

# New degeneration phenomenon for infinite-type Riemann surfaces

Ryo Matsuda

May 8, 2024

## Abstract

Since the Teichmüller space of a surface  $R$  is a deformation space of complex structures defined on  $R$ , its Bers boundary describes the degeneration of complex structures in a certain sense. In this paper, constructing a concrete example, we prove that if  $S$  is a Riemann surface of infinite type, there exists a Riemann surface with the marking, which is homeomorphic to the surface  $R$  in the Bers boundary. We also show that many such degenerations exist in the Bers boundary.

## 1 Introduction

The Teichmüller space  $\text{Teich}(\Gamma)$  of a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  is the space of quasiconformal deformations of  $\Gamma$ . In terms of the corresponding Riemann surface  $R := \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ , the space  $\text{Teich}(\Gamma)$  can be regarded as the deformation space of the Riemann surface  $R$ ; it is sometimes denoted by  $\text{Teich}(R)$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Teich}(R)$  admits a structure as a compact manifold, which is a key to understanding the Riemann surfaces  $R$ .

As a common technique in complex analysis, one may examine the complex manifold structure of  $\text{Teich}(R)$  by understanding properties of proper holomorphic maps from the complex disk  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$  to  $\text{Teich}(R)$ . On the other hand, a holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is characterized by the mapping induced by non-tangential limits on the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  according to the Fatou–Riesz theorem. Because the non-tangential limits are contained in the boundary of  $\text{Teich}(\Gamma)$ , understanding the boundary of  $\text{Teich}(R)$  is for understanding its complex manifold structure. The boundary of  $\text{Teich}(R)$  describes the degeneration of the complex structures of Riemann surfaces, which, in turn, contributes to the understanding of Riemann surfaces.

Various boundaries for  $\text{Teich}(R)$  have been considered. We focus on the Bers boundary  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(\Gamma)$ , which is obtained by embedding  $\text{Teich}(R)$  into a bounded region of the certain Banach space. We will denote it  $B(\Gamma)$ . The embedding is called the Bers embedding  $\mathcal{B} : \text{Teich}(\Gamma) \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$ . The Teichmüller space so embedded can be seen as the space of deformations of the Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  within the category of Kleinian groups. When  $R$  is of finite type, it has been extensively studied in relation to three-dimensional hyperbolic geometry in great detail in Bers [Ber], Maskit [Mas], Abikoff [Abi] and others. When  $R$  is compact, the structure of the Bers boundary has

been well investigated; the Bers boundary consists of Kleinian groups corresponding to Riemann surfaces that degenerate topologically[Ber, Mas]. This implies that the degeneration of complex structures leads to the degeneration of the topological structure. The theorem of Bers–Maskit tells us that the degeneration of complex structures induces topological degeneration. Although topological structure is more fundamental than complex structure, breaking the complex structure breaks the base topological structure. It is known that almost the same holds for the case where the Riemann surfaces have finitely many punctures (more details will be given in Section 2.1). From these structures of the Bers boundary, the study by Tanigawa and others has elucidated the structure of  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, \text{Teich}(R))$ , which helps in understanding the complex manifold structure of  $\text{Teich}(R)$  (Tanigawa [Tan1, Tan2], Shiga [Shi1, Shi2]).

This paper considers the case when  $R$  is of infinite genus. In this case,  $\text{Teich}(R)$  becomes an infinite-dimensional complex manifold. We describe a specific construction method to illustrate degeneration phenomena where the complex structure degenerates but topologically remains homeomorphic to  $R$ . In this paper, we shall call the Kleinian group corresponding to such a Riemann surface a David-Fuchsian b-group. A detailed definition is described in Definition 2.1. In addition, we show that such degeneration phenomena again form infinite-dimensional complex manifolds within the Bers boundary. The quasiconformal maps are characterized as the solution of the partial differential equation called the Beltrami equation  $f_{\bar{z}} = \mu f_z$  considered for a function  $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\|\mu\|_{L^\infty} < 1$ . Since the Teichmüller space is the set of all quasiconformal deformations of the Riemann surface, a deformation by the solution of the degenerate Beltrami equation is expected to exist on the Bers boundary. “Degenerate” means that we consider the case  $\|\mu\|_{L^\infty} = 1$ . David [Dav] has studied sufficient conditions on  $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  for the degenerate Beltrami equation to have a homeomorphic solution; its condition is called David’s condition. We construct the David-Fuchsian b-group by constructing a deformation of the Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  to satisfy David’s condition. To prove that the constructed David deformation of the Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  exists on the Bers boundary, it is necessary to construct a sequence in  $\text{Teich}(\Gamma)$  that converges to the point in  $B(\Gamma)$  corresponding the constructed David deformation. To show convergence, we apply the estimate given by McMullen [McM, Theorem 1.2]; we impose as a condition that  $\text{short}(R)$  is positive, where  $\text{short}(R)$  is the infimum of lengths of essential simple closed geodesics of  $R$ . The main result is as follows:

**Theorem** (Theorem 5.1). *Let  $R$  be a Riemann Surface with a homotopically independent set  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of essential simple closed curves. If  $R$  satisfies  $\text{short}(R) > 0$ , there exists an infinite-dimensional complex manifold in  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(R)$  which consists of David-Fuchsian b-group. That is, there exists a unit ball  $D$  of a Banach space and a holomorphic map  $F : D \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$ , where  $F(D)$  is contained in the Bers boundary  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(R)$ , contains only David-Fuchsian b-group, and the dimension of the tangent space of the image is infinite.*

Section 2 discusses some necessary results on quasiconformal maps, Kleinian groups, and David maps. In Section 3, we compute the variants of the injective radius when the cylinders are stretched to apply them to McMullen’s inequality. In Section 4, we construct a Kleinian group using a David map, and we prove that the Kleinian group exists on  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(\Gamma)$ . That is, we prove

that David-Fuchsian b-group exists. In Section 4, we consider how many David-Fuchsian b-groups exist on  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(\Gamma)$ . In conclusion, it is shown that there exist open sets  $D$  of a certain infinite-dimensional Banach space and an injective holomorphic map  $F : D \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$  such that  $F(D)$  is contained in the Bers boundary and consists of David-Fuchsian b-group.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Professor Mitsuhiro Shishikura for his helpful suggestions, which greatly simplified existing arguments on David maps. He also thanks Professor Hiromi Ohtake and Professor Katsuhiko Matsuzaki for many discussions and helpful advice. JST, the establishment of the University Fellowship Program for the Creation of Innovation in Science and Technology, Grant Number JPMJFS2123, supported this work.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Teichmüller theory and Kleinian groups

