be empty) the isotropy submanifolds of X (by lemma 5.21 and lemma 5.22, these are products of homology spheres and tori).*

1. *We have an isomorphism $H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(M_{n-2})$ for $n \geq 5$.*
2. *Let $n \neq 6$. The following hold:*
 - (a) *For even $4 \leq j \leq n$, the kernel of $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ is free abelian and equal to the image of*

$$H_j(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_j(X).$$
 - (b) *For odd $j \leq n$, $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ is surjective.*
 - (c) *For even $4 \leq j \leq n - 2$, the cokernel of $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ is free abelian.*

If $d_{4,}^2 = d_{4,*}^3 = d_{4,*}^4 = 0$ for the rational Serre spectral sequence associated to $T \rightarrow X \rightarrow X^*$, then this holds for $n = 6$ as well.*

3. *For $n \neq 6$, $H_k(X)$ is free abelian for all $k \neq n - 1$. If $d_{4,*}^2 = d_{4,*}^3 = d_{4,*}^4 = 0$ for the rational Serre spectral sequence associated to $T \rightarrow X \rightarrow X^*$, then this holds for $n = 6$ as well.*
4. *For $n \geq 5$, $H_1((X \setminus \partial Z)^*) \neq 0$ if and only if every subgraph of covalence one is ineffective, and then $H_1((X \setminus \partial Z)^*)$ is generated by the S^1 -fiber of $S^1 \rightarrow Y_{n-2}^* \rightarrow M_{n-2}^*$. The same holds for $n = 4$ if any three edges emerging at a vertex belong to an ineffective subgraph.*

5. $H_*((X \setminus \partial Z)^*)$ is torsion free.

To prove this, we need the following general principle.

Lemma 6.2. *For $n \geq 5$ and X as above, there is an equivariantly formal torus manifold $\tilde{M}$ (which is not necessarily smooth, but smooth in a neighborhood of $\tilde{M}_{n-2}$) such that $M'_{n-3} = \tilde{M}'_{n-3}$. In particular, the GKM graph of M is that of $\tilde{M}$, and so $H_T^*(M) \cong H_T^*(\tilde{M})$.*

Proof. For $n \geq 6$, we take M'_{n-3} and glue in $F_{n-2} \times D^4$ into there in such a way that the map restricted to $\partial F_{n-2} \times \{0\}$ is the same as for M_{n-2} , and that the T^n -action is preserved. This gives us $\tilde{M}'_{n-2}$ with boundary $\tilde{X}_{n-2}$. Now $\tilde{M}_{n-2}$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to products of homology spheres and T^{n-1} by lemma 5.21. Since any smooth homology sphere of dimension at least 4 bounds a contractible manifold (though not necessarily smoothly) by [Ker69], we can perform equivariant surgery on $\tilde{M}_{n-2}$ using those contractible manifolds, giving us a manifold $\tilde{M}'_{n-1}$ with boundary $\tilde{X}_{n-1}$, which is the total space of a principal T^n -bundle over a closed manifold of dimension $n-1$ whose homology is concentrated in degrees 0, $n-2$ and $n-1$, hence a homology sphere of dimension ≥ 5 (actually this is a homotopy sphere, since the fundamental group of $\tilde{X}_{n-2}^*$ is generated by $\tilde{M}_{n-2}$, but this is not important). Since any homology sphere of dimension ≥ 5 is smooth (they have a PL structure by Kirby-Siebenmann, and then it was observed in [Ker69] that they have a smooth structure), it bounds a contractible manifold topologically by [Ker69], so we can close $\tilde{M}'_{n-1}$ equivariantly to obtain a T^n -manifold $\tilde{M}$ whose orbit space is face-acyclic.

For $n = 5$ we do this in the same way, but instead of gluing $F_3 \times D^4$ into M'_2 , we take $D^3 \times T^3 \times D^4$, ensuring that $\tilde{M}_{n-2}^*$ is a union of 3-spheres, thus ensuring that the boundary of $\tilde{M}'_4$ is actually a smooth 4-sphere (it is unknown to the author whether every homology 4-sphere is smoothable). $\square$

Remark 6.3. This fits into the context of the statement in [AM23] that the equivariant cohomology of manifolds in general position for $n \geq 5$ with $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients has the structure of the equivariant cohomology of an equivariantly formal torus manifold with the T^n -action restricted to a certain T^{n-1} in T^n .

Note that it does not really matter that $\tilde{M}$ constructed above is not smooth, since the smoothness used in [MP03] was only used to ensure the existence of local models around T^n -orbits, the existence of normal bundles of face submanifolds and then the existence of a canonical local model of the torus manifold, all of which we still have in our case. A bit of care might be necessary in section 9 of the article [MP03], since blow-ups are considered there. However, there is no blow-up performed on a characteristic submanifold (this wouldn't even change the space), so that every blow-up occurring in these arguments is performed on facial submanifolds of codimension at least 4, all of which are contained in the 'smooth part' $\tilde{M}'_{n-2}$ of $\tilde{M}$.

Proof of lemma 6.1.

1. We have an isomorphism $H_2(X_1) \rightarrow H_2(M_1)$ by remark 3.1. Since the submanifolds we iteratively remove from X_1 to obtain X_1^{n-1} are of codimension at least 4, we do not

change the second homology when doing so. That is, $H_2(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_2(M_1)$ is also an isomorphism. Now consider the diagram in remark 5.12 for $j = n - 1$ and iterate to see that $H_2(X_k^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_2(M_k)$ is an isomorphism for all $k \leq n - 2$, in particular $k = n - 2$.

2. Assume that $n \geq 5$. We show the assertion for an equivariantly formal torus manifold M first and set $N := M \setminus (M'_{n-2} \setminus X)$. Looking at the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_*(N) \rightarrow H_*(M) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(X) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_{*-1}(N) \rightarrow \dots$$

for odd degrees, we see that $H_{\text{odd}}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{odd}}(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_{\text{odd}}(N)$ is surjective (which already shows statement (b), then), $H_{\text{even}}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{even}}(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_{\text{even}}(N)$ is injective and that its cokernel is free abelian (if there is torsion in even degree, then also in odd degree by Poincaré duality and the universal coefficient theorem).

The orbit space of both the free and non-free T^n -orbits N_{n-1} and N_n of N is acyclic. By the diagram (where $k < m$, of course)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots \rightarrow & H_j(\mathcal{F}_k^{n-1}) \oplus H_j(Y_k) & \xrightarrow{h_1} & H_j(X_k^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & H_{j-1}((\mathcal{F}_k^{n-1})^* \times T^n) & \xrightarrow{h_2} \dots \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \dots \rightarrow & H_j(\mathcal{F}_m^{n-1}) \oplus H_j(Y_m) & \xrightarrow{g_1} & H_j(X_m^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & H_{j-1}((\mathcal{F}_m^{n-1})^* \times T^n) & \xrightarrow{g_2} \dots \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \dots \rightarrow & H_j(N_{n-1}) \oplus H_j(N_n) & \longrightarrow & H_j(N) & \longrightarrow & H_0(N_{j-1}) \otimes H_{j-1}(T^n) & \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

the kernel of $H_*(X = X_{n-2}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_*(N)$ can be identified to be the image I of g_1 plus the kernel K of g_2 restricted to $H_{n-2}((\mathcal{F}_{n-2}^{n-1})^*) \otimes H_*(T^n)$. Thus we have the splitting $H_*(X) = I \oplus K \oplus K'$, where K' is isomorphic to the kernel of g_2 restricted to $H_0((\mathcal{F}_{n-2}^{n-1})^*) \otimes H_*(T^n)$, which is isomorphic to the kernel of h_2 restricted to $H_0((\mathcal{F}_k^{n-1})^*) \otimes H_*(T^n)$ via the vertical map. Now for $k = 1$, we choose a unique preimage (also called K') in $H_*(X_1^{n-1})$ for this, and denote also by $K' \subset H_*(X_k^{n-1})$ the image of $K' \subset H_*(X_1^{n-1})$ under the inclusion $X_1^{n-1} \rightarrow X_k^{n-1}$.

It follows that the image of $H_*(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_*(X_k^{n-1})$ is precisely K' for $k \geq 3$. Indeed, by the diagram, the image of $H_*(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_*(X_2^{n-1})$ is contained in $K' \oplus \text{im}(H_*(Y_2) \rightarrow H_*(X_2^{n-1}))$, and $H_*(Y_2) \rightarrow H_*(X_k^{n-1})$ is the 0-map.

For $j \geq 3$, we have that $H_j(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_j(M_1) = 0$ is the 0-map. So in the splitting $H_*(X) = I \oplus K \oplus K'$, we may assume that the kernel of $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ contains whole K' and is thus equal to K' , because it has to intersect $I \oplus K$ trivially (remember that $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_j(N)$ is injective). This shows assertion (a) for torus manifolds.

The only thing left to show for a torus manifold is that the cokernel of $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ is free abelian for even $4 \leq j \leq n-2$. We know this for $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_j(N)$, and since $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(N)$ is surjective and the preimages may be chosen to be in K' , we can conclude that the cokernels of both maps agree.

