A. Perez Mart nez^a, H. Perez Rojas^{a,b,c} and H. J. Mosquera Cuesta^{c,d}

^a Grupo de F sica Teorica, IC IM AF, Calle E No. 309, 10400 La Habana, Cuba

^b High Energy Physics D ivision, D epartm ent of Physics, University of Helsinki and

^c Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics,

P.O. Box 586, Strada Costiera 11, M iram are 34100, Trieste, Italy

d Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F sicas, Laboratorio de Cosmologia e F sica Experimental de Altas Energias Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, CEP 22290-180, Urca, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

A relativistic degenerate neutron gas in equilibrium with a background of electrons and protons in a magnetic eld exerts its pressure anisotropically, having a smaller value perpendicular than along the magnetic eld. For critical elds the magnetic pressure may produce the vanishing of the equatorial pressure of the neutron gas. Taking it as a model for neutron stars, the outcome could be a transverse collapse of the star. This is a limit to the elds to be observable in stable neutron star pulsars as a function of their density. The nal structure left over after the implosion might be a mixed phase of nucleons and meson condensate, a strange star, or a highly distorted black hole or black "cigar", but no any magnetar, if viewed as a super strongly magnetized neutron star. However, we do not exclude the possibility of a superstrong magnetic elds arising in supernova explosions which lead directly to strange stars. In other words, if any magnetars exist, they cannot be neutron stars.

INTRODUCTION

G ravitational collapse occurs in a body of mass M and radius R when its rest energy is of the same order of its $GM^2=R$. We would like to argue that for a macroscopic magnetized body, e.g., gravitational energy, i. e., M c^2 composed by neutrons in an external eld B (β j B), new physics arises and a sort of collapse occurs when its internal energy density U is of the same order than its magnetic energy density M B, where M is the magnetization. This problem is interesting in the context of both cosm ology and astrophysics, as for instance in the study of objects such as neutron stars. A gas of neutral particles having an anom alous magnetic moment (as a model for neutron stars (NSs); here we assume, as usually, a background of electrons and protons in equilibrium, which is dem anded by Pauli's Principle to quarantee neutron stability), when placed in extremely strong magnetic elds has a nonlinear (ferrom agnetic) response to the external eld and is also unstable due to the vanishing of the transverse pressure for 10^{6} G). In this phenom enon quantum e ects play an essential role due to the surface elds strong enough (B_{surf} coupling of the particles' spins to the microscopic eld B seen by the particles (spin-polarization). For elds of this order of magnitude there are values of the density for which the magnetic energy of the system becomes of the same order of m agnitude than its total energy. At these physical conditions any structure of super dense m atter com posed of neutral particles having a m agnetic m om ent m ay undergo a transverse collapse w hen its pressure perpendicular to B' vanishes. This implosion is driven by the same mechanism described in [1] for charged particles.

We present in this new paper, which is a more elaborated version of [2], the main ideas concerning the role of ultra strong magnetic elds in a gas of neutral particles: The standard model of NSs, as envisioned by D uncan and T hom pson in their model of magnetars[3]. The fundamental result that we obtain shows that a NS, i. e., a neutron gas permeated by a super strong magnetic eld is unstable and must collapse. This inedit result seems to ban the possibility of form ation magnetars. We stress in this respect the fact that sim ilar results were obtained by two di erent groups: K halibv [4] and G hosh, M and al and C hakrabarty [5]. A though both teams of researchers arrived to the same conclusion like ours, quite di erent approaches were pursued.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II reviews the concept of anisotropic pressure in self-gravitating systems s like NSs. In Section III are given the tools to construct the energy-momentum tensor of a neutron gas. Section IV discusses the main differences between the classical and quantum collapse of a gas conguration in an approximationindependent way, based on the sign of the electrom agnetic response of the medium to the external applied eld. In Section V the dynamics of the neutron gas composing a NS is studied in the presence of a magnetic eld, followed in Section V Iby the derivation of its therm odynamical potential and magnetization. Section V II discusses the conditions for the collapse to take place, and an application of this physics to the stability analysis of the proposed magnetars is given. The main result of this paper shows that such objects should not form if they are envisioned as a standard

Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O.Box 64, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland

neutron gas in Ferm i beta equilibrium as is claim ed in the original idea introducing the concept of magnetar. Som e closing remarks and further potential applications of this theory are part of the nal section.

AN ISOTROPIC PRESSURES IN SELF-GRAVITATING SYSTEMS

The issue of local anisotropy in pressures was extensively reviewed by Herrera and Santos[6] in a general relativistic approach. These authors present several physical mechanisms for its origin in both extrem ely low and very high density systems, which may include astrophysical compact objects. In the case of highly dense systems, it was pointed out that \exotic" phase transitions could occur during gravitational collapse, which is exactly the problem we are concerned with in this paper. How ever, the more fundamental problem regarding the appearance of anisotropic pressures in strongly magnetized compact stars was left open. More recently, Mak and Harko [7] present a class of exact solutions of E instein's equations corresponding to anisotropic stellar congurations which can describe realistic neutron stars.

