

# Sunyaev-Zeldovich Fluctuations from the First Stars?

S. Peng Oh, Asantha Cooray & Marc Kamionkowski

*Theoretical Astrophysics, Mail Code 130-33, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

22 August 2021

## ABSTRACT

WMAP’s detection of high electron-scattering optical depth  $\tau_e$  suggests substantial star formation at high redshift  $z \sim 17 \pm 5$ . On the other hand, the recovered  $\sigma_8 \sim 0.84 \pm 0.04$  disfavors a cluster Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) origin for the observed small-scale-CMB fluctuation excess, which generally requires  $\sigma_8 \sim 1.1$ . Here we consider the effects of high-redshift star formation on the CMB. We derive a fairly model-independent relation between  $\tau_e$  and the number of ionizing photons emitted per baryon  $N_\gamma$ , and use this to calibrate the amount of high-redshift supernova activity. The resulting supernova remnants Compton cool against the CMB creating a Compton- $y$  distortion  $y \sim \text{few} \times 10^{-6}$  within observational bounds. However they also create small-scale SZ fluctuations, which could be comparable with SZ fluctuations from unresolved galaxy clusters. This raises the exciting possibility that we have already detected signatures of the first stars not just once, but twice, in the CMB.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The recent detection of high electron-scattering optical depth  $\tau = 0.17 \pm 0.04$  by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) suggests a reionization redshift  $z_r = 17 \pm 5$  (Kogut et al 2003; Spergel et al 2003), providing good evidence for significant star formation (SF) at high redshift  $z$ . WMAP combined with other large-scale structure data also supports a  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology with power-spectrum normalization  $\sigma_8 = 0.84 \pm 0.04$ .

This power-spectrum normalization is discrepant from that inferred from the CMB-fluctuation excess at small scales (Mason et al 2002; Dawson et al 2002), if this excess is attributed to the Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) effect from unresolved groups and clusters (Bond et al 2002; Komatsu & Seljak 2002; Goldstein et al 2002). These observations require  $\sigma_8(\Omega_b h/0.035)^{0.29} = 1.04 \pm 0.12$  at the 95% confidence level (Komatsu & Seljak 2002).

It has been argued that galactic winds could give rise to a detectable SZ effect (Majumdar, Nath & Chiba 2001). Here we argue that the stellar activity required to photoionize the Universe at  $z_r \sim 20$  injects a considerable amount of energy into the IGM, which is then transferred to the CMB due to the efficiency of Compton cooling at these high redshifts. Although the resulting mean Compton- $y$  distortion is consistent with the experimental upper limit, there may be detectable angular fluctuations in the  $y$  distortion. We show, in fact, that for reasonable reionization parameters the fluctuation amplitude from high- $z$  SF may be comparable to that from galaxy clusters. If so, then the above-mentioned discrepancy in the power-spectrum normalization may be resolved.

In the next Section we argue that supernova remnants

at  $z \gtrsim 10$  cool by Compton heating of the CMB and discuss the energetics of this process. In Section 3, we derive a relation between the measured optical depth  $\tau_e$  and the number of ionizing photons required to reionize the Universe. We then show that this number of ionizing photons is proportional to the energy injected into the IGM by supernovae, and thus the energy transferred to the CMB. In Section 4 we discuss angular fluctuations in the  $y$  distortion and show that they may be comparable at small scales to those from unresolved clusters.

In all numerical estimates, we assume a  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology given by the best fits to the WMAP data:  $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_b, h, \sigma_8) = (0.27, 0.73, 0.044, 0.7, 0.84)$ .

## 2 HOW DOES THE SUPERNOVA REMNANT COOL?

At redshifts  $z > 7$ , galactic winds powered by multiple ( $> 10^5$ ) supernovae (SN) or an energetic quasar jet are cooled primarily by Compton cooling from the CMB (Tegmark, Silk & Evrard 1993; Voit 1996; Madau, Ferrara & Rees 2001). Less powerful winds result in cooler remnants where radiative losses could potentially be important. However, at  $z \sim 10 - 20$ , the wind from even a single SN will lose a substantial fraction of its energy to the CMB, as we show below.

