## A Generalist Learner for Multifaceted Medical Image Interpretation

**Authors:** Hong-Yu Zhou PhD<sup>1</sup>, Subathra Adithan MD<sup>2</sup>, Julián Nicolás Acosta MD<sup>1</sup>, Eric J. Topol MD<sup>3</sup>, Pranav Rajpurkar PhD<sup>1</sup>

#### Affiliations:

- 1. Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA.
- 2. Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry, IN.
- 3. Scripps Research Translational Institute, Scripps Research, La Jolla, CA, USA.

#### **Corresponding author:**

Pranav Rajpurkar, PhD pranav\_rajpurkar@hms.harvard.edu

# Abstract

Current medical artificial intelligence systems are often limited to narrow applications, hindering their widespread adoption in clinical practice. To address this limitation, we propose MedVersa, a generalist learner that enables flexible learning and tasking for medical image interpretation. By leveraging a large language model as a learnable orchestrator, MedVersa can learn from both visual and linguistic supervision, support multimodal inputs, and perform real-time task specification. This versatility allows MedVersa to adapt to various clinical scenarios and perform multifaceted medical image analysis. We introduce MedInterp, the largest multimodal dataset to date for medical image interpretation, consisting of over 13 million annotated instances spanning 11 tasks across 3 modalities, to support the development of MedVersa. Our experiments demonstrate that MedVersa achieves state-of-the-art performance in 9 tasks, sometimes outperforming specialist counterparts by over 10%. MedVersa is the first to showcase the viability of multimodal generative medical AI in implementing multimodal outputs, inputs, and dynamic task specification, highlighting its potential as a multifunctional system for comprehensive medical image analysis. This generalist approach to medical image interpretation paves the way for more adaptable and efficient AI-assisted clinical decision-making.



**Figure 1** | **Overview of the study.** MedVersa has been developed and validated using a curated dataset comprising radiographs, dermoscopy images, computed tomography scans, and medical text data. The resulting model is capable of performing 11 vision-language and vision-centric tasks. In practical applications, MedVersa can accept a wide range of inputs, including images of various types (e.g., multimodal, multiview, or multiperiod) and natural language requests. The model's learnable orchestrator, powered by a large language model (LLM), independently assesses whether to execute the task on its own or to integrate visual modeling modules. By combining language models and vision modules, MedVersa can learn from both visual and linguistic supervision, as well as generate multimodal outputs, showcasing its versatility and potential for real-world applications in medical image analysis.

## Introduction

The field of medical artificial intelligence (AI) has been advancing at a rapid pace, ushering in a new era of diagnostic accuracy and patient care. Within this dynamic landscape, researchers have been focusing their efforts on developing solutions for specific tasks, such as identifying chest pathologies <sup>1-5</sup> and classifying skin diseases. <sup>6-8</sup> Similarly, the majority of medical AI products approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for clinical use have been designed to address one or two specific tasks. <sup>9</sup> However, this task-specific approach may limit the real-world clinical applications of these AI systems, as they may not be able to adapt to the diverse and complex needs of healthcare settings. <sup>10,11</sup>

Addressing this concern, generalist medical artificial intelligence (GMAI) was proposed to utilize recent advances in foundation models <sup>12</sup> for more flexible problem solving. <sup>10</sup> However, contemporary GMAI models have been designed to learn from natural language supervision. <sup>13-17</sup>

Although these models work well in vision-language tasks, it does not readily apply to a majority of vision-centric problems, such as detection and segmentation, which are indispensable to medical image interpretation.<sup>18-20</sup>

Inspired by the GMAI paradigm, we propose MedVersa, a generalist learner capable of multifaceted medical image interpretation. At the core of MedVersa is to function the large language model as a learnable orchestrator, which learns to orchestrate the multimodal inputs and execute tasks using language/vision modules. This architectural design equips MedVersa to overcome the limitations of traditional approaches <sup>13-17</sup> by integrating both visual and linguistic supervision within its learning processes, while at the same time supporting on-the-fly task specification with language. MedVersa is a versatile model that excels in both vision-language tasks, such as generating radiology reports and answering visual questions, and vision-centric challenges, including detecting anatomical structures and segmenting medical images (Fig. 1). This dual capability enables MedVersa to train on diverse medical data across multiple modalities and tasks, resulting in general, shared representations.

To facilitate the development of MedVersa, we have curated a diverse and multimodal dataset called MedInterp, which is specifically designed for multifaceted medical image interpretation (Fig. 2). MedInterp is an extensive dataset, containing over 13 million annotated instances and covering a wide range of vision-language and vision-centric tasks. By training and assessing MedVersa on the MedInterp dataset, we have demonstrated that it surpasses state-of-the-art specialist counterparts in nine tasks, often by notable margins (Fig. 2). For instance, in the task of radiology report generation, MedVersa outperforms both MAIRA-1<sup>21</sup>, a specialist large multimodal model from Microsoft, and Med-PaLM M<sup>13</sup>, a generalist biomedical foundation model from Google that is 10 times larger than MedVersa. Moreover, MedVersa also excels in visual localization tasks, surpassing the well-established object detector <sup>22</sup> in two localization tasks. Additionally, MedVersa demonstrates superior performance compared to state-of-the-art specialist methods in various other tasks, including longitudinal study comparisons, region-of-interest captioning, open-ended VQA, and chest pathology classification. The model's consistent and superior performance has been further validated on six external cohorts, highlighting its robustness and generalizability.



**Figure 2** | **Data and performance. a,** Relative improvements (over the specialist counterpart) in 11 tasks. MedVersa achieves over 5% relative improvements in seven tasks. **b,** Number of instances included by the MedInterp. We present the data distributions across different task settings.

# Results

#### Report generation

Table 2 presents the evaluation results on three sections: findings, impression, and target (concatenation of findings and impression) using five evaluation metrics: BLEU-4 <sup>23</sup>, BertScore <sup>24</sup>, CheXbert <sup>25</sup>, RadGraph <sup>26</sup>, and RadCliQ. <sup>27</sup> For the findings section, among the baselines, MAIRA-1 <sup>21</sup> achieves a higher BLEU-4 score of 14.2, while Med-PaLM M <sup>13</sup> produces a better RadGraph score of 26.7, both of which are the current state-of-the-art. Since MAIRA-1 and Med-PaLM M are not publicly accessible, we added another competitive baseline, CIsGen <sup>28</sup>, for consistent comparisons across different sections. CIsGen achieves a higher BLEU-4 score than Med-PaLM M.

The proposed MedVersa was evaluated across all sections and metrics. It outperforms all baselines in the findings section with a BLEU-4 score of 17.8 (vs. 14.2 of MAIRA-1), a CheXBert score of 46.4 (vs. 44.0 of MAIRA-1), and a RadGraph score of 28.0 (vs. 26.7 of Med-PaLM M), establishing its superiority and setting the new state-of-the-art in capturing both the linguistic and clinical aspects of radiology reporting. Particularly noteworthy is that the results of Med-PaLM M were obtained from a significantly larger model, with ten times more parameters than those of MedVersa. This implies that the latter model is more advantageous in terms of training and inference efficiency. For the impression section, MedVersa surpasses ClsGen in all evaluation metrics, and the same superiority is maintained when all sections are combined. We performed external validation on the IUX-ray dataset <sup>29</sup> (Table 2), where MedVersa keeps maintaining a notable advantage over ClsGen.

#### Vision-centric tasks

For detection tasks, MedVersa exhibits competitive performance, surpassing YOLOv5<sup>22</sup> by noticeable, consistent margins in the detection of a variety of anatomical structures (Fig. 3a), with most IoU scores on certain structures surpassing 0.6. It shows particularly high effectiveness in the detection of lung zones. When identifying chest pathologies, MedVersa's capabilities exceed those of YOLOv5, notably in the detection of 27 out of 33 conditions (Fig. 3b). It also maintains a higher average performance compared to YOLOv5 (0.303 vs. 0.278). On the external cohort NIH ChestXray, MedVersa also outperforms YOLOv5 by an average of nearly two percent in detecting common chest pathologies (Table 2).

Regarding segmentation tasks, MedVersa demonstrates competitive results, performing competitively to nnUNet <sup>30</sup> and nnSAM. <sup>31</sup> All three approaches perform fairly well in segmenting major chest organs (Fig. 3c) and skin lesions (Fig. 3d). Nonetheless, MedVersa outperforms nnUNet and nnSAM by significant margins in chest major organ segmentation. In the task of abdominal organ segmentation (Fig. 3e), MedVersa also shows competitive performance to nnUNet3D which uses complex and time-consuming data augmentation techniques. Table 3 showcases the segmentation

results of skin lesions and abdominal organs.



**Figure 3** | **Experimental results of vision-centric tasks.** We compared MedVersa against YOLOv5 on 2 detection tasks: **a**, anatomical structure and **b**, chest pathology detection. For medical image segmentation, we mainly compared MedVersa to nnUNet on **c**, chest major organ, **d**, skin lesion, and **e**, abdominal organ segmentation. Besides, nnSAM was included as a baseline for 2D segmentation tasks (**c** and **d**). The evaluation metrics of detection and segmentation tasks are IoU (Intersection over Union) and DICE similarity scores, respectively. We provided 95% confidence intervals in each subfigure.



