NON-ORIENTABLE 4-GENUS OF TORUS KNOTS

MEGAN FAIRCHILD, HAILEY JAY GARCIA, JAKE MURPHY, HANNAH PERCLE

ABSTRACT. The non-orientable 4-genus of a knot K in S^3 , denoted $\gamma_4(K)$, measures the minimum genus of a non-orientable surface in B^4 bounded by K. We compute bounds for the non-orientable 4-genus of knots $T_{5,q}$ and $T_{6,q}$, extending previous research. Additionally, we provide a generalized, non-recursive formula for $d(S^3_{-1}(T_{p,q}))$, the *d*-invariant of -1-surgery on torus knots.

1 INTRODUCTION

Given a knot K in S^3 , it is a well-studied problem to determine the minimum genus of an orientable surface in B^4 with boundary K. This is called the orientable 4-genus of K and was first studied by Fox and Milnor when they defined the slice knot in 1958, that is, knots in S^3 that bound a smoothly embedded disk in B^4 . We cite their 1966 paper [8], as the 1958 reference is from unpublished work. Since then, there has been steady progress in determining the orientable 4-genus of knots in S^3 . In 2000, Murakami and Yasuhara [20] introduced the non-orientable four genus, denoted $\gamma_4(K)$. This is defined as the minimum genus of a non-orientable surface F properly embedded in B^4 so that the boundary of F is the knot K.

The non-orientable 4-genus of knots with 8 or 9 crossings was computed by Jabuka and Kelly [15]. Ghanbarian in [9] expanded this to knots with 10 crossings, and the first author determined the non-orientable 4-genus of non-alternating 11 crossing knots [6]. Additionally, the non-orientable 4-genus of many double twist knots has been computed by Hoste, Shanahan, and Van Cott in [14]. The Milnor conjecture, proved by Kronheimer and Mrowka in 1993 [16], states that the smooth 4-genus of a torus knot $T_{p,q}$ is $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(q-1)$. Jabuka and Van Cott considered the non-orientable analog of the Milnor conjecture in [27] and showed it does not hold.

In this paper, we focus on the non-orientable 4-genus of torus knots. These knots are denoted $T_{p,q}$, where p and q are relatively prime. The non-orientable 4-genus of torus knots has been computed for all knots $T_{2,q}$ and $T_{3,q}$ by Allen [2], and most knots $T_{4,q}$ by Binns, Kang, Simone, Truöl, and Sabloff [4, 26]. We aim to extend this research by computing the non-orientable 4-genus for torus knots $T_{5,q}$ and $T_{6,q}$. We explore various techniques in calculating $\gamma_4(T_{p,q})$ via computation of other knot invariants. One such invariant is the *d*-invariant from Heegaard Floer homology, which is computable by a recursive formula using the Alexander polynomial given in [3, 23]. We update this to provide a general formula the *d*-invariant of torus knots.

Theorem 1.1. For a torus knot $T_{p,q}$ where p < q,

$$d(S_{-1}^{3}(T_{p,q})) = 2\left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q - p - 1}{2p} \right\rfloor\right)$$

Knot invariants such as the d-invariant, signature, and Arf invariant provide lower bounds for the non-orientable 4-genus [4], and we computed these to obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.2. For torus knots $T_{6,q}$, when $q \neq 1$,

(i) if $q \equiv 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \in \{2,3\}$, (ii) if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \in \{2,3\}$ if $q \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, otherwise $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \in \{1,2,3\}$.

Other techniques to finding the non-orientable 4 genus include band surgery and examining the linking form on the double branch cover, which are explored to give the following result.

Theorem 1.3. For torus knots $T_{5,q}$,

(i) If $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 1$, (*ii*) If $q \equiv 4, 6, 9 \pmod{10}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 2$. (*iii*) If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) \in \{1, 2\}$.

Acknowledgements. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. 2231492 and Award No. 1907654. We thank Shea Vela-Vick and Angela Wu for helpful conversations.

BACKGROUND $\mathbf{2}$

To begin, we review knot invariants and examine the lower bounds on the non-orientable 4 genus coming from knot invariants. It should be noted that the smallest non-orientable 4 genus for a knot K in S^3 is $\gamma_4(K) = 1$ meaning K bounds a Möbius band. We focus on torus knots $T_{p,q}$ in our paper, which are knots which smoothly embed on the torus, twisting p times around the meridian and q times around the longitude. Note that $T_{p,q} = T_{q,p}$.