W e want to provide a more detailed description of the arising of anisotropic pressures in a relativistic system like a NS, an essential point in understanding the physics behind the problem of stability of ultra magnetized compact stars. We shall give rstly general arguments to support this our view, and then we concentrate in the speci c calculations, in the one-loop approximation, of the therm odynamic potential of the neutron star conguration and its magnetization, the properties upon which the most crucial conclusions can be drawn.

To x ideas, we shall work in the grand canonical ensemble, and we are considering as subsystem, some region inside the star. Such subsystem is under the in unce of the magnetic eld created by the rest of the system, which we name H'(fT j H). The response of the subsystem is to polarize itself creating a magnetization M' in the medium (the neutron gas) satisfying the relation: H' = B - 4 M'. Obviously, inside the subsystem the microscopic eld is B' = H' + 4 M', since B' (named also magnetic induction) and the electric eld E' are the true elds acting on the electric charges and magnetic dipoles of elementary particles [8] [9]. (Note, however, that Landau in Ref.[10] uses the notation H' to name the magnetic eld in vacuum). The eld B', as well as E', satisfy the M axwell equations for particles in vacuum. In what follows, when we are to discuss the dynamics of the particles in the neutron gas we will sometimes refer to B' as the external magnetic eld, as is usually named in quantum eld theory and astrophysics. For an external distant observer, B' = H' (in G aussian units) since the magnetization is assumed to exist only inside the star. We emphasize that actually B' and H' are external elds within di erent contexts: H' is external to the subsystem object of study in the grand canonical ensemble, whereas B' is external to any particle dosen in the subsystem (it feels, in addition to H', the contribution from the magnetization eld 4 M' due to the other particles of the subsystem).

In the case of a gas of electrically charged particles in an external constant m agnetic eld \mathcal{B} , in classical electrodynam ics, it is the Lorentz force $\mathcal{F} = e\mathbf{v}$ $\mathcal{B} = c$ the source of an asymmetry in the pressure components parallel and perpendicular to \mathcal{B} . By writing $e\mathbf{v} = \Im V$, where $V = dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$, calling $f_i = F_i = V$ as the i-th component of the force density, and substituting $\Im = cr$ \mathcal{M} , one has

$$f_i = (Q_M _s)B_s + (Q_sM _i)B_s$$
: (1)

By multiplying by $V = dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$ and assuming $B_s = B_{s3}$ and $(M_i = 0, a) = 0$ (actually it is also $M_i = M_{i3}$), only the rst term in (1) remains nonzero and one recovers an expression for the Lorentz force, which is obviously perpendicular to the eld B. For the corresponding pressure it yields $P_{L?} = M^{\circ}$ B. This is a classical elect and obviously $P_{L?}$ must be added to the usual kinetic isotropic pressure P_0 , so that the total transverse pressure becomes $P_2 = P_0 + P_{L?}$. As in classical electrodynamics, by Lenz law, M° is opposite to B (spin elects are neglected), then M° B < 0, and $P_{L?} > 0$. The opposite case occurs when M° is parallel to B, which occurs in the quantum case, i.e., when spin elects are taken into account. We alert, however, that in the denitions and derivations that follows use will be done of the magnitudes β j and M° j M of both vectors B and M instead of the vectors them selves.

THE ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR OF A NEUTRON GAS

Based on more fundamental grounds, one may write the general structure of the energy-momentum tensor of a neutron gas in an external eld B in the same way as one does to construct general tensors, as the polarization operator tensors [11], for instance. In an external eld F , in addition to the basic 4-velocity vectors of the medium,

u, and particle momentum k, we have two extra vectors F k; F^2 k, to form a basis of independent vectors (in what follows we shall use the notation $F^2 = F = F$). From them we may build a set of basic tensors which, together with the tensors F, F^2 , serves as a basis in terms of which we can expand any tensor structure related to the particle dynamics, in particular, the energy-momentum tensor. However, to get rid of tensor structures containing o -diagonal terms, which would correspond to unwanted shearing stresses in the uid rest frame $u = (0;0;0;u_4)$, we exclude some of them, i.e., k k, k F k, F, u k, or any of its combinations. By following the arguments used in [11], we conclude that we are left in the present case with three basic tensors: F^2 ; u = u to describe the dynamics of such a neutron gas. Thus, the structure of such an energy-momentum tensor is then expected to be of the form

$$T = a + bF^2 + cuu$$
 (2)

where ; = 0;1;2;3; and a = p is the isotropic pressure term, b = M = B and c = U + p. In the present case the second of these tensors can be written in a simpler form as $F^2 = B^2$?. The tensor (2) has then the spatial eigenvalues p BM ;p BM ;p, and the time eigenvalue c = U p, since u = 1. These eigenvalues exhibit the anisotropy in pressures perpendicular and parallel to B.