Zero-metallicity stars should be supermassive,  $M_* \geq 100 M_\odot$ , due to the thermodynamics of molecular-hydrogen ( $H_2$ ) cooling (Abel, Bryan & Norman 2000; Bromm, Coppi & Larson 2002). Furthermore, pair-instability SN from such Very Massive Stars (VMSs) should have explosion energies  $\sim 100$  times more powerful than conventional type II SN,

$E_{\text{VMS}} \sim 10^{53} \text{ erg}$  (Heger & Woosley 2002). An extreme but plausible version of zero-metallicity SF in low-mass halos  $T_{\text{vir}} < 10^4 \text{ K}$  prevalent at high  $z$  is ‘one star per halo’, where internal UV photodissociation of  $\text{H}_2$  by the first star in that halo halts all further gas cooling and SF (Omukai & Nishi 1999; Glover & Brandt 2001). Simulations show the VMS quickly photoevaporates all the gas within the shallow halo potential well within a sound-crossing time (M. Norman, private communication). In addition, the VMS photoionizes a region around the halo up to  $R \sim 70(M_{\text{VMS}}/100M_{\odot})^{1/3} \text{ kpc}$  comoving, assuming that each baryon in the VMS can ionize  $\sim 10^5$  HI atoms (Bromm, Kudritzki & Loeb 2001). Thus, the SN remnant (SNR) expands into a pre-ionized region at roughly the mean IGM gas density. During the adiabatic Sedov phase,  $R = \gamma_o(Et^2/\rho_{\text{IGM}})^{1/5}$ , where  $\gamma_o = 1.17$ . The remnant is no longer adiabatic and begins to Compton cool when  $t \approx t_C$ , where the Compton cooling time is,

$$t_C = 3m_e c (4\sigma_T a T_{\text{CMB}}^4)^{-1} = 1.4 \times 10^7 [(1+z)/20]^{-4} \text{ yr}, \quad (1)$$

independent of temperature and density. The (proper) size of the remnant at this point, when it quickly loses most of its energy, is

$$R = 2.2 (E_{\text{VMS}}/10^{53} \text{ erg})^{1/5} [(1+z)/20]^{-11/5} \text{ kpc} \quad (2)$$

in physical units. The angular scale is  $\theta = R/d_A = 0.9'' (E_{\text{VMS}}/10^{53} \text{ erg})^{1/5} [(1+z)/20]^{-11/5}$  (which corresponds to  $l = \pi/\theta = 7.6 \times 10^5$ ), beyond the reach of present-day CMB interferometers. Thus, SNRs are effectively point sources, unless many SN explode together in the same galaxy, and/or SN bubbles from clustered halos overlap (see below).

Most of the mass and energy of the remnant is in the dense postshock shell, which is at  $\rho_{\text{shell}} \sim 4\rho_{\text{IGM}}$ . At  $t = t_C$ , we can compute the temperature behind the shock front from the Sedov-Taylor solution,  $v_s = 0.4\gamma_o(E/\rho_{\text{IGM}}t^3)^{1/5}$ , and assuming a strong shock  $T_c = 3v_s^2\mu m_p/16k_B$ . We thus obtain the ratio of Compton and isobaric radiative cooling time  $t_{\text{rad}} = 2.5k_B T/(n\Lambda(T))$  at  $t = t_C$  as

$$\frac{t_{\text{rad}}}{t_C} = 0.4 \left( \frac{E_{\text{VMS}}}{10^{53} \text{ erg}} \right)^{0.4} \left( \frac{1+z}{20} \right)^{4.6} \Lambda_{23}^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\Lambda(T) = \Lambda_{23} 10^{-23} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^3$ , and  $\Lambda_{23} \sim 1$  for low-metallicity gas with  $T \sim 10^5 - 10^7 \text{ K}$ . Thus, roughly a third of the SNR energy is lost to Compton cooling.

The electron-ion equilibration timescale

$$t_{ei} = 10^5 \text{ yr} \left( \frac{1+z}{15} \right)^{-3} \left( \frac{\delta}{4} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{T}{10^6 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/2} \quad (4)$$

(where  $\delta$  is the overdensity of the postshock shell) is significantly shorter than the Compton cooling time at all redshifts, so there is no problem in quickly transferring the shock energy from protons to electrons.

A perhaps more likely scenario is one where many stars  $M_{*,\text{tot}} \sim 10^7(f_*/0.1)(f_b/0.1)(M_{\text{DM}}/10^9) M_{\odot}$  (where  $f_b \approx \Omega_b/\Omega_m$  is the baryon fraction, and  $f_*$  is the fraction of baryons which fragment to form stars) form together in rarer, more massive halos  $T_{\text{vir}} > 10^4 \text{ K}$  where atomic cooling allows much higher gas densities and more

