

A TOPOLOGY ON THE FREMLIN TENSOR PRODUCT OF LOCALLY CONVEX-SOLID VECTOR LATTICES

OMID ZABETI

ABSTRACT. Suppose E and F are locally convex-solid vector lattices. Although we have a suitable vector lattice structure for the tensor product E and F (known as the Fremlin tensor product and denoted by $E\overline{\otimes}F$), there is a lack of topological structure on $E\overline{\otimes}F$, in general. In this note, we consider a topological attitude on $E\overline{\otimes}F$ that makes it into a locally convex-solid vector lattice, as well.

1. MOTIVATION AND INTRODUCTION

Assume that E and F are Archimedean vector lattices. Fremlin in [4], constructed an Archimedean vector lattice $E\overline{\otimes}F$ that contains the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ (considered as an ordered vector subspace). Now, suppose that E and F are Banach lattices. Fremlin in [5], constructed a Banach lattice $E\widehat{\otimes}F$ that contains both $E \otimes F$ and $E\overline{\otimes}F$ as norm dense subspaces). However, when E and F are locally convex-solid vector lattices, there is a lack of topological structure on the Fremlin tensor product $E\overline{\otimes}F$ that makes it into a locally convex-solid vector lattice. In this note, we establish a locally convex-solid topology on $E\overline{\otimes}F$ using the topological structures in both E and F . Moreover, we consider a seminorm approach to this setting. Before we state the main results, we recall some notes regarding Fremlin tensor products between vector and Banach lattices; for more details, see [4, 5]. Moreover, for a comprehensive, new and interesting reference, see [7]. Furthermore, for a short and nicely written exposition on different types of tensor products between Archimedean vector lattices, see [6].

Suppose E and F are Archimedean vector lattices. In 1972, Fremlin constructed a tensor product $E\overline{\otimes}F$ that is an Archimedean vector lattice with the following properties:

- The algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ is a vector subspace of $E\overline{\otimes}F$ so that it is an ordered vector subspace in its own right.
- The vector sublattice in $E\overline{\otimes}F$ generated by $E \otimes F$ is the whole of $E\overline{\otimes}F$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46M05. Secondary: 46A40.

Key words and phrases. Fremlin tensor product, Fremlin projective tensor product, locally convex-solid vector lattice.

- For each Archimedean vector lattice G and every lattice bimorphism $\Phi : E \times F \rightarrow G$, there is a unique lattice homomorphism $T : E \overline{\otimes} F \rightarrow G$ such that $T(x \otimes y) = \Phi(x, y)$ for each $x \in E$ and for each $y \in F$.

Therefore, every element of $E \overline{\otimes} F$ can be considered as a finite supremum and finite infimum of some elements of $E \otimes F$. The good news is that we have some density properties for elements of $E \overline{\otimes} F$ in terms of the elements of the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ as follows.

- Assume that E and F are Archimedean vector lattice. For each $a \in E \overline{\otimes} F$, there exists $u \in E_+$ and $v \in F_+$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $b \in E \otimes F$ with $|a - b| \leq \varepsilon u \otimes v$, [7, Proposition 3.11].
- For each $c \in (E \overline{\otimes} F)_+$, we have $c = \sup\{a \otimes b : a \in E_+, b \in F_+\}$, [7, Proposition 3.12].
- For each $u \in (E \overline{\otimes} F)_+$, there exist $a \in E_+$ and $b \in F_+$ with $u \leq a \otimes b$, [5, 1A(d)].

Now, suppose E and F are Banach lattices. Fremlin in [5] constructed a tensor product $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ that is a Banach lattice with the following properties.

- $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ is the norm completion of $E \otimes F$ with respect to the projective norm: for each $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in E \otimes F$:

$$\|u\|_{|\pi|} = \sup\{|\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i, y_i)| : \phi \text{ is a bilinear form on } E \times F \text{ and } \|\phi\| \leq 1.\}$$

- $E \overline{\otimes} F$ can be considered as an norm-dense vector sublattice of $E \widehat{\otimes} F$.
- For every Banach lattice G and every continuous bilinear mapping $\Phi : E \times F \rightarrow G$, there is a unique positive linear mapping $T : E \widehat{\otimes} F \rightarrow G$ with $T(x \otimes y) = \Phi(x, y)$. Furthermore, Φ is a lattice bimorphism if and only if T is a lattice homomorphism.
- The positive cone in $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ is the closure of the cone $P \subseteq E \otimes F$ generated by $\{x \otimes y : x \in E_+, y \in F_+\}$.
- The projective norm, $\|\cdot\|_{|\pi|}$, on $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ is a cross norm that is for every $x \in E$ and for every $y \in F$, we have $\|x \otimes y\|_{|\pi|} = \|x\| \|y\|$.