In dealing with a quantum gas in an external eld we shall assume that the sources of the eld H are either classical (currents) or due to quantum e ects. A lthough it is out of the scope of this paper to discuss the mechanism for producing such eld, we suggest, how ever, som e viable sources able to induce a self-consistent eld, as for instance a condensate of the vector meson , the neutron spin-spin ferrom agnetic [12] coupling (see below), or even by diquark [13] condensation.

As in the case of the electron in an atom the basic dynam ics in our present case is described by the D irac equation in an external eld, in place of the Lorentz force. A coording to the E hrenfest theorem, classical dynam ics is contained on the adequate average of quantum dynam ics. But quantum dynam ics leads also to several new phenom ena not having classical partner. A fiter solving the D irac equation one gets the energy eigenvalue spectrum [14]. This energy depends on the m icroscopic m agnetic eld B through som e interaction term in the initial Lagrangian. These energy eigenvalues, after the quantum statistical average, determ ine the therm odynam ic properties, such as the neutron gas pressure. If the coupling constant is turned to zero, the particles would not feel any pressure com ing from the external

eld. Thus, since the classical M axwell stress tensor of the eld H does not express by itself the interaction with the particles, i. e., it expresses the m om entum and energy of the external eld, we have no need to add it to the expression (4) below. The tensor (4) contains already the basic tensor structures of the problem, including the M axwell stress tensor of the eld B, which depends on the external eld H and the magnetization jM j.

The total external eld B contributes with virtual particles, expressed through the Euler-H eisenberg vacuum terms a arising in the regularization of the quantum vacuum terms [15]. These vacuum terms appear in the calculation of the basic statistical quantity, the therm odynamical potential: ¹ In Z, where Z, the grand partition function, is built up on the particle spectrum. Our therm odynam ical potential is the sum of two terms, $= _{st} + _{V}$, the nite statistical term $_{st}$ plus the vacuum eld contribution $_{V}$, which is divergent. In the process of regularization, it absorbs the classical eld energy density term B²=8. We observe here that Landau, in p.69 of Ref.[16], uses the speci c term therm odynam ic potential, denoted by in that reference, to name what in western literature is known as G ibbs free energy, which is denoted by G. Our therm odynam ic potential is just what Landau de nes as \new therm odynam ic potential ", but we have taken it per unit volum e, which is dependent on T and in absence of external eld, and in our case is dependent also on B. Observe that = F = G. In the zero eld case, it would be = P, where P is the isotropic pressure. D ue to the spatial anisotropy introduced by the magnetic eld B, the pressures are not the same in all directions, and only in the direction parallel to B it adquires the value (B) = P_3 (See below).

The coupling of the spin dipole moment of neutrons in an external magnetic eld B produces a loss of rotational symmetry of the particle spectrum (in what follows we will consider B along the x_3 axis). From the spectrum, which is expressed in terms of B, by the standard methods of nite temperature quantum eld theory, we obtain the therm odynamical potential (per unit volume) = (B), and from it all the therm odynamic properties of the system, in particular its magnetization, as is done by H uang [17] (p. 237), which is the statistical average M = ($\theta = \theta B$).

Notice that this de nition is consistent with our convention by which them odynam ical quantities are de ned in term softhe microscopic magnetic eld B acting on the particles as an independent variable (see for instance R ef.[9]), instead of using the quantity H. Thus, the therm odynam ical variable conjugated to the magnetic eld B is the quantity M (B), the system magnetization as introduced above. W e may write then

$$= M dB P_0; \qquad (3)$$

where $P_0 = (0)$ is the term corresponding to the zero magnetic eld pressure. One must emphasize that in the quantum relativistic case depends on B nonlinearly. From the explicit expression for given in another section below, one nds that the dependence of the energy spectrum on the particle momentum is not rotational invariant. This fact determ ines a reduction of the symm etry of the otherw ise isotropic therm odynam ic properties of the system such as the pressure, which is expected to be axially-symm etric for the reasons pointed out above.

By using the G reen functions method it is found that the energy-m om entum tensor of matter in an external constant magnetic eld obeys the general structure (2), and we have [1]

where r run over the species involved. Below we will take r = n; p; e to describe teh neutron, proton and electron component of the star gas. Expression (4) in the zero eld limit reproduces the usual isotropic energy-momentum $(P + U)_4$ of a perfect uid. From Eq.(4) the spatial components are $T_{33} = P_3 =$ tensor T = P ;T₁₁ = $T_{22} = P_{2} =$ BM. The time component T_{00} Ų = TS_r rN r r, in which Ur rNr r are quantities of the same order of magnitude. We shall assume that inside a stable NS there is locally a balance between the mechanical stresses (and forces) from the pressure exerted by the quantum gas and the gravitational stress, i.e., the gravitational force per unit area, exerted by the star m ass, as de ned below by equations (6), (7). By abusing a bit of the phrasing we shall refer over the paper to this last one as the \gravitational pressure", in an attem pt to turn m ore meaningful discussions regarding e ects of the gas pressure and those stem ming from its own gravity. In the case of a neutron star the correct treatm ent of this problem requires of general relativistic corrections [18]. How ever, for the general purpose of this paper we consider here the problem in a rough manner, in a similar fashion as it was done in the white dwarf case with zero magnetic eld [17], in New tonian gravity. If dS_i is an element of surface and dx_i an increase in the coordinate i = 1;2;3, one can express the balance between the work done on the star and the variation of its gravitational energy, $E_{g} = G M^2 R^{-1}$, under a sm all change of volum e V as