Now for general M and $n \geq 5$, we use lemma 6.2 and consider $\tilde{X} = \partial\tilde{M}_{n-2}$. Let Q be either $\tilde{X}$ or X . Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{g_j} & H_j(X'_{n-3}) \oplus H_j(F_{n-2} \times S^3) & \longrightarrow & H_j(Q) & \longrightarrow & H_{j-1}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3} \times S^3) \xrightarrow{g_{j-1}} \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{h_j} & H_j(M_{n-3}) \oplus H_j(F_{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & H_j(M_{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & H_{j-1}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}) \xrightarrow{h_{j-1}} \dots \end{array}$$

and the maps h_j are independent from Q . We know that $\ker(g_j) \rightarrow \ker(h_j)$ is surjective for $\tilde{X}$ and even $j \leq n-1$ since $H_{j+1}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H_{j+1}(M_{n-2})$ is surjective. So we know that the same holds for X as long as $n \neq 6$, since then the maps g_j are also independent from Q by lemma 5.13 and the discussion before. As we will show in remark 6.4 very soon, the cokernel of $\ker(g_j) \rightarrow \ker(h_j)$ for $n = 6$ also does not depend on Q , for $j \leq 5$ and under our assumptions.

Let us now show the statements for X .

- (a) By the already shown statement for $\tilde{X}$, the kernel of $H_j(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$, where $4 \leq j \leq n$ is even, is precisely K' . Using this and the above diagram, we see that $H_j(\mathcal{M}_{n-3} \times S^3) \oplus K'$ surjects onto

$$\ker(H_j(X'_{n-3}) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-3})/\text{im}(H_j(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-3}))).$$

Using the diagram again, we see that the latter group surjects onto

$$\ker(H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}))$$

under the inclusion, so

$$H_j(\mathcal{M}_{n-3} \times S^3) \oplus K' \rightarrow \ker(H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}))$$

is surjective. The point is now that (also for $n = 6$) by lemma 5.13, the image of $H_j(\mathcal{M}_{n-3} \times S^3) \rightarrow H_j(X)$ (which is actually 0 for $n \neq 6$) is contained in the image of $K' \rightarrow H_j(X)$, because the image of $H_j(\mathcal{M}_{n-3} \times \{pt.\}) \rightarrow H_j(X)$ is contained in the image of $H_j(F_{n-2} \times S^3) \rightarrow H_j(X)$. So we have shown that $K' \rightarrow \ker(H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}))$ is indeed surjective. This shows (a).

(b) For odd $j \leq n$, the surjectivity of $H_j(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ implies that

$$H_j(X'_{n-3}) \oplus H_j(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-3})$$

is surjective (because for these degrees, the kernel of h_{j-1} injects into $H_{j-1}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3})$, also for $n = 6$) and that the kernel of g_{j-1} surjects onto the kernel of h_{j-1} (also for $n = 6$, as we will show in remark 6.4). This, in turn, implies the surjectivity of $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ by the same diagram chase one does to prove the four lemma, and thus (b).

(c) At last for even $4 \leq j \leq n-2$, we note that $H_j(Y_{n-3}) \oplus K' \rightarrow H_j(X'_{n-3})$ is surjective by the top row for $k = n-3$ in 2, so that $H_j(X'_{n-3}) \rightarrow H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ is the 0-map. For even $4 \leq j \leq n-4$, the cokernel of $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ can thus be identified with $[H_j(M_{n-3})/\text{im}(h'_j)]$ because $\text{coker}(\ker(g_{j-1}) \rightarrow \ker(h_{j-1})) = 0$, and so can the cokernel of $H_j(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$. This shows the statement for $4 \leq j \leq n-4$.

For $j = n-2$, we note that $\ker(h_{n-3})$ injects into

$$K := \ker(h_{n-3}^*: H_{n-3}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}^*) \rightarrow H_{n-3}(M_{n-3}^*)).$$

Since $H_{n-2}(X_{n-2}^*) \rightarrow H_{n-2}(M_{n-2}^*)$ is an isomorphism by theorem 5.20, K is surjected on by

$$\ker(H_{n-3}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}^* \times \{pt.\}) \rightarrow H_{n-3}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}^* \times S^2) \rightarrow H_{n-3}((X'_{n-3})^*)).$$

But this can be identified with the kernel of (remember that $Y_{n-3} = Y_{n-3}^* \times T \cong M_{n-3}^* \times S^1 \times T$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n-3} = \mathcal{M}_{n-3}^* \times T^{n-2}$)

$$H_{n-3}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}^* \times \{pt.\}) \hookrightarrow H_{n-3}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}) \rightarrow H_{n-3}(Y_{n-3}) \rightarrow H_{n-3}(X'_{n-3}),$$

which implies that $\text{coker}(\ker(g_{n-3}) \rightarrow \ker(h_{n-3})) = 0$.

For $n = 4$, $H_4(X_1) = 0$ and $H_2(X_1) \rightarrow H_2(M_1)$ is an isomorphism, so $H_2(X'_1) \rightarrow H_2(M_1)$ is an isomorphism as well, and hence $H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(M_2)$ and $H_3(X) \rightarrow H_3(M_2)$ are surjective. By the above diagram, the kernel of $H_4(X) \rightarrow H_4(M_2)$ can be identified with the image of $H_1(F_2) \otimes H_3(S^3) \rightarrow H_4(X_1^3) \rightarrow H_4(X)$. But this is torsion free for general $n \geq 4$. Indeed, since $H_*(Y_1)$ is generated by $\mathcal{F}_1^* \times T^n$ (which goes to 0 in $(\mathcal{F}_1 \times S^{2n-5}) \cap X_1^{n-1}$), $H_{\geq 4}(Y_1) \rightarrow H_{\geq 4}(X_1^{n-1})$ and thus $H_{\geq 4}(\mathcal{F}_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{\geq 4}(X_1^{n-1})$ is the 0-map, so $H_{\geq 4}(X_1^{n-1})$ has no torsion by

$$\dots \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{F}_1^{n-1}) \oplus H_*(Y_1) \rightarrow H_*(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\mathcal{F}_1^{n-1} \times S^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

and thus the image of $H_{\geq 1}(F_2) \otimes H_3(S^3) \rightarrow H_{\geq 4}(X_1^{n-1})$ has no torsion. This image injects into $H_{\geq 4}(X'_{n-3})$ by the diagram in remark 5.12, so we have shown everything.

3. $H_k(X)$ is free abelian for $k \neq n-1$ if and only if it is free abelian for $k \leq n-2$ by Poincaré duality. This holds for $n=4$, because the kernel of $H_2(X'_1) \rightarrow H_2(X)$ is the image of $H_2(Y_1) \rightarrow H_2(X'_1)$, and the cokernel of both homomorphisms is free abelian as can be directly seen from the two diagrams above.

Now assume $n \geq 5$. We have that $H_k(X)$ is torsion free if and only if $[H_k(X'_{n-3}) \oplus H_k(F_{n-2} \times S^3)]/\text{im}(g_k)$ is. Now this image $\text{im}(g_k)$ does not depend on Q by remark 5.14, so it suffices to show this for $\tilde{X}$ again by the same reasons as in the point before, for which we see this by

$$\dots \rightarrow H_k(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-2}^{n-1}) \oplus H_k(\tilde{Y}_{n-2}) \rightarrow H_k(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-2}^{n-1} \times S^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

4. Let $n \geq 5$. Since we have the (trivial) bundle $S^1 \rightarrow Y_{n-3}^* \rightarrow M_{n-3}^*$, the corresponding statement holds for X'_{n-3} , and

$$H_1((X'_{n-3} \setminus (\partial Z \cap X'_{n-3}))^*) \rightarrow H_1((X \setminus \partial Z)^*)$$

is an isomorphism.

If $n=4$, we still have the bundle $S^1 \rightarrow Y_1^* \rightarrow M_1^*$, although it might not be orientable anymore. However, the fiber becomes nullhomotopic in $(X_1 \setminus Z)^*$ the moment this set contains a connected component of $(\mathcal{F}_1^3)^*$, which it does not do if and only if any three edges emerging from a vertex belong to an ineffective subgraph. If the latter is true, then the bundle $S^1 \rightarrow Y_1^* \rightarrow M_1^*$ becomes orientable, since it is the restriction of the orientable, not necessarily trivial, bundle $S^1 \rightarrow Y_2^* \rightarrow M_2^*$, which then exists by lemma 5.8.

5. Every connected component of ∂Z is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a product of T^{n-1} and a homology sphere with some ineffective action of T^{n-1} on itself (see lemma 5.21). Now use the statement from before, the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{*+1}(X^*) \rightarrow H_*(\partial Z^* \times S^1) \rightarrow H_*(\partial Z^*) \oplus H_*((X \setminus \partial Z)^*) \rightarrow H_*(X^*) \rightarrow \dots$$

and that the interesting homology of X^* is concentrated in degrees 2 and $n-2$ (it also follows that the interesting homology of $(X \setminus \partial Z)^*$ is concentrated in degree 2, $n-2$ and $n-1$, as long as not every GKM subgraph of covalence one is ineffective).