Knot Invariants $\mathbf{2.1}$

The signature of a knot $\sigma(K)$ is defined to be the signature of the knot's Seifert matrix added to its transpose, $\sigma(V+V^t)$ [17]. Gordan, Litherland, and Murasugi provided a recursive formula for calculating the signature of torus knots, which we restate here:

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 5.2 in [13]). Let p, q > 0. Note $\sigma(p, q) = \sigma(T_{-p,q}) = -\sigma(T_{p,q})$.

(*i*) If 2q < p: • If q is odd, $\sigma(p,q) = \sigma(p-2q,q) + q^2 - 1$, • if q is even, $\sigma(p,q) = \sigma(p-2q,q) + q^2$. (ii) If $q \le p \le 2q$: (iii) $\sigma(2q,q) = 2q$. • If q is odd, $\sigma(p,q) = -\sigma(2q-p,q) + q^2 - 1$, • if q is even, $\sigma(p,q) = -\sigma(2q-p,q) + q^2 - 2$. (iii) $\sigma(2q,q) = q^2$, $\sigma(p,1) = 0$, $\sigma(p,2) = p - 1$, $\sigma(p,q) = \sigma(q,p)$.

The Arf invariant of a knot is denoted Arf(K) and is a concordance invariant in \mathbb{Z}_2 and calculated using the Seifert form of a knot [17]. For torus knots, the Arf invariant is straightforward.

Remark 2.2 (Remark 2.2 in [4]). For odd q, $\operatorname{Arf}(T(p,q)) = \begin{cases} 0 & p \text{ odd or } q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 1 & p \text{ even and } q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$

The following proposition from Yasuhara [28] was proved and used in the work of Gilmer and Livingston [10], and seen in application by Jabuka and Kelly [15], Ghanbarian [9], and the first author [6].

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 5.1 in [28]). Given a knot K in S^3 , if $\sigma(K) + 4 \operatorname{Arf}(K) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, then $\gamma_4(K) \geq 2$.

The Upsilon invariant of a knot K, $\Upsilon_K(t)$ for $t \in [0,2]$, is a powerful tool from knot Floer homology. We will not discuss knot Floer homology, but the interested reader is referred to the overview by Ozváth and Szabó [24]. We denote $v(K) = \Upsilon_K(1)$ and note this gives the Upsilon invariant in the non-orientable case. Specifically, unoriented knot Floer homology is defined and explored in detail by Ozsváth, Stipsicz, and Szabó in [22]. For torus knots, we will denote $v(T_{p,q})$ as v(p,q). Ozsváth, Stipsicz, and Szabó give us a recursive formula to calculate the generic upsilon function for torus knots in [21], which we restate here for the specific case of t = 1.

Proposition 2.4 (Proposition 6.3 in [21]). For $T_{p,q}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 \in \left[\frac{2i}{p}, \frac{2i+1}{p}\right]$,

(2.1)
$$v(p, p+1) = -i(i+1) - \frac{1}{2}p(p-1-2i).$$

We also have the following recursive equation from Feller and Krcatovich,

Proposition 2.5 (Proposition 2.2 in [7]). For p < q,

(2.2)
$$v(p,q) = v(p,q-p) + v(p,p+1).$$

From the signature and upsilon invariant, we get the following lower bound on γ_4 .

Theorem 2.6 (Theorem 1.2 in [22]). For a knot K in S^3 ,

$$\left|\upsilon(K) + \frac{\sigma(K)}{2}\right| \le \gamma_4(K)$$

Another invariant which comes from knot Floer homology is the *d*-invariant, which is denoted $d(S_{-1}^3(K))$ for -1-surgery on a knot *K*. An interested reader is referred to [23] in which Ozváth and Szabó discuss the construction of this invariant and provide a method to compute it for torus knots using the Alexander polynomial. In [3], Batson discusses how to use the *d*-invariant to create a lower bound for the non-orientable 4-genus.

The Alexander polynomial of a knot is a Laurent polynomial and is denoted $\Delta_K(t)$. The formal definition using crossing relations and resolutions (Skein relations) can be found in many introduction to knot theory texts, but we refer our reader to [1]. One important thing to note for this paper is the Alexander polynomial of a knot is symmetric in the sense that $\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_K(t^{-1})$.

