

Revisiting $O(N)$ σ model at unphysical pion masses and high temperatures. II. The vacuum structure and thermal σ pole trajectory with cross-channel improvements

Yuan-Lin Lyu^{a,1,2,†} Qu-Zhi Li^{1,‡} Zhiguang Xiao^{1,§} and Han-Qing Zheng^{1,¶}

¹*Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, College of Physics,
Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, People's Republic of China*

²*School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China*
(Dated: May 21, 2024)

The effective potential of $O(N)$ model at large N limit is reinvestigated for varying pion mass and temperature. For large pion masses and high temperatures, we find the phenomenologically favored vacuum, located on the upper branch of the double-branched effective potential for physical m_π , moves to the lower branch and becomes no longer a local minimum but a saddle point. The existence and running of the tachyon pole are also discussed. With the effective coupling constant defined from the effective potential, the possible correspondence relation between the two branches of the effective potential and the two phases of the theory (distinguished by positive or negative coupling) is verified even with nonzero explicit symmetry breaking and at finite temperature. Also, we generalize the N/D modified $O(N)$ model to study the thermal trajectory of the σ pole with the cross-channel contributions considered and find the thermal σ pole trajectory resembles its counterpart with varying pion mass at zero temperature.

I. INTRODUCTION

The well-known linear sigma model [1] is one of the most studied models in quantum field theory. With the help of large N expansion, the $O(N)$ σ model becomes exactly solvable at the leading $1/N$ order and demonstrates a variety of non-perturbative properties [2–4]. When considered as a toy model for low energy pion-pion scattering, this model adopts a linear realization of the chiral symmetry $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \simeq O(4)$, with the three pseudo-Goldstone bosons, i.e., π 's, combined with another scalar-isoscalar particle σ . Although this is quite different from the famous chiral perturbation theory [5, 6], where the whole theory lives in the broken phase of QCD and is formulated within the nonlinear realization of chiral symmetry [7, 8], $O(N)$ model can be readily applied to studying the restoration of this symmetry at high temperature [9–16].

With the leading $1/N$ order $\pi\pi$ thermal amplitude obtained in $O(N)$ model, our previous work in Ref. [17] showed the detailed σ pole trajectory and the asymptotic degeneration of σ and π 's at high temperature, regardless of the different zero-temperature m_π values. And it was also found that at qualitative level, $O(N)$ σ model can describe the behaviors of the lowest f_0 pole (dubbed as $f_0(500)$ by PDG [18]) in the $IJ = 00$ channel even for unphysical pion masses. In fact, those behaviors and pole structure have been greatly revealed by analyses on the $\pi\pi$ phase shifts extracted from the lattice QCD data (see, e.g., Refs. [19–24]).

For a long time, without any explicit symmetry breaking term in the Lagrangian, several interesting features and problems of $O(N)$ model have been pointed out and studied in different ways [4, 25–31]: (a) the two-branch structure of the effective potential and vacuum instability, (b) the existence of a tachyon for the first branch, and (c) the Landau pole and triviality. At large N limit, the effective potential of $O(N)$ model, $V(\phi)$, is double-valued hence having two branches [26, 27], which results directly from the non-perturbative effects incorporated by the large N expansion. For the first branch, the N -component scalar field can acquire a nonzero vacuum expectation value (VEV), whereas for the second, the scalar field's VEV is always zero [27]. Either branch of $V(\phi)$ has an imaginary part in the large $|\phi|$ region [27], and this phenomenon was claimed to be a sign for the intrinsic vacuum instability of $O(N)$ model [28–30]. Actually a possible way out is that, if adopting a UV cutoff regularization and viewing the $O(N)$ model as an effective theory, it has been shown that the effective potential can be real and convex [31]. Moreover, if choosing the vacuum to be on the first branch, it has been found that there is a tachyonic pole in the scattering amplitude at leading order large N expansion, which results from the fact that the local minimum on second branch has lower energy than the one on the first branch [26, 27]. In general, the existence of tachyon could be a severe problem. In fact, the effective potential becomes everywhere complex at next-to-leading $1/N$ order due to the tachyonic pole in the loop integral [25]. But if taking the $O(N)$ model as only an effective field theory, the analytically continued S matrix can

^a The early stages of this work were conducted during Y.-L. Lyu's visit to Sichuan University.

[†] ylyu@stu.pku.edu.cn

[‡] liqzhi@scu.edu.cn, corresponding author

[§] xiaozg@scu.edu.cn, corresponding author

[¶] zhenghq@pku.edu.cn

still be considered reasonable in the region far away from the tachyon pole. In this way, the tachyon pole actually labels the “cutoff” scale of $O(N)$ model as an effective field theory and thus can be dealt with carefully for higher $1/N$ order calculations [16, 32]. Another problem is related to the divergence of the renormalized coupling constant, i.e., the Landau pole. Unlike the Landau pole found by perturbative calculations of the renormalization group equation (e.g., in QED), which can be ignored in some way since the perturbation series are not suitable anymore when the coupling constant gets large. Unfortunately, the $1/N$ expansion has no similar escape, hence the existence of Landau pole might be thought as leading to the triviality of $O(N)$ model [29]. Though the triviality of four-dimensional ϕ^4 scalar field theory is widely acknowledged (see for example, Refs. [33–40]). Recently, a different point of view proposed in Ref. [41] argues that $O(N)$ model is nontrivial at large N limit. If the “negative coupling phase” of the theory is acceptable, then $O(N)$ model can be nontrivial in the IR region even when the UV cutoff is sent to infinity.

This paper is devoted to revisiting the above problems when $O(N)$ symmetry is explicitly broken, especially for unphysical pion masses and at finite temperature. Since those problems already arise at the leading order of large N expansion, it is reasonable to explore first the cases with nonzero m_π and temperature at the same $1/N$ order. We hope this may shed more light on the understanding of the non-perturbative region of a quantum field theory like $O(N)$ σ model. Additionally, the σ pole trajectory with varying temperature is obtained in the N/D modified $O(N)$ model for completeness of the calculation in Ref. [17], where the pole trajectory is determined only by the leading $1/N$ order $O(N)$ thermal amplitude.

The outline is as follows. In Sec. II, the $O(N)$ model effective potential at zero temperature is quickly reviewed. We carefully investigate the vacuum structure with varying m_π and obtain a criterion for the existence of tachyon. In Sec. III, the finite-temperature effective potential is studied with different m_π values and the running of tachyon pole is discussed. In Sec. IV, the effective coupling constant is calculated from the effective potential at zero and finite temperature. We verify that the possible correspondence relation between the double-branch structure of the effective potential and the two phases (positive and negative coupling) of $O(N)$ model still holds, even with nonzero pion mass and finite temperature. In Sec. V, the N/D modified $O(N)$ model is generalized for application at finite temperature and the σ pole thermal trajectory is obtained with consideration of the cross-channel contributions. Finally in Sec. VI, we briefly summarize the main results and shortly discuss the further issues that could be explored in the future.

