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#### Abstract

We introduce a certain quantum superalgebra in the Drinfeld realization and show that the quantum affine superalgebra of type $B$ is its homomorphic image (conjecturally isomorphic). We also define a braid group action on quantum affine superalgebra for any choice of parity.


## 1. Introduction

Quantum groups first arose in the work of Faddeev and his school from a quantum version of the inverse scattering method, which had been developed to construct and solve quantum integrable systems [1]. They were introduced as associative algebras whose defining relations are expressed in terms of matrix constants called quantum $R$-matrix. In 1985 , a notion of quantum groups was formally introduced by Drinfeld and Jimbo as a deformation $U_{q}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of a Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in a category of Hopf algebras, [2], [3]. In this presentation, which is called the Drinfeld-Jimbo realization, the algebra $U_{q}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is defined by the finitely many generators of Chevalley type labeled by the weight lattice of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and Serre relations associated with $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. In 1988 [4], Drinfeld gave a new realization of quantum affine algebras $U_{q}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ which is a quantum analog of the loop algebra realization of an affine Lie algebra. This new Drinfeld realization $U_{q}^{D}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, now with infinitely many generators and infinitely many relations, turned out to be indispensable for studying finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras [5], [6], and vertex operator representations [7]. The equivalence between the new Drinfeld realization and the Drinfeld-Jimbo presentation was stated by Drinfeld in the same paper [4], though without explicit correspondence between the two sets of generators. For the untwisted case, Beck found the loop-like generators in $U_{q}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ and, using the braid group action defined by Lusztig, showed that the algebra contains all purely imaginary Drinfeld generators and consequently all generators of $U_{q}^{D}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, providing surjective homomorphism from $U_{q}^{D}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $U_{q}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Damiani very thoroughly extended this result for the twisted case in [8], and proved in [9] that the surjective homomorphism is, in fact, an isomorphism in both twisted and untwisted cases. Another approach to prove the equivalence of these realizations was used by Jing and Zhang, see [10] and references therein, where the inverse map from $U_{q}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $U_{q}^{D}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ was constructed.

The story of quantum affine superalgebras starts in 1999 with the paper of Yamane [11], where the author introduced the Drinfeld-Jimbo realization for both twisted and untwisted quantum affine superalgebras. The main difference with the even case is that an affine Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{s}}$ comes with nonisomorphic Cartan data determined by a parity sequence $\mathbf{s}$ and on many occasions, one has to work with the Weyl groupoid instead of the Weyl group. As a consequence, the braid group $B_{N}$ acts not on $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ but rather on $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bullet}\right):=\bigoplus_{\mathrm{s} \in \mathcal{S}} U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, which makes the situation much more intricate, especially when there are isotropic odd roots. As for the new Drinfeld realization, it is known only in very few cases. In the same paper [11] Yamane used the Braid groupoid to get the new Drinfeld
realization for the untwisted quantum affine superalgebras of type $A$. He also constructed a surjective homomorphism from $U_{q}^{D}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $U_{q}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Only recently, [12], it was proved that the two realizations are isomorphic for the untwisted superalgebra of type $A$ whose Dynkin diagram does not contain two neighboring odd roots. Finite-dimensional representations for these algebras in standard parity were classified in [13]. In [14], the authors introduced the new Drinfeld realization $U_{q}^{D}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated with the affine Lie superalgebra $D^{(1)}(2,1 ; x)$ and used the Weyl groupoid to show that the Beck homomorphism is surjective. Finally, in [15], Xu and Zhang provided the new Drinfeld realization for superalgebras of type $B$ whose Dynkin diagram does not have isotropic odd roots and classified their irreducible finite-dimensional representations. The equivalence between two realizations is proved using the Beck results for the even case and applying smash product to lift these isomorphisms to the super case.

In this paper, we define a braid group action on the quantum affine Lie superalgebras of type $B$ and use it to construct Beck's surjective homomorphism from $U_{q}^{D}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $U_{q}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

The structure of the present paper is the following. In Section 2, we recall the basic notions on orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras of type $B$ together with their properties needed for the arguments in the following sections: describe their root systems, recover their Dynkin diagrams from the parity sequences and Cartan matrices, and explain the affine Weyl groupoid. In Section 3, we recall the definition of the Drinfeld-Jimbo realization of the quantum affine superalgebras of type $B$, together with some basic $q$-Jacobi identities. In Section 4, we define a braid group action on the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras of type $B$. The isomorphisms $T_{i}, i \in \hat{I}$ were defined up to a normalization factor, see [11], we write explicit formulas for $T_{i}$ 's to satisfy the braid relations. Finally, in Section 5 , we introduce the new Drinfeld realization for orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras of type $B$ for all choices of parity sequence and define a map $\psi_{\mathbf{s}}$ from $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ to $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$. Next, we prove that $\psi_{\mathbf{s}}$ is a surjective homomorphism. To show this, we consider a larger superalgebra $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ with the same set of generators as $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, but with fewer relations and show that there is a surjective homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}: \tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
$$

Moreover, there exists a natural surjection

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{s}}: \tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)
$$

whose kernel lies in the kernel of $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$. This proves that $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is a homomorphic image of $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, which is our main result. We conjecture that the quantum superalgebras $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ and $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ are, in fact, isomorphic.

We work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

## 2. Affine Lie superalgebras

2.1. Orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras of type B. A superalgebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded algebra $A=A_{0} \oplus A_{1}$. Elements of $A_{0}$ are called even, and elements of $A_{1}$ are called odd. We denote the parity of an element $v \in A_{i}$ by $|v|=i$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Fix $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, n \neq 0$, and let $N=m+n$.
Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ denote the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{o s p}(2 m+1,2 n)$, and let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the affine Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{o s p}(2 m+1,2 n)^{(1)}$, as described in [11]. The set of Dynkin nodes is denoted by $I=1,2, \ldots, N$ and $\hat{I}=0,1, \ldots, N$, respectively. The elements of $\hat{I}$ are always considered modulo $N+1$.

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ be a fixed Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and consider the root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}
$$

where $\Delta=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^{*} \backslash\{0\} \mid \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \neq 0\right\}$ is the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}=\{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid[h, x]=\alpha(h) x \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ is the root space associated to the root $\alpha$.

Recall that every root is either purely even or purely odd, meaning that, for every $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ or $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{1}$, respectively. In particular, $\Delta=\Delta_{0} \cup \Delta_{1}$, where $\Delta_{i}=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i}\right\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ have many non-isomorphic Cartan data (Dynkin diagrams, and isomorphism classes of sets of simple roots and Cartan matrices). The equivalence classes of Cartan data for both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are parameterized by the set $\mathcal{S}$ of $N$-tuples of $\pm 1$ with exactly $m$ positive coordinates

$$
\mathcal{S}:=\left\{\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N}\right) \mid s_{i} \in\{-1,+1\}, \#\left\{i \mid s_{i}=1\right\}=m\right\} .
$$

An element of $\mathcal{S}$ is called a parity sequence.
If $\mathbf{s}$ is a parity sequence of $\mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{s}}$ the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with fixed Cartan data given by $\mathbf{s}$. Moreover, whenever the subscript $\mathbf{s}$ appears, the object is considered to be associated with $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{s}}$ with a fixed choice of parity. However, we will often omit the subscript $\mathbf{s}$ if the chosen parity is clear from the context.

Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ be a parity sequence and consider the integral lattice $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ with basis $\delta, \varepsilon_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, and bilinear form given by

$$
\left(\delta \mid \varepsilon_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}=0, \quad\left(\varepsilon_{i} \mid \varepsilon_{j}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}=s_{i} \delta_{i, j}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, N
$$

The various data will be described in detail using the notation of parity sequences $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ in the following subsection. We adopt the following conventions for the Dynkin diagrams.

Recall that a simple root $\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{s}}$ may be even, odd and isotropic, or odd and non-isotropic. Its corresponding node in the Dynkin diagram will be depicted as follows:

- If $\alpha$ is even, then we draw an empty circle $\bigcirc$.
- If $\alpha$ is odd and isotropic, then we draw a crossed circle $\otimes$.
- If $\alpha$ is odd and non-isotropic, then we draw a filled circle

In many cases, it is useful to draw the diagram allowing multiple options for the parities of the nodes.

- If a node is either even $(\bigcirc)$ or odd and isotropic $(\otimes)$, then we draw a cross $\times$.
- If a node is either even $(\bigcirc)$ or odd and non-isotropic $(\bigcirc)$, then we draw two concentric circles with the smaller one filled $\odot$.
The nodes $i$ and $j$ of a Dynkin diagram are linked by $\frac{4\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)_{\mathbf{1}}}{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right)_{\mathbf{1}}}$ edges. If $i$ and $j$ are linked and $\left|\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{1}}\right|>\left|\left(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right)_{\mathbf{1}}\right|$, we add an arrow point towards the node $j$.

Similar to the case of the nodes, we sometimes allow multiple options for the edges in a diagram. A dotted line ….. means that the edge may or may not be present, depending on the parities of the nodes.
2.2. Type B root systems. Fix $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$. Although the root system itself does not depend on $\mathbf{s}$, the choice of the parity $\mathbf{s}$ fixes a basis of $P_{\mathbf{s}}$, and this changes the expression of the roots as sums of simple roots.

The root system $\Delta_{\mathrm{s}}=\Delta_{0, \mathrm{~s}} \cup \Delta_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\mathrm{s}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}, \pm \varepsilon_{i}, \pm 2 \varepsilon_{k} \mid 1 \leq i, j, k \leq N, s_{k}=-1\right\} \\
& \Delta_{0, \mathrm{~s}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}, \pm \varepsilon_{k}, \pm 2 \varepsilon_{l} \mid 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq N, s_{i}=s_{j}, s_{k}=1, s_{l}=-1\right\} \\
& \Delta_{1, \mathrm{~s}}=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}, \pm \varepsilon_{k} \mid 1 \leq i, j, k \leq N, s_{i}=-s_{j}, s_{k}=-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The simple roots are given by:

$$
\alpha_{i}= \begin{cases}\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{i+1}, & \text { if } i=1, \ldots, N-1 \\ \varepsilon_{N}, & \text { if } i=N\end{cases}
$$

The parities of the simple roots $\alpha_{i}, i \in I$, are given by:

$$
\left|\alpha_{i}\right|= \begin{cases}\left(1-s_{i} s_{i+1}\right) / 2, & \text { if } i=1, \ldots, N-1 \\ \left(1-s_{N}\right) / 2, & \text { if } i=N\end{cases}
$$

The root $\delta$ is even for all $\mathbf{s}$.
Note that if $s_{N}=-1$, the simple root $\alpha_{N}$ is odd and non-isotropic.
The longest positive root $\theta$ of $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}$ depends on $\mathbf{s}$ and is given by:

$$
\theta= \begin{cases}\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}, & \text { if } s_{1}=1 \\ 2 \varepsilon_{1}, & \text { if } s_{1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

This implies that the parity of the simple root $\alpha_{0}=\delta-\theta$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is given by:

$$
\left|\alpha_{0}\right|= \begin{cases}\left|\alpha_{1}\right|, & s_{1}=1 \\ 0, & s_{1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

The root system of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is $\hat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{s}}=\left\{\alpha+r \delta \mid \alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbf{s}}\right.$ and $\left.r \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cup \mathbb{Z} \delta$.
Due to the two possible expressions for the longest root, the general shape of the associated Dynkin diagram also depends on the parity s.

Following the nomenclature of [11], the Dynkin diagram in Figure 3 has shape $C B_{N}$, while the Dynkin diagram in Figure 1 has shape $D B_{N}$. Also, we will write $\left(C B_{N}\right)_{j}$ and $\left(D B_{N}\right)_{j}$, where $j \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \bmod 2$, to specify the parity of the number of simple odd roots in the diagram.