$$Z = (T_{ij}dS_j + \frac{\partial E_g}{\partial x_i})dx_i = 0;$$
(5)

where the quantity in parenthesis must be zero, and describes the balance of forces. We will use now cylindrical coordinates with the z axis parallel to B, and assume that the shape of the deformed star can be (very roughly) approximated by the simplified model of a cylinder of height Z and radius r_2 (we could equally use as a simple model a cylinder of height Z ended by two half-spheres of radius r_2 . The equations (6) and (7) below would dier by unimportant numerical factors). We assume also that the change of the cylinder's volume V and surface S are small, so that the total surface of the star $S = 2 r_2 (r_2 + Z)$ is approximately constant. Then we have $dS_2 = 2 r_2 dz$, $dS_3 = 2 r_2 dr_2$ and integrating we get the equilibrium between the gravitational and gas pressures $P_2 = P_{g2}$ and $P_{33} = P_{g33}$, where

$$P_{g?} = \frac{1}{2 r_{?} Z} \frac{\partial E_{g}}{\partial r_{?}}$$
(6)

and

$$P_{g33} = \frac{1}{r_2^2} \frac{QE_g}{QZ};$$
(7)

Eqs.(6),(7) are to be interpreted respectively as the transverse and longitudinal "gravitational pressures" in the sense de ned above. By assuming that $\frac{\partial E_{g}}{\partial r_{2}}$ and $\frac{\partial E_{g}}{\partial z}$ are quantities of the same order, to preserve the balance of transverse pressures when P₂ decreases (due to the increase of the product BM), the quantity 1=2 r_{2} Z must decrease. This is achieved whenever Z increases faster than r_{2} decreases (all this can be seen by assuming that the total surface S = const and dr₂ =d < 0, where is a parameter like time). In that case the outcome is a stretching of the body along the direction of B. Thus, the anisotropy of pressures in our problem suggests that matter in the body is also distributed anisotropically, what leads to prolate isobaric surfaces [50].

The condition $P_2 = 0$ implies also that U BM. As $P_3 > 0$, an instability arises in the system leading to a transverse collapse. Thus, as pointed out in the introduction, new physics arise in connection with the vanishing of the transverse pressure, $P_2 = 0$. This peculiar behavior will be discussed in the forthcom ing sections.

By writing M = (B H)=4, one may formally write = $\frac{1}{8}B^2 + \frac{1}{4}^R$ H dB P₀. We remind that as F G, the last expression is consistent with what would be obtained in the classical nonrelativistic case [19], where F = F₀ + H dB = 4 is the Helm holtz free energy and G = F + M dB + P₀ = G₀ + B²=8 as the G ibbs free energy. Because of our de nition of M, the last term is given in term sof B and not in term sof H. As we have

$$T_{33} = \frac{1}{8} B^{2} \frac{1}{4} H dB + P_{0}; \qquad (8)$$

and also

$$T_{11} = T_{22} = BM = \frac{1}{8}B^2 + \frac{1}{4}^2 BdH + P_0;$$
 (9)

it is straightforward to see that the spatial components of the energy-m om entum tensor T_{ij} (i; j = 1;2;3) can be rewritten as

$$T_{ij} = P_{0 \ ij} \quad T_{ij}^{M} \ (B \ ;H \) + S \ (B \)_{ij}; \tag{10}$$

where $S(\underline{B}_{R})_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} [\underline{B}_{i}B_{j} - \frac{1}{2} (\underline{B}^{2})_{ij}]$ is the M axwell stress tensor for the m icroscopic ed B, and T_{ij}^{M} (B) = $\frac{1}{4} [\underline{H}_{i}B_{j} - (-B dH)_{ij}]$ is the M inkow skitensor for relativistic nonlinearm edia (see below). This last term reduces to the usual expression in the nonrelativistic limit if H depends linearly on B [9]. The de nition (10) expresses the total pressure as a sum of an isotropic pure m echanical pressure (independent of the electrom agnetic edd) plus a pressure coming from the M inkow skitensor due to the interaction of the external edd H with the microscopic edd B, plus the M axwell stress tensor of the microscopic edd B. If H = 0, B = 4 M and T_{ij} = P_{0 ij} + S(B)_{ij}. In this case the magnetic edd is kept self-consistently.

At this point we want to refer to the recent paper by K halilov [4] where a similar problem to the present one is studied. However, the expression for the stress tensor in a medium is taken as given only by the linear approximation of the M inkowski tensor term. This approach is not justiled in the relativistic case. Further, the M axwell tensor of the m icroscopic eld B and the isotropic $P_{0 \ ij}$ terms are om itted. This leads the author to wrongly conclude that the collapse occurs like in the classical case (see below), that is, along the B eld, in contradiction to our present results and those of [2], [1]. A consistent approach, as we have followed here, and discussed in the accompanying paper [20], leads to opposite results compared to those of R ef. [4] in what concerns the spatial direction of collapse, although the fundam ental issue regarding the collapse of the neutron star remains taking place in both theories.