**Figure 4** | **Experimental results of classification tasks.** MedVersa was compared to different specialist models - DAM (Deep AUC Maximization) and CRCKD (Categorical Relation-preserving Contrastive Knowledge Distillation) - on **a**, chest pathology and **b**, skin lesion classification, respectively. 95% confidence intervals were provided along with F1 scores.

# Longitudinal study comparisons, open-ended VQA, and region-of-interest captioning

In longitudinal study comparisons, the model is typically tasked with drawing a comparative conclusion between two groups of images collected at different periods. This presents a significant challenge for image interpretation, as models must work with multiple images to analyze various anatomical structures, extracting features and identifying subtle disease-related changes. As shown in Table 1, the baseline method EKAID builds complex anatomical structure-aware graphs to encode anatomical and disease features for recognizing the differences between CXR studies. In contrast, MedVersa adopts a straightforward yet effective way to process longitudinal images (see. Fig. 6e). Moreover, MedVersa largely outperforms EKAID across different metrics (BLEU-4: 44.7 vs. 40.4, BertScore: 71.4 vs. 69.1, CheXbert: 50.0 vs. 49.1, RadGraph: 23.7 vs. 20.4, RadCliQ: 2.05 vs. 2.19).

As Table 1 displays, MedVersa outperforms PTLM <sup>32</sup>, a state-of-the-art model for open-ended medical VQA, by an average of six percent in BLEU-4, BertScore, CheXbert, and RadGraph scores. MedVersa also achieves a 30% lower RadCliQ score compared to PTLM. The 95% confidence intervals indicate that the improvement brought by MedVersa can be statistically significant. The result on the external cohort also validates the advantage of MedVersa (Table 2).

In the task of region-of-interest captioning, MedVersa shows an obvious advantage over MiniGPT-v2 across various metrics. The RadCliQ score, which comprehensively evaluates the lexical and clinical significance of generated text, is substantially lower for MedVersa at 2.70 versus 3.08 for MiniGPT-v2, suggesting captions of MedVersa are semantically more aligned with reference standards. The result from an external cohort further confirms the benefit of MedVersa, as shown in Table 2.

7



**Figure 5** | **Comparative analyses of learning from multimodal supervision.** We study the impact of training MedVersa with different types of tasks (i.e., with different forms of supervision). **VC** denotes training with vision-centric tasks, while **VL** stands for training with vision-language data.

#### Classification tasks in radiology and dermatology

Fig. 4 presents performance comparisons of MedVersa against DAM <sup>33</sup> in chest pathology classification and against CRCKD <sup>34</sup> in skin lesion classification, both of which are top performing models in their respective fields. MedVersa demonstrates superior performance over DAM with an

average F1 score of 0.615, notably higher than DAM's 0.580 in chest pathology classification (Fig. 4a). This pattern of outperformance extends in 29 out 33 pathologies, including both common (e.g., lung opacity, pulmonary edema, spinal fracture) and less common ones (e.g., hydropneumothorax, bronchiectasis), which indicates MedVersa's strong diagnostic accuracy across various conditions. In skin lesion classification, MedVersa's advantage is also noticeable. The average F1 score of MedVersa is 0.772, appreciably above CRCKD's 0.750, underscoring MedVersa's effectiveness in classifying skin conditions (Fig. 4b). It is worth noting that MedVersa outperforms CRCKD by significant margins in benign keratosis-like lesions (bkl), which has a diverse range of subtypes. This further demonstrates the generalization ability of MedVersa. For external validation (Table 2), MedVersa again surpasses DAM by a large margin on CheXpert <sup>3</sup>, which also exceeds the mean performance of radiologists (F1 score: 0.734 vs. 0.610). <sup>5</sup>

#### Enhancing medical image understanding with visual and linguistic supervision

The comparative analyses in Fig. 5 demonstrate that integrating vision-centric and vision-language training paradigms can largely enhance AI performance across various tasks. Incorporating vision-centric training alongside vision-language training leads to an average improvement of 4.1% compared to models trained solely on vision-language data. This suggests that vision-centric training allows the model to develop a more comprehensive understanding of visual information, enabling better interpretation and utilization of visual cues in different contexts.

Similarly, combining vision-centric and vision-language tasks during training yields an average improvement of 3.3% over models trained exclusively on vision-centric tasks. This finding highlights the crucial role that linguistic supervision plays in enhancing the model's capability to comprehend and reason about visual information. The observed improvements in skin and abdomen segmentation tasks suggest that vision-language training may have strengthened the model's ability to follow instructions more effectively. By incorporating both visual and linguistic supervision into the training process, MedVersa is able to learn more general and comprehensive representations that capture a wider array of features, relationships, and semantic meanings. These results emphasize the significance of developing GMAI models that can learn from a combination of visual and linguistic supervision in order to achieve optimal performance and generalization.

# Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, MedVersa is the first GMAI model that supports multimodal outputs, inputs, and on-the-fly task specification. Trained on MedInterp, a medical dataset encompassing 11 different tasks across three imaging modalities, MedVersa sets the new state-of-the-art in report generation and outperforms highly competitive specialist models in both vision-language and vision-centric tasks. The development of MedVersa potentially unlocks new opportunities to build more versatile GMAI models. More detailed perspectives are provided in the following.

#### MedVersa integrates visual and linguistic supervision through its multimodal-output design.

MedVersa distinguishes itself from previous endeavors by seamlessly incorporating both visual and textual guidance in its training process. This unique approach allows MedVersa to tackle a wide range of medical tasks, from generating radiology reports to segmenting medical images. The model's ability to assimilate knowledge from various input types and generate multimodal outputs results in the development of general and robust shared representations, which helps boost the model accuracy on the tasks and alleviate potential biases in the data. The incorporation of multimodal outputs in MedVersa's also aligns with the latest progress in generative AI, where the use of varied and all-encompassing training data has yielded promising results. By gaining insights from both visual and textual cues, MedVersa constructs a more comprehensive grasp of medical information, paving the way for more precise and dependable diagnoses. Its capacity to adapt to impromptu task specifications renders MedVersa a multifaceted and flexible instrument for diverse clinical applications, establishing its place as a useful resource in medical AI for thorough diagnostics.

Large language models act as learnable orchestrators. Unlike previous endeavors that used large language models as standalone language predictors, the large language model in MedVersa transcends its traditional role by acting as a learnable orchestrator capable of interpreting medical vision-language data and coordinating with vision modules. This design allows MedVersa to leverage the strengths of both the large language model and specialist components, resulting in a more comprehensive and effective system for medical image interpretation. The integration of vision modules within MedVersa enhances its capability in areas where language-based models traditionally falter, such as detailed image analysis required in chest abnormality detection and skin lesion segmentation. The comprehensive approach, combining the contextual decision-making of the large language model with the precision of vision modules, offers a more robust and versatile diagnostic tool. This new orchestration represents a new step beyond the limitations of previous medical foundation models, offering a new perspective of integrating large language models into generative multimodal medical Al.

**Impact of dataset composition.** While the majority of the data used to train MedVersa consists of X-ray images, with a smaller proportion of dermatology (derm) and computed tomography (CT) data, this imbalance does not fundamentally impact the validity of our generalist model training approach. Our primary focus is on investigating how to effectively learn from visual and linguistic supervision and how to integrate multiple tasks within a single model. The choice to predominantly use X-ray data was driven by its wide availability and the prevalence of associated text reports, which facilitate the exploration of our research questions. Nevertheless, we acknowledge that the inclusion of a more diverse range of imaging modalities could potentially enhance the model's generalization capabilities. Future work could explore the impact of incorporating a more balanced dataset with a higher

proportion of other imaging modalities, such as derm, CT, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Despite this limitation, we believe our current study provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of learning from visual and linguistic supervision and the feasibility of multi-task integration in a generalist model.

**Extensible GMAI and beyond.** The system design features a notable level of extensibility, allowing for the practical integration of new vision modules into its existing framework. This aspect of MedVersa enables it to adapt and grow in response to evolving medical imaging techniques and diagnostic requirements. Differing from traditional medical AI models, MedVersa integrates the large language model in the way that provides a extensible platform for the addition of new specialist models as advancements in medical technology occur. This feature ensures that the overall system remains up-to-date and effective in a field characterized by rapid technological changes and emerging diagnostic challenges. As novel medical imaging methods are introduced, MedVersa can be updated to maintain its relevance in the dynamic landscape of medical diagnostics. This modular design not only prepares it for future advancements but also encourages ongoing improvement and innovation within the system. It highlights the potential of MedVersa as an extensible and adaptable solution in medical AI, equipped to address the varied and changing requirements of healthcare practitioners and patients in a continuously evolving medical environment.