Figure 1. General Dynkin diagram of shape $D B_{N}$


Figure 2. General Dynkin diagram of shape $D B_{2}$


Figure 3. General Dynkin diagram of shape $C B_{N}$
The Dynkin diagram of the superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathfrak{o s p}(2 m+1,2 n)_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}$ has shape $\left(C B_{N}\right)_{1}$ if $s_{1}=-1$, and $\left(D B_{N}\right)_{0}$ if $s_{1}=1$. 1

Note that, if $m=0, \mathcal{S}$ is a singleton and the Dynkin diagram always has shape $\left(C B_{N}\right)_{1}$. In particular, if $m=0$ and $n=1$, the Dynkin diagram is the following:


Figure 4. Dynkin diagram of shape $C B_{1}$
The Cartan matrix of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is obtained from the parity sequence $\mathbf{s}$ by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0,0}= \begin{cases}s_{1}+s_{2}, & s_{1}=1 \\
4 s_{1}, & s_{1}=-1\end{cases} \\
& A_{0,1}=A_{1,0}= \begin{cases}s_{2}-s_{1}, & s_{1}=1 \\
-2 s_{1}, & s_{1}=-1\end{cases} \\
& A_{0,2}=A_{2,0}= \begin{cases}-s_{2}, & s_{1}=1 \\
0, & s_{1}=-1\end{cases} \\
& A_{N, N}=-A_{N, N-1}=-A_{N-1, N}=s_{N} . \\
& A_{i, j}=\left(s_{i}+s_{i+1}\right) \delta_{i, j}-s_{i} \delta_{i, j+1}-s_{j} \delta_{i+1, j}, \text { for all } i, j \in \hat{I} \text { not treated above. }
\end{aligned}
$$

2.3. The Affine Weyl Groupoid. Recall that the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as the Weyl group of its even subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{0} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, and it is generated by the reflections associated with even roots. However, this group does not contain enough symmetries of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The odd reflections, first considered in [16], mimic the reflection associated with even roots in a type $A$ region of the Dynkin diagram. That is, the odd reflection associated with the root $\alpha$ acts as follows:

$$
\alpha \mapsto-\alpha
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta \mapsto \alpha+\beta, \text { if }(\alpha \mid \beta) \neq 0, \alpha \neq \pm \beta \\
& \beta \mapsto \beta, \text { if }(\alpha \mid \beta)=0, \alpha \neq \pm \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Even though the odd reflections provide additional symmetries, they do not preserve the Cartan data. The reflection associated with an odd root maps a set of positive roots to another set of positive roots (or, in the nomenclature of [17], positive systems to positive systems).

This was a reason for the introduction of generalized reflections by Yamane in [11]. Roughly speaking, these reflections mimic the usual reflections defined on the roots system of a specific Lie algebra, the one associated with the constant parity sequence $\mathbf{1}$. We describe the (action) groupoid generated by the generalized reflections below.

First, consider the "virtual root" $\alpha_{i, \mathrm{~s}}^{\dagger}$ corresponding to the simple root $\alpha_{i, \mathbf{s}}$ defined as follows:

$$
\alpha_{i, \mathbf{s}}^{\dagger}:= \begin{cases}\delta_{\mathbf{s}}-2 \varepsilon_{1, \mathbf{s}}, & \text { if } i=0 \\ \varepsilon_{N, \mathbf{s}}, & \text { if } i=N \\ \alpha_{i, \mathbf{s}}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Now, define a linear map $\iota_{\mathbf{s}}: P_{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{1}}$ given by $\varepsilon_{i, \mathbf{s}} \mapsto \varepsilon_{i, 1}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{s}} \mapsto \delta_{\mathbf{1}}$.
Proposition 2.1 ([11, Prop. 2.4.3]). For each $i \in \hat{I}$, there is an even isometry $r_{i, \mathbf{s}}: P_{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow P_{\sigma_{i} \cdot \mathbf{s}}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}\right)=\left(\iota_{\sigma_{i} \cdot \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1}\left(\iota_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}\right)-2 \frac{\left(\iota_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}\right), \iota_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{i, \mathbf{s}}^{\dagger}\right)\right)}{\left(\iota_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{i, \mathbf{s}}^{\dagger}\right), \iota_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{i, \mathbf{s}}^{\dagger}\right)\right.} \iota_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{i, \mathbf{s}}^{\dagger}\right)\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.2. Let us consider the action of the generalized reflections $r_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ and $r_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ on the roots $\alpha_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ $\alpha_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$. Their parities depend on $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$. Thus, we have four possibilities.

In all cases, $r_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{1, \mathbf{s}}\right)=\delta_{\mathbf{s}}-\varepsilon_{1, \mathbf{s}}-\varepsilon_{2, \mathbf{s}}$. However, since the expression of $\alpha_{0}$ depends on $s_{1}$, we have:

$$
r_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{1, \mathbf{s}}\right)=\delta_{\mathbf{s}}-\varepsilon_{1, \mathbf{s}}-\varepsilon_{2, \mathbf{s}}= \begin{cases}\alpha_{0, \mathbf{s}}, & \text { if } s_{1}=1 \\ \alpha_{0, \mathbf{s}}+\alpha_{1, \mathbf{s}}, & \text { if } s_{1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

Note that the action of $r_{0, \mathbf{s}}$ preserves the parity.
The case of $r_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{0, \mathrm{~s}}\right)$ is slightly more intricate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(\alpha_{0, \mathbf{s}}\right) & = \begin{cases}\delta_{\sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}-\varepsilon_{1, \sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}-\varepsilon_{2, \sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}, & \text { if } s_{1}=1 \\
\delta_{\sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}-2 \varepsilon_{2, \sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}, & \text { if } s_{1}=-1 .\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}\alpha_{0, \sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}+\alpha_{1, \sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}, & \text { if } s_{2}=1 ; \\
\alpha_{0, \sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}+2 \alpha_{1, \sigma_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}}, & \text { if } s_{2}=-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following picture illustrates how the generalized reflections change the parities and the respective Dynkin diagrams.


Figure 5. All Dynkin diagrams of $\mathfrak{o s p}(5,4)^{(1)}$.

## 3. The quantum affine orthosymplectic superalgebra

Given $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $[r]_{q}=\frac{q^{r}-q^{-r}}{q-q^{-1}}$.
Definition 3.1 ([11]). Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{o s p}(2 m+1,2 n)^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$. The quantum affine superalgebra $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ is the unital associative superalgebra generated by $E_{i}, F_{i}, K_{i}^{ \pm 1}, i \in \hat{I}$, subject to the relations below. The parity of generators is given by $\left|E_{i}\right|=\left|F_{i}\right|=\left|\alpha_{i}^{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ and $\left|K_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right|=0$.
The defining relations are as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{i} K_{j}=K_{j} K_{i} .  \tag{3.1}\\
& K_{i} E_{j} K_{i}^{-1}=q^{A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}}} E_{j}, \quad K_{i} F_{j} K_{i}^{-1}=q^{-A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}}} F_{j} .  \tag{3.2}\\
& {\left[E_{i}, F_{j}\right]=\delta_{i, j} \frac{K_{i}-K_{i}^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}} .}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \text { If } A_{i, j}=0 \text {, then }\left[E_{i}, E_{j}\right]=0 \text {. }  \tag{3.4}\\
& \text { If } A_{i, i} \neq 0 \text {, then } \llbracket E_{i}, \llbracket E_{i}, \llbracket \cdots, \llbracket E_{i}, E_{j} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket=0 \text {, where } E_{i} \text { appears } 1-\frac{2 A_{i, j}}{A_{i, i}} \text { times. } \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket E_{i}, E_{j} \rrbracket, E_{k} \rrbracket, E_{j} \rrbracket=0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket E_{i}, E_{j} \rrbracket, \llbracket \llbracket E_{i}, E_{j} \rrbracket, E_{k} \rrbracket \rrbracket, E_{j} \rrbracket=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket E_{i}, E_{j} \rrbracket, E_{k} \rrbracket, E_{l} \rrbracket, E_{k} \rrbracket, E_{j} \rrbracket, E_{k} \rrbracket=0 .  \tag{3.8}\\
& \text { If } A_{i, j} A_{i, k} A_{j, k} \neq 0, A_{i, j}+A_{i, k}+A_{j, k}=0 \text {, and }|i||j|+|j||k|+|i||k| \equiv 1 \text {, then }  \tag{3.9}\\
& (-1)^{|i||k|}\left[\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{k}\right)\right] \llbracket \llbracket E_{i}, E_{j} \rrbracket, E_{k} \rrbracket=(-1)^{|i||j|}\left[\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)\right] \llbracket \llbracket E_{i}, E_{k} \rrbracket, E_{j} \rrbracket \text {. } \\
& \text { If } \underset{i}{\circ} \underset{j}{\longrightarrow} \longrightarrow \stackrel{\odot}{k} \text {, then }  \tag{3.10}\\
& \llbracket \llbracket E_{k}, E_{j} \rrbracket, \llbracket \llbracket E_{k}, E_{j} \rrbracket, \llbracket \llbracket E_{k}, E_{j} \rrbracket, E_{i} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket=\left(1-(-1)^{|k|}[2]\right) \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket E_{k}, E_{j} \rrbracket, \llbracket E_{k}, \llbracket E_{k}, \llbracket E_{j}, E_{i} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket, E_{j} \rrbracket . \\
& \text { The relations (3.4) -(3.10) with } E \text { 's replaced by } F \text { 's. } \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the bracket $[X, Y]$ is defined by the standard supersymmetric convention: for homogeneous elements $X$ and $Y$ with respect to the parity, it is given by

$$
[X, Y]=X Y-(-1)^{|X||Y|} Y X
$$

If $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, define

$$
[X, Y]_{a}=X Y-(-1)^{|X||Y|} a Y X
$$

Additionally, the $q$-deformed bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ is defined as follows: Consider the root space decomposition of $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ :

$$
U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{s}}}\left(U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\right)_{\alpha}
$$

where $\left(U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)_{\alpha}:=\left\{X \in U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \mid K_{i} X K_{i}^{-1}=q^{\left\langle\alpha_{i} \mid \alpha\right\rangle}, i \in \hat{I}\right\}$. Then, if $X \in\left(U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)_{\alpha}$ and $Y \in$ $\left(U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)_{\beta}$, define

$$
\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket=[X, Y]_{q^{\alpha \alpha|\beta\rangle}}=X Y-(-1)^{|X||Y|} q^{\langle\alpha \mid \beta\rangle} Y X
$$

The following identities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[[X, Y]_{a}, Z\right]_{b} } & =\left[X,[Y, Z]_{c}\right]_{a b c^{-1}}+(-1)^{|Y||Z|} c\left[[X, Z]_{b c^{-1}}, Y\right]_{a c^{-1}}  \tag{3.12}\\
{\left[X,[Y, Z]_{a}\right]_{b} } & =\left[[X, Y]_{c}, Z\right]_{a b c^{-1}}+(-1)^{|X||Y|} c\left[Y,[X, Z]_{b c^{-1}}\right]_{a c^{-1}} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

If $K_{i} X=q^{x} X K_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[X, K_{i} Y\right]=q^{-x} K_{i}[X, Y]_{q^{x}}}  \tag{3.14}\\
& {\left[K_{i} Y, X\right]=K_{i}[Y, X]_{q^{-x}}} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

We have a central element $K_{C}$ defined as follows:

$$
K_{C}:= \begin{cases}K_{0}\left(K_{1} \cdots K_{N}\right)^{2}, & \text { if } s_{1}=-1 \\ K_{0} K_{1}\left(K_{2} \cdots K_{N}\right)^{2}, & \text { if } s_{1}=1\end{cases}
$$

## 4. Formulas with diagrams

In this section, we define an action of the affine braid group of type B on the direct sum $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bullet}\right):=$ $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}} U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$.
4.1. Affine braid group action. Let $\mathcal{B}_{N}$ be the braid group associated with the Dynkin diagram


Figure 6. Dynkin diagram of shape $C B_{N}$ with only even nodes
That is, the group $\mathcal{B}_{N}, N>1$, is generated by the elements $T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N-1}, T_{N}$, subject to the relations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{i} T_{i-1} T_{i} T_{i-1}=T_{i-1} T_{i} T_{i-1} T_{i}, & \text { if } i=1, N, \\
T_{i} T_{i-1} T_{i}=T_{i-1} T_{i} T_{i-1}, & \text { if } i=2, \ldots, N-1 .
\end{array}
$$

Define the following elements of $\mathcal{B}_{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\omega_{1}}:=T_{0} T_{1} T_{2} T_{3} \cdots T_{N} T_{N-1} \cdots T_{2} T_{1}, \\
& T_{\omega_{2}}:=T_{1}^{-1} T_{\omega_{1}} T_{1}^{-1} T_{\omega_{1}}, \\
& T_{\omega_{i+1}}:=T_{i}^{-1} T_{\omega_{i}} T_{i}^{-1} T_{\omega_{i}} T_{\omega_{i-1}}^{-1}, \quad i=3, \ldots, N-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The elements $T_{\omega_{i}}, i \in I$, satisfy the identities below, see [18],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\omega_{i}} T_{\omega_{j}}=T_{\omega_{j}} T_{\omega_{i}}, \\
& T_{\omega_{N-1}}^{2} T_{\omega_{N}}^{-1}=T_{N}^{-1} T_{\omega_{N}} T_{N}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $N=1$, by an abuse of notation, we denote bu $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ the free group generated by $T_{0}$ and $T_{1}$. In this case, we also define $T_{\omega_{1}}:=T_{0} T_{1}$.