For undefined terminology and general theory of vector lattices, Banach lattices and also locally convex-solid vector lattices, see [1, 2]. Also, for new and recent achievements regarding tensor products in vector lattices, see [6, 7].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Suppose E is an Archimedean vector lattice and $A \subseteq E$. We denote by $Sol(A)$, the smallest solid set of E containing A . More precisely

$$Sol(A) = \{x \in E, \exists y \in A, |x| \leq |y|\}.$$

We denote by $Conv(A)$, the convex hull of A which is the smallest convex set of E containing A . In other words,

$$Conv(A) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i : n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_i \geq 0, x_i \in A, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1.\}$$

Also, the convex balanced hull of A is defined as follows.

$$Conv_b(A) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i : n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_i \in A, \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \leq 1.\}$$

It is routine to verify that if $A \subseteq B$, then, $Sol(A) \subseteq Sol(B)$, $Conv(A) \subseteq Conv(B)$ and $Conv_b(A) \subseteq Conv_b(B)$.

Moreover, for two sets $A, B \subseteq E$, we denote by $A \vee B$ and $A \wedge B$ the sets $\{a \vee b : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ and $\{a \wedge b : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$, respectively. The following results are straightforward; nevertheless, necessary in the whole of the note. We give a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1. *Suppose E is an Archimedean vector lattice and $A, B \subseteq E$. Then, we have the following observations.*

- (1) $Conv(A + B) = Conv(A) + Conv(B)$.
- (2) $Conv_b(A + B) = Conv_b(A) + Conv_b(B)$.
- (3) $Conv_b(A \cup B) \subseteq Conv_b(A) \cup Conv_b(B)$; the same holds for $Conv$.
- (4) $Conv_b(A \cap B) \subseteq Conv_b(A) \cap Conv_b(B)$; the same holds for $Conv$.
- (5) $Sol(A + B) \subseteq Sol(A) + Sol(B)$.
- (6) $Sol(\alpha A) = \alpha Sol(A)$ and $Conv_b(\alpha A) = \alpha Conv_b(A)$, for each real α ; the same holds for $Conv$.
- (7) $Sol(A \cup B) \subseteq Sol(A) \cup Sol(B)$.
- (8) $Sol(A \cap B) \subseteq Sol(A) \cap Sol(B)$.
- (9) $Sol(A \vee B) \subseteq Sol(A) \vee Sol(B)$.
- (10) $Sol(A \wedge B) \subseteq Sol(A) \wedge Sol(B)$.
- (11) If F is also a vector lattice and $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a lattice homomorphism, then, $T(Sol(A)) \subseteq Sol(T(A))$.

Proof. The proofs of (1) and (2) have essentially the same idea; we prove just (ii). Since $Conv_b(A) + Conv_b(B)$ is a convex and balanced set that contains $A + B$, we

conclude that $\text{Conv}_b(A + B) \subseteq \text{Conv}_b(A) + \text{Conv}_b(B)$. For the other side, assume that $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \in \text{Conv}_b(A)$ and $v = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j b_j \in \text{Conv}_b(B)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \leq 1$. We can write $u + v = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \beta_j (a_i + b_j)$ so that $u + v \in \text{Conv}_b(A + B)$.

It can be seen easily that intersection and union of convex and balanced sets are again convex and balanced so that we conclude that (3) and (4) hold.

To prove (5), assume that $z \in \text{Sol}(A + B)$. There exists $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ with $|z| \leq |x + y|$. By the Riesz decomposition property ([1, Theorem 1.10]), there exist $z_1 \in E$ and $z_2 \in E$ with $z = z_1 + z_2$, $|z_1| \leq |x|$ and $|z_2| \leq |y|$. Thus, $z_1 \in \text{Sol}(A)$ and $z_2 \in \text{Sol}(B)$ so that $z \in \text{Sol}(A) + \text{Sol}(B)$.