Let  $R$  be a Riemann surface whose universal covering surface is the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$ , and let it be represented by a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  acting on  $\mathbb{H}$  as  $R = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ . A quasiconformal mapping  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is called compatible with  $\Gamma$  if  $\Gamma^f := f\Gamma f^{-1}$  is again a Fuchsian group, and the isomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^f$  given by conjugation with  $f$  is called a quasiconformal deformation of  $\Gamma$ . Note that the deformation of  $\Gamma$  induces a quasiconformal deformation of the Riemann surface  $R$ . Indeed, the map  $f$  induces a quasiconformal map  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{H}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}/\Gamma'$ . Denote by  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$  the complex Banach space of all bounded measurable *Beltrami differentials* for  $\Gamma$  supported on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Each element  $\mu \in L^\infty(\Gamma)$  satisfies that  $\mu \circ \gamma \cdot \overline{\gamma'} = \mu \cdot \gamma'$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Its open unit ball  $\text{Bel}(\Gamma)$  is the space of Beltrami coefficients for  $\Gamma$ . For each element  $\mu \in \text{Bel}(\Gamma)$ , the unique quasiconformal homeomorphism  $w^\mu : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  with complex dilatation  $\mu$  that fixes 0, 1 and  $\infty$ , is compatible for  $\Gamma$ . Two elements  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in  $\text{Bel}(\Gamma)$  are said to be *Teichmüller equivalent* if  $w^\mu|_{\mathbb{R}} = w^\nu|_{\mathbb{R}}$  holds. The *Teichmüller space*  $\text{Teich}(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  is the quotient space of  $\text{Bel}(\Gamma)$  by the Teichmüller equivalence relation.

In the following, we review how to embed  $\text{Teich}(\Gamma)$  into a bounded domain of the Banach space  $B(\Gamma)$  by a well-known method. Let  $\mathbb{H}^*$  be a lower half plane.  $B(\Gamma)$  is the complex Banach space of all  $L^\infty$  hyperbolic holomorphic quadratic forms  $\varphi : \mathbb{H}^* \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  for  $\Gamma$ , that is, the point  $\varphi$  of  $B(\Gamma)$  satisfies  $\varphi \circ \gamma \cdot (\gamma')^2 = \varphi$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and the  $L^\infty$  hyperbolic norm  $\|\varphi\|_{B(\Gamma)} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{H}^*} |\varphi(z)| |\text{Im } z|^2$  is finite. Like  $\text{Bel}(\Gamma)$ ,  $B(\Gamma)$  is sometimes considered a 2-form on the Riemann surface  $R$  through the covering map  $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow R$ . It is denoted as  $B(R)$  in such a sense. For each  $\mu \in \text{Bel}(\Gamma)$ , set

$$\tilde{\mu} = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{on } \mathbb{H}, \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{H}^*. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a unique quasiconformal mapping  $f_\mu : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that the beltrami differential of  $f_\mu$  is equal to  $\tilde{\mu}$ , and

$$f_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{z+i} + o(1), \quad z \rightarrow -i.$$

The Schwarzian derivative of  $f_\mu$  is defined on  $\mathbb{H}^*$  as

$$S(f_\mu|_{\mathbb{H}^*}) = \left( \frac{f_\mu''}{f_\mu'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f_\mu''}{f_\mu'} \right)^2.$$

The mapping  $\mathcal{B} : \text{Bel}(\Gamma) \ni \mu \mapsto S(f_\mu|_{\mathbb{H}^*}) \in B(\Gamma)$  is well-defined and compatible with Teichmüller equivalence. In other words, it establishes a holomorphic map from  $\text{Teich}(\Gamma)$  to  $B(\Gamma)$ . The map is a biholomorphic map onto its image, called the *Bers embedding*. As a known fact, its image is a bounded domain in  $B(\Gamma)$ . Therefore, the boundary can be defined via the Bers embedding. This is called the *Bers boundary*  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(\Gamma)$ . Next, we summarise the holonomy homomorphism defined at each point in  $B(\Gamma)$ . For each a point  $\varphi \in B(\Gamma)$ , then there exists a unique, local univalent holomorphic map  $W_\varphi : \mathbb{H}^* \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that

$$S(W_\varphi) = \varphi, \quad W_\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{z+i} + o(1), \text{ near } z = -i.$$

Then, to every an element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there exists a unique Möbius transformation  $g$  such that

$$W_\varphi \circ \gamma = g \circ W_\varphi.$$

We denote the mapping  $\gamma \mapsto g$  by  $\chi_\varphi$ , called a monodromy isomorphism. If  $W_\varphi$  is an univalent,  $\chi_\varphi(\Gamma)$  is also discrete. From Nehari's theorem ([Ahl, §VI Lemma 3]), if  $\varphi$  is contained in  $\text{Cl}(\text{Teich}(\Gamma)) := \text{Teich}(\Gamma) \cup \partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(\Gamma)$  a function  $W_\varphi$  is a univalent function. Then, we classify  $\varphi$  according to the properties of  $\chi_\varphi(\Gamma)$ .

**Definition 2.1** (Classification of elements in  $B(\Gamma)$ ). Let a function  $\varphi$  in  $B(\Gamma)$ . The group  $\chi_\varphi(\Gamma)$  or the function  $\varphi$  is called

- a *quasiFuchsian group* if the function  $W_\varphi$  can be extended to a homeomorphic map on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ ,
- a *cusp* if there exists a hyperbolic element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\chi_\varphi(\gamma)$  is a parabolic element,
- a *totally degenerate group* if  $W_\varphi(\mathbb{H}^*) \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is an open and dense subset.

In this paper, we call the group  $\chi_\varphi(\Gamma)$  or the function  $\varphi$  a *David-Fuchsian b-group* if  $\varphi \in \partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(\Gamma)$  and  $\varphi$  is a quasiFuchsian group.

Bers [Ber] and Maskit [Mas] proved that if a finitely generated Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  is of first kind, then there no exist David-Fuchsian b-group. The following are known.

**Theorem 2.2** ([Ber] and [Mas]). *Let  $R$  be a Riemann surface whose Fuchsian group is finitely generated of the first kind. If a function  $\psi \in \partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(S)$  is not a cusp, it is a totally degenerate group. Moreover, if a function  $\varphi \in \text{Cl}(\text{Teich}(\Gamma))$  is a quasiFuchsian group, then  $\varphi$  is in  $\text{Teich}(\Gamma)$ .*

The others obtained results of Tanigawa [Tan1, Proposition 4.4] and Yamamoto [Yam, Theorem 3.1] after the Theorem 2.2 and the comparison and relations with the main results are summarized in Section 6.