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{N}$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{S}$ by permuting indices, $\sigma \mathbf{s}:=\left(s_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, s_{\sigma^{-1}(N)}\right)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\sigma_{i}$ denote the transposition $(i, i+1)$, for all $i=1, \ldots, N-1$.

We have a surjective group homomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{B}_{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{N}$ given by

$$
\pi\left(T_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } i=0, N  \tag{4.3}\\
\sigma_{i}, \text { if } i=1, \ldots, N-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that the abelian subgroup generated by $T_{\omega_{i}}, i \in I$, is in the kernel of $\pi$.
In the following subsection, we define isomorphisms $T_{i, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pi\left(T_{i}\right) \mathbf{s}}\right), i \in \hat{I}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$. These formulas already appeared in [11] up to a scaling coefficient. With the coefficients written below, these isomorphisms define an action of $\mathcal{B}_{N}$ on $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bullet}\right)$.

The formulas of the isomorphisms $T_{i, \mathrm{~s}}$ are conveniently described in terms of the Dynkin diagrams corresponding to the parity sequences $\mathbf{s}$ and $\sigma_{i} \mathbf{s}$.
4.2. The operators $T_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ and $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$. We define the operators $T_{0, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ and $T_{1, \mathbf{s}}$ : $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}}\right)$.
4.2.1. Case 1. Suppose that the $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram has the following shape


Figure 7. $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram $D B_{N}$ if $s_{1}=s_{2}=1$.
Define $T_{0, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{0}\right)=E_{1}, & T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{1}\right)=E_{0} \\
T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{0}\right)=F_{1}, & T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{1}\right)=F_{0}  \tag{4.4}\\
T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{0}\right)=K_{1}, & T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{1}\right)=K_{0}
\end{array}
$$

and $T_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ acts trivially on the generators corresponding to all remaining nodes.
Note that the generalized reflection $r_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ is actually a diagram automorphism swapping the nodes 0 and 1. Even though the nodes 0 and 2 are linked, the operator $T_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ fixes all generators corresponding to the node 2.

The operator $T_{1, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\sigma_{1} \mathbf{s}}\right)$ fixes the generators corresponding to the nodes $0,3, \ldots, N$, and the action on generators corresponding to the nodes 1 and 2 is given by the formulas of type $A$ (4.11) and (4.12). Note that, since $s_{1}=s_{2}$, we have $\mathbf{s}=\sigma_{1} \mathbf{s}$.
4.2.2. Case 2. Suppose that the $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram has the following shape


Figure 8. $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram $D B_{N}$ if $s_{1}=-s_{2}=1$.

In this case, the operator $T_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ has the same formulas as (4.4) and is trivial on all remaining nodes. Let $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N}\right)=\sigma_{1} \mathbf{s}$. Note that, since $s_{1}=-s_{2}$, the $(0,2)$ section of the diagram with parity $\mathbf{t}$ has the same shape as in Figure 9. The operator $T_{1, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ acts on the generators corresponding to the node 0 by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{0}\right)=-t_{1} q^{-2 t_{1}} \llbracket E_{0}, E_{1} \rrbracket, \\
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{q+q^{-1}} \llbracket F_{0}, F_{1} \rrbracket,  \tag{4.5}\\
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{0}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{0} K_{1}\right)^{ \pm 1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The action of $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ on the generators corresponding to the nodes 1 and 2 is given by the formulas (4.11) and (4.12) of type $A$, and $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ fixes the generators corresponding to the nodes $3, \ldots, N$.
4.2.3. Case 3. Suppose that the $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram has the following shape


Figure 9. $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram $C B_{N}$ if $s_{1}=-s_{2}=-1$.

In this case, define $T_{0, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{0}\right)=-s_{1}\left(q+q^{-1}\right) F_{0} K_{0}, & T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{1}\right)=\frac{s_{1} q^{-2 s_{1}}}{q+q^{-1}} \llbracket E_{1}, E_{0} \rrbracket \\
T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{0}\right)=\frac{-s_{1}}{q+q^{-1}} K_{0}^{-1} E_{0}, & T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{1}\right)=-\llbracket F_{1}, F_{0} \rrbracket  \tag{4.6}\\
T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{0}\right)=K_{0}^{-1}, & T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{1}\right)=K_{0} K_{1}
\end{array}
$$

and the action of $T_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ is trivial on the generators corresponding to all remaining nodes.
Let $\mathbf{t}=\sigma_{1} \mathbf{s}$. Note that the $(0,2)$ section of the diagram with parity $\mathbf{t}$ has the same shape as in Figure 8. The operator $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ acts on the generators corresponding to the node 0 by the following formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{0}\right)=\frac{t_{1} q^{-2 t_{1}}}{q+q^{-1}} \llbracket E_{0}, E_{1} \rrbracket, \\
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{0}\right)=\llbracket F_{0}, F_{1} \rrbracket,  \tag{4.7}\\
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{0}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{0} K_{1}\right)^{ \pm 1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Analogous to the Case 2, the action of $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ on the generators corresponding to the nodes 1 and 2 is given by the formulas (4.11) and (4.12) of type $A$, and $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ fixes the generators corresponding to the nodes $3, \ldots, N$.
4.2.4. Case 4. Suppose that the $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram has the following shape


Figure 10. $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram $C B_{N}$ if $s_{1}=s_{2}=-1$.
In this case, the operator $T_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ has the same formulas as in (4.6) and is trivial on all remaining nodes.

The operator $T_{1, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ acts on the generators corresponding to the node 0 by the following formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{0}\right)=\frac{q^{-2 t_{1}}}{q+q^{-1}} \llbracket \llbracket E_{0}, E_{1} \rrbracket, E_{1} \rrbracket, \\
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{q+q^{-1}} \llbracket \llbracket F_{0}, F_{1} \rrbracket, F_{1} \rrbracket  \tag{4.8}\\
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{0}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{0} K_{1}^{2}\right)^{ \pm 1}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, the action of $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ on the generators corresponding to the nodes 1 and 2 is given by the formulas (4.11) and (4.12) of type $A$, and $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ fixes the generators corresponding to the nodes $3, \ldots, N$. Note that, since $s_{1}=s_{2}$, we have $\mathbf{s}=\sigma_{1} \mathbf{s}$.
4.2.5. Case 5. If $N=1$, since we assume that $m>0$ and $n \geq 1$, we have that $s_{1}=-1$ and the Dynkin diagram is given in Figure 4. However, for later use, we also consider here the case $s_{1}=1$, which corresponds to the twisted affine Lie superalgebra $U_{q}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{3}^{(2)}\right)$.


Figure 11. Dynkin diagram of $C B_{1}$

Again, the operator $T_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ has the same formulas as in (4.6).
The operator $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ acts on the generators corresponding to the node 0 by the following formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{0}\right)=\frac{q^{-s_{1}}\left(1-(-1)^{|1|} q^{-s_{1}}\right)}{\left(q+q^{-1}\right)\left(1-(-1)^{|1|} q^{-3 s_{1}}\right)} \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket E_{0}, E_{1} \rrbracket, E_{1} \rrbracket, E_{1} \rrbracket, E_{1} \rrbracket, \\
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{0}\right)=\frac{q^{-s_{1}}\left(1-(-1)^{|1|} q^{-s_{1}}\right)}{\left(q+q^{-1}\right)\left(1-(-1)^{|1|} q^{-3 s_{1}}\right)} \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket F_{0}, F_{1} \rrbracket, F_{1} \rrbracket, F_{1} \rrbracket, F_{1} \rrbracket,  \tag{4.9}\\
& T_{1, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{0}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{0} K_{1}^{4}\right)^{ \pm 1},
\end{align*}
$$

and the action of $T_{1, \mathrm{~s}}$ on the generators corresponding to the node 1 is given by the formulas (4.11) of type $A$.
4.3. The operator $T_{2, \mathbf{s}}$. Suppose that $N>3$. The action of $T_{2, \mathbf{s}}$ on the generators corresponding to the nodes 1,2 , and 3 is given by the formulas (4.11) and (4.12) of type $A$, and $T_{2, \mathrm{~s}}$ fixes the generators corresponding to the nodes $4, \ldots, N$, if $N>4$.

In contrast to the action of $T_{0, \mathrm{~s}}$ on the generators corresponding to the node 2 , which is always trivial, the operator $T_{2, \mathrm{~s}}$ has a non-trivial action on the generators corresponding to the node 0 every time that the nodes 0 and 2 are linked.
4.3.1. Case 1. Suppose that the $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram has the same shape as in Figures 7 or 8. This occurs if $s_{1}=1$.

Let $\mathbf{t}=\sigma_{2} \mathbf{s}$. In this case, the operator $T_{2, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ acts on the generators corresponding to the node 0 by the following formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{2, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{0}\right)=t_{2} q^{-t_{2}} \llbracket E_{0}, E_{2} \rrbracket, \\
& T_{2, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{0}\right)=-(-1)^{|0||2|} \llbracket F_{0}, F_{2} \rrbracket,  \tag{4.10}\\
& T_{2, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{0}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{0} K_{2}\right)^{ \pm 1} .
\end{align*}
$$

4.3.2. Case 2. If the $(0,2)$ section of the Dynkin diagram has the same shape as in Figures 9 or 10 , The action of $T_{2, \mathrm{~s}}$ on the generators corresponding to the node 0 is trivial.
4.4. The operators $T_{i, \mathrm{~s}}, 3 \leq i \leq N-2$. Suppose that the $(i-1, i+1)$ section of the Dynkin diagram has a type $A$ like shape. That is,


Figure 12. Type A section of the Dynkin diagram.
Let $\mathbf{t}=\sigma_{i} \mathbf{s}$. Define $T_{i, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{i-1}\right)=t_{i} q^{-t_{i}} \llbracket E_{i-1}, E_{i} \rrbracket, & T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{i}\right)=-t_{i+1} F_{i} K_{i}, \\
T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{i-1}\right)=-(-1)^{|i-1||i|} \llbracket F_{i-1}, F_{i} \rrbracket, & T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{i}\right)=-t_{i} K_{i}^{-1} E_{i}, \\
T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{i-1}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{i-1} K_{i}\right)^{ \pm 1}, & T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right)=K_{i}^{\mp 1}, \\
& T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{i+1}\right)=t_{i+1}(-1)^{|i||i+1|} q^{-t_{i+1}} \llbracket E_{i+1}, E_{i} \rrbracket, \\
T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{i+1}\right)=-\llbracket F_{i+1}, F_{i} \rrbracket, &  \tag{4.12}\\
T_{i, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{i+1}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{i} K_{i+1}\right)^{ \pm 1} . &
\end{array}
$$

4.5. The operators $T_{N, \mathrm{~s}}$ and $T_{N-1, \mathrm{~s}}$.
4.5.1. Case 5. Suppose that the $(N-2, N)$ section of the Dynkin diagram has the following shape


Figure 13. The $(N-2, N)$ section of the Dynkin diagram.