(6). Note that

$$\text{Sol}(\alpha A) = \{z \in E, \exists x \in A, |z| \leq |\alpha||x|\} = \alpha \left\{ \frac{z}{\alpha} \in E, \exists x \in A, \left| \frac{z}{\alpha} \right| \leq |x| \right\} = \alpha \text{Sol}(A).$$

The statement for the convex hull can be obtained from the definition, easily.

(7). Assume that $z \in \text{Sol}(A \cup B)$. There exists $x \in A \cup B$ with $|z| \leq |x|$ so that $x \in A$ or $x \in B$. This means that $z \in \text{Sol}(A)$ or $z \in \text{Sol}(B)$.

(8). Suppose $z \in \text{Sol}(A \cap B)$. There exists $x \in A \cap B$ with $|z| \leq |x|$ so that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$. This means that $z \in \text{Sol}(A) \cap \text{Sol}(B)$.

(9). Assume that $z \in \text{Sol}(A \vee B)$. There exist $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ with $|z| \leq |x \vee y| \leq |x| \vee |y|$. W.O.L.G, we can assume that $|x| \wedge |y| = 0$; otherwise, write $x' = |x| - |x| \wedge |y|$ and $y' = |y| - |x| \wedge |y|$. Then, $|x| \vee |y| = x' \vee y'$ and $x' \wedge y' = 0$. Moreover, $x' \in \text{Sol}(A)$ and $y' \in \text{Sol}(B)$. So, $|z| \leq |x| + |y|$. By the Riesz decomposition property, There are positive elements z_1, z_2 in E with $|z_1| \leq |x|$, $|z_2| \leq |y|$ and $z = z_1 + z_2$. Note that z_1 and z_2 are also disjoint as $z_1 \wedge z_2 \leq |x| \wedge |y| = 0$. Therefore, $z = z_1 \vee z_2$, $z_1 \in \text{Sol}(A)$ and $z_2 \in \text{Sol}(B)$.

(10). By using (6) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sol}(A \wedge B) &= \text{Sol}(-((-A) \vee (-B))) = -\text{Sol}((-A) \vee (-B)) \subseteq -(\text{Sol}(-A) \vee \text{Sol}(-B)) = \\ &= -(-\text{Sol}(A) \vee -\text{Sol}(B)) = \text{Sol}(A) \wedge \text{Sol}(B). \end{aligned}$$

(11). Suppose F is a vector lattice, $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a lattice homomorphism and $w \in T(\text{Sol}(A))$. There exists $u \in \text{Sol}(A)$ with $w = T(u)$. Moreover, we can find $z \in A$ such that $|z| \leq |u|$. We have

$$|T(z)| = T(|z|) \leq T(|u|) = |T(u)| = |w|.$$

This means that $w \in \text{Sol}(T(A))$. □

Corollary 2. *Suppose E is an Archimedean vector lattice and $A, B \subseteq E$. If A and B are solid (convex), then, so are $A + B$, $A \vee B$, $A \wedge B$, $A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$.*

Now, we introduce a locally convex-solid topology on the Fremlin tensor product of two locally convex-solid vector lattices E and F .

Theorem 3. *Suppose E and F are locally convex-solid vector lattices. Then, there exists a locally convex-solid topology on the Fremlin tensor product $E \overline{\otimes} F$.*

Proof. Assume that $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ and $\{V_\beta : \beta \in J\}$ are bases of convex-solid zero neighborhoods for the corresponding topologies in E and F , respectively. Put

$$\mathbf{B} = \{Conv_b(Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)) : \alpha \in I, \beta \in J\}$$