II. THE VACUUM STRUCTURE OF $O(N)$ σ MODEL WITH VARYING m_π AT ZERO TEMPERATURE

The $O(N)$ linear σ model is described by the following Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a - \frac{1}{2} \mu_0^2 \phi_a \phi_a - \frac{\lambda_0}{8N} (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 + \alpha \phi_N, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha \phi_N$ is an explicit symmetry breaking term and with $\alpha \neq 0$, pions get massive. In the broken phase, $\langle \phi_N \rangle \equiv v \neq 0$ with the $O(N)$ symmetry broken into $O(N-1)$, there are $N-1$ pseudo-Goldstone bosons which can be assigned to the description of pions for $N=4$. The redefinition of the scalar fields reads, $\pi_a \equiv \phi_a$ ($a=1, \dots, N-1$) and $\sigma \equiv \phi_N - v$. It is well known that $O(N)$ model is solvable at large N limit and this can be standardly calculated through an auxiliary field trick [4], i.e. by introducing another field χ to the Lagrangian (with an irrelevant constant omitted),

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} + \frac{N}{2\lambda_0} \left(\chi - \frac{\lambda_0}{2N} \phi_a \phi_a - \mu_0^2 \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a + \alpha \phi_N + \frac{N}{2\lambda_0} \chi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \chi \phi_a \phi_a - \frac{N\mu_0^2}{\lambda_0} \chi. \quad (2)$$

The effective potential of $O(N)$ model at the leading order $1/N$ expansion can be standardly calculated as

$$V(\phi, \chi) = -\alpha \phi_N - \frac{N}{2\lambda_0} \chi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \chi \phi^2 + \frac{N\mu_0^2}{\lambda_0} \chi - \frac{i}{2} N \int \frac{d^4 \ell}{(2\pi)^4} \log(-\ell^2 + \chi - i0^+), \quad (3)$$

where ϕ_a and χ are now reduced to constant values, $\phi^2 \equiv \phi_a \phi_a$, and $\langle \chi \rangle = m_\pi^2$ since the pion mass corrections are at higher $1/N$ orders. For brevity, we adopt the same notation for the classical fields and the original fields in the Lagrangian, which can be understood in the context. As in our previous paper Ref. [17], the renormalization conditions are chosen to be [4, 42]

$$\frac{\mu^2(M)}{\lambda(M)} = \frac{\mu_0^2}{\lambda_0} + \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4 \ell}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{\ell^2 + i0^+}, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(M)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4 \ell}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(\ell^2 + i0^+)(\ell^2 - M^2 + i0^+)}. \quad (5)$$




FIG. 1. The typical behavior of $\phi^2(\chi)$ defined as Eq. (7). The curve is drawn with $m_\pi = 139$ MeV.

The effective potential can then be expressed in terms of the renormalized quantities as

$$V(\phi, \chi) = -\alpha\phi_N + \frac{1}{2}\chi\phi^2 - \left(\frac{f_\pi^2}{2} + \frac{N}{32\pi^2}m_\pi^2 \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{M^2}\right)\chi - \frac{N}{64\pi^2}\chi^2 \left(\log \frac{M^2}{\chi} + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad (6)$$

where the parameters are chosen as $1/\lambda(M) = 0$ with M the intrinsic scale of $O(N)$ model and $\mu^2(M)/\lambda(M) = -f_\pi^2/(2N) - m_\pi^2 \log(m_\pi^2/M^2)/(32\pi^2)$. The vacuum is defined at the minimum of the effective potential, thus the conditions $\partial V/\partial\chi = 0$ and $\partial V/\partial\phi_a = 0$ result in the gap equations:

$$\phi^2 = f_\pi^2 + \frac{N}{16\pi^2} \left(m_\pi^2 \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{M^2} - \chi \log \frac{\chi}{M^2} \right), \quad (7)$$

$$\chi\phi_a = 0 \quad (a < N), \quad \chi\phi_N = \alpha. \quad (8)$$

At zero temperature, $\alpha = f_\pi m_\pi^2$, according to the partially conserved axial current (PCAC) relation, $\partial_\mu A_a^\mu = \alpha\pi_a$, where A_a^μ is the axial current, and the definition of the pion decay constant f_π , $\langle 0 | A_a^\mu(x) | \pi_b \rangle = i p^\mu f_\pi e^{-ip \cdot x} \delta_{ab}$. Then with Eq. (8), $v \equiv \phi_N = f_\pi$. Actually, the value of $\mu^2(M)/\lambda(M)$ is determined by the fact that the zero-temperature gap equations should have a solution at $v = f_\pi$ and $\chi = m_\pi^2$.

Noting that $V(\phi, \chi)$ is in general not identical to the effective potential of the original $O(N)$ model defined in Eq. (1). In fact, the $O(N)$ model effective potential is recovered after eliminating the auxiliary field χ by taking $\partial V/\partial\chi = 0$ as a constraint condition [4, 27]. Thus Eq. (7) will define $\chi(\phi^2)$ as function of ϕ_a and the effective potential of $O(N)$ model $V(\phi) \equiv V(\phi, \chi(\phi^2))$ is obtained. However, Eq. (7) has two solutions of $\chi(\phi^2)$ which can be easily seen in Fig. 1, where the typical behavior of $\phi^2(\chi)$ is shown. The two solutions of $\chi(\phi^2)$ are branched at $\chi = M^2/e \equiv \chi_b$ with $\chi^I(\phi^2) \leq \chi_b \leq \chi^{II}(\phi^2)$ and thus the effective potential also has two branches $V^I(\phi)$ and $V^{II}(\phi)$, which is similar to the feature found in $O(N)$ model without explicit breaking [26–30]. From Eq. (7), $\phi^2(\chi)$ has a maximum ϕ_b^2 at $\chi = \chi_b$. It then follows that $V(\phi)$ has a nonzero imaginary part in the region $\phi^2 > \phi_b^2$, since in that region there will be no real χ solutions and χ has to be complex [4].

Additionally, Eq. (7) shows that for $V^I(\phi)$ there is another region $\phi^2 < \phi_{\min}^2 \equiv \phi^2(\chi = 0)$ where it becomes complex. This unphysical region appearing in $V^I(\phi)$ is very similar to the case that one will encounter in the one-loop perturbative calculation of the effective potential in the broken phase (see textbooks, e.g. Refs. [43, 44]). Fortunately, it should be considered harmless to the theory because the effective potential can still be defined as real and convex when $\phi^2 < \phi_{\min}^2$ by choosing the state that satisfies $\langle \phi_a \rangle = \phi_a$ to be a proper linear combination of the states corresponding to other field expectation values where $V^I(\phi)$ is real [44]. However, the above argue does not apply to the former region, i.e. $\phi^2 > \phi_b^2$, thus the nonzero imaginary part of $V(\phi)$ along that region and the double-branch feature are actually rooted in the non-perturbative effects captured by the large N expansion.

