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#### Abstract

We employ the generalized Remez algorithm, initially suggested by P. T. P. Tang, to perform an experimental study of Chebyshev polynomials in the complex plane. Our focus lies particularly on the examination of their norms and zeros. What sets our study apart is the breadth of examples considered, coupled with the fact that the degrees under investigation are substantially higher than those in previous studies where other methods have been applied. These computations of Chebyshev polynomials of high degrees reveal discernible patterns which allow for conjectures to be formulated based on abundant experimental evidence. The use of Tang's algorithm allows for computations executed with precision, maintaining accuracy within quantifiable margins of error. Additionally, as a result of our experimental study, we propose what we believe to be a fundamental relationship between Chebyshev and Faber polynomials associated with a compact set.


## 1 Introduction

Let $E$ be a compact subset of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Our focus is directed towards monic polynomials that exhibit minimal deviation from zero over the set E. In other words, for any given positive integer $n$ we want to find coefficients $a_{0}^{*}, \ldots, a_{n-1}^{*}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \mathrm{E}}\left|z^{n}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k}^{*} z^{k}\right|=\min _{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}} \max _{z \in \mathrm{E}}\left|z^{n}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} z^{k}\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of minimizing coefficients $a_{0}^{*}, \ldots, a_{n-1}^{*}$ is guaranteed through a compactness argument. However, such a minimizer does not need to be unique. If E is a finite points set consisting of $m<n$ points then there are an infinite number of different minimizing polynomials. This is the only exceptional case and the assumption that E consists of infinitely many points ensures the uniqueness of a monic minimizer of (1) for any $n$ which henceforth is denoted by $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$. This is the so-called Chebyshev polynomial of degree $n$ corresponding
to the set E. For basic theory detailing the proofs of existence and uniqueness of Chebyshev polynomials we refer the reader to [2, 7, (9, 36, 25, 41.

Throughout this text we reserve the notation $\|\cdot\|_{E}$ to denote the maximum norm on $E$ and let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the open unit disk and $\mathbb{T}$ the unit circle.

Historically the consideration of polynomial minimizers with respect to the maximum norm originate from the studies of P. L. Chebyshev who considered minimization on $[-1,1]$, see [6]. Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to real sets have been much better understood than the corresponding complex ones. The reason for this discrepancy in understanding can partially be attributed to the powerful alternation theorem which is valid for real Chebyshev polynomials, [7, p. 75]. For any compact set $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing at least $n+1$ points the Chebyshev polynomial $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ is characterized by having an alternating set on E consisting of $n+1$ points. That is to say, there are points $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}$ all contained in E such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(x_{k}\right)=(-1)^{n-k}\left\|T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This alternating property, whose analogue can be shown for general real approximation tasks, constitutes the theoretical grounding for the classical Remez algorithm which is used to compute real-valued best approximations, see [7, 25, 34, 35].

### 1.1 Chebyshev polynomials in the complex plane

Alternation fails to characterise Chebyshev polynomials for general complex sets $E \subset \mathbb{C}$. Apart from the fact that the argument of a Chebyshev polynomial at an extremal point can be any angle, not just $k \pi$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the number of extremal points corresponding to $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ on E can vary greatly. While there are at least $n+1$ such extremal points on E, see e.g. [41, Theorem 1, p. 446], there is no upper bound on the number of extremal points. Indeed, as the example $T_{n}^{\mathbb{T}}(z)=z^{n}$ shows, the entire sets may consist of extremal points of the Chebyshev polynomial.

One approach to studying Chebyshev polynomials in the complex plane comes from the fruitful interplay between approximation theory and potential theory. For this reason, we recall that to any compact set $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathbb{C}$ we can associate a quantity referred to as the logarithmic capacity, denoted $\operatorname{Cap}(E)$, see [33, §5.1].

In 44] Szegő proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}} \geq \operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A recent proof of this fundamental inequality can be found in [33, Theorem 5.5.4]. Since the capacity and radius of a disk coincides this provides an easy way of seeing that $T_{n}^{\mathbb{T}}(z)=z^{n}$. However, this powerful inequality can be used to draw further conclusions. If $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$ is a polynomial of exact degree $m$, then [33, Theorem 5.2.5] says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}\left(P^{-1}(\mathrm{E})\right)=\left(\frac{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})}{\left|a_{m}\right|}\right)^{1 / m} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P$ be a monic polynomial of degree $m$ and $\mathrm{E}_{P}=\{z:|P(z)| \leq r\}$ a filledin lemniscate. We gather as a consequence of (3), (4) and the uniqueness of Chebyshev polynomials that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n m}^{\mathrm{E}_{P}}(z)=P(z)^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This example, whose origins can be traced back to Faber [14], constitutes one of the few cases where the Chebyshev polynomials are explicitly determined for certain degrees. In general, for a given compact set $E$, it is rarely the case that the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ have known representations. For instance, the Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to $\{z:|P(z)| \leq r\}$ of degrees other than multiples of $\operatorname{deg}(P)$ remain unknown in the general case.

Chebyshev polynomials appear in various applications. The classical Chebyshev polynomials which are minimal on intervals are fundamental for numerical analysis and approximation theory. This is, to a large extent, due to their relation with Fourier analysis. Chebyshev polynomials on unions of intervals further appear as discriminants corresponding to Jacobi matrices which in turn are related to periodic Schrödinger operators, see [9, §2]. The generalization of Chebyshev polynomials to complex sets can also be motivated by applicability. To name an example, it is explained in 19 how matrix valued Chebyshev polynomials have applications to Krylov subspace iterations methods such as the Arnoldi iteration which is used to estimate eigenvalues of matrices. Such potential links are further considered in 48, 15. If the matrix in question is normal then the matrix valued Chebyshev polynomials coincide with Chebyshev polynomials relative to the spectrum of the matrix in question. On a related note, residual matrix valued Chebyshev polynomials appear when estimating convergence of the GMRES algorithm, see 19. Residual Chebyshev polynomials are also minimizers of the supremum norm on a compact set but instead of being monic they are normalized to attain the value 1 at some specified point. This modification gives rise to differences but many properties are shared. For theoretical aspects of such polynomials see [12]. We believe that these examples serves to indicate that the determination of Chebyshev polynomials is interesting for a variety of different reasons and not limited to understanding fundamental properties of approximation theory.

### 1.2 Two different approaches

To remedy the fact that Chebyshev polynomials typically are inexplicit, one common approach to understanding their asymptotic behavior is to compare them to explicit classes of polynomials. One such class of polynomials are the Faber polynomials [14. If $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected compact set which consists of more than one point, there exists a conformal mapping $\Phi: \mathbb{C} \backslash E \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{-1} z+a_{0}+a_{-1} z^{-1}+\cdots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

see 41, Chapter 2]. The Faber polynomial of degree $n$, denoted $F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$, is the monic polynomial of degree $n$ defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E}) \Phi(z))^{n}=F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)+O\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In certain rare cases the Chebyshev polynomials and Faber polynomials corresponding to a set coincide [14]. If E is the closure of an analytic Jordan domain the Faber polynomials become well-suited trial polynomials for studying Chebyshev polynomials. In this case they satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}=\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{n}\left(1+O\left(r^{n}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<r<1$ depending on E, see e.g. [14, 51]. This implies that the sequence $\left\{F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\}$ asymptotically saturates (3) when the bounding curve of E is analytic. As a consequence, the so-called Widom factors introduced in [18] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E}):=\frac{\left\|T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{n}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

converge to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this particular case. From (3) we see that this is optimal. Much of the research into Chebyshev polynomials is directed to understanding the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})$. In 51 and later 42 conditions to guarantee that $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E}) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ were relaxed by means of comparison with Faber polynomials. If E is the closure of a Jordan domain with $C^{1+\alpha}$ boundary then it follows from [43, Theorem 2, p.68] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E}) \leq \frac{\left\|F_{n}\right\|}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{n}}=1+O\left(\frac{\log n}{n^{\alpha}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is an open question if the conditions concerning the regularity of the boundary can be further relaxed while still guaranteeing that the corresponding Widom factors converge to the theoretical minimal value. For instance, if $E$ is the closure of a Jordan domain such that the bounding curve is piecewise analytic but contains corner points can we still conclude that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})=1 ?
$$

It is known that some level of smoothness of the bounding curve is required for $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E}) \rightarrow 1$ to hold as there are known examples of fractal Jordan domains such that the Widom factors, at least along a subsequence, are bounded below by $1+\delta$ for some $\delta>0$. This can be deduced from results in [26].

