

Local Sum Rules for 5D Braneworlds

G. Alencar^a and R. N. Costa Filho^a
*Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil**

M. Gogberashvili
Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, 3 Chavchavadze Avenue, Tbilisi 0179, Georgia[†]

This study investigates the consistency of field localization in 5D braneworld scenarios. We derive tensorial consistency relations, Local Sum Rules, which impose constraints on braneworld models featuring quasi-localized bulk fields. We apply these Local Sum Rules to simple cases involving massless scalar, vector, and spinor fields. Our analysis reveals that, when accounting for the effects of the Einstein equations on the bulk field, the free vector and spinor modes cannot be localized on the brane without the introduction of special mechanisms.

PACS numbers: 64.60.ah, 64.60.al, 89.75.Da

The scenario wherein our world is associated with a brane embedded in a higher-dimensional space-time with non-factorizable geometry [1–4] has garnered significant interest in addressing several open questions in modern physics (see reviews [5, 6]). A fundamental requirement for realizing the braneworld concept is the localization of various matter fields on the brane. However, achieving exact localization of zero modes is not always feasible in the presence of gravity [7]. To identify realistic braneworld scenarios featuring quasi-localized modes, some authors have introduced several consistency conditions [9–11].

The aim of this paper is to investigate tensorial consistency conditions, Local Sum Rules, for braneworld models with zero modes of matter fields dependent on extra dimensions. These rules impose stringent conditions on bulk fields; for instance, it is demonstrated that free gauge and fermion fields cannot reside in the bulk.

Consider the brane metric in a 5D bulk spacetime with the signature $(-, +, +, +, +)$,

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(y)} g_{\alpha\beta}(x^\gamma) dx^\alpha dx^\beta + dy^2, \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 0, 1, 2, 3) \quad (1)$$

where y denotes the space-like extra dimension and Greek letters numerate 4D coordinates. For simplicity, in this paper, we consider the case with one space-like extra dimension, but the generalization for models with more dimensions is straightforward. For the metric *ansatz* (1), the 5D Einstein tensor and the fifth component of the Ricci tensor yield:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(5)}G_{\mu\nu} &= {}^{(4)}G_{\mu\nu} + 3(A'' + 2A'^2) e^{2A} g_{\mu\nu}, \\ {}^{(5)}R_{55} &= -4(A'' + A'^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where ${}^{(4)}G_{\mu\nu}$ is the 4D Einstein tensor, and primes stand for derivatives with respect to y .

If we multiply the first relation of (2) by $-(1-n)e^{(n-2)A}$ (where n is a constant) and sum it with the second one, multiplied by $3(n-2)/4$, we find:

$$-(1-n)e^{nA} \left({}^{(5)}G_{\mu\nu} - {}^{(4)}G_{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{3}{4}(n-2)e^{nA} g_{\mu\nu} R_{55} = 3(A'e^{nA})' g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3)$$

Using the 5D Einstein equations,

$${}^{(5)}G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_5 T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where G_5 denotes the bulk gravitational constant, the relation (3) can be written in the form:

$$(1-n){}^{(4)}G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_5(1-n)T_{\mu\nu} + (n-2)\pi G_5 e^{2A} (2T_\alpha^\alpha - 4T_5^5) g_{\mu\nu} + 3(A'' + nA'^2) e^{2A} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

which we call the Local Sum Rules. In general, energy-momentum tensors of matter fields, $T_{\mu\nu}$, depend on all 5D coordinates. Then the tensorial relation (5) impose strict conditions for the braneworld models with quasi-localized (y -dependent) bulk fields.

For $n = 1$ the Local Sum Rules (5) yield:

$$A'' + A'^2 = \frac{2\pi G_5}{3} (T_\mu^\mu - 2T_5^5). \quad (6)$$

Using this relation, from (5) for $n = 0$ we find:

$${}^{(4)}G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_5 T_{\mu\nu} - \pi G_5 e^{2A} (2T_\alpha{}^\alpha - 4T_5{}^5) g_{\mu\nu} - 3A'^2 e^{2A} g_{\mu\nu} . \quad (7)$$

Since the left-hand side of (7) depends only on 4D coordinates x^ν , the right-hand side cannot depend on y either. Thus, we have three possibilities:

- If $T_{\mu\nu}$ depends only on x^ν , then $T_\alpha{}^\alpha = e^{-2A} T_\alpha{}^\alpha(x^\nu)$ and $T_5{}^5 = e^{-2A} T_5{}^5(x^\nu)$. However, this possibility is discarded since Equation (6) is not satisfied;
- If both $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $T_5{}^5$ depend on y , then the right-hand side of (7) must have the form $\Lambda_b g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha)$, where:

$$\Lambda_b = 4\pi G_5 e^{2A} T_5{}^5 + 3A'^2 e^{2A} \quad (8)$$

can be interpreted as the cosmological constant on the brane. It can be verified that the vacuum solution of both RS models satisfies this condition for $\Lambda_b = 0$;