We claim that \mathbf{B} is a basis of convex-solid zero neighborhoods for a topology on $E \overline{\otimes} F$. We use the procedure of [3, Section 7]. For each $\alpha \in I$ and for each $\beta \in J$, put $W_{\alpha,\beta} = Conv_b(Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta))$ which is again solid by [1, Theorem 1.11], in which $U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta = \{x \otimes y : x \in U_\alpha, y \in V_\beta\}$. Note that [1, Theorem 1.11] proves that the convex hull of a solid set is also solid but it is an easy matter to see that it is also true for the convex balanced hull, as well. We show that intersection of every two elements in \mathbf{B} contains another element of \mathbf{B} . For each $\alpha, \alpha' \in I$, choose any zero neighborhood $U \subseteq E$ with $U \subseteq U_\alpha \cap U_{\alpha'}$. Similarly, find a zero neighborhood $V \subseteq F$ with $V \subseteq V_\beta \cap V_{\beta'}$. Take zero neighborhoods U_{α_0} and V_{β_0} with $U_{\alpha_0} \subseteq U$ and $V_{\beta_0} \subseteq V$. Put $W = Conv_b(Sol(U_{\alpha_0} \otimes V_{\beta_0}))$. We claim that $W_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} \subseteq W_{\alpha,\beta} \cap W_{\alpha',\beta'}$. By using Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Conv_b(Sol(U_{\alpha_0} \otimes V_{\beta_0})) &\subseteq Conv_b(Sol((U_\alpha \cap U_{\alpha'}) \otimes (V_\beta \cap V_{\beta'}))) = Conv_b(Sol((U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta) \cap (U_{\alpha'} \otimes V_{\beta'}))) \subseteq \\ &Conv_b(Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)) \cap Conv_b(Sol(U_{\alpha'} \otimes V_{\beta'})) = W_{\alpha,\beta} \cap W_{\alpha',\beta'}. \end{aligned}$$

Take any $W_{\alpha,\beta}$. We need to find W_{α_0,β_0} such that $W_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} + W_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} \subseteq W_{\alpha,\beta}$. There are convex solid zero neighborhoods $U_{\alpha_0} \subseteq E$ and $V_{\alpha_0} \subseteq F$ with $U_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}U_\alpha$ and $V_{\beta_0} \subseteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}V_\beta$. Therefore, again, by using Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} + W_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} &= Conv_b(Sol(U_{\alpha_0} \otimes V_{\beta_0})) + Conv_b(Sol(U_{\alpha_0} \otimes V_{\beta_0})) \subseteq \\ &Conv_b(Sol(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}U_\alpha \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}V_\beta)) + Conv_b(Sol(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}U_\alpha \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}V_\beta)) = \\ &\frac{1}{2}Conv_b(Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)) + \frac{1}{2}Conv_b(Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)) = Conv_b(Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)) = W_{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each real λ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$, (by considering Lemma 1), we have

$$\lambda W_{\alpha,\beta} = \lambda Conv_b(Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)) = Conv_b(Sol(\lambda U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)) \subseteq Conv_b(Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)) = W_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Finally, we need to prove that for each $W_{\alpha,\beta}$ and for each $z \in W_{\alpha,\beta}$, there exists some W_{α_0,β_0} with $z + W_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} \subseteq W_{\alpha,\beta}$. We can write $z = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i$, in which $\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \leq 1$ and $z_i \in Sol(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)$. So, we can find $x_i \in U_\alpha$ and $y_i \in V_\beta$ such that $|z_i| \leq |x_i \otimes y_i| =$

$|x_i| \otimes |y_i|$. Also, there are convex-solid zero neighborhoods U_{α_i} in E and V_{β_i} in F with $|x_i| + U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq U_\alpha$ and $|y_i| + V_{\beta_i} \subseteq V_\beta$. Note that we can choose also convex-solid zero neighborhoods U_{α_0} and V_{β_0} with $U_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ and $V_{\beta_0} \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^n V_{\beta_i}$. We claim that $z + W_{\alpha_0, \beta_0} \subseteq W_{\alpha, \beta}$. Suppose $w = \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j w_j$, in which $\sum_{j=1}^m |\gamma_j| \leq 1$ and $w_j \in \text{Sol}(U_{\alpha_0} \otimes V_{\beta_0})$. So, we can find $u_j \in U_{\alpha_0}$ and $v_j \in V_{\beta_0}$ with $|w_j| \leq |u_j| \otimes |v_j|$. It is easy to see that we can write $z + w = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \gamma_j (z_i + w_j)$ and note that $\sum_{i,j} |\lambda_i \gamma_j| \leq 1$. Moreover,

$$|z_i + w_j| \leq |z_i| + |w_j| \leq (|x_i| \otimes |y_i|) + (|u_j| \otimes |v_j|) \leq (|x_i| + |u_j|) \otimes (|y_i| + |v_j|) \in U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta.$$

This means that $z + w \in W_{\alpha, \beta}$.