Using Faber polynomials it can be shown that if E is a convex set then $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E}) \leq 2$ for all $n$, see [28, Theorem 2] and more recently [1, Theorem 4.1]. In [4] a completely different class of trial polynomials were used to prove that the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{W}_{n}(E)\right\}$ remains bounded if $E$ is the closure of a quasi-disk. For examples illustrating the close interplay between Faber polynomials and Chebyshev polynomials, we refer the reader to 40, 51. In Section 3 we will explore a
possible relation between the Chebyshev and Faber polynomials that have been observed numerically. Loosely formulated this entails that Chebyshev polynomials approach Faber polynomials for a fixed degree along certain curves related to the conformal map of the set in question.

Besides understanding the norm behavior, another point of interest is understanding how the geometry of a set affects the zero distributions of the corresponding Chebyshev polynomials. Given a polynomial $P$, let $\nu(P)$ denote the normalized zero counting measure of $P$. That is,

$$
\nu(P)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(P)} \sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{deg}(P)} \delta_{z_{j}}
$$

where $\delta_{z}$ is the Dirac delta measure at $z$ and $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ denotes the zeros of $P$ counting multiplicity. Given a compact set E, a typical quantitative way of describing the asymptotical distribution of the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ is by determining weak-star limits of the sequence of measures $\left\{\nu\left(T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)\right\}$. As it turns out, such weak-star limits are closely related to the potential theoretic concept of equilibrium measure. We therefore introduce the notation $\mu_{\mathrm{E}}$ to denote the equilibrium measure corresponding to a compact set E, see [33, §3.3]. Given a sequence of degrees $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ [3, Theorem 2.1.7] says that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu\left(T_{n_{k}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)(M)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every compact set $M$ in the interior of E then $\nu\left(T_{n_{k}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{E}}$ as $n_{k} \rightarrow \infty$. Loosely formulated, if "almost all" of the zeros of $T_{n_{k}}^{\mathrm{E}}$ approach the boundary then they distribute according to equilibrium measure. In particular, if $E$ has empty interior then $\nu\left(T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{E}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is shown in 40 that the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ when E is the closure of a Jordan domain, stay away from the boundary precisely when the bounding curve is analytic. It therefore follows that if E is the closure of a Jordan domain whose boundary contains a corner then the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ will approach the boundary in some fashion. The question we want to investigate is if we can discern that (11) should hold for such sets.

It could be argued that in order to study Chebyshev polynomials there are two available approaches. One alternative is to try to compare Chebyshev polynomials with other classes of polynomials which are candidates to provide small maximum norms such as the Faber polynomials. The other approach to studying Chebyshev polynomials - which will be the main focus of this article - is to consider computing these polynomials. In our case these computations will be performed using numerical approximations. Such considerations are somewhat scarce in the literature although examples exist which rely on other methods than the ones presented here. See for instance [20, 32, 47, 48]. In this article we will discuss and apply an algorithm suggested by P. T. P. Tang that was presented in his Ph.D thesis [45] and further developed by B. Fischer and J. Modersitzki in [16]. More specifically we will compute Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to a wide variety of compact sets in the complex plane. Doing
so, it will become apparent that certain hypothesis can be made plausible using numerical computations. See [16, 27, 46] for further developments of this algorithm.

### 1.3 Outline

This article is organized as follows.
In Section 2 a short discussion concerning Tang's algorithm from 45 is presented. In particular its relation to the computation of Chebyshev polynomials is exemplified. This section serves as the method part of the article. A psuedo-code implementation is provided in the appendix as Algorithms 1 and 2.

In Section 3 we present numerical findings related to computations of Chebyshev polynomials using Tang's algorithm. In particular Widom factors and zeros are computed for regular polygons, the $m$-cusped hypocyloid, circular lunes and the Bernoulli lemniscate. We also compare the difference between Chebyshev polynomials and Faber polynomials for such sets.

In Section 4 the results from Section 3 are discussed and we form conjectures based on these. Our main hypothesis is that the asymptotic behavior of Faber polynomials and Chebyshev polynomials have strong ties when it comes to asymptotic zero distributions, however, when it comes to norm behavior these can behave rather differently.

## 2 Numerical computations of Chebyshev polynomials

In the following we consider the procedure of approximating complex-valued functions on a compact subset of the complex plane, henceforth denoted E . Conforming to the situation considered in [45, 46] we restrict ourselves to the consideration of real linear spaces in the sense that all scalars appearing in linear combinations will be real-valued. Since any $k$-dimensional complex space can be regarded as a $2 k$-dimensional space over the real numbers this is no restriction. We introduce the notation $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{E})$ to denote the linear space of complex-valued continuous functions on $E$ with real linear combinations. We further let $V$ denote an $n$-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{E})$ with an associated basis $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$. The algorithm developed by Tang computes the best approximation $\varphi^{*}$ to $f$ among all elements of $V$. In other words

$$
\left\|f-\varphi^{*}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}} \leq\|f-\varphi\|_{\mathrm{E}}
$$

for every $\varphi \in V$. We assume throughout that $\varphi^{*}$ is unique. This will be the case when studying Chebyshev polynomials on a continuum, that is, a compact connected set containing infinitely many points. To conform to the case of Chebyshev polynomials we would let $f(z)=z^{n}$ and $\varphi$ denote a complex polynomial over $\mathbb{R}$ of degree at most $n-1$.

As usual, we let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{E})^{*}$ denote the dual space of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{E})$ and $V^{\perp}$ those linear functionals in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{E})^{*}$ that vanish on $V$. Riesz' representation theorem states that any real linear functional in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(E)^{*}$ can be represented through the formula

$$
L f=\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathrm{E}} f d \mu
$$

where $\mu$ is a complex Borel measure. The extension theorem of Hahn-Banach implies an elementary relation between linear functionals and distance minimizing elements for Banach spaces. From [30, Theorem 7 in $\S 8.2$ ] we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\varphi \in V}\|f-\varphi\|_{\mathrm{E}}=\max _{\substack{L \in V^{\perp} \\\|L\| \leq 1}}|L f| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As stated, (12) provides no substantial information on the actual maximizing linear functional. The space of all complex Borel measures on E may prove too unwieldly to deal with in any practical situation. However, there exists maximizing linear functionals satisfying 12 with a specific simple form as was shown by Zuhovickiĭ and Remez, see e.g. 41, Theorem 2, p. 437]. The value in (12) coincides with the maximal value of all expressions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} r_{j} \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{j}} f\left(z_{j}\right)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}=\left\{r_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1} \in[0,1]^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1} \in[0,2 \pi)^{n+1}$ and $\boldsymbol{z}=\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1} \in$ $\mathrm{E}^{n+1}$ are subject to the constraints:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} r_{j}=1  \tag{14}\\
L_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}}(\varphi)=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} r_{j} \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{j}} \varphi\left(z_{j}\right)\right)=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in V \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

The goal of using Tang's algorithm, which is further illustrated in Appendix A concerns the computation of the maximizing functional. The algorithm produces a sequence of linear functionals $\left\{L_{\boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{z}^{(\nu)}}\right\}$ together with an associated sequence of approximants $\left\{\varphi^{(\nu)}\right\}$ that satisfy that $L_{\boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{z}^{(\nu)}}(f)$ is increasing in $\nu$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{z}^{(\nu)}}(f) \leq\left\|f-\varphi^{*}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}} \leq\left\|f-\varphi^{(\nu)}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of the novelties with Tang's algorithm in comparison to previous algorithms at the time of its inception is that it can be shown to converge quadratically if certain conditions are met, see [45, 46] for further details. If one assumes that $\boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu)}>0$ for all sufficiently large $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$
\liminf _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|f-\varphi^{(\nu)}\right\|_{\mathbf{E}}-L_{\boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{z}^{(\nu)}}(f)}{L_{\boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{z}^{(\nu)}}(f)}=0
$$

A simple proof of this can be found in [16]. As a consequence it follows that at least a subsequence of $\varphi^{(\nu)}$ converges to $\varphi^{*}$ under the assumption that the minimizer is unique. It should be mentioned that in our computation of Chebyshev polynomials, we have typically observed rapid convergence.