**Potential to streamline clinical workflows.** The impact of our work is prominent in offering a unified solution that can help streamline the clinical workflows with medical AI products. In contrast, task-specific models, designed for individual tasks, may complicate or fragment workflows, necessitating the medical professionals to switch between multiple systems. For instance, in a busy metropolitan hospital, the radiology department faces challenges managing a high volume of diverse imaging tasks daily, from urgent chest X-ray interpretations to CT scans requiring detailed analysis. The introduction of MedVersa allows for a seamless transition between these tasks within a single, integrated platform. Previously, radiologists had to switch between multiple specialist AI modules, each with its own interface and diagnostic focus, leading to inefficiencies and delays in patient care. The comprehensive capability of MedVersa to interpret various types of medical images means that radiologists could efficiently work through their caseloads, significantly reducing the turnaround time for diagnostic reports. This streamlined process not only improves operational efficiency but also ensures that patients receive faster diagnoses, leading to quicker treatment decisions and better outcomes, finally increasing the adoption rate of AI products in real-world clinical settings.

**Road to full orchestration.** Achieving full orchestration of MedVersa involves several strategic advancements. First, broadening its dataset scope to encompass a wider array of medical data types, such as detailed electronic health records, comprehensive genetic information, and real-time patient monitoring data, is crucial. This diversification will enhance the diagnostic accuracy of MedVersa by providing a more holistic view of patient health. Second, incorporating cutting-edge AI and machine learning modules, particularly in evolving areas of natural language processing and computer vision, will refine its capability to interpret and analyze complex medical datasets accurately. The development and integration of advanced modules for effective data synthesis and nuanced interpretation are essential for providing comprehensive medical insights. This path also includes rigorous attention to ethical, privacy, and security issues, ensuring MedVersa's operation within a framework that prioritizes patient confidentiality and data integrity. Ultimately, the full orchestration of MedVersa aims to transform healthcare delivery through personalized, efficient, and

broad-spectrum medical analyses, leading to superior patient care and optimized healthcare processes.

**Limitations.** Despite the advancements, there are inherent limitations that warrant consideration. One primary concern lies in the dependency of MedVersa on the quality and diversity of the data used for training the models. If the dataset is not sufficiently varied or representative of the global population, there is a risk of bias in the AI-generated diagnostics, potentially leading to less accurate outcomes for certain demographic groups. Additionally, the complexity of integrating various vision modules with the large language model poses challenges in ensuring seamless interoperability and maintaining the consistency of the system's overall performance. The dynamic nature of MedVersa, while advantageous for adaptability, also raises questions about the long-term manageability and scalability of the system, especially as it continuously evolves to include new modalities and network modules. Moreover, the interpretability and explainability of the decision-making process of MedVersa remains a critical area. The complex interactions between different AI models can obscure the reasoning behind specific diagnostic conclusions, making it challenging for medical professionals to fully understand and trust the recommendations. These limitations underscore the need for ongoing research and development in enhancing the robustness, transparency, and ethical considerations, ensuring it aligns with the highest standards of clinical practice and patient care.

# Methods

## Datasets and data preprocessing

We curated MedInterp to more comprehensively train and evaluate medical FMs for the purpose of medical image interpretation. An overview of MedInterp was presented in Table 4. Specifically, MedInterp consists of 10 publicly available datasets, some of which are associated with more than one task.

**MIMIC-CXR.** This is a large, publicly accessible dataset comprising 377,110 chest X-rays (CXRs) corresponding to 227,835 radiographic studies performed at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center in Boston, MA. <sup>4</sup> The dataset was fully deidentified, and the protected health information was also removed. We referred to the official split <sup>35</sup> and combined studies with 'train' and 'validate' tags into the training set, while the rest were included in the test set (for internal validation). The free-text radiology report preprocessing followed the steps in CXR-RePair. <sup>36</sup> Specifically, we extracted sections of indication, comparison, findings, and impression from free-text radiology reports via keywords matching. Then, we filtered out studies with empty findings and impression sections. After these steps, we can obtain 149,711 (2,144) findings sections and 189,411 (2,212) impression sections. Numbers in parentheses denote the sample size of the test set. Besides, we also extracted complete radiology reports, i.e., reports that have findings and impression sections. This resulted in 122,702 (1,437) complete reports, which were also involved in training and internal validation stages along with sections of findings and impressions. Note that some studies may have more than one CXR, and images of MIMIC-CXR were also used in other tasks.

**Chest ImaGenome.** This dataset augmented the free-text reports of MIMIC-CXR <sup>4,35</sup> with local annotations derived from both rule-based natural language processing (NLP) and atlas-based bounding box detection. <sup>37</sup> These annotations are intricately linked through CXR ontologies developed by radiologists, forming anatomy-centered scene graphs. We followed the data split of MIMIC-CXR to avoid training and test sets leakage. The chest pathology classification task included 235,721 CXRs with annotations of 33 pathologies (Fig. 4a). A vast majority of CXRs have bounding box annotations of 36 anatomical structures (see Fig. 4a), leading to 8,425,163 boxes in total. We also exploited the anatomy-centered graph-structured annotation of Chest ImaGenome. For chest pathology detection, we first identified connections between pathologies and anatomies. Next, we can assign bounding boxes of anatomies to associated pathologies that were marked positive. A similar strategy was also adopted for region-of-interest captioning, where connections between sentences from free-text reports and anatomies. So the task input would be the box coordinates of anatomies, and the output would be the associated captions.

**Medical-Diff-VQA.** This is a publicly available dataset containing a vast number of question-answer pairs based on CXRs. <sup>39</sup> To construct this dataset, keywords of abnormality and their attributes were first collected. Then, regular expressions were utilized to detect abnormality/disease keywords within the free-text reports of each patient visit in MIMIC-CXR. <sup>4,35</sup> These identified keywords served as anchor terms to segment the sentences, and nearby text sections were then scanned for the relevant attribute keywords. The accuracy and completeness of the extracted information have been carefully checked by humans and advanced NLP tools. <sup>39</sup> In practice, we leverage the code in an open source

repository to generate the datasets. <sup>39</sup> Since open-ended visual question answering is our main focus, we reduced the number of yes/no question-answer pairs by setting the 'less\_yes\_no' variable in the code to True. This results in 383,683 normal question-answer pairs, where each pair is associated with one frontal CXR, and 147,269 longitudinal comparisons, where each comparison encompasses 2 studies, and each study may contain more than one CXR. We used the same data split as in Chest ImaGenome and MIMIC-CXR to avoid training and test information leakage across different datasets. To build a cohort for external validation, we applied the dataset construction code to the free-text reports of IUX-ray <sup>29</sup> to extract 2,883 normal question-answer pairs.

**HAM10000.** This is a bulk collection of multi-source dermatoscopic images of common pigmented skin lesions. <sup>40</sup> The dataset comprises 10,015 image cases and encompasses a diverse collection of significant diagnostic categories within the domain of pigmented lesions. These categories include Actinic keratoses and intraepithelial carcinoma / Bowen's disease (akiec), basal cell carcinoma (bcc), benign keratosis-like lesions (bkl), dermatofibroma (df), melanoma (mel), melanocytic nevi (nv), and vascular lesions (vasc). For the skin lesion classification task, we used 1,511 images from ISIC 2018 task three as the test set for internal validation. <sup>41</sup> For skin lesion segmentation, we randomly split the dataset into training and test sets. The ratio of the training set to the test set is 9:1. We trained skin classification models on the raw datasets directly without any class balancing skills. <sup>42</sup>

**AbdomenCT-1K.** This is a collection of abdominal CT scans, constructed to enhance existing single-organ datasets with annotations of four abdominal organs annotations: liver, kidney, spleen, and pancreas. <sup>43</sup> We used 991 scans with publicly available segmentation masks for model training and validation. They were randomly split into training and validation sets, the ratio between which is 9:1. Considering the large amount of GPU memory cost for training 3D segmentation networks, we resized each scan to a 3D volume sized 192 (width) ×192 (height) ×64 (depth) pixels. We also clipped the Hounsfiled Unit values at [-200, 300].

**CheXmask.** The is a large-scale dataset of anatomical segmentation masks for multi-center chest radiographs. In practice, we incorporated CXRs (239,931 images) from MIMIC-CXR<sup>4,35</sup> for model training and internal validation, while the validation set (200 images) of CheXpert <sup>3</sup> was used for external validation. Each CXR was associated with segmentation masks of three major chest organs: left lung, right lung, and heart.

**CheXpert.** This is another large public dataset for chest radiograph interpretation, which retrospectively collected the chest radiographic examinations from Stanford Hospital, performed between October 2002 and July 2017. <sup>3</sup> In our case, we used its test set (500 studies, 668 images) with strong ground truth for externally validating the results of the chest pathology classification task. The test set labels were established through the majority vote of annotations from five radiologists, with three of them being the same as those who annotated the validation set, while the other two were randomly selected. Besides, 200 images in the validation set of CheXpert were also used in the task of chest major organ segmentation.



**Figure 6** | **Overview of MedVersa. a**, Processing workflow of MedVersa. Dashed arrows indicate that the associated procedures are contingent upon the decision regarding the utilization of the vision module. Red arrows represent the operations undertaken when employing the vision module. There are three kinds of <Task> in MedVersa: <DET>, <2DSEG>, and <3DSEG>. **b**, Architecture of the vision-language adapter. **c**, Illustration of the workflow for chest pathology detection. **d**, Illustration of the workflow for abdominal organ segmentation. **e**, Illustration of the workflow for longitudinal study comparisons.