For ease of notation, we denote the Alexander polynomial of $T_{p,q}$ by $\Delta_{p,q}(t)$. The Alexander polynomial of a torus knot can be computed from the following equation [3].

(2.3)
$$\Delta_{p,q}(t) = t^{-(p-1)(q-1)/2} \frac{(1-t)(1-t^{pq})}{(1-t^p)(1-t^q)}$$

Note that the $t^{-(p-1)(q-1)/2}$ term in equation 2.3 is a symmetrizing term. That is, it has no effect on the coefficients of the polynomial, but shifts the powers of t so that $\Delta_{p,q}$ is symmetric. For ease of calculations, we will frequently consider $t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}\Delta_{p,q}(t)$ instead of $\Delta_{p,q}(t)$.

We show in section 3 how to use the Alexander polynomial of torus knots to calculate the *d*-invariant of a knot K, denoted $d(S^3_{-1}(K))$, in order to use the following lower bound on $\gamma_4(T_{p,q})$ from Batson.

Theorem 2.7 (Theorem 1 in [3]). For a knot K,

$$\frac{\sigma(K)}{2} - d(S^3_{-1}(K)) \le \gamma_4(K).$$

2.2 Non-Oriented Band Moves

To perform a band move on an oriented knot K, we first take an embedding φ of an oriented band $B = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ into S^3 such that $B \cap K = \varphi([0,1] \times \{0,1\})$. We then create a new link $L = (K \cup \varphi(\{0,1\} \times [0,1])) \setminus \varphi([0,1] \times \{0,1\})$. If the orientation of either $[0,1] \times \{0\}$ or $[0,1] \times \{1\}$ disagrees with K, then this is an non-oriented band move. Otherwise, it is an oriented band move. Figure 2.1 shows an example of an orientable and a non-oriented band move. Note that an orientable band move applied to a knot results in a 2-component link, while an non-oriented band move results in a knot. This procedure is sometimes referred to as a pinch move, such as in [4]. The minimum number of non-oriented band moves required to transform $T_{p,q}$ into the unknot is denoted $\vartheta(T_{p,q})$.



FIGURE 2.1. Top: Non-Oriented Band Move. Bottom: Oriented Band Move.

Theorem 2.8 (Theorem 1.6 in [4]). Fix p > 3. If $q \equiv p - 1$, p + 1, or $2p + 1 \pmod{2p}$, then $\vartheta(T_{p,q}) - 1 \leq \gamma_4(T_{p,q}) \leq \vartheta(T_{p,q})$. In particular, we have the following.

- (i) If p is odd: If $q \equiv p - 1 \pmod{2p}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{p,q}) \in \{\frac{p-3}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$, If $q \equiv p + 1 \text{ or } 2p - 1 \pmod{2p}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{p,q}) = \frac{p-1}{2}$. (ii) If p is even:
 - If q > p and $q \equiv p-1, p+1 \text{ or } 2p-1 \pmod{2p}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{p,q}) \in \{\frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{p}{2}\}$.

Remark 2.9. By Theorem 2.8, we have

(i) $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 2$ when $q \equiv 6$ or 9 (mod 10), (ii) $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \in \{2,3\}$ for $q \equiv 5,7$, or 11 (mod 12).

We also have the following result from Jabuka and Kelly.

Proposition 2.10 (Proposition 2.4 in [15]). If the knots K and K' are related by a non-oriented band move, then

$$\gamma_4(K) \le \gamma_4(K') + 1$$

If a knot K is related to a slice knot K' by a non-oriented band move, then $\gamma_4(K) = 1$.

We now discuss the results that are most useful in determining $\gamma_4(T_{5,q})$.

Lemma 2.11 (Lemma 2.9 in [4]). Let $p \ge 2$ and $k \ge 1$. Performing a non-oriented band move on the torus knot $T_{p,kp\pm 1}$ yields the torus knot $T_{p-2,k(p-2)\pm 1}$. Consequently,

$$\vartheta(T_{p,kp\pm 1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{p}{2} & \text{if } p \text{ is even and } kp \pm 1 \neq p-1, \\ \frac{p-2}{2} & \text{if } p \text{ is even and } kp \pm 1 = p-1. \end{cases}$$

This immediately gives us $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \leq 3$ for all q.

Proposition 2.12 (Proposition 1.6 in [27]). The torus knot $T_{pk\pm 2,p}$ bounds a Möbius band if $p \ge 3$ is odd and $k \ge 0$.