efficient SF (Oh & Haiman 2002). The massive-star evolution timescale is  $t_* \sim 3 \times 10^6 \text{ yr} \ll t_C$ . Thus, if SF takes place in a starburst mode, the explosions are essentially simultaneous, and  $E_{\text{tot}} \approx N_{\text{SN}} E_{\text{SN}}$ . Then, an extremely energetic wind powers a much hotter bubble, and from equation (3),  $t_{\text{rad}}/t_C \propto E_{\text{tot}}^{0.4} \gg 1$  and radiative cooling is entirely negligible. For instance, if  $f_* \sim 10\%$  of the baryons in a  $M_{\text{DM}} \sim 10^9 M_{\odot}$  halo fragment to form VMSs,  $t_C \sim t_{\text{rad}}/40$ . In principle, radiative losses could be significant in the dense ISM of these larger halos (since photoevaporation does not take place in these deeper potential wells); however in practice most simulations (e.g. Mac-Low & Ferrara (1999)) find that for such low-mass systems, the SN bubbles quickly ‘blow out’ (particularly in disks) and vent most of their energy and hot gas into the surrounding IGM. Hereafter we shall encapsulate this uncertainty as  $\epsilon \approx 0.3 - 1$ , the average fraction of the explosion energy lost to the CMB via Compton cooling. If stars form in clusters in higher-mass halos rather than singly in low-mass halos we expect this efficiency to be high,  $\epsilon \geq 0.8$ .

The spatial distribution of SF does not affect our estimate of the mean Compton- $y$  distortion ( $y = k_B T_{\text{hot}}/(m_e c^2) \tau_{\text{hot}}$ ): more clustered SF results in higher  $T_e$  but lower  $\tau_{\text{hot}}$ . However, it does of course affect the strength of SZ fluctuations. We now turn to these issues.

### 3 THERMAL SUNYAEV-ZELDOVICH EFFECTS

#### 3.1 SZ flux from Individual Supernovae

The SZ flux from an individual SNR is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\nu} &= \frac{2k_B^3 T_{\gamma}^2}{h^2 c^2} g(x) \int d\Omega |\Delta T_{\nu}(\theta)| \\ &= \frac{2k_B^3 T_{\gamma}^3}{h^2 c^2} g(x) \frac{k_B T_e}{m_e c^2 \sigma_T} \frac{N_e}{d_A^2} \\ &= 1.8 \times 10^{-2} \left( \frac{g(x)}{4} \right) \left( \frac{E_{\text{VMS}}}{10^{53} \text{ erg}} \right) \left( \frac{\epsilon}{0.5} \right) \left( \frac{z}{20} \right)^2 \text{ nJy} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $g(x) = x^4 e^x [x \coth(x/2) - 4]/(e^x - 1)^2$  is the spectral function,  $x \equiv h\nu/kT_{\gamma}$ ,  $T_{\gamma} = 2.7 \text{ K}$  is the CMB temperature, and  $N_e$  is the total number of hot electrons at temperature  $T_e$ . In the second line we have used  $k_B T_e N_e \approx E_{\text{VMS}}$ . The energy of the remnant is a function of time,  $E_{\text{VMS}}(t) \approx E_{\text{VMS},0} \exp(-t/t_C)$  (in the regime where Compton cooling off the CMB dominates). The flux from an individual SNR is well beyond threshold for any realistic experiment; only a very large number of SN ( $> 10^8$ ) going off simultaneously within a star cluster will be detectable at the  $\sim \text{mJy}$  level. Thus, SN bubbles cannot be identified and removed from SZ maps; unresolved SN will create both a mean Compton- $y$  distortion and temperature fluctuations, which we now calculate.

#### 3.2 Mean Compton $y$ distortion

We first use the observed optical depth  $\tau_e$  to derive a lower limit to the number of ionizing photons  $N_{\gamma}$  emitted per

baryon. The dominant contribution to  $\tau \propto (1+z)^{1.5}$  comes from high  $z$  where the recombination time  $t_{rec} \propto (1+z)^{-3}$  is short, and recombinations are the rate-limiting step toward achieving reionization. The filling factor of HII regions is  $Q_{HII} \approx t_{rec}/t_{ion} \approx \dot{N}_\gamma/(\alpha_B n_e(z) C_{II}(z))$ , where  $\dot{N}_\gamma$  is the rate at which ionizing photons are emitted per baryon (in units of  $s^{-1}$ ),  $C_{II} \equiv \langle n_e^2 \rangle / \langle n_e \rangle^2$  is the clumping factor of ionized regions (e.g., Madau, Haardt & Rees (1999)). The clumping factor increases with time as structure formation proceeds; it declines sharply at high  $z$  and is  $C \approx 2$  at  $z = 20$ , compared to  $C \approx 30$  at  $z = 10$  (Haiman, Abel & Madau 2001). More sophisticated considerations (Miralda-Escudé, Haehnelt & Rees 2000) take into account the density dependence of reionization, but apply primarily near the epoch of overlap,  $Q_{II} \rightarrow 1$ , when overdense regions are ionized. This has little impact on our estimates. Most of the mass and the optical depth comes from regions close to the mean density.