Define $T_{N, \mathbf{s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{N, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{N-1}\right)=q^{-s_{N}} \llbracket \llbracket E_{N-1}, E_{N} \rrbracket, E_{N} \rrbracket, & T_{N, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{N}\right)=-F_{N} K_{N}, \\
T_{N, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{N-1}\right)=\llbracket \llbracket F_{N-1}, F_{N} \rrbracket, F_{N} \rrbracket, & T_{N, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{N}\right)=-(-1)^{|N|} K_{N}^{-1} E_{N}, \\
T_{N, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{N-1}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{N-1} K_{N}^{2}\right)^{ \pm 1}, & T_{N, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right)=K_{N}^{\mp 1},
\end{array}
$$

and $T_{N, \mathbf{s}}$ acts trivially on the generators corresponding to all remaining nodes.
Let $\mathbf{t}=\sigma_{N-1} \mathbf{s}$. Note that the diagram with parity $\mathbf{t}=\sigma_{N-1} \mathbf{s}$ has the same shape as in Figure 13 , but the parities of the simple roots may have changed. The operator $T_{N-1, \mathrm{~s}}: U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ acts on the generators corresponding to the node $N$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{N-1, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{N}\right)=t_{N}(-1)^{|N-1||N|} q^{-\left(t_{N-1}+t_{N}\right) / 2} \llbracket E_{N}, E_{N-1} \rrbracket, \\
& T_{N-1, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{N}\right)=-q^{-\left(t_{N-1}-t_{N}\right) / 2} \llbracket F_{N}, F_{N-1} \rrbracket,  \tag{4.13}\\
& T_{N-1, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\left(K_{N} K_{N-1}\right)^{ \pm 1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The action of $T_{N-1, \mathrm{~s}}$ on the generators corresponding to the nodes $N-2$ and $N-1$ is given by the formulas (4.11) and (4.12), and $T_{N-1, \mathrm{~s}}$ fixes the generators corresponding to the nodes $0,1, \ldots, N-3$.

Remark 4.1. The isomorphisms $T_{i, \mathbf{s}}$ generate a groupoid if one considers the category whose objects are the superalgebras $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right), \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$, and whose morphisms are $T_{i, \mathbf{s}}, i \in \hat{I}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$, their inverses, and compositions.

In our situation, the groupoid structure is equivalent to the group action as follows.
Define the following automorphisms of $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bullet}\right)=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}} U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}} T_{i, \mathbf{s}} \quad(i \in \hat{I}) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We adopt the following convention. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}_{N}$, we denote by $T_{\mathbf{s}}$ the restriction of $T$ to the $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ summand in $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bullet}\right)$. For example, $\left(T_{i} T_{j} T_{k}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}=T_{i, \sigma_{j} \sigma_{k} \mathrm{~s}} T_{j, \sigma_{k} \mathrm{~s}} T_{k, \mathrm{~s}}$ is mapping $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ to $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{k} \mathbf{s}}\right)$.

We have the following result.
Theorem 4.2. The automorphisms $T_{i}, i \in \hat{I}$, define an action of the braid group $\mathcal{B}_{N}$ on $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bullet}\right)$, i.e., they satisfy the relations (4.1)-(4.2).
Proof. In [11, Prop. 7.4.1], the operators $T_{i}$ were defined up to normalization factors and it was shown that they provide isomorphisms. Our formulas contain the explicit coefficients for these isomorphisms to satisfy the braid relations. The relations are proved by direct computations in a case-by-case manner. In most cases, the result is clear after simplifications not involving the Serre relations (3.5)-(3.11). However, in some cases, the appropriate Serre relations must be used. Below, we give the details of some of the most complicated cases.

Consider $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{o s p}(2 m+1,2 n)$, with $N \geq 3$, and let $\mathbf{s}$ be such that $s_{1}=-s_{2}=s_{3}=1$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{1} T_{0} T_{1} T_{0}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(E_{2}\right)-\left(T_{0} T_{1} T_{0} T_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(E_{2}\right)= \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{q+q^{-1}}\left(-E_{0} E_{1} E_{2}-\left(q+q^{-1}\right) E_{0} E_{2} E_{1}+E_{1} E_{0} E_{2}+\left(q+q^{-1}\right) E_{1} E_{2} E_{0}-E_{2} E_{0} E_{1}+E_{2} E_{1} E_{0}\right)
$$

which equals 0 by applying the Serre relation (3.9) with $i=0, j=1$, and $k=2$.
Now, consider $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{o s p}(2 m+1,2 n)$ with $N \geq 3$ and let $\mathbf{s}$ be such that $s_{N-2}=s_{N-1}=s_{N}=1$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (4.16) } \quad\left(T_{N-1} T_{N} T_{N-1} T_{N}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(E_{N-2}\right)-\left(T_{N} T_{N-1} T_{N} T_{N-1}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(E_{N-2}\right)=  \tag{4.16}\\
& \quad=q^{-3}\left(q E_{N-2} E_{N-1}^{2} E_{N}^{2}-q E_{N-2} E_{N}^{2} E_{N-1}^{2}-q^{2} E_{N-1}^{2} E_{N-2} E_{N}^{2}+q^{2} E_{N}^{2} E_{N-1}^{2} E_{N-2}+\right. \\
& +\left(q-q^{2}\right) E_{N-1} E_{N-2} E_{N-1} E_{N}^{2}+\left(q^{2}-q\right) E_{N}^{2} E_{N-1} E_{N-2} E_{N-1}-(1+q) E_{N-2} E_{N-1} E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N}+ \\
& \left.+(1+q) E_{N-2} E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N} E_{N-1}+\left(q^{2}+q^{3}\right) E_{N-1} E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N-2} E_{N}-\left(q^{2}+q^{3}\right) E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N-2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $E_{N-2}$ and $E_{N}$ commute and the Serre relation (3.5) with $i=N-2, j=N-1$, we can rewrite (4.16) with the $E_{N-2}$ factor either to the left or to the right of each monomial. Then,
$\left(T_{N-1} T_{N} T_{N-1} T_{N}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(E_{N-2}\right)-\left(T_{N} T_{N-1} T_{N} T_{N-1}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(E_{N-2}\right)=$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & q^{-3} \frac{1+q}{q+q^{-1}}\left(E_{N-2}\left(E_{N-1}^{2} E_{N}^{2}-E_{N}^{2} E_{N-1}^{2}-\left(q+q^{-1}\right) E_{N-1} E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N}+\left(q+q^{-1}\right) E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N} E_{N-1}\right)\right.  \tag{4.17}\\
& \left.-q^{2}\left(E_{N-1}^{2} E_{N}^{2}-E_{N}^{2} E_{N-1}^{2}-\left(q+q^{-1}\right) E_{N-1} E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N}+\left(q+q^{-1}\right) E_{N} E_{N-1} E_{N} E_{N-1}\right) E_{N-2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which becomes 0 by applying the Serre relation (3.5) with $i=N-1, j=N$.

Recall that the elements $T_{\omega_{i}}, i \in I$, defined in 4.1, act trivially on the parity sequences via the homomorphism $\pi$. Therefore, we have that $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathrm{~s}}$ are, in fact, automorphisms. Note that $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathrm{~s}}$ : $\left(U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\right)_{\alpha_{j}} \rightarrow\left(U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\right)_{\alpha_{j}-\delta_{i, j} \delta}$. In particular, $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{j}\right)=E_{j}$ and $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{j}\right)=F_{j}$ for all $i \neq j \in I$.

## 5. LOOP-LIKE GENERATORS

Our aim is to provide a realization of a superalgebra $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ in terms of current generators, akin to the defining relations found in the new Drinfeld realization of quantum affine algebras.

First, let's establish the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{i}^{ \pm}(z):=\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{i, r}^{ \pm} z^{-r}, \quad K_{i}^{ \pm}(z):=K_{i}^{ \pm 1} \exp \left( \pm\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{t>0} H_{i, \pm t} z^{\mp t}\right), \\
& u_{i, j, r}:= \begin{cases}\frac{\left[r A_{i, j}\right]_{q}}{r}, & (i, j) \neq(N, N) ; \\
\frac{\left[2 r A_{N, N}\right]_{q}}{r}-(-1)^{(|N|+1) r} \frac{\left[r A_{N, N}\right]_{q}}{r}, & i=j=N .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we define the following quantum superalgebra.
Definition 5.1. The quantum superalgebra $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is the unital associative superalgebra generated by current generators $X_{i, r}^{ \pm}, H_{i, t}, K_{i}^{ \pm 1}, C^{ \pm 1}$, where $i \in I, r, t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \neq 0$, subject to the relations below.

The parity of generators is given by $\left|X_{i, r}^{ \pm}\right|=\left|\alpha_{i, s}\right|$, and all remaining generators have parity 0 .
The defining relations are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}} A_{i, i}^{\mathrm{s}} \neq 0 \text { and } i \neq N \text {, then } \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Sym}_{z_{1}, z_{2}} \llbracket X_{i}^{ \pm}\left(z_{1}\right), \llbracket X_{i}^{ \pm}\left(z_{2}\right), X_{j}^{ \pm}(w) \rrbracket \rrbracket=0
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C \text { is central, } \quad K_{i} K_{j}=K_{j} K_{i} .  \tag{5.1}\\
& K_{i} X_{j}^{ \pm}(z) K_{i}^{-1}=q^{ \pm A_{i, j}^{s}} X_{j}^{ \pm}(z) .  \tag{5.2}\\
& {\left[H_{i, t}, H_{j, s}\right]=\delta_{t+s, 0} u_{i, j, t} \frac{C^{t}-C^{-t}}{q-q^{-1}} .}  \tag{5.3}\\
& {\left[H_{i, t}, X_{j}^{ \pm}(z)\right]= \pm u_{i, j, t} C^{-(t \pm|t|) / 2} z^{t} X_{j}^{ \pm}(z) .}  \tag{5.4}\\
& {\left[X_{i}^{+}(z), X_{j}^{-}(w)\right]=\frac{\delta_{i, j}}{q-q^{-1}}\left(\delta\left(C \frac{w}{z}\right) K_{i}^{+}(w)-\delta\left(C \frac{z}{w}\right) K_{i}^{-}(z)\right) .} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}} \neq 0$ and either $i \neq j$ or $i \neq N$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z-q^{ \pm A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}}} w\right) X_{i}^{ \pm}(z) X_{j}^{ \pm}(w)+(-1)^{|i||j|}\left(w-q^{ \pm A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}}} z\right) X_{j}^{ \pm}(w) X_{i}^{ \pm}(z)=0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}}=0, \text { then }\left[X_{i}^{ \pm}(z), X_{j}^{ \pm}(w)\right]=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } A_{i, i}^{\mathrm{s}}=0 \text { and } i \neq 1, N, \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Sym}_{z_{1}, z_{2}} \llbracket X_{i}^{ \pm}\left(z_{1}\right), \llbracket X_{i+1}^{ \pm}\left(w_{1}\right), \llbracket X_{i}^{ \pm}\left(z_{2}\right), X_{i-1}^{ \pm}\left(w_{2}\right) \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sym}_{z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}} \llbracket X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{1}\right), \llbracket X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{2}\right), \llbracket X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{3}\right), X_{N-1}^{ \pm}(w) \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket=0 . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sym}_{z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}} z_{3}^{ \pm 1} \llbracket X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{1}\right), \llbracket X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{2}\right), X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{3}\right) \rrbracket \rrbracket=0 \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Sym}_{z_{1}, z_{2}}\left(z_{1}^{ \pm 2}\left[X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{1}\right), X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}-\right.  \tag{5.12}\\
& \left.\quad-q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{ \pm 1}\left[X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{1}\right), X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{Sym}_{z_{1}, z_{2}} z_{1}^{ \pm 1}\left(q^{A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{1}\right), X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N-1}^{ \pm}(w)\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+\right.  \tag{5.13}\\
& \left.\quad+\left(q+q^{-1}\right)\left[\left[X_{N-1}^{ \pm}(w), X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{1}\right)\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N}^{ \pm}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 5.2. The superalgebra $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ has the following symmetries.
(i) The map $\eta: U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is an anti-automorphism of superalgebras defined by:

$$
\eta(C)=C, \quad \eta\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}(z)\right)=X_{i}^{ \pm}\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad \eta\left(K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)\right)=K_{i}^{\mp}\left(C^{-1} z^{-1}\right), \quad i \in I .
$$