Remark 2.13. We thus conclude that the torus knots $T_{5,5k\pm 2}$ have $\gamma_4(T_{5,5k\pm 2}) = 1$ for all k, which proves part (i) of Theorem 3. From Lemma 2.11 we also have $\gamma_4(T_{5,5k\pm 1}) \leq 2$ for any $k \geq 1$. We note that this gives us all results for $\gamma_4(T_{5,q})$ except for $q \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{10}$.

3 RESULTS

We first compute the signature of $T_{6,q}$ torus knots so that we can apply the bound from Proposition 2.3. Recall the convention

$$\sigma(p,q) = \sigma(T_{-p,q}) = -\sigma(T_{p,q}).$$

Proposition 3.1.

$$\sigma(6,q) = \begin{cases} 18k & \text{if } q = 6k+1 \ge 0, \\ 18k+16 & \text{if } q = 6k+5 \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma(5,q) = \begin{cases} 24k & \text{if } q = 10k+1 \ge 0, \\ 24k+8 & \text{if } q = 10k+4 \ge 0, \\ 24k+16 & \text{if } q = 10k+6 \ge 0, \\ 24k+24 & \text{if } q = 10k+9 \ge 0, \\ 12k+4 & \text{if } q = 5k+2 \ge 0, \\ 12k+8 & \text{if } q = 5k+3 \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will prove this for $\sigma(6,q)$ by induction on k. See that $\sigma(6,6(0) + 1) = 0$, $\sigma(6,6(0) + 5) = 16$, $\sigma(6,6(1) + 1) = 18$, $\sigma(6,6(1) + 5) = 34$. Assume that the formula holds for some $k \ge 2$. We then have two cases: q = 6(k+1) + 1 and q = 6(k+1) + 5. The first case:

$$\sigma(6,6k+1) = \sigma(6k+1,6)$$

= $\sigma(6k+1-12,6) + 36$
= $\sigma(6(k-2)+1,6) + 36$
= $18(k-2) + 36$
= $18k$,

and similarly, the second:

$$\sigma(6,6k+5) = \sigma(6k+5,6)$$

= $\sigma(6k+5-12,6) + 36$
= $\sigma(6(k-2)+5,6) + 36$
= $18(k-2) + 16 + 36$
= $18k + 16$.

The case for $\sigma(5,q)$ follows similarly.

Using Remark 2.2 and our computation from Proposition 3.1, we will compute the value of $\sigma(p,q) + 4 \operatorname{Arf}(p,q) \pmod{8}$ for p = 5, 6.

$q \pmod{10}$	$\sigma(5,q) + 4\operatorname{Arf}(5,q) \pmod{8}$
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	4
6	4
7	0
8	0
9	0

TABLE 1. Remainders of q (mod 10) and values of $\sigma(5,q) + 4\operatorname{Arf}(5,q) \pmod{8}$.

$q \pmod{12}$	$\sigma(6,q) + 4\operatorname{Arf}(6,q) \pmod{8}$
1	0
5	4
7	2
11	6

TABLE 2. Remainders of q (mod 12) and values of $\sigma(6,q) + 4\operatorname{Arf}(6,q) \pmod{8}$.

Corollary 3.2. $\gamma_4(T_{6,12k+5}) \ge 2$ and $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+4}) = 2$ for any integer k.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 and our computations in Tables 1 and 2, we can see that $\gamma_4(T_{6,12k+5}) \ge 2$ and $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+4}) \ge 2$. By Theorem 2.8 we have that $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+4}) = 2$.

With this, we are able to prove Theorem 1.3, which we restate below.

Theorem 1.3. For torus knots $T_{5,q}$,

- (i) If $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 1$,
- (ii) If $q \equiv 4, 6, 9 \pmod{10}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 2$.
- (iii) If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. Note that part(i) follows from Remark 2.13, part (ii) follows from Remark 2.9 and Corollary 3.2, and part (iii) follows from Lemma 2.11. \Box

Lemma 3.3. The knots $T_{6,5}$ and $T_{6,17}$ both have non-orientable 4-genus 2.