□

Remark 6.4. We show that, under our additional assumption in lemma 6.1, it holds for $n=6$ that the cokernel of $\ker(g_j) \rightarrow \ker(h_j)$ in

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{g_j} & H_j(X'_{n-3}) \oplus H_j(F_{n-2} \times S^3) & \longrightarrow & H_j(Q) & \longrightarrow & H_{j-1}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3} \times S^3) \xrightarrow{g_{j-1}^{-1}} \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{h_j} & H_j(M_{n-3}) \oplus H_j(F_{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & H_j(M_{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & H_{j-1}(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}) \xrightarrow{h_{j-1}^{-1}} \dots \end{array}$$

does not depend on Q . Indeed, X and $\tilde{X}$ have the same rational homology in degrees ≤ 5 , because their rational Serre spectral sequences are the same ($H_2((X'_3)^*) \rightarrow H_2(X^*)$ and $H_2((X'_3)^*) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{X}^*)$ are isomorphisms, so the differentials $d_{2,*}^2$ of their sequences are the same by naturality).

Now we have a splitting of $H_j(\mathcal{M}_3 \times S^3)$ (remember that $j \leq 5$) into $K_1 \oplus K_2 \oplus K_3$, where

$$K_1 = H_3(\mathcal{M}_3^*) \otimes H_{j-3}(T^4), \quad K_2 = H_0(\mathcal{M}_3) \otimes H_{j-3}(T^4) \otimes H_3(S^3), \quad K_3 = H_0(\mathcal{M}_3) \otimes H_j(T^4).$$

Since $K_1 \rightarrow H_j(X'_3)$ factors through $H_j(Y_3) \rightarrow H_j(X'_3)$ and $K_2 \rightarrow H_j(X'_3)$ factors through $H_j(X'_1) \rightarrow H_j(X'_3)$, the images of these two maps are disjoint, and so the kernel of g_j^1 in $g_j = g_j^1 \oplus g_j^2$ is given by

$$\ker(K_1 \rightarrow H_j(X'_3)) \oplus \ker(K_2 \rightarrow H_j(X'_3)) \oplus K_3 =: K'_1 \oplus K'_2 \oplus K_3.$$

However, K_3 injects into $H_j(F_4 \times S^3)$ and the image in there is disjoint with the image of $K_1 \oplus K_2$, so that the kernel of g_j is the kernel of g_j^2 restricted to $K'_1 \oplus K'_2$.

Now, the image of $K'_1 \oplus K'_2 \rightarrow H_*(F_4 \times S^3)$ in the case of a torus manifold (that is, the map $\tilde{h}_j$ in remark 5.14 is 0) is precisely K'_2 , since K'_1 is sent to 0. In particular, the rational dimension of the image in every degree is $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K'_2$ (in that degree) and the rational dimension of the kernel in every degree is $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K'_1$ (in that degree).

For general $\tilde{h}_j$, the rational dimension of the image $K'_1 \oplus K'_2 \rightarrow H_j(F_4 \times S^3)$ is at least $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K'_2$, because K'_2 injects into there, and so the rational dimension of the kernel is at most $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K'_1$ (again, in every degree). If X and $\tilde{X}$ have the same rational homology, the image of $K'_1 \oplus K'_2 \rightarrow H_j(F_4 \times S^3)$ (for the $\tilde{h}$ belonging to X) has the same dimension as for $\tilde{h} = 0$, which implies that the image of

$$\tilde{h} \otimes \text{id}: K'_1 \rightarrow [H_0(\mathcal{M}_3) \otimes H_3(S^3)] \otimes H_{*-3}(T^4)$$

is contained in K'_2 , with rational coefficients.

As we saw in the discussion for $n = 4$ in the last part of point (2) of the proof of lemma 6.1, the image of

$$H_0(\mathcal{M}_{n-3}) \otimes H_3(S^3) \otimes H_{j-3}(T^4) \rightarrow H_j(X'_3)$$

with integer coefficients is torsion free in degrees ≥ 3 , so the image of $\tilde{h} \otimes \text{id}$ is contained in K'_2 when taking integer coefficients, too.

All in all, this shows that the cokernel of $\ker(g_j) \rightarrow \ker(h_j)$ does not depend on Q for $j \leq 5$.

7 A sufficient criterion for equivariant formality

Here, we want to formulate and prove a sufficient criterion for equivariant formality of spaces in general position. Let us, at first, explicitly state and prove the theorem for $n = 3$, which turns the assumption on general position into the ordinary GKM condition. The arguments for general n follow the same line, but become way more technical due to the fact that there is less control over the isotropy submanifolds.

Theorem 7.1. *Consider a 2-independent action of T^2 on the compact manifold M of dimension 6, such that*

- *the orbit space is a homology sphere over $\mathbb{Z}$.*
- *for every finite group H , every connected component of M^H contains a fixed point.*
- *the orbit space of an arbitrary isotropy submanifold is a disk.*

Then M is equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

In the following (also for general n), we write Z for the isotropy submanifolds of $N := M \setminus (M'_{n-2} \setminus X)$. Every component hits X non-trivially by the assumption that such a component contains a fixed point, so we can set $\partial Z = X \cap Z$, which is a union of $S^1 \times T^2$'s for $n = 3$.

Let C be a connected component of Z , and $H \subset T$ be its stabilizer. The boundary E of a small closed neighborhood of C is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $C \times_H S^1$, since $C^* = D^2$ is contractible and the normal fiber over a torus orbit is of that form. This directly implies that H is cyclic, because it necessarily acts freely on S^1 . Note also that the T -action on E is free and that the orbit space is homotopy equivalent to S^1 , so we may also write $E = C^* \times S^1 \times T$, T acting only on the right factor. In this description, the natural map $E \rightarrow C$ is a bundle map (viewing both spaces as nonprincipal T^2 -bundles), but restricted to T it is only a covering, not a diffeomorphism! In particular, the map in homology between the fibers (see lemma 9.3) is not an isomorphism, but only an injection.

The corresponding map on orbit spaces $E^* = C^* \times S^1 \rightarrow C^*$ in this description, however, is the usual projection. We will also write $E = Z^* \times S^1 \times T$ for the boundary of a small closed neighborhood of Z in N .

Before proving theorem 7.1, we need a small lemma, first. This is formulated and proven for general n , under the assumption that every connected component of an isotropy submanifold contains a fixed point; the normal bundle of Z^* in N^* is then still a disk bundle over Z^* (though not necessarily trivial).

Lemma 7.2. $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M^*)$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We consider M'_{n-2} and $X := X_{n-2}$ for the manifold M , see remark 3.6. We set $N := M \setminus (M'_{n-2} \setminus X)$. It suffices to show that $\pi_1(N) \rightarrow \pi_1(N^*)$ is an isomorphism by dimensional reasons. It is standard theory that this map is surjective, and we may assume by transversality that a loop γ in its kernel only hits free orbits N_{free} . If γ is in the kernel of

$\pi_1(N_{free}) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_{free}^*)$, it is in a torus orbit and we are done (because these can be homotoped to a point in M). If not, then the image γ' of γ is in the kernel of $\pi_1(N_{free}^*) \rightarrow \pi_1(N^*)$. This is generated by the S^1 -fibers of the boundary of the normal bundle of Z^* in N , so γ' can thus be homotoped into X_{free}^* (through N_{free}^*), to be in the kernel of $\pi_1(X_{free}^*) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^*)$. The kernel of $\pi_1(X_{free}^*) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^*)$ is generated by the fiber of $S^1 \rightarrow Y_{n-2}^* \rightarrow B_{n-2}$ if $n = 3$ (see remark 3.7) or can be homotoped along Z^* into the fiber of $S^1 \rightarrow Y_{n-3}^* \rightarrow B_{n-3}$ if $n \geq 4$, and so γ is a loop in the fiber of $T^n \rightarrow Y_{n-2} \rightarrow B_{n-2}$ (or $T^n \rightarrow Y_{n-3}^* \rightarrow B_{n-3}$). This fiber can be homotoped (through M) to a fixed point, so we are done. $\square$

Now we can come to the proof of theorem 7.1.

Proof. By Poincaré duality, $H^{odd}(M) = H_{odd}(M)$, and we show that the latter is 0. We have already shown that $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M^*)$, so we only need to show that $H_3(M) = 0$. Set $N := M \setminus (M'_1 \setminus X)$, where M'_1 and $X := X_1$ are as in remark 3.6. By $H_*(M^*) = H_*(S^4)$ and the Mayer Vietoris sequence belonging to $M^* = M'_1 \cup N^*$, we see that the interesting homology of N^* is concentrated in degree 2 ($H_3(N) = H^1(N^*/X^*) = 0$ because of Lefschetz duality) and that $H_2(X^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ is an isomorphism.