N ote, in addition, that R efs. [6] and [7], where the problem was also studied, did not take into account the dynam ical e ects of the strong (and super strong) magnetic elds supposed to exist in the core of canonical N Ss, see for instance R efs. [1], [2], [23], [24]. Thence, it is the contention of this paper to address this open issue. The novel results obtained here point out to the occurrence of new physics and processes in the (relativistic) astrophysics of com pact objects that were not manifest in previous papers.

CLASSICAL VS.QUANTUM COLLAPSES

In R ef.[1] we found that a relativistic degenerate electron gas placed in a strong external magnetic eld B is conned to a nite set of Landau quantum states. As the eld is increased the maximum Landau quantum number is decreased favoring the arising of either a paramagnetic or a ferrom agnetic response through a positive magnetization M, up to the case in which only the ground state is occupied. The gas then becomes topologically one dimensional, and in consequence the pressure transverse to the eld vanishes for elds B = =M [1]. Thus, the electron gas becomes unstable due to the decrease of the transverse pressure for elds strong enough, and the outcome is a collapse.

For neutrons the magnetization is always positive (see arguments below) and nonlinear, what leads to a sort of ferrom agnetic behavior. For elds strong enough the pressure transverse to the eld, $P_2 = BM$, is considerably decreased and may vanish. If we assume that extrem ely magnetized NSs, as the D uncan and Thom pson magnetars[3], have elds H 10^{15} G, and that inside the star B increases by following a dipole law B (r^0) = B_{surf}= r^3 , we expect near its surface magnetic elds ranging from 10^{16} 10^{17} G [3, 27] up to values of order 10^{20} G in its core[23], where the eld is maintained self-consistently, i. e., H = 0. For elds of this order of magnitude super dense matter composed of neutral particles having a magnetic moment may undergo a transverse collapse since P₂ vanishes. As discussed

below, the emerging physics seems to ban the possibility of magnetar formation. The outcome of such a collapse might be a compact star endowed with canonical magnetic eld, as discussed below.

In the classical case in which the response of the medium is due to the Lenz law, the magnetization is opposite to the external eld H and it may happen that M < 0. This also occurs in the diamagnetic case. Then H > B and $P_2 > P_3$. Note that the opposite occurs in some permeable materials where M > 0 and H = B = 4 M is small in comparison to either M and B; this is due to ferrom agnetic elects which have quantum origin, as in the neutron gas.

In the case of a classical magnetized gas, as $P_2 > P_3$, this leads to the Earth-like oblatening e ect described above. But opposite to this, for the critical quantum con guration of the NS gas the coupling of the spin magnetic dipole with the magnetic eld B plays the main role, and M > 0 (see Eq.(21) below and the subsequent discussion where this is shown explicitly), leading to ferrom agnetic e ects. The situation then is reversed and P_2 is smaller than P_3 in the amount BM and it vanishes for $\frac{1}{8}B^2 = \frac{1}{4}B dH + P_0$ leading, conversely, to a prolate con guration.

In classical electrodynamics [10] it is suggested that the total pressure is given by the sum of the M axwell stress tensor S plus an isotropic pressure (P₀) term. In the case of a constant magnetic eld parallel to the x_3 axis, the total pressure tensor reads $T_{ij} = P_{0 \ ij} + S_{ij}$ or $P_3 = P_0$ $B^2=8$ and $P_2 = P_0 + B^2=8$:

A spointed out before, the body deform s under the action of these an isotropic pressures. If the longitudinal pressure decreases, the body attens along the magnetic eld [21]. Thus, in this pure classical case, for the extrem e limit of attening, $P_3 = 0$ and $P_2 = P_0 + B^2 = 8$, and the body would collapse as a disk or a ring perpendicular to the eld. Starting from general relativistic considerations it has been reported [22] the existence of a maximum magnetic eld for having stationary con gurations of NSs. (We interprete that result as indicating the occurrence of a classical collapse for elds larger than the maximum). This eld induces a toroidal con guration, which is topologically equivalent to a ring. In the quantum case, for degenerate ferm ions, as M > 0; it is $P_2 = BM$ which is decreased by increasing B. A s the NS is in equilibrium under the balance of neutron and "gravitational" pressures, the body stretches along the direction of the magnetic eld. Thus, for any density there are values of the eld B high enough such that these pressures cannot counterbalance each other leading to a collapse perpendicular to the eld for $P_2 = 0$. This collapse would leave as a rem nant a nucleons plus a Bose-E instein-like condensate, a hybrid or strange star [51] with canonical magnetic eld [28], or a distorted ("cigar-like") black hole.