## 2.2 David map

In this section, we summarize the David map, characterized as a solution of the degenerate Beltrami equation. In particular, we discuss the results studied by David [Dav]. Since the quasiconformal map is characterized as a solution of the Beltrami equation, the David map can be regarded as a degenerate version of the quasiconformal map.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mu$  be a measurable function on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $|\mu(z)| < 1$  for almost all  $z \in U$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure. We say that  $\mu$  satisfies a *David condition*, if there exist  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0$

$$|\{z \in U \mid |\mu(z)| > 1 - \varepsilon\}|_{\text{Leb}} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where  $|\cdot|_{\text{Leb}}$  is the Lebesgue measure on the plane. Moreover, if  $K_\mu(z) := \frac{1+|\mu(z)|}{1-|\mu(z)|}$  satisfies  $e^{K_\mu} \in L^p_{\text{loc}}$  for some  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , then  $\mu$  is said to have *exponentially  $L^p_{\text{loc}}$  integrable distortion*.

**Remark 2.4.** Suppose that  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $K_\mu \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies the David condition by Chebychev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{|\mu| > 1 - \varepsilon\}|_{\text{Leb}} &= \left| \left\{ K_\mu + 1 \geq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \right\} \right|_{\text{Leb}} \\ &= |\{\exp(K(z) + 1) \geq e^{2/\varepsilon}\}|_{\text{Leb}} \\ &\leq e^{-2/\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \exp(p(K(z) + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.5** (Generalized Riemann mapping theorem, [Dav]). *Let  $\mu$  be a measurable function on  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfies the David condition, then the Beltrami equation  $f_{\bar{z}} = \mu(z)f_z(z)$  has a homeomorphism solution  $f_\mu \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C})$  which is unique up to postcomposition with a conformal map.*

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  satisfy  $|\mu(z)| < 1$  for almost everywhere  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . A homeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called the *principal solution* to the Beltrami equation with coefficient  $\mu$  if the map  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:

- $f$  satisfies the partial differential equation  $f_z = \mu f_{\bar{z}}$  a.e.,
- there exists a finite set  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ .
- around the point at infinity,  $f$  has the Laurent expansion  $f(z) = z + \frac{a_1}{z} + \dots$ .

**Theorem 2.7** ([AIM, Theorem 20.4.7]). *Let  $\mu$  be a measurable function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $|\mu| < 1$  a.e.. If there exist  $p > 1$  and a measurable function  $K$  such that  $e^K \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$  and  $|\mu(z)| \leq \frac{K(z)-1}{K(z)+1} \chi_{\mathbb{D}}$ , where  $\chi_{\mathbb{D}}$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathbb{D}$ , the Beltrami equation  $f_{\bar{z}} = \mu(z)f_z(z)$  admits a unique principal solution  $f \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C})$ .*

**Proposition 2.8.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  and  $(\mu_n)$  satisfy  $e^{K_\mu} \in L^p_{\text{loc}}$  for some  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $e^{K_{\mu_n}} \in L^{p_n}_{\text{loc}}$  for some  $p_n \in (0, \infty)$ , respectively. If  $(\mu_n)$  satisfies  $\|K_{\mu_n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D})} \rightarrow \pi$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), the sequence of the normal solution  $f_{\mu_n}$  converges uniformly to the identity map on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .*

*Proof:* Denote the inverse map of  $f_{\mu_n}$  by  $g_n$ . Then  $g_n$  has the following modulus of continuity: for  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$|g_n(a) - g_n(b)| \leq 16\pi^2 \frac{1 + |a|^2 + |b|^2}{\log\left(e + \frac{1}{|a-b|}\right)} \|K_{\mu_n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D})},$$

which is in [AIM, Lemma 20.2.3]. Therefore, the sequence  $(g_n)$  is a normal family. We obtain a subsequence of  $(g_n)$ , converging uniformly in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  to a mapping  $g$ .

Moreover, the sequence  $(g_n)$  also satisfies the inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |g_z - 1|^2 + |g_{\bar{z}}|^2 \leq \|K_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D})},$$

thus  $g$  is in  $W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C})$ . This same argument and technique are also written in [AIM, Theorem 20.2.1, Lemma 20.2.2].

To prove Proposition 2.8, we only have to prove that  $g$  is conformal on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . First of all, it is obvious that  $g$  is conformal on  $g^{-1}(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D}))$ , because  $g_n$  are conformal on  $g_n^{-1}(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D}))$  and the subsequence of  $(g_n)$  is uniformly converging to  $g$ . Let  $\mathbb{D}_2$  be the disk of radius 2 at the center 0. Next, from a standard argument, we get

$$\int_{g_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D})} |(g_n)_{\bar{z}}|^2 \leq \int_{g_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D})} (|(g_n)_{\bar{z}}| + |(g_n)_z|)^2 \leq \|K_{\mu_n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D})}. \quad (1)$$

In addition, we have

$$\int_{g_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_2)} |(g_n)_z|^2 \geq \int_{g_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_2)} |(g_n)_z|^2 \left(1 - \left|\frac{(g_n)_{\bar{z}}}{(g_n)_z}\right|^2\right) = 4\pi.$$

Then, extending  $K_{\mu_n}$  by 1 over the set  $\{1 \leq |z| \leq 2\}$ , we obtain the following inequality from (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{g_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_2)} |(g_n)_{\bar{z}}|^2 &\leq \|K_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D}_2)} - \int_{g_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_2)} |(g_n)_z|^2, \\ &\leq \|K_{\mu_n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D}_2)} - 4\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that

$$\int_{g^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_2)} |g_{\bar{z}}|^2 = 0,$$

because  $\|K_{\mu_n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D}_2)} \rightarrow 4\pi$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  from the assumption in Proposition 2.8. (Notice  $K_n \equiv 1$  on the set  $\{1 \leq |z| \leq 2\}$ .) From Wely's lemma,  $g$  is holomorphic on  $g^{-1}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ .

Finally, since  $g$  is holomorphic on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and is univalent in the neighborhood of  $z = \infty$ ,  $g$  is conformal on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , using the fact that the degree of the holomorphic function is constant. Moreover, because the Laurent expansion of  $g_n$  is  $g_n(z) = z + a_{1,n}/z + \dots$ , we can prove  $g \equiv \text{id}$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . That is, the sequence  $(f_{\mu_n})$  has a subsequence which uniformly converge to the identity map on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Deformation of a family of cylinders

#### 3.1 A result of McMullen

Let  $R$  be a Riemann surface with  $\text{short}(R) > 0$ , where  $\text{short}(R)$  is the length of the shortest closed geodesic on  $R$ .