In view of $H_3(M_1) = 0$ and $H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(M_1)$ being an isomorphism (see remark 3.1), need to show that $H_3(X) \rightarrow H_3(N)$ is surjective because of

$$\dots \rightarrow H_3(X) \rightarrow H_3(M_1) \oplus H_3(N) \rightarrow H_3(M) \rightarrow H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(M_1) \oplus H_2(N) \rightarrow \dots$$

If the action on N was free, this would be an immediate consequence of the isomorphism (we only need it to be a surjection) $H_2(X^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ and the Serre spectral sequence. Indeed, $E_{p,*}^2(X) \rightarrow E_{p,*}^2(N)$ is a surjection for $p = 2$ and an injection (even an isomorphism) for $p = 0$, so $\ker(d_{2,*}^2(X)) \rightarrow \ker(d_{2,*}^2(N))$ is a surjection (remember that, for homology, $d_{p,q}^r$ goes to $E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r$) and thus $E_{2,*}^3(X) \rightarrow E_{2,*}^3(N)$ is. Also, $E_{0,*}^3(X) \rightarrow E_{0,*}^3(N)$ is an injection, then. Due to degree reasons, $E_{2,*}^3(X) = E_{2,*}^\infty(X)$ and the same for N . Now the claim follows by $H_3(N) = E_{2,1}^\infty(N)$.

In case of the action not being free, we denote by Z the isotropy submanifolds in N (whose orbit spaces are disks) and consider the diagram for the orbit spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_2((X \setminus \partial Z)^*) \oplus H_2(\partial Z^*) & \longrightarrow & H_2(X^*) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & H_1(\partial Z^* \times S^1) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & H_1((X \setminus \partial Z)^*) \oplus H_1(\partial Z^*) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_2((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus 0 & \hookrightarrow & H_2(N^*) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & H_1(Z^* \times S^1) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & H_1((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus 0 \end{array}$$

and the diagram for the total spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_3(X \setminus \partial Z) \oplus H_3(\partial Z) & \longrightarrow & H_3(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_3} & H_2(\partial E) & \longrightarrow & H_2(X \setminus \partial Z) \oplus H_2(\partial Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_3(N \setminus Z) \oplus 0 & \hookrightarrow & H_3(N) & \xrightarrow{\partial_3} & H_2(E) & \longrightarrow & H_2(N \setminus Z) \oplus H_2(Z) \end{array}$$

We wrote 0 for the terms of $H_*(Z^*)$ respectively $H_*(Z)$ that are 0, because Z^* is a union of discs and Z is topologically a union of $D^2 \times T^2$'s.

In the above diagram, we see by a simple diagram chase and usage of $H_2(X^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ being an isomorphism

- the kernel of i_2 is isomorphic to the kernel of i_1 via the vertical map.
- $H_2((X \setminus \partial Z)^*) \rightarrow H_2((N \setminus Z)^*)$ is surjective, because of the last statement, and because $H_2((N \setminus Z)^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ is injective.

Thus, $H_3(X \setminus \partial Z) \rightarrow H_3(N \setminus Z)$ is surjective by the same type of argument as when the action is free on N (we only needed $H_2((X \setminus \partial Z)^*) \rightarrow H_2((N \setminus Z)^*)$ to be surjective). In order to conclude the theorem, we have to show that $K_1 \rightarrow K_2$, where

$$K_1 := \ker(H_2(\partial E) \rightarrow H_2(X \setminus \partial Z) \oplus H_2(\partial Z)), \quad K_2 := \ker(H_2(E) \rightarrow H_2(N \setminus Z) \oplus H_2(Z)),$$

is surjective (this suffices by the same proof of the four-lemma about surjectivity, although the assumptions are slightly different). Since the occurring maps on spaces are bundle maps, we may use the Serre spectral sequence to understand this. Moreover, under $H_2(\partial E) \rightarrow H_2(E)$, we can and we will view K_2 as contained in

$$H_0(\partial Z) \otimes H_2(S^1 \times T) \subset H_2((\partial E)_1)$$

(see remark 9.2 for the notation) from now on.

We want to understand the kernel K'_1 of

$$E_{1,1}^\infty(\partial E) \rightarrow E_{1,1}^\infty(X \setminus \partial Z) \oplus E_{1,1}^\infty(\partial Z),$$

first. By degree reasons, $E_{1,1}^\infty = E_{1,1}^2$ for all occurring terms. It follows that K'_1 is contained in

$$H_0(\partial Z) \otimes H_1(S^1) \otimes H_1(T),$$

because $\ker(H_1(\partial E^*) \rightarrow H_1(\partial Z^*))$ is contained in $H_0(\partial Z) \otimes H_1(S^1)$.

Also, K'_1 is mapped isomorphically (because $\ker(i_1) \rightarrow \ker(i_2)$ is an isomorphism) to the kernel K'_2 of

$$E_{1,1}^\infty(E) \rightarrow E_{1,1}^\infty(N \setminus Z) \oplus E_{1,1}^\infty(Z),$$

which is contained in

$$H_0(Z) \otimes H_1(S^1) \otimes H_1(T),$$

so that we may view both K'_1 and K'_2 to be the same subgroup in

$$H_0(\partial Z) \otimes H_1(S^1) \otimes H_1(T) \subset E_{1,1}^\infty(\partial E).$$

Of course, these groups are not necessarily equal to K_1 and K_2 , but, using remark 9.2, they are related to them via the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,2}^\infty(\partial E) & \longrightarrow & H_2((\partial E)_{(1)}) & \xrightarrow{j_1} & E_{1,1}^\infty(\partial E) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow j_2 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,2}^\infty(\partial Z) \oplus E_{0,2}^\infty(X \setminus \partial Z) & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \longrightarrow & E_{1,1}^\infty(\partial Z) \oplus E_{1,1}^\infty(X \setminus \partial Z) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,2}^\infty(Z) \oplus E_{0,2}^\infty(N \setminus Z) & \longrightarrow & G_2 & \longrightarrow & E_{1,1}^\infty(Z) \oplus E_{1,1}^\infty(N \setminus Z) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

We used the notation

$$G_1 = H_2((\partial Z)_1) \oplus H_2((X \setminus \partial Z)_1), \quad G_2 = H_2(Z_1) \oplus H_2((N \setminus Z)_1).$$

By definition of these, we have injections

$$G_1 \hookrightarrow H_2(\partial Z) \oplus H_2(X \setminus \partial Z), \quad G_2 \hookrightarrow H_2(Z) \oplus H_2(N \setminus Z).$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that any x in $K_2 \subset H_2((\partial E)_{(1)})$ (which is 0 in G_2 , then) is 0 in G_1 .

We know that $j_1(x) \in K_2 = K_1$, so $j_2(j_1(y)) = 0$. It follows that the image of x in G_1 comes from $E_{0,2}^\infty(\partial Z) \oplus E_{0,2}^\infty(X \setminus \partial Z)$, but this injects into $E_{0,2}^\infty(Z) \oplus E_{0,2}^\infty(N \setminus Z)$ (as argued in the case $Z = \emptyset$). So y is 0 in G_1 , as well, and we have shown the claim and thus the whole assertion. $\square$

Let us now turn to the general case. The main difference is that we do not assume that Z^* is acyclic over $\mathbb{Z}$, so there are some technical difficulties to overcome. For example, we do not know if the normal bundle of Z^* in N^* is trivial.

Theorem 7.3. *Consider an action in general position of $T = T^{n-1}$ on the compact manifold M of dimension $2n \geq 8$ such that*

- *for all closed subgroups $H \subset T$, every connected component of M^H intersects M^T non-trivially.*
- *the orbit space M/T has the homology of a sphere (and is thus a homology sphere).*
- *M_{n-2}^* is $n-3$ -acyclic over $\mathbb{Z}$.*
- *every face-submanifold of codimension 4 is equivariantly formal.*
- *for any isotropy submanifold Q fixed by $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset T$, corresponding to an ineffective subgraph of covalence 1, the map $H_*(Q^*) \rightarrow H_*(Q^*)$ given by multiplication with p is an isomorphism in positive degrees.*

Then the action is equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 7.4. If every Q fulfills the conditions of the theorem (which is equivalent to $\text{Tor}(H_{\geq 1}(Q^*); \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$, of course), then we call Q *admissible*. This particularly implies that Q^* is rationally acyclic. We will argue later why the other conditions on Q are absolutely necessary.

If every Q^* is acyclic over $\mathbb{Z}$, the arguments become less technical and shorten dramatically. For example, normal bundles as well as the principal T^{n-1} -bundles over the Q^* 's are trivial, then, and one could argue very similarly to the case $n = 3$.

The proof of this will be done in this chapter. By the conditions we imposed and the use of theorem 2.23, we may use the results in section 5 throughout. For example, by theorem 5.20, the reduced homology of X^* is concentrated in degrees 2 and $n - 2$ (and n). Further, since $(M_{n-2}^*) \cup (N^*)$ is a sphere with $(M_{n-2}^*) \cap (N^*) = X^*$, Mayer Vietoris implies that

$$H_j(X^*) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}^*) \oplus H_j(N^*)$$

is an isomorphism for $j = 2$ and $j = n - 2$ and that therefore the reduced homology of N^* is concentrated in degree 2 (that $H_{n-1}(N^*) = 0$ follows from Lefschetz duality and $H^1(N, X) = 0$).