## 3 Computations of Chebyshev polynomials

We now turn to the computation of Chebyshev polynomials in the complex plane. We stress the fact that this section will only contain computational results and the discussion of these are postponed to Section 4. To translate the notation from Section 2 to the present situation we let $n$ be a specified degree and $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a parametrization of a curve denoted $\mathbb{E}$. In order to compute $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ we let $f(t)=\gamma(t)^{n}$ and in the general case, we choose the basis as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
\varphi_{1}(t) & \varphi_{2}(t) & \cdots & \varphi_{n}(t) & \varphi_{n+1}(t) & \varphi_{n+2}(t) & \cdots & \varphi_{2 n}(t)
\end{array}\right]} \\
\quad=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & \gamma(t) & \cdots & \gamma(t)^{n-1} & i & i \gamma(t) & \cdots i \gamma(t)^{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}
$$

The algorithm, applied to this setting, will produce coefficients $\lambda_{1}, \cdots \lambda_{2 n}$ so that

$$
T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)=z^{n}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k}+i \lambda_{n+k}\right) z^{k-1}
$$

In many cases it is possible to exploit the symmetry of a set to reduce the size of the basis which significantly helps with speeding up the computation. As an example if E is conjugate symmetric meaning that

$$
z \in \mathrm{E} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \bar{z} \in \mathrm{E}
$$

then by the uniqueness of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ all coefficients appearing must be real. Hence the basis can be chosen to be the $n$-dimensional real linear space spanned by

$$
\varphi_{k}(t)=\gamma(t)^{k-1}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n
$$

In general, we have the following lemma, see also [12, Example 4.1].
Lemma 1. Let E denote a compact infinite set, satisfying

$$
\mathrm{E}=\left\{e^{2 \pi i m / n} z: z \in \mathrm{E}\right\}
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in\{0,1 \ldots, m-1\}$,

$$
T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)=z^{n m+l}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} z^{k m+l}=z^{l} Q_{n}\left(z^{m}\right)
$$

where $Q_{n}$ denotes a monic polynomial of degree $n$.

Proof. The proof is an easy consequence of the uniqueness of Chebyshev polynomials. Considering the polynomial

$$
e^{-2 \pi i m l / n} T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(e^{2 \pi i m / n} z\right)=z^{n m+l}+\text { lower order terms },
$$

we see that this is a monic polynomial with the same norm as $T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}$ on E . From uniqueness of the corresponding Chebyshev polynomial we conclude that

$$
e^{-2 \pi i m l / n} T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(e^{2 \pi i m / n} z\right)=T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)
$$

which immediately implies the result.
As a consequence of Lemma 1 it is possible to exploit the symmetry of the underlying set in order to make further reductions on the size of the basis used in Tang's algorithm.

We will consider the computation of Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to a plethora of sets for which the asymptotics remain unknown. Firstly we will consider the computation of Widom factors, $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ as defined in (9). Secondly we will investigate a possible connection between Chebyshev polynomials and Faber polynomials using numerical experiments. Finally we will consider the computation of zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$.

Remark 1. Let us heavily emphasize the fact that the computations performed here will provide $n$th degree monic polynomials $P_{n}$ such that $\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}$ is close to the theoretical minimum $\left\|T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}$. Furthermore, $\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}-\left\|T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}$ can be explicitly upper bounded in the computations using (16). This implies that Widom factors can be accurately estimated. Regarding intricate polynomial properties such as their coefficients and zeros, the algorithm has to be used with care. Although it is true that if $\|P\|_{\mathrm{E}}$ is close to $\left\|T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}$ then their distance is small in every measurable way, it is in general difficult to quantify this. We remark however, that the computations are consistent in the sense that the behaviors here exhibited do not change as the precision is increased further.

### 3.1 Computations of Widom Factors

As was already stated in Section 1 we recall that if $E$ denotes the closure of a Jordan domain with $C^{1+\alpha}$ boundary then it is known that $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E}) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, see [42, 43, 51]. If E is convex it is possible to conclude that $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E}) \leq 2$, see [28]. If E is a quasi-disk then $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})$ is known to be bounded 4]. Likewise, the assumption that the outer boundary of $E$ consists of dini-smooth arcs which are disjoint apart from their endpoints which do not have external cusps also implies that $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})$ is bounded, see [49, Theorem 2.1]. Informally stated, an external cusp is a point where the intersecting arcs form an angle of $2 \pi$ on the interior of E so that it "points away" from the unbounded complement. Apart from these results, very few general estimates exist regarding Widom factors related to compact sets, even with the additional assumption that they are closures of Jordan domains.

We stress the fact that $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})$ is invariant under dilations and translations in the sense that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ we have

$$
\mathcal{W}_{n}(\alpha \mathrm{E}+\beta)=\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})
$$

see 18. Therefore it is always possible to rotate and scale the set in question in a way so that symmetries can be easily exploited without affecting the Widom factors. We remind the reader that in the following section we will simply present the results of numerical computations and leave the discussion of these results to Section 4.

### 3.1.1 Regular polygon

Simple examples of piecewise analytic Jordan domains with corners are the regular polygons or simply $m$-gons if they have $m$ sides of equal length. Due to the convexity of such sets we immediately gather that if E is a regular polygon then $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E}) \leq 2$. It is not known whether the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})\right\}$ converges in this case and we therefore proceed with studying the corresponding Widom factors numerically. Previous numerical considerations for Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to a square have been undertaken in 32 for degrees up to 16. These, however, lack the perspective of Widom factors. The logarithmic capacity of a regular $m$-gon $E$ can be found in [33, Table 5.1]. It is there stated that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})=\frac{\Gamma(1 / m)}{2^{1+2 / m} \pi^{1 / 2} \Gamma(1 / 2+1 / m)} \cdot \text { side length }(\mathrm{E}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use this formula together with Tang's algorithm to compute the Widom factors corresponding to different $m$-gons. If the corners are located at

$$
\left\{\left.\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i k}{m}\right) \right\rvert\, k=0,1, \ldots, m-1\right\}
$$

then the set is invariant under rotations by an angle of $2 \pi / m$ and hence Lemma 1 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)=z^{l} Q_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(z^{m}\right), \quad l=0,1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n$, depending on $m$, whose coefficients are all real. From 18 it follows that $n$ basis elements are needed in Tang's algorithm to compute $T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}$. We use the following notation:

- $\mathrm{E}_{\Delta}$ - the equilateral triangle, $m=3$,
- $\mathrm{E}_{\square}$ - the square, $m=4$,
- $\mathrm{E}_{\square}$ - the pentagon, $m=5$,
- $\mathrm{E}_{\square}$ - the hexagon, $m=6$.

The corresponding Widom factors are illustrated in Table 1 and Figures 1a 1d and will be further discussed in Section 4.

|  | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\Delta}\right)$ | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\square}\right)$ | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\square}\right)$ | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\square}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=5$ | 1.3090 | 1.2784 | 1.2135 | 1.5142 |
| $n=10$ | 1.1427 | 1.1298 | 1.1424 | 1.1736 |
| $n=25$ | 1.0549 | 1.0497 | 1.0554 | 1.0632 |
| $n=50$ | 1.0271 | 1.0245 | 1.0272 | 1.0314 |
| $n=90$ | - | 1.0135 | 1.0150 | 1.0173 |
| $n=120$ | - | 1.0104 | 1.0112 | 1.0130 |

Table 1: Widom factors corresponding to regular polygons, computed with an accuracy of $10^{-5}$ using Tang's algorithm.


Figure 1: The different colors used in Figures 1 a 1d represent different values of $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ for $\mathcal{W}_{n m+l}$. The dotted blue line represents the value $\frac{m+2}{m}$ which relates to the Faber polynomials.

### 3.1.2 Hypocycloid

Examples of sets which are not quasi-circles are sets which contain outward pointing cusps on their boundary. With an "outward pointing cusp" we simply mean that the exterior angle at such a point is $2 \pi$. For a pictorial representation the reader can consult Figures 5g 5j since examples of sets containing cusps are the $m$-cusped hypocycloids. These are the Jordan curves $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{m}\right\}$ defined via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{m}:=\left\{e^{i \theta}+\frac{e^{-i(m-1) \theta}}{m-1}: \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that if $\Phi$ is the external conformal map from the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathrm{H}_{m}$ to $\{z:|z|>1\}$ satisfying $\Phi(z)=\operatorname{Cap}\left(\mathrm{H}_{m}\right)^{-1} z+O(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$
\Phi^{-1}(z)=z+\frac{z^{-(m-1)}}{m-1}
$$

and hence $\operatorname{Cap}\left(\mathrm{H}_{m}\right)=1$ for any $m$.
The corresponding Faber polynomials have been studied in [23, 24]. Particular focus has been directed toward the corresponding zero distributions which are confined to straight lines.

