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#### Abstract

We discuss a generic description of the dynamics of cell aggregates. We describe cells as polar rotating objects which mechanically interact with each other and with the surrounding medium. We use the framework of non-equilibrium thermodynamics to derive generic constitutive equations for the interaction forces, torques and polarity dynamics. We apply our framework to discuss spontaneous motion of cell doublets. We find a rich phase diagram of possible collective motion, including steady rotation arising from flow-polarity coupling or coupling of polarity with cell position.


Cells inside tissues act as motile organising elements. They exert forces on one another and on surrounding substrates, allowing for the emergence of organised collective migration that is necessary for embryo morphogenesis and tissue regeneration [1]. Cell polarity is a fundamental aspect of such tissue self organisation. For instance, planar polarity in two dimensional tissues acts as a global coordinator of morphogenesis [2], while apicobasal polarity is essential to regulate the form and the function of epithelial tissues [3]. Cell polarity is also directly involved in setting the direction of cell migration at the individual [4] and collective [5] scale.

There are multiple theoretical frameworks that can be used to describe collective cell motion, from continuous approaches to agent-based models [6]. At the continuous level, generic active gels theories have been developed [7]. While they can be successfully used to study the physics of tissues with large number of cells [8-10], they are not adequate to describe small aggregates, such as in the early stages of embryogenesis or during organoid development, or systems with highly inhomogeneous organisation. In such cases, cells need to be described as individual entities. In the active Brownian particles (ABP) framework [11, 12], cells are seen as self-propelled point-like objects, constituting dilute systems where cells interact through transient collision, hydrodynamic interactions and/or chemotactic behaviour [13] or denser systems interacting through an effective passive potential [14, 15]. When the passive potential includes polarity, interesting self-organising properties appear to emerge [16, 17].

Generic active effects that arise in dense ensembles of active polar particles, where cells adhere and are constantly in contact, remain however to be explored (Fig 17). In addition, spontaneous rotational motion is often observed in assemblies of cells, in whole tissues [18, 19] or in controlled in-vitro settings with either cell aggregates [20 22 ] or doublets of cells in two [23, 24] or three 25 dimensions. In cultures of human mammary epithelial cells, global rotational motion is necessary for the proper deposition of extracellular matrix [26]. In zebrafish embryos, rotation of cell pairs occurs in the lateral line [27, 28]. In all of these cases, an understanding of


FIG. 1: a. Scheme of a biological tissue, approximated as a collection of interacting spherical cells. b. Each cell, at the coarse-grained level, is described by its velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$, angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, polarity $\boldsymbol{p}$. c. A cell $i$, in contact with cell $j$, is subjected to a force $\boldsymbol{F}_{i j}$ and a torque $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}$. d. Snapshots of a doublet of MDCK cells, spontaneously rotating in Matrigel. 20 min between frames, E-cadherin labelled in green (courtesy D. Riveline). scale bar: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
the translational and rotational motion of individual cells is required to correctly capture the physics of the entire aggregate.

In order to address these questions, we propose here generic equations for the "interacting active particles" framework where cells are described as discrete active polarised and spinning objects which can both translate and rotate and which directly exchange forces, torques and have coupled polarity dynamics (Fig. 1a, b). We use linear irreversible thermodynamics to derive generic constitutive relations for active and passive interaction forces, torques (Fig 1 c) and polarity dynamics of an aggregate of cells. We obtain terms at lowest order which respect the symmetries of the system. Such a theory provides a basis to explain a broad range of biological situations such as cell doublet rotation [25] (Fig. 11),
and early stages of embryogenesis or organoid formation. Here we use our framework to study the simplest case of the motion of a pair of adhering cells.