Proof. We apply a non-orientable band move to two adjacent strands of $T_{6,5}$ and $T_{6,17}$ to obtain torus knots $T_{4,q}$ that bound a Möbius band, see Figure 3.1. Therefore $\gamma_4(T_{6,5}) \leq 2$ and $\gamma_4(T_{6,17}) \leq 2$ by Proposition 2.10. By Corollary 3.2, we know that $\gamma_4(T_{6,5}) \geq 2$ and $\gamma_4(T_{6,17}) \geq 2$.

Remark 3.4. The knot $T_{6,13}$ can be transformed into $T_{4,9}$ with one non-orientable band move and thus $\gamma_4(T_{6,13}) \leq 2$.

We now compute the Upsilon invariant. Recall that we write $v(T_{p,q})$ as v(p,q).



FIGURE 3.1. Non-Orientable Band Move from $T_{6,5}$ to $T_{3,4}$.

Proposition 3.5.

$$\upsilon(6,q) = \begin{cases} -9k & \text{if } q = 6k + 1 \ge 0, \\ -9k - 6 & \text{if } q = 6k + 5 \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\upsilon(5,q) = \begin{cases} -6k & \text{if } q = 5k+1 \ge 0, \\ -6k-2 & \text{if } q = 5k+2 \ge 0, \\ -6k-3 & \text{if } q = 5k+3 \ge 0, \\ -6k-4 & \text{if } q = 5k+4 \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will prove this for v(6,q) by induction on k. For k = 0 and k = 1, we have

$$v(6,1) = 0,$$

 $v(6,5) = -6,$
 $v(6,7) = -9.$

For the inductive step, we apply Equation 2.2 to get

$$\upsilon(6,6k+1) = \upsilon(6,6(k-1)+1) + \upsilon(6,7) = -9(k-1) - 9 = -9k.$$

and

$$\upsilon(6,6k+5) = \upsilon(6,6(k-1)+5) + \upsilon(6,7) = -9(k-1) - 6 - 9 = -9k - 6.$$

The proof for v(5,q) follows similarly.

Now we compute $\left| v(p,q) + \frac{\sigma(p,q)}{2} \right|$ for p = 5, 6 so that we can apply Theorem 2.6. **Proposition 3.6.**

(3.1)
$$\left| \upsilon(6,q) + \frac{\sigma(6,q)}{2} \right| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 6k+1, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 6k+5, \end{cases}$$

and

(3.2)
$$\left| \upsilon(5,q) + \frac{\sigma(5,q)}{2} \right| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 10k+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 10k+4, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 10k+6, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 10k+9, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 5k+2, \\ 1 & \text{if } q = 5k+3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from our computations of $\sigma(p,q)$ in Proposition 3.1 and of v(p,q) in Proposition 3.5.

We get the following result by applying Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 3.7. For any integer k, we have $\gamma_4(T_{6,6k+5}) \ge 2$, $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+6}) \ge 2$, and $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+9}) \ge 2$.

Note that this result improves on our previous lower bound $\gamma_4(T_{6,q})$ given by the the signature and Arf invariant in Corollary 3.2. It does not give us new information on $\gamma_4(T_{5,q})$.

3.1 Calculating the *d*-invariant

Recall that the Alexander polynomial for a torus knot is given by

$$\Delta_{p,q}(t) = t^{-(p-1)(q-1)/2} \frac{(t-1)(t^{pq}-1)}{(t^p-1)(t^q-1)}$$

Since the Alexander polynomial is symmetric, we know that the largest power of $\Delta_{p,q}(t)$ is $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}$.

For the computation of the d-invariant, we follow the conventions of Batson, though this was originally stated by Ozváth and Szabó in [23].

Proposition 3.8 (Proposition 7 in [3]). Since the Alexander polynomial is symmetric, we can express it as

(3.3)
$$\Delta_{p,q}(t) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i (t^i + t^{-i})$$

Then the d invariant for $T_{p,q}$ is given by

(3.4)
$$d(p,q) = 2t_0 = 2\sum_{i=1}^d ja_j.$$

Now we will use this to compute the *d*-invariant for general $T_{p,q}$ torus knots, which we denote d(p,q). For the convenience of the reader, we restate Theorem 1.1. **Theorem 1.1.** If p < q,

$$d(p,q) = 2\left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q - p - 1}{2p} \right\rfloor\right).$$

Proof. To prove this, we make use of the following two known equations.