The double-valued effective potentials corresponding to $v = f_\pi$ with different m_π 's are plotted in Fig. 2. For numerical calculations, we always set $N = 4$ and $f_\pi = 92.4$ MeV. The intrinsic scale of $O(N)$ model in leading $1/N$ order calculations is chosen as $M = 550$ MeV.




FIG. 2. Effective potential with varying m_π at zero temperature. For clearness of the presentation, the plots are limited to a “slice” of the effective potential along the ϕ_N direction with $\phi_a (a < N)$ set to their vacuum expectation values $\phi_a = 0 (a < N)$. The plots only show the region where the effective potential is real. The cases correspond to different pion mass values $m_\pi = 139, 240, 391, 450, 680$ and 800 MeV respectively.

A. The local stability of the effective potential

In quantum field theory, the true vacuum state is defined to be at the absolute minimum of the effective potential. The other local minima of $V(\phi)$ correspond to metastable vacuum states (false vacua). Moreover, the local maxima or saddle points are unstable configurations which cannot be viewed as stationary states. Generally, for a convex effective potential, all the vacuum states are among the solutions of gap equations, i. e. Eqs. (7, 8) for $O(N)$ model. In Fig. 2, as m_π increases, the local minimum solution with $v = f_\pi$ and $\chi = m_\pi^2$ gradually moves from the first (upper) branch $V^I(\phi)$ to the second (lower) branch $V^{II}(\phi)$ and turns into a saddle point, since $\partial^2 V(\phi)/\partial \phi_N^2|_v < 0$ and $\partial^2 V(\phi)/\partial \pi_a^2|_{\pi_a=0} = \chi > 0$. Then for large pion masses there is no extremum solution on the first branch of the effective potential.

In the following we will show the details about how the vacuum solution becomes a saddle point when it moves to the second branch. Firstly, according to Eq. (7) and Fig. 1, it is straightforward to demonstrate that when seeking for a vacuum at $v = f_\pi$ with $m_\pi < M/\sqrt{e}$, the solution lies on $V^I(\phi)$; while with $m_\pi > M/\sqrt{e}$, the solution is on $V^{II}(\phi)$. Besides this solution, there is always another vacuum located on $V^{II}(\phi)$. Expand the effective potential Eq. (6) around the solutions of the gap equations,

$$V(\phi) \equiv V(\bar{\phi}^2, \phi_N) = V(0, v) + \frac{1}{2}\chi\bar{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\phi_N}\Big|_v v + \chi\right)\sigma^2 + O((|\phi| - v)^3), \quad (9)$$

where $\sigma = \phi_N - v$ is the shifted field, $\bar{\phi}^2 = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \phi_a^2$ and $\partial\chi/\partial\phi_N|_v = 32\pi^2 v/[N(\log(M^2/\chi) - 1)]$, which can be obtained from Eq. (7), is the partial derivative of $\chi(\phi^2)$ with respect to ϕ_N at the vacuum solutions $(\phi^2, \phi_N) = (0, v)$. In the above expansion, we have used the relation $\partial V(\phi)/\partial \bar{\phi}^2 = \partial V(\phi, \chi)/\partial \bar{\phi}^2 + (\partial V(\phi, \chi)/\partial \chi)(\partial\chi/\partial \bar{\phi}^2)$ and Eq. (7), i.e. $\partial V(\phi, \chi)/\partial \chi = 0$. Considering that $\chi = m_\pi^2 > 0$ is always satisfied, the criterion for the solution of vacuum to be a local minimum is

$$\frac{32\pi^2 v^2/N}{\log(M^2/\chi) - 1} + \chi > 0. \quad (10)$$

The sign of the criterion for the two solutions (solution I/II, solution I denotes the vacuum at $v = f_\pi$ with $\chi = m_\pi^2$ and solution II is the other vacuum solution to the gap equations at $v = f_\pi^{II}$ with $\chi = (m_\pi^{II})^2$) are shown in Fig. 3. When $m_\pi < M/\sqrt{e}$, both solutions are indeed local minimums as expected. While $M/\sqrt{e} < m_\pi < 32\pi^2 v^2/(Ny)$ (with $ye^y = \frac{32\pi^2 e v^2}{NM^2}$), the criterion Eq. (10) is unsatisfied, resulting in the solution to be only a saddle point of $V(\phi)$. For larger pion masses, $m_\pi \geq 32\pi^2 v^2/(Ny)$, the two solutions I and II will hit and move across each other (which can be seen in Fig. 2 and more clearly in Fig. 4), with solution I turns into a local minimum and solution II becomes a saddle point. By definition, the saddle point of the effective potential can not be chosen as the vacuum (or even a false vacuum). In fact, this situation does occur for solution I when $M/\sqrt{e} < m_\pi < 32\pi^2 f_\pi^2/(Ny_0)$ (with $y_0 e^{y_0} = \frac{32\pi^2 e f_\pi^2}{NM^2}$) and for solution II when $m_\pi > 32\pi^2 f_\pi^2/(Ny_0)$ as shown in Fig. 3.




FIG. 3. Criterion for the solution of gap equations being a local minimum of effective potential. Solution I denotes the vacuum at $v = f_\pi$ with $\chi = m_\pi^2$, which is firstly on $V^I(\phi)$ and moves to $V^{II}(\phi)$ when $m_\pi > M/\sqrt{e}$. Solution II is the other vacuum always lying on $V^{II}(\phi)$.




FIG. 4. $v^{I/II}$ and $m_\pi^{I/II}$ with varying m_π^I at zero temperature.

Notably, when using the $O(N)$ linear σ model in the calculation of low-energy pion scattering [17], the phenomenologically favored vacuum is exactly the one on the first branch, $V^I(\phi)$, though there is another "hidden" vacuum state with lower energy on the second branch.¹ The phenomena of the local stability of the $O(N)$ model effective potential discussed above may imply that for large unphysical m_π , the acceptable vacuum could only be chosen at the second branch and also there should be a sharp transition for the choice of the vacuum state, after the local minimum moves from $V^I(\phi)$ to $V^{II}(\phi)$ and becomes a saddle point.

B. The existence of tachyon

It has been complained for a long history that there is a tachyon found in the pion-pion scattering amplitude in $O(N)$ model at leading order $1/N$ expansion [4, 27, 32, 42]. Generally, one would argue that the existence of tachyon means the instability of the vacuum. However, things get more complicated in $O(N)$ model at large N limit, since the effective potential is double-valued and may have two very distinct solutions with respect to the choice of the vacuum. Therefore it should be carefully examined that whether there is still a tachyon pole in each of the gap equation solutions when there is an explicit symmetry breaking term.