O ur previous considerations are approximation-independent. In order to discuss an speci c model, we shall start by computing the free particle spectrum for neutral particles in a magnetic eld.

THE NEUTRON GAS IN A MAGNETIC FIELD

For free neutrons in a m agnetic eld B we have the D irac equation for neutral particles with anom alous m agnetic m om ent [14]

$$(0 + m + iq F) = 0;$$
 (11)

where $=\frac{1}{2}$ () is the spin tensor, and F is the electrom agnetic eld tensor describing B. By solving this equation we get the eigenvalues [14]

$$E_{n}(p;B;) = p_{3}^{2} + (p_{2}^{2} + m_{n}^{2} + qB)^{2}; \qquad (12)$$

where p_3 , p_7 are respectively the momentum components along and perpendicular to the magnetic eld B, m_n is the neutron mass, $q = 1.91M_n$ (M_n is the nuclear magneton), = 1; 1 are the ₃ eigenvalues corresponding to the two orientations of the magnetic moment (parallel and antiparallel) with regards to the eld B. Here we make the assumption that the magnetic moment remains constant for large magnetic elds. A ctually, this is not so. Radiative corrections change its value as a function of B. This problem is, how ever, beyond the scope of the present approach.

From (12) we see that although the H am iltonian is linear in B, the eigenvalues depend on B nonlinearly. This makes the relativistic therm odynam ic and electrom agnetic properties of the system of neutrons very di erent from the nonrelativistic case. For instance, all therm odynam ic quantities, , M (and in consequence, H = B - 4 M), and N are also nonlinear functions of B. The expression (12) also shows manifestly the change of spherical to axial symmetry with regard to m om entum components. This anisotropy in the dynam ics is expected to be rejected in an anisotropy in the therm odynam ic properties of the system, as it is expressed by the di erence between the transverse and longitudinal pressures discussed earlier on an approximation-independent basis.

The partition function Z = Tr() is obtained from the density matrix describing the model = $e^{d^3x(H(x))}r^{N_r}$ [31]. Here j (j = 1;2;3) are the chemical potentials associated with the lepton, baryon and electric charge conservation, so that n = 2, p = 2 + 3; e = 1 + 3 and = 1. The therm odynamical potential can be written as $= 1^{1} \ln Z$. U sually the eigenvalues of H contain the contribution from neutrons, protons, electronspand some meson species, and the densities are $N_r = 0 = 0 r$, where $r = n; p; e::: W e name = r, r, M = r^{M} r$ the total therm odynamical potential and magnetization, respectively.

A standard procedure is to work in the mean eld approximation in which the meson elds ; ;! are taken as constant, as done in Refs.[23], [24], through which the mass spectrum of baryons is corrected and strong repulsive interactions between them is found. However, for simplicity we will keep the spectra in the tree approximation to obtain the one-loop approximation for , and neglect the statistical contribution from meson terms in as compared with those of fermions (for them $m_1 = 10^6 - 10^6$) except for elds B. B_c = $m^2 = e = 10^{20}$ G, since the contribution of the vector meson condensate to M becomes relevant, and in analogy with W -s[1], leads to a self-consistent spontaneous magnetization B = 4 M = 2 eN $m^2 = B$, where N is the condensate density. However, for such $R = 10^{20} \text{ G} + 10^{20} \text{ G}$ and the star is densitely unstable: it collapses. This mechanism is valid for other quasi-particle vector boson condensates, as di-quarks, which may be formed in the medium even for smaller values of the eld B [13, 28].

THERM ODYNAM ICAL POTENTIAL OF A NEUTRON GAS

The G reen functions method [29] leads to a general expression for the relativistic them odynam ical potential. At the one-loop level, where no radiative corrections are considered, it is a generalization of the usual nonrelativistic form ula because of the fact that antiparticles must also be included. Particles and antiparticles contribute with chem ical potentials of opposite sign, leading to sum s or integrals over the quantum numbers involved, of term s containing the product of the logarithm s of (e $\binom{n}{2} E_n$ + 1). In this case, when no external elds are present, a divergent term accounting for the vacuum contribution, must also be included: The Euler-H eisenberg energy of vacuum in an external eld [11, 15, 30, 31]. One can obtain an expression for the them odynam ic potential of the neutron gas in the one-loop approximation as $n = \frac{n + \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}}$ with

$$sn = \frac{1}{4^{2}} \sum_{i=1; 1^{0}}^{X} p_{i} dp_{i} dp_{3} \ln f^{+}(n; i) f(n; i); \qquad (13)$$

where f $(n;) = (1 + e^{(E_n - n)})$ accounts, respectively, for the contribution of particles and antiparticles. The expression for the vacuum term reads thus

$$v_{n} = \frac{1}{4^{2}} \int_{-1}^{2} \frac{x^{2}}{p_{2}^{2}} dp_{2} dp_{3} E_{n}$$
(14)

which is divergent. In the Appendix we will show how to regularize this expression, and how to obtain the analog to the Euler-Heisenberg energy of vacuum due to the neutrons contribution.