(3.5)
$$\frac{t^p - 1}{t - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t^i$$

and

(3.6)
$$\frac{t-1}{t^p-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{ip} \delta$$

where $\delta = 1 - t$. As we stated before, we will be considering $t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}\Delta_{p,q}(t)$ for ease of notation. Using equations 3.5 and 3.6, we get

(3.7)
$$t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}\Delta_{p,q}(t) = \frac{(t^{pq}-1)}{(t^{q}-1)}\frac{(t-1)}{(t^{p}-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} t^{kq} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{np}\delta = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{np+kq}\delta.$$

Note that when we multiply by $t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}$, the constant term c of $\Delta_{p,q}(t)$ becomes $ct^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}$ in $t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}\Delta_{p,q}(t)$. Therefore, we only need to consider terms of Equation 3.7 with powers less than $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}$. Multiplying $t^{np+kq}\delta$ we obtain $t^{np+kq} - t^{np+kq+1}$, thus the highest power that we need to consider is np + kq + 1. Hence, we have the inequality $np + kq \leq \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2} - 1$. To obtain a bound on k, we put n = 0 to get $k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p+1}{2q}$.

Because k is an integer, we apply the floor function to each side to get $k \leq \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p+1}{2q} \rfloor$. If n is odd, $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is an integer; as p < q, the term $\frac{p+1}{2q}$ is less than $\frac{1}{2}$ but greater than 0, so $k \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Else, if n is even, $\frac{p}{2}$ is an integer; the fractional part, by the previous, is less than 1 but strictly greater than $\frac{1}{2}$, so we again get $k \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Now that we have a bound on k, we need to know bounds for n. Solving for n in terms of k yields the inequality $n \leq \lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \rfloor$.

Applying this to the sum, we can then consider the simplified polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \rfloor} t^{np+kq} \delta.$$

From here, calculating the weighted sum of the coefficients via Equation 3.4 amounts to counting the occurrences of δ , as $t^m \delta = t^m - t^{m+1}$ contributes -(m - (m + 1)) = 1 to the sum. Each internal sum amounts to $\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \rfloor + 1$ terms; pulling each 1 out of the sum and multiplying by 2 gives the *d*-invariant.

$$d(p,q) = 2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q - p - 1}{2p} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \right)$$
$$= 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q - p - 1}{2p} \right\rfloor \right)$$

For our specific uses, we use this formula (along with our base cases) to give us the following result.

Proposition 3.9.

$$d(6,q) = \begin{cases} 18k & \text{if } q = 12k+1, \\ 18k+6 & \text{if } q = 12k+5, \\ 18k+12 & \text{if } q = 12k+7, \\ 18k+18 & \text{if } q = 12k+11, \end{cases}$$

and

$$d(5,q) = \begin{cases} 6k & \text{if } q = 5k+1, \\ 6k+2 & \text{if } q = 5k+2, \\ 6k+4 & \text{if } q = 5k+3, \\ 6k+6 & \text{if } q = 5k+4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will show this is true for d(6, 12k + 1) as the rest of the cases follow similarly.

$$\begin{aligned} d(6, 12k+1) &= 2\left(\left\lfloor\frac{6}{2}\right\rfloor + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor\frac{6}{2}\rfloor-1} \left\lfloor\frac{(6-1-2j)(12k+1)-6-1}{2(6)}\right\rfloor\right) \\ &= 2\left(3 + \sum_{k=0}^{2} \left\lfloor\frac{(5-2j)(12k+1)-7}{12}\right\rfloor\right) \\ &= 2\left(3 + \left\lfloor\frac{5(12k+1)-7}{12}\right\rfloor + \left\lfloor\frac{3(12k+1)-7}{12}\right\rfloor + \left\lfloor\frac{(12k+1)-7}{12}\right\rfloor\right) \\ &= 2\left(3 + \left\lfloor\frac{5(12k)-2}{12}\right\rfloor + \left\lfloor\frac{3(12k)-4}{12}\right\rfloor + \left\lfloor\frac{(12k)-6}{12}\right\rfloor\right) \\ &= 2\left(3 + 9k + \left\lfloor\frac{-2}{12}\right\rfloor + \left\lfloor\frac{-4}{12}\right\rfloor + \left\lfloor\frac{-6}{12}\right\rfloor\right) \\ &= 2\left(3 + 9k - 3\right) \\ &= 18k. \end{aligned}$$

We will now compute $\frac{\sigma(p,q)}{2} - d(p,q)$ for p = 5, 6 so that we can apply Theorem 2.7. **Proposition 3.10.**