The electron-scattering optical depth is given by:

$$\tau_e = c\sigma_T \int dz \frac{dt}{dz} n_e(z) \min \left( 1, \frac{\dot{N}_\gamma}{\alpha_B n_e(z) C_{II}} \right) = \frac{c\sigma_T \dot{N}_\gamma}{\alpha_B C_{II}}. \quad (6)$$

Due to the cancellation of the electron density, this expression is *independent* of the redshift of reionization, and the evolution of the comoving emissivity  $\dot{N}_\gamma(z)$  with redshift; it allows us to directly relate  $\tau_e$  and  $\dot{N}_\gamma$ . The only redshift dependence lies in the effective clumping factor  $C_{II}$ , which increases if reionization takes place at late times. The second equality breaks down if overlap  $Q_{II} \rightarrow 1$  is achieved at high  $z$  and  $\dot{N}_\gamma/(\alpha_B n_e(z) C_{II}(z)) > 1$  (i.e., recombinations no longer balance ionizations); in using the expression we would then underestimate  $\dot{N}_\gamma$ , which would only imply an even larger emissivity. The high optical depth  $\tau_e = 0.17 \pm 0.08$  ( $2\sigma$ ) (Kogut et al 2003; Spergel et al 2003) detected by WMAP therefore implies that

$$\dot{N}_\gamma^{IGM} = 17 \pm 8 (\bar{T}/10^4)^{-0.7} (C_{II}/4) \quad (7)$$

ionizing photons were emitted per baryon, where  $\bar{T}$  is the mass-weighted temperature of the reionized IGM (the  $\bar{T}^{-0.7}$  factor arises from the temperature dependence of the recombination coefficient). Consistency with WMAP requires more SF if reionization took place at lower redshift, due to the increase in gas clumping at late times.

Since only a fraction  $f_{esc}$  of ionizing photons escape from their host halo due to photoelectric absorption, the actual total number of ionizing photons produced is larger,  $\dot{N}_\gamma^{tot} = \dot{N}_\gamma^{IGM} f_{esc}^{-1}$ . In addition, we only care about those photons emitted at  $z > 6$ , when  $t_C < t_H$  (where  $t_H$  is the Hubble time) and Compton cooling is most efficient. Since  $\tau_e(z < 6) \approx 0.05$ , we have  $\tau_e(z > 6) \approx 0.12$ ; therefore  $0.12/0.17 \sim 0.7$  of the photons are emitted at  $z > 6$ . Thus:

$$\dot{N}_\gamma^{tot}(z > 6) \approx 25 (f_{esc}/0.3)^{-1} (\tau_e(z > 6)/0.12) (C_{II}/4). \quad (8)$$

Estimates for the escape fraction span  $f_{esc} \sim 10^{-2} - 1$ , but if the earliest stars reside in low-mass halos with  $T_{vir} < 10^4$  K, the gas in such halos is quickly photoionized and driven out in a photoevaporating wind. If so,  $f_{esc} \sim \text{few} \times 0.1$  to  $f_{esc} \sim 1$ .

How much SF and energy production is associated with

$\dot{N}_\gamma^{tot}$ ? We consider first VMSs, supported as the source of reionization perhaps by elemental-abundance evidence from low-metallicity halo stars (Oh et al 2001) and theoretical modelling (Cen 2002; Wyithe & Loeb 2002). Bromm, Kudritzki & Loeb (2001) find that for  $300M_\odot < M_* < 1000M_*$ , the luminosity per solar mass is approximately constant; for  $M_* \sim 100M_\odot$ , it falls by a factor of 2. Our estimates are thus independent of IMF details. For 1 ionizing photon per baryon in the universe,  $f_* \sim 10^{-5}$  baryons have to be processed into VMSs; thus,  $\dot{N}_\gamma^{tot} = 25$  corresponds to  $f_* \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ . A  $\sim 100M_\odot$  pair-instability SN releases  $E_{VMS} \sim 10^{53}$  erg (Heger & Woosley 2002), or  $E_b \sim 0.5$  MeV per baryon processed into the VMS. The total energy release per baryon is therefore:

$$E_c = \epsilon f_* E_b = 100 (\epsilon/0.8) (\dot{N}_\gamma^{tot}/25) \text{ eV}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the fraction of the thermal energy which is lost to the CMB. A possible caveat is if a large fraction of the mass in the first stars went into VMSs with  $M_* > 260M_\odot$ , which may collapse directly to black holes without exploding as SN (Heger & Woosley 2002).