**Theorem 3.1** ([McM, Theorem 1.2]). *Let  $[\mu]$  be a point in  $\text{Teich}(R)$ , and  $\nu$  be a unit-norm Beltrami differential in the tangent space  $T_{[\mu]} \text{Teich}(R)$  at  $[\mu]$ . If the support of  $\nu$  is contained in the part of  $f^\mu(R)$  of injectivity radius less than  $L < 1/2$ . Then, the image of  $\nu$  under the derivative of Bers' embedding  $\mathcal{B} : \text{Teich}(\Gamma) \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$  has length at most  $C(L \log 1/L)^2$ , where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $\text{short}(R)$ .*

**Remark 3.2.** In the original paper [McM, Theorem 1.2], it seems that  $R$  is assumed to be of analytically finite type, but it is easy to see that his proof works without that assumption.

#### 3.2 Variation of injectivity radius in stretch deformation

We denote by  $T_M := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\text{Im} \zeta| < M\}$ ,  $C_M := T_M / \langle x \mapsto x + 2\pi \rangle$ , where  $M > 0$ . Let  $M > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . In the following, we consider a quasiconformal deformation of  $T_M$  in which the subdomain  $T_{\alpha M}$  is stretched by a factor of two and  $T_M \setminus T_{\alpha M}$  is moved in parallel. That is, the quasiconformal map and homotopy we are dealing with are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : x + iy &\mapsto \begin{cases} x + iy + \alpha Mi & \alpha M \leq y \leq M \\ x + 2iy & |y| \leq \alpha M \\ x + iy - \alpha Mi & -M \leq y \leq -\alpha M \end{cases}, \\ \psi_t : x + iy &\mapsto \begin{cases} x + iy + t\alpha Mi & \alpha M \leq y \leq M \\ x + (1+t)iy & |y| \leq \alpha M \\ x + iy - t\alpha Mi & -M \leq y \leq -\alpha M \end{cases} \quad (\forall t \in [0, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\text{bel}(\psi)$  the beltrami differential  $\psi_{\bar{z}}/\psi_z$ . Then,

$$\text{bel}(\psi_t)(x + iy) = \begin{cases} -\frac{t}{2+t} & |y| \leq \alpha M \\ 0 & \text{the other.} \end{cases}$$



Denote by  $\rho^t$  the hyperbolic metric on  $C_{(1+\alpha t)M}$  and by  $r_{\rho^t}(p)$  the injectivity radius of  $C_{(1+\alpha t)M}$  at  $p \in C_{(1+\alpha t)M}$ . Then, in this subsection, we will prove two things:

**Proposition 3.3.** • For all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^t}(p) \leq \sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p)$ .

• If we can properly obtain  $\alpha$ , the ratio of  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p)$  to  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^1}(p)$  is less than 1.

*Proof:* Note that the hyperbolic metric on  $C_{(1+\alpha t)M}$  is

$$\frac{\pi}{2(M + t\alpha M) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2(M+t\alpha M)} \operatorname{Im} \zeta\right)}.$$

First, we compare  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^t}(p)$  to  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p)$ . Since  $C_M \hookrightarrow C_{(1+\alpha t)M}$ , it now follows from Schwarz–Pick’s lemma that  $\rho^0 \geq \rho^t$ . We get, for all  $p \in C_{\alpha M}$ ,

$$r_{\rho^0}(p) = \int_{\gamma_p} d\rho^0 \geq \int_{\gamma_p} d\rho^t \geq r_{\rho^t}(p),$$

where  $\gamma_p$  is the geodesic thought at  $p$  with respect to  $\rho^0$ . Therefore,  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^t}(p) \leq \sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p)$ .

Next, we compute the ratio of  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p)$  to  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^1}(p)$ . Since the geodesic thought  $0 \in C_{\alpha M}$  is  $l_0 : [-\pi, \pi] \ni x \mapsto x \in C_{\alpha M}$  and its hyperbolic length is smaller than  $\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p)$ ,

$$\frac{\pi^2}{M} = \operatorname{length}_{\rho^0}(l_0) \leq \sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{M \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}.$$

On the other hand, considering the closed curve  $l : [-\pi, \pi] \ni x \mapsto x + 2\alpha M i$ , we get

$$\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^1}(p) \leq \operatorname{length}_{\rho^1}(l) = \frac{\pi^2}{(1+\alpha)M \cos\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^1}(p)}{\sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p)} = \frac{1}{(1+\alpha) \cos\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}. \quad (2)$$

For example, taking  $\alpha = 1/2$ , the ratio is suppressed from above equation (2) by  $4/3\sqrt{3}(< 1)$ .  $\square$

Summing up the above calculations, we find the following facts by Theorem 3.1: Let  $\alpha = 1/2$  and  $M > 2\sqrt{2}\pi^2$ . Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}([\operatorname{bel}(f_0)]) - \mathcal{B}([\operatorname{bel}(f_1)])\|_{B(C_M)} &\leq \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{B}([\operatorname{bel}(f_t)]) \right\|_{B(C_M)} dt \leq \int_0^1 \|d\mathcal{B}\|_{B(C_M)} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{bel}(f_t) \right| dt \\ &\leq \left( L_0 \log \frac{1}{L_0} \right)^2 \int_0^1 \frac{t}{t+2} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $L_0 := \sup_{p \in C_{\alpha M}} r_{\rho^0}(p)$ .



### 3.3 The deformation of a family of cylinders

Assume that  $R$  has infinite embdedd disjoint cylinders  $\{\text{Cyl}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of height  $M$  or more, which are not homotopic to each other. Denote by  $U$  the union of the family of cylinders  $\text{Cyl}_n$ .

The sequence of quasiconformal deformation of  $\{R_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is defined inductively as follows: The Beltrami coefficient  $\tau_j$  of deformation from  $R_{j-1}$  to  $R_j$  is stretched by a factor of two on  $\text{Cyl}_{(m,j-1)}$  ( $m \geq j$ ) and extended to identically 0, where  $\text{Cyl}_{(n,j)}$  is cylinders of  $R_j$  which is the image of  $\text{Cyl}_n$  by the stretching deformation.

Let  $L_{j-1}$  be the length of the closed geodesic at the center of  $\text{Cyl}_{(m,j-1)}$ , from (2) the subsection 3.2, it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{B}([\tau_j]) - \mathcal{B}([\tau_{j-1}])\|_{B(R)} \leq C \left( L_{j-1} \log \frac{1}{L_{j-1}} \right)^2. \quad (4)$$

Note that  $C$  is constant depending only on  $R$ . Since  $L_n \leq 4/3\sqrt{3}L_{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathcal{B}([\tau_j]) - \mathcal{B}([\tau_{j-1}])\|_{B(R)} \leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}} \right)^{2j} \left( L_0 \log \left( \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}} \right)^j L_0 \right)^2 < \infty. \quad (5)$$

Thus, the sequence  $([\tau_j])_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges.