Generic description of $N$ active particles. We describe a system of $i=1 \ldots N$ interacting cells. We choose a coarse-grained description of cell dynamics, where each cell $i$ is characterised by its velocity $\boldsymbol{v}_{i}$, angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i}$, polarity $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}(\operatorname{Fig} 1 \mathrm{p})$, mass $m_{i}$, and angular momentum $\boldsymbol{L}_{i}$. The force an torque balance equations are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{F}_{i}^{f}+\sum_{j \in N_{i}} \boldsymbol{F}_{i j}=m_{i} \frac{d \boldsymbol{v}_{i}}{d t}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i}^{f}+\sum_{j \in N_{i}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}=\frac{d \boldsymbol{L}_{i}}{d t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{i}$ is the set of cells in contact with cell $i, \boldsymbol{F}_{i}^{f}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i}^{f}$ are the force and torque exerted by the surrounding fluid on cell $i$, and $\boldsymbol{F}_{i j}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}$ are the force and torque exerted by cell $j$ on cell $i$ which satisfy (Supplemental Materials (SM) section I [29])):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}_{i j}+\mathbf{F}_{j i}=0, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{j i}=\mathbf{r}_{i j} \times \mathbf{F}_{i j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we assume that all interactions are pairwise, and that the fluid acts as a momentum sink without an internal dynamics. The free energy for $N$ cells can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
f= & \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{2} m_{i} \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{L}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i},\left\{N_{k}^{(i)}\right\}\right)+\sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} f_{\mathrm{int}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}, \boldsymbol{p}_{j}, \boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the sum of the kinetic energy of translation and rotation of individual cells of mass $m_{i}$, a free energy $f_{0}$ per cell, and an interaction energy $f_{\text {int }}$ between pairs of cells $\langle i, j\rangle$ in contact. We introduce quantities $N_{k}^{(i)}$ of molecules of type $k \in\{1,2, . ., n\}$, present inside cell $i$, involved in a single chemical reaction that drives the system out of equilibrium. We assume that the interaction energy between pairs of cells does not depend on the molecule numbers $N_{k}^{(i)}$. Equilibrium interaction forces and torques $\boldsymbol{F}_{i j}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}^{\mathrm{eq}}$, as well as the generalized force $\boldsymbol{h}_{i}=-\partial f / \partial \boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ acting on polarity, can be obtained from the free energy $f$ (SM section II). The time derivative of the free energy (SM section III) is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d t}=\sigma_{c}+\sigma_{f}+\sigma_{i n t} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the entropy productions $-\sigma_{c}$ (chemical reaction and polarity dynamics), $-\sigma_{f}$ (mechanical interactions with the fluid), and $-\sigma_{\text {int }}$ (cell-cell
mechanical interactions), given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{c} & =\sum_{i}\left(\Delta \mu_{i} r_{i}-\boldsymbol{h}_{i} \cdot \frac{D \boldsymbol{p}_{i}}{D t}\right) \\
\sigma_{f} & =\sum_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{i}^{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_{i}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i}^{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i}\right)  \tag{5}\\
\sigma_{i n t} & =\sum_{\langle i, j\rangle}\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{i j}^{d} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_{i j}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}^{d} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with $r_{i}$ is the reaction rate inside cell $i, \Delta \mu_{i}=$ $\sum_{k} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial N_{k}^{(i)}} \nu_{k}$ is the chemical potential difference driving the reaction ( $\nu_{k}$ the stoichiometric coefficients). The time evolution of the cell polarity $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \boldsymbol{p}_{i}}{d t}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{i}+\frac{D \boldsymbol{p}_{i}}{D t} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D \boldsymbol{p}_{i} / D t$ represents any polarity remodelling that differs from simply following the rotation of the cell. Such intrinsic polarity remodelling does not necessarily require material flows inside the cell, but can arise from reactiondiffusion based mechanisms like depicted in Fig 1b. We also introduced a sliding velocity, as well as deviatoric forces and torques:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \boldsymbol{v}_{i j} & =\boldsymbol{v}_{i}-\boldsymbol{v}_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j}\right) \times \boldsymbol{r}_{i j}, \\
\boldsymbol{F}_{i j}^{d} & =\boldsymbol{F}_{i j}-\boldsymbol{F}_{i j}^{e q}  \tag{7}\\
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}^{d} & =\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}^{a}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{i}^{\langle i j\rangle} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{i}-\boldsymbol{h}_{j}^{\langle i j\rangle} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}^{a}$ is the antisymmetric part of the torque $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}$, the symmetric part being $\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i j} \times \boldsymbol{F}_{i j}\right) / 2$. Fluxes and forces driving the system out of equilibrium can be identified from the time derivative of the free energy $d f / d t$. Assuming that the system is weakly out of equilibrium, we express the fluxes as a linear combination of the forces, following the Onsager reciprocity relations, and we obtain the reduced constitutive equations (SM section IV):