A fier integrating by parts in (13) its evaluation becomes easier. The Ferm i distributions, which arise by dierentiating f with respect to p_3 , are $n = 1 = (1 + e^{(E_n - n)})$. In the degenerate case this expression reduces in $n = (E_n)$ and $n^+ = 0$, since in that case only particles contribute to . The resulting expression splits itself in two terms where the integrals are bounded by the Ferm i surfaces $E_n (= 1) = 0$. These surfaces have axial symmetry, and thus, the Ferm im on entum is not a denite number, given only in terms of n and m_n , but on the opposite, it has in nite values. Thence, we have

$$sn = \frac{1}{4^{2}} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \neq 1 \\ p_{1} \neq 1}}^{X} \sum_{p_{2} \neq p_{2}}^{Z} \frac{p_{3} dp_{3}}{E_{n}} (E_{n} ()) :$$
(15)

The function bound these integrals in the intervals p_F p_3 p_{3F} , where $p_{3F} = \frac{p_1}{p_2^2 + m_n^2 + y}$ and $p_{3F} = \frac{p_2}{p_2^2 + m_n^2 + y}$. A fler some transform ations, it yields

$$sn = {}_{0} \frac{X}{{}_{=1; 1}} \frac{xf^{3}}{12} + \frac{(1 + y)(5 + y - 3)xf}{24} + \frac{(1 + y)^{3}(3 + y)}{24} L - \frac{yx^{3}}{6}s;$$
(16)

 $\begin{array}{l} \underset{r}{y} \underbrace{here \ x = \ }_{n} = \underset{n}{m} \underset{n}{n}; \ (m_{n} \ (x \ 1) \ is the usual Ferm ienergy), and \ y = \ qB = \underset{r}{m} : \ W e de ne the functions \ f \ (x; \ y) = \ x^{2} \ (1 + \ y^{2}, s \ s(x; \ y) = (p = 2 \ sin^{1} \ (1 + \ y) = x), \ L \ L \ (x; \ y) = \ln (x + \ f \ (x; \ y)) = (1 + \ y). \ The functions \ f = < \ p_{F} \ > = \ m_{n}, \ where \ < \ p_{F} \ > = \ p_{T} \ \frac{2}{n} \ (m_{n} + \ qB)^{2} \ and \ we \ name \ them \ the \ average \ Ferm \ im \ om \ enta \ for \ = \ 1. \ W \ e \ see \ that \ m_{n} \ qB \ behaves \ form \ ally \ as \ a \ two-valued \ m \ agnetic \ m \ ass. \end{array}$

In the zero eld lim it one gets $P_0 = n (y = 0)$, where

sn (y = 0) =
$$_0 \frac{xf_0^3}{12} \frac{xf_0}{8} + \frac{1}{8}L_0$$
; (17)

where $f_0 = \frac{p}{x^2} = 1$ is the relative Ferm in on entum p = m, and $L_0 = \ln (x + f_0)$. The neutron vacuum term (see Appendix) has an Euler-H eisenberg-like form as

$$v_{n} = \frac{1}{4^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dyy^{3} e^{(m_{n}^{2} + q^{2}B^{2})y} [\cosh(qBmy) 1 (qBmy^{2})=2!]$$

$$+ \frac{qB}{2^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dyy^{2} dwe^{[(w+m_{n})^{2} + q^{2}B^{2}]y} [\sinh(2qB(w+m_{n})y) (2qB(w+m_{n})y) + (2qB(w+m_{n})y)^{3}=3!]$$

$$(18)$$

It can be shown (see Appendix) that the more signi cant term in (18) is the rst one, which for elds of order 10¹⁷ G leads to $_{\rm V\,n}$ 10^{30} erg cm³ and is negligible small as compared with $_{\rm sn}$ up to B 10^{18} G. Thus, we neglect it in a rst approximation in what follows. We must point out, however, that since neutrons have a quark structure, a more fundamental quantity would be the vacuum quark contribution, whose order of magnitude is expected to be near $_{\rm V\,n}$. Apart from this note, it should be emphasized that the role of vacuum cannot be ignored for elds greater than 10^{18} G.

From N $_n = 0 = 0 _n$ one gets

$$N_{n} = N_{0} \frac{X}{3} + \frac{f^{3}}{2} + \frac{y(1+y)f}{2} \frac{yx^{2}}{2}s ; \qquad (19)$$

In the lim it B = 0 Eq.(19) reproduces the usual density of a relativistic Ferm igas at zero tem perature, $N_n = N_0 f_0^3=3$ Having an equation relating the chem ical potentials, and dem anding conservation of both baryonic num ber $N_n + N_p = N_B$ and electric charge $N_p + N_e = 0$, in principle one may solve exactly the problem in terms of the external eld as a parameter. Nonetheless, we shall focus our discussion on the properties of the equation of state. Note in passing that our expressions for the spectra and densities of neutrons and protons are similar to those of Ref. [32] of a neutron gas in a magnetic eld, but we get di erent equations of state.