Clearly the sets $\mathrm{H}_{m}$ are invariant under rotations by $e^{2 \pi i / m}$ and therefore Lemma 1 implies that

$$
T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{H}_{m}}(z)=z^{l} Q_{n}^{\mathrm{H}_{m}}\left(z^{m}\right),
$$

where $Q_{n}^{\mathrm{H}_{m}}$ is a monic polynomial with real coefficients. The corresponding Widom factors are illustrated in Table 2 and Figures 2a 2 d and will be further discussed in section 4.

|  | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=5$ | 1.6959 | 1.5212 | 1.6445 | 2.48832 |
| $n=10$ | 1.4315 | 1.4078 | 1.4091 | 1.4744 |
| $n=25$ | 1.2518 | 1.2404 | 1.2493 | 1.2664 |
| $n=50$ | 1.1717 | 1.1626 | 1.1674 | 1.1759 |
| $n=90$ | - | 1.1113 | 1.1213 | 1.1269 |

Table 2: Widom factors corresponding to $m$-cusped Hypocycloids, computed with an accuracy of $10^{-5}$ using Tang's algorithm.


Figure 2: The different colors used in Figures 2ad represent different values of $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ for $\mathcal{W}_{n m+l}$.

### 3.1.3 Circular Lunes

As a final example of the computation of Widom factors we consider the case of circular lunes, see Figures 5e and 5f. Given $\alpha \in(0,2$ ], we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha \frac{1+\left(\frac{w-1}{w+1}\right)^{\alpha}}{1-\left(\frac{w-1}{w+1}\right)^{\alpha}}:|w|=1\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with vertices at $\pm \alpha$ and exterior angle $\alpha \pi$. The structure of such sets heavily depend on the value of the parameter $\alpha$. If $\alpha \in(0,1)$ then the set is nonconvex while if $\alpha \in(1,2)$ the set is convex. The extreme cases are $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=[-2,2]$. We will consider two parameter values, namely $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha=\frac{3}{2}$ as they cover the cases of concavity and convexity. Irregardless of the parameter value of $\alpha$, the set $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ is symmetric with respect to both axes. From Lemma 1 we conclude that

$$
T_{2 n+l}^{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}}=z^{l} Q_{n}^{\alpha}\left(z^{2}\right)
$$

where $Q_{n}^{\alpha}$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n$ with real coefficients. The results of the computations using Tang's algorithm are illustrated in Table 3 and Figures 3 a and 3b.

|  | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1 / 2}\right)$ | $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3 / 2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=10$ | 1.0404 | 1.0619 |
| $n=25$ | 1.0341 | 1.0244 |
| $n=30$ | 1.0212 | 1.0203 |
| $n=35$ | 1.0261 | 1.0174 |
| $n=45$ | 1.0213 | 1.0135 |

Table 3: Widom factors corresponding to circular lunes, computed with an accuracy of $10^{-5}$ using Tang's algorithm.


Figure 3: The different colors used in Figures $3 \mathrm{a} \& 3 \mathrm{~b}$ represent different values of $l \in\{0,1\}$ for $\mathcal{W}_{2 n+l}$.

### 3.2 The Faber connection

Our initial interest in computing Chebyshev polynomials originated in studies of their zeros. One part of this study concerned Chebyshev polynomials on level curves corresponding to the exterior conformal map of a simply connected set $E$. More precisely, if $\Phi$ is the exterior conformal map we investigated Chebyshev polynomials on the level curves

$$
\mathrm{E}^{r}:=\{z:|\Phi(z)|=r\}
$$

and found that the corresponding zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}^{r}}$ seemed to converge for increasing $r$. By simultaneously plotting the zeros of the Faber polynomials, the picture became quite clear. The zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}^{r}}$, as $r$ increased, appeared to accumulate at the zeros of the corresponding Faber polynomials. We investigate this possible relation numerically for lemniscates, hypocycloids and circular lunes.

### 3.2.1 Lemniscates

For given parameters $r>0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a family of compact lemniscatic sets via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{m}^{r}=\left\{z:\left|z^{m}-1\right|=r^{m}\right\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pictorial representation of such sets can be found in Figures 5k and 51, From (4) we gather that $\operatorname{Cap}\left(\mathrm{L}_{m}^{r}\right)=r$ and since the polynomial $\left(z^{m}-1\right)^{n}$ saturates the lower bound in (3) we see that $T_{n m}^{L_{m}^{r}}(z)=\left(z^{m}-1\right)^{n}$. For the remaining degrees we apply Lemma 1 to draw the conclusion that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{L}_{m}^{r}}(z)=z^{l} Q_{n}^{\mathrm{L}_{m}^{r}}\left(z^{m}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{n}^{\left\llcorner_{m}^{r}\right.}$ is a monic polynomial whose coefficients are all real. The parameter $r$ determines three separate regimes of sets.

- If $r>1$ then $L_{m}^{r}$ is the closure of an analytic Jordan domain.
- If $r=1$, we write $\mathrm{L}_{m}^{1}=\mathrm{L}_{m}$ and in this case $\mathrm{L}_{m}$ is connected however its interior is not.
- If $0<r<1$ then $\mathrm{L}_{m}^{r}$ consists of $m$ components.

Since $T_{m m}^{\mathrm{L}_{m}^{r}}(z)=\left(z^{m}-1\right)^{n}$, we see that $\mathcal{W}_{n m}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{m}^{r}\right)=1$ for any $n, m$ and $r$. The question is what the asymptotic behavior is for the remaining sequences of degrees. For $r>1$ it follows immediately from (8) that $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{m}^{r}\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since the boundary is an analytic Jordan curve. If $0<r<1$ then it is known that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{m}^{r}\right)>1$, see [51]. The remaining case, when $r=1$, is handled by [5, Corollary 2] where it is shown that $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{m}^{1}\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the following discussion we limit ourselves to the case $m=2$ and write $\mathrm{L}^{r}=\mathrm{L}_{2}^{r}$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{1}$. It should be stressed that analogous considerations are possible for any $m$. The set L is the classical Bernoulli lemniscate.

The conformal map taking $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathrm{L}$ to $\{z:|z|>1\}$ with $\Phi(\infty)=\infty$ is given by

$$
\Phi(z)=\sqrt{z^{2}-1}
$$

where the branch is chosen such that $\Phi(z)=z+O(1)$ at infinity. It follows from (7) that

$$
F_{2 n}^{\mathrm{L}}(z)=\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{n}
$$

and hence $T_{2 n}^{\mathrm{L}}=F_{2 n}^{\mathrm{L}}$ for any value of $n$. We investigate if there is a possible relation between $F_{2 n+1}^{\llcorner }$and $T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}^{r}}$ as well.

It is possible to determine the Chebyshev polynomial of degree 3 corresponding to $L^{r}$ explicitly by solving the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left|z\left(z^{2}+a\right)\right|^{2}=0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\left|z\left(z^{2}+a\right)\right|^{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $z=\sqrt{r^{2} e^{i \theta}+1}$. For $r \geq 1$ a computation shows that the solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{3}^{\left\llcorner^{r}\right.}(z)=z\left(z^{2}-\frac{1}{5}\left(4-r^{4}+\sqrt{1+7 r^{4}+r^{8}}\right)\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the Taylor expansion of $\Phi$ it is easy to see that

$$
F_{3}^{\mathrm{L}}(z)=z\left(z^{2}-\frac{3}{2}\right)
$$

and hence we gather from (23) that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} T_{3}^{L^{r}}(z)=F_{3}^{\mathrm{L}}(z)$ uniformly on compact subsets of the complex plane. The question is whether this should be considered an anomaly or a potential link between Chebyshev polynomials and Faber polynomials. The natural procedure is of course to consider further examples. We do so numerically using Tang's algorithm.

We define a norm on polynomials in the following way. If $P(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$ then $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P\|_{\infty}=\max _{0 \leq k \leq n}\left|a_{k}\right| . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our aim with this is to display the difference

$$
\left\|T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}^{r}}-F_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

and illustrate that this appears to tend to 0 with $r$. Such a difference is illustrated in Figure 4 a

### 3.2.2 Hypocycloid

We continue the considerations concerning a possible relation between Faber polynomials and Chebyshev polynomials on level curves corresponding to conformal maps. We therefore return to the family of $m$-cusped hypocycloids $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{m}\right\}$. The Faber polynomials $F_{n}^{\mathrm{H}_{m}}$ can be computed using [24, Proposition 2.3].