¹ This may be acceptable since the decay rate of the false vacuum is approximately $\propto e^{-\mathcal{O}(N)}$ (see, e.g. Refs. [29, 45]).




FIG. 5. Criterion for the existence of tachyon with regard to the two choices of the vacuum at zero temperature with varying m_π . Solution I denotes the vacuum at $v = f_\pi$ with $\chi = m_\pi^2$, which is firstly on $V^I(\phi)$ and moves to $V^{II}(\phi)$ when $m_\pi > M/\sqrt{e}$. Solution II is the other vacuum always lying on $V^{II}(\phi)$.

In $O(N)$ model at leading $1/N$ order, the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude [4] is

$$\mathcal{T}_{\pi_a\pi_b\rightarrow\pi_c\pi_d} = iD_{\tau\tau}(s)\delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} + iD_{\tau\tau}(t)\delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} + iD_{\tau\tau}(u)\delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}, \quad (11)$$

where $D_{\tau\tau}(p^2)$ is the propagator of the shifted χ field τ , such that $\langle\tau\rangle = 0$ (for solution I the vacuum expectation value $\chi = m_\pi^2$ and for solution II, $\chi = (m_\pi^{II})^2$) [17, 42],

$$D_{\tau\tau}(p^2) = \frac{i(p^2 - \chi)}{(p^2 - \chi)NB(p^2, \chi, M) - v^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$B(s, \chi, M) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left(1 + \rho(s) \log \frac{\rho(s) - 1}{\rho(s) + 1} - \log \frac{\chi}{M^2} \right), \quad (13)$$

where $\rho(s) = \sqrt{1 - 4\chi/s}$. The tachyon pole is located at the negative real axis of the s -plane with the pole position $s = -m_t^2$, which is identified as the zero point of the inverse of Eq. (12) in the $s < 0$ region. Thus the existence of tachyon can be analytically determined by a thorough analysis on the zero point distribution of the function $iD_{\tau\tau}^{-1}(s)$ along $s < 0$ axis. Actually, there is an easy way out: inspired by the analyses of Ref. [27], in the following we will prove that $iD_{\tau\tau}^{-1}(s)$ is real and monotonically increasing when $s < 0$. To simplify the discussion, for $s = -r$ ($r > 0$), let $x = \sqrt{1 + 4\chi/r} \in (1, +\infty)$ and define $f(x, \chi, M) \equiv B(-r, \chi, M)$, then

$$f(x, \chi, M) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left(1 + x \log \frac{x-1}{x+1} - \log \frac{\chi}{M^2} \right), \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{x-1}{x+1} + \frac{2x}{x^2-1} \right). \quad (15)$$

It is obvious that $f \rightarrow -\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, let $z = (x-1)/(x+1) \in (0, 1)$ and define $g(z) \equiv 32\pi^2 \partial f / \partial x$,

$$g(z) = \log z + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{z} - z \right), \quad (16)$$

$$g'(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{z} - 1 \right)^2. \quad (17)$$

Since $g'(z) < 0$, then $g(z) > g(1) = 0$ with $z \in (0, 1)$ and thus $\partial f / \partial x > 0$. Considering the definition of the argument x , this means that the function $B(s, \chi, M)$ is monotonically increasing along the $s < 0$ axis. It is trivial that the rest part of $iD_{\tau\tau}^{-1}(s)$, $v^2/(\chi - s)$, is also monotonically increasing in that region. Thus the previous argument has been proved. Additionally, when $s < 0$, noting that $iD_{\tau\tau}^{-1}(s) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$, there can only be no more than one zero point, i.e. tachyon pole. The criterion for the existence of tachyon is given by requiring the sign of $iD_{\tau\tau}^{-1}(s)$ at $s = 0$ to be positive:

$$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{M^2}{\chi} - 1 \right) + \frac{v^2/N}{\chi} > 0, \quad (18)$$




FIG. 6. The subthreshold behaviors of the denominator (Deno.) of the leading $1/N$ order $IJ = 00$ channel amplitude $\mathcal{T}(s)$ on both the physical and second Riemann sheet (RS). The zero points of the amplitude denominator correspond to bound state (or tachyon) poles on first RS and to virtual state poles on second RS. From left to right, the cases are distinguished by different pion masses for solution I of the gap equations, with $m_\pi^I = 139, 500, 700, 900, 1200$ MeV respectively. The inset in the last graph with $m_\pi^I = 1200$ MeV is a contour plot of $|\mathcal{T}(s)|^{-1}$ on the second RS, showing the resonance pole in the complex s -plane.

which is very similar to the local minimum criterion Eq. (10) and can be verified that they have an identical sign-changing point at $\sqrt{\chi} = 32\pi^2 f_\pi^2 / (N y_0)$ (with $y_0 e^{y_0} = \frac{32\pi^2 e f_\pi^2}{NM^2}$), corresponding to the situation when solution I and II meet at the same point. Then there will be a tachyon pole if the criterion Eq. (18) is satisfied and no tachyon pole when the sign of criterion is negative. The result of the examination for the existence of tachyon is shown in Fig. 5. For the local minimum on $V^I(\phi)$ and the saddle point on $V^{II}(\phi)$, there is always a tachyon, which results from the fact that there exists another vacuum state on $V^{II}(\phi)$ with lower energy. However, the existence of tachyon for solution I is not a disaster [42], if we just regard the $O(N)$ σ model as an effective theory of low energy pion dynamics and the validity range of $O(N)$ model can be approximately taken to be $s \gg -m_t^2$ and $s - s_{th} \ll m_t^2$ for real s values, where $s_{th} = 4m_\pi^2$ is the $\pi\pi$ threshold.

C. The particle spectrum for the second branch

At zero temperature, the $IJ = 00$ channel particle spectrum for the vacuum solution on the second branch of $V(\phi)$ can be extracted from the partial wave amplitude [4, 17, 42],

$$\mathcal{T}_{00}^{LO}(s) = \frac{iND_{\tau\tau}(s)}{32\pi} = -\frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{s - (m_\pi^{II})^2}{(s - (m_\pi^{II})^2)B(s, m_\pi^{II}, M) - (f_\pi^{II})^2/N}, \quad (19)$$

where the m_π and f_π values are set for solution II of the gap equations Eqs. (7, 8), and $B(s, m_\pi^{II}, M)$ is defined in Eq. (13). The results distinguished by different pion mass values of solution I (m_π^I) are shown in Fig. 6. When m_π^I increases from its physical value 139 MeV, the $IJ = 00$ particle spectrum for the second branch of the effective potential demonstrates four different types of contents sequently: (i) two bound states and one virtual state; (ii) one bound state, one virtual state and one tachyon; (iii) two virtual states and one tachyon; (iv) one resonance and one tachyon. Moreover, for m_π^I not too far from the physical value (e.g., $m_\pi^I < M$), the value of m_π^{II} is always much larger than that of solution I and hence results in a much smaller f_π^{II} value, which can be found out in Fig. 4 and Eq. (7) and Eq. (8). The physics described by solution II is very different compared to the particle spectrum and pole trajectories shown in Ref. [17], where the vacuum is actually always chosen as solution I, originally located on the first branch of the effective potential. Thus in $O(N)$ model, for pion masses not too larger than the physical value, the phenomenologically preferred vacuum is on the first branch, not the second branch, as mentioned before.