Finally, for the magnetization, given as $M_n = 0_n = 0$, we have

$$M_{n} = M_{0} \frac{(1 \ 2 \ y)xf}{6}$$

$$\frac{(1 + y)^{2}(1 \ y=2)}{3}L + \frac{x^{3}}{6}s$$
(20)

where $N_0 = m_n^3 = 4^2$ 2:04 $1\hat{\theta}^9$, $_0 = N_0m_n$ 3:0 $1\hat{\theta}^6$, and $M_0 = N_0q$ 2:92 $1\hat{\theta}^6$ and one can write $M_n = M_n^+$ (= 1) M_n^+ (= +1), and obviously, $M_n = 0$.

W e con med by explicit calculation that M is a nonlinear function of B and, in this sense, the magnetic response is ferrom agnetic. A fully ferrom agnetic response demands to include also the spin-spin coupling contribution. W e discuss brie y this point below.

To see why the magnetization is always positive for the neutron gas note that the magnetic susceptibility = $M_n = 0$ can be easily obtained as

$$= \frac{qM_{0}}{2m_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{X} [kf + (1 + y)^{2}L]; \qquad (21)$$

which for x > 1, y = 1, and f real and positive, it is > 0. This means that M_n is an increasing function of B (or y) under such conditions. As M_n (y = 0) = 0 and M_n (y = 1) = 2 M_0 (1 +) > 0, this means that $M_n > 0$ in the region between these two points, which is the one of interest for us (the region I discussed below and showed in Fig. 2).

The fact that we are sum m ing over the m agnetic m om ents oriented parallel (= 1) and antiparallel (= +1) to B is similar to the well known Pauliparam agnetism in nonrelativistic quantum statistics. We may consider each term

= 1 as representing a phase of the system . One can draw in the x;y plane, for both x > 0, y > 0, two regions limited by the lines x y = 1 and x + y = 1 (see Figure 1). The region I is bounded above by the line x = y + 1, on which the contribution from f (= + 1), and all other terms containing = +1 vanish: all magnetic moments are aligned parallel to B. Below, such region is limited by y = 0. For points in the region x > y + 1 and y > 0 both terms containing = 1;+1 are nonzero and real. Thus, the quantities ;N_n, and M are real and although most neutrons have their magnetic moments along B, there are some am ount of them having their magnetic moments antiparallel to B. In this region, near the line x = y + 1, is located the curve P₂ = 0, which we will discuss below.

In the region II limited by x 1 y, y+1 > x y 1 only the term = 1 is real and the term = +1 becomes purely in aginary, and all neutrons would have their magnetic moments parallel to B. The term = 1 contribute to ;N n, and M. For x < y 1, there are no physical solutions. For y = 0, the magnetic eld, and in consequence, the magnetization M vanish. For y < 0 (magnetic eld along the negative y axis) we have the reverse situation to the one described above (See Figure 1).

W ith regards to the background of electrons and protons, we rem ind that the case of the electron gas was discussed in ([1]). We expect that for magnetic elds of order B_{ce} = $m_e^2 = 10^{43}$ G and densities around 10^{30} cm⁻³ all electrons are in the Landau ground state, and the system show the instability which arises from the vanishing of the transverse pressure. For densities and magnetic elds above these critical values, the stability of the electron gas is doubtful. Recently G hosh, M andal and C hakrabarty [5] has pointed out strong arguments against the equilibrium of an electron gas under decay in strongly magnetized neutron stars. The situation may be modied if radiative corrections are taken into account: if $B_{ce} = m_e^2 = 10^{43}$ G is the usual QED critical eld, for larger elds of order B_{ce}^0 $4 = B_{ce}$ 10^{46} G the contribution of the electron anom alous magnetic moment becomes signi cant and the problem cannot be satisfactory treated at the tree level. However, starting from the results of [1], [5], one concludes that the electron gas is hardly in equilibrium for elds beyond B_{ce}. One possibility is the bosonization of the electron system, as has been recently suggested [3]. This may be accomplished through the increase of the spin-spin interaction, leading to the form ation of parallel spin electron pairs, equivalent to charged vector bosons.

If we include both the norm aland the anom abus magnetic moments for protons, one can give a form ula for their spectrum in the external eld B as [14]:

$$E_{p} = \frac{q}{p_{3}^{2} + (2eBn + m_{p}^{2} + q_{p}B)^{2}};$$
(22)

 $q_p = 2:79M_n$. For neutrons, the critical eld at which the coupling energy of its magnetic moment equals the rest energy is $B_{cn} = 1.57 \quad 10^{20} \text{ G}$. For protons it is $B_{cp} = 2:29 \quad 10^{20} \text{ G}$. By dening $x_p = p = m_p$, $y_p = q_p = m_p$, $b = 2e = m_p^2$, then $y_p = 2:79e = 2m_p^2$. We name also g $g(x_p; B; n) = x_p^2 \quad h(B; n)^2$ and $h \quad h(B; n) = (bBn + 1 + y_pB)$. Thus for the proton therm odynam ical potential we get

$$p = \