So, we have a linear topology with a base consisting of all convex-solid zero neighborhoods; denoted by τ_F . So, $(E \overline{\otimes} F, \tau_F)$ is a locally convex-solid vector lattice. \square

Remark 4. Suppose (E, τ_1) and (F, τ_2) are locally convex-solid vector lattices. By [1, Theorem 2.25], every locally convex-solid topology is generated by a family of Riesz seminorms. Assume that τ_1 is generated by a family $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ and τ_2 is generated by a family $(q_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$ of Riesz seminorms. Define the real-valued function $p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta$ on $E \overline{\otimes} F$ via $(p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)(u) = \inf\{r > 0 : u \in rW_{\alpha, \beta}\}$. It can be easily verified that $p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta$ is a Riesz seminorm on $E \overline{\otimes} F$. Moreover, the topology generated by the family $(p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)_{\alpha \in I, \beta \in J}$ coincides with τ_F .

Now we have the following equivalent definition of the topology τ_F .

Theorem 5. Suppose E and F are locally convex-solid vector lattices with the generating families of Riesz seminorms $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(q_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$, respectively. Then, we have

$$(p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)(u) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^n p_\alpha(x_i)q_\beta(y_i), x_i, y_i \geq 0, |u| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i\}.$$

Proof. For simplicity, write $A = \{r > 0 : u \in rW_{\alpha, \beta}\}$, $\alpha_u = \inf A$, $B = \{\sum_{i=1}^n p_\alpha(x_i)q_\beta(y_i), x_i, y_i \geq 0, |u| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i\}$ and $\beta_u = \inf B$. We need to show that $\alpha_u = \beta_u$.

Take $r \in A$. Then, $\frac{u}{r} \in W_{\alpha, \beta}$. So, we can write $\frac{u}{r} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i$ in which $\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \leq 1$ and $u_i \in \text{Sol}(U_\alpha \otimes V_\beta)$. So, we can find $x_i \in U_\alpha$ and $y_i \in V_\beta$ with $|u_i| \leq |x_i \otimes y_i| = |x_i| \otimes |y_i|$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{|u|}{r} = |\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| |u_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i x_i| \otimes |y_i|.$$

On the other hand, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_\alpha(\lambda_i x_i)q_\beta(y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| p_\alpha(x_i)q_\beta(y_i) \leq 1$ so that $r \in B$. This means that $A \subseteq B$ and so $\beta_u \leq \alpha_u$. For the converse, assume that there are $x_i \geq 0$ in E and $y_i \geq 0$ in F with $|u| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$. Put $r = \sum_{i=1}^n p_\alpha(x_i)q_\beta(y_i)$. We show that

$r \in A$. To this end, since $W_{\alpha,\beta}$ is solid, we just need to prove that $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i \otimes y_i}{r} \in W_{\alpha,\beta}$. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i \otimes y_i}{r} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_\alpha(x_i)q_\beta(y_i)}{r} \frac{x_i}{p_\alpha(x_i)} \otimes \frac{y_i}{q_\beta(y_i)}.$$

But $\frac{x_i}{p_\alpha(x_i)} \in U_\alpha$ and $\frac{y_i}{q_\beta(y_i)} \in V_\beta$ for each i . Furthermore, $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_\alpha(x_i)q_\beta(y_i)}{r} \leq 1$. This means that $r \in A$ so that $\alpha_u \leq \beta_u$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 6. *Suppose E and F are locally convex-solid vector lattices with the generating families of Riesz seminorms $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(q_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$, respectively. Then, for each $x_0 \in E$ and for each $y_0 \in F$, we have*

$$(p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)(x_0 \otimes y_0) = p_\alpha(x_0)q_\beta(y_0).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5, it is obvious that $(p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)(x_0 \otimes y_0) \leq p_\alpha(x_0)q_\beta(y_0)$. For the other side, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exist $f \in E'_+$ such that $f(x_0) = p_\alpha(x_0)$ and $|f(x)| \leq p_\alpha(x)$ for each $x \in E$. Moreover, there is $g \in F'_+$ with $g(y_0) = q_\beta(y_0)$ and $|g(y)| \leq q_\beta(y)$ for each $y \in F$. By considering Theorem 5, assume that $|x_0 \otimes y_0| = |x_0| \otimes |y_0| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \otimes y_i$ in which $x_i, y_i \geq 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the positive bilinear form B on $E \times F$ defined via $B(x, y) = f(x)g(y)$. So, we have

$$B(x_0, y_0) = p_\alpha(x_0)q_\beta(y_0) \leq B(|x_0|, |y_0|) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N B(x_i, y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)g(y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N p_\alpha(x_i)q_\beta(y_i).$$