We want to start with some basic properties, where we only use the fact that M^* is a homology sphere.

Lemma 7.5. *Let Z be the union of all isotropy submanifolds in N , and let E be the boundary of a closed neighborhood of them.*

1. $H_2((X_1^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 4$ and $H_2((X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)^*) \rightarrow H_2((X \setminus \partial Z)^*)$ is surjective for $n \geq 5$.
2. For $j \geq 2$, the map $H_j(E^*) \rightarrow H_j(Z^*) \oplus H_j((N \setminus Z)^*)$ is an isomorphism in torsion parts, and we have $H_j(E^*) \cong H_j(Z^* \times S^1)$.
3. Set I to be the homology of the 2-skeleton of a CW decomposition of $(X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)^*$. The map

$$H_*(E^*) \oplus I \rightarrow H_*(Z^*) \oplus H_*((N \setminus Z)^*)$$

induced by $E^* \rightarrow Z^*$, $E^* \rightarrow (N \setminus Z)^*$ and $(X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)^* \rightarrow (N \setminus Z)^*$ is surjective. Its kernel K is free abelian and concentrated in degree 1 and 2. In fact, its intersection with $H_*(E^*)$ is isomorphic to

$$\ker[H_1(\partial Z^* \times S^1) \rightarrow H_1(\partial Z^*) \oplus H_1((X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)^*)]$$

under the inclusion in E^* .

Proof. For the first point we consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_*((\mathcal{F}_1^{n-1})^*) \oplus H_*(Y_1^*) & \longrightarrow & H_*((X_1^{n-1})^*) & \xrightarrow{\partial_*} & H_{*-1}((\mathcal{F}_1^{n-1})^* \times S^1) \xrightarrow{g_{*-1}} \cdots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_*((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) \oplus H_*(Y_{n-3}^*) & \longrightarrow & H_*((X_{n-3}^{n-1})^*) & \xrightarrow{\partial_*} & H_{*-1}((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^* \times S^1) \xrightarrow{h_{*-1}} \cdots
\end{array}$$

For the last two points, we look at (writing ∂Z for $\partial Z \cap X_1^{n-1}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_j((X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)^*) \oplus H_j(\partial Z^*) & \longrightarrow & H_j((X_1^{n-1})^*) & \xrightarrow{\partial_j} & H_{j-1}(\partial Z^* \times S^1) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_j((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus H_j(Z^*) & \longrightarrow & H_j(N^*) & \xrightarrow{\partial_j} & H_{j-1}(E^*) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

1. Let $n \geq 5$. The upper ∂_* is an injection in degree 2, and its image is in $H_0((\mathcal{F}_1^{n-1})^*) \otimes H_1(S^1)$, which injects into $H_1((\mathcal{F}_{n-3}^{n-1})^* \times S^1)$. It follows that the image of the middle vertical map is disjoint with the image of the bottom left map, which is at the same time the kernel of $H_2((X'_{n-3})^*) \rightarrow H_2(X^*)$. This shows the injectivity of $f: H_2((X_1^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_2(X^*) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_2(N^*)$.

To show the surjectivity of f , we note that the image of

$$H_0((\mathcal{F}_1^{n-1})^*) \otimes H_1(S^1) \rightarrow H_*((\mathcal{F}_1^{n-1})^*) \oplus H_*(Y_1^*)$$

is generated by the S^1 -fiber of $Y_1^* \cong B_1 \times S^1$, and that this image gets mapped isomorphically to the homology generated by the S^1 -fiber of $Y_{n-3}^* \cong B_{n-3} \times S^1$. It follows that $\ker(g_1) \rightarrow \ker(h_1)$ is surjective. Thus, the cokernel of the middle vertical map is generated by the image of the bottom left map, which is trivial for $n \neq 5$. For $n = 5$, the image of the bottom left map vanishes in $H_2(X)$. In both cases, it follows that f is surjective.

For $n = 4$, we note that $H_2((X_1^3)^*) \rightarrow H_2(X^*)$ is a surjection onto the kernel of $H_2(X^*) \rightarrow H_2(M_2^*)$ by the diagram in remark 5.12. Since $H_2(X_2^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*) \oplus H_2(M_2^*)$ is an isomorphism, the kernel of $H_2(X_2^*) \rightarrow H_2(M_2^*)$ gets mapped isomorphically to $H_2(N^*)$. This shows the claim here.

2. The first statement comes from the bottom row of the diagram. For the second statement, we look at the spectral sequence associated to $S^1 \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow Z^*$ and claim that this collapses at the second page. It certainly does so at the third page by degree reasons, so we only need to show that $K_p := \ker(d_{p,0}^2) = H_p(Z^*)$. We have a bundle

map $E^* \rightarrow Z^*$ which induces homomorphisms between the second and third page. In particular, $E_{p,0}^2 \rightarrow H_p(Z^*)$ is an isomorphism, $E_{p,0}^\infty = E_{p,0}^3 = K_p \rightarrow H_p(Z^*)$ is the inclusion and the homomorphism restricted to $E_{p,1}^2$ and $E_{p,1}^3$ is 0. Now $H_p(E^*) \rightarrow H_p(Z^*)$ fits into

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_{p-1,1}^3 & \longrightarrow & H_p(E^*) & \longrightarrow & K_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & H_p(Z^*) & \longrightarrow & H_p(Z^*) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and so $K_p \rightarrow H_p(Z^*)$ needs to be surjective since $H_p(E^*) \rightarrow H_p(Z^*)$ is. That the above sequence splits is now a consequence of the isomorphism

$$H_j(E^*) \rightarrow H_j(Z^*) \oplus H_j((N \setminus Z)^*)$$

in torsion parts.

3. The first statement is evident by the diagram and the surjectivity of $H_2((X_1^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ and $I \rightarrow H_{\leq 2}((X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)^*)$.

For the second one, we note that the kernel of $H_1(E^*) \rightarrow H_1(Z^*)$ is generated by the fibers (one for every connected component of Z^*) of $E^* \rightarrow Z^*$. Now the statement follows from $H_2((X_1^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ being surjective.

□

We want to show that the odd integer homology of M vanishes by considering the Mayer Vietoris sequence of the triple (X, M_{n-2}, N) , where $N = M \setminus (M_{n-2} \setminus X)$. Here, the odd homology of M vanishes if and only if

- $H_{\text{even}}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{even}}(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_{\text{even}}(N)$ is injective.
- $H_{\text{odd}}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{odd}}(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_{\text{odd}}(N)$ is surjective.

For $n = 4$, we only need to show the surjectivity for degree 3, since already $H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(M_2)$ is injective by lemma 6.1.

For $n \geq 5$, we will not show these statements in general. Instead, we will show them for degrees $\leq n$ and then show that $H_{\leq n-2}(M)$ is torsion free in even degrees, so that the odd homology of degree $\geq n+1$ is torsion free (and thus 0) as well.

The injectivity is clear immediately for all even degrees $j \leq n$, since we saw in lemma 6.1 that the kernel of $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ is free abelian and the image of $H_*(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_*(X)$, which injects into $H_*(N)$ when taking $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients. Indeed, $H_2((X_1^{n-1})^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ is an isomorphism by lemma 7.5, and now we can use the rational Serre spectral sequence, see remark 9.4, as well as the fact that the sequence for N collapses at the third page due to degree reasons.

That $H_j(M)$ is torsion free for even $j \leq n-2$ follows from the fact that $i: H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_j(N)$ is injective by the previous discussion, and that $H_*(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_*(N)$ is surjective for all degrees $\leq n$ (which we show soon). If the latter holds, then the cokernel of i is the cokernel of $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ (which is torsion free by lemma 6.1) for $j \geq 4$, because $H_{\geq 3}(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{\geq 3}(M_1) = 0$ is the 0-map.

For degree 2, we then have that both $H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(N)$ and $H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(M_{n-2})$ (see lemma 6.1) are isomorphisms, so $H_2(M) = H_2(X)$, which is torsion free by lemma 6.1.

Now let us come to the main task, which is showing the surjectivity. We already know that $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2})$ is a surjection for odd j by lemma 6.1, so now we want to show this for $H_j(X) \rightarrow H_j(M_{n-2}) \oplus H_j(N)$, for which it suffices to show that $H_j(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_j(N)$ is surjective for all $2 \leq j \leq n$ (we have $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M^*)$ by lemma 7.2, so we already now $H_1(M) = 0$). We saw in lemma 7.5 that

$$H_{\geq 1}(E^*) \oplus I_{\geq 1} \rightarrow H_{\geq 1}(Z^*) \oplus H_{\geq 1}((N \setminus Z)^*)$$

is surjective and that its kernel K is free abelian and concentrated in degree 1 and 2. We set $\tilde{I} = I \otimes H_*(T)$ and want to show that

$$h^2: E_{p,*}^2(E) \oplus \tilde{I}_{p,*} \rightarrow E_{p,*}^2(Z) \oplus E_{p,*}^2(N \setminus Z)$$

(note that the maps on these spaces are bundle maps) is also surjective for $p \geq 1$, its kernel $\tilde{K}$ is $K \otimes H_*(T)$ and that it is injective for $p = 0$.