**IUX-ray.** The dataset contains 7,470 pairs of CXRs and radiology reports. <sup>29</sup> It served as the external validation set for the report generation task. To maintain the consistency of cross-dataset validation, we filtered out reports that do not contain sections of findings and impression simultaneously, resulting in 3,323 studies. Each study has one frontal and one lateral CXRs, associated with one radiology report. Note that images of IUX-ray were also used in the external validation of the open-ended visual question answering task.

**NIH ChestX-ray.** This dataset includes over 100,000 anonymized CXRs of more than 30,000 individuals from the NIH Clinical Center. <sup>2</sup> Apart from image-level pathology labels, NIH ChestX-ray also provides a small number of bounding box annotations. In practice, we incorporated the box annotations (577 boxes) of four common chest pathologies - atelectasis, cardiomegaly, effusion, and pneumothorax - into the external validation set for chest pathology detection.

**MS-CXR.** The dataset offers phrase grounding annotations that are locally aligned by board-certified radiologists, aiming to support research in the domain of complex semantic modeling for biomedical vision-language tasks. <sup>44,45</sup> Each phrase is associated with at least one bounding box annotated on one CXR. For the region-of-interest captioning task, we used MS-CXR as the external validation cohort, where box coordinates were passed to the model to generate descriptive text.

15

## Pipeline

As shown in Fig. 6a, MedVersa is composed of three components: the multimodal input coordinator, the large language model based learnable orchestrator, and a variety of learnable vision modules. In practical usage, MedVersa expects inputs in the form of image-request pairs. Note that the vision input may consist of more than one image, which can be multimodal, multiview or multiperiod (see Fig. 1). MedVersa autonomously decides whether to use a 2D or a 3D vision encoder to process the vision inputs based on an analysis of the input modality. After receiving the processed inputs, the large language model can decide whether to independently perform the task or utilize a set of visual modeling modules for assistance. This dynamic decision-making process ensures that tasks are handled with the appropriate level of expertise and efficiency.

## MedVersa, an orchestrated GMAI system

Multimodal input coordinator. As Fig. 6a displays, the multimodal input coordinator comprises the general vision encoders, the vision-language adapters, and the tokenizer. We design this architecture by taking inspirations from MiniGPT-4<sup>46,47</sup>, LLaVA-Med<sup>48</sup>, and Med-PaLM M<sup>13</sup> but keep the architecture easy for implementation. The general vision encoders are the primary gate for vision inputs. Specifically, we exploit distinct encoders for 2D and 3D imaging data, respectively. The 2D vision encoder utilizes the transformer architecture <sup>49</sup> to extract visual tokens from the images. For the 3D encoder, we refer to the encoder from the 3D UNet. <sup>50</sup> The extracted visual tokens are concatenated and passed to the adapter to get mapped to the language space. Here, we present an efficient design of the vision-language adapter, which only contains a stack of three layers (Fig. 6b). The first layer is responsible for reducing the number of visual tokens to control the GPU memory cost, which can be achieved with an adaptive pooling function. <sup>51</sup> Next, the layer normalization <sup>52</sup> is applied to the pooled visual tokens, followed by a linear projection layer to map the visual representations to the language space. To align with the 2D and 3D vision encoders, we also employ two independent adapters to process the extracted visual tokens accordingly. Meanwhile, the paired request is processed with the Llama tokenizer <sup>53</sup>, which is a byte-pair encoding model based on sentencepiece.<sup>54</sup> The request is transformed into a series of textual tokens, which are then contextualized by the following large language model along with the mapped visual tokens. This enables the system to understand and correlate the visual data with the relevant requests.

**Orchestrated modeling.** Unlike prior research that depended exclusively on large language models (LLMs) for task execution, MedVersa leverages the planning capabilities of the large language model to act as a learnable orchestrator of system operations. Specifically, the orchestrator has to decide whether to carry out the task independently or use a specific vision module for support based on the analysis of visual and linguistic data. This decision-making process can be formulated as:  $llm_{\theta}(I, T) \rightarrow (llm_{o}, s_{o^k})$ . I and T denote the extracted visual and textual tokens, respectively.  $llm_{\theta}$  stands for the large language model.  $llm_{o}$  and  $s_{o^k}$  represent the outputs of the language model and the *k*th vision module, respectively. For vision-language tasks, MedVersa only adopts the  $llm_{o}$  as the



2D visual segmentation module ResNet Encoder Encoder Encoder Encoder conv1 block1 block2 block3 block4 Indexed Æ embeddings Decoder Decoder Decoder Decoder Block3 Block2 Block3 Block4 Upsample Upsample Upsample Upsample Hoad [Conv2d] [Conv2d] [Conv2d] [Conv2d] Conv2d ×2 ×2 ×2 ×2 CN GN CN CN ReLU ReLU . ReLU ReLU

С

#### **3D visual segmentation module**



**Figure 7** | **Vision modules. a**, Visual detection module. **b**, 2D visual segmentation module. **c**, 3D visual segmentation module. Conv2d and Conv3d stand for the 2D and 3D convolution, respectively. GN denotes the group normalization layer, and LReLU represents the leaky ReLU activation function. We initialized the encoder of the specialist module for 2D segmentation using the pretrained weights of ResNet-18 on ImageNet. The red arrows denote the skip connections.

final language response. For vision-centric tasks, the choice of k is determined based on the  $llm_o$ . Specifically, the  $llm_{\theta}$  determines the task type and generates the relevant <Task> in the  $llm_o$ . There are three kinds of <Task> included in MedVersa: <DET>, <2DSEG>, and <3DSEG>. The predicted <Task> guides the system in selecting the kth visual modeling module from the pool, tailored for executing the task described by <Task> (see Fig. 6a for more details). Meanwhile, we index the corresponding latent embeddings of <Task> from the output logits of the  $llm_{\theta}$ . These embeddings

gather the information from the input data and help prompt the visual modeling module to complete the desired task. To accomplish this, the indexed latent embeddings can be either passed directly to

b

the visual detection module or integrated with the intermediate features of the visual segmentation modules. We illustrate the orchestration process on three tasks in Fig. 6, including the chest pathology detection (Fig. 6c), the abdominal organ segmentation (Fig. 6d), and the longitudinal study comparisons (Fig. 6e).

**Vision modules.** In MedVersa, we have incorporated three modules designed for vision-focused tasks, and these can be readily expanded or replaced if additional or new dedicated modules become necessary. As shown in Fig. 7a, we develop a lightweight visual detection module that can be integrated with the orchestrator. For the visual segmentation modules, we employ 2D <sup>55</sup> and 3D UNets <sup>50</sup> for 2D and 3D image segmentation tasks, respectively (Fig. 7b and 6c). We initialize the encoder of the 2D UNet using the pretrained weights of ResNet-18 <sup>56</sup> on ImageNet. <sup>57</sup> We have attempted several different approaches to incorporate the indexed embeddings from the large language model into the vision modules. Our observation is that the feature concatenation or addition outperforms the more complex operation, such as cross attention. <sup>58</sup> Based on this, we add the indexed embeddings to the intermediate feature maps in segmentation modules, while feeding these embeddings to the detection module directly. Note that all dedicated modules are learnable and need to be trained with the other parts of MedVersa.

**Model training and testing with meticulous, referring image instructions.** The success of Alpaca, along with recent advancements in large language models <sup>59-62</sup>, have underscored the importance of incorporating diverse instructions to consolidate multiple tasks and enhance generalization capabilities during supervised fine-tuning. This compelling evidence prompted us to embrace this concept within MedVersa.

We propose referring image instruction tuning, where image identifiers are added to instructions to specify different images. This technique enhances the model's capability to perform complex comparative analyses, such as the longitudinal study comparisons, where we need to assign images to different studies and compare studies instead of images. For example, in Fig. 6e, the exact input to MedVersa for longitudinal study comparisons is like:

 $< mg0 > v_0 < mg1 > v_1 < mg1 > mg2 > v_2 < mg3 > v_3 < mg3 > Highlight any difference in < mg0 > mg1 > compared to the prior study < mg2 > mg3 >.'$ 

 $v_i$  stands for the visual tokens of the *i*th input image. We showcase all instructions used in the model

training in Table 5. For each task in our study, we asked ChatGPT to generate a maximum of 20 prompts, each adhering to a predefined template. This template consists of the initial instruction for each task, providing a structured starting point for the prompts. After this, we conducted a manual review, carefully sifting through the generated prompts to eliminate any that were similar in nature, ensuring that we retained only the most diverse and distinct prompts for our analysis. During the training and test phases, for a given sample corresponding to a specific task, we choose an instruction at random from the set of instructions linked to the task.