Since this happens for every representation, we conclude that $p_\alpha(x_0)q_\beta(y_0) \leq (p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)(x_0 \otimes y_0)$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 7. *Suppose (E, τ_1) and (F, τ_2) are Hausdorff locally convex-solid vector lattices. Then, $(E \overline{\otimes} F, \tau_F)$ is a Hausdorff locally convex-solid vector lattice.*

Proof. Assume that τ_1 is generated by a family $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of Riesz seminorms and τ_2 possesses a family $(q_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$ of generating Riesz seminorms. By the hypotheses, both families are separating. Suppose $0 \neq u \in E \overline{\otimes} F$. By [5, 1(A) e], there exists $x_0 > 0$ in E and $y_0 > 0$ in F with $|u| \geq x_0 \otimes y_0$. Thus,

$$(p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)(u) = (p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)(|u|) \geq (p_\alpha \otimes q_\beta)(x_0 \otimes y_0) = p_\alpha(x_0)q_\beta(y_0) \neq 0,$$

as claimed. \square

Finally, we establish a universal property for the Fremlin tensor product of locally convex-solid vector lattices with a topological flavor. Just note that if X and Y are

vector spaces, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear operator and $A \subseteq X$, then, it can be verified easily that $T(\text{Conv}_b(A)) = \text{conv}_b(T(A))$.

Proposition 8. *Suppose (E, τ_1) and (F, τ_2) are locally convex-solid vector lattices. Then, for each locally convex-solid vector lattice G and each continuous lattice bimorphism $\Phi : E \times F \rightarrow G$, there exists a unique continuous lattice homomorphism T from $(E \overline{\otimes} F, \tau_F)$ into G such that $\Phi = T \otimes$.*

Proof. First, suppose $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(V_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$ are bases for τ_1 and τ_2 , respectively consisting of convex-solid zero neighborhoods. By [4, Theorem 4.2 (ii)], there exists a unique lattice homomorphism $T : E \overline{\otimes} F \rightarrow G$ such that $\Phi = T \otimes$. So, it is enough to show that T is continuous. Assume that W is an arbitrary convex-solid zero neighborhood in G . By the continuity of Φ , there are some α_0 and β_0 with $\Phi(U_{\alpha_0}, V_{\beta_0}) \subseteq W$. Now, by using Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(W_{\alpha_0, \beta_0}) &= T(\text{Conv}_b(\text{Sol}(U_{\alpha_0} \otimes V_{\beta_0}))) \subseteq \text{Conv}_b(\text{Sol}(T(U_{\alpha_0} \otimes V_{\beta_0}))) = \\ &\quad \text{Conv}_b(\text{Sol}(\Phi(U_{\alpha_0}, V_{\beta_0}))) \subseteq \text{Conv}_b(\text{Sol}(W)) = W. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. □

REFERENCES

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Locally solid Riesz spaces with applications to economics*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 105, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2003.
- [2] C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer, 2006.
- [3] Y. Deng, M O'Brien, and V. G. Troitsky, *Unbounded norm convergence in Banach lattices*, Positivity, 21(3), (2017), pp 963–974.
- [4] D.H. Fremlin, Tensor products of Archimedean vector lattices, *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 777–798.
- [5] ———, Tensor products of Banach lattices, *Math. Ann.* **211** (1974), 87–106.
- [6] J. J. Grobler, Lattice tensor products in different categories of Riesz spaces, Preprint.
- [7] A. W. Wickstead, *Tensor products of for Archimedean Riesz spaces: a representation approach*, submitted.

(O. Zabeti) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SISTAN AND BALUCHESTAN, ZAHEDAN, P.O. BOX 98135-674. IRAN

Email address: o.zabeti@gmail.com