The fraction of baryons processed into VMSs  $f_* \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-4} (\dot{N}_\gamma/25)$  implies an IGM metallicity  $Z \sim 6 \times 10^{-3} Z_\odot$ , assuming uniform enrichment (since  $\sim$ half the VMS mass is thought to end up as metals). This is consistent with the observed metallicity of the Ly $\alpha$  forest at  $z = 3$  of  $Z \approx 10^{-2.5} Z_\odot$ , which is not observed to evolve strongly at higher  $z$  (Songaila 2001). Thus, the metals seen in the Ly $\alpha$  forest may well have been injected at very high  $z$  by Pop III stars. No trace of the entropy injection associated with the metal-polluting winds would remain, due to the high efficiency of Compton cooling.

Our derived ionizing-photon:energy:metal ratios would also hold for normal stellar populations (rather than VMSs), which produce roughly the same amount of SN energy and metals per ionizing photon. The arguments are also roughly independent of IMF, as the massive stars that emit ionizing photons also eventually explode as SN.

We now compute the Compton- $y$  parameter associated with this energy injection. For simplicity, we assume that all of the energy is injected at some redshift  $z_i$ . The actual redshift evolution introduces at most a factor  $\sim 2$  uncertainty (see expresion below). The  $y$  parameter is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{c\sigma_T}{m_e c^2} \int_{t_i}^{t_o} dt n_e(t) E_{c,o} e^{-(t-t_i)/t_C} \\ &\approx n_e(z_i) \sigma_T c t_C(z_i) \frac{E_c}{m_e c^2} \\ &= 3.6 \times 10^{-6} ((1+z_i/15)^{-1} (E_c/100 \text{ eV})), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where we have moved the electron density outside the integrand,  $n_e(t) \approx \text{const}$ , since the density does not change significantly on the timescale over which the gas Compton cools. In the RJ limit,  $(\Delta T/T) = -2y = 7 \times 10^{-6}$ . The  $y$  distortion is less than the COBE FIRAS constraint,  $y \leq 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  (Fixsen et al 1996), as it should be. Such a  $y$  distortion could in principle be detected by future instruments (Fixsen & Mather 2002). In addition, a low-frequency distortion due to free-free emission from ionized halos should also be detectable (Oh 1999).

We pause here for a simple order-of-magnitude check. Let the total amount of energy per baryon injected through Compton cooling into the CMB be  $E_c$ . If this takes place at some median redshift  $z_i$ , this introduces an energy density perturbation of the CMB  $\Delta U_\gamma \sim n_b E_c \sim 6.8 \times 10^{-2} [(1+z)/15]^3 (E_c/100 \text{ eV}) \text{ eV cm}^{-3}$ . The CMB energy density is  $U_\gamma = 1.3 \times 10^4 [(1+z)/15]^4 \text{ eV cm}^{-3}$  resulting in a temperature perturbation,

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T_\gamma} \sim \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta U}{U} \sim 5.2 \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{1+z}{15} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{E_c}{100 \text{ eV}} \right) \quad (11)$$

roughly consistent with our previous estimate, from  $(\Delta T/T) = -2y$ . Why is the mean  $y$  distortion due to non-gravitational heating by high- $z$  SN competitive with that from galaxy clusters today? By integrating over the Press-Schechter mass function and assuming  $T_{\text{gas}} = T_{\text{vir}}$ , we find that the mean mass-weighted gas temperature today is  $\langle T \rangle = 0.7 \text{ keV}$ . However the Compton cooling time in clusters is  $t_C \sim 150 t_H$ , so only  $\epsilon \sim 1/150$  of that energy is extracted. Since  $y \propto E_c(1+z)^{-1}$ , we find that the  $y$  distortion due to clusters is  $\sim (0.7 \text{ keV}/150)/0.1 \text{ keV} \times 15 \sim 1$  times the distortion due to high- $z$  SN.

#### 4 SZ FLUCTUATIONS

Angular SZ fluctuations can be induced by Poisson fluctuations in the number density of sources, as well as by clustering of the underlying mass distribution. Poisson fluctuations are the dominant source of SZ fluctuations for galaxy clusters, but they are negligibly small for high- $z$  SN for the following reason: like high- $z$  halos, clusters are  $\sim 2 - 3\sigma$  fluctuations at the epoch at which they form and contain roughly the same fraction of collapsed mass; however, they are more massive by  $\sim 6$  orders of magnitude and hence have a much lower space density (in addition, the comoving volume in the local universe is smaller). Thus, for the same Compton- $y$  parameter, the Poisson contribution to angular CMB fluctuations will be negligible compared to that from SZ clusters. We have verified this by direct numerical calculation.