For $r>1$, we let

$$
\mathrm{H}_{m}^{r}:=\left\{r e^{i \theta}+\frac{\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)^{-(m-1)}}{m-1}: \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\} .
$$

If $\Phi$ denotes the external conformal map from the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathrm{H}_{m}$ to $\{z:|z|>1\}$ with $\Phi(z)=z+O(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ then $\mathrm{H}_{m}^{r}$ is the analytic Jordan curve where $\Phi$ attains modulus $r$. With the intention of considering the possibility that

$$
T_{n}^{\mathbf{H}_{m}^{r}} \rightarrow F_{n}^{\mathbf{H}_{m}}
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we compute $\left\|F_{n}^{\mathbf{H}_{m}}-T_{n}^{\mathbf{H}_{m}^{r}}\right\|_{\infty}$ for $m=5$. The graph is illustrated in Figure 4b,

### 3.2.3 Circular Lunes

We end the considerations of comparing Chebyshev polynomials to Faber polynomials by considering the case of circular lunes. As an example we consider the case where $\alpha=1 / 2$. In this case the canonical external conformal map $\Phi$ from the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathrm{C}_{1 / 2}$ to the exterior of the closed unit disk has the simple form

$$
\Phi(z)=\frac{z^{2}+1 / 4}{z}
$$

We therefore find that

$$
F_{2 n+l}^{\mathrm{C}_{1 / 2}(z)}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 n+l}{k} 4^{-k} z^{2 n+l-2 k}
$$

For $r \geq 1$, we let

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}^{r}=\{z:|\Phi(z)|=r\}=\left\{\alpha \frac{1+\left(\frac{w-1}{w+1}\right)^{\alpha}}{1-\left(\frac{w-1}{w+1}\right)^{\alpha}}:|w|=r\right\}
$$

The computed difference $\left\|F_{n}^{\mathrm{C}_{1 / 2}}-T_{n}^{\mathrm{C}_{1 / 2}^{r}}\right\|_{\infty}$ for $n=11$ is illustrated in Figure 4 c.


Figure 4: The figures represents $\left\|F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}-T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}_{r}}\right\|_{\infty}$ as functions of $r>0$ for different E and $n=11$.

### 3.3 Zero distribution

Our final computations concerns computing the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ for different compact sets E . In Figures 5 Fa 5d the zeros corresponding to $\mathrm{E}_{\Delta}, \mathrm{E}_{\square}, \mathrm{E}_{\square}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\square}$ are computed. In Figures 5 be and $5 f$ the zeros of certain $T_{n}^{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}}$ are illustrated for $\alpha=1 / 2$ and $\alpha=3 / 2$. In Figures 5 g (5j the zeros of certain $T_{n}^{\mathrm{H}_{m}}$ are computed for different values of $m$ and $n$. In Figures 5k and 51 the zeros corresponding to $T_{n}^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $T_{n}^{\mathrm{L}^{r}}$ are computed. To complement the plots of the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{L}^{r}}$ we also plot the zeros of Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to two different families of lemniscates. In particular lemniscates of the form

$$
\mathrm{E}_{4}^{r}:=\left\{z:\left|z^{4}-z^{2}\right|=r / 4\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{E}_{3}^{r}:=\left\{z:\left|z^{3}+z+1\right|=r\right\} .
$$

The corresponding zero plots are given in Figures 5 m and 5 n . We again stress the fact that the computations are approximative since we compute polynomials $P$ whose norms are close to $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$.


Figure 5: Zeros of Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to different sets. In Figures $51,5 \mathrm{~m}$ and 5 n the Chebyshev polynomials are associated with the filled in curve. The dotted curve corresponds to the critical level curve.

## 4 Discussion

In Section 3 we saw several examples of computations of Chebyshev polynomials that we here wish to discuss further.

### 4.1 Widom factors

The Widom factors computed in Section 3 are computed to a high degree of accuracy. We believe that Tang's algorithm can be very useful in getting suggested behavior regarding the Widom factors corresponding to a set. This method has previously been applied in [8] where a result on the limits of Widom factors first conjectured using numerical experiments - was resolved theoretically. The conjecture whose validity we wish to argue for is the following.

Conjecture 1. Let $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the closure of a Jordan domain with piecewise analytic boundary where none of the singularities of $\partial \mathrm{E}$ are cusp points. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_{n}(E)=1
$$

### 4.1.1 Regular polygon

We begin by discussing the Widom factors computed for the regular polygons. As we previously remarked, it is known that these are bounded by 2 due to the inherent convexity of the set but apart from this bound, not much is known. The plots in Figures $1 \mathrm{a}-1 \mathrm{~d}$ clearly suggests that $\left\{\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})\right\}$ is monotonically decreasing in $n$ if $n>2$ and E is an $m$-gon. Furthermore, it seems to be the case that the Widom factors converge to 1 . This is in accordance with Conjecture 1. The computations clearly suggests that there is differing behavior between Chebyshev polynomials and Faber polynomials corresponding to the regular $m$ gon in terms of their supremum value. Indeed, by [31, Theorem II.2.1], we see that if $\mathrm{E}_{m}$ is an $m$-gon with corners at $\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i k}{m}\right)$ then

$$
\left|F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}_{m}}\left(e^{\frac{2 \pi i k}{m}}\right)\right|=\left(\frac{2+m}{m}+O\left(n^{-\frac{2+m}{m}}\right)\right) \operatorname{Cap}\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}^{n}\right)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $k=0,1,2 \ldots, m-1$. In conclusion, we see that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}_{m}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}_{m}}}{\operatorname{Cap}\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}\right)^{n}} \geq \frac{2+m}{m}
$$

We remark that the dotted lines visible in Figures 1a, 1d represent the value $(2+m) / m$. If we choose to believe that $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}\right)$ decrease monotonically for $n \geq 2$ then as Figures 1ad 1 d illustrate, the norms of the Chebyshev polynomials are significantly smaller.

Based on these considerations, the Faber polynomials corresponding to the regular polygons presumably do not provide good enough estimates as trial
polynomials to determine the limits of the Widom factors. In short, we believe that the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}\right)\right\}$ decreases monotonically if $n \geq 2$ and that the limit is 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

One approach in proving that the limit value is 1 is to analyze some wellsuited family of trial polynomials whose normalized norms converge to 1. How to construct such a family is not immediately clear to us. Under the assumption that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}\right)=1$ holds this would not constitute the only example where the Faber polynomials are ill-suited trial polynomials for determining the detailed behavior of $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})$. In the extreme case, an example of Clunie [13] further studied by Suetin [43, p. 179] and Gaier [17] illustrates the existence of a quasi-disk $E$ such that the quantity

$$
\frac{\left\|F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{n}}
$$

is unbounded in $n$ along some sparse subsequence. In comparison [4, Theorem 1] shows that $\mathcal{W}_{n}(\mathrm{E})$ is still bounded in this case.

### 4.1.2 Hypocycloid

Recall that $\mathrm{H}_{m}$ denotes the m-cusped hypocycloid defined in 19 . Since $\mathrm{H}_{m}$ is piecewise analytic away from the cusp points which are outward pointing, [49, Theorem 2.1] can be applied to deduce that $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{m}\right)$ is bounded. The Faber polynomials again seem ill-suited in order draw conclusions concerning the precise behavior of the Widom factors in this case since it is shown in [24] that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|F_{n}^{\mathrm{H}_{m}}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}_{m}} \geq 2
$$

for $m=2,3,4$. Comparisons with Faber polynomials are therefore inconclusive as to whether

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{m}\right) \leq 2
$$

holds or not. The numerical experiments illustrated in Figures 2at 2d paint a richer picture. Again, it seems likely that the sequence $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{m}\right)$ decreases monotonically, suggesting that the sequence $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{m}\right)$ has a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In comparison to the Widom factors of the regular $m$-gons, the decay appears to be slower in this case. We find it reasonable to assume that that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{m}\right)$ - if it exists - should be smaller than 2 due to the monotonicity pattern and the values computed in Table 2 . We find it difficult to say whether the correct conjecture is that the sequence converges to the theoretical minimal value of 1 since the decay seems to be slow. For this reason we believe that "outward pointing cusps" should be excluded from Conjecture 1 since it is not clear even in the case of the $m$-cusped hypocycloid if the associated Widom factors asymptotically saturates (3).