- The last possibility involves a combination of the above two cases. Here, $T_{\mu\nu}$ comprises two parts: a vacuum solution ${}^{(v)}T_{\mu\nu}$ satisfying the constraints (6) and (8), and another part ${}^{(b)}T_{\mu\nu}$ which depends only on 4D coordinates x^ν [11]. In this case, from (6), we have:

$${}^{(b)}T_\mu{}^\mu = 2 {}^{(b)}T_5{}^5 , \quad (9)$$

and from (7), we obtain the 4D effective Einstein equations:

$${}^{(4)}G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_5 T_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_b g_{\mu\nu} . \quad (10)$$

It's worth noting that the contraction of the Local Sum Rules (5) leads to the scalar consistency condition, as considered in [9, 10]:

$$(A' e^{nA})' = \frac{2\pi G_5}{3} e^{nA} [T_\mu{}^\mu + (2n - 4)T_5{}^5] - \frac{(1 - n)}{12} e^{(n-2)A} R , \quad (11)$$

where R represents the 4D Ricci scalar. If we consider a compact internal space without boundary with the S^1/Z_2 orbifold $y \in [-y_\pi, y_\pi]$, and a smooth warp function $A(y)$, the integral of the left-hand side of (11) vanishes, leading to:

$$\oint e^{nA} [T_\mu{}^\mu + (2n - 4)T_5{}^5] = \frac{(1 - n)}{8\pi G_5} \oint e^{(n-2)A} R , \quad (12)$$

which we refer to as "Global Sum Rules". The Global Sum Rule (12) is obtained by integrating (11) over the extra dimension y and implies averaging. It's expected that the exact constraint (11) – the Local Sum Rule – is stronger than an average one [11].

Let's delve into the implications of the constraint (9) derived from the tensorial consistency conditions (5). This constraint is crucial for understanding the behavior of energy-momentum tensors of bulk fields localized over the brane. The constraint (9) asserts that any bulk field's energy-momentum tensor must satisfy a specific relationship for localization over the brane. In warped spaces, it implies that if a bulk action can produce the vacuum AdS solution, then the energy-momentum tensor cannot depend on the extra dimension y . Moreover, (9) provides insight into the relationship between the trace $T_\mu{}^\mu$ and the $T_5{}^5$ component of the energy-momentum tensor. Specifically, it indicates that the trace $T_\mu{}^\mu$ is uniquely determined by the $T_5{}^5$ component. This relationship sheds light on the interplay between different components of the energy-momentum tensor in the context of braneworld models.

Let's explore some specific cases to better understand these implications.

Scalar Fields: Let's consider the case of a free scalar field Φ with the following energy-momentum tensor components:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \Phi \partial_\beta \Phi + e^{2A} \Phi'^2) , \\ T_{55} &= \frac{1}{2} \Phi'^2 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2A} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \Phi \partial_\beta \Phi , \\ T_\alpha{}^\alpha &= -e^{-2A} \partial^\alpha \Phi \partial_\alpha \Phi - 2\Phi'^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

From these expressions, applying the constraint (9) leads to:

$${}^{(b)}T_{\mu}{}^{\mu} - 2 {}^{(b)}T_5{}^5 = \Phi'^2 = 0 . \quad (14)$$

This outcome, $\Phi' = 0$, indicates that the energy-momentum tensor components must solely depend on x^ν , in line with the requirement imposed by the constraint. Moreover, this solution satisfies the 5D Klein-Gordon equations:

$$\partial_M [\sqrt{-g} g^{MN} \partial_N \Phi(x^\nu, y)] = 0 . \quad (M, N = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5) \quad (15)$$

By separating the variables $\Phi(x^N) = \xi(y)\phi(x^\nu)$, we find:

$$\frac{1}{\phi(x^\nu)} \square \phi(x^\nu) + \frac{e^{-2A}}{\xi(y)} [e^{4A} \xi'(y)]' = 0 , \quad (16)$$

where $\xi(y) = \text{constant}$ is a solution, yielding $\square \phi(x^\nu) = 0$. Hence, for free scalar fields, the constraint derived from the Local Sum Rules implies that the scalar field must remain constant along the extra dimension. This conclusion, consistent with the field equations, suggests that scalar fields cannot be localized in the bulk.

Gauge Fields: Let's delve into the implications of the constraint (9) for gauge fields. For the energy-momentum tensor of a 5D gauge field, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= e^{-2A} g^{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\alpha} F_{\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F^{MN} F_{MN} , \\ T_{\mu}{}^{\mu} &= -F^{\mu 5} F_{\mu 5} , \\ T_5{}^5 &= \frac{1}{2} F^{\mu 5} F_{\mu 5} - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Upon separating the variables for the 5D gauge field, $B_\mu = \xi(y) \mathbb{B}_\mu(x^\nu)$, from the constraint that $T_{\mu\nu}$ must depend only on x^ν , it follows that the only consistent solution is given by:

$$\xi(y) \sim e^A . \quad (18)$$

Then, from the constraint (9), we obtain:

$$F^{\mu 5} F_{\mu 5} = \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} . \quad (19)$$

Now, let's consider the 5D equations of motion:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_M (\sqrt{-g} g^{MN} g^{PQ} F_{MQ}) = 0 . \quad (20)$$