Similar to the discussion at the beginning of section 7 for $n = 3$, the map in homology between the T^{n-1} -fibers of E and Z is only an injection, not an isomorphism, because the fibers of E are not mapped diffeomorphically onto the fibers of Z . Indeed, for a connected component E' of E and the corresponding component Z' of Z fixed by $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset T$, the fiber map $T^{n-1} \rightarrow T^{n-1}$ belonging to $E' \rightarrow Z'$ is given by $T^{n-1} \rightarrow T^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_p = T^{n-1}$. We may assume that $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset S^1 \times \{e\} \subset S^1 \times T^{n-2}$, so the corresponding map in homology is given by

$$H_*(S^1) \otimes H_*(T^{n-2}) \rightarrow H_*(S^1) \otimes H_*(T^{n-2}), \quad x \otimes y \mapsto px \otimes y = p(x \otimes y).$$

This implies that $E_{\geq 1,*}^2(E') \rightarrow E_{\geq 1,*}^2(Z')$ is of the form

$$(H_*(E'^*) \rightarrow H_*(Z'^*) \xrightarrow{p} H_*(Z'^*)) \otimes \text{id}_{H_*(T^{n-1})}.$$

Since multiplication with p in $H_{\geq 1}(Z')$ is an isomorphism by assumption, we deduce that h^2 is a surjection and its kernel $\tilde{K}$ is $K \otimes H_*(T)$. Moreover, d^2 vanishes on $\tilde{K}$ by the compatibility of the differentials of both sides and the injectivity for the $E_{0,*}^2$ -groups, and the image of $d_{\geq 2,*}^2$ of the left side intersects $\tilde{K}$ trivially because $\tilde{K}$ is free abelian. It follows that

$$(E^2(E) \oplus \tilde{I})/\tilde{K} \rightarrow E^2(Z) \oplus E^2(N \setminus Z)$$

is an injective chain homomorphism, and its cokernel is generated by $H_0(\partial Z) \otimes H_*(T) \rightarrow E_{0,*}^2(Z)$ (so that it is even an isomorphism in the $E_{\geq 1,*}^2$ -entries).

Thus, passing to their homologies, we have that

$$h^3: (E^3(E) \oplus \tilde{I}^3)/\tilde{K} \rightarrow E^3(Z) \oplus E^3(N \setminus Z),$$

where $\tilde{I}^3 := \ker(d^2)_{\tilde{I}}$, is again an injective chain homomorphism, and its cokernel is generated by $H_0(\partial Z) \otimes H_*(T) \rightarrow E_{0,*}^3(Z)$.

We can continue arguing like this and then see that ($\tilde{I}^3 = \tilde{I}^\infty$ by degree reasons)

$$h^\infty: (E^\infty(E) \oplus \tilde{I}^\infty)/\tilde{K} \rightarrow E^\infty(Z) \oplus E^\infty(N \setminus Z)$$

fulfills all the same statements.

In particular, we have that

$$H_*(E) \oplus H_*(X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z) \oplus H_*(\partial Z) \rightarrow H_*(Z) \oplus H_*(N \setminus Z)$$

is a surjection by basic properties of group extensions. We also get the following lemma.

Lemma 7.6. *It holds $\tilde{K} \cap E^\infty(E) = (K \cap H_*(E^*)) \otimes H_*(T) \subset E_{1,*}^\infty(E)$.*

In particular,

$$\ker[E_{1,*}^\infty(\partial Z \times S^1) \rightarrow E_{1,*}^\infty(\partial Z) \oplus E_{1,*}^\infty(X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)] \rightarrow \tilde{K} \cap E^\infty(E)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The first statement is just a result of the discussion above. The second follows from the first and the fact that

$$\ker[H_1(\partial Z^* \times S^1) \rightarrow H_1(\partial Z^*) \oplus H_1((X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)^*)] \rightarrow K \cap H_*(E^*)$$

is an isomorphism by lemma 7.5. □

To understand

$$K' := \ker(H_*(E) \rightarrow H_*(Z) \oplus H_*(N \setminus Z))$$

we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7.7. *Writing ∂Z for $\partial Z \cap X_1^{n-1}$, K' is in the image (under the inclusion) of*

$$\ker[H_*(\partial Z \times S^1) \rightarrow H_*(\partial Z) \oplus H_*(X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z)].$$

Proof. First, we look at

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,*}^\infty(\partial Z \times S^1) & \longrightarrow & H_1 & \longrightarrow & E_{1,*-1}^\infty(\partial Z \times S^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,*}^\infty(E) & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & E_{1,*-1}^\infty(E) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,*}^\infty(Z) \oplus E_{0,*}^\infty(N \setminus Z) & \longrightarrow & G_2 & \longrightarrow & E_{1,*-1}^\infty(Z) \oplus E_{1,*-1}^\infty(N \setminus Z) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Here, H_1 is the homology of $(\partial Z \times S^1)_1$ in $\partial Z \times S^1$, G_1 is the homology of $E_{(1)}$ in $H_*(E)$, and G_2 is the homology of $Z_{(1)}$ plus the homology of $(N \setminus Z)_{(1)}$ in $H_*(Z) \oplus H_*(N \setminus Z)$. The

kernel of $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ then is K' (since $\tilde{K} \cap E^\infty(E) \subset E_{1,*}^\infty(E)$), and can be identified with $f(K') \subset \ker(g)$. Now this has a unique preimage in $E_{1,*-1}^\infty(\partial Z \times S^1)$ by lemma 7.6. Thus (and also because the top left vertical map is an isomorphism), to x in K' there is a unique preimage $\tilde{x}$ in H_1 .

Now look at

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,*}^\infty(\partial Z \times S^1) & \longrightarrow & H_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & E_{1,*-1}^\infty(\partial Z \times S^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i_1 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,*}^\infty(\partial Z) \oplus E_{0,*}^\infty(X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z) & \longrightarrow & H_2 & \longrightarrow & E_{1,*-1}^\infty(\partial Z) \oplus E_{1,*-1}^\infty(X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow j_1 & & \downarrow j_2 & & \downarrow i_2 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,*}^\infty(Z) \oplus E_{0,*}^\infty(N \setminus Z) & \longrightarrow & G_2 & \longrightarrow & E_{1,*-1}^\infty(Z) \oplus E_{1,*-1}^\infty(N \setminus Z) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

We want to show that $\tilde{x}$ is in the kernel of $H_1 \rightarrow H_2$. We have that $i_1(f(\tilde{x})) = 0$ by the choice of $\tilde{x}$. If $\tilde{x}$ was not 0 in H_2 , it would be in the non-trivial image of j_2 . But this would mean that $\tilde{x}$ in G_2 would not be 0 (j_1 is injective because h^∞ is), a contradiction. $\square$

With this preliminary work, we can look at

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_j(X_1^{n-1} \setminus \partial Z) \oplus H_j(\partial Z) & \longrightarrow & H_j(X_1^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_j} & H_{j-1}(\partial Z \times S^1) \xrightarrow{g_1} \cdots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_j(N \setminus Z) \oplus H_j(Z) & \longrightarrow & H_j(N) & \xrightarrow{\partial_j} & H_{j-1}(E) \xrightarrow{g_2} \cdots
\end{array}$$

where we write ∂Z for $\partial Z \cap X_1^{n-1}$. The image of the bottom left map equals

$$(H_j(N \setminus Z) \oplus H_j(Z)) / \text{im}[H_j(E) \rightarrow H_j(N \setminus Z) \oplus H_j(Z)].$$

By the previous discussion, this is surjected on by the image of the left vertical map.

By lemma 7.7, the kernel of g_2 is in the image of the kernel of g_1 under the right vertical map. All in all, this shows that $H_j(X_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_j(N)$ is surjective by the same diagram chase one does to prove the four lemma about surjectivity. We are done.

Remark 7.8. We can construct manifolds where a connected component of Z^* is indeed not an integer homology disk. There is an embedding $\text{SO}(3) \rightarrow S^5$ with trivial normal bundle (this factors through $\text{SO}(3) \rightarrow S^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^5$, where the first embedding comes from sending the first two columns to the respective points in the S^2 's), so there is an embedding $D_{\mathbb{Q}}^3 \rightarrow D^5$, where $D_{\mathbb{Q}}^3 := \text{SO}(3) \setminus \mathring{D}^3$, such that the boundary S^1 is mapped to the boundary of the standard $D^3 \subset D^5$.

Take some (quasi)toric 8-manifold and restrict the T^4 -action to a T^3 -action in general position. The orbit space of a closed neighborhood U of a non-free T^3 -orbit is then D^5 with a prescribed embedding $S^2 \rightarrow \partial D^5$, and we may extend this to the embedding $D_{\mathbb{Q}}^3 \rightarrow D^5$. It

is clear that we can extend the action from ∂U to U such that the isotropy submanifold is precisely $D_{\mathbb{Q}}^3 \times T^3$. The resulting manifold is equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if the order of the isotropy group is odd (we will see the other direction in section [8](#)).