(ii) The $\operatorname{map} \Omega: U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ is an automorphism defined by:

$$
\Omega(C)=C, \quad \Omega\left(X_{i}^{+}(z)\right)=X_{i}^{-}(z), \quad \Omega\left(X_{i}^{-}(z)\right)=(-1)^{|i|} X_{i}^{+}(z), \quad \Omega\left(K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)\right)=K_{i}^{\mp}(z), \quad i \in I .
$$

(iii) For each $i \in I$, the automorphism $\tau_{i}: U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ of superalgebras is defined as:

$$
\tau_{i}(C)=C, \quad \tau_{i}\left(X_{j, r}^{ \pm}\right)=(-1)^{i \delta_{i, j}} X_{j, r \mp \delta_{i, j}}^{ \pm}, \quad \tau_{i}\left(H_{j, r}\right)=H_{j, r}, \quad \tau_{i}\left(K_{j}\right)=C^{-\delta_{i, j}} K_{j} \quad i \in I
$$

Our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 5.3. There exists a surjective homomorphism $\psi_{\mathbf{s}}: U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{\mathbf{s}}\left(X_{i, r}^{+}\right)=(-1)^{i r}\left(T_{\omega_{i}}^{-r}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(E_{i}\right), \\
& \psi_{\mathbf{s}}\left(X_{i, r}^{-}\right)=(-1)^{i r}\left(T_{\omega_{i}}^{r}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(F_{i}\right), \quad \psi_{\mathbf{s}}\left(K_{i}\right)=K_{i}, \quad i \in I, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
& \psi_{\mathbf{s}}(C)= \begin{cases}K_{0}\left(K_{1} \cdots K_{N}\right)^{2}, & s_{1}=-1, \\
K_{0} K_{1}\left(K_{2} \cdots K_{N}\right)^{2}, & s_{1}=1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our strategy to prove Theorem 5.3 is as follows. First, we consider a larger superalgebra $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ with the same set of generators as $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, but with fewer relations. It is easier to establish that the analogous homomorphism $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}: \tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is surjective. Then, we demonstrate that the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ of $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, such that $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \simeq \tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$, lies in the kernel of $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$.
Definition 5.4. The quantum superalgebra $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is the unital associative superalgebra generated by $X_{i, r}^{ \pm}, H_{i, t}, K_{i}^{ \pm 1}, C^{ \pm 1}$, where $i \in I, r, t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \neq 0$, subject to the relations below.

The parity of the generators is given by $\left|X_{i, r}^{ \pm}\right|=\left|\alpha_{i, \mathbf{s}}\right|$, and all remaining generators have parity 0 .
The defining relations are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C \text { is central, } \quad K_{i} K_{j}=K_{j} K_{i} .  \tag{5.14}\\
& K_{i} X_{j}^{ \pm}(z) K_{i}^{-1}=q^{ \pm A_{i, j}^{s} X_{j}^{ \pm}(z) .}  \tag{5.15}\\
& {\left[H_{i, t}, X_{j}^{ \pm}(z)\right]= \pm u_{i, j, t} C^{-(t \pm|t|) / 2} z^{t} X_{j}^{ \pm}(z), \quad \text { for } t \in\{1,-1\} .}  \tag{5.16}\\
& {\left[X_{i}^{+}(z), X_{j}^{-}(w)\right]=\frac{\delta_{i, j}}{q-q^{-1}}\left(\delta\left(C \frac{w}{z}\right) K_{i}^{+}(w)-\delta\left(C \frac{z}{w}\right) K_{i}^{-}(z)\right) .} \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5.5. There exists a surjective homomorphism $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}: \tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(X_{i, r}^{+}\right)=(-1)^{i r}\left(T_{\omega_{i}}^{-r}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(E_{i}\right), \quad \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(X_{i, r}^{-}\right)=(-1)^{i r}\left(T_{\omega_{i}}^{r}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}\left(F_{i}\right), \quad \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(K_{i}\right)=K_{i}, \quad i \in I, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
& \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}(C)= \begin{cases}K_{0}\left(K_{1} \cdots K_{N}\right)^{2}, & \text { if } s_{1}=-1, \\
K_{0} K_{1}\left(K_{2} \cdots K_{N}\right)^{2}, & \text { if } s_{1}=1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let us check that $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is a homomorphism.
The relations (5.14) follow from the relations (3.1) and the fact that $A_{i, 0}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i, j}=0$ when $s_{1}=-1$, and $A_{i, 0}+A_{i, 1}+2 \sum_{j=2}^{N} A_{i, j}=0$ when $s_{1}=1$.

The relations (5.15) follow from the relations (3.2) and $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{j}\right)=C^{-\delta_{i, j}} K_{j}$.
The relations (5.17) and the relations (5.16) with $i \neq j$ and $i=j \neq N$ are checked exactly as in [8], [11] with appropriate changes in the notation regarding the parities. In particular, the compatibility of $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$ with the equation (5.17) is proved by induction, as in [11, Lemma 8.3.5], using $C\left[E_{1}, T_{\omega_{1}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{1}\right)\right]=\left[T_{\omega_{1}, \mathbf{s}}^{-1}\left(E_{1}\right), F_{1}\right]$ for the base case.

We check the relations (5.16) with $X_{j, r}^{-}$and $i \neq j$ as an example of these changes. The case of $X_{j, r}^{+}$ is analogous. Since $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}=\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}} \tilde{\tau}_{i, \mathbf{s}}$, it is sufficient to consider $r=0$.

Note that by the definition of $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathrm{~s}}$, we have:

$$
T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{i} F_{j}-(-1)^{|i||j|} q^{-A_{i, j}} F_{j} F_{i}\right)=(-1)^{|i||j|} T_{\omega_{j}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{j} F_{i}-(-1)^{|i||j|} q^{-A_{i, j}} F_{i} F_{j}\right) .
$$

In addition, the element $H_{i, 1}$ can be written as $H_{i, 1}=K_{i}^{-1}\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{-}\right]$.
Applying $\tilde{\psi}_{\text {s }}$ and subsequently (3.15) and (3.12) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\left[H_{i, 1}, X_{j, 0}^{-}\right]\right) & =\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\left[K_{i}^{-1}\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{-}\right], X_{j, 0}^{-}\right]\right)=(-1)^{i}\left[K_{i}^{-1}\left[E_{i}, T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{i}\right)\right], F_{j}\right] \\
& =(-1)^{i} K_{i}^{-1}\left[E_{i}, T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{i} F_{j}-(-1)^{|i||j|} q^{-A_{i, j}} F_{j} F_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =(-1)^{i+|i||j|} K_{i}^{-1}\left[E_{i}, T_{\omega_{j}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{j} F_{i}-(-1)^{|i||j|} q^{-A_{i, j}} F_{i} F_{j}\right)\right] \\
& =(-1)^{i+|i||j|} T_{\omega_{j}, \mathbf{s}}\left(K_{i}^{-1}\left[E_{i}, F_{j} F_{i}-(-1)^{|i||j|} q^{-A_{i, j}} F_{i} F_{j}\right]\right) \\
& =(-1)^{i}\left[A_{i, j}\right] T_{\omega_{j}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{j}\right)=-\left[A_{i, j}\right] \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(X_{j, 1}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to check the relation 5.16 with $i=j=N$. For this, note that in $U_{q}\left(\mathfrak{o s p}(1,2)^{(1)}\right)$ and $U_{q}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{3}^{(2)}\right)$, we have $T_{\omega_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right)=T_{0} T_{1}\left(F_{1}\right)$. Thus, by a direct computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}^{-1}\left[\left[E_{1}, T_{\omega_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right)\right], F_{1}\right]_{q^{-A_{1,1}}} & =-u_{1,1,1} \frac{q^{-A_{1,1}}}{q+q^{-1}}\left[E_{0}, E_{1}\right]_{q^{A_{0,1}}} K_{1}^{-1} K_{0}^{-1} \\
& =-u_{1,1,1} T_{\omega_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining it with (3.15) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\left[H_{N, 1}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]\right) & =\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(K_{N}^{-1}\left[\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{-}\right], X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]_{q}^{-A_{N, N}}\right)= \\
& =(-1)^{N} K_{N}^{-1}\left[\left[E_{N}, T_{\omega_{N}}\left(F_{N}\right)\right], F_{N}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}} \\
& =-(-1)^{N} u_{N, N, 1} T_{\omega_{N}}\left(F_{N}\right) \\
& =-u_{N, N, 1} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(X_{N, 1}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $E_{i}, F_{i}, K_{i}^{ \pm 1} \in \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$ for $i \in I$. Also, we have that $\left(K_{1} \cdots K_{N}\right)^{-2} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}(C)=K_{0} \in \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$ when $s_{1}=-1$, and $K_{1}^{-1}\left(K_{2} \cdots K_{N}\right)^{-2} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}(C)=K_{0} \in \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$ when $s_{1}=1$.

Since $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}$-stable, and $\left(T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{N-1} T_{N} T_{N-1} \cdots T_{2} T_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}\left(F_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$, we have $T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{1}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
If $s_{1}=1$, then $T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{1}\right)=F_{0}$, and we are finished.
If $s_{1}=-1$, then $T_{0, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{1}\right)=-\llbracket F_{1}, F_{0} \rrbracket$ and $\left[E_{1}, \llbracket F_{1}, F_{0} \rrbracket\right] K_{1}=\left(q+q^{-1}\right) F_{0} \in \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$.
The proof that $E_{0} \in \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is analogous.

To simplify the notation, define the following elements of $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S d_{i, j}^{ \pm}(r, s)=\left[X_{i, r}^{ \pm}, X_{j, s}^{ \pm}\right] \\
& S e_{i, j}^{ \pm}(r, s)=\llbracket X_{i, r}^{ \pm}, \llbracket X_{i, r}^{ \pm}, X_{j, s}^{ \pm} \rrbracket \rrbracket \\
& S o_{i}^{ \pm}(r, s, t)=\llbracket X_{i, r}^{ \pm}, \llbracket X_{i+1, s}^{ \pm}, \llbracket X_{i, r}^{ \pm}, X_{i-1, t}^{ \pm} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \\
& S_{N}^{ \pm}(r, s)=\llbracket X_{N, r}^{ \pm}, \llbracket X_{N, r}^{ \pm}, \llbracket X_{N, r}^{ \pm}, X_{N-1, s}^{ \pm} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $i, j \in I$.
Let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{s}}$ be the two-sided ideal of $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S d_{i, j}^{ \pm}(r, s), \text { for all } r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \text { and } i, j \in I, \text { such that } A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}}=0, \\
& S e_{i, j}^{ \pm}(r, s) \text {, for all } r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \text {, and } i, j \in I, i \neq N \text {, such that } A_{i, j}^{\mathrm{s}} A_{i, i}^{\mathrm{s}} \neq 0, \\
& S o_{i}^{ \pm}(r, s, t) \text {, for all } r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \text {, and } i \in I, i \neq 1, N \text {, such that } A_{i, i}^{\mathrm{s}}=0, \\
& S_{N}^{ \pm}(r, s), \text { for all } r, s \in \mathbb{Z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.6. $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)=0$.
Proof. Recall that $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}\left(E_{j}\right)=E_{j}$ and $T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}\left(F_{j}\right)=F_{j}$. Let us show that $S d_{i, j}^{+}(r, s) \in \operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$. First, suppose $i \neq j$ and $A_{i, j}=0$. Then, using the relation (3.4), we have

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(S d_{i, j}^{+}(r, s)\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, s}^{+}\right]\right)=(-1)^{r i+s j}\left(T_{\omega_{i}, \mathbf{s}}^{-r} T_{\omega_{j}, \mathbf{s}}^{-s}\right)\left(\left[E_{i}, E_{j}\right]\right)=0
$$

Now, suppose that $i=j$ and $A_{i, i}=0$. This implies that $|i|=1$ and $2 E_{i}^{2}=\left[E_{i}, E_{i}\right]=0$. Then, the previous computation with $r=s$ shows that $S d_{i, i}^{+}(r, r) \in \operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$. To conclude that $S d_{i, i}^{+}(r, s) \in$ $\operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$, for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we commute $S d_{i, i}^{+}(r, r)$ with $H_{i \pm 1,1}$ and use induction.

The proof that all other generators of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{s}}$ lie in the kernel of $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is similar. To show that $S e_{i, j}^{ \pm}(r, s)$ and $S_{N}^{ \pm}(r, s)$ are in $\operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$, we use the relation (3.5), and for $S o_{i}^{ \pm}(r, s, t)$, we use (3.6).

Let $\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\Omega}$, and $\tilde{\tau}_{i}$ be the endomorphisms of $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ defined by the same formulas as the maps $\eta, \Omega$, and $\tau_{i}$ (see [5.2), respectively. Note that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is invariant under the action of $\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\Omega}$, and $\tilde{\tau}_{i}$.
Lemma 5.7. $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \simeq \tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
Proof. The proof follows the same strategy used in [8]. It is clear that all generators of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{s}}$ vanish in $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, in particular (5.7) belong to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Moreover, relations (5.1), (5.2), and (5.5) coincide with relations (5.14), (5.15), and (5.17), respectively.

We will show that the remaining relations, in the definition of $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, can be deduced from the defining relations of $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the following order. We first check (5.8)-(5.10), after we show (5.6), and then prove (5.11). Next, we use (5.11) to show (5.12). After that, employing (5.6) and (5.12), we obtain the relation (5.4) and then use it to obtain (5.3). We finish showing the relation (5.13).

The relations (5.8), (5.9), and (5.10) are obtained by induction, after commuting the generators $S e_{i, j}^{ \pm}(r, s), S o_{i}^{ \pm}(r, s, t)$, and $S_{N}^{ \pm}(r, s)$ with $H_{i, 1}$, for appropriate choices of $i \in I$, and using the fact that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is invariant under the action of $\tilde{\tau}_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.

Next, we show that relation (5.6) holds in $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$. The proof is split into three cases.
Case 1. Suppose $|i|=0$ and $j=i \pm 1$. Then, in $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$, we have:

$$
0=S e_{i, j}^{+}(0, s)=\llbracket X_{i, 0}^{+}, \llbracket X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{j, s}^{+} \rrbracket \rrbracket=\llbracket \llbracket X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+} \rrbracket, X_{i, 0}^{+} \rrbracket=\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}-A_{i, j}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i} .
$$

Commuting $S e_{i, j}^{+}(0, s)$ with $X_{i, 1}^{-}$and using the relations (3.12), (5.17), (3.14), and (3.15), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\left[\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}^{-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i}}, X_{i, 1}^{-}\right] \\
&=\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}},\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{-}\right]\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i}}}+\left[\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}}, X_{i, 1}^{-}\right], X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i}}} \\
&=\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}},\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{-}\right]\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i}}}+\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+},\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{-}\right]\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}},}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i}}} \\
&=\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{\left.q^{-A_{i, j}}, K_{i} H_{i, 1}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i}}}+\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, K_{i} H_{i, 1}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i}}}}\right. \\
&=q^{-A_{i, j}} K_{i}\left(q^{-A_{i, i}}\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}}, H_{i, 1}\right]+\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, H_{i, 1}\right], X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}-2 A_{i, i}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we use the relations (3.12) and (5.16) to obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =q^{-A_{i, i}} C\left(\left[X_{j, s}^{+},\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, H_{i, 1}\right]\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}}+\left[\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, H_{i, 1}\right], X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}^{-A_{i, j}}\right)-\left[A_{i, j}\right]_{q}\left[X_{j, s+1}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q-} A_{i, j}-2 A_{i, i} \\
& =-q^{-A_{i, i}}\left[A_{i, i}\right]_{q}\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}}-\left[A_{i, j}\right]_{q}\left(q^{-A_{i, i}}\left[X_{j, s+1}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, j}}}+\left[X_{j, s+1}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}^{-A_{i, j}-2 A_{i, i}}\right) \\
& =-q^{-A_{i, i}}\left[A_{i, i}\right]_{q}\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{+}\right]_{q}^{-A_{i, j}}-\left[A_{i, j}\right]_{q}\left(1+q^{-A_{i, i}}\right)\left(X_{j, s+1}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}-q^{-A_{i, j}-A_{i, i}} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{j, s+1}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By hypothesis $i \neq N, j=i \pm 1$, and $|i|=0$, we have that $A_{i, i}=-2 A_{i, j}$ and $\left[A_{i, i}\right]_{q}=-\left(q^{A_{i, j}}+\right.$ $\left.q^{-A_{i, j}}\right)\left[A_{i, j}\right]_{q}$. Thus,

$$
\llbracket X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{+} \rrbracket+\llbracket X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{j, s+1}^{+} \rrbracket=0
$$

Since $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is $\tilde{\tau}_{i}$-invariant, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\llbracket X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, r+1}^{+} \rrbracket+\llbracket X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, s+1}^{+} \rrbracket=0 \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. $|i|=0$ and $i=j \neq N$. Let $\ell=i \pm 1$. Then, in $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ we have:

$$
0=\llbracket X_{i, 0}^{ \pm}, \llbracket X_{i, 0}^{ \pm}, X_{\ell, 1}^{ \pm} \rrbracket \rrbracket=\left[X_{i, 0}^{ \pm},\left[X_{i, 0}^{ \pm}, X_{\ell, 1}^{ \pm}\right]_{q}-A_{i, \ell}\right]_{q}-A_{i, \ell}-A_{i, i} .
$$

Then, using the equations (3.12), (5.17), and (5.16), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[\left[X_{i, 0}^{+},\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{\ell, 1}^{+}\right]_{q}-A_{i, \ell}\right]_{q^{-} A_{i, \ell}-A_{i, i}}, X_{\ell, 0}^{-}\right] \\
& =C\left[X_{i, 0}^{+},\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, K_{\ell} H_{\ell, 1}\right]_{\left.q^{-A_{i, \ell}}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, \ell}-A_{i, i}}}}\right. \\
& =C\left[X_{i, 0}^{+},\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, H_{\ell, 1}\right] K_{\ell}\right]_{q^{-A_{i, \ell}-A_{i, i}}} \\
& =-\left[A_{i, \ell}\right]_{q}\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{+} K_{\ell}\right]_{q}-A_{i, \ell}-A_{i, i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=-\left[A_{i, \ell}\right]_{q}\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{+}\right]_{q}-A_{i, i} K_{\ell} .
$$

This implies:

$$
\left[X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{+}\right]_{q}-A_{i, i}=\llbracket X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{+} \rrbracket=0 .
$$

By commuting this last equation with $H_{i, 1}$, using (5.16), and induction, we conclude that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\llbracket X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{i, s+1}^{+} \rrbracket+\llbracket X_{i, s}^{+}, X_{i, r+1}^{+} \rrbracket=0 \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 3. $|i|=1$ and $i \neq j$. This case involves longer calculations and to make the exposition clearer we break longer expressions into parts. However, the strategy is similar.

First, note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S o_{i}^{ \pm}(0,0,0)= & \llbracket X_{i, 0}^{+}, \llbracket X_{i+1,0}^{+}, \llbracket X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i-1,0}^{+} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \\
= & X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i-1,0}^{+}+(-1)^{|i-1|+|i+1|+|i-1||i+1|} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i-1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+}+ \\
& +(-1)^{|i-1|+|i+1|} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i-1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}+(-1)^{|i-1||i+1|} X_{i-1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}- \\
& -(-1)^{|i-1|}\left(q^{-A_{i, i-1}}+q^{-A_{i, i+1}}\right) X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i-1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we commute each monomial with $X_{i-1,1}^{-}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i-1,0}^{+}, X_{i-1,1}^{-}\right]=} & X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} H_{i-1,1} K_{1}, \\
{\left[X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i-1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+}, X_{i-1,1}^{-}\right]=} & (-1)^{|i-1|+|i-1||i+1|} C^{-1} q^{A_{i-1, i}}\left[A_{i-1, i}\right]_{q} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} K_{1}, \\
{\left[X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i-1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i-1,1}^{-}\right]=} & (-1)^{|i-1|} C^{-1} q^{A_{i-1, i}}\left[A_{i-1, i}\right]_{q} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} K_{1}, \\
{\left[X_{i-1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i-1,1}^{-}\right]=} & (-1)^{|i-1||i+1|} q^{2 A_{i-1, i}}\left(\left[A_{i-1, i}\right]_{q} C^{-1}\left(X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}+X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} H_{i-1,1}\right) K_{1}, \\
{\left[X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i-1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i-1,1}^{-}\right]=} & (-1)^{|i-1|} q^{A_{i-1, i}}\left(C^{-1}\left[A_{i-1, i, i}\right]_{q} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+}+X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} H_{i-1,1}\right) K_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up and simplifying we prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[S o_{i}^{ \pm}(0,0,0), X_{i-1,1}^{-}\right]=C^{-1} q^{A_{i-1, i}}\left[A_{i-1, i}\right]_{q}\left((-1)^{|i+1|} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+}+(-1)^{|i+1|} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+}\right.} \\
& \left.+q^{A_{i-1, i}} X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}-q^{-A_{i-1, i}} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+}\right) K_{i-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the element $Y$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y:=(-1)^{|i+1|} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+}+(-1)^{|i+1|} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+}+ \\
&+q^{A_{i-1, i}} X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}-q^{-A_{i-1, i}} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we commute $Y$ with $X_{i, 0}^{-}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]=} & (-1)^{|i+1|}\left(q^{A_{i, i+1}} C X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} H_{i, 1} K_{i}+q^{A_{i, i+1}}\left[A_{i, i+1}\right] X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,1}^{+} K_{i}+\right. \\
& \left.+X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} \frac{q^{-A_{i, i+1}} K_{i}^{-1}-q^{A_{i, i+1}} K_{i}}{q-q^{-1}}\right) \\
{\left[X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]=} & C X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} H_{i, 1} K_{i}-X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} \frac{K_{i}-K_{i}^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]=- } & (-1)^{|i+1|} q^{A_{i, i+1}} C X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} H_{i, 1} K_{i}-(-1)^{|i+1|} q^{A_{i, i+1}}\left[A_{i, i+1}\right] X_{i+1,1}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+} K_{i}+ \\
& +X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} \frac{K_{i}-K_{i}^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}} \\
{\left[X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]=} & C X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+} H_{i, 1} K_{i}+(-1)^{|i+1|} X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} \frac{q^{-A_{i, i+1}} K_{i}^{-1}-q^{A_{i, i+1}} K_{i}}{q-q^{-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting the last four expressions together and simplifying,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[Y, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]=} & q^{A_{i, i+1}}\left[A_{i, i+1}\right]_{q}\left(X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,1}^{+}-(-1)^{|i+1|} q^{-A_{i, i+1}} X_{i+1,1}^{+} X_{i, 0}^{+}\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{|i+1|}\left(X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+}-(-1)^{|i+1|} q^{-A_{i, i+1}} X_{i, 1}^{+} X_{i+1,0}^{+}\right)\right) K_{i} \\
= & q^{A_{i, i+1}}\left[A_{i, i+1}\right]_{q}\left(\llbracket X_{i, 0}^{+} X_{i+1,1}^{+} \rrbracket+(-1)^{|i+1|} \llbracket X_{i+1,0}^{+} X_{i, 1}^{+} \rrbracket\right) K_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\llbracket X_{i, 0}^{+}, X_{i+1,1}^{+} \rrbracket+(-1)^{|i+1|} \llbracket X_{i+1,0}^{+}, X_{i, 1}^{+} \rrbracket=0$.
The invariance of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ under the action of $\tilde{\tau}_{i}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{i+1}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\llbracket X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{i+1, s+1}^{+} \rrbracket+(-1)^{|i+1|} \llbracket X_{i+1, s}^{+}, X_{i, r+1}^{+} \rrbracket=0 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if we commute $S o_{i}^{ \pm}(0,0,0)$ with $X_{i+1,1}^{-}$instead of $X_{i-1,1}^{-}$and repeat the previous computations, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\llbracket X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{i-1, s+1}^{+} \rrbracket+(-1)^{|i-1|} \llbracket X_{i-1, s}^{+}, X_{i, r+1}^{+} \rrbracket=0 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To summarize, the equations (5.18), (5.19), (5.20) and (5.21) can all be written as

$$
\llbracket X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, s+1}^{+} \rrbracket+(-1)^{|i||j|} \llbracket X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{i, r+1}^{+} \rrbracket=0
$$

which is equivalent to (5.6).
Next, we show that the relation (5.11) holds in $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
We have that $S_{N}^{ \pm}(0,0)=\llbracket X_{N, 0}^{ \pm}, \llbracket X_{N, 0}^{ \pm}, \llbracket X_{N, 0}^{ \pm}, X_{N-1,0}^{ \pm} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Then, using the equations (3.12), (5.17), (3.14), and (5.16), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[S_{N}^{ \pm}(0,0), X_{N-1,1}^{-}\right]=\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N-1,1}^{-}\right]\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}\right]\right]_{q}-A_{N, N} \\
& =\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, K_{N-1} H_{N-1,1}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}\right]\right]_{q}-A_{N, N} \\
& =q^{3 A_{N, N}} K_{N-1}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, H_{N-1,1}\right]\right]_{q}-A_{N, N}\right]_{q}-2 A_{N, N} \\
& =-q^{3 A_{N, N}} u_{N-1, N, 1} C^{-1} K_{N-1}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q}-A_{N, N}\right]_{q}-2 A_{N, N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q-A_{N, N}}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}=\llbracket X_{N, 0}^{+}, \llbracket X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+} \rrbracket \rrbracket=0$, and the relation (5.11) follows from the fact that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is invariant by the action of $\tilde{\tau}_{N}$, by commuting the previous equation with $H_{N, 1}$, and induction.

To show that (5.12) holds in $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ we use (5.11).
We have that

$$
0=\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q}-A_{N, N}\right]_{q}-2 A_{N, N}
$$

Then, using the identities (3.12), (5.17), (3.14), (3.15) and (5.16), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}, X_{N,-1}^{-}\right]=\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, \frac{C K_{N}-\left(C K_{N}\right)^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+} \\
& \quad+(-1)^{|N|}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[\left(C K_{N}\right)^{-1} H_{N,-1}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+\left[\left(C K_{N}\right)^{-1} H_{N,-1},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A}} A_{N, N}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(C K_{N}\right)^{-1}\left(-(-1)^{|N|} q^{A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}+(-1)^{|N|} q^{A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[H_{N,-1}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left[\left[H_{N,-1}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right], X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}+\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[H_{N,-1}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}\right) \\
= & \left(C K_{N}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(u_{N, N,-1}-(-1)^{|N|}\right) q^{A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}+u_{N, N,-1}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}+\right. \\
& \left.+u_{N, N,-1}\left[X_{N,-1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}\right) \\
= & \left(C K_{N}\right)^{-1}(-1)^{|N|} u_{N, N,-1}\left(q^{A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}-3 A_{N, N}-q^{-A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N,-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last equation equals zero we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N,-1}^{+}\right]_{q} A_{N, N}-q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}^{-3 A_{N, N}}=0 . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation (5.12) follows from the fact that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is invariant by the action of $\tilde{\tau}_{N}$, by commuting the equation (5.22) with $H_{N, 1}$, and induction.

Once we establish that the equations (5.6) and (5.12) both hold in $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$, we can show that (5.4) is satisfied as follows.

Denoting $K_{i, r}^{+}=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{i}^{-1}\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]$, for $r>0$, the series $K_{i}^{+}(z)$ can be rewritten as

$$
K_{i}^{+}(z)=K_{i}\left(1+\sum_{r \geq 0} K_{i, r}^{+} z^{-r}\right) .
$$

Then, using the equations (3.15) and (3.12), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right] } & =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r}\left[K_{i}^{-1}\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right], X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{i}^{-1}\left[\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right], X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}} \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{i}^{-1}\left(\left[X_{i, r}^{+},\left[X_{i, 0}^{-}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]\right]_{q^{A}, j}+(-1)^{|i| j \mid} \mid\left[\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]\right) \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{i}^{-1}\left(\left.-(-1)^{|i|}\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, \delta_{i, j} \frac{K_{i}-K_{i}^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}+(-1)^{|i||j|} \right\rvert\,\left[\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]\right) \\
& \left.=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{i}^{-1}\left((-1)^{|i|}\left[A_{i, i}\right]_{q} \delta_{i, j} K_{i} X_{i, r}^{+}+(-1)^{|i||j|} \mid\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider two cases.
Case 1. Suppose $(i, j) \neq(N, N)$. Then, using the relation (5.6)

$$
\left[X_{i, r+1}^{+}, X_{j, s}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}+(-1)^{|i||j|}\left[X_{j, s+1}^{+}, X_{i, r}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}=0
$$

we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right] } & =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{i}^{-1}\left((-1)^{|i|}\left[A_{i, i}\right]_{q} \delta_{i, j} K_{i} X_{i, r}^{+}+(-1)^{|i||j|}\left[\left[X_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]_{q} A_{i, j}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]\right) \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{i}^{-1}\left((-1)^{|i|}\left[A_{i, i}\right]_{q} \delta_{i, j} K_{i} X_{i, r}^{+}-\left[\left[X_{j, 1}^{+}, X_{i, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next subsequently applying (3.12), (5.5), (3.14), and (3.15), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[X_{j, 1}^{+}, X_{i, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right] } & =\left[X_{j, 1}^{+},\left[X_{i, r-1}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right]\right]_{A^{A}}{ }_{i, j}+(-1)^{|i|}\left[\left[X_{j, 1}^{+}, X_{i, 0}^{-}\right], X_{i, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}} \\
& =\left[X_{j, 1}^{+}, \frac{C^{r-1} K_{i} K_{i, r-1}^{+}}{q-q^{-1}}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}+(-1)^{|i|} \delta_{i, j}\left[\frac{C K_{i} K_{i, 1}^{+}}{q-q^{-1}}, X_{i, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{i, j}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{q^{-A_{i, j}} C^{r-1} K_{i}}{q-q^{-1}}\left[X_{j, 1}^{+}, K_{i, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{2} A_{i, j}}+(-1)^{|i|} \delta_{i, j} \frac{C K_{i}}{q-q^{-1}}\left[K_{i, 1}^{+}, X_{i, r-1}^{+}\right] \\
& =\frac{q^{-A_{i, j}} C^{r-1} K_{i}}{q-q^{-1}}\left[X_{j, 1}^{+}, K_{i, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{2 A_{i, j}}}+(-1)^{|i|} \delta_{i, j} C K_{i}\left[H_{i, 1}, X_{i, r-1}^{+}\right] \\
& =\frac{q^{-A_{i, j}} C^{r-1} K_{i}}{q-q^{-1}}\left[X_{j, 1}^{+}, K_{i, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{2} A_{i, j}}+(-1)^{|i|}\left[A_{i, i}\right]_{q} \delta_{i, j} K_{i} X_{i, r}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left[K_{i, r}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]=-q^{-A_{i, j}} C^{-1}\left[X_{j, 1}^{+}, K_{i, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{2} A_{i, j}}
$$

Which can be rewritten as

$$
K_{i, r}^{+} X_{j, 0}^{+}-q^{A_{i, j}} C^{-1} K_{i, r-1}^{+} X_{j, 1}^{+}=X_{j, 0}^{+} K_{i, r}^{+}-q^{-A_{i, j}} C^{-1} X_{j, 1}^{+} K_{i, r-1}^{+} .
$$

Wrapping up these relations into a series we obtain:

$$
\left(1-q^{A_{i, j}} z^{-1} C^{-1}(-1)^{j} \tau_{j}^{-1}\right) K_{i}^{-1} K_{i}^{+}(z) X_{j, 0}^{+}=\left(1-q^{-A_{i, j}} z^{-1} C^{-1}(-1)^{j} \tau_{j}^{-1}\right) X_{j, 0}^{+} K_{i}^{-1} K_{i}^{+}(z),
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{r>0}\left[H_{i, r}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right] z^{-r}=\left(\log \left(1-q^{-A_{i, j}} z^{-1} C^{-1}(-1)^{j} \tau_{j}^{-1}\right)-\log \left(1-q^{A_{i, j}} z^{-1} C^{-1}(-1)^{j} \tau_{j}^{-1}\right)\right) X_{j, 0}^{+}
$$

Therefore, for $r>0$, we have

$$
\left[H_{i, r}, X_{j, 0}^{+}\right]=\frac{\left[r A_{i, j}\right]_{q}}{r} C^{-r} X_{j, r}^{+}
$$

The relation (5.4) when $(i, j) \neq(N, N)$ follows for all $r \neq 0$ by applying the anti-automorphism $\tilde{\eta}$.
Case 2. Now, we suppose that $i=j=N$. This case is longer, but the strategy is the same.
Let $r=2$. Then, using the relation (5.12)

$$
\left[X_{N, 2}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}-q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}=0
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K_{N, 2}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right] } & =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-2} K_{N}^{-1}\left((-1)^{|N|}\left[A_{N, N}\right]_{q} K_{N} X_{N, 2}^{+}+(-1)^{|N|}\left[\left[X_{N, 2}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]\right) \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-2} K_{N}^{-1}\left((-1)^{|N|}\left[A_{N, N}\right]_{q} K_{N} X_{N, 2}^{+}+(-1)^{|N|} q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the equations (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{\left.q^{-3 A_{N, N}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]}\right.} & =\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, \frac{C K_{N} K_{N, 1}^{+}}{q-q^{-1}}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}+(-1)^{|N|}\left[\frac{C K_{N} K_{N, 1}^{+}}{q-q^{-1}}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}} \\
& =\frac{C K_{N}}{q-q^{-1}}\left(q^{-A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, K_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+(-1)^{|N|}\left[K_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}\right) \\
& =\left((-1)^{|N|}-q^{-3 A_{N, N}}\right) \frac{C K_{N}}{q-q^{-1}}\left(K_{N, 1}^{+} X_{N, 1}^{+}+(-1)^{|N|} q^{-A_{N, N}} X_{N, 1}^{+} K_{N, 1}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[K_{N, 2}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right] } & =(-1)^{|N|}\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-2} K_{N}^{-1}\left(\left[A_{N, N}\right]_{q} K_{N} X_{N, 2}^{+}+q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{-}-3 A_{N, N}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]\right) \\
24) & =\left(q^{2 A_{N, N}}-(-1)^{|N|} q^{-A_{N, N}}\right) C^{-1}\left(K_{N, 1}^{+} X_{N, 1}^{+}+(-1)^{|N|} q^{-A_{N, N}} X_{N, 1}^{+} K_{N, 1}^{+}\right)+ \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
+(-1)^{|N|}\left(q^{A_{N, N}}-q^{-A_{N, N}}\right) C^{-2} X_{N, 2}^{+} .
$$

Now, let $r>2$, and using the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[X_{N, r}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}+\left[X_{N, 2}^{+}, X_{N, r-2}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}-} & q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, r-1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}- \\
& -q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, r-1}^{+}\right]_{q^{-3 A_{N, N}}}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K_{N, r}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]=} & \left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{N}^{-1}(-1)^{|N|}\left(\left[A_{N, N}\right]_{q} K_{N} X_{N, r}^{+}+\left[\left[X_{N, r}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]\right) \\
= & \left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-r} K_{N}^{-1}(-1)^{|N|}\left(\left[A_{N, N}\right]_{q} K_{N} X_{N, r}^{+}+q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N, r-1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{\left.q^{-3 A_{N, N}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]+}\right.\right. \\
& +q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, r-1}^{+}\right]_{\left.\left.q^{-3 A_{N, N}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]-\left[\left[X_{N, 2}^{+}, X_{N, r-2}^{+}\right]_{q}{ }^{A_{N, N}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]\right) .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to (5.23), we have for the second and third summands

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left[X_{N, r-1}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q}^{-3 A_{N, N}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]+\left[\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, r-1}^{+}\right]_{\left.q^{-3 A_{N, N}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]=}^{=} \begin{array}{l}
\frac{K_{N}}{q-q^{-1}}\left((-1)^{|N|}-q^{-3 A_{N, N}}\right)\left(C\left(K_{N, 1}^{+} X_{N, r-1}^{+}+(-1)^{|N|} q^{-A_{N, N}} X_{N, r-1}^{+} K_{N, 1}^{+}\right)+\right. \\
\left.\quad+C^{r-1}\left(K_{N, r-1}^{+} X_{N, 1}^{+}+(-1)^{|N|} q^{-A_{N, N}} X_{N, 1}^{+} K_{N, r-1}^{+}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the last one

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[X_{N, 2}^{+}, X_{N, r-2}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{-}\right]=} & \frac{K_{N}}{q-q^{-1}}\left(C^{r-2}\left(q^{-A_{N, N}} X_{N, 2}^{+} K_{N, r-2}^{+}-q^{A_{N, N}} K_{N, r-2}^{+} X_{N, 2}^{+}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{|N|} C^{2}\left[K_{N, 2}^{+}, X_{N, r-2}^{+}\right]\right) \\
= & \frac{K_{N}}{q-q^{-1}}\left(C^{r-2}\left(q^{-A_{N, N}} X_{N, 2}^{+} K_{N, r-2}^{+}-q^{A_{N, N}} K_{N, r-2}^{+} X_{N, 2}^{+}\right)+\right. \\
& +\left((-1)^{|N|} q^{2 A_{N, N}}-q^{-A_{N, N}}\right) C\left(K_{N, 1}^{+} X_{N, 1}^{+}+(-1)^{|N|} q^{-A_{N, N}} X_{N, 1}^{+} K_{N, 1}^{+}\right)+ \\
& \left.+\left(q^{A_{N, N}}-q^{-A_{N, N}}\right) X_{N, 2}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting into the expression for $\left[K_{N, r}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K_{N, r}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]=} & \left((-1)^{|N|} q^{A_{N, N}}-q^{-2 A_{N, N}}\right) X_{N, 1}^{+} K_{N, r-1}^{+}+\left(q^{2 A_{N, N}}-(-1)^{|N|} q^{-A_{N, N}}\right) K_{N, r-1}^{+} X_{N, 1}^{+}+ \\
& +(-1)^{|N|}\left(q^{A_{N, N}} K_{N, r-2}^{+} X_{N, 2}^{+}-q^{-A_{N, N}} X_{N, 2}^{+} K_{N, r-2}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Wrapping up these relations into a series we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(1-(-1)^{N} q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left(C z \tau_{N}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(1+(-1)^{|N|+N} q^{-A_{N, N}}\left(C z \tau_{N}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) K_{N}^{-1} K_{N}^{+}(z) X_{N, 0}^{+}= \\
& \left.\left.\quad=\left(1-(-1)^{N} q^{-2 A_{N, N}}\left(C z \tau_{N}\right)^{-1}\right)\right)\left(1+(-1)^{|N|+N} q^{A_{N, N}}\left(C z \tau_{N}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) X_{N, 0}^{+} K_{N}^{-1} K_{N}^{+}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{r>0}\left[H_{N, r}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right] z^{-r}= & \left(\log \left(1-(-1)^{N} q^{-2 A_{N, N}}\left(C z \tau_{N}\right)^{-1}\right)+\right. \\
& +\log \left(1+(-1)^{|N|+N} q^{A_{N, N}}\left(C z \tau_{N}\right)^{-1}\right)- \\
& -\log \left(1-(-1)^{N} q^{2 A_{N, N}}\left(C z \tau_{N}\right)^{-1}\right)-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.-\log \left(1+(-1)^{|N|+N} q^{-A_{N, N}}\left(C z \tau_{N}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) X_{N, 0}^{+}
$$

Therefore, for $r>0$, we have

$$
\left[H_{N, r}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]=C^{-r}\left(\frac{\left[2 r A_{N, N}\right]_{q}}{r}-(-1)^{(|N|+1) r} \frac{\left[r A_{N, N}\right]_{q}}{r}\right) X_{N, r}^{+} .
$$

The relation (5.4) with $i=j=N$ follows for all $r \neq 0$ by applying the anti-automorphism $\tilde{\eta}$.
Now, we show that the relation (5.3) is a consequence of the equations (5.4) and (3.13).
Since $\left[H_{i, r}, H_{j, s}\right]=-\left[H_{j, s}, H_{i, r}\right]=\tilde{\Omega}\left[H_{j,-s}, H_{i,-r}\right]$, we may assume $|r| \geq s>0$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{i, r}, K_{j, s}^{+}\right] } & =\left[H_{i, r},\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-s} K_{j}^{-1}\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{-}\right]\right]= \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-s} K_{j}^{-1}\left(\left[\left[H_{i, r}, X_{j, s}^{+}\right], X_{j, 0}^{-}\right]+\left[X_{j, s}^{+},\left[H_{i, r}, X_{j, 0}^{-}\right]\right]\right) \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right) C^{-s} K_{j}^{-1} u_{i, j, r}\left(C^{-(r+|r|) / 2}\left[X_{j, r+s}^{+}, X_{j, 0}^{-}\right]-C^{-(r-|r|) / 2}\left[X_{j, s}^{+}, X_{j, r}^{-}\right]\right) \\
& =C^{-s} K_{j}^{-1} u_{i, j, r}\left(C^{-(r+|r|) / 2}\left(C^{r+s} K_{j} K_{j, r+s}^{+}-K_{j}^{-1} K_{j, r+s}^{-}\right)-\left(C^{s} K_{j} K_{j, r+s}^{+}-C^{r} K_{j}^{-1} K_{j, r+s}^{-}\right)\right) \\
& =C^{-s} K_{j}^{-1} u_{i, j, r}\left(C^{s}\left(C^{(r-|r|) / 2}-C^{(|r|-r) / 2}\right) K_{j} K_{j, r+s}^{+}+\left(C^{(r+|r|) / 2}-C^{-(r+|r|) / 2}\right) K_{j}^{-1} K_{j, r+s}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By hypothesis, $|r| \geq s>0$. If $r>0$, then $r+s>0$ and $K_{j, r+s}^{-}=0$. Thus, $\left[H_{i, r}, K_{j, s}^{+}\right]=0$. If $r<0$, then $r+s \leq 0$ and $K_{j, r+s}^{+}=\delta_{r+s, 0}$. Thus, in both cases, we have that

$$
\left[H_{i, r}, K_{j, s}^{+}\right]=u_{i, j, r} \delta_{r+s, 0}\left(C^{r}-C^{-r}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left[H_{i, r}, H_{j, s}\right]=u_{i, j, r} \delta_{r+s, 0} \frac{C^{r}-C^{-r}}{q-q^{-1}} .
$$

It remains to show that the relation (5.13) holds in $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
Recall that $A_{N, N}=-A_{N, N-1}$. Hence, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{N}^{ \pm}(0,0) & =\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, X_{N-1,0}^{+}\right]_{q}^{A_{N, N}}\right]\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}} \\
& =\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right], X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using the equations (3.12), (3.15), and (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[S_{N}^{ \pm}(0,0), X_{N, 1}^{-}\right]=\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, K_{N} H_{N, 1}\right]_{q^{A} A_{N, N}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right], X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}^{-A_{N, N}} } \\
+ & (-1)^{|N|}\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, K_{N} H_{N, 1}\right], X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}+\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right], K_{N} H_{N, 1}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}} \\
= & K_{N}\left(q^{A_{N, N}}\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, H_{N, 1}\right], X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{|N|}\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, H_{N, 1}\right], X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+q^{-A_{N, N}}\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right], H_{N, 1}\right]\right) \\
= & K_{N}\left(q ^ { A _ { N , N } } \left[\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, H_{N, 1}\right], X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}\right.\right. \\
& +(-1)^{|N|}\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, H_{N, 1}\right]\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q}^{-2 A_{N, N}}+(-1)^{|N|}\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, H_{N, 1}\right], X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+\right. \\
& +q^{-A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, H_{N, 1}\right]\right]+q^{-A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+},\left[X_{N, 0}^{+}, H_{N, 1}\right]\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]+ \\
& \left.+q^{-A_{N, N}}\left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, H_{N, 1}\right], X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we apply the equation (5.16) then expanding and reassembling the commutators, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & -C^{-1} K_{N}\left(u _ { N - 1 , N , 1 } \left(q^{A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N-1,1}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{|N|}\left[\left[X_{N-1,1}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}},}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+q^{-A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N-1,1}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]\right)+ \\
& +u_{N, N, 1}\left(( - 1 ) ^ { | N | } \left[\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}+q^{-A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+q^{-A_{N, N}} u_{N, N, 1}\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]\right)\right) \\
= & -C^{-1} K_{N}\left(q^{A_{N, N}}+q^{-A_{N, N}}+(-1)^{|N|}\right)\left(q^{-A_{N, N}}\left(q+q^{-1}\right)\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N-1,0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q+q^{-1}\right)\left[\left[X_{N-1,0}^{+}, X_{N, 1}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]+q^{A_{N, N}}\left[\left[X_{N, 1}^{+}, X_{N, 0}^{+}\right]_{q^{A_{N, N}}}, X_{N-1,0}^{+}\right]_{q^{-2 A_{N, N}}} \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{s}} . \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the relations (5.13) follow by induction, after applying the automorphisms $\tilde{\tau}_{N-1}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{N}$, and commuting equation (5.25) with $H_{N, 1}$.
Proof of Theorem 5.3. Since $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{s}} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{s}}$, we have an induced surjective homomorphism $\psi_{\mathbf{s}}$ : $\tilde{U}_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, which, together with Lemma 5.7, gives the desired surjective homomorphism $U_{q}^{D}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$. We conjecture that the map $\psi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is injective, thus providing the Drinfeld realization for quantum affine superalgebras of type $B$.
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