Here, we outline the method for creating ground truth labels for various tasks and samples. For vision-language tasks, natural language answers are directly utilized as the target for training the model. In particular, for classification tasks, the model is instructed to produce the names of diagnoses. When dealing with vision-centric tasks, we employ distinct labeling techniques for detection and segmentation. In detection tasks, during each training iteration, we initially select up to nine classes at random and convey their names (together with a randomly chosen instruction) to the model. The model is trained to append either <N/A> or <DET> tags following each class name. <N/A> indicates the absence of the corresponding class in the input image, whereas <DET> signifies its

presence. Subsequently, we identify the latent embeddings of <DET> tags and use them in the visual detection module for determining bounding box coordinates. For segmentation tasks, a single class name is randomly chosen from the set, and this name, along with the instruction, is fed into the model. The model is then trained to generate either 'The segmentation mask of [class name] is <2DSEG>' or 'The segmentation mask of [class name] is <3DSEG>,' depending on whether the task is 2D or 3D segmentation. As in detection, the relevant embeddings for <2DSEG> or <3DSEG> are then passed to the appropriate 2D or 3D visual segmentation modules to create the segmentation masks.

**Domain-aware minibatch gradient descent for multimodal multitask training.** Unlike current medical FMs <sup>13-15</sup> that only probed the vision-language capability, MedVersa needs to be trained on both vision-language and vision-centric tasks, which brings challenges to classic minibatch gradient descent optimization. <sup>63</sup> To address this, we propose domain-aware minibatch gradient descent, where the core idea is constructing minibatches using training samples from the same task and the same imaging modality. Practically, we initially divide the training data into seven groups based on their task attributes: report generation, classification, detection, segmentation, VQA, region captioning, and longitudinal comparisons. Subsequently, we dynamically generate minibatches for each group by randomly sampling training data with matching input imaging modalities. This means that each minibatch should consist of homogeneous data pertaining to a specific task and a single imaging modality. For example, one minibatch could comprise exclusively of samples featuring the segmentation task on CT scans, while another may contain only samples with detection annotations on CXRs.

During each training iteration, we begin by randomly selecting an imaging modality. Subsequently, from the task pool linked to this modality, we randomly choose a task and sample data pertaining to that specific task. Gradient descent is applied separately for each minibatch. This allows the model to optimize specifically for the task and the imaging types in that batch, leading to more efficient learning and better overall performance. We also utilize different loss functions tailored to different task types. For example, a cross entropy loss is used for vision-language tasks, while a combination of the cross entropy and regression losses is applied to the detection task. For the segmentation task, we employ both the focal loss <sup>64</sup> and the DICE loss <sup>65</sup>, with equal weights assigned to each.

#### Implementation details

For the 2D vision encoder in the multimodal input coordinator, we use the base version of Swin Transformer <sup>66</sup> pretrained on ImageNet. <sup>57</sup> This encoder is characterized by its four-stage structure, a window size of seven, a patch size of four, and an initial feature dimension of 128. For the 3D vision encoder, we adopt the encoder architecture from the 3D UNet. <sup>50</sup> For specific tasks like report generation, classification, open-ended VQA, and longitudinal study comparisons, the encoder processes the input images through a random cropping technique, where the cropped area ranges from 50% to 100% of the original image. These cropped images are then resized to a standard dimension of 224×224 pixels with three channels. Different augmentation techniques are applied based on the nature of the task. For chest organ and skin lesion segmentation tasks, a random horizontal flip is applied to each image. In the case of abdomen CT scans, a more complex manipulation is performed by flipping each 3D volume over a random axis. To efficiently manage the volume of visual tokens, MedVersa utilizes an adaptive average pooling strategy, standardizing the output length to nine. Additionally, the system implements two distinct linear projectors for 2D and

3D data. Each projector comprises a fully connected layer, transforming each pooled visual token into a 1D vector of 4,096 elements.

We initialized the LLM-based orchestrator using the model weights of Llama-2-Chat. <sup>53</sup> The training of the orchestrator in MedVersa employs the Low-Rank Adaptation (LoRA) strategy <sup>67</sup> as we found that it outperformed full-parameter training. LoRA utilizes the concept of low-rank matrix decomposition to approximate a large weight matrix in neural network layers. By setting the rank and alpha values of LoRA to 16, the method ensures efficient training while modifying only a fraction of the model parameters. The AdamW optimizer <sup>68</sup>, in combination with a cosine learning rate scheduler, is used for optimization. Training parameters are meticulously set, with an initial learning rate of 3e-4 and a minimum of 3e-6, over 500,000 training iterations. The first 3,000 iterations involve a linear warm-up phase, starting with a learning rate of 1e-7. Finally, the training infrastructure comprises 24 NVIDIA A100 GPUs (80G). This setup allows the training stage to be completed within a 72-hour window.

We use F1 score instead of AUC (Area Under the Curve) for evaluating classification tasks because F1 score better captures the model's performance on both recall and precision. In contrast, AUC summarizes performance across all possible thresholds for classifying an instance as positive. It doesn't emphasize the model's performance at the high-precision, high-recall region. In disease classification tasks, it is crucial to have a high F1 score because false negatives (failing to identify a patient with the disease) and false positives (incorrectly diagnosing a healthy patient with the disease) can both have serious consequences. Therefore, the F1 score is used in our experiments as it balances both precision and recall, ensuring that the model correctly identifies a high proportion of true disease cases while minimizing misdiagnoses. In practice, we found a default threshold 0.5 is sufficient. Considering the robustness and generalization to new, unseen data, we use this threshold when computing precision and recall scores.

#### **Baselines**

- **CIsGen.** This is a differentiable end-to-end method with three parts: a classifier, a generator, and an interpreter. <sup>28</sup> The classifier learns disease features through context modeling and a disease-state aware mechanism. The generator turns the disease information into a medical report. The interpreter then reviews and refines these reports, ensuring they align with the classifier's findings. We empirically found ClsGen showed more consistent performance compared to popular report generation approaches, such as R2Gen <sup>69</sup> and M2Trans. <sup>70</sup>
- **DAM.** This method is particularly relevant for addressing complex classification problems, especially when dealing with imbalanced datasets. <sup>33</sup> We included the DAM supervised method as a baseline for chest pathology classification, which currently is state-of-the-art on the CheXpert dataset. <sup>5</sup>
- **MAIRA-1.** This is a specialist large multimodal model for report generation from Microsoft.<sup>21</sup> It adopted the LLaVA-1.5 architecture.<sup>71,72</sup> MAIRA-1 also benefits from the use of GPT-3.5 for data augmentation, adding 131,558 reports with paraphrased findings and indication sections to the training set. MAIRA-1 produces reports with state-of-the-art quality.
- **Med-PaLM M.** This is a large generalist biomedical AI system from Google. <sup>13</sup> Med-PaLM M was built by finetuning with biomedical data on top of PaLM-E <sup>73</sup>, a generalist multimodal FM trained on non-medical images and text. Here, we compared to its best variant that has 84 billion parameters, which maintains the state-of-the-art in the task of report generation.

- **PTLM.** It is the state-of-the-art approach on open-ended medical visual question answering. <sup>32</sup> PTLM maps the extracted visual features to a set of learnable tokens, which can directly prompt the language model for parameter-efficient finetuning.
- **EKAID.** EKAID integrates the expert knowledge graphs into representation learning. <sup>39</sup> This is an image-difference model that is sensitive to anatomical structures, allowing it to extract image-difference features that are pertinent to the progression of diseases and interventions. EKAID presents state-of-the-art results in the task of longitudinal study comparisons.
- **MiniGPT-v2.** This is a new multimodal foundation model that can caption bounding boxes on natural images. <sup>47</sup> Specifically, it accepts box coordinates as inputs and outputs a caption that describes the objects within the box. We therefore finetuned MiniGPT-v2 on the region-of-interest captioning task.
- **CRCKD.** This approach aims to bring similar image pairs from the same skin class closer together in both teacher and student models while pushing apart dissimilar image pairs from different skin classes. <sup>34</sup> It is a widely adopted baseline and shows competitive performance for categorizing skin lesions. Note that both CRCKD and MedVersa were trained directly on the raw, imbalanced HAM10000 dataset.
- **YOLOv5.** YOLOv5 is a state-of-the-art, real-time object detection algorithm that is part of the YOLO (You Only Look Once) family. <sup>22</sup> Following its predecessors in providing fast and accurate object detection capabilities, YOLOv5 has been widely used for detecting abnormalities in medical images. <sup>74-76</sup>
- **nnUNet.** nnUNet is a self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation. <sup>30</sup> This framework is versatile in handling various medical imaging datasets, employing different configurations and preprocessing steps depending on the dataset characteristics. It adapts the network topologies, such as 2D UNet and 3D UNet, according to the specific requirements of medical segmentation tasks. We adopted nnUNET's automatically configured networks on the datasets as baseline models for 2D and 3D segmentation.
- **nnSAM.** The nnSAM architecture integrates the robust and effective feature extraction abilities of Segment Anything Model <sup>77</sup> with the adaptive configuration strengths of nnUNet. <sup>31</sup> This combination maximizes the potential of each model, with SAM providing high-quality feature extraction and nnUNet enabling the system to automatically adjust to the unique demands of each dataset. nnSAM shows state-of-the-art results in the data-efficient segmentation task.

## Confidence intervals

For the estimation of 95% confidence intervals, non-parametric bootstrap sampling is utilized. This process includes creating 1,000 bootstrap samples from the unseen validation set through random sampling with replacement, with each sample having the same size as the validation set. We then compute the evaluation metric scores for each of these samples. Upon gathering 1,000 scores for the metrics, we organize these scores sequentially. The performance metrics at the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles are identified and presented as the performance indicators.