III. THE VACUUM STRUCTURE OF $O(N)$ σ MODEL WITH VARYING m_π AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

The finite temperature effective potential of $O(N)$ model with explicit symmetry breaking at leading order $1/N$ expansion is [12]:

$$V^T(\phi, \chi) = V(\phi, \chi) + NI^{T \neq 0}(\chi), \quad (20)$$

$$I^{T \neq 0}(\chi) = -\frac{1}{6\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^4}{\omega_k(\chi)} n_B(\omega_k(\chi)), \quad (21)$$

where $\beta = 1/T$, $\omega_k(\chi) = \sqrt{k^2 + \chi}$ and $n_B(\omega_k) = (e^{\beta\omega_k} - 1)^{-1}$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution. The finite temperature contribution $I^{T \neq 0}$ can be standardly obtained using the imaginary time formalism [46]. The gap equations are then




FIG. 7. Effective potential at finite temperature. For clearness of the presentation, the plots are limited to a “slice” of the effective potential along the ϕ_N direction with ϕ_a ($a < N$) set to their vacuum expectation values $\phi_a = 0$ ($a < N$). The plots only show the region where the effective potential is real. The cases correspond to different temperature values $T = 0, 150, 200, 250, 280$ and 310 MeV respectively. The zero-temperature values are set as $v^I(0) = f_\pi$ and $m_\pi^I(0) = 139$ MeV.

obtained again by the requirements $\partial V/\partial\chi = 0$ and $\partial V/\partial\phi_a = 0$,

$$\phi^2 = f_\pi^2 + \frac{N}{16\pi^2} \left(m_\pi^2 \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{M^2} - \chi \log \frac{\chi}{M^2} \right) - NA^{T \neq 0}(\chi), \quad (22)$$

$$\chi\phi_a = 0 \quad (a < N), \quad \chi\phi_N = \alpha, \quad (23)$$

where $\phi^2 = v^2(T)$ and $\chi = m_\pi^2(T)$. The function $A^{T \neq 0}$ is defined as the finite temperature contribution to the tadpole integral encountered in the derivation of Eq. (7) [17],

$$A^{T \neq 0}(\chi) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{2\pi^2} \frac{k^2 n_B(\omega_k(\chi))}{\omega_k(\chi)}. \quad (24)$$

The function $\phi^2(\chi)$ defined by Eq. (22) has the same typical behavior as the one at $T = 0$ in Fig. 1 (with χ_b , ϕ_b^2 and ϕ_{\min}^2 varying with temperature, i.e. $\chi_{b,T}$, $\phi_{b,T}^2$ and $\phi_{\min,T}^2$). The effective potential at finite temperature (shown in Fig. 7) is obtained by substituting χ with $\chi^{T,I}(\phi^2)$ and $\chi^{T,II}(\phi^2)$ solved from Eq. (22) respectively ($\chi^{T,I}(\phi^2) \leq \chi_{b,T} \leq \chi^{T,II}(\phi^2)$). Though $V^T(\phi)$ is still double-valued when $\phi^2 < \phi_{b,T}^2$, it has different behaviors compared to the case with varying m_π in Fig. 2. As temperature increases, $\phi_{\min,T}^2$ and $\phi_{b,T}^2$ decrease. When the former reaches the point $\phi_{\min,T}^2 = 0$, $V^{T,I}(\phi)$ will be totally real in the region $\phi^2 < \phi_{b,T}^2$; while as the latter moves towards zero, $V^{T,I}(\phi)$ and $V^{T,II}(\phi)$ get closer to each other. In the high temperature regime with $T \gg T_c$, where $T_c = \sqrt{12/N} \simeq 160$ MeV is the critical temperature for chiral restoration in $O(N)$ model without explicit symmetry breaking [11, 12], there exists an upper bound for the temperature, namely T_f . Before $\phi_{b,T}^2$ reaches zero and when temperature gets very high such that $T > T_f$, there are no solutions for the gap equations.

A. The local stability of the effective potential

At finite temperature, whether the vacuum solutions of the gap equations are indeed local minima of the effective potential remains to be verified. Due to the finite temperature corrections coming from the loop integrals, the criterion Eq. (10) for the vacuum solution to be a local minimum should be modified as

$$\frac{32\pi^2 v^2(T)/N}{\log(M^2/\chi) - 1 - 16\pi^2 dA^{T \neq 0}(\chi)/d\chi} + \chi > 0. \quad (25)$$

With temperature increasing, both $m_\pi^I(T)$ (solution I) and $m_\pi^{II}(T)$ (solution II) are getting closer gradually to each other and finally meet around $\sqrt{\chi_{b,T}}$ on the second branch of the effective potential at $T = T_f$ (see Fig. 8). For $T > T_f$, as mentioned before, there are no solutions of the gap equations. With the criterion Eq. (25), it is found (results shown in Fig. 9) that solution I remains a local minimum when it is on $V^I(\phi)$ and becomes a saddle point after moving to the second branch as $T \sim T_f$; whereas solution II is always a local minimum of $V^{II}(\phi)$.




FIG. 8. $\sqrt{\chi_{b,T}}$, $m_\pi(T)$ and $m_\pi^I(T)$. From left to right, the three cases are corresponding to zero-temperature values $m_\pi^I(0) = 200, 139$ and 70 MeV respectively with $v^I(0) = f_\pi$.




FIG. 9. Criterion for the solution of gap equations being a local minimum of effective potential. Solution I denotes the vacuum solution with $v(0) = f_\pi$ and $m_\pi(0) = m_\pi$, which is firstly on $V^{T,I}(\phi)$ and moves to $V^{T,II}(\phi)$ when $T \sim T_f$. Solution II is the other vacuum always lying on $V^{T,II}(\phi)$. From left to right, the three cases are corresponding to zero-temperature values $m_\pi^I(0) = 200, 139$ and 70 MeV respectively with $v^I(0) = f_\pi$.