(3.8)
$$\frac{\sigma(6,q)}{2} - d(6,q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 12k+1, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 12k+5, \\ -3 & \text{if } q = 12k+7, \\ -1 & \text{if } q = 12k+11, \end{cases}$$

and

(3.9)
$$\frac{\sigma(5,q)}{2} - d(5,q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 10k + 1, \\ -2 & \text{if } q = 10k + 4, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 10k + 6, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 10k + 9, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 5k + 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 5k + 3. \end{cases}$$

Note that this does not give us improved lower bounds on $\gamma_4(T_{p,q})$ for either p = 5 or p = 6.

3.2 Linking Form Obstructions

There is a useful obstruction to knots bounding Möbius bands which comes from the linking form on the double branched cover of a knot over S^3 , denoted $D_K(S^3)$. We give the reader some brief background but we refer to Rolfsen's book [25] to learn about double branched covers, a paper by Conway, Friedl, and Herrmann [5] to learn linking forms, and to Gilmer and Livingston [10] to learn the details of the obstruction. However, computing this obstruction mainly involves modular arithmetic, making this an approachable technique.

The linking form is a bilinear map on the first homology,

$$\lambda : H_1(D_K(S^3); \mathbb{Z}) \times H_1(D_K(S^3); \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Corollary 3.11 (Corollary 3 in [10]). Suppose that $H_1(D_K(S^3)) = \mathbb{Z}_n$ where *n* is the product of primes, all with odd exponent. Then if *K* bounds a Möbius band in B^4 , there is a generator $a \in H_1(D_K(S^3))$ such that $\lambda(a, a) = \pm \frac{1}{n}$.

It is a fact that the double branched covers of S^3 branched over torus knots $T_{p,q}$ are Brieskorn spheres $\Sigma(2, p, q)$ when p is even [4].

Lemma 3.12 (Lemma 5.3 in [4]). $H_1(\Sigma(2, p, q); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_q$.

Observe that by combining Lemma 3.12 and the definition of the linking form, we can rewrite λ as a bilinear map $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.13 (Proposition 5.2 in [4]). For any relatively prime positive integers p and q with p even, we have $\lambda(a, a) \equiv -\frac{p}{2q} \pmod{1}$ for some generator a of $H_1(\Sigma(2, p, q); \mathbb{Z})$.

From Proposition 3.13, we see that the linking form is $\lambda(a, a) = -\frac{p}{2q}$. We wish to determine whether or not there exists a integer n so that $\lambda(na, na) = \pm \frac{1}{q}$ so that we can apply Corollary 3.11 and Lemma 3.12.

Supposing $\lambda(na, na) = \pm \frac{1}{q}$ we have $\lambda(na, na) = n^2 \lambda(a, a) = n^2(-\frac{p}{2q})$ by bilinearity. Thus, we only need to determine whether $-\frac{pn^2}{2}$ is congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{q}$. If there is not a congruence, then we have satisfied the obstruction and $T_{p,q}$ does not bound a Möbius band.

For $T_{6,q}$ torus knots, recall that we have an upper bound $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \leq 3$ for all q and a lower bound $2 \leq \gamma_4(T_{6,q})$ for $q \equiv 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$. We wish to determine whether or not $T_{6,q}$ bounds a Möbius band when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Since $T_{6,1}$ is the unknot, we only consider q > 1.

Lemma 3.14. If $q \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \ge 2$.

Proof. Given $T_{6,q}$ where $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, we consider $\lambda(a,a) = -\frac{6}{2q} = -\frac{3}{q}$. As outlined above, we check if $-3n^2$ is congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{q}$. We consider $q \equiv 5$ and note this encompasses the results for $q \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Suppose there exists some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\lambda(na, na) = \pm \frac{1}{5}$. Then, $-\frac{3}{5} = n^2(\pm \frac{1}{5})$, which is not possible, as this would imply $-3n^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$. Thus, we have met the obstruction in Corollary 3.11.

Remark 3.15. For $K = T_{6,q}$ where $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, the linking form on $D_K(S^3)$ meets the linking form obstruction when $q \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, but does not meet the linking form obstruction for all $q \neq 0 \pmod{5}$. As these torus knots in this latter case have not met any other obstruction mentioned in the paper, the best we can say is that $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \geq 1$, meaning we do not yet know whether they bound a Möbius band.