# Data availability

All training and validation data is publicly available, links of which are provided in Table 4.

# Author contributions

P.R. and H.-Y.Z. conceived the study. H.-Y.Z. planned and executed the experiments and data analysis. S.A. interpreted medical report generation results. H.-Y.Z., S.A., J.N.A., and P.R. drafted the manuscript. All authors provided critical feedback and substantially contributed to the revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

# **Competing interests**

P.R. is co-founder of a2z Radiology AI. The other authors declare no competing interests.

## Tables

Table 1 | Experimental results of 4 vision-language tasks: radiology report generation, longitudinal study comparisons, open-ended visual question answering, and region-of-interest captioning. Specifically, the evaluation of radiology reports was conducted on three different sections: findings, impression, and target (concatenation). Results of MAIRA-1 and Med-PaLM M are cited from their papers as their models have not been released. Numbers in brackets are the 95% confidence intervals. ↓ indicates that the lower results are better. VQA stands for visual question answering.

Tasks	Models	Eval. section	BLEU-4	BertScore	CheXbert	RadGraph	RadCliQ (↓)
Radiology report generation	ClsGen	Findings	11.9 [11.4, 12.3]	40.5 [39.8, 41.1]	42.6 [41.9, 43.4]	23.5 [22.8, 24.2]	3.28 [3.24, 3.33]
	MAIRA-1	Findings	14.2 [13.7, 14.7]	-	44.0 [43.1, 44.9]	24.3 [23.7, 24.8]	3.10 [3.07, 3.14]
	Med-PaLM M (85B)	Findings	11.5 [-, -]	-	-	26.7 [-, -]	-
	MedVersa	Findings	17.8 [17.2, 18.4]	49.7 [49.0, 50.4]	46.4 [45.5, 47.4]	28.0 [27.3, 28.7]	2.71 [2.66. 2.75]
	ClsGen	Impression	8.5 [7.6, 9.3]	38.0 [37.3, 38.6]	48.7 [48.0, 49.5]	18.8 [18.0, 19.7]	3.25 [3.18, 3.33]
	MedVersa	Impression	13.7 [12.7, 14.7]	48.9 [48.0, 49.8]	52.4 [51.3, 53.5]	25.7 [24.6, 26.9]	2.66 [2.60, 2.71]
	ClsGen	Target	13.7 [13.0, 14.3]	42.4 [41.6, 43.1]	44.3 [43.2, 45.4]	25.2 [24.4, 26.0]	3.20 [3.14, 3.25]
	MedVersa	Target	16.0 [15.3, 16.7]	47.4 [46.6, 48.2]	46.6 [45.3, 47.8]	30.0 [29.1, 30.8]	2.74 [2.69, 2.79]
Longitudinal study comparisons	EKAID	All	40.4 [39.9, 41.0]	69.1 [68.7, 69.5]	49.1 [48.7, 49.4]	20.4 [19.9, 20.9]	2.19 [2.14, 2.23]
	MedVersa	All	44.7 [43.7, 45.6]	71.4 [70.6, 72.2]	50.0 [49.5, 50.6]	23.7 [22.6, 24.9]	2.05 [2.01, 2.10]
Open-ended VQA	PTLM	All	25.2 [24.4, 26.0]	64.7 [64.1, 65.5]	78.3 [77.3, 79.2]	30.4 [29.7, 31.0]	1.64 [1.57, 1.71]
	MedVersa	All	31.2 [30.7, 31.8]	76.5 [75.9, 77.1]	85.1 [84.6, 85.6]	33.4 [32.7, 34.2]	1.09 [1.06, 1.12]
Region-of- interest captioning	MiniGPT-v2	All	5.1 [4.6, 5.5]	36.6 [36.3, 37.0]	55.3 [54.9, 55.8]	18.3 [17.9, 18.6]	3.08 [3.05, 3.13]
	MedVersa	All	8.4 [8.2, 8.7]	43.8 [43.6, 44.1]	60.7 [60.4, 61.1]	22.8 [22.5, 23.1]	2.70 [2.68, 2.71]

Table 2 | External validation results. We evaluated seven capabilities (i.e., report generation, classification, detection, segmentation, open-ended visual question answering, and region-of-interest captioning) on six unseen external cohorts (i.e., IUX-ray, CheXpert, NIH ChestX-ray, and MS-CXR). For each capability, we compared MedVersa against a state-of-the-art specialist model. For classification, detection, and segmentation tasks, we used the mean F1 score, mean IoU (Intersection over Union), and mean DICE score as the evaluation metrics, respectively. For other tasks, we reported the results of RadCliQ. Numbers in brackets are the 95% confidence intervals. ↓ indicates that the lower results are better.

Capabilities	Datasets	Models	Metrics	Results	95% Cls
Report	IUX-ray	ClsGen	RadCliQ (↓)	3.07	[3.00, 3.12]
generation		MedVersa		2.57	[2.54, 2.60]
Classification	CheXpert	DAM	Mean F1 score	0.653	[0.633, 0.669]
		MedVersa		0.734	[0.712, 0.756]
Detection	NIH ChestX-ray	YOLOv5	Mean IoU	0.223	[0.210, 0.235]
		MedVersa		0.239	[0.225, 0.254]
Segmentation	CheXmask	nnSAM	Mean DICE score	0.923	[0.917, 0.928]
		MedVersa		0.955	[0.952, 0.957]
Open-ended VQA	IUX-ray	PTLM	RadCliQ (↓)	1.75	[1.69, 1.82]
		MedVersa		1.12	[1.07, 1.17]
Region-of-	MS-CXR	MiniGPT-v2	RadCliQ (↓)	3.43	[3.38, 3.48]
captioning		MedVersa		3.29	[3.23, 3.35]

Table 3 | Segmentation results of skin lesions and abdominal organs.For abdominal organsegmentation, the red, blue, green, and aqua colors represent the pancreas, liver, kidney, and spleen,respectively.

Skin lesion segmentatio	n	Abdominal organ segmentation		
Ground truth MedVersa		Ground truth	MedVersa	

**Table 4** | **Overview of MedInterp.** All datasets included in MedInterp can be accessed and downloaded via the provided URLs. We reported the dataset size after preprocessing. For each dataset, we also denoted the associated task(s) and the stage(s) involved. VQA denotes visual question answering. 1, 2, 3 in the stages column stand for the training, internal validation, and external validation stages, respectively.

Datasets	Size	Tasks	Stages	URL	
MIMIC-CXR	216,420 studies	Radiology report generation	1, 2	https://physionet.org/content /mimic-cxr/2.0.0/	
Chest ImaGenome	235,721 images	Chest pathology classification	1, 2	https://physionet.org/content /chest-imagenome/1.0.0/	
	8,425,163 boxes	Anatomical structure detection	1, 2		
	2,922,665 boxes	Chest pathology detection	1, 2		
	2,104,211 captions	Region-of-interest captioning	1, 2		
Medical-Diff-VQA	383,683 QA pairs	Open-ended VQA	1, 2	https://github.com/Holipori/ MIMIC-Diff-VQA	
	147,269 comparisons	Longitudinal study comparisons	1, 2		
	2,883 QA pairs	Open-ended VQA	3		
HAM10000	11,526 images	Skin lesion classification	1, 2	https://dataverse.harvard.edu /dataset.xhtml?persistentId= doi:10.7910/DVN/DBW86T	
	10,015 masks	Skin lesion segmentation	1, 2		
AbdomenCT-1K	3,964 masks	Abdominal organ segmentation	1, 2	https://github.com/JunMa11/ AbdomenCT-1K	
CheXmask	719,793 masks	Chest major organ segmentation	1, 2	https://physionet.org/content /chexmask-cxr-segmentation -data/0.3/	
	600 masks		3		
CheXpert	668 images	Chest pathology classification	3	https://stanfordmlgroup.gith ub.io/competitions/chexpert/	
IUX-ray	3,323 studies	Radiology report generation	3	https://openi.nlm.nih.gov/	
NIH ChestX-ray	577 boxes	Chest pathology detection	3	https://nihcc.app.box.com/v/ ChestXray-NIHCC	
MS-CXR	1,448 captions	Region-of-interest captioning	3	https://physionet.org/content /ms-cxr/0.1/	

**Table 5** | **Instructions used by MedVersa in different tasks.** \_\*\_ is the placeholder for the main image identifier (e.g., <img0>). -\*- denotes the placeholder for the abnormalities, bounding box coordinates, reference image identifier, detection, and segmentation targets in binary classification, region-of-interest captioning, longitudinal study comparisons, detection, and segmentation tasks, respectively. For each task, we asked ChatGPT to generate at most 20 prompts based on a predefined template (i.e., the first instruction for each task. Then, we manually filtered out similar prompts and kept those diverse ones.