## 4 Construction of David-Fuchsian b-groups

A set  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of essential simple closed curves in  $R$  is homotopically independent if it satisfies the following properties:

- $\alpha_n \cap \alpha_m = \emptyset$  ( $n \neq m$ ),
- $\alpha_n$  is not freely homotopic to  $\alpha_m$  ( $n \neq m$ ).

And, let  $\Gamma$  be a Fuchsian model of  $R$  acting  $\mathbb{D}$  with an universal covering map  $\pi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow R$  and  $\omega$  a fundamental domain of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . For every  $m$ , we can find a doubly connected domain  $C_m$  of  $R$  containing  $\alpha_m$  such that  $\text{Cl}(C_m)$  is compact in  $R$  and  $n \neq m$  implies that  $\text{Cl}(C_n) \cap \text{Cl}(C_m) = \emptyset$ . We denote by  $T_m = \pi^{-1}(C_m) \cap \omega$ .

### 4.1 Construction method

Let a positive sequence  $(p_n)$  such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n < \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\left| \bigcup_{|m| > N} \Gamma T_m \right|_{\text{Leb}} < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $\mathbb{D} = \tilde{\Gamma} \omega \supset \tilde{\Gamma} \left( \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} T_m \right)$ , one easily sees the Lemma. □

Consider the deformation  $h$  of a cylinder in which the tubular neighborhood with half the overall height of the cylinder's closed geodesic is stretched by a factor of two. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote  $\nu_n$  by the Beltrami coefficient of  $\underbrace{h \circ h \circ \dots \circ h}_n$ . Then, from Lemma 4.1, there exists a natural

number  $M(n)$  such that

$$\sigma\left(\tilde{\Gamma}T_{M(n)}\right) \cdot e^{2K\nu_n} < p_n,$$

Now, let  $\mu$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}(z) &:= \begin{cases} \nu_n(z) & \text{if } z \in T_{M(n)} \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in R \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Cl}(T_{M(n)}) \end{cases} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_n \chi_{C_{M(n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\chi_{T_{M(n)}}$  is the characteristic function of  $T_{M(n)}$ . A function  $\tilde{\mu}$  has exponentially  $L^2$  integrable distortion. That is,  $\tilde{\mu}$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.7. Indeed, one can see from the following calculation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{2K\tilde{\mu}} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma\left(\tilde{\Gamma}C_{M(n)}\right) \cdot e^{2K\nu_n} < \sum p_n < \infty.$$

Therefore, if we extend  $\tilde{\mu}$  to be identically zero in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})$ , the Beltrami equation for  $\tilde{\mu}$  has the principal solution  $\tilde{f}^\mu$ . Moreover, pushing  $\mu$  of  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $R$  under the covering map  $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow R$  induced the homeomorphism  $f^\mu$  on  $R$ . It is denoted by  $R_\mu$  its image.

## 4.2 $R_\mu$ is not an interior in $\text{Teich}(R)$

It follows immediately from the following theorem that it is not an interior point.

**Theorem 4.2** ([Wol, Lemma 3.1]). *Let  $f : R \rightarrow R$  be a  $K$ -quasiconformal map and  $\gamma$  be a closed curve on  $R$ . Denote by  $l$  the length of the hyperbolic geodesic in the free homotopy class of  $\gamma$  and by  $l'$  the length of the hyperbolic geodesic in the free homotopy class of  $f(\gamma)$ . Then*

$$l/K \leq l' \leq lK.$$

If  $R_\mu$  is an interior in  $\text{Teich}(R)$ , a quasiconformal map exists from  $R$  to  $R_\mu$ . Then, from Theorem 4.2, it follows that the length of all closed geodesics in  $R_\mu$  are bounded. It is a contradiction.

## 4.3 $R_\mu$ exists in the Bers boundary

To prove that  $R_\mu$  exists in the Bers boundary, That is, the following is shown A function  $\varphi_\infty \in B(R)$  is the Schwarzian derivative of the principal solution  $\tilde{f}^\mu$ . By the definition of the

Bers boundary, the monodromy  $\chi_{\varphi_\infty}(\Gamma)$  is corresponding to  $R_\mu$ . Then, we can take a sequence in  $\text{Teich}(R)$  converging  $\varphi_\infty$  in  $B(R)$ . On the open set  $U := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{M(n)}$ , we consider the deformation of a family of Cylinders  $([\tau_n])_n$  in Subsection 3.3. The sequence converges in  $\text{Teich}(R)$ . Indeed, If we choose an  $N$  such that the height of the cylinder to be deformed is greater than  $2\sqrt{2}\pi^2$ , then we get the following inequality from (4) in Subsection 3.3: for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{B}([\tau_n]) - \mathcal{B}([\tau_{n-1}])\|_{B(R)} \leq C \left( L_{n-1} \log \frac{1}{L_{n-1}} \right)^2.$$

Now, we denote  $[\tau_n]$  by  $\varphi \in B(R)$ , and the limit of  $([\tau_n])_n$  by  $\varphi_\infty$ . Since  $W_{\varphi_n}$  is convergent to  $W_{\varphi_\infty}$  with respect to the norm in  $B(R)$ , the sequence is local uniformly convergent.

Moreover, we can prove  $\|\tau_n - \tilde{\mu}\|_{L^\infty} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Indeed, For each  $n$ ,  $\tau_n$  is a Beltrami coefficient of a quasiconformal deformation in which  $C_{M(j)}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) is stretched twice  $j$  times and  $C_{M(j)}$  ( $j > n$ ) is stretched twice  $n$  times. In other words,  $\tau_n$  is coincide to  $\tilde{\mu}$  in  $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n} C_{M(j)}$ , thus, the following inequality holds from (5) in Subsection 3.3.

$$\|K(\tau_n - \tilde{\mu})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq \sum_{j \geq n} \sigma \left( \tilde{\Gamma} C_{M(j)} \right) \cdot e^{2K_j} \leq \sum_{j \geq n} p_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Therefore, the principle solution of  $\tau_n$  is locally uniform convergent to  $f^{\tilde{\mu}}$ , from Proposition 2.8. That is,  $f^{\tilde{\mu}}$  and  $W_{\varphi_\infty}$  are the limit of the sequence  $(W_{\varphi_n})$ . Hence  $f^{\tilde{\mu}} = W_{\varphi_\infty}$ . The following conclusions follow from the above:

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a Riemann Surface of analytically infinite type. Then, there exists a David-Fuchsian b-group in the Bers boundary of  $\text{Teich}(R)$ .*