### 4.1.3 Circular lune

Recall that $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$, defined in (20), denotes the circular lune with vertices at $\pm \alpha$ and exterior angle $\pi \alpha$. Based on the plots in Figures 3a and 3b together with the computations in Table 3, it seems likely that the Widom factors corresponding to $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ converges to 1 . It is interesting to note that when the set is convex then the whole sequence $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}\right)$ appears to be monotonically decreasing, see Figure 3 b On the other hand, if $\alpha \in(0,1)$ then two distinct monotonically decreasing subsequences of $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}\right)$ emerge based on the parity of the degrees. We believe that the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+l}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}\right)\right\}_{n}$ is monotonically decreasing to 1 for fixed $l \in\{0,1\}$ if $\alpha \in(0,2)$. The case that $\alpha=2$ is excluded for it is classical that $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)=2$ for any value of $n$. Also classical is the fact that $\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)=1$. We believe that this example shows that for a nice enough bounding curve, it is not necessary that the set is convex for the sequence of Widom factors to converge to the theoretical minimal value. This also motivates our quite general formulation of Conjecture 1

### 4.2 Motivating the Faber connection

The Chebyshev polynomials and Faber polynomials will both exhibit similar symmetric structure as the corresponding underlying set. To see this, one should compare Lemma 1 to [21, Theorem 2.2] or [22, Theorem 2.1]. This comparison is essentially encapsulated in the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2. If E is invariant under rotations of $2 \pi / m$ then both the Chebyshev polynomial and Faber polynomial of respective degrees $n m+l$ are polynomials in $z^{m}$ multiplied by the factor $z^{l}$ for $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$. In particular,

$$
T_{l}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)=F_{l}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)=z^{l}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq m-1
$$

Proof. We already saw that $T_{l}^{\mathrm{E}}=z^{l}$ in this case in Lemma 1 . The result follows from the fact that if $\Phi$ is the conformal map from (6) then

$$
e^{-2 \pi i / m} \Phi\left(e^{2 \pi i / m} z\right)=\Phi(z)
$$

from which we gather that

$$
F_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(e^{2 \pi i / m} z\right)=e^{2 \pi i l / m} F_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)
$$

if $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$. In the special case where $n=0$ we see that $F_{l}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)=$ $z^{l}$.

Of course Lemma 2 has more to do with the rotational symmetry of a set than any other property. It does, however, give several easy examples where the two families of polynomials overlap.

If E is a rectifiable Jordan curve and $\Phi$ is the conformal map from the exterior of E to $\{z:|z|>1\}$ of the form

$$
\Phi(z)=\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{-1} z+a_{0}+a_{-1} z^{-1}+\cdots
$$

then it can be shown that

$$
F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}(z)=[\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E}) \Phi(z)]^{n}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{r^{n}}\right)\right)
$$

for $z \in \mathrm{E}^{r}:=\{\zeta:|\Phi(\zeta)|=r\}$. If $[\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E}) \Phi(z)]^{n}$ was a polynomial of degree $n$ then it would follow from (3) that it would coincide with the corresponding Chebyshev polynomial. We have already seen examples of this when studying lemniscates. Although this is rarely the case, we observe that $F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ will be an increasingly good candidate for obtaining relatively small maximum values on $\mathrm{E}^{r}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. For a fixed degree $n, F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ will be asymptotically minimal on $\mathrm{E}^{r}$ in the sense that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}^{r}}}{\operatorname{Cap}\left(\mathrm{E}^{r}\right)^{n}}=1
$$

We believe that this serves as motivation for why one could expect

$$
T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}^{r}} \rightarrow F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ to hold in general. Based on the numerical data illustrated in Figure 4 this is clearly hinted upon for these specific domains. We therefore make the following conjecture.
Conjecture 2. Let E denote a connected compact set with simply connected complement and let $\Phi: \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathrm{E} \rightarrow\{z:|z|>1\}$ denote the conformal map of the form

$$
\Phi(z)=\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{-1} z+a_{0}+a_{-1} z^{-1}+\cdots .
$$

If $\mathrm{E}^{r}=\{z:|\Phi(z)|=r\}$ then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}^{r}}=F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}} .
$$

We find the data presented in Figure 4 convincing in suggesting the validity of Conjecture 2 for these specific types of sets and remark that similar patterns have materialized for any other combination of degrees and sets that we have considered. In the general case it is clear that $\mathrm{E}^{r}$ will be an analytic curve for $r>1$ and hence the regularity of the boundary of E is perhaps of less importance since the Faber polynomials corresponding to E are the same as the ones corresponding to $\mathrm{E}^{r}$. We stress again the fact that the algorithm outputs polynomials $P_{n}$ such that $\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}-\left\|T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}$ is small. This is not exactly the same as saying that $\left\|P_{n}-T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\infty}$ is small with $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ defined in (24). What is true, is that for a fixed $n,\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}} \rightarrow\left\|T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}$ implies that $\left\|P_{n}-T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$. The computations remain consistent throughout. No matter how close we approximate the minimal norm, the behavior as suggested in Figure 4 remains.

### 4.3 Zero distributions

We recall that if $P$ is a polynomial then $\nu$ is the probability measure defined in Section 1 via the formula

$$
\nu(P)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(P)} \sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{deg}(P)} \delta_{z_{j}}
$$

where $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ are the zeros of $P$ counting multiplicity. Also, given a compact set E we use $\mu_{\mathrm{E}}$ to denote the equilibrium measure on E .

It is shown in 40 that the zeros corresponding to the closure of a Jordan domain stay away from the boundary precisely when the bounding curve is analytic. As such we see that in all of our examples, except for the cases of lemniscates $\{z:|P(z)|=r\}$ with analytic boundary, the zeros should approach some part of the boundary. From [11, Theorem 1.1] we gather that every "corner point" on the respective sets $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{E}_{m}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{m}$ should attract zeros. This also appears to be the case, albeit, slowly for $\mathrm{C}_{1 / 2}$.

Predicting the behavior of zeros of extremal polynomials based on plots has proven hazardous in the past. In particular, we refer to the reader to [38] where five conjectures concerning limiting zero distributions are made very plausible using numerical plots only to be proven to be wrong using theoretical results. However, if one chooses to believe that Conjecture 2 is true then this alludes to the possibility that potential weak-star limits of $\nu\left(T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ and $\nu\left(F_{n}^{\mathbf{E}}\right)$ are related. In the cases where we boldly propose conjectures regarding weak-star convergence of $\nu\left(T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ we emphasize that the corresponding weak-star limits of the counting measures $\nu\left(F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ are known to have this very behavior.

### 4.3.1 Regular polygons

We adopt the notation $\mathrm{E}_{m}$ to denote the regular polygon with $m$ sides. As is suggested by Figures 5at5d, the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}_{m}}$ for low degrees appear to lie on the diagonal lines between the vertices and the origin. However, by increasing the degree it seems clear that the zeros approach the boundary. In [38] the case of Faber polynomials on $E_{3}$ are discussed. Here the authors specify that for small degrees the zeros of $F_{n}^{E_{3}}$ appear to distribute along the diagonals however they also note that as a consequence of [29, Theorem 1.5] at least a subsequence of $\nu\left(F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}_{3}}\right)$ converges in the weak-star sense to $\mu_{\mathrm{E}_{3}}$ which is supported on the boundary. The zeros of certain $F_{n}^{\mathrm{E}_{m}}$ are illustrated in [22] and appear to behave very similar to the ones for $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}_{m}}$ computed here. We therefore believe that the zeros should approach the boundary in the sense that (11) should hold for every compact set in the interior. This would of course also imply that

$$
\nu\left(T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}_{m}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{E}_{m}}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

### 4.3.2 Circular lune

Recall the definition of $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ from (20). Based on the plot in Figure 5 e it appears as most of the zeros approach the boundary in the case when $\alpha=1 / 2$ and that (11) should hold for any compact set contained in the interior. This is in fact a known result and follows from [39, Theorem 2.1]. Indeed, from there we gather that $\nu\left(T_{n}^{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$. In this sense the computed polynomials serves to confirm the predicted behaviour from theoretical results. For any value of $\alpha \in(0,1) \cup(1,2)$ it follows from [29, Theorem 1.5] that $\nu\left(F_{n}^{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}}$ along some subsequence. Again, motivated by the belief that the conjectured similarities between Chebyshev polynomials and Faber polynomials persists for $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ together with the strong resemblance between the plots of zeros for Faber polynomials in [22] with the corresponding zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}}$ computed here, we suspect that

$$
\nu\left(T_{n}^{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

for any value of $\alpha \in(0,1) \cup(1,2)$. Note that $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathbb{T}$ and hence $T_{n}^{\mathrm{C}_{1}}(z)=z^{n}$ has all its zeros at the origin.