B. The existence of tachyon

At finite temperature, the existence of tachyon can be similarly determined by analyzing the zero point distribution of the inverse of the $\pi\pi$ scattering thermal amplitude in $O(N)$ model (e.g., for $IJ = 00$ channel, $\mathcal{T}_{00}^T = (N/(32\pi))iD_{\tau\tau}^T$, which has been used in Ref. [17] to trace the σ pole trajectory with varying temperature). For the consideration of tachyon pole, it would be enough to study the zero point of $i(D_{\tau\tau}^T)^{-1}$,

$$i(D_{\tau\tau}^T)^{-1}(s) = NB^T(s, \chi, M) - \frac{v^2(T)}{s - \chi}, \quad (26)$$

which is the finite temperature version of $iD_{\tau\tau}^{-1}$ and the function $B^T(s, \chi, M)$ is a one-loop two-point integral encountered in $O(N)$ model large N calculation [17] and standardly it can be decomposed into the zero-temperature part and the finite-temperature part, respectively [46]:

$$B^T(s, \chi, M) = B(s, \chi, M) + B^{T \neq 0}(s, \chi, T), \quad (27)$$

$$B^{T \neq 0}(s, \chi, T) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk k^2}{8\pi^2 \omega_k^2} n_B(\omega_k(\chi)) \left(\frac{1}{E + 2\omega_k(\chi)} - \frac{1}{E - 2\omega_k(\chi)} \right), \quad (28)$$

with $B(s, \chi, M)$ defined in Eq. (13) and $B^{T \neq 0}$ evaluated in the center-of-momentum (CM) frame and $s = E^2$. It is obvious that $B^{T \neq 0}$ is real and monotonically increasing in the $s < 0$ region. Then with a similar argument as the zero-temperature situation, the function $iD_{\tau\tau,T}^{-1}(s)$ is monotonically increasing when $s < 0$ and $iD_{\tau\tau,T}^{-1}(s) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. Thus the number of zero points of $iD_{\tau\tau,T}^{-1}(s)$ along $s < 0$ axis, i.e. tachyons, is no more than one which is the same as the zero temperature case. Similarly, the existence of tachyon at finite temperature is decided by the




FIG. 10. Criterion for the existence of tachyon with regard to the two choices for the vacuum. Solution I denotes the vacuum solution with $v(0) = f_\pi$ and $m_\pi(0) = m_\pi$, which is firstly on $V^{T,I}(\phi)$ and moves to $V^{T,II}(\phi)$ when $T \sim T_f$. Solution II is the other vacuum always lying on $V^{T,II}(\phi)$. From left to right, the two cases are corresponding to $m_\pi(0) = 200, 139$ and 70 MeV respectively with $v(0) = f_\pi$.




FIG. 11. Left: tachyon pole positions with varying temperature for different zero-temperature pion masses ($m_\pi^I(0) = 200, 139$ and 70 MeV). Right: tachyon pole positions with varying zero-temperature pion masses for different temperatures ($T = 0, 150$ and 250 MeV). In the right graph, the varying m_π is the zero-temperature value corresponding to the solution I of the gap equations Eqs. (22, 23).

sign of $iD_{\tau\tau,T}^{-1}(s)$ at $s = 0$. The criterion for tachyon to be present at finite temperature is given below as,

$$B^T(0, \chi, T) + \frac{v^2(T)/N}{\chi} > 0. \quad (29)$$

The results of the examination for the existence of tachyon with varying temperature are shown in Fig. 10. Notably, for large unphysical pion masses and high temperatures the tachyon pole position $s_t = -m_t^2$ will move towards the positive real s -axis which is shown in Fig. 11. Since the validity range of $O(N)$ model can be approximately taken to be $s \gg -m_t^2$ and $s - s_{th}(= 4m_\pi^2) \ll m_t^2$ for real s . It means that the applicability of $O(N)$ model is limited and suppressed as pion mass becoming large and temperature going high.

IV. THE DOUBLE-BRANCH EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL AND THE TWO PHASES OF $O(N)$ MODEL

The double-valued feature of the $O(N)$ model effective potential at large N limit has been known for a long time [26–31]. However, besides the mathematical results, not so much physics has been understood about the nature of the two branches of $V(\phi)$. For zero temperature and without explicit symmetry breaking, an interesting discovery in Ref. [28] states that the effective coupling constant obtained from the effective potential has opposite signs on the two branches, i.e., the effective coupling constant is positive on the first branch and negative on the second. In the following, we reinvestigate this correspondence for nonzero explicit symmetry breaking and finite temperature.




FIG. 12. The renormalized coupling constant $\lambda(\mu)$. The result is obtained within leading order large N expansion as in Eq. (33).

As usual, the effective coupling constant $\lambda_{\text{eff}}(T)$ can be defined as

$$\left. \frac{\partial^4 V^T}{\partial \pi_a^4} \right|_{\pi_a=0} \equiv \frac{3}{N} \lambda_{\text{eff}}(T). \quad (30)$$

At zero temperature, the effective coupling can be exactly calculated,

$$\lambda_{\text{eff}}(T=0) = \frac{32\pi^2}{\log(M^2/\chi(v^2)) - 1} = \lambda\left(\mu = \sqrt{e\chi(v^2)}\right), \quad (31)$$

where $\chi(v^2)$ is solved from Eq. (7) which has two solutions of χ corresponding to the two branches respectively and $\lambda(\mu = \sqrt{e\chi(v^2)})$ is the renormalized coupling constant $\lambda(\mu)$ evaluated at the scale $\mu = \sqrt{e\chi(v^2)}$. $\lambda(\mu)$ can be obtained from the β -function of $O(N)$ model at leading $1/N$ order,

$$\beta(\lambda) = \frac{d\lambda(\mu)}{d \log \mu} = \frac{\lambda^2(\mu)}{16\pi^2}. \quad (32)$$

The result is simply expressed as

$$\lambda(\mu) = \frac{32\pi^2}{\log(M^2/\mu^2)}, \quad (33)$$

with M the intrinsic scale of $O(N)$ model where the coupling constant diverges, i.e. the Landau pole. The sketch of the renormalized coupling constant is shown in Fig. 12.

In fact, it can be seen from Eq. (31) that $\lambda_{\text{eff}} > 0$ for the first branch and $\lambda_{\text{eff}} < 0$ for the second branch. In other words, the two branches of the effective potential still correspond to the two branches of the renormalized coupling constant, with $V^{T,I}(\phi)$ corresponding to the positive coupling branch whereas $V^{T,II}(\phi)$ corresponding to the negative coupling branch. At finite temperature, this relation between the double-branched effective potential and the two phases of $O(N)$ model (positive and negative coupling) is also verified (numerically) and shown in Fig. 13. The above results are actually consistent with a recent argument in Ref. [41] that $O(N)$ model is not trivial even with infinite UV cutoff, if the negative coupling phase is acceptable. Remarkably, the negative coupling does not make the vacuum unstabler, which has been clearly seen in Refs. [26–28, 31] when there is no explicit breaking. Instead, the vacuum is stabilized by the non-perturbative effects captured in the large N expansion. It has been shown in Sec. II and III that with massive pions for the first branch and at finite temperature, the second branch of effective potential always has a local minimum with lower energy.