8 A necessary condition for equivariant formality

Here we want to formulate and prove the following theorem, where the coefficients are taken to be $\mathbb{Z}$. We use the notation in section 7.

Theorem 8.1. *Consider an action in general position of $T = T^{n-1}$ on the compact manifold M of dimension 6 or $2n \geq 10$ such that*

- *The odd (co)homology of M vanishes.*
- *Whenever x is a point whose stabilizer is finite, there is a neighborhood of x in which this is the only non-trivial stabilizer subgroup.*

The following statements hold.

1. *For all closed subgroups $H \subset T$ (not necessarily connected), every connected component of M^H intersects M^T non-trivially.*
2. *Any connected component Q^* of Z^* is admissible.*
3. *M_k^* is $k - 1$ -acyclic for $k \leq n - 2$.*
4. *M^* is a homology sphere.*

Remark 8.2. We excluded the case $n = 4$ due to technical difficulties in the proof for the last point (the first three items can be proven just as described in the proof of theorem 8.1). The author would be thankful for hints or remarks, even entire solutions, to how this can be solved.

Proof. We may assume that $Z \neq \emptyset$, because this is already treated in [AM23].

1. This is standard if H has positive dimension. If it doesn't, then any connected component Q of M^H is contained in the interior of N , and for any prime p dividing the order of the stabilizer group of Q we have the estimate

$$\dim(H^{\text{odd}}(Q; \mathbb{Z}_p)) \leq \dim(H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)) = 0,$$

so in particular $H^{\text{odd}}(Q; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, which is impossible due to $\chi(Q) = 0$.

2. In this item, we take (co)homology with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coefficients unless stated otherwise. It suffices to show the statement for any prime p that divides the order of the kernel of the T -action on Q . Then

$$\dim H^{\text{odd}}(Q) \leq \dim H^{\text{odd}}(M) = 0,$$

so Q is equivariantly formal over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. After dividing out the kernel, the T^{n-1} -action on Q is locally standard. Using theorem 2.24 for $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coefficients on Q , we obtain that

$$H_T^{*+n-1}(Q, Q_{n-2}) = H_T^{*+n-1}((N \cap Q)^*, (X \cap Q)^*) = H^{*+n-1}((N \cap Q)^*, (X \cap Q)^*) = 0$$

for degree $* < 0$, so the reduced $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cohomology of Q^* is at most non-trivial in degree $n - 2$, and $H^{n-2}(Q^*, \partial Q^*) = 0$. By Lefschetz duality, $H_1(Q^*) = 0$ and thus $H_1(Q^*, \partial Q^*) = 0$. We finally conclude $H^{n-2}(Q^*) = 0$ by using Lefschetz duality again. It follows that $\text{Tor}(H_{\geq 1}(Q^*; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$, so multiplication with p in $H_*(Q^*; \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism in positive degrees.

3. This is very similar to the arguments in [AM23]. We only need to show that M_{n-2}^* is $n - 3$ -acyclic, since $H_{\leq k-1}(M_k^*) \rightarrow H_{\leq k-1}(M_{k+1}^*)$ is an isomorphism in positive degrees for $k \leq n - 3$.

By theorem 2.24 and remark 2.25, we have a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_T^*(M) \rightarrow H_T^*(M_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(M_1, M_0) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_T^{*+n-1}(M, M_{n-2}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that, although the action does not have connected stabilizers,

$$H_T^{\leq i}(M_i, M_{i-1}) = \bigoplus_{Q: \dim(Q)=i} H_T^{\leq i}(Q_i, \partial Q_i) = \bigoplus_{Q: \dim(Q)=i} H^{\leq i}(Q_i^*, \partial Q_i^*),$$

and every summand is non-trivial only in degree i . Hence, the above sequence for $* = 0$ becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{Q: \dim Q=0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-3}} \bigoplus_{Q: \dim Q=n-2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_T^{n-1}(M, M_{n-2}) \rightarrow 0,$$

(for $* < 0$ we obtain $H_T^{\leq n-2}(M, M_{n-2}) = 0$) and this is still the cohomological cochain complex up to degree $n - 3$ for the cell complex defined by Q_{n-2} . The acyclicity of this sequence implies that indeed M_{n-2}^* is $n - 3$ -acyclic.

4. Now we know that X respectively X^* has the properties as in theorem 5.20 and the remaining section. Let us now turn to the statement about M^* . Since M^* is certainly a rational homology sphere, and certainly $H_1(M^*) = H_1(M) = 0$, we can already deduce that M^* is an integer homology sphere for $2n = 6$. So let $n \geq 5$.

When we choose the degree to be less than 0 in the ABFP-sequence, we obtain $0 = H_T^k(M, M_{n-2}) = H_T^k(N, X)$ (excision) for $k \leq n - 2$, which means that $H_T^k(N) \rightarrow H_T^k(X)$ is an isomorphism for $k \leq n - 3$ and injective for $k = n - 2$. We want to argue that the same statement holds for ordinary cohomology (which would immediately follow from the action being free on N).

In fact, we will show at first that $H^*(N^*) = 0$ for all degrees except 0 and 2, and then deal with degree 2. Since we have $\pi_1(N^*) \cong \pi_1(M)$, we already know $H_1(N^*) = H^1(N^*) = 0$, and so $H^{n-1}(N^*) = 0$ by Lefschetz duality. This means that we only

need to worry about degrees $3 \leq * \leq n - 2$ in that regard.

This is void for $n = 4$, so assume $n \geq 5$ and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H^{*-1}(E^*) & \longrightarrow & H_T^*(N) & \longrightarrow & H^*((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus H_T^*(Z) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H^{*-1}(\partial Z^* \times S^1) & \longrightarrow & H_T^*(X) & \xrightarrow{g_2} & H^*((X \setminus \partial Z)^*) \oplus H_T^*(\partial Z) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

We need to understand $H_T^*(Z) \rightarrow H_T^*(\partial Z)$. We may restrict to a connected component of Z (also called Z), and argue there.

The T -action on Z has a finite stabilizer $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset T$, which is contained in a subcircle $S \subset T$. We may assume that $T = (S^1)^{n-1}$ and $S = S^1 \times \{e\} \times \cdots \times \{e\}$, so that $T' = \{e\} \times T^{n-2}$ acts freely on Z . We have

$$H_T^*(Z) = H^*(Z \times_T (S^\infty)^{n-1}) = H^*(Z/T' \times_{T/T'} S^\infty) = H^*(Z/T' \times_{S^1/\mathbb{Z}_p} L_p^\infty),$$

so $H_T^*(Z)$ is the cohomology of the total space of an L_p^∞ -bundle over Z^* (and similar for ∂Z^*). Remember that $H^*(L_p^\infty)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ in even positive degrees and 0 for odd degrees. It follows that $E_2^{p,q}(Z) = H^p(Z^*; H^q(L_p^\infty)) = 0$ except for $q = 0$ or $p = 0$, because multiplication with p is an isomorphism in $H_{\geq 1}(Z^*)$. Hence, we have $H_T^*(Z) = H^*(Z) \oplus H^*(L_p^\infty)$, and the kernel of $H_T^*(Z) \rightarrow H_T^*(\partial Z)$ is precisely $H^*(Z^*)$ for $* \geq 1$.

Now, we have $H^*(X^*) = H^*((X \setminus \partial Z)^*) = H^*(\partial Z^*) = 0$ for $3 \leq * \leq n - 3$, so by the bottom sequence of the diagram we get that $H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(\partial Z)$, and thus $H_T^*(N) \rightarrow H_T^*(\partial Z)$, is an isomorphism, which implies that

$$H_T^*(N) \rightarrow H^*((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus H_T^*(Z)$$

is injective and hits $H^*((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus H^*(Z)$ trivially. The last statement also holds for $* = n - 2$, since then $H^*((N \setminus Z)^*) \rightarrow H^*((X \setminus \partial Z)^*)$ is the 0-map as well (the first group is torsion), and both g_1 and g_2 are injective. Hence

$$H^*((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus H^*(Z) \rightarrow H^*(E^*)$$

is injective, and $H^{*-1}((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus H_T^{*-1}(Z) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(E)$ and thus

$$H^{*-1}((N \setminus Z)^*) \oplus H^{*-1}(Z) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(E)$$

are surjective. This shows $H^*(N^*) = 0$ for $3 \leq * \leq n - 2$.

Let us now consider $H^2(N^*) \rightarrow H^2(X^*)$, still assuming $n \geq 5$. We know that both groups are torsion free, and we know that $H^2(N^*; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X^*; \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism

(since $H_T^2(N^*; \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(N^*; \mathbb{Q})$ and similar for X). So it is immediate that both $H^2(N^*) \rightarrow H^2(X^*)$ and $H_2(X^*) \rightarrow H_2(N^*)$ are injective. By the MVs belonging to $M^* = M_{n-2} \cup N^*$, we see that $H_*(M^*) = H_*(S^{n+1})$ except in degree 2, where there could be torsion. But this can be ruled out using Poincaré duality.