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{F}_{i}^{f} & =\lambda \boldsymbol{p}_{i}+\sum_{j \in N_{i}}\left(\lambda_{n} \boldsymbol{p}_{j}\right)-\xi \boldsymbol{v}_{i}-\eta \boldsymbol{h}_{i} \\
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i}^{f} & =-\xi_{r} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i} \\
\boldsymbol{F}_{i j}^{d} & =\lambda^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}-\boldsymbol{p}_{j}\right)-\xi_{\|} \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_{i j}+\eta^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{i}-\boldsymbol{h}_{j}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i j}^{d} & =\mu_{r} \boldsymbol{r}_{i j} \times\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}+\boldsymbol{p}_{j}\right)+\mu_{r}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{j}-\xi_{r}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j}\right) \\
\frac{D \boldsymbol{p}_{i}}{D t} & =\sum_{j \in N_{i}}\left(\zeta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p}_{j}+\zeta_{r} \boldsymbol{r}_{i j}+\eta^{\prime} \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_{i j}\right)-\eta \boldsymbol{v}_{i}+\alpha \boldsymbol{h}_{i} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and an expression for $r_{i}$ given in SM section IV. Together with $\mathrm{Eq}, 1, \mathrm{Eq} \sqrt[6]{6}$, and expression for equilibrium forces, these constitutive equations fully determine the time evolution of a system of $N$ cells. Here all Onsager coefficients are expressed at the lowest possible order in $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}$. For simplicity, we assume that the chemical
reaction rate $r_{i}$ and the corotational time-derivative of polarity $D \boldsymbol{p}_{i} / D t$ only depends on thermodynamics forces involving cell $i$; we have not written coupling coefficients corresponding to hydrodynamic interactions, non-linear reactive couplings with the molecular field $\boldsymbol{h}_{i}$, polaritydependent cross-coupling dissipative terms, (SM section IV). We postulate that cells have pair-wise interactions with other cells in their immediate neighbourhood at fixed distance $\left|\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right|$, with active forces acting along $\mathbf{r}_{i j}$ effectively suppressed by the passive interaction potential maintaining this distance. We also ignore coupling terms which change the polarity norm $\left|\mathbf{p}_{i}\right|$, taken to be fixed.

The chemical potential difference $\Delta \mu$ is taken equal between different cells, constant, and is absorbed implicitly in the definition of the active coupling coefficients, listed thereafter. Terms in $\lambda, \lambda_{n}$, are self-propelling forces against the external medium with $\lambda$ usually considered in ABPs models [15], the $\lambda^{\prime}$ term is a polarity-dependent active interaction force, and terms in $\mu_{r}$ and $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ are active interaction torques. Finally, we find two active polarity couplings which couple the dynamics of a cell polarity to the polarity of its neighbours (coupling $\zeta^{\prime}$ ), and to the vector joining a cell to its neighbours (coupling $\zeta_{r}$ ). $\xi, \xi_{r}$ are dissipative coefficients corresponding to translational and rotational friction of the cell against the external medium. $\xi_{\|}$and $\xi_{r}^{\prime}$ are dissipative coefficients describing relative friction between the cells. $\alpha$ is a rotational viscosity for the polarity. $\eta, \eta^{\prime}$ are flow-polarity couplings between the cell velocity and the polarity. $\eta$ is called a velocity-alignment term in models of ABPs [15, 30]. The term in $\eta^{\prime}$ represents a polarity flow coupling due to relative sliding between neighbouring cells. In addition to active self-propulsion, it can capture the tendency of cells to align with the velocity of their neighbours [31, 32].

Rotating cell doublets. We apply this generic theory to the case of a pair of cells in the low Reynolds number limit. Cell doublets have been shown to be able to spontaneously rotate $[23-25]$ and we wish to understand broadly which fundamental physical mechanisms can lead to self-organised persistent rotation. We focus on planar rotation for simplicity (Fig. 2 a , SM section VI) and assume self-propulsion to be along the cell polarity $\left(\lambda_{n}=0\right)$. The dynamics of the system in the 2D plane is reduced to a system of coupled differential equations for the angles $\Delta \psi_{1}(t), \Delta \psi_{2}(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ defined in Fig. 2a:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \Delta \psi_{1}}{d t} & =F\left(\Delta \psi_{1}, \Delta \psi_{2}\right)  \tag{9}\\
\frac{d \Delta \psi_{2}}{d t} & =-F\left(\pi-\Delta \psi_{2}, \pi-\Delta \psi_{1}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\frac{d \theta}{d t} & =G\left(\Delta \psi_{1}, \Delta \psi_{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

with $F$ and $G$ given in SM section VI.A.2. The velocity of the center of mass of the doublet can further be determined as a function of $\Delta \psi_{1}, \Delta \psi_{2}$ and $\Delta \theta$. We look