V. SIGMA POLE THERMAL TRAJECTORY IN N/D MODIFIED $O(N)$ MODEL

With the N/D method, it has been shown in Ref. [17] that at zero temperature, the crossing symmetry of $O(N)$ model in the large N limit could be partially recovered while preserving unitarity. In fact, a similar procedure can




FIG. 13. Effective coupling constant for the two branches of the effective potential.

be also applied to $O(N)$ model with finite temperature and can offer a more complete knowledge of the thermal properties of the sigma particle, after taking account of the thermal contribution from crossed-channels.

With respect to the case of $O(N)$ model at zero temperature [17], we can add the t - and u -channel contributions in a similar way and after isospin decomposition and partial wave projection, the $IJ = 00$ channel thermal amplitude is expressed as

$$\mathcal{T}_{00}^T(s) = \frac{N-1}{32\pi} \mathcal{A}_{LO}^T(s) + I_{tu}^T(s), \quad (34)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{LO}^T(s) = \frac{m_\pi^2(T) - s}{(s - m_\pi^2(T))NB^T(s, m_\pi^2(T), M) - v^2(T)}, \quad (35)$$

$$I_{tu}^T(s) = \frac{1}{16\pi(s - 4m_\pi^2(T))} \int_{4m_\pi^2(T)-s}^0 dt' \mathcal{A}_{LO,tu}^T(t'), \quad (36)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{LO,tu}^T(t) = \frac{m_\pi^2(T) - t}{(t - m_\pi^2(T))NB_{tu}^T(t, m_\pi^2(T), M) - v^2(T)}, \quad (37)$$

with $m_\pi(T)$ and $v(T)$ solved from the leading $1/N$ order gap equations Eqs. (22, 23) on the first branch of the effective potential, and $B^T(s, m_\pi^2(T), M)$ defined as Eqs. (27, 28). $I_{tu}^T(s)$ is the $IJ = 00$ channel partial wave projection integral of the cross-channel thermal amplitude. The definition of $B_{tu}^T(t, m_\pi^2(T), M)$ appearing in the cross-channel thermal amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{LO,tu}^T(t)$ will be demonstrated as follows. Since at finite temperature, Lorentz invariance is not satisfied anymore, things become more complicated when dealing with the cross-channel thermal loop integral B_{tu}^T . In fact, the thermal loop integrals rely independently on the temporal and spatial components of the external momentum. As a result, crossing symmetry is also broken and for $\pi\pi$ scattering the contributions from t - and u -channel are the same [47, 48]:

$$B_{tu}^T(t, m_\pi(T), M) = B(t, m_\pi(T), M) + B_{tu}^{T \neq 0}(t, m_\pi(T)), \quad (38)$$

$$B_{tu}^{T \neq 0}(t, m_\pi(T)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8\pi^2\sqrt{-t}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk k}{\omega_k} n_B(\omega_k) \log \left| \frac{\sqrt{-t} + 2k}{\sqrt{-t} - 2k} \right|, & (t < 0), \\ \frac{1}{8\pi^2\sqrt{-t}} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{dk k}{\omega_k} n_B(\omega_k) \log \frac{\sqrt{-t} + 2k}{\sqrt{-t} - 2k} \right. \\ \quad \left. + i\pi T \text{sign}(\text{Im } t) \log \left(1 - e^{-\beta\sqrt{m_\pi^2(T)-t/4}} \right) \right], & (\text{Im } t \neq 0), \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

It is worth mentioning that the calculations of the thermal amplitudes are all conducted in the CM frame.

From the leading $1/N$ order finite temperature amplitude for $IJ = 00$ channel in $O(N)$ model, a generalized unitarity relation called “thermal unitarity” [47–49] can be verified,

$$\text{Im } \mathcal{T}^T(s) = \rho^T(s) |\mathcal{T}^T|^2, \quad (40)$$

When ignoring the $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$ contributions, the above choice of subtraction constants can exactly recover the leading $1/N$ order thermal amplitude of the $O(N)$ model. The main difference between the finite temperature and the zero-temperature prescriptions is that for high temperatures such that σ becomes a bound state, there is no need to restrict the bound state pole positions in s -channel and crossed channels to be the same, due to the breaking of crossing symmetry caused by the thermal loop integrals. In addition, the intrinsic scale is now set with a different value $M = 1.5$ GeV (in consistency with Ref. [17]) due to the higher order contributions incorporated through the N/D unitarization of the $O(N)$ model scattering amplitude.

The numerical results of the sigma pole thermal trajectory is depicted in Fig. 14. When temperature increases, similar to the leading $1/N$ order result [17], the broad σ resonance firstly turns into two virtual states (VS I and II) and then becomes a bound state (BS) after the upper virtual state, VS I, moves towards and through the threshold to the first Riemann sheet. For $T \gg T_c$ ($= \sqrt{12/N} \simeq 160$ MeV), the σ bound state pole s_σ moves close to $m_\pi^2(T)$, i.e., σ tends to become asymptotically degenerate with pions, as expected by the chiral symmetry restoration. This behaviour is similar to the leading $1/N$ order calculation in Ref. [17], however the main differences are as follows. With cross-channel thermal contributions, the left-hand cut extends to $(-\infty, s_L)$ with $s_L = 4m_\pi^2(T) - m_{\sigma,tu}^2$ where $m_{\sigma,tu}$ is determined by the σ bound state pole positions in the t - and u -channel thermal amplitudes, which are identical for $\pi\pi$ scattering. Additionally, there is a third virtual state (VS III) generated close to s_L . For higher temperatures, VS II and III move towards and then hit each other, becoming a pair of subthreshold poles, which are similar to those also found in Refs. [17, 21] for large unphysical pion mass at zero temperature. Finally, the subthreshold poles move close to $s_A = m_\pi^2(T)$ as $T \gg T_c$. This results directly from the fact that, on the second Riemann sheet $D^{T,II}(s) = D^T(s) - 2i\rho^T(s)N^T(s)$, thus $D^{T,II}(s_A) \rightarrow 0$ as $v(T) \rightarrow 0$ for high temperatures with the subtraction constants set as Eqs. (47,48).

To one's surprise, the thermal σ pole trajectory demonstrates again analogous structure and behavior with the pole trajectory with varying m_π at zero temperature. Actually, this phenomenon is understandable since the third virtual state, VS III, and the subthreshold poles are generated mainly by the interplay of (thermal) unitarity and the cross-channel bound state exchange effects [17, 21].