We thus turn to the CMB fluctuations induced by clustering of high- $z$  SN. We suppose for simplicity that stars form only in halos where atomic cooling can operate,  $T_{\text{vir}} > 10^4 \text{ K}$ , where some constant fraction  $f_*$  of the baryonic mass fragments to form stars. Both of these are normalized to produce the same total fraction of baryons processed in VMSs  $f_{*,\text{global}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-4} (N_\gamma/25)$ . We use Press-Schechter theory to calculate the abundance of halos. A hot bubble around each source has a total flux  $S \propto E_{\text{SN}}$  as given by equation (6), and lasts for a Compton cooling time  $t_C$ . The size of the hot bubble is given by equation (2). The finite bubble size damps the power spectrum on scales below the bubble size. For simplicity we shall assume  $y_l = y_o \exp[-(l/l_c)^2]$ , where  $y_l$  is the Fourier transform of the  $y$  profile of the bubble,  $l_c = \pi/\theta_c$  and  $\theta_c$  is the angular size of the bubble when most of the Compton cooling takes place. If the SF efficiency is independent of halo mass then  $y_o = R M_{\text{halo}}$ , where the normalization constant  $R \propto \epsilon f_*$  is determined from the condition that:

$$\bar{y} = \int dz (dV/dz d\Omega) \int_{M_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} dM (dn/dM) y_o(M, z), \quad (12)$$

subject of course to the condition that  $f_{\text{coll}} > f_{\text{VMS}}$  and  $\epsilon f_* < 1$ .

In reionized regions, gas accretion is suppressed in halos with  $T_{\text{vir}} < T_{\text{min}} \approx 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ K}$  (or  $v_{\text{vir}} \sim 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , Thoul & Weinberg (1996)); lower-mass halos are thus unlikely to be able to form stars. This boosts the clustering bias of SF systems as reionization proceeds, which increases the strength of SZ anisotropies. To keep our analysis general, we conservatively only require  $T_{\text{vir}} > 10^4 \text{ K}$ , but then show how increasing the Jeans mass would boost the clustering bias thereby enhancing CMB fluctuations.

The Compton  $y$  power spectrum due to clustering of sources is given by:


$$C_l(y) = \int dz \frac{dV}{dz d\Omega} P(k = \frac{l}{d_M(z)}) \times \left[ \int_{M_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} dM \frac{dn}{dM} b(M, z) y_l(M, z) \right]^2 \quad (13)$$

where  $P(k)$  is the linear power spectrum,  $d_M = d_A(1+z)$  is the comoving angular diameter distance, and  $b(M, z)$  is the linear bias factor (Mo & White 1996). We have used the Limber approximation  $k = l/d_M$  which is valid for small angles. Note that  $C_l(\Delta T/T) = 4C_l(y)$  in the RJ limit. The results are shown in Figure 1 for two cases: (A) a standard “best-estimate” case with  $y = 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$  and clustering bias associated with  $T_{\text{vir}} > 2 \times 10^4 \text{ K}$  halos; and (B) a maximal case with  $y = 10^{-5}$  (consistent with the current uncertainty in  $\tau_e$ ,  $C_{\text{II}}$ , and  $f_{\text{esc}}$ ), the largest value allowed by the COBE constraint  $y < 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ , and clustering bias associated with  $T_{\text{vir}} > 10^5 \text{ K}$  halos. Also shown are the cluster-induced power spectra for  $\sigma_8 = 0.84 \pm 0.08$  ( $2\sigma$ ), computed as in Cooray (2000). Although the “best-estimate” reionization signal lies below the cluster signal, with current uncertainties they could plausibly be comparable. The shape of the power spectra are fairly well constrained, but their amplitude is uncertain by  $\sim 1 - 2$  orders of magnitude, as we discuss below.