FIG. 2: a. Geometric quantities used to describe the motion of a cell doublet in the plane. b. Steady-states with different symmetries. c. Cases of doublet rotation: cells rotate with (top) or against (bottom) the doublet rotation. Here $\Omega=$ $\Omega_{1}=\Omega_{2} . \Omega_{i}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{z}$ with $i=1,2, \Omega_{d}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{z}$.
for steady-state solutions of Eqs 910 that have specific symmetries shown on Fig 2 b . These are the only steadystates (SM section VI.B) in the cases studied in this paper (SM section V ). By symmetry only the configuration $\Delta \psi_{2}=\pi+\Delta \psi_{1}, \Delta \psi_{1} \neq 0, \pi$ can lead to rotational motion of the doublet, $d \theta / d t \neq 0$. Permanent doublet rotation with angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{d}=\boldsymbol{r}_{12} \times\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{2}-\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right) /\left(4 R^{2}\right)$ can be associated to various degrees of different intrinsic cell rotation $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{2}$ (Fig 2 ).

Rotation from flow alignment. In this part we restrict ourselves to passive polarity dynamics. We consider separately the case where motion is driven by cell-medium interactions ( $\lambda$ and $\eta$ only) and cell-cell interactions ( $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ only).

In the case of cell-medium interactions, cells are selfpropelled $(\lambda)$ and align their polarity with their velocity vector $(\eta)$. We find that the only non-static (marginally) stable steady-states in that case are the "flocking" states ( $\Delta \psi_{1}=\Delta \psi_{2}$, Fig 2 ) with any arbitrary angle $\Delta \psi_{1}$, for $\eta \lambda<0$ (Fig. 3a,b). This is reminiscent of the flocking behaviour observed in large assemblies of self-propelled particles with flow alignment [30]. Confinement of the doublet, pinning down its center of mass, stabilises however the rotating solution for $\lambda \eta<0$ and large enough $|\eta|$ (Fig. 3F). Here cells rotate in the same direction than the doublet, $\Omega / \Omega_{d}>0$.

Stable rotating states also appear when cells actively move against each other $\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and have relative flow alignment $\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)$ (Fig 3d, e). Such cell-cell interactions could arise in a biological tissue from the dynamics of adhesion molecules and actomyosin cortical flows at cell-cell interfaces. Here the surrounding medium only provides


FIG. 3: a: Scheme explaining the effect of $\lambda$ (self-propulsion) and $\eta$ ( flow alignment). b,c: Phase diagrams as a function of $\eta, \lambda$. d: Scheme explaining the effect of $\lambda^{\prime}$ (relative propulsion) and $\eta^{\prime}$ (relative flow alignment). e: Phase diagram as a function of $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$. Red regions correspond to states of aligned polarities. Blue regions correspond to rotating states. Marginally stable mirror states exist for all parameters in c, e. $\xi_{r} /\left(R^{2} \xi\right)=\xi_{\|} / \xi=1$.
resistance to motion through translational and rotational frictions $\xi$ and $\xi_{r}$. The angular velocities of the doublet $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{d}$ and cells $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{2}$ then verify:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{d}=-\frac{\xi_{r}}{\xi R^{2}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{2}}{2} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a rotating state with $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{d}=$ $-\left(\xi_{r} /\left(\xi R^{2}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and therefore cells are rotating in the opposite direction to doublets (Fig 2F).

In the white regions of the phase diagrams (Fig $3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{e}$ ), both the rotating and flocking states are unstable. Interestingly, mirror steady-states ( $\Delta \psi_{2}=\pi-\Delta \psi_{1}$, Fig 2 p ) exist for all values of $\Delta \psi_{1}$ but are unstable within a range of angles $\Delta \psi_{1}$. As a result, in the presence of noise, the system diffuses through the stable mirror states until reaching the instability region, performing a "tumble", and finding again a stable mirror state (SM section VII.A).