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work, we revisit the leading $1/N$ order effective potential of the $O(N)$ linear σ model with explicit symmetry breaking. After careful investigation for the cases of different pion masses and temperatures, a new phenomenon is found for large m_π values and high temperatures. As either m_π or temperature increases, the local minimum on the first branch of the effective potential will move to the second branch and turn into a saddle point. Then the stable vacuum can only be chosen on the second branch. However, the second branch is not preferred for m_π values not so far from the physical value, since it cannot give the right phenomenological results.

On the other hand, at larger m_π and higher temperature, the tachyon pole moves towards the positive real axis, causing the validity domain of $O(N)$ model to shrink (when the vacuum is set as the first-branch solution of the gap equations Eqs. (22, 23)). Additionally, with the help of the effective coupling constant, we propose a possible correspondence relation between the double-valued effective potential and the two phases (positive and negative coupling) of $O(N)$ model, even with nonzero explicit symmetry breaking and at finite temperature.

As for a thermal generalization of the N/D modified $O(N)$ model discussed in our previous work in Ref. [17], the σ pole trajectory at finite temperature is also obtained with the cross-channel contributions considered. The σ thermal trajectory resembles its counterpart for varying pion mass at zero temperature.

One of the possible issues for future study could be considering the effects made to the vacuum structure of $O(N)$ model at nonzero m_π and finite temperature by including high dimensional terms and higher $1/N$ order contributions. Moreover, the lessons learned in $O(N)$ model may arouse interests about the structure of the QCD vacuum at large pion masses and high temperatures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by China National Natural Science Foundation under contract No. 12335002, 12375078, 11975028. This work is also supported by “the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities”.

[1] M. Gell-Mann and M. Lévy, *Nuovo Cim.* **16**, 705 (1960).

- [2] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 3320 (1974).
- [3] H. J. Schnitzer, *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 1800 (1974).
- [4] S. R. Coleman, R. Jackiw, and H. D. Politzer, *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 2491 (1974).
- [5] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *Annals Phys.* **158**, 142 (1984).
- [6] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *Nucl. Phys. B* **250**, 465 (1985).
- [7] S. R. Coleman, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 2239 (1969).
- [8] C. G. Callan, Jr., S. R. Coleman, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 2247 (1969).
- [9] H. Meyers-Ortmanns, H. J. Pirner, and B. J. Schaefer, *Phys. Lett. B* **311**, 213 (1993).
- [10] H. Meyer-Ortmanns, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 473 (1996), [arXiv:hep-lat/9608098](#).
- [11] A. Bochkarev and J. I. Kapusta, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 4066 (1996), [arXiv:hep-ph/9602405](#).
- [12] J. O. Andersen, D. Boer, and H. J. Warringa, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 116007 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0408033](#).
- [13] G. Amelino-Camelia and S.-Y. Pi, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 2356 (1993), [arXiv:hep-ph/9211211](#).
- [14] N. Petropoulos, *J. Phys. G* **25**, 2225 (1999), [arXiv:hep-ph/9807331](#).
- [15] J. T. Lenaghan and D. H. Rischke, *J. Phys. G* **26**, 431 (2000), [arXiv:nucl-th/9901049](#).
- [16] G. Fejos, A. Patkos, and Z. Szep, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 025015 (2009), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 90, 039902 (2014)], [arXiv:0902.0473 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [17] Y.-L. Lyu, Q.-Z. Li, Z. Xiao, and H.-Q. Zheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 094026 (2024), [arXiv:2402.19243 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *PTEP* **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [19] R. A. Briceno, J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, and D. J. Wilson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 022002 (2017), [arXiv:1607.05900 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] X.-L. Gao, Z.-H. Guo, Z. Xiao, and Z.-Y. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 094002 (2022), [arXiv:2202.03124 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] X.-H. Cao, Q.-Z. Li, Z.-H. Guo, and H.-Q. Zheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 034009 (2023), [arXiv:2303.02596 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [22] A. Rodas, J. J. Dudek, and R. G. Edwards (Hadron Spectrum), *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 034513 (2023), [arXiv:2303.10701 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [23] A. Rodas, J. J. Dudek, and R. G. Edwards (Hadron Spectrum), *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 034513 (2024).
- [24] G. Rupp, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 054003 (2024), [arXiv:2401.08379 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [25] R. G. Root, *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 3322 (1974).
- [26] M. Kobayashi and T. Kugo, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **54**, 1537 (1975).
- [27] L. F. Abbott, J. S. Kang, and H. J. Schnitzer, *Phys. Rev. D* **13**, 2212 (1976).
- [28] A. D. Linde, *Nucl. Phys. B* **125**, 369 (1977).
- [29] W. A. Bardeen and M. Moshe, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 1372 (1983).
- [30] W. A. Bardeen and M. Moshe, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 1229 (1986).
- [31] J. P. Nunes and H. J. Schnitzer, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10**, 719 (1995), [arXiv:hep-ph/9311319](#).
- [32] A. Ghinculov, T. Binoth, and J. J. van der Bij, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 1487 (1998), [arXiv:hep-ph/9709211](#).
- [33] M. Aizenman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 1 (1981).
- [34] J. Frohlich, *Nucl. Phys. B* **200**, 281 (1982).
- [35] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, *Nucl. Phys. B* **290**, 25 (1987).
- [36] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, *Nucl. Phys. B* **295**, 65 (1988).
- [37] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, *Nucl. Phys. B* **318**, 705 (1989).
- [38] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, *Phys. Lett. B* **212**, 472 (1988).
- [39] A. Hasenfratz, K. Jansen, C. B. Lang, T. Neuhaus, and H. Yoneyama, *Phys. Lett. B* **199**, 531 (1987).
- [40] U. Wolff, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 105002 (2009), [arXiv:0902.3100 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [41] P. Romatschke, *Phys. Lett. B* **847**, 138270 (2023), [arXiv:2305.05678 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] R. S. Chivukula and M. Golden, *Phys. Lett. B* **267**, 233 (1991).
- [43] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to quantum field theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, USA, 1995).
- [44] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields. Vol. 2: Modern applications* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- [45] S. R. Coleman, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2929 (1977), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 16, 1248 (1977)].
- [46] M. L. Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [47] A. Dobado, A. Gomez Nicola, F. J. Llanes-Estrada, and J. R. Pelaez, *Phys. Rev. C* **66**, 055201 (2002), [arXiv:hep-ph/0206238](#).
- [48] A. Gomez Nicola, F. J. Llanes-Estrada, and J. R. Pelaez, *Phys. Lett. B* **550**, 55 (2002), [arXiv:hep-ph/0203134](#).
- [49] A. Gómez Nicola, J. R. de Elvira, and A. Vioque-Rodríguez, *JHEP* **08**, 148, [arXiv:2304.08786 \[hep-ph\]](#).