References

- [1] K. Agle. Alexander and conway polynomials of torus knots. *Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee*, 2012.
- [2] S. Allen. Nonorientable surfaces bounded by knots: a geography problem. arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.14332, 2020.
- [3] J. Batson. Nonorientable slice genus can be arbitrarily large. Math. Res. Lett., 21(3):423–436, 2014.
- [4] F. Binns, S. Kang, J. Simone, and P. Truöl. On the nonorientable four-ball genus of torus knots. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.09187, 2021.
- [5] A. Conway, Stefan F., and G. Herrmann. Linking forms revisited. Pure Appl. Math. Q., 12(4):493–515, 2016.
- [6] M. Fairchild. The non-orientable 4-genus of 11 crossing non-alternating knots. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.00996, 2024.
- [7] P. Feller and D. Krcatovich. On cobordisms between knots, braid index, and the upsilon-invariant. *Mathematische Annalen*, 369(1–2):301–329, 2017.
- [8] Ralph H. Fox and John W. Milnor. Singularities of 2-spheres in 4-space and cobordism of knots. Osaka Journal of Mathematics, 3(2):257 – 267, 1966.
- [9] N. Ghanbarian. The non-orientable 4-genus for knots with 10 crossings. Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications, 31(05):2250034, 2022.
- [10] P. Gilmer and C. Livingston. The nonorientable 4-genus of knots. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 84(3):559–577, 2011.
- S. Gong and M. Marengon. Nonorientable link cobordisms and torsion order in floer homologies. Algebraic & Geometric Topology, 23(6):2627-2672, 2023.
- [12] C. McA. Gordan and R.A. Litherland. On the signature of a link. Inventiones mathematicae, 47:53–69, 1978.
- [13] C. McA. Gordan, R.A. Litherland, and K. Murasugi. Signatures of covering links. Can. J. Math, XXXIII(02):381– 394, 1981.
- [14] J. Hoste, P. Shanahan, and C. A. Van Cott. On the nonorientable 4-genus of double twist knots. J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 32(5):Paper No. 2350036, 30, 2023.
- [15] S. Jabuka and T. Kelly. The nonorientable 4-genus for knots with 8 or 9 crossings. Algebraic & Geometric Topology, 18(3):1823-1856, 2018.
- [16] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka. Gauge theory for embedded surfaces. I. Topology, 32(4):773-826, 1993.
- [17] C. Livingston and A.H. Moore. Knotinfo: Table of knot invariants. URL: knotinfo.math.indiana.edu, February 2024.
- [18] A.H. Moore and M. Vazquez. A note on band surgery and the signature of a knot. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 52(6):1191–1208, 2020.
- [19] H. Murakami and A. Yasuhara. Crosscap number of a knot. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 171(1):261–273, 1995.
- [20] H. Murakami and A. Yasuhara. Four-genus and four-dimensional clasp number of a knot. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 128(12):3693–3699, 2000.
- [21] P. Ozsváth, A. Stipsicz, and Z Szabó. Concordance homomorphisms from knot floer homology. Advances in Mathematics, 315:366–426, 2017.
- [22] P. Ozsváth, A. Stipsicz, and Z. Szabó. Unoriented knot floer homology and the unoriented four-ball genus. International Mathematics Research Notices, 2017(17):5137–5181, 2017.
- [23] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary. Adv. Math., 173(2):179–261, 2003.
- [24] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. An overview of knot Floer homology. In Modern geometry: a celebration of the work of Simon Donaldson, volume 99 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 213–249. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [25] D. Rolfsen. Knots and links, volume 7 of Mathematics Lecture Series. Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, TX, 1990. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original.
- [26] J. Sabloff. On a refinement of the non-orientable 4-genus of torus knots. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Series B, 10(22):242–251, 2023.
- [27] J. Stanislav and C.A. Van Cott. On a nonorientable analogue of the Milnor conjecture. Algebraic & Geometric Topology, 21(5):2571 – 2625, 2021.
- [28] A. Yasuhara. Connecting lemmas and representing homology classes of simply connected 4-manifolds. Tokyo J. Math., 19(01), 1996.

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University

 $Email \ address: \tt{mfarr17@lsu.edu}$

Email address: jgarc86@lsu.edu

Email address: jmurp61@lsu.edu

 $Email \ address: {\tt hpercl1@lsu.edu}$