## 5 A holomorphic family of David-Fuchsian b-group

The main purpose of this section is to prove the following:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Riemann Surface with a homotopically independent set  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . If  $R$  satisfies  $\text{short}(R) > 0$ , there exists an infinite-dimensional complex manifold in  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(R)$  which consists of David-Fuchsian b-group. That is, there exists an unit ball  $D$  of a Banach space and a holomorphic map  $F : D \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$ , where  $F(D)$  is contained in the Bers boundary  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(R)$ , contains only David-Fuchsian b-group, and the dimension of the tangent space of the image is infinite.*

*Proof:* To construct the infinite-dimensional complex manifold in Theorem 5.1, we use partial deformation on  $R$ . First, as each  $\alpha_m$  tubular neighborhood  $\tilde{C}_m$ , we consider those whose core curve coincides with  $\alpha_m$  and whose modulus is constant. In addition, let  $R_2$  be the union of the

tubular neighborhoods  $\{C_m\}$  of  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is given the deformation  $\mu$  constructed in Subsection 4.1. Then, the complex structure of  $R_1 := R \setminus R_2$  can still be deformed. By deforming the complex structure on  $R_1$ , we construct a map such that Theorem 5.1 is satisfied.

$$P^\mu : \text{Bel}(R_1) \ni \tau \mapsto S(f_{(\mu+\tau)}) \in B(R). \quad (6)$$

It is almost evident that the image of this map is contained in the Bers boundary. Therefore, to complete the proof of Theorem 5.1, we must consider that the image of this map is infinite-dimensional. To show that the image is infinite-dimensional, we decompose the above map (6) into the composition of the two maps, a translation  $F^\mu$  and a partial deformation  $P^0$ .

$$P^0 : \text{Bel}(R_1) \ni \tau \mapsto S(f_{0+\tau}) \in B(R), \quad (7)$$

$$F^\mu : (B(R) \supset) \text{Teich}(R) \ni S(f_\tau) \mapsto S(f_\mu \circ f_\tau) \in B(R). \quad (8)$$

Note from the following calculations that the composition of the maps  $P^0$  and  $F^\mu$  is  $P^\mu$ .

$$\text{bel}(f_\mu \circ f_\tau) = \frac{(f_\mu \circ f_\tau)_{\bar{z}}}{(f_\mu \circ f_\tau)_z} = \frac{\mu \circ f_\tau \cdot (f_\tau)_{\bar{z}} / (f_\tau)_z + \tau}{1 + \mu \circ f_\tau \cdot \bar{\tau} \cdot (f_\tau)_{\bar{z}} / (f_\tau)_z} = \mu + \tau,$$

since  $\text{supp}(\mu) \cap \text{supp}(\tau) = \emptyset$ . The detailed description of this calculation can be found in [Tan1, Lemma 3.3]. Additionally, it is immediately evident from the composition law of the Schwarzian derivative that  $F^\mu$  is a bijection onto its image. This is understood from the equation:

$$S(f_\mu \circ f_\tau) = S(f_\mu) \circ f_\tau \cdot (f_\tau)'' + S(f_\tau)$$

That is, it is understood from the pullback by  $f_\tau$ .

In Subsection 5.1, we discuss a partial deformation  $P^0$  and compute the tangent map of partial deformation.  $\square$

## 5.1 The derivative of a partial deformation

Next, we will compute the dimension of the image of the tangent map  $d_0 P^\mu$  of  $\text{Bel}(R_1) \ni \tau \mapsto S(f^{\mu+\tau}) \in \text{Cl}(\text{Teich}(R))$  at 0. If  $f_{\mu+\tau}$  is in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ , we can prove that the tangent map is represented by

$$d_0 P^\mu[\nu](z) = -\frac{6}{\pi} (f_{\mu+0})_z(z)^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\nu(\zeta)}{(f_{\mu+0}(z) - f_{\mu+0}(\zeta))^4} (f_{\mu+0})_z(\zeta)^2$$

in the same manner as the calculation for Bers embedding. Since the above equation is pushed by  $f^\mu$ , let us begin by calculating the dimension of the image of  $d_0 P^0$ :

$$d_0 P^0 : T_0(\text{Bel}(R_1)) \rightarrow T_{[0]}(\text{Teich}(R)).$$

As the kernel of  $d_0 P^0$  is expressed by

$$N(R_1, R) := \left\{ \nu \in L^\infty(R_1) \left| \int \nu \varphi = 0 \ (\forall \varphi \in Q(R_1, R)) \right. \right\},$$

where

$$Q(R_1, R) := \{\varphi \in Q(R_1) \mid \exists \Phi \in Q(R) \text{ s.t. } \Phi|_{R_1} = \varphi\}.$$

Therefore, we only need to compute the dimension of

$$T_0(\text{Bel}(R_1))/N(R_1, R) = L^\infty(R_1)/N(R_1, R).$$

To compute the dimension of the above space, we use the well-known fact:

**Theorem 5.2** ([IT, Theorem 7.5]). *The mapping  $\Lambda : \text{Bel}(R_1) \rightarrow Q^*(R_1)$  given by  $\Lambda_\mu$ :*

$$\Lambda_\mu : Q(R_1) \ni \varphi \mapsto \int \mu \varphi \in \mathbb{C}$$

*induces an isomorphism of  $L^\infty(R_1)/N(R_1)$  onto  $Q^*(R_1)$ , where*

$$N(R_1) := \left\{ \mu \in L^\infty(R_1) \mid \int \varphi \mu \text{ } (\forall \varphi \in Q(R_1)) \right\}.$$

Note that  $N(R_1, R)$  is a closed subspace in  $N(R_1)$ , and  $Q(R_1, R)$  is a closed subspace in  $Q(R_1)$ . Hence, there exists the natural surjection  $\iota^*$  from  $Q^*(R_1)$  to  $Q^*(R_1, R)$ . As above, from the universal property of the cokernel and the Hahn–Banach theorem, we get the following isomorphism in the sense of linear spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(R_1, R)/N(R_1) & \hookrightarrow & L^\infty(R_1)/N(R_1) \xrightarrow{\text{coker}} L^\infty(R_1)/N(R_1, R) \\ \downarrow \cong \Lambda & & \downarrow \cong \tilde{\Lambda} \\ Q^*(R_1) & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & Q^*(R_1, R) \end{array}$$

Hence, to prove that the image of  $P^0$  is infinite-dimensional, we show that  $\dim Q(R_1, R) = \infty$  and  $Q(R_1, R)$  becomes a Banach space. That the dimension of  $Q(R_1, R)$  is infinity follows immediately from the fact that each  $\varphi \in Q(R_1, R)$  has the unique extension of  $\varphi$  to  $R$ . That is the following map is linear isomorphisms:

$$\eta : Q(R) \ni \Phi \mapsto \Phi|_{R_1} \in Q(R_1, R)$$

Moreover, from the Open Mapping theorem in Functional analysis, we only prove that the inverse of  $\eta$  is a bounded linear operator.