Rotation from active propulsion and polarity remodelling We now consider a situation without flow alignment of polarity ( $\eta=\eta^{\prime}=0$ ), where cells self-propel along their polarity axis ( $\lambda$ ), and where neighbouring cell polarities interact $\left(\zeta_{r}\right)$. We introduce a higher-order term in the description of the active polarity remodelling, so that $\zeta_{r} \boldsymbol{r}_{i j}$ (in Eq 8 ) is replaced by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{r} \boldsymbol{r}_{i j}+\zeta_{r p}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right) \boldsymbol{r}_{i j} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\zeta_{r}$ (assumed positive) characterises the propensity of cells to orient their polarities towards each other, while $\zeta_{r p}$ (when negative) promotes an arrangement of polarities perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}$ (Fig,4 right). Two dimension-

b


FIG. 4: a: Phase diagram of stable steady-states as a function of the dimensionless self-propulsion $\lambda /\left(\zeta_{r} \xi R^{2}\right)$ and the dimensionless polarity remodelling ratio $\zeta_{r p} R / \zeta_{r}$. Right, schematic for key couplings. b: Schematics for possible doublet dynamics: $r$ (rotating), $m$ (mirror), $t$ (tumbling), $f$ (flocking), in (polarities pointing towards each other), out (polarities pointing away from each other). By default, $\xi_{r} /\left(R^{2} \xi\right)=\xi_{\|} / \xi=1$.
less parameters characterise the system: $\lambda /\left(\zeta_{r} \xi R^{2}\right)$ is the ratio of time scales $1 /\left(R \zeta_{r}\right)$ of polarity remodelling and $\xi R /|\lambda|$ of cell motion; and $\zeta_{r p} R / \zeta_{r}$ sets a preferred angle betwen $\boldsymbol{p}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}$. The phase diagram of the system is rich and shows a multitude of steady-states (Fig $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ). Spontaneous rotation is possible provided that $\zeta_{r p} R / \zeta_{r}<0$ and for sufficiently low absolute values of $\lambda /\left(\zeta_{r} \xi R^{2}\right)$. In that case the ratio between the cell and doublet angular velocities $\Omega, \Omega_{d}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{d}}=\frac{2 \xi_{\|}}{\xi_{r} / R^{2}+2 \xi_{\|}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{d}$ have the same sign and therefore cells rotate in the same direction than the doublet (Fig. 2 F ). When the cell-cell friction coefficient $\xi_{\|}$becomes large, $\Omega / \Omega_{d} \rightarrow 1$, the doublet rotates as a solid object, and the polarity simply co-rotates with the cell, which favors rotating steady-states (SM section VIII). In the white region in the phase diagram of Fig 4 the angles $\Delta \psi_{1}$, $\Delta \psi_{2}$ oscillate deterministically, the doublet moves in a mean direction with alternating phases of low velocity and high rotational motion, and larger velocity and low rotational motion (SM section VII.B).

Our study of cell doublets highlights the importance of individual cell rotation, which competes with active polarity remodelling (or flow polarity-alignment) to determine the possible configurations of cell doublets. We also
find that counter or corotation of the cells participating to a rotating doublet (Fig. 2k) distinguishes two regimes whether rotation results from relative cell-cell propulsion (Fig. 3¢) or from self-propulsion (Fig. 3k, Fig. 4). We therefore argue that measuring cell rotation in experiments [33] can allow to discriminate between models of cell and tissue dynamics.

We find that a cell doublet can transition from a static "in" state to a motile "flocking" state when the active self-propulsion term $\lambda$ increases (Fig. 4h). This is line with observations of cell doublets confined in a one dimensional channel which either polarise in the same direction at large velocity, or polarise in opposite directions when they are less motile [34. Our model also predicts the existence of parameter regimes where cells alternate between phases of quick reorganisation of their polarities (tumbles) and phases of slow noise driven diffusive dynamics (Fig. 3a) or alternate between tumbles and "runs" where the doublet translates as a whole (Fig. 47). This behaviour is reminiscent of experimental observations of clusters of 50-100 cells collectively show phases of rotation, translation or random organisation 35]. We expect that our framework will find applications to describe the dynamics of larger aggregates with $N>2$ cells. Our theory could be expanded to introduce chiral effects such as an active torque $\Gamma_{i}^{f}$ proportional to the cell polarity $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ or, away from equilibrium, to allow for coupling coefficients breaking Onsager reciprocity relations 10.
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