Roughly speaking, the CMB power spectrum is  $C_l \approx \bar{y}^2 w_l$ , where  $\bar{y}$  is the mean Compton  $y$  parameter from equation 11, and  $w_l \propto l^n$  (if  $P(k) \propto k^n$ ) is the flux-weighted halo angular power spectrum. The flatness of  $w_l l^2$  at high  $l$  is because  $P(k) \propto k^{-2}$  at these wavenumber. For halos with  $T_{\text{vir}} > 10^4 \text{ K}$ , the rapid increase in bias tend to cancel the decrease in the growth factor at high  $z$ , and the halo correlation function and power spectrum  $b(M_1)b(M_2)D(z)^2 P(k)$  ( $D(z)$  is the linear-theory growth factor) do not evolve strongly with redshift. We see this in Fig. 2, where we plot  $[b(M(T_c), z)D(z)]^2$ , and  $\tilde{b}(M(T_c), z)$  is the mass-weighted bias,

$$\tilde{b}(M_c, z) = \int_{M_c}^{\infty} dM \frac{dN}{dM} M b(M, z) / \int_{M_c}^{\infty} dM \frac{dN}{dM} M, \quad (14)$$

which corresponds to the flux-weighted bias since we assume  $S \propto M$ . This is likely a minimal estimate of the bias since the SF efficiency (and hence the thermal SZ flux) is likely




**Figure 1.** The small-scale power spectrum of the CMB. Our standard case A for thermal SZ from reionization assumes  $y = 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$  and clustering bias associated with  $T_{\text{vir}} = 2 \times 10^4 \text{K}$  halos, while a maximal case B assumes  $y = 10^{-5}$ , and clustering bias associated with  $T_{\text{vir}} = 2 \times 10^4 \text{K}$  halos. A minimal case (not shown) which assumes a lower  $y$  or lower clustering bias would be down at the  $10^{-14} - 10^{-13}$  level. Also shown are the power spectra due to physics at the surface of last scatter, and the thermal-SZ effect for clusters for  $\sigma_8 = 0.84 \pm 0.08$  ( $2\sigma$ ) (dashed lines are curves for  $\sigma_8 = 0.76, 0.92$ ).

to increase with the depth of the potential well. As reionization proceeds, the actual bias interpolates between the two curves, since accretion is suppressed in halos forming in reionized regions with  $T_{\text{vir}} < 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{K}$ ; it approaches the upper curve as  $Q_{\text{II}} \rightarrow 1$ . Since we are probing scales on order of or smaller than the halo correlation length,  $r_o \sim \text{few Mpc}$  comoving, it is reasonable to expect projected halo density (and hence flux) enhancements of order  $l(l+1)w_l/(2\pi) \sim \text{few}$ .

Overall, our primary uncertainties in the predicted amplitude are due to uncertainties in the mean  $y$  parameter that arise from the uncertainties in  $\tau_e$ ,  $C_{\text{II}}$ , and  $f_{\text{esc}}$  discussed above. There is then an additional uncertainty of  $\sim \text{few}$  introduced by the range of halo bias factors illustrated in Fig. 2.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

We have pointed out that WMAP’s large electron-scattering optical depth  $\tau_e$  implies that SZ fluctuations from high- $z$  SF could be considerable. As an interesting secondary result, we derive a relation between  $\tau_e$  and  $N_\gamma$ , the number of ionizing photons emitted per baryon. We use this to calibrate the amount of SN activity, and thereby obtain the expected Compton- $y$  distortion,  $y \sim \text{few} \times 10^{-6}$ . Fluctuations in the Compton- $y$  parameter could be detectable and may well



**Figure 2.** The evolution of the normalization factor for the halo power spectrum,  $[D(z)\bar{b}(M, z)]^2$ , where  $D(z)$  is the growth factor and  $\bar{b}(M, z)$  is the mass-weighted bias from equation 14. The halo power spectrum for halos where  $T_{\text{vir}} > 10^4 \text{K}$  (which can cool by atomic cooling) hardly evolves with redshift; the increase in bias cancels the decrease in the growth factor. In addition, as reionization proceeds, halos with  $T_{\text{vir}} < 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{K}$  are unable to accrete gas; hence the clustering bias of SF halos will increase further. The thermal-SZ power spectra in Fig 1 scale directly with this factor.

account for the the small-scale CMB-fluctuation excess at small angular scales. If so, small-scale CMB measurements are *not* a reliable independent measure of  $\sigma_8$ . If the small-scale CMB anisotropies are due to clusters alone, they will be resolved by forthcoming high-sensitivity and high-resolution SZ surveys. On the other hand, if high- $z$  SF contributes significantly, there will be a substantial unresolved component, since the extremely faint flux from individual halos is undetectable. A large amount of high redshift SN activity also produces X-rays (Oh 2001), with interesting consequences for reionization.