**Proposition 5.3.** *If  $R$  satisfies  $\text{short}(R) > 0$ , then there is a constant  $C$  depending on  $R$  such that,*

$$(\|\Phi\|_{L^1(R_1)} =) \int_R |\Phi| \leq C(R) \int_{R_1} |\Phi|,$$

*for all integrable quadratic differentials  $\Phi$  on  $R$ .*

The following lemma is a crucial complement to the proof of Proposition 5.3.

**Lemma 5.4** (Puddings Lemma). *Let  $\mathbb{A} = \{1 < |z| < R\}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = \{r_1 < |z| < r_2\}$  with  $1 < r_1 < r_2 < R$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(r_1, r_2, R) > 0$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathbb{A}})},$$

for each a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof:* Let  $1 < r_0 < r_1$  and  $r_2 < r_3 < R$ . Then, we get, for each  $z = re^{i\theta}$  in  $\mathbb{D}_{r_2} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D}_{r_1})$ ,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}_\alpha + \partial \mathbb{D}_\beta} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta,$$

where  $r_0 < \beta < r_1, r_3 < \alpha < R$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha \frac{|f(\alpha e^{i\eta})|}{|\alpha e^{i\eta} - re^{i\theta}|} d\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \beta \frac{|f(\beta e^{i\eta})|}{|\beta e^{i\eta} - re^{i\theta}|} d\eta, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\alpha - r} \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha |f(\alpha e^{i\eta})| d\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{r - \beta} \int_0^{2\pi} \beta |f(\beta e^{i\eta})| d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Next, both sides are multiplied by  $r$  and integrated.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} |f(z)| r dr &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r}{\alpha - r} dr \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha |f(\alpha e^{i\eta})| d\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r}{r - \beta} dr \int_0^{2\pi} \beta |f(\beta e^{i\eta})| d\eta, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r}{r_3 - r} dr \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha |f(\alpha e^{i\eta})| d\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r}{r - r_0} dr \int_0^{2\pi} \beta |f(\beta e^{i\eta})| d\eta, \\ &\leq \frac{C(r_1, r_2, r_3)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha |f(\alpha e^{i\eta})| d\eta + \frac{C(r_0, r_1, r_2)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \beta |f(\beta e^{i\eta})| d\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$C(r_1, r_2, r_3) := \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r}{r_3 - r} dr, \quad C(r_0, r_1, r_2) := \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r}{r - r_0} dr.$$

Third, integrate (9) from 0 to  $2\pi$  for  $\theta$ .

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})} \leq C(r_1, r_2, r_3) \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha |f(\alpha e^{i\eta})| d\eta + C(r_0, r_1, r_2) \int_0^{2\pi} \beta |f(\beta e^{i\eta})| d\eta. \quad (10)$$

Finally, integrate (10) from  $r_3$  to  $R$  with respect to  $\alpha$  and from  $r_0$  to  $r_1$  with respect to  $\beta$ , we get

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})} \leq (C(r_1, r_2, r_3)(r_1 - r_0) + C(r_0, r_1, r_2)(R - r_3)) \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathbb{A}})}.$$

To make a constant  $C$  that depends on  $1, r_1, r_2$ , and  $R$ , we can take  $r_0$  and  $r_3$  as, for example,  $\frac{1+r_1}{2}$  and  $\frac{r_2+R}{2}$ , respectively. Further calculations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & C(r_1, r_2, r_3)(r_1 - r_0) + C(r_0, r_1, r_2)(R - r_3) \\ &= -\frac{r_1 - 1}{2} \cdot \frac{r_2 + R}{2} \log \frac{r_3 - r_2}{r_3 - r_1} + \frac{R - r_2}{2} \cdot \frac{1 + r_1}{2} \log \frac{r_2 - r_0}{r_1 - r_0} \\ &\leq R^2 \log \frac{2r_2 - 1 - r_1}{2r_1 - 1 - r_1} \leq R^2 \log \frac{2r_2}{r_1 - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $C := R^2 \log \frac{2r_2}{r_1 - 1}$ . □

**Remark 5.5.** The constant  $C$  in the above Proposition 5.4 depends on the modulus of the annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  and the annulus  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$  and their relative positions. For example, if the core curves of  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$  are coincident, we know that it depends on the modulus of each of them.

*Proof* (proof of Propotion 5.3): For each  $m$ , let  $C_m$  be the collar of  $\alpha_m$ , then  $R_1$  contains  $C_m \setminus \tilde{C}_m$ . From Proposition 5.4, by using local coordinates if necessary, there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^1(\tilde{C}_j)} \leq C \|\Phi\|_{L^1(C_j \setminus \tilde{C}_j)},$$

for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R |\Phi| &= \left( \int_{R_1} + \int_{R_2} \right) |\Phi| = \int_{R_1} |\Phi| + \sum_j \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |\Phi|, \\ &\leq \int_{R_1} |\Phi| + C \sum_j \int_{D_j \setminus \tilde{D}_j} |\Phi| = \int_{R_1} |\Phi| + C \int_{\bigcup D_j \setminus \tilde{D}_j} |\Phi|, \\ &\leq \int_{R_1} |\Phi| + C \int_{R_1} |\Phi| = (C + 1) \int_{R_1} |\Phi|. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the following, we can prove

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $R$  be a Riemann Surface with a homotopically independent set  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . If  $R$  satisfies  $\text{short}(R) > 0$ , then*

$$P^0 : \text{Bel}(R_1) \ni \tau \mapsto S(f_{0+\tau}) \in B(R)$$

*has an infinite-dimensional image, where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are defined above.*

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.



## 6 Comparison with previous studies

**Theorem 6.1** ([Yam, Theorem 3.1]). *Let  $R \cong \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$  be a Riemann surface whose Fuchsian group is finitely generated Fuchsian group of the second kind. If  $\psi \in \partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(S)$  is not a cusp, then there exists a finitely generated quasi-Fuchsian group of the first kind  $G$  such that the limit set of  $G$  contains the limit set of  $\chi_\psi(\Gamma)$ .*

Theorem 6.1 is close to Theorem 5.1, but the assumptions of the second kind and finite generation are different. In the case of the second kind, the free boundary part creates a gap in the limit set, and by connecting it appropriately, a first-kind finitely generated quasi-Fuchsian group is constructed.

Compare the following assertions about finite type Riemann surfaces and infinite generated Fuchs groups. In an earlier work [Tan1], the following assertion was considered:

Let  $R$  be a Riemann surface such that the Fuchsian group of  $R$  is infinitely generated of the first kind. Then, there exists a 1-dimensional analytic subset in  $\partial_{\text{Bers}} \text{Teich}(R)$  consisting of Kleinian groups whose limit set is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . That is, such Kleinian groups are David-Fuchsian b-groups.