Based on the examples of the regular polygons and circular lunes together with our belief that Conjecture 2 is valid we conjecture the following result which is a partial reformulation of [29, Theorem 1.5] to the setting of Chebyshev polynomials. We define a singularity point of a piecewise analytic curve as a point where the derivative of the arc-length parametrization of the curve has limits from either sides but form an angle $\lambda \neq \pi$ to each other.
Conjecture 3. Let $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the closure of a Jordan domain with piecewise analytic boundary such that $\partial \mathrm{E}$ has a singularity other than an outward cusp. Then there is a subsequence $\left\{T_{n_{k}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right\}$ such that

$$
\nu\left(T_{n_{k}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{E}}, \quad n_{k} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

### 4.3.3 Hypocycloid

The reason that an outward cusp is excluded in Conjecture 3 is that the result does not hold in the Faber setting if the bounding curve has an outward cusp as is shown in [24]. Indeed, exactly as is the case for Faber polynomials, we believe that the example of an hypocycloid provides an example where the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}}$ do not approach all of the boundary. It is clearly suggested by Figures 5g 5j that the support of $\nu\left(T_{n}^{\mathrm{H}_{m}}\right)$ is confined to the diagonals between the cusps and the origin for all values of $n$ computed. This is in accordance with the behavior exhibited by $\nu\left(F_{n}^{\mathbf{H}_{m}}\right)$ and we believe that an analogous result as [24, Theorem 3.1] is true in this case.

Conjecture 4. The zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathbf{H}_{m}^{r}}$ for $r \geq 1$ are confined to the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{t e^{2 \pi i k / m}: 0 \leq t \leq \frac{m}{m-1}, k=0,1, \ldots, m-1\right\} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, if we choose to believe Conjecture 2 then this together with Conjecture 4 would imply that the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{H}_{m}^{r}}$ would move along the straight diagonals as $r$ increases and approach the corresponding zeros of the Faber polynomials, this is something we find reasonable to believe.

On the other hand, we note that numerical simulations indicate that the zeros of the corresponding Bergman polynomials corresponding to $\mathrm{H}_{m}$ and its interior, all lie on the straight lines in 25 for small degrees. However it follows from [38, Theorem 2.1] that at least a subsequence of the Bergman polynomials have zero counting measures converging weak-star to $\mu_{\mathrm{H}_{m}}$.

### 4.3.4 Lemniscate

Recall that $\mathrm{L}_{m}^{r}=\left\{z:\left|z^{m}-1\right|=r^{m}\right\}, \mathrm{L}_{2}^{r}=\mathrm{L}^{r}$ and that $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{1}$. Based on Figure 5 k it seems reasonable to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu\left(T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)(M)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any compact set $M$ contained in $\left\{z:\left|z^{2}-1\right|<1\right\}$. It actually appears to be the case that all the zeros approach the boundary. The main theorem in [40], which states that zeros of Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to an analytic Jordan curve stay away from the boundary is not applicable in this case because $L$ does not have a connected interior. If 26 could be established, a consequence of this would be that $\nu\left(T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ converges in the weak-star sense to the equilibrium measure on L . It should be noted in this regard that by changing the variable to $\zeta=z^{2}-1$ it follows that

$$
T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}(z)=(\zeta+1)^{1 / 2} T_{n}^{1 / 2}(\zeta)
$$

where $T_{n}^{1 / 2}$ is the monic minimizer of the expression

$$
\max _{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}}\left|(\zeta+1)^{1 / 2}\left(\zeta^{n}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} \zeta^{k}\right)\right| .
$$

Corresponding to each weight of the form $|\zeta+1|^{s}$ for $s \geq 0$ there is a minimizing weighted Chebyshev polynomial which we denote with $T_{n}^{s}$, see [5]. In the particular case where $s=1$ it is shown in [5. Theorem 3] that $\nu\left(T_{n}^{1}\right)$ converges weak-star to equilibrium measure on $\mathbb{T}$. This implies that an analogous result as (26) is valid for compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. There is no reason to believe that such a result should exclusively hold for the parameter value of $s=1$ and we therefore suspect that

$$
\nu\left(T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{L}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Note that $\nu\left(T_{2 n}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{-1}+\delta_{1}\right)$ for any $n$ and hence very different zero behavior would be exhibited for the different subsequences if the conjecture is
true. This is however the case for the Faber polynomials. From a result in [50], it follows that

$$
\nu\left(F_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{L}} .
$$

Furthermore, it is shown there that all the zeros of $F_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}$ lie on or inside L.
We turn our attention to the outer lemniscates $\mathrm{L}^{r}$ with $r>1$. Surprisingly, based on Figure 51 it seems like the zeros of $T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}^{r}}$ all lie strictly inside L except for the single zero at 0 . Although the main Theorem in [40] implies that the zeros asymptotically stay away from $L^{r}$ there is no results hinting toward the fact the zeros seem to cluster on L . If one believes Conjecture 2 so that $T_{n}^{\mathrm{L}^{r}} \rightarrow F_{n}^{\mathrm{L}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ then it is reasonable to assume that the zeros of $T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}^{r}}$ lie on or inside L for all values of $n$ and $r$ since the zeros of $F_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}$ have this very behavior.

Analogous results seem to hold true with $\mathrm{L}^{r}$ replaced by $\mathrm{L}_{m}^{r}$ for any value of $m$ as the corresponding numerical simulations indicate the same pattern. Generalizations of Ullmans result concerning the asymptotic zero distribution of the Faber polynomials on $L_{m}$ can be found in [21]. We further believe that a general version of the above result can be formulated for any connected lemniscate. To understand this perspective we introduce the notion of a critical value of a polynomial. This is a number $P(z)$ where $z$ is such that $P^{\prime}(z)=0$. The polynomial $z^{2}-1$ has one critical value, namely -1 which is attained at the origin. This implies that the curve $L=\left\{z:\left|z^{2}-1\right|=1\right\}$ will contain a critical point of $z^{2}-1$ resulting in the fact that the curve forms a crossing with itself at the origin. In general, if $c$ is a critical value of a polynomial $P$ then $\{z:|P(z)|=|c|\}$ will contain a crossing point.

If we consider the polynomial $Q(z)=z^{4}-z^{2}$ then $Q$ has two critical values, namely $1 / 4$ and 0 . Upon inspection of Figure 5 m it becomes apparent that the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomials on the curve $\left\{z:\left|z^{4}-z^{2}\right|=5 / 4\right\}$ seem to approach the critical curve $\left\{z:\left|z^{4}-z^{2}\right|=1 / 4\right\}$ which correspond to the lemniscate where the largest critical value is attained (in modulus). Equivalently, this curve is characterized by being the curve $\left\{z:\left|z^{4}-z^{2}\right|=r\right\}$ with smallest value of $r>0$ which is connected.

A similar pattern emerges for the lemniscates of the form $\left\{z:\left|z^{3}+z+1\right|=r\right\}$ with $r \geq \sqrt{31 / 27}$, see Figure 5 n . For the polynomial $P(z)=z^{3}+z+1$ the critical point is $\pm i / \sqrt{3}$ and the corresponding critical value is $1 \pm i 2 / 3 \sqrt{3}$. Since $|1+i 2 / 3 \sqrt{3}|=\sqrt{31 / 27}$ we see that the critical lemniscate corresponds to $r=\sqrt{31 / 27}$. Again, this critical lemniscate seems to attract the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to larger values of $r$. We believe that this can be formulated as a general result as we have observed this very behavior for all lemniscates that we have considered.

Conjecture 5. Let $P$ be a polynomial of degree $m$ with largest critical value in terms of absolute value given by $c$. For any $r \geq|c|$ let

$$
\mathrm{E}^{r}=\{z:|P(z)|=r\}
$$

then for a fixed $l \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$

$$
\nu\left(T_{n m+l}^{\mathrm{E}^{r}}\right) \xrightarrow{*} \mu_{\mathrm{E}|c|}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Based on Figures 5 m and 5 n this seems to be the case. Observe that (5) implies that $T_{n m}^{\mathrm{E}^{r}}=a^{-n} P(z)^{n}$ where $a$ is the leading coefficient of $P$ in which case the zero counting measure is constant.