Tasks	Instructions
Report generation (findings section)	<ol> <li>Can you detail the findings observed in _*_?</li> <li>Kindly enumerate the findings from _*</li> <li>I'd like a breakdown of the findings from _*</li> <li>I'd like a section on the findings derived from _*</li> <li>Please write a finding section for _*</li> <li>Would you please write a finding section for _*_?</li> <li>Please write a section of findings for _*</li> <li>Would you please write a section of findings for _*_?</li> <li>Nould you please write a section of findings for _*_?</li> <li>How would you characterize the findings from _*_?</li> <li>Please list the discernible findings from _*_?</li> <li>Can you compile a list of all the notable findings present in _*_?</li> <li>Please document any findings you see in _*</li> </ol>
Report generation (impression section)	<ol> <li>Can you please provide your overall impression of _*_?</li> <li>What's your main impression from _*_?</li> <li>Please draft a concise impression on _*</li> <li>Would you give a comprehensive impression based on _*_?</li> <li>I'm looking for an impression for _*</li> <li>Provide your diagnostic impression based on the _*</li> <li>Draft an impression for _*</li> <li>Would you please write an impression section for _*_?</li> <li>Summarize the impression for _*</li> </ol>
Report generation (complete report)	<ol> <li>Can you provide a radiology report for _*_?</li> <li>Please report _*</li> <li>Can you provide a report of _*_ with findings and impression?</li> <li>Report _*_ with findings and impression.</li> <li>Please write a radiology report for _*</li> <li>Please generate a radiology report for _*</li> <li>Please provide a detailed report for _*</li> <li>Can you provide a comprehensive report of _*_?</li> <li>Please write a radiology report for _*</li> <li>Can you give a thorough report of _*_?</li> <li>Can you give a thorough report of _*_?</li> <li>Can you give a thorough report of _*_?</li> <li>Can you provide a comprehensive report for _*_?</li> <li>Can you provide a comprehensive report for _*_?</li> </ol>
Chest pathology classification, skin lesion classification	<ol> <li>What is the diagnosis for _*_?</li> <li>Based on _*_, what type of lung disease is suspected?</li> <li>Can you identify any abnormality in _*_?</li> <li>What pathology is indicated by _*_?</li> <li>What put going disease is likely present in _*_?</li> <li>What are your conclusions from _*_?</li> <li>What is your interpretation result of _*_?</li> <li>What abnormalities are present in _*_?</li> <li>What is the differential diagnosis for the findings in _*_?</li> </ol>
Region-of-interest captioning	<ol> <li>Describe region -*- in _*</li> <li>Detail any abnormalities in -*- of _*</li> <li>Can you characterize the features within -*- on _*_?</li> <li>Please provide an analysis of the anomalies seen in -*- within _*</li> <li>Describe any pathological findings within -*- of _*</li> <li>Highlight and explain any abnormalities you detect in -*- of _*</li> <li>Identify and describe any abnormality in -*- of _*</li> <li>Could you please describe the region -*- in _*_?</li> <li>Would you please describe the region -*- in _*_?</li> <li>Give a description of the region -*- in _*</li> </ol>
Longitudinal study comparisons	<ol> <li>Highlight any difference in _*_ compared to the prior study -*</li> <li>Identify any progression in _*_ since the last study -*</li> <li>Compare the current study _*_ with the past one -*- and identify any difference between them.</li> </ol>

	<ul> <li>4. Present any changes in _*_ since the last study -*</li> <li>5. Detail any progression or regression in _*_ in comparison to the older study -*</li> <li>6. Detect changes in _*_ compared to the past study -*</li> <li>7. Compare _*_ with the prior study -*- and tell me any difference.</li> </ul>
Anatomical structure detection, chest pathology detection	<ol> <li>Detect any signs of -*- in _*</li> <li>Highlight the areas that indicate -*- in _*</li> <li>Show me the regions in _*_ where -*- might be present.</li> <li>Assess _*_ and mark areas consistent with -*- findings.</li> <li>Locate and circle any features of -*- in _*</li> <li>Compare _*_ to typical -*- patterns and highlight any matches.</li> <li>Detect and display potential symptoms of -*- within _*</li> <li>Is there any trace of -*- in _*_? Point it out.</li> <li>Help me spot -*- by illuminating its markers in _*</li> <li>Search for any characteristic signs of -*- in _*</li> <li>Kumine and underscore the presence of -*- in _*?</li> <li>Could you please help me locate -*- in _*?</li> <li>Could you please help me locate -*- in _*?</li> <li>Please help me locate -*- in _*?</li> </ol>
Chest major organ segmentation, skin lesion segmentation, abdominal organ segmentation	<ol> <li>Segment -*- in _*</li> <li>Highlight the boundaries of -*- in _*</li> <li>Isolate and show only -*- from _*</li> <li>Can you delineate -*- in _*_?</li> <li>Segment -*- from the given _*</li> <li>I need a clear segmentation of -*- in _*_, please.</li> <li>Outline the contours of -*- in _*</li> <li>Show a clear boundary around -*- in _*</li> <li>Separate -*- from the surrounding anatomy in _*.</li> <li>Provide a segmented view of -*- in _*</li> <li>Provide a segment of -*- in _*</li> <li>Please identify and segment -*- from the rest in _*</li> <li>Give me a clear cutout of -*- in _*</li> <li>Please mask everything except for -*- in _*</li> <li>Show a boundary around -*- in _*</li> <li>Show a boundary around -*- in _*</li> <li>Please mask everything except for -*- in _*</li> <li>Please help me segment -*- in _*_?</li> <li>Could you please help me segment -*- in _*_?</li> <li>Please help me segment -*- in _*_?</li> </ol>

## References

- 1. Rajpurkar, P. *et al.* CheXNet: Radiologist-Level Pneumonia Detection on Chest X-Rays with Deep Learning. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2017).
- Wang, X. et al. ChestX-Ray8: Hospital-scale chest X-ray database and benchmarks on weakly-supervised classification and localization of common thorax diseases. in 2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) 2097–2106 (IEEE, 2017).
- Irvin, J. *et al.* CheXpert: A Large Chest Radiograph Dataset with Uncertainty Labels and Expert Comparison. AAAI 33, 590–597 (2019).
- 4. Johnson, A. E. W. *et al.* MIMIC-CXR, a de-identified publicly available database of chest radiographs with free-text reports. *Sci Data* **6**, 317 (2019).
- 5. Tiu, E. *et al.* Expert-level detection of pathologies from unannotated chest X-ray images via self-supervised learning. *Nat Biomed Eng* **6**, 1399–1406 (2022).
- Liu, Y. *et al.* A deep learning system for differential diagnosis of skin diseases. *Nat. Med.* 26, 900–908 (2020).
- Esteva, A. *et al.* Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature 542, 115–118 (2017).
- Daneshjou, R. *et al.* Disparities in dermatology AI performance on a diverse, curated clinical image set. *Sci Adv* 8, eabq6147 (2022).
- 9. Joshi, G. *et al.* FDA approved Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Enabled Medical Devices: An updated landscape. *bioRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.12.07.22283216.
- Moor, M. *et al.* Foundation models for generalist medical artificial intelligence. *Nature* 616, 259–265 (2023).
- Rajpurkar, P. & Lungren, M. P. The Current and Future State of AI Interpretation of Medical Images. N. Engl. J. Med. 388, 1981–1990 (2023).
- 12. Bommasani, R. et al. On the Opportunities and Risks of Foundation Models. arXiv [cs.LG] (2021).
- 13. Tu, T. et al. Towards Generalist Biomedical Al. arXiv [cs.CL] (2023).

- 14. Wu, C., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y. & Xie, W. Towards generalist foundation model for radiology by leveraging web-scale 2D&3D medical data. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2023).
- 15. Moor, M. et al. Med-Flamingo: a Multimodal Medical Few-shot Learner. arXiv [cs.CV] (2023).
- 16. Lu, M. Y. *et al.* A Foundational Multimodal Vision Language AI Assistant for Human Pathology. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2023).
- 17. Huang, Z., Bianchi, F., Yuksekgonul, M., Montine, T. J. & Zou, J. A visual–language foundation model for pathology image analysis using medical Twitter. *Nat. Med.* **29**, 2307–2316 (2023).
- 18. Chen, T. et al. A unified sequence interface for vision tasks. arXiv [cs.CV] 31333–31346 (2022).
- Wang, W. et al. VisionLLM: Large Language Model is also an Open-Ended Decoder for Vision-Centric Tasks. arXiv [cs.CV] (2023).
- Zhang, H. *et al.* GLIPv2: Unifying localization and Vision-language understanding. *arXiv* [cs.CV]
   36067–36080 (2022).
- 21. Hyland, S. L. *et al.* MAIRA-1: A specialised large multimodal model for radiology report generation. *arXiv* [cs.CL] (2023).
- 22. Jocher, G., Nishimura, K., Mineeva, T. & Vilariño, R. yolov5. Code repository (2020).
- 23. Papineni, K., Roukos, S., Ward, T. & Zhu, W.-J. Bleu: a Method for Automatic Evaluation of Machine Translation. in Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (eds. Isabelle, P., Charniak, E. & Lin, D.) 311–318 (Association for Computational Linguistics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, 2002).
- 24. Zhang, T., Kishore, V., Wu, F., Weinberger, K. Q. & Artzi, Y. BERTScore: Evaluating Text Generation with BERT. *arXiv* [cs.CL] (2019).
- 25. Smit, A. *et al.* CheXbert: Combining Automatic Labelers and Expert Annotations for Accurate Radiology Report Labeling Using BERT. *arXiv* [*cs.CL*] (2020).
- 26. Jain, S. *et al.* RadGraph: Extracting Clinical Entities and Relations from Radiology Reports. *arXiv* [cs.CL] (2021).
- 27. Yu, F. *et al.* Evaluating progress in automatic chest X-ray radiology report generation. *Patterns (N*Y) **4**, 100802 (2023).