□

9 Appendix

9.1 Serre spectral sequence

Here we fix some notation regarding the Serre spectral sequence for fiber bundles (over $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$). We should note that we always talk about singular homology with coefficients either in $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$ whenever we talk about 'homology'. As long as not specified, coefficients are allowed to be both $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$. See e.g. [Hat04] for details.

Let $F \rightarrow M \rightarrow B$ be a fiber bundle over a connected CW-complex B , and assume that the homology of B or F over $\mathbb{Z}$ respectively $\mathbb{Q}$ is finitely generated. The point of the Serre spectral sequence is to compute the homology of the space M from the homology of B and F via a first quadrant spectral sequence, only subject to the small condition that the monodromy representation $\pi_1(B) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_*(F))$ is trivial. This is true, for example, when the bundle restricted to the one-skeleton of B is trivial, so in particular for free group actions of a connected Lie group. Usually, one starts from the second page, which has the form

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(M^*, H_q(F)) = H_p(M^*) \otimes H_q(F)$$

(where the last equation always holds over $\mathbb{Q}$, and also over $\mathbb{Z}$ if the homology of F , for example, is torsion free, which we assume from now on) and the differential $d_{p,q}^2: E_{p,q}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-2,q+1}^2$ (it will not matter for us how this differential looks like). Now, the third page is the homology of the second page, that is,

$$E_{p,q}^3 := \frac{\ker(d_{p,q}^2: E_{p,q}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-2,q+1}^2)}{\text{im}(d_{p+2,q-1}^2: E_{p+2,q-1}^2 \rightarrow E_{p,q}^2)}.$$

The new differential now is of the form $d_{p,q}^3: E_{p,q}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-3,q+2}^2$. Again, we do not have to know how this looks like exactly.

In general, we define $E_{p,q}^{r+1}$ from $E_{p,q}^r$ by

$$E_{p,q}^{r+1} := \frac{\ker(d_{p,q}^r: E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r)}{\text{im}(d_{p+r,q-r+1}^r: E_{p+r,q-r+1}^r \rightarrow E_{p,q}^r)}$$

with differential $d_{p,q}^{r+1}: E_{p,q}^{r+1} \rightarrow E_{p-r,q+r-1}^{r+1}$. Note that, for r big enough, $E^r = E^{r+1} = E^{r+2} = \dots$, because we assumed the homologies of B or F to be finitely generated. We then define $E_{p,q}^\infty := E_{p,q}^r$ and say that the spectral sequence (E^r, d^r) converges against E^∞ . The homology of M is encoded in E^∞ . Denote by $(B)_p$ the p -skeleton of B , and by M_p its preimage under $\pi: M \rightarrow B$.

Theorem 9.1. *We have*

$$E_{p,q}^\infty = \text{im}(H_{p+q}(M_p) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(M)) / \text{im}(H_{p+q}(M_{p-1}) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(M))$$

and therefore, over $\mathbb{Q}$, the isomorphism

$$H_k(M) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_{p,q}^\infty.$$

Remark 9.2. Over $\mathbb{Z}$, there is no reason to assume that

$$H_k(M) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_{p,q}^\infty$$

since short exact sequences of abelian groups do not necessarily split. Instead, in order to calculate $H_k(M)$ for a given k , we get a series of extension problems to solve. That is, assuming we know the image K of $H_k(M_{p-1}) \rightarrow H_k(M)$ (which is $E_{0,k}^\infty$ for $p = 1$), the image H of $H_k(M_p) \rightarrow H_k(M)$ sits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow H \rightarrow E_{p,k-p}^\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 9.3. *Let $F_1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow B_1$ and $F_2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow B_2$ be bundles as above and consider a bundle map $f: X \rightarrow M$ covering $g: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$. We consider the spectral sequences $E^r(X)$ and $E^r(M)$ belonging to X and M , respectively. Then the following statements hold:*

1. *There is a map $f_*^r: E^r(X) \rightarrow E^r(M)$ which commutes with the differentials, where f_*^{r+1} is induced by f_*^r in the canonical way and f_*^2 is the canonical map $g_* \otimes i_*$, where $i_*: H_*(F_1) \rightarrow H_*(F_2)$ is the well-defined homomorphism in homology induced by f and generic fiber inclusions i_1 and i_2 .*
2. *The map $H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(M)$ induced by f is compatible with f_*^∞ in the sense that it induces maps between the group extensions from above.*

Everything we just explained for homology works in the same way for cohomology, the difference being that the differentials go to the reverse direction. Of course, one might expect that the ring structure on the cohomology does survive when going to the next page, and is in some sense compatible with the differentials. While this is true, it is not needed in that paper and so we omit this.

Now let a connected Lie group G act freely on a CW-complex M . We get a fiber bundle $G \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/G$ which is trivial over $(M/G)_1$. So we can always use the Serre spectral sequence in these situations, even with $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients.

Remark 9.4. It is a crucial fact that, if $G = T^n$ is abelian and acts almost freely (that is, only with discrete isotropies), then the Serre spectral sequence even works in this case (together with all naturality properties), under the restriction that one takes $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients. We will outline the reason here (this is due to [Z16], and personal correspondence). We consider the homotopy fiber F_p of p in $M \rightarrow M_{T^n} \xrightarrow{p} BT^n$, and the following bundle

$$\Omega BT^n \rightarrow F_p \rightarrow M_{T^n}.$$

Together with the natural homotopy equivalence $M \rightarrow F_p$, there can be constructed a homotopy equivalence $h: T^n \rightarrow \Omega BT^n$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^n & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M_{T^n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Omega BT^n & \longrightarrow & F_p & & \end{array}$$

commutes. That is, we can say that $T^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_{T^n}$ is a 'fibration up to homotopy equivalence'. Now, $H^*(M/T; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(M_{T^n})$ is an isomorphism, and the monodromy of $\Omega BT^n \rightarrow F_p \rightarrow M_{T^n}$ is trivial, so its Serre spectral sequence computes the (co)homology of M with rational coefficients. The naturality conditions now come from the naturality of the constructed fibration $\Omega BT^n \rightarrow F_p \rightarrow M_{T^n}$.

References

- [A18] Anton Ayzenberg, *Torus actions of complexity 1 and their local properties*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 302 (2018), no. 1, 16–32.
- [AC22] Anton Ayzenberg and Vladislav Cherepanov, *Matroids in toric topology*, arXiv:2203.06282v1 [math.CO], 2022
- [AM23] Anton Ayzenberg and Mikiya Masuda, *Orbit spaces of equivariantly formal torus actions of complexity one*, arXiv:1912.11696v2 [math.AT], 2023
- [AMS22] Anton Ayzenberg, Mikiya Masuda and Grigory Solomadin, *How is a graph not like a manifold?*, arXiv:2203.10641v1 [math.AT], 2022
- [BP15] Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov, *Toric topology.*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. xiv+518 pp., 2015
- [CS74] Theodore Chang and Tor Skjelbred, *The topological Schur lemma and related results.*, Ann. Math. (2) 100 (1974), 307–321.
- [FP07] Matthias Franz and Volker Puppe, *Exact cohomology sequences with integral coefficients for torus actions.*, Transform. Groups 12 (2007), no. 1, 65–76., 2007
- [GKZ20] Oliver Goertsches, Panagiotis Konstantis and Leopold Zoller, *GKM theory and Hamiltonian non-Kähler actions in dimension 6.*, Adv. Math.368(2020), 107141, 17 pp.
- [GKZ22] Oliver Goertsches, Panagiotis Konstantis and Leopold Zoller, *The GKM correspondence in dimension 6*, Preprint, arXiv:2210.01856, 2022
- [GZ19] Oliver Goertsches and Leopold Zoller, *Equivariant de Rham cohomology: theory and applications.*, São Paulo J. Math. Sci.13(2019), no.2, 539–596.
- [GKM98] Mark Goresky, Robert Kottwitz and Robert MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. 131, 1 (1998), 25–83.
- [GHV73] Werner Greub, Stephen Halperin and Ray Vanstone, *Connections, curvature, and cohomology*. Vol. II: Lie groups, principal bundles, and characteristic classes, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 47-II. Academic Press, New York-London, 1973
- [GZ01] Guillemin, V., and Zara, C. *1-skeleta, Betti numbers, and equivariant cohomology*. Duke Math. J. 107, 2 (2001), 283–349.
- [Hat04] Allen Hatcher, *Spectral sequences*, online, <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/ATch5.pdf>
- [Ker69] Michel Kervaire, *Smooth homology spheres and their fundamental groups*, Trans. AMS 144 (1969), 67–72.
- [LMS83] Richard K. Lashof, J: Peter May, Graeme B. Segal, *Equivariant bundles with abelian structural group*. Contemporary Math. 19 (1983), 167–176
- [MP03] Mikiya Masuda and Taras E. Panov, *On the cohomology of torus manifolds*, arXiv:math/0306100v2 [math.AT], 2003
- [Z16] Leopold Zoller, *On the Toral Rank Conjecture in Kähler and Contact Geometry*, Master’s thesis, 2016