The statement is similar to our Theorem 5.1, but in the present article, we have chosen a different way of proof to establish Theorem 5.1. The main reason is that a claim [Tan1, Proposition 4.4] on the existence of non-tangential limits for holomorphic maps from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\text{Teich}(R)$ , which was utilized in the earlier work, seems to have a counterexample. (Therefore, the author is not sure about how to prove the aforementioned statement at the present moment.)

**Eg. 6.2.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Teich}(\mathbb{D})$  be a holomorphic map into the universal Teichmüller space defined by  $f(\lambda) = [\lambda]$ . Here,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$  is identified with the function on  $\mathbb{D}$  taking constant value  $\lambda$ . Then  $f$  does not have a non-tangential limit at any point in  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ .*

*Proof:* For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ , the homeomorphism  $f_\lambda$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  correspond to  $\lambda$  the quasiconformal map  $z \mapsto z + \lambda\bar{z}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $z \mapsto z + \lambda/z$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})$ . Hence its schwarzian derivative  $S(f_\lambda)$  is

$$\frac{-6\lambda}{(z^2 - \lambda)^2}.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(f_\lambda) - S(f_1)\|_{B(\mathbb{D})} &= \sup_{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})} |(S(f_\lambda) - S(f_1))(|z|^2 - 1)^2| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})} \left| \left( \frac{-6\lambda}{(z^2 - \lambda)^2} - \frac{-6}{(z^2 - 1)^2} \right) (|z|^2 - 1)^2 \right| \\ &= 6 \sup_{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})} \left| \left( \frac{\lambda}{(z^2 - \lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{(z^2 - 1)^2} \right) (|z|^2 - 1)^2 \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 6 \sup_{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})} \left| \left( \frac{\lambda(z^2 - 1)^2 - (z^2 - \lambda)^2}{(z^2 - \lambda)^2(z^2 - 1)^2} \right) (|z|^2 - 1)^2 \right| \\
&= 6 \sup_{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})} \left| \left( \frac{(\lambda - 1)(z^4 - \lambda)}{(z^2 - \lambda)^2(z^2 - 1)^2} \right) (|z|^2 - 1)^2 \right| \\
&= 6|\lambda - 1| \sup_{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{D})} \left| \frac{z^4 - \lambda}{(z^2 - \lambda)^2} \right| \left| \frac{(|z|^2 - 1)^2}{(z^2 - 1)^2} \right| \\
&\geq 6|\lambda - 1| \sup_{x > 1} \left| \frac{x^4 - \lambda}{(x^2 - \lambda)^2} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $h_\lambda(x) := \frac{x^4 - \lambda}{(x^2 - \lambda)^2}$  ( $\lambda \in [0, 1), x \in (1, \infty)$ ) is bounded below by 6. Indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned}
h'_\lambda(x) &= \frac{4x^3(x^2 - \lambda)^2 - 4x(x^4 - \lambda)(x^2 - \lambda)}{(x^2 - \lambda)^4} \\
&= \frac{4\lambda x(1 - x^2)}{(x^2 - \lambda)^3},
\end{aligned}$$

$h_\lambda$  has extrema at  $x = 0, \pm 1$ . From  $h'(x) < 0$  ( $\forall x > 1$ ), it follows that  $h_\lambda$  has maximum value at  $x = 1$ , hence

$$\sup_{x > 1} |h_\lambda(x)| \geq 6|\lambda - 1| \sup_{x > 1} = |h_\lambda(1)| = \left| \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right|.$$

Summing up the above,

$$\|S(f_\lambda) - S(f_1)\|_{B(\mathbb{D})} \geq 6|\lambda - 1| \sup_{x > 1} \left| \frac{x^4 - \lambda}{(x^2 - \lambda)^2} \right| = 6.$$

Finally, for each  $e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ , composing the rotation  $z \mapsto e^{-i\theta}z$  with  $f_{re^{i\theta}}$ , we prove that

$$\|S(f_{re^{i\theta}}) - S(f_{e^{i\theta}})\|_{B(\mathbb{D})} \geq 6$$

in the same manner. □

## References

- [Abi] W. Abikoff, On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups III, *Acta Math.*, **134** (1975), 211–234.
- [AIM] Kari Astala, Tadeusz Iwaniec, and Gaven Martin, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations and Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Ahl] Lars V. Ahlfors, C. J. Earle, I. Kra, M. Shishikura and J. H. Hubbard, *Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings* Second Edition (American Mathematical Society, 2006)

- 
- [Ber] L. Bers, On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups I, *Ann. of Math.* **91** (1970), 570–600.
- [Dav] G. David, Solutions de l’équation de Beltrami avec  $\|\mu\| = 1$ , *Acta Math.* **173**(1994) 37–60.
- [Gar] Frederick. P. Gardiner, *Teichmüller Theory and quadratic differentials*, John Wiley and Sons, 1987.
- [Gre] L. Greenberg, Fundamental polyhedra for Kleinian groups, *Ann. of Math.*, **84**(1966), 433–441
- [Hub] J. H. Hubbard, *Teichmüller Theory and Applications to Geometry, Topology, and Dynamics*. Vol. 1. Matrix Editions, Ithaca, NY, 2006.
- [IT] Y. Iwayoshi and M. Taniguchi, *An introduction to Teichmüller spaces*, Springer – Verlag, Tokyo, 1992.
- [Mas] B. Maskit, On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups II, *Ann. of Math.* **91** (1970), 607–639.
- [McM] C. McMullen, Cusps are dense, *Ann of Math. (2)* **133** (1991), no. 1, 217–247.
- [Shi1] H. Shiga, On analytic and geometric properties of Teichmüller spaces, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **24** (1984), 441–452.
- [Shi2] H. Shiga, Characterization of quasidisks and Teichmüller spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **37** (1985), 541–552.
- [Tan1] H. Tanigawa, Holomorphic families of geodesic discs in infinite dimensional Teichmüller spaces, *Nagoya Math. J.* **127** (1992), 117–128.
- [Tan2] H. Tanigawa, Holomorphic mappings into Teichmüller spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993), 71–78.
- [Yam] H. Yamamoto, Boundaries of the Teichmüller spaces of finitely generated Fuchsian groups of the second kind, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, Vol. **35**(1983), No. 2, 307–321.
- [Wol] S. A. Wolpert, The length spectra as moduli for compact Riemann Surfaces, *Ann. of Math.* (2), **109** (1979), 323–351.

Ryo Matsuda,  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail* : matsuda.ryou.82c@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp