

ON-THE-FLY LEARNING TO TRANSFER MOTION STYLE WITH DIFFUSION MODELS: A SEMANTIC GUIDANCE APPROACH

Lei Hu^{1,2}, Zihao Zhang¹, Yongjing Ye^{1,2}, Yiwen Xu^{1,2} & Shihong Xia^{1,2*}

¹Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

{hulei19z, zhangzihao, yeyongjing, xuyiwen20z, xsh}@ict.ac.cn

ABSTRACT

In recent years, the emergence of generative models has spurred development in the field of human motion generation, among which the generation of stylized human motion has consistently been a focal point of research in this domain. The conventional approach for stylized human motion generation involves transferring the style from given style examples to new motions. Despite decades of research in human motion style transfer, it still faces three main challenges: 1) difficulties in decoupling the motion content and style; 2) generalization to unseen motion style. 3) requirements of dedicated motion style dataset; To address these issues, we propose an on-the-fly human motion style transfer learning method based on the diffusion model, which can learn a style transfer model with just one style example and a few minutes of fine-tuning to transfer an unseen style to diverse content motions. The key idea of our method is to consider the denoising process of the diffusion model as a motion translation process that learns the difference between the style-neutral motion pair, thereby avoiding the challenge of style and content decoupling. Specifically, given an unseen style example, we first generate the neutral motion corresponding to it through the proposed Style-Neutral Motion Pair Generation module. We then add noise to the generated neutral motion and denoise it to be close to the style example to fine-tune the style transfer diffusion model. To generalize the style transfer to other action types and preserve the semantics of content motions, we resort to diverse motions in the database and use the Semantic-guided Style Transfer module to supervise the style transfer in CLIP space. During the fine-tuning of our style transfer model, there is no need for a dedicated motion style dataset. We only need one style example and a text-to-motion dataset with predominantly neutral motion (e.g. HumanML3D). The qualitative and quantitative evaluations demonstrate that our method can achieve state-of-the-art performance and has practical applications (e.g., learning human motion styles from a video).

1 INTRODUCTION

The human motion style can significantly improve the artistic tension of the character, making it more lively and expressive in animation, gaming, and virtual reality. However, the capture of the stylized 3D motion relies on professional actors, which limits the scale of existing style motion datasets. Therefore, human motion style transfer which aims to apply the style from a reference style example to other content motions can be considered as an effective way to enrich the human motion variations.

Human motion style transfer has been a long-standing problem in the field of computer graphics. Traditional methods often adopt an example-based approach, learning the mapping relationship between different styles through linear models Hsu et al. (2005); Ma et al. (2010); Xia et al. (2015).

*Shihong Xia is the corresponding author

However, such methods often require paired data, which entails searching through the dataset or employing intricate motion capture techniques. Meanwhile, the linear model still has difficulties in transferring style between different actions. In recent years, the AdaIN-based method for human motion style transfer has shown that the problem can be addressed by implicitly decoupling human motion into style and content codes. However, this implicit decoupling approach lacks interpretability of the features and is prone to incomplete decoupling or erroneous coupling, especially when faced with motion beyond the training distribution. Therefore, there are still difficulties in decoupling the human motion content and style. Additionally, the key idea of the AdaIN-based method is to learn to cluster different style features, which requires a training dataset with a balanced data distribution, i.e., a similar number of samples for each style category. However, current large human motion datasets such as AMASS Mahmood et al. (2019) and Motion-X Lin et al. (2023) mainly consist of neutral motions, making it difficult to apply to the AdaIN method. Meanwhile, the size of dedicated stylized motion datasets is relatively small, bringing the challenge of transferring unseen human style to unseen content motions. In summary, the current human motion style transfer methods still face three challenges: 1) difficulties in decoupling the motion content and style; 2) generalization to unseen motion style; 3) requirements of dedicated motion style dataset.

For style modeling, unlike pictures, we observe a strong correlation between the style and content of human motion. For instance, in the phrase "walk like a zombie," the content of the motion, "walk," is influenced by the style "zombie," resulting in a limping gait. Therefore, we back to the example-based approach and propose a novel framework for human motion style transfer. Given an unseen style example and its textual description, our method can obtain an example-related transfer model by on-the-fly fine-tuning and applying the style defined by the example to various motion contents. The key idea of our method is to consider the denoising process of the diffusion model as a motion translation process that learns the difference between the style-neutral motion pair, thereby avoiding the challenge of style and content decoupling.

Since we do not need to construct a well-clustered hidden space for different motion styles, there is no need for a dedicated style dataset during the on-the-fly fine-tuning. Instead, we utilize a text-to-motion dataset to ensure that the semantics of content motions remain unchanged during the style transfer.

Specifically, to automatically generate the paired motion data, we propose a novel Style-Neutral Motion Generation module that generates corresponding neutral motion to the style example. In this module, given an input style example, the pre-trained text-to-motion diffusion model takes the noised example motion as the initial feature and combines the neutral textual prompt and root trajectory to generate the corresponding neutral motion. To learn the style information from the generated style-neutral motion pair, we build a style transfer network based on the diffusion model and initialize it with the parameters of the text-to-motion generation model. During the fine-tuning, we first add noise to the neutral motions and then use the reverse process of the style transfer model to denoise it, while encouraging the denoised motion to be close to the style example for learning the style information between the style-neutral pair. In this process, to prevent the transfer model from overfitting to the style example, and generalize the transfer to other action types, we design the Semantic-guided Style Transfer learning module which simultaneously supervises the style transfer in the CLIP space Radford et al. (2021). Specifically, we resort to various motion contents in the text-to-motion data and pass them through the style transfer diffusion model. We align the transferred motion features with the corresponding text features (describing their original motion content and example style) in CLIP space Radford et al. (2021). This fine-tuning learning process is very efficient and usually takes only a few minutes. After obtaining the style transfer model, we can perform inference by first removing the style of the content motion and then inputting it into the style transfer model to obtain the stylized motion. The contributions of our work can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a novel framework for human motion style transfer with a single style example and a few minutes of fine-tuning.
- We propose a Style-Neutral Generation module for paired style-neutral motion generation, which can achieve an excellent spatio-temporal alignment.
- We propose a semantic-guided style transfer learning module, which is capable of supervising style transfer in the CLIP space.

- Extensive experiments show that our method can achieve state-of-the-art performance. We also provide several applications using our method.

2 RELATED WORKS

2.1 HUMAN MOTION STYLE TRANSFER

Example-based style transfer. Human motion style transfer has been a long-standing problem in the field of computer graphics for decades. The early example-based methods mostly regard the style transfer as a translation problem and employ the examples to learn the translation model Brand & Hertzmann (2000); Hsu et al. (2005); Wang et al. (2007); Ikemoto et al. (2009); Ma et al. (2010); Xia et al. (2015); Yumer & Mitra (2016). Hsu et al. (2005) learn the mapping between motions with identical content but different styles by a linear time-invariant system, which can then be used to transfer a new motion to the learned style in a real-time manner. Ma et al. (2010) employed a Bayesian network to effectively model the relationship between user-specified parameters and latent variation parameters. These latent parameters capture the style variation exhibited by different joint groups. Xia et al. (2015) employ a series of local mixtures of auto-regressive models to learn style differences and achieve notable results on unlabeled, heterogeneous motion data. Yumer & Mitra (2016) proposes a spectral style transfer to circumvent the costly and time-consuming requirement of style databases and achieve style transfer between independent actions. However, these methods often require style-neutral data pairs to learn the translation, which is often achieved through dataset searching or pre-collected motion data. In contrast, our approach addresses the issue of paired data requirements by introducing text-to-motion generation.

Human motion style transfer in latent space. In recent years, with the development of deep learning, various advanced methods have been employed on human motion style transfer tasks. Holden et al. (2016; 2017a), Du et al. (2019) and Wang et al. (2021) construct the motion manifold by the convolutional network, CVAE, and CycleGAN Zhu et al. (2017), respectively. They explicitly define the Gram matrix Gatys et al. (2016) of the deep features as the human motion style, while the feature as content. The idea of Du et al. (2019) is similar to ours, which uses a few style examples and a neutral motion dataset to achieve style transfer. However, this transfer is limited to locomotion. Mason et al. (2018; 2022) and Wen et al. (2021) regard the motion style as a condition in real-time motion synthesis. Mason et al. (2018) introduce residual adapters to adjust the weights of Phase-Functioned Neural Network (PFNN) Holden et al. (2017b) to transfer the locomotion style during the real-time controlling. Wen et al. (2021) proposes a generative flow model and uses the style feature as a condition to synthesize the stylized human motion in an autoregressive manner. Mason et al. (2022) extend the work Mason et al. (2018) and proposes a style modulation network to extract style information from motion clips and use it to modulate the hidden layers of the motion synthesis network. However, most of these methods still face the challenge of transferring the motion style for non-locomotion contents.

AdaIN-based human motion style transfer. Due to the remarkable performance in image style transfer Huang & Belongie (2017), AdaIN has been extensively employed in the human motion style modeling Aberman et al. (2020); Park et al. (2021); Jang et al. (2022); Song et al. (2023). It implicitly decouples the motion into content and style codes by two separate encoders and fuse features in the decoder. This type of method can be regarded as a special case of human motion style transfer methods in latent space. Aberman et al. (2020) is the first work to use AdaIN in the human style transfer task. Jang et al. (2022) achieves control of different body parts by using body-part-based AdaIN. FineStyle Song et al. (2023) proposes a fine-grained style transfer framework by introducing the content label and fusing it with style code in the latent space for more accurate modeling. Ao et al. (2023) uses AdaIN to modulate the features in the latent diffusion module to achieve stylized gesture generation with different style prompt. The AdaIN-based approach has shown promising results in addressing style transfer between non-locomotion. However, this type of method, which models style and content separately, may face challenges in decoupling the motion styles and contents when the data go beyond the training distribution. Meanwhile, the AdaIN-based methods require a dataset with balanced style examples to learn the clustered motion manifold. As a result, it is difficult to learn the style information from an unseen style example.

In our method, we return to the example-based human motion style transfer rather than decoupling the human content and style. Meanwhile, we utilize the latest diffusion models to model the difference between neutral and stylized motions.

2.2 HUMAN MOTION GENERATION

Generating natural-looking human motion with user-specific constraints has always been a research focus in the field of human motion synthesis. As for traditional constraints, Phase-based autoregressive networks Holden et al. (2017b); Starke et al. (2020) have demonstrate flexible motion control results given joystick signals. Recently, there has been a substantial surge of interest in cross-modal constraints, especially those with semantic prompts Petrovich et al. (2021); Zhong et al. (2022); Athanasiou et al. (2022); Guo et al. (2022); Jiang et al. (2023); Zhang et al. (2023a); Zhong et al. (2023) The primary approach of these works focuses on utilizing appropriate generative models in motion space. ACTOR Petrovich et al. (2021) leverages transformer VAE to acquire action-aware latent space for action-to-motion tasks, subsequently extended by TEACH Athanasiou et al. (2022) to generate motion based on sequential action prompts. Vector-Quantized VAE(VQ-VAE) Van Den Oord et al. (2017), which quantize continuous latent into discrete representations, demonstrate its ability on text-to-motion tasks Jiang et al. (2023); Zhang et al. (2023a); Zhong et al. (2023) combined with Generative Pre-trained Transformers Brown et al. (2020).

Unlike encoder-decoder frameworks, diffusion-based methods directly sample from the original motion space, providing a more stable training approach for motion generation. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models Ho et al. (2020) combined with CLIP textual features is widely used for text-driven motion synthesis Tevet et al. (2022b); Zhang et al. (2023b; 2022). Among them, the conditioned-synthesis pipeline introduced by MDM Tevet et al. (2022b) showcases its flexibility in adapting to various new tasks, including physics constraints Yuan et al. (2023) and music-dance synthesis Tseng et al. (2023). Moreover, PriorMDM Shafir et al. (2023) using MDM as a generative prior and extend its ability on motion inpainting and other tasks. In our work, we adopt MDM for style-neutral motion generation conditioned on trajectory and neutral text prompts. During the style transfer, inspired by the image style transfer work Wang et al. (2023) we consider the denoising process of the diffusion model as a motion translation process for style transfer.

3 METHOD

Given one style motion example m_s and its textual description d_s , our goal is to obtain one example-related style transfer model ε_{θ_s} by fine-tuning. Once the on-the-fly learning is completed, the model can effectively apply the style of the example m_s to diverse content motions while preserving its original semantics. Figure 1 shows the overview of our on-the-fly learning pipeline and Figure 2 depicts the inference process. In this section, we first introduce the motion representation used in this work (Section 3.1). Then, we will introduce the *Style-neutral Motion Pair Generation* module (Section 3.2) to obtain the paired neutral motion $m_{s,n}$ corresponding to the style example m_s . Using the generated motion pair $\{m_{s,n}, m_s\}$, we introduce the style transfer model (Section 3.3) to learn the difference between them, thus converting the style transfer into a motion translation problem. To ensure the style transfer model can generalize to other content motions and preserve their semantics, we introduce the Semantic-guided Style Transfer Learning module (Section 3.4) to fully leverage the text-to-motion prior in the dataset. Finally, we conclude the fine-tuning and the inference strategy (Section 3.5).

3.1 MOTION REPRESENTATION

A motion sequence $m \in \mathcal{M}$ is represented by a temporal set of f poses. Each pose comprises the following three terms, namely, the root joint vector $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbb{R}^4$, local joint positions relative to the root $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{(J-1) \times 3}$ and local joint rotations relative to their parent joint coordinate frame $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times 6}$, where J denotes the number of joints and $\mathcal{M}$ represents the motion set. Additionally, the root joint vector $\mathbf{o}$ includes the y-axis angular velocity $\mathbf{o}^r$, xz-plane linear velocity $\mathbf{o}^x, \mathbf{o}^z$, and absolute root height on the y-axis $\mathbf{o}^h$. The rotation representation in $\mathbf{r}$ is the 6D vector defined in the work Zhou et al. (2019). The y-axis angular velocity $\mathbf{o}^r$ of the root joint is obtained from the change in the human-facing direction. The facing direction is calculated similarly to PFNN Holden et al. (2017b),




Figure 1: Overview of our on-the-fly finetuning process. This process can be divided into three parts: Style-Neutral Motion Pair Generation module, Example-based Style Transfer module, and Semantic-guided Transfer module. Style-Neutral Motion Pair Generation module takes style examples m_s as input and uses pre-trained MDM to generate corresponding neutral motion $m_{s,n}$. Example-based style transfer module adds noise to the generated neutral motion and then denoises it, making the transfer model ε_{θ_s} learn the style-neutral difference by constraining the generated motion $\hat{M}_s$ to be close to the style example using $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$. Semantic-guided Transfer module processes the text-to-motion dataset and supervises the style transfer in CLIP space through $\mathcal{L}_s$, jointly fine-tuning the transfer model ε_{θ_s} . Notably, input style motion, generated neutral motion, content motion, and output transferred motion are colored light blue, pink, green, and yellow, respectively.




Figure 2: The inference process of our method.

which averages the vector between the hip joints and the vector between the shoulder joints and takes the cross product with the upward direction.

To obtain direction-independent motion features, we further localize the $\mathbf{o}^x$, $\mathbf{o}^y$, $\mathbf{p}$, and the root joint rotation $\mathbf{r}_0$ based on the facing direction of the current pose. These processed features are concatenated to formulate $\mathbf{v} = [\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}]$ as pose representation, and the motion m can be expressed as $m = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_f]$. We denote the style motion and content motion as m_s and m_c , respectively.

3.2 STYLE-NEUTRAL MOTION PAIR GENERATION

Example-based methods Amaya et al. (1996); Hsu et al. (2005); Xia et al. (2015) for motion style transfer require pairs of neutral and style motions to learn a translation model. Therefore, most previous example-based methods create motion pairs by searching for the closest examples from the datasets and aligning the samples to the spatio-temporal dimensions of the motion using time-warping technology like IMW Hsu et al. (2005) and GTW Zhou & De la Torre (2012). However, this search and alignment are limited by efficiency and datasets. In this paper, we propose a new




Figure 3: Style-neutral motion pairs generated by our module. The curve in the figure represents the trajectory of the joint between $-0.2s \sim 0.2s$

Style-Neutral Motion Pair Generation module that is based on the latest work on text-to-motion synthesis and motion inpainting Tevet et al. (2022b); Shafir et al. (2023).

3.2.1 PRELIMINARY OF THE DIFFUSION MODELS

Diffusion models Ho et al. (2020); Song et al. (2020) have become the primary method for solving the generation problem in recent years due to their cross-modal generation capability, training stability, and generation diversity. The technical features of the diffusion model enable the creation of a neutral motion that corresponds to the style example. This can be considered as a stylistic removal process of the input style example. We first revisit the definition of the diffusion models.

The procedure of the diffusion model includes a forward diffusion process and a reverse denoising process. The forward diffusion process is modeled as a Markov noising process, where the Gaussian noise will be introduced into real data $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ from the data distribution step by step until x_T is equivalent to an isotropic Gaussian noise. For a single forward step t , the noising process can be formulated as follows:

$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{\alpha_t}x_{t-1}, (1 - \alpha_t)I) \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha_t \in (0, 1)$ are constant hyper-parameters and $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_T$, I is an identity matrix. When α_t is small enough, x_T can be approximated as a standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N} \sim (0, 1)$. With the reparameterization method, we can sample x_t at any t step directly based on the original data x_0 :

$$x_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}\epsilon \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_t$ is the cumulative product from α_1 to α_t . Equation 2 indicates that the noise feature x_t is composed of x_0 and a random Gaussian noise, and as t increases, the influence of x_0 on x_t gradually diminishes.

3.2.2 MOTION PAIR GENERATION MODELS

To generate the paired neutral motion, we use the architecture of the Human Motion Diffusion Model (MDM) Tevet et al. (2022b). In our task, the real data x_0 is the input style example m_s , and the key idea for generating the paired neutral motions is to remove the details from the style motion example by adding G -step noise. Then we use x_G as the initial noised motion feature during the denoising process, accompanied by neutral text prompt.

During the denoising process, the MDM model ε_θ aims to estimate the initial human motion x_0 instead of the noise ϵ_t at each step and use the text prompt as the generation condition. To ensure that the generated motion is stylistically neutral compared to the input style example, we modify the style-related vocabulary in the original text prompt d_s while preserving the content-relevant descriptions. For instance, in the *Style-Neutral Motion Pair Generation* part of the Figure 1, we substitute "neutrally" for "happily" in d_s : "a person walks happily" or simply remove the adverb, resulting neutral description d_n . Then the reverse process can be formulated as follows:

$$\hat{x}_0^{(t)} = \varepsilon_\theta(x_t, t, d_n) \quad \text{where } t : \text{from } G \text{ to } 0 \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{x}_0^{(t)}$ is the predicted initial human motion at time step t . The noisy motion feature x_{t-1} from the previous time step can be obtained by sampling from $\hat{x}_0^{(t)}$ through the forward process (refer to Equation 2). The obtained x_{t-1} can then be utilized to further infer $\hat{x}_0^{(t-1)}$.

In addition to modifying the motion description to guide the denoising process, we incorporate the root trajectory of the style example m_s projected onto the horizontal plane as the inpainting features. Specifically, we extract the linear velocities of the root joint in m_s frame-by-frame, denoted as $trajectory = [\mathbf{o}_1^x, \mathbf{o}_1^z, \mathbf{o}_2^x, \mathbf{o}_2^z, \dots, \mathbf{o}_f^x, \mathbf{o}_f^z]$. During inferring the denoised motion $\hat{x}_0^{(t)}$, we substitute the corresponding portion of linear velocity with the velocities from the style example:

$$\hat{x}_0^{(t)}[trajectory] = \mathbf{m}_s[trajectory] \quad (4)$$

Similarly, the linear velocity component of the noise is also set to 0 during the forward diffusion process:

$$x_{t-1} = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0^{(t)} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon \quad \text{where } \epsilon[trajectory] = 0 \quad (5)$$

The root trajectory inpainting guarantees that the denoised motion closely adheres to the trajectory of the style example, thereby facilitating the alignment of the two motions in both spatial and temporal dimensions. Moreover, the utilization of velocity-represented trajectories aids in clarifying human actions, allowing the generated neutral motion to replicate the same content as the style example. The final cleaned motion, denoted as $\hat{x}_0^0$, is selected as the paired neutral motion $m_{s.n}$. As shown in Figure 3, we present two examples of style-neutral generated results. It can be observed that the generated neutral motion achieves perfect spatio-temporal alignment with the input style motion.

Overall, the initial noise x_G sampled by m_s and the root trajectory inpainting ensure that the generated motions are consistent with the content of m_s , while the neutral semantic guidance ensures that the generated motions are stylistically neutral.

3.3 EXAMPLE-BASED STYLE TRANSFER LEARNING

The style transfer model aims to learn the style information from the style-neutral motion pair $\{m_s, m_{s.n}\}$. Previous approaches to example-based translation have utilized linear models such as the LTI (linear time-invariant) model Hsu et al. (2005) and the MAR (mixture of autoregressive) model Xia et al. (2015) to capture the input-output relationship. However, the advancements in deep learning and nonlinear mapping have facilitated the adoption of deep neural network-based methods, which offer improved characterization of spatio-temporal variations in motion and enhanced scalability. Inspired by the image style transfer work StyleDiffusion Wang et al. (2023), we have employed the diffusion model as the motion style transfer model.




Figure 4: The architecture of our motion encoder. We introduce a trainable "token" for the motion pooling and align this output feature with the text feature in the CLIP space

Specifically, the style transfer model ε_{θ_s} has the same structure as the MDM ε_{θ} used in Section 3.2.2 and is initialized with parameters θ to fully utilize the generation prior. Given the generated neutral motion m_{s-n} , we first add K steps of noise to it through the forward process of the diffusion model, resulting in the noised neutral motion y_K . This process can be described by the following equation:

$$y_K = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_K} m_{s-n} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_K} \epsilon \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad (6)$$

Then we perform the denoising process to clean the motion. Instead of predicting the motion $\hat{y}_0^{(t)}$ to be identity to the neutral motion m_{s-n} , we encourage the $\hat{y}_0^{(t)}$ to be similar to m_s . Therefore, the denoising process can be regarded as the motion translation process, which can be formulated as:

$$\hat{y}_0^{(t)} = \varepsilon_{\theta_s}(y_t, t) \quad \text{where } t : \text{from } K \text{ to } 0 \quad (7)$$

we choose the final cleaned motion $\hat{y}_0^{(0)}$ as the transferred stylized motion $\hat{m}_s$.

3.4 SEMANTIC-GUIDED STYLE TRANSFER LEARNING

The reconstruction of the style example allows the model to acquire the style information stored in m_s . However, only using style example reconstruction tends to make the model overfit and struggle to generalize to other action types. To solve this problem, as shown in the rightmost section of Figure 1, we resort to the diverse content motions in the text-to-motion database and use the semantic-guided style transfer loss $\mathcal{L}_s$ to simultaneously fine-tune the parameters of the style transfer model ε_{θ_s} along with $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$. The core idea of this step is to require the features of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_s$ extracted by the motion encoder to be as close as possible to the text features of the revised text description $\mathcal{D}_s$ in the CLIP space. In the following part, we first introduce the pre-training of the motion encoder, and then we introduce the Semantic-guided Style Transfer Learning for fine-tuning the ε_{θ_s} .

3.4.1 MOTION ENCODER PRE-TRAINING

To ensure the motion feature extracted by the motion encoder is reasonable, we use a text-to-motion dataset to pre-train it. Since CLIP has already established a connection between text and image, resulting in a feature space that contains significant semantic information. Therefore, our motion encoder directly aligns motion features to the semantic space corresponding to the off-the-shelf CLIP text encoder to ensure that semantically similar motions are also sufficiently close in the feature space. We show the architecture of our motion encoder $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ and its alignment with the CLIP text encoder in Figure 4.

As shown in the left part of Figure 4, the embedding layer will first map the input motion m into the latent features. Inspired by the work Petrovich et al. (2021); Hu et al. (2023), we introduce a trainable parameter called "token" concatenated with the input motion embedding for pooling purposes. The "token" has the same dimension as the pose embedding. Then, the concatenated features will pass through our motion encoder $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ after positional encoding, which consists of N transformer layers with the residual connection, each containing h hidden states. In the output features of the motion encoder, we only keep the output at the position corresponding to the "token" and discard others to pool the motion sequences. Similar to MotionCLIP Tevet et al. (2022a), contrastive learning is used

to align the output "token" feature H_{token} with the text feature H_{text} extracted by the off-the-shelf CLIP text encoder. The alignment loss can be formulated as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{align} = \mathbb{E}_{H_{token}, H_{text}} \left[1 - \frac{H_{token} \cdot H_{text}}{\max(\|H_{token}\|_2 \cdot \|H_{text}\|_2, \delta)} \right] \quad (8)$$

Where the δ is a small value to avoid division by zero, which is set to 10^{-6} in our experiments.

3.4.2 SEMANTIC-GUIDED LEARNING

Given the pre-trained motion encoder, we use the off-the-shelf text-to-motion dataset to provide semantic guidance. Specifically, we first apply the method proposed in Section 3.2 to remove the content motions' style in the database, if the text has style-related vocabulary. The resulting neutral motions, which encompass a range of action types, are subsequently fed into the style transfer diffusion model ε_{θ_s} with the aim of transforming them to match the style of the example motion m_s . We modify the textual descriptions $\mathcal{D}$ of the motions by adding or replacing the style vocabulary corresponding to m_s . The transferred motion $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_s$ and the revised text descriptions $\mathcal{D}_s$ are then fed into the aligned motion encoder and the CLIP text encoder, respectively. The loss $\mathcal{L}_s$ measures the cosine similarity between the output features of both encoders and serves as a semantic guidance for supervising the style transfer.

The role of motion encoder and the semantic guidance is similar to that of motion discriminators and adversarial learning commonly used in previous AdaIN-based style transfer work Aberman et al. (2020); Park et al. (2021); Song et al. (2023), which help the generated motions fall into the correct motion manifold. However, leveraging semantic information to guide the fine-tuning of style transfer models can yield superior outcomes (refer to Section 6). This is because the textual descriptions include not only basic style labels but also encompass comprehensive details regarding the motion's content. Therefore, the incorporation of semantic guidance can significantly enhance the style transfer model's capacity to accomplish fine-grained transfer.

3.5 FINE-TUNE AND INFERENCE

3.5.1 ON-THE-FLY FINE-TUNE

Given a reference style example m_s as input, we only need to perform a few minutes of fine-tuning on the style transfer diffusion network ε_{θ_s} ($\theta \rightarrow \theta_s$). This is because our model parameters have already been warmed up by the parameters θ of the pre-trained MDM model ε_{θ} , resulting in a very fast fine-tuning process (see Section 4.3). It should be noted that during the fine-tuning of the style transfer model, the parameters of all other models are fixed.

The loss function used in the fine-tuning process consists of two components: the style example reconstruction loss $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$ and the semantic-guided style transfer loss $\mathcal{L}_s$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = \lambda_{rec} \mathcal{L}_{rec} + \lambda_s \mathcal{L}_s \quad (9)$$

Style example-based reconstruction loss. To learn the style information from the style example, we use the L_2 loss to encourage the denoised motion $\hat{y}_0^{(t)}$ produced by the style transfer diffusion model ε_{θ_s} at each time step t to be identical to the input style example. The loss term can be formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{rec} = \|\mathbf{m}_s - \hat{y}_0^{(t)}\|_2^2 \quad t : \text{from } K \text{ to } 0 \quad (10)$$

where the $\hat{y}_0^{(t)}$ is defined in Equation 7.

Semantic-guided transfer loss. This loss term is designed to prevent the transfer model from over-fitting to the given style example, while enabling the model to extrapolate the acquired translation skills to diverse content motions that may involve distinct action types compared to the style example. In addition, the semantic-guided transfer loss can also help preserve the semantics of the content motions. The loss term can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_s = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{H}_{token}^{(t)}, \hat{H}_{\mathcal{D}_s}} \left[1 - \frac{\hat{H}_{token}^{(t)} \cdot \hat{H}_{\mathcal{D}_s}}{\max(\|\hat{H}_{token}^{(t)}\|_2 \cdot \|\hat{H}_{\mathcal{D}_s}\|_2, \delta)} \right] \quad (11)$$




Figure 5: Transfer unseen motion styles (from Xia dataset) to different content motions (from HumanML3D test set).

Where the $\hat{H}_{token}^{(t)}$ is the hidden features of the output stylized motions at time step t and the $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{D}_s}$ is the hidden feature of the revised text description $\mathcal{D}_s$. It is worth noting that the Equation 11 is used to fine-tune the style transfer model ε_{θ_s} , which differs from the Equation 8 that is used for pre-training.

During the fine-tuning process, we randomly sample a batch of data from the text-to-motion training dataset and go through the neutral motion generation and K-step noisy processes. Then, we use the style transfer model ε_{θ_s} to denoise the motion at time step t and calculate their motion feature $\hat{H}_{token}^{(t)}$ through the pre-trained motion encoder.

The importance of $\mathcal{L}_s$ is that it allows the style transfer model to fully use the motion data in a text-to-motion dataset that is similar in style to the example m_s as prior information, thus enabling the transfer model to generalize to different content motions (ablation in Section 6).

3.5.2 INFERENCE

After fine-tuning ε_{θ_s} , the obtained model is capable of performing style transfer on other content motion m_c . Specifically, for a given ε_{θ_s} , the style transfer process is illustrated in Figure 2. If the description of content motion contains style-related vocabulary, the style is first removed using ε_{θ} . Subsequently, the pre-processed neutral motion is fed into the transfer model ε_{θ_s} for style transfer.

4 OUR RESULTS AND APPLICATIONS

In this section, we first introduce the experimental setup, datasets used, and relevant details of data processing. Then, we show the efficiency of the fine-tuning on different datasets. Finally, we present the results and applications of our approach to demonstrate its performance in various aspects.

4.1 EXPERIMENTS SETUP

We use the Pytorch platform and a single 3090Ti graph card for the pre-training and fine-tuning with a batch size of 64. The λ_{rec} and λ_s are set to 1 and 0.1, respectively. The MDM ε_{θ} and style transfer model ε_{θ_s} have the same Transformer encoder architecture which contains 8 Transformer layers with 512 latent sizes and 1024 feedforward dimensions. The embedding layer is a single fully connected network that encodes the input motion feature m into 512-dimensional deep features. The output layer in ε_{θ_s} is the reverse of input embedding, which decodes the 512-dimensional features into the raw motion representation. The motion encoder $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ shares the embedding layer with ε_{θ} and the layer number N is 8. For style-neutral motion pair generation, we set the noisy step $G = 950$, which we find can achieve a balance between content preservation and neutral generation (we will

	pre-train ε_θ	pre-train E_M	fine-tune ε_{θ_s}
Xia	5h 41min	10min 3s	17s
Bandai-2	8h 5min	15min 50s	1min 5s
HumanML3d	25h 51min	29h 46min	3min 10s

Table 1: The time efficiency of ε_θ and E_M pertaining and ε_{θ_s} fine-tuning (given one style example) on different datasets. All experiments were tested on a single 3090Ti.

further discuss this in Section 6). As for the noisy step K during style transfer, we experimentally find $K = 300$ to be an appropriate choice (also see Section 6).

To accelerate the fine-tuning procedure like Kim et al. (2022) and make the style transfer stable, we use deterministic DDIM during the forward and reverse processes of the diffusion model ε_{θ_s} . Specifically, We perform the total sample steps $S=20$ in DDIM compared to the $T=1000$ steps in DDPM. Thus, $K = 300$ corresponds to 6 steps in the actual accelerated process. However, we still use DDPM in the *Style-Neutral Motion Pair Generation* module to guarantee the quality of the generated neutral motion.

4.2 DATASETS AND PREPARATION

Three datasets are used to evaluate the motion style transfer methods: Xia Dataset Xia et al. (2015), Bandai-2 Kobayashi et al. (2023) and HumanML3D Guo et al. (2022). The first two datasets are specialized stylized motion datasets, each containing a balanced number of distinct motion styles. **Xia dataset** has been widely used in various studies on human motion transfer Aberman et al. (2020); Park et al. (2021); Jang et al. (2022); Song et al. (2023). It comprises a total of 79,829 frames of motion data, captured at 120fps. The dataset consists of 6 motion contents (walk, run, jump, punch, kick, and transition) and 8 styles (angry, childlike, depressed, natural, old, proud, sexy, and strutting). **Bandai-2** is also a dedicated style motion dataset that consists of 10 contents and 7 styles. Bandai-2 has a larger data size compared to the Xia dataset, which contains 384,931 frames of data captured at 30fps. **HumanML3D**, on the other hand, encompasses a wide range of human contents extracted from the AMASS dataset Mahmood et al. (2019) with text annotation, including daily activities (e.g. walking and jumping), sports (e.g. swimming and playing golf), and artistic pursuits such as dancing. However, it is important to note that, like most motion capture datasets, the majority of motion in HumanML3D is neutral.

To align with HumanML3D, we process Xia dataset and Bandai-2 for training and evaluation. First, motions in the Xia dataset and Bandai-2 are downsampled to 20 fps. Then, we annotate the motion with a simple text description based on the content and style labels. For example, an annotation might read 'a person is running, depressed'. Additionally, we slice motions into equal-length clips to ensure that the dimension of the input features remains uniform. The clip lengths of Xia dataset and Bandai-2 are 76 frames and 196 frames, respectively, as Bandai-2 comprises longer motion sequences. Clips that are not long enough will be zero-padded.

For Xia dataset, we follow the work Song et al. (2023) to split train-test set. We also follow the train-test split of HumanML3D. However, we have devised our own split design for Bandai-2. Test samples are uniformly selected from each content and style, resulting in a total of 420 test examples. Unlike transferring all test motions from one style to other contents in Xia dataset, we aim to strike a balance between efficiency and completeness of testing. Therefore, we select sub-samples from different motion contents to transfer to other styles, resulting in a total of 3780 transfer combinations.

4.3 EFFICIENCY OF THE FINE-TUNING

We test the time efficiency of our style transfer system. The pre-training and fine-tuning time of each model on different datasets is shown in Table 1. The time is dependent on the scale of the dataset, and the time of pre-training the motion encoder is also relevant to the complexity of the text descriptions. We can observe that our fine-tuning of style transfer model ε_{θ_s} is very efficient, and only takes about 3 minutes even on the HumanML3D dataset. In fact, we only fine-tune the model ε_{θ_s} for one epoch. In this epoch, the training data from the dataset is fed into the network in batch form, while $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$ and $\mathcal{L}_s$ simultaneously guide the learning.




Figure 6: The visualization results of (a) content code and (b) style code of our method by t-SNE.

4.4 TRANSFER UNSEEN MOTION STYLE TO HETEROGENEOUS MOTIONS

In this part, we first explore the ability of our method to transfer styles across datasets by on-the-fly fine-tuning. Since we treat style transfer as a motion translation problem, the transfer models do not need a balanced dedicated dataset for clustering during the pretraining. As Figure 5 shows, given one style example in the Xia dataset (already been retargeted to SMPL structure), we can transfer the motion style to the content motions of the HumanML3D. It is worth noting that we only used the training data from HumanML3D to pre-train the MDM ε_θ and the motion encoder E_M , before fine-tuning the ε_{θ_s} . From the (1)(2) of Figure 5, different motion styles (depressed, proud) are transferred to the backward motion, although the input style examples only contain the forward movements. The (3)(4) of Figure 5 show that our method can preserve the action of the input content motion well while expressing the appropriate stylistic characteristics of the style motion. The results (5)~(8) demonstrate the ability of our method to handle the style transfer between heterogeneous motions, where the given style examples are locomotion and the content motions are non-locomotion. We can observe that the output stylized motions have a different end-effector trajectory to the content motions. The magnitude of the trajectory and the body pose together bring out the corresponding style. At the same time, the trajectories of the stylized motions are temporally aligned with that of content motions, which indicates the precise content preservation capability.

In addition to transferring styles to existing datasets, our method also can be applied to the data generated through the text-to-motion model. Our approach’s ability to generalize the learned style to different action types is due to the semantic guidance that exploits the prior of various motion contents with a similar style to m_s in the dataset during the fine-tuning process. This allows our style transfer network to not be limited to the content of the style example while avoiding overfitting.

4.5 VISUALIZATION BY T-SNE

To further validate the effectiveness of our style transfer, we visualize the hidden features of our transferred style motions. Specifically, We employ t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) Van der Maaten & Hinton (2008) to project the latent features, extracted by the final pooling layer of content and style classifiers Yan et al. (2018) into a two-dimensional space. This experiment is conducted on the test set of Bandai-2. As shown in Figure 6(a), it is evident that the hidden features of our transferred motions exhibit discriminative cluster boundaries in terms of the input motion contents. Additionally, these clusters also illustrate the proximity relationship between motion contents, with similar motions being grouped closer together. Given that the same style may be exhibited differently across various motion contents, we project the transferred motions with “*raise-up-both-hands*” content onto a two-dimensional space and color them based on the style labels derived from the input style example, as shown in Figure 6(b). The clustering results indicate that the output stylized motions have good style separation and can be well distinguished by the classifier. In conclusion, it can be observed from the clustering results that our transferred motions have sufficient discriminability for both the content and style classifiers, further demonstrating the capability of our approach.

4.6 APPLICATIONS

Because of the generalization ability and the effectiveness of the on-the-fly fine-tuning, our method can be applied to many applications. In this subsection, we mainly describe: 1) Motion style transfer from video. 2) Stylistic expansion of the T2M Dataset;

4.6.1 MOTION STYLE TRANSFER FROM VIDEO

Stylized 3D human motion assets are rare and often rely on professional mocap performers, however, there is an abundance of video resources containing human motion with various styles. Combining 3D human pose estimation algorithms and our motion style transfer framework, we can easily extract motion styles from videos and apply them to different content motions (see Figure 7). Specifically, given a video sequence containing human movements, we first use the HybrIK Li et al. (2021) to extract the human poses in the video, and then apply a Butterworth low-pass filter to remove the jitter from the estimated motion. The cut-off frequency of the filter is set to 3 and we do not use the




Figure 7: Learning the human motion style from video and transferring it to different content motions.


3D global translation of the estimated motion in this process. Once the pre-process is completed, we follow the pipeline of our method to obtain a transfer model ε_{θ_s} related to the video. Then, the style transfer model ε_{θ_s} can apply the human style of the video to diverse content motions. We use inverse kinematics to remove the foot slides of the generated motions as post-process. Unlike the UMST Aberman et al. (2020) in which human 2D poses are extracted from videos to learn the joint 2D-3D embedding via an encoder, our style learning is not restricted by the distribution of the style dataset. From Figure 7, we can see the walking style in the video can be applied to other walking paths such as the S-shape. Furthermore, although the akimbo is considered to be part of the motion style in the video, the semantic of the content motion is well preserved when waving the left hand, which is attributed to our semantic-guided loss during fine-tuning. For more results, please refer to our supplementary video.

4.6.2 STYLISTIC EXPANSION OF THE T2M DATASET

We demonstrate that our method can effectively augment the current text-to-motion dataset through the Semantic-guided Style Transfer Learning module. As illustrated in Figure 5, 7, our approach transfers the style from the Xia dataset or video to the motion of the HumanML3D dataset and preserves the semantic information. Thus, using our style transfer framework can extend the existing dataset, enabling the text-to-motion models to achieve more fine-grained generation with more style variance.

	Xia			Bandai-2		
	FMD↓	CRA↑ (%)	SRA↑ (%)	FMD↓	CRA↑ (%)	SRA↑ (%)
UMST Aberman et al. (2020)	5.63	62.88	38.97	23.38	53.78	54.23
MotionPuzzle Jang et al. (2022)	22.79	40.19	22.56	38.63	42.67	25.79
FineStyle Song et al. (2023)	5.34	63.91	55.58	24.84	58.89	56.01
Ours	3.84	83.72	70.77	2.33	93.41	60.11

Table 2: Quantitative comparison with state-of-the-art methods.

Figure 8: Qualitative results on the Bandai-2 test set. The curve in the figure represents the trajectory of the joint between $-0.2s \sim 0.2s$. Flaws are marked by red circles

5 COMPARISONS ON DEDICATED STYLE DATASETS

In this section, we compare the performance of our method to state-of-the-art human motion style transfer approaches, including UMST Aberman et al. (2020), MotionPuzzle Jang et al. (2022) and FineStyle Song et al. (2023). The style transfer architecture of these previous methods is all based on AdaIN Huang & Belongie (2017). Once the training process is completed, there is no need to modify the network parameters during testing. In contrast, our method needs on-the-fly fine-tune the ε_{θ_s} . Despite that this fine-tuning period is short, it still involves style examples and may raise concerns about unfair comparison. To mitigate this issue, we will include the reference style example in the training data to fine-tune the networks of each work above for the same number of steps before testing.

5.1 QUALITATIVE EVALUATION.

Figure 8 shows comparison results of human motion style transfer on Bandai-2 test set. The input content motion is "wave right hand neutral" while the style motion is "raise left hand masculine". The results of all the methods exhibit masculine stylistic characteristics, i.e., a wide-legged stance with one hand akimbo. Our results accurately preserve the input content information, where the waving trajectory of the right hand aligns well with the input contents temporally. In contrast, while the results of UMST and MotionPuzzle demonstrate a nice masculine style, they encounter difficul-

ties in preserving the motion content. Specifically, the character in their results still waves the left hand despite the input content involving the right-hand wave. FineStyle extends the UMST approach by introducing content labels to aid in decoupling style and content of motion. However, as shown in Figure 8, while FineStyle correctly distinguishes between the left and right arms, it generates a motion of raising the right hand instead of waving it. These results suggest that the AdaIN-based motion style transfer is not effective in fully distinguishing the style and content features of human motions, especially for data beyond the distribution of training. However, our approach avoids decoupling style and content by regarding the style transfer as a motion translation problem.

To further illustrate the performance of style transfer on heterogeneous motions, we present the results of style transfer on the Xia test set in Figure 9. The input style example is a clip of "*strutting walk*", while the content motions are "*old walk*", "*childlike jump*" and "*proud punch*". In the first example, the content motion has the same action type as the style example. All the methods achieve style transfer from "*old walk*" to "*strutting walk*", transforming the forward-leaning, old style into a chest-straightening, strutting style. In the second example, MotionPuzzle fails to generate a jump and instead produces a drifting motion, while both UMST and FineStyle generate motions with unnatural poses. Likewise, in the third example, UMST and MotionPuzzle both fail to complete the punching motion fully, and the left-hand movements in the motion generated by FineStyle lack clarity and smoothness. The failures occur since the AdaIN-based approaches fuse widely diverging style and content codes, causing the decoder to receive features that go beyond the natural motion manifold. Based on the qualitative results, our method demonstrates the capability to achieve a more stable and accurate style transfer, owing to the transfer diffusion model.

5.2 QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION.

To evaluate the quality, content preservation, and style accuracy of the generated stylized human motion, we follow the work Jang et al. (2022) and use three metrics: Frechet Motion Distance score (FMD), Content Recognition Accuracy (CRA), and Style Recognition Accuracy (SRA).

The FMD, derived from FID Heusel et al. (2017), is widely used for evaluating the overall quality of generated motion. It assesses the similarity between the generated motions and real motions by comparing their deep feature distributions. Following prior work Jang et al. (2022), we employ a content classifier Yan et al. (2018) to extract the feature vector from the final pooling layer of the classifier and compute the FMD score. The CRA is used to evaluate the preservation of content in the generated stylized human motion. Using the content classifier mentioned above, we classify the generated motions, a higher Content Recognition Accuracy (CRA) signifies that the generated stylized motion retains a greater amount of content information. Similar to the content classifier, we train another classifier with the same architecture to distinguish the motion style. A higher Style Recognition Accuracy (SRA) indicates high performance in style transfer.

The quantitative results are shown in Table 2. We compare our method with previous approaches on the Xia and Bandai-2 test sets and achieve state-of-the-art performance. From the table, we can see that the our CRA outperforms other methods by a large margin, achieving 83.72% and 93.41% accuracy on the Xia and Bandai-2 datasets, respectively. As for the motion quality, our method not only achieves the lowest FMD scores but is also independent of the size of the dataset (3.84 and 2.33 in the Xia and Bandai-2 datasets, respectively). However, compared to the evaluation on the Xia dataset, all the previous AdaIN-based methods have shown an increase in FMD values on the Bandai-2. This may be attributed to the limited expressive ability when facing richer motion contents and styles without changing the number of network layers and parameters. Furthermore, our approach achieves the highest SRA on both datasets, finding a strong balance between content preservation and style transfer.

6 ABLATION STUDY

We conducted the ablation study, primarily focusing on two aspects, the key parameters of the diffusion model and the critical components of our network design.





Figure 9: Qualitative Results of each method on Xia test set.

Figure 10: Ablation on different noising step G and K

	FMD↓	CRA↑ (%)	SRA↑ (%)
Ours	3.84	83.72	70.77
with discriminator	2.97	85.71	27.63
with AE	5.84	19.68	13.27
without L_s loss	4.00	88.01	28.01

Table 3: The ablation study of the key components in our network design.

6.1 KEY PARAMETERS OF THE DIFFUSION MODEL

We validate the key parameters of the diffusion model, including the number of noise steps G during the Style-Neutral Motion Pair Generation module, and the number of steps K in the style transfer diffusion.

In the neutral motion generation phase as in Equation 3, the pre-trained MDM ε_θ generates the motion based on the input noised motion x_G as well as the textual features $d_{s,n}$ together. Intuitively, as the noise step G increases, the output motion tends to become more neutral, whereas a smaller G value preserves more information from the original style example m_s . Therefore, we evaluate the neutrality and content preservation of the generated neutral motion over noise steps, hoping to find a trade-off in between. We use the Foot Contact Accuracy Villegas et al. (2021) to measure the degree of temporal alignment between the style example and paired neutral motion, providing a more microscopic measure of content preservation than CRA. On the other hand, we measure neutrality by comparing the generated neutral motion features and their neutral text features in CLIP space as CLIP score. Similarly, we use the Foot Contact Accuracy and CLIP score to find the balance between content preservation and transfer quality over different K in style transfer diffusion.

The evaluations for these two metrics over steps G and K are conducted on the Bandai-2 test set and the results are presented in Figure 10. As for step G , we find that the denoised motion in the neutral motion generation process is mainly guided by the noise initial value x_G when $G > 900$, resulting in the inability to generate neutral motion. Therefore, we choose the optimal noise step G between 900 and 1000 steps using the mentioned two metrics. In the left subplot of Figure 10, we can see that the metrics reach a balance around 950.

Similarly, from the right subplot of Figure 10, we can observe that as the number of K increases, the CLIP score increases accordingly, indicating that the generated motion style is more similar to the input style example. However, after 400 steps, the Foot Contact Accuracy of the generated stylized motion starts to degrade significantly. Therefore, we chose $K = 300$ as the number of noising and denoising steps for the style transfer diffusion.

6.2 KEY COMPONENTS OF THE NETWORK DESIGN

Effect of the Motion Encoder. The motion encoder maps the generated stylized motions into the semantic space of the CLIP and compares them with the corresponding textual features to assess the content and style similarity with respect to the input motions. Thus, the encoder performs a similar role to the motion discriminators in previous style transfer methods, which encourage the motions produced by the generator to fall into the motion manifold of the corresponding style. However, categorizing the generated motions based on the style labels of the reference motion is a coarse approach. This is because the content and style of motions are often coupled together, and the same style may behave differently across contents. Therefore, a more fine-grained way to guide the style transfer can be achieved through semantic alignment. To validate this hypothesis, we replace the motion encoder and CLIP text encoder in our architecture with the motion discriminator used in UMST with pre-training. The results are shown in Table 3, we can see that the SRA decreases from 70.77% to 27.63% since our style transfer module is fine-tuned on-the-fly based on the input style example, and thus cannot be trained similarly to UMST with alternating generators and discriminators. This suggests that the guidance based on the semantic space is more suitable for our on-the-fly motion style transfer.

Effect of diffusion-based style transfer model. We validate the effectiveness of the transfer diffusion model ε_{θ_s} by replacing the ε_{θ_s} with an autoencoder(AE) based on a 1D Convolutional Neural Network commonly used in human motion modeling. Specifically, we adopted the content encoder and decoder architecture in UMST, while omitting the Instance Normalization and AdaIN layers. The AE will be pre-trained by reconstructing the motion in the training dataset. During on-the-fly fine-tuning, neutral motions generated by ε_{θ} are mapped to hidden variables by the encoder and then transformed into stylized motions by the decoder. From Table 3, we observe the performance of our model with AE style transfer decreases in all three metrics. This issue may arise from the model being trained to fit the motion reconstruction, making it difficult to achieve effective style transfer through a short period of fine-tuning.

Effect of $\mathcal{L}_s$. We design the $\mathcal{L}_s$ to prevent over-fitting of the style transfer diffusion network to the input style example and to enable the generalization of this style transfer to other action types. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_s$ fine-tunes the parameters of the style transfer diffusion model along with $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$. When we remove the loss function $\mathcal{L}_s$, we can find the CRA in Table 3 of the model increases from 83.72% to 88.01% and the SRA decreases dramatically from 70.77% to 28.01%. This indicates that the style transfer module can only work on content motions that are similar in content to the style example while leaving other content motions unchanged. Indeed, the $\mathcal{L}_s$ fully exploits the motion style prior in the text-to-motion dataset, which allows the style transfer model ε_{θ_s} to learn from the style example m_s and the motions in the dataset with the same style as m_s .

7 CONCLUSION, DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK,

In this paper, we present a novel framework for human motion style transfer. Rather than decoupling the human motion into content and content code, we regard the denoising process of the diffusion model as a motion translation process to learn the style information between the style-neutral motion pair. In this process, we propose a novel Style-Neutral Motion Generation module that can generate well-aligned motion pairs and a style transfer diffusion model that can learn the unseen motion style by on-the-fly fine-tuning. The proposed style transfer framework has various applications including extracting human motion style from video and stylistic expansion of the T2M dataset, which makes our method more practical.

7.1 DISCUSSION

Example-based style transfer vs. generation by text prompt.

The current text-to-motion models have the capability to generate realistic human movements. Directly using the textual descriptions as guidance and using the text-to-motion model to generate the stylized human motion seems to be a possible way. However, textual descriptions are ambiguous and it is difficult to precisely define the style of a motion. Moreover, current large-scale 3D human motion datasets are biased towards neutral motions, which makes the generative model insufficiently

capable of modeling style. Therefore, it is reasonable to explicitly define motion styles by giving a few examples.

Single style example vs. multiple style examples.

Our style transfer model can easily extend the input of one style example to multiple examples (as long as the style is consistent between the examples). In fact, the transfer model’s knowledge is derived from reconstructing the style examples and the motion style prior from the dataset. Therefore, providing multiple examples can enhance the model’s responsiveness to the user’s needs for transferring different motion contents, whereas a single example can simplify the process.

The relationship between our style transfer model and T2M generation model.

The Style-Neutral Motion Pair Generation of our framework relies on the expressive capabilities of the T2M model, which needs to be able to generate various actions of neutral motions to cope with different style examples. Therefore, the generic T2M model enables our model to be more robust, while the motions generated through our style transfer model provide more variance data to the T2M model.

Motion style transfer with user editing.

Since we employ the denoising process of the diffusion model for style transfer, it becomes feasible to incorporate user editing during the transfer process. In this paper, we impose a constraint on the root joint trajectory of the generated motion to be consistent with the content motion through inpainting. In future work, we can leverage the DiffusionBlending method Shafir et al. (2023) to blend multiple style transfer diffusions, thereby modeling the style of each body part independently. Furthermore, our approach can also be integrated with user interaction (e.g., end-effector trajectory editing) to enhance artistic expression in the future.

7.2 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Our style transfer model takes the motion clips as the input rather than the sliding window, which makes it difficult for real-time motion control like PFNN. In the future, improving the denoising efficiency of style diffusion models and combining the motion phase Starke et al. (2022) may be a solution to achieve diffusion-based real-time style transfer.

Another limitation is that we fine-tune an independent style transfer model for each different style example, which can result in model storage issues. In future work, we may introduce model distillation and integration to eliminate redundant information. Specifically, in the process of style transfer, we can introduce new conditions to denoising (e.g. the hidden features of the style example), so that the same model can store style knowledge of different style examples.

REFERENCES

- Kfir Aberman, Yijia Weng, Dani Lischinski, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Baoquan Chen. Unpaired motion style transfer from video to animation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 39(4):64–1, 2020.
- Kenji Amaya, Armin Bruderlin, and Tom Calvert. Emotion from motion. In *Graphics interface*, volume 96, pp. 222–229. Toronto, Canada, 1996.
- Tenglong Ao, Zeyi Zhang, and Libin Liu. Gesturediffuclip: Gesture diffusion model with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14613*, 2023.
- Nikos Athanasiou, Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. Teach: Temporal action composition for 3d humans. In *2022 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, pp. 414–423. IEEE, 2022.
- Matthew Brand and Aaron Hertzmann. Style machines. In *Proceedings of the 27th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques*, pp. 183–192, 2000.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.

- Han Du, Erik Herrmann, Janis Sprenger, Klaus Fischer, and Philipp Slusallek. Stylistic locomotion modeling and synthesis using variational generative models. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGGRAPH Conference on Motion, Interaction and Games*, pp. 1–10, 2019.
- Leon A Gatys, Alexander S Ecker, and Matthias Bethge. Image style transfer using convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 2414–2423, 2016.
- Chuan Guo, Shihao Zou, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Wei Ji, Xingyu Li, and Li Cheng. Generating diverse and natural 3d human motions from text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 5152–5161, June 2022.
- Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- Daniel Holden, Jun Saito, and Taku Komura. A deep learning framework for character motion synthesis and editing. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 35(4):1–11, 2016.
- Daniel Holden, Ikhsanul Habibie, Ikuo Kusajima, and Taku Komura. Fast neural style transfer for motion data. *IEEE computer graphics and applications*, 37(4):42–49, 2017a.
- Daniel Holden, Taku Komura, and Jun Saito. Phase-functioned neural networks for character control. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 36(4):1–13, 2017b.
- Eugene Hsu, Kari Pulli, and Jovan Popović. Style translation for human motion. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2005 Papers*, pp. 1082–1089. 2005.
- Lei Hu, Zihao Zhang, Chongyang Zhong, Boyuan Jiang, and Shihong Xia. Pose-aware attention network for flexible motion retargeting by body part. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization & Computer Graphics*, (01):1–17, 2023.
- Xun Huang and Serge Belongie. Arbitrary style transfer in real-time with adaptive instance normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 1501–1510, 2017.
- Leslie Ikemoto, Okan Arikan, and David Forsyth. Generalizing motion edits with gaussian processes. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 28(1):1–12, 2009.
- Deok-Kyeong Jang, Soomin Park, and Sung-Hee Lee. Motion puzzle: Arbitrary motion style transfer by body part. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(3):1–16, 2022.
- Biao Jiang, Xin Chen, Wen Liu, Jingyi Yu, Gang YU, and Tao Chen. MotionGPT: Human motion as a foreign language. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=WqiZJGNkjn>.
- Gwanghyun Kim, Taesung Kwon, and Jong Chul Ye. Diffusionclip: Text-guided diffusion models for robust image manipulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 2426–2435, 2022.
- Makito Kobayashi, Chen-Chieh Liao, Keito Inoue, Sentaro Yojima, and Masafumi Takahashi. Motion capture dataset for practical use of ai-based motion editing and stylization, 2023.
- Jiefeng Li, Chao Xu, Zhicun Chen, Siyuan Bian, Lixin Yang, and Cewu Lu. Hybrik: A hybrid analytical-neural inverse kinematics solution for 3d human pose and shape estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3383–3393, 2021.
- Jing Lin, Ailing Zeng, Shunlin Lu, Yuanhao Cai, Ruimao Zhang, Haoqian Wang, and Lei Zhang. Motion-x: A large-scale 3d expressive whole-body human motion dataset. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track*, 2023. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=WtaJAo0JWU>.

- Wanli Ma, Shihong Xia, Jessica K Hodgins, Xiao Yang, Chunpeng Li, and Zhaoqi Wang. Modeling style and variation in human motion. In *Proceedings of the 2010 ACM SIGGRAPH/Eurographics Symposium on Computer Animation*, pp. 21–30, 2010.
- Naureen Mahmood, Nima Ghorbani, Nikolaus F. Troje, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J. Black. AMASS: Archive of motion capture as surface shapes. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 5442–5451, October 2019.
- Ian Mason, Sebastian Starke, He Zhang, Hakan Bilen, and Taku Komura. Few-shot learning of homogeneous human locomotion styles. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 37, pp. 143–153. Wiley Online Library, 2018.
- Ian Mason, Sebastian Starke, and Taku Komura. Real-time style modelling of human locomotion via feature-wise transformations and local motion phases. *Proceedings of the ACM on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, 5(1):1–18, 2022.
- Soomin Park, Deok-Kyeong Jang, and Sung-Hee Lee. Diverse motion stylization for multiple style domains via spatial-temporal graph-based generative model. *Proceedings of the ACM on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, 4(3):1–17, 2021.
- Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. Action-conditioned 3d human motion synthesis with transformer vae. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 10985–10995, 2021.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- Yonatan Shafir, Guy Tevet, Roy Kapon, and Amit H Bermano. Human motion diffusion as a generative prior. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01418*, 2023.
- Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020.
- Wenfeng Song, Xingliang Jin, Shuai Li, Chenglizhao Chen, Aimin Hao, and Xia Hou. Finestyle: Semantic-aware fine-grained motion style transfer with dual interactive-flow fusion. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 2023.
- Sebastian Starke, Yiwei Zhao, Taku Komura, and Kazi Zaman. Local motion phases for learning multi-contact character movements. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 39(4):54–1, 2020.
- Sebastian Starke, Ian Mason, and Taku Komura. Deepphase: Periodic autoencoders for learning motion phase manifolds. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(4):1–13, 2022.
- Guy Tevet, Brian Gordon, Amir Hertz, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Motionclip: Exposing human motion generation to clip space. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 358–374. Springer, 2022a.
- Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yonatan Shafir, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Amit H Bermano. Human motion diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14916*, 2022b.
- Jonathan Tseng, Rodrigo Castellon, and Karen Liu. Edge: Editable dance generation from music. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 448–458, 2023.
- Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- Laurens Van der Maaten and Geoffrey Hinton. Visualizing data using t-sne. *Journal of machine learning research*, 9(11), 2008.
- Ruben Villegas, Duygu Ceylan, Aaron Hertzmann, Jimei Yang, and Jun Saito. Contact-aware retargeting of skinned motion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 9720–9729, 2021.

- Huaijun Wang, Dandan Du, Junhuai Li, Wenchao Ji, and Lei Yu. A cyclic consistency motion style transfer method combined with kinematic constraints. *Journal of Sensors*, 2021:1–17, 2021.
- Jack M Wang, David J Fleet, and Aaron Hertzmann. Multifactor gaussian process models for style-content separation. In *Proceedings of the 24th international conference on Machine learning*, pp. 975–982, 2007.
- Zhizhong Wang, Lei Zhao, and Wei Xing. Stylediffusion: Controllable disentangled style transfer via diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 7677–7689, 2023.
- Yu-Hui Wen, Zhipeng Yang, Hongbo Fu, Lin Gao, Yanan Sun, and Yong-Jin Liu. Autoregressive stylized motion synthesis with generative flow. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 13612–13621, 2021.
- Shihong Xia, Congyi Wang, Jinxiang Chai, and Jessica Hodgins. Realtime style transfer for unlabeled heterogeneous human motion. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 34(4):1–10, 2015.
- Sijie Yan, Yuanjun Xiong, and Dahua Lin. Spatial temporal graph convolutional networks for skeleton-based action recognition. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 32, 2018.
- Ye Yuan, Jiaming Song, Umar Iqbal, Arash Vahdat, and Jan Kautz. Physdiff: Physics-guided human motion diffusion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 16010–16021, 2023.
- M Ersin Yumer and Niloy J Mitra. Spectral style transfer for human motion between independent actions. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 35(4):1–8, 2016.
- Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoli Huang, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, and Xi Shen. T2m-gpt: Generating human motion from textual descriptions with discrete representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.06052*, 2023a.
- Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Motiondiffuse: Text-driven human motion generation with diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.15001*, 2022.
- Mingyuan Zhang, Xinying Guo, Liang Pan, Zhongang Cai, Fangzhou Hong, Huirong Li, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Remodiffuse: Retrieval-augmented motion diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.01116*, 2023b.
- Chongyang Zhong, Lei Hu, Zihao Zhang, and Shihong Xia. Learning uncoupled-modulation cvae for 3d action-conditioned human motion synthesis. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 716–732. Springer, 2022.
- Chongyang Zhong, Lei Hu, Zihao Zhang, and Shihong Xia. Att2m: Text-driven human motion generation with multi-perspective attention mechanism. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 509–519, 2023.
- Feng Zhou and Fernando De la Torre. Generalized time warping for multi-modal alignment of human motion. In *2012 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 1282–1289. IEEE, 2012.
- Yi Zhou, Connelly Barnes, Jingwan Lu, Jimei Yang, and Hao Li. On the continuity of rotation representations in neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5745–5753, 2019.
- Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A Efros. Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 2223–2232, 2017.