- 28. Nguyen, H. T. N. *et al.* Automated Generation of Accurate \& Fluent Medical X-ray Reports. *arXiv* [cs.CL] (2021).
- 29. Demner-Fushman, D. *et al.* Preparing a collection of radiology examinations for distribution and retrieval. *J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc.* **23**, 304–310 (2016).
- Isensee, F., Jaeger, P. F., Kohl, S. A. A., Petersen, J. & Maier-Hein, K. H. nnU-Net: a self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation. *Nat. Methods* 18, 203–211 (2021).
- 31. Li, Y., Jing, B., Li, Z., Wang, J. & Zhang, Y. nnSAM: Plug-and-play Segment Anything Model Improves nnUNet Performance. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2023).
- van Sonsbeek, T., Derakhshani, M. M., Najdenkoska, I., Snoek, C. G. M. & Worring, M. Open-Ended Medical Visual Question Answering Through Prefix Tuning of Language Models. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2023).
- 33. Yuan, Z., Yan, Y., Sonka, M. & Yang, T. Large-scale robust deep AUC maximization: A new surrogate loss and empirical studies on medical image classification. in 2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) 3040–3049 (IEEE, 2021).
- Xing, X. et al. Categorical Relation-Preserving Contrastive Knowledge Distillation for Medical Image Classification. in Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2021 163–173 (Springer International Publishing, 2021).
- Johnson, A. *et al.* MIMIC-CXR-JPG chest radiographs with structured labels. physionet.org https://doi.org/10.13026/8360-t248 (2019).
- 36. Endo, M., Krishnan, R., Krishna, V., Ng, A. Y. & Rajpurkar, P. Retrieval-Based Chest X-Ray Report Generation Using a Pre-trained Contrastive Language-Image Model. in *Proceedings of Machine Learning for Health* (eds. Roy, S. et al.) vol. 158 209–219 (PMLR, 2021).
- 37. Wu, J. T. et al. Chest ImaGenome Dataset for Clinical Reasoning. arXiv [cs.CV] (2021).
- 38. Wu, J. T. et al. Chest ImaGenome dataset for clinical reasoning. arXiv [cs.CV] (2021).
- 39. Hu, X. *et al.* Expert Knowledge-Aware Image Difference Graph Representation Learning for Difference-Aware Medical Visual Question Answering. in *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD*

*Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* 4156–4165 (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2023).

- 40. Tschandl, P., Rosendahl, C. & Kittler, H. The HAM10000 dataset, a large collection of multi-source dermatoscopic images of common pigmented skin lesions. *Sci Data* **5**, 180161 (2018).
- 41. Codella, N. *et al.* Skin Lesion Analysis Toward Melanoma Detection 2018: A Challenge Hosted by the International Skin Imaging Collaboration (ISIC). *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2019).
- 42. Alam, T. M. *et al.* An Efficient Deep Learning-Based Skin Cancer Classifier for an Imbalanced Dataset. *Diagnostics (Basel)* **12**, (2022).
- 43. Ma, J. et al. AbdomenCT-1K: Is Abdominal Organ Segmentation a Solved Problem? IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. **44**, 6695–6714 (2022).
- 44. Boecking, B. *et al.* Making the Most of Text Semantics to Improve Biomedical Vision–Language Processing. in *Computer Vision – ECCV 2022* 1–21 (Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022).
- 45. Boecking, B. *et al.* MS-CXR: Making the most of text semantics to improve biomedical vision-language processing. PhysioNet https://doi.org/10.13026/B90J-VB87 (2022).
- 46. Zhu, D., Chen, J., Shen, X., Li, X. & Elhoseiny, M. MiniGPT-4: Enhancing Vision-Language Understanding with Advanced Large Language Models. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2023).
- 47. Chen, J. *et al.* MiniGPT-v2: large language model as a unified interface for vision-language multi-task learning. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2023).
- 48. Li, C. *et al.* LLaVA-Med: Training a Large Language-and-Vision Assistant for Biomedicine in One Day. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2023).
- 49. Vaswani, A. et al. Attention is all you need. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst. 30, (2017).
- Çiçek, O., Abdulkadir, A., Lienkamp, S. S., Brox, T. & Ronneberger, O. 3D U-Net: Learning Dense Volumetric Segmentation from Sparse Annotation. in *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2016* 424–432 (Springer International Publishing, 2016).
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S. & Sun, J. Spatial Pyramid Pooling in Deep Convolutional Networks for Visual Recognition. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 37, 1904–1916 (2015).

- 52. Ba, J. L., Kiros, J. R. & Hinton, G. E. Layer Normalization. arXiv [stat.ML] (2016).
- 53. Touvron, H. et al. Llama 2: Open Foundation and Fine-Tuned Chat Models. arXiv [cs.CL] (2023).
- 54. Kudo, T. & Richardson, J. SentencePiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for Neural Text Processing. *arXiv* [cs.CL] (2018).
- 55. Isensee, F. *et al.* nnU-Net: Self-adapting Framework for U-Net-Based Medical Image Segmentation. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2018).
- 56. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S. & Sun, J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. *arXiv* [cs.CV] 770–778 (2015).
- 57. Deng, J. et al. ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. in 2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition 248–255 (IEEE, 2009).
- Jaegle, A. et al. Perceiver: General Perception with Iterative Attention. in Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning (eds. Meila, M. & Zhang, T.) vol. 139 4651–4664 (PMLR, 18--24 Jul 2021).
- 59. Taori, R. et al. Stanford alpaca: An instruction-following llama model. Preprint at (2023).
- 60. Wei, J. et al. Finetuned Language Models Are Zero-Shot Learners. arXiv [cs.CL] (2021).
- 61. Chung, H. W. et al. Scaling Instruction-Finetuned Language Models. arXiv [cs.LG] (2022).
- 62. Singhal, K. et al. Large language models encode clinical knowledge. Nature 620, 172–180 (2023).
- Hinton, G., Srivastava, S. & Swersky, K. Neural Networks for Machine Learning Lecture 6a Overview of mini--batch gradient descent. http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~hinton/coursera/lecture6/lec6.pdf (2012).
- 64. Lin, T.-Y., Goyal, P., Girshick, R., He, K. & Dollar, P. Focal Loss for Dense Object Detection. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **42**, 318–327 (2020).
- Sudre, C. H., Li, W., Vercauteren, T., Ourselin, S. & Jorge Cardoso, M. Generalised Dice Overlap as a Deep Learning Loss Function for Highly Unbalanced Segmentations. *Deep Learn Med Image Anal Multimodal Learn Clin Decis Support (2017)* 2017, 240–248 (2017).
- 66. Liu, Z. et al. Swin Transformer: Hierarchical Vision Transformer using Shifted Windows. in 2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) 9992–10002 (IEEE, 2021).

- 67. Hu, E. J. et al. LoRA: Low-Rank Adaptation of Large Language Models. arXiv [cs.CL] (2021).
- 68. Loshchilov, I. & Hutter, F. Decoupled Weight Decay Regularization. arXiv [cs.LG] (2017).
- 69. Chen, Z., Song, Y., Chang, T.-H. & Wan, X. Generating Radiology Reports via Memory-driven Transformer. *arXiv* [cs.CL] (2020).
- 70. Miura, Y., Zhang, Y., Tsai, E. B., Langlotz, C. P. & Jurafsky, D. Improving Factual Completeness and Consistency of Image-to-Text Radiology Report Generation. *arXiv* [cs.CL] (2020).
- 71. Liu, H., Li, C., Wu, Q. & Lee, Y. J. Visual Instruction Tuning. arXiv [cs.CV] (2023).
- 72. Liu, H., Li, C., Li, Y. & Lee, Y. J. Improved Baselines with Visual Instruction Tuning. *arXiv* [cs.CV] (2023).
- 73. Driess, D. et al. PaLM-E: An Embodied Multimodal Language Model. arXiv [cs.LG] (2023).
- Mohiyuddin, A. *et al.* Breast Tumor Detection and Classification in Mammogram Images Using Modified YOLOv5 Network. *Comput. Math. Methods Med.* 2022, 1359019 (2022).
- Wan, J., Chen, B. & Yu, Y. Polyp Detection from Colorectum Images by Using Attentive YOLOv5. Diagnostics (Basel) 11, (2021).
- Luo, Y., Zhang, Y., Sun, X., Dai, H. & Chen, X. Intelligent Solutions in Chest Abnormality Detection Based on YOLOv5 and ResNet50. J. Healthc. Eng. 2021, 2267635 (2021).
- 77. Kirillov, A. et al. Segment Anything. arXiv [cs.CV] (2023).