If a high- $z$  origin of the observed small-scale CMB fluctuations is confirmed, CMB maps may then be used to study the topology of reionization, perhaps by cross-correlating with future 21cm tomographic maps of neutral hydrogen at high  $z$  (Tozzi et al 2000). Here we have focused exclusively on thermal-SZ fluctuations, which induce a Compton- $y$  distortion to the CMB frequency spectrum and can thus be distinguished from “genuine” temperature fluctuations with multifrequency CMB measurements. However, high- $z$  SF may also induce temperature fluctuations by scattering from reionized regions with coherent large-scale peculiar velocities, as we detail in an accompanying paper (Cooray et al., in preparation).

Given the uncertainties in high- $z$  SF discussed above, we can make predictions for small-scale  $y$  fluctuations with roughly an order-of-magnitude level of uncertainty in the

CMB-fluctuation amplitude, and thus cannot at this point conclusively attribute observed small-scale CMB-fluctuation excesses to high- $z$  star formation. Nonetheless, this interpretation of the excess is certainly plausible. If it is correct, then the CMB experimentalists have achieved a remarkable triumph: not only have they fulfilled a decade-old quest to measure cosmological parameters with exquisite and unprecedented precision, they have detected signatures of the very first generation of star formation not just once, but twice.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NASA NAG5-9821 and DoE DE-FG03-92-ER40701.

## REFERENCES

- Abel T., Bryan G.L., Norman M.L., 2000, *ApJ*, 540, 39  
Bond J.R. et al, 2002, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0205386  
Bromm V., Kudritzki R.P., Loeb A. 2001, *ApJ*, 552, 464  
Bromm V., Coppi P.S., Larson R.B., 2002, *ApJ*, 564, 23  
Cen R., 2002, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0210473  
Chiu W., Ostriker J. P., 2000, *ApJ*, 534, 507  
Cooray A., 2000, *PRD*, 62, 103506  
Dawson K.S., Holzapfel W.L., Carlstrom J.E., LaRoque S.J., Miller A., Nagai D., Joy M., 2002, *ApJ*, submitted (astro-ph/0206012)  
Fixsen D.J., Cheng E.S., Gales J.M., Mather J.C., Shaffer R.A., & Wright E.L., 1996, *ApJ*, 473, 576  
Fixsen, D.J., & Mather, J.C., 2002, *ApJ*, 581, 817  
Goldstein, J.H., et al, 2002, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0212517  
Glover S.C.O., Brandt P.W.J.L. 2001, *MNRAS*, 321  
Haiman Z., Abel T., Madau P. 2001, *ApJ*, 551, 559  
Heger A., Woosley S.E., 2002, *ApJ*, 567, 532  
Hui L., Haiman Z., 2003, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0302439  
Kogut A. et al, 2003, *ApJ*, submitted; astro-ph/0302213  
Komatsu E., Seljak U., 2002, *MNRAS*, 336, 1256  
Mac-Low M.M., Ferrara A., 1999, *ApJ*, 513, 142  
Madau P., Ferrara A., Rees M.J., 2001, *ApJ*, 554, 92  
Madau P., Haardt F., Rees M.J., 1999, *ApJ*, 514, 648  
Majumdar S., Nath B.B., Chiba, M., 2001, *MNRAS*, 324, 537  
Mason B.S. et al, 2002, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0205384  
Miralda-Escudé J., Haehnelt M., Rees, M.J., 2000, *ApJ*, 530, 1  
Mo H., White S.D.M., 1996, *MNRAS*, 282, 347  
Oh, S.P., 1999, *ApJ*, 527, 16  
Oh S.P., 2001, *ApJ*, 553, 25  
Oh S.P., Nollett K.M., Madau P., Wasserburg G.J., 2001, *ApJ*, 562, L1  
Oh S.P., Haiman Z., 2002, *ApJ*, 569, 558  
Omukai K., Nishi R., 1999, *ApJ*, 518, 64  
Ostriker J.P., Vishniac E.T., 1986, *ApJ*, 306, L51  
Songaila A., 2001, *ApJ*, 561, L153  
Spergel D.N. et al, 2003, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0302209  
Tegmark M., Silk J., Evrard A., 1993, *ApJ*, 417, 54  
Theuns T., Schaye J., Zaroubi S., Kim T., Tzanavaris P., Carswell B., 2002, *ApJ*, 567, L103  
Thoul A.A., Weinberg D.H., 1996, *ApJ*, 465, 608  
Tozzi P., Madau P., Meiksin A., Rees M.J., 2000, *ApJ*, 528, 597  
Voit G.M., 1996, *ApJ*, 465, 548  
Wyithe S., Loeb A., 2002, *ApJ*, in press, astro-ph/0209056