It could be further speculated what happens in the general case for level curves of conformal maps. Assume that E is a connected compact set with simply connected complement and $\Phi: \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathrm{E} \rightarrow\{z:|z|>1\}$ is the conformal map of the form $\Phi(z)=\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^{-1} z+O(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Again, introducing the set $\mathrm{E}^{r}=\{z:|\Phi(z)|=r\}$ then the bounding curve of $\mathrm{E}^{r}$ is analytic for $r>1$. From [40] we know that the zeros of $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}^{r}}$ asymptotically stay away from the boundary, in the sense that there exists a neighborhood of the boundary where $T_{n}^{\mathrm{E}^{r}}$ is zero free for large $n$. The question is if something similar as in the case of lemniscates happens in this situation. Do the zeros asymptotically approach E? This is true for the corresponding Faber polynomials and therefore the validity of Conjecture 2 could hint at this being true for the corresponding Chebyshev polynomials.

### 4.4 Concluding remarks

With this article, we hope to exemplify the usefulness of Tang's generalization of the Remez algorithm to the study of Chebyshev polynomials. Our research into the matters commenced by considering the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to the Bernoulli lemniscate

$$
\mathrm{L}=\left\{z:\left|z^{2}-1\right|=1\right\}
$$

Based on the fact that $T_{2 n}^{\mathrm{L}}(z)=\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{n}$ it was suggested in [10] that the odd Chebyshev polynomials $T_{2 n+1}^{\mathrm{L}}$ which apart from having a zero at the origin should behave similarly. Explicitly it is written on [10, p. 215] that "...we suspect (but cannot prove) that for $j$ large all the other zeros of $T_{2 j+1}$ lie in small neighborhoods of $\pm 1$ and that the above $d \mu_{\infty}$ is also the limit through odd $n$ 's." Here $d \mu_{\infty}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{-1}+\delta_{1}\right)$. We initially set out to show this. Since we did not progress in this regard we started considering numerical methods to compute the Chebyshev polynomials with the intent of better understanding how the zeros approached $\pm 1$. Using Tang's algorithm we could compute the Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to $L$ and the result surprised us. The zeros seemed to behave opposite to our conjecture and approached the bounding curve rather than the two points $\pm 1$. The use of the algorithm therefore showed us that the hypothesis we initially had believed was probably incorrect and that our conjecture should be modified. We made partial progress in proving Conjecture 3 in 5 by showing that a related problem satisfied the conjectured behavior. However, we are still lacking a complete proof of this.

With the algorithm at hand we set out to study Chebyshev polynomials corresponding to a wide variety of sets whose asymptotic behavior remain unknown. We believe that making use of the algorithm is a good way of getting predictions on the behavior of Chebyshev polynomials. The results in [5] and [8]
are based on conjectures formulated using initial numerical experiments. Some rather surprising results have also been suggested to us by numerical experiments along the way. In particular, the relation between Faber polynomials and Chebyshev polynomials specified in Conjecture 2 does not seem to have been given any attention in the literature in the past although the fact that they coincide for certain sets is known.

In short, we believe that use of Tang's algorithm in the study of Chebyshev polynomials may prove useful in the future when formulating conjectures on their asymptotic behavior.

## A Tang's algorithm

We recall that Tang's algorithm seeks a linear functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}}(g)=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} r_{j} \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{j}} g\left(z_{j}\right)\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

conditioned to satisfy $r_{j} \in[0,1], \alpha_{j} \in[0,2 \pi), z_{j} \in \mathrm{E}, \sum r_{j}=1$ and $L_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)=$ 0 for every $k=1, \ldots, n$. The goal with applying the algorithm is to obtain coefficients $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ such that

$$
\left\|f-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} \varphi_{k}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}
$$

is minimal.
The linear nature of the maximizing linear functional suggests that it is beneficial to change the perspective to linear algebra. We use the notation from [45, 46, 27, 16] and define the matrix

$$
A(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1  \tag{28}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{1}} \varphi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{2}} \varphi_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{n+1}} \varphi_{1}\left(z_{n+1}\right)\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{1}} \varphi_{n}\left(z_{1}\right)\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{2}} \varphi_{n}\left(z_{2}\right)\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{n+1}} \varphi_{n}\left(z_{n+1}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

together with the vector

$$
c_{f}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{1}} f\left(z_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{29}\\
\vdots \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \alpha_{n+1}} f\left(z_{n+1}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

It then follows from $\sqrt[13]{ }$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}}(f)=c_{f}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{T} \boldsymbol{r} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constraints 14 and 15 become embedded in the equation

$$
A(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \boldsymbol{r}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{31}\\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Parameters $\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}$ satisfying (31) are called admisible if additionally $A(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is invertible. If $\varphi^{*}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{*} \varphi_{k}, \lambda_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$, is a best approximation and $\boldsymbol{r}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}$, $\boldsymbol{z}^{*}$ are corresponding admissible parameters such that $L_{\boldsymbol{r}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}, \boldsymbol{z}^{*}}(f)=\left\|f-\varphi^{*}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}$ then

$$
A\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{T}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\|f-\varphi^{*}\right\|_{\mathrm{E}}  \tag{32}\\
\lambda_{1}^{*} \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_{n}^{*}
\end{array}\right)=c_{f}\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right)
$$

and therefore if $A\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right)$ is invertible we can recover the extremal coefficients $\lambda_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{*}$ from $A\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right)$ and $c_{f}\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right)$. We assume, as in 45, 46, 16 that $\mathrm{E}=I=:[0,1]$ (which is no restriction) since we can always parametrize E using $[0,1]$. To emphasize that we are working on $[0,1]$ we let $\boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{t}=\left\{t_{j}\right\}$.

For an implementation of the algorithm in Python, see 37.

```
Algorithm 1: Remez Exchange
    Input: admissible parameters \(\left\{t_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{r_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1}\)
    compute: \(\left[\begin{array}{ll}* & \boldsymbol{\lambda}\end{array}\right]^{T}=A(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1} c_{f}(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})\)
                                    // get trial coefficients \(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\)
    let: \(\varphi=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} \varphi_{k}\)
    1 if \(\|f-\varphi\|_{I}=c_{f}(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{T} \boldsymbol{r}\) then
        return: \(\left\{t_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{r_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}\)
                                    // best approximation found
    else
        find: \(x \in[0,1]\) and \(\vartheta \in[0,2 \pi)\) satisfying \(f(x)-\varphi(x)=e^{i \vartheta}\|f-\varphi\|_{I}\)
        let: \(\boldsymbol{d}\) be a solution to
            \(\boldsymbol{d} \cdot A(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \vartheta} \varphi_{1}(x)\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \vartheta} \varphi_{n}(x)\right)\end{array}\right]\)
        find: \(\rho=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{r_{k} / d_{k}: d_{k}>0\right\}\)
        let: \(t_{\rho}:=x\)
        let: \(\alpha_{\rho}:=\vartheta\)
        for \(j \in\{1 \cdots n+1\} \backslash\{\rho\}\) do
            \(r_{j}:=r_{j}-\delta \cdot d_{j}\)
        \(r_{\rho}=\delta\)
        return: \(\left\{t_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{r_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}\)
```

```
Algorithm 2: Remez Algorithm
    Input: Basis functions \(\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}, f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(I),\left\{t_{j}^{(1)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1},\left\{\alpha_{j}^{(1)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1}\)
                // The parameters may be taken at random but should satisfy that
    \(A\left(\boldsymbol{t}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(1)}\right)\) is invertible.
    find: \(\boldsymbol{r}^{(1)}\) satisfying \(A\left(\boldsymbol{t}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(1)}\right) \boldsymbol{r}^{(1)}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \mathbf{0}\end{array}\right]\).
    for \(j \in\{1, \ldots, n+1\}\) do
        if \(r_{j}^{(1)}<0\) then
            let: \(\alpha_{j}^{(1)}:=\alpha_{j}^{(1)} \pm \pi \in[0,2 \pi)\)
                                    // This will produce admissible parameters
    for \(\nu=1,2, \cdots\) do
        let: \(\boldsymbol{t}^{(\nu+1)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\nu+1)}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu+1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(\nu+1)}:=\) RemezExchange \(\left(\boldsymbol{t}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\nu)}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu)}\right)\)
        let: \(\varphi^{(\nu+1)}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{(\nu+1)} \varphi_{k}\).
        if \(\left\|f-\varphi^{(\nu+1)}\right\|_{I}-L_{\boldsymbol{t}^{(\nu+1)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\nu+1)}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(\nu+1)}}(f)<\) threshold then
            return: \(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\nu+1}\)
                // Sufficient approximation \(\varphi^{(\nu+1)}\) found.
```

Algorithms 1 and 2 enable the computation of best approximations to complex valued functions.
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