For the μ component of this equation, we find:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu [\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (x^\nu) F_{\mu\nu}] + \frac{\xi''}{\xi^2} \mathbb{B}_\mu = 0 . \quad (21)$$

The solution corresponding to the vector field zero mode, commonly used in the literature, is given by $\xi = \text{constant}$. However, this is not consistent with our constraint (18), for which we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu [\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (x^\nu) F_{\mu\nu}] + (A'^2 + A'') \mathbb{B}_\mu = 0 . \quad (22)$$

This equation cannot be satisfied since the derivatives of the warp function, A'' , lead to a delta function. Therefore, we conclude that the consideration of y -dependent gauge fields is not consistent with the 5D RS-like models. This reinforces, more directly, the results of [8]. Overall, our analysis reveals that consistent localization of gauge fields on the brane is not possible within the considered framework. The derived constraint indicates that the gauge field must be proportional to the warp factor, posing a challenge for localization.

Fermion Fields: Let's explore the implications of the constraint (9) for fermion fields. For 5D gamma matrices chosen in the representation: $\Gamma^\mu = e^{-A} \gamma^\mu$, $\Gamma^5 = -i\gamma^5$, the energy-momentum tensor of free fermions Ψ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= 2ie^{-A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{(\mu} \nabla_{\nu)} \Psi , \\ T_{55} &= 4i \bar{\Psi} \gamma_5 \nabla_5 \Psi . \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Upon separating the variables, $\Psi = \xi(y)\psi(x^\nu)$, we see that from the constraint that $T_{\mu\nu}$ must depend only on x^ν , the only consistent solution is given by:

$$\xi \sim e^{A/2} . \quad (24)$$

Under the validity of Dirac's equation, it is known that the trace of the energy-momentum tensor of fermions is zero. For the 5D case, this property leads to the condition:

$$T_\mu{}^\mu = -T_5{}^5 . \quad (25)$$

Then, our consistency condition (9) is satisfied only for:

$$T_\mu{}^\mu = T_5{}^5 = 0 , \quad (26)$$

which is equivalent to the system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\mu \nabla^\mu \psi &= 0 , \\ \xi' + 2A'\xi &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The solution of the second equation is $\psi \sim e^{-2A}$, which is not compatible with (24). Therefore, free bulk fermion fields are not allowed in all 5D RS-type models. Similar to gauge fields, free bulk fermion fields also face localization challenges. The consistency condition derived from the Local Sum Rules implies that fermion fields cannot be localized on the brane within the studied setup.

In summary, this paper provides insights into the localization properties of matter fields in 5D braneworld scenarios, emphasizing the intricate interplay between geometry, gravity, and the dynamics of fields. We have derived tensorial consistency relations, Local Sum Rules, which impose constraints on braneworld models featuring quasi-localized (y -dependent) bulk fields. By applying these Local Sum Rules to simple cases involving massless spin 0, 1/2, and 1 fields, we have shown that the effects of the Einstein equations on the bulk field prevent the free vector and spinor modes from being localized on the brane, unless specific mechanisms are introduced. The constraints obtained from our analysis provide valuable insights for the development of realistic braneworld models and contribute to our understanding of fundamental physics beyond the standard paradigm. Future research should explore the applicability of Local Sum Rules to scenarios involving higher dimensions and models with interacting bulk fields.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge the financial support provided by Fundação Cearense de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (FUNCAP), the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and FUNCAP/CNPq/PRONEM.

* geova@fisica.ufc.br

† gogber@gmail.com

- [1] M. Gogberashvili, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **11** (2002) 1635, doi: 10.1142/S0218271802002992 [arXiv: hep-ph/9812296].
- [2] M. Gogberashvili, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14** (1999) 2025, doi: 10.1142/S021773239900208X [arXiv: hep-ph/9904383].
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370 [arXiv: hep-ph/9905221].
- [4] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690 [arXiv: hep-th/9906064].
- [5] R. Maartens and K. Koyama, Living Rev. Rel. **13** (2010) 5, doi: 10.12942/lrr-2010-5 [arXiv: 1004.3962 [hep-th]].
- [6] S. Raychaudhuri and K. Sridhar *Particle Physics of Brane Worlds and Extra Dimensions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2016).
- [7] S. Fichet, JHEP **04** (2020) 016, doi: 10.1007/JHEP04(2020)016 [arXiv: 1912.12316 [hep-th]].
- [8] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Lett. B **473** (2000) 43, doi: 10.1016/S0370-2693(99)01430-6 [arXiv: hep-ph/9911262].
- [9] G. W. Gibbons, R. Kallosh and A. D. Linde, JHEP **01** (2001) 022, doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2001/01/022 [arXiv: hep-th/0011225].
- [10] F. Leblond, R. C. Myers and D. J. Winters, JHEP **07** (2001) 031, doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2001/07/031 [arXiv: hep-th/0106140].
- [11] L. F. F. Freitas, G. Alencar and R. R. Landim, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) 432, doi: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7975-x [arXiv: 2001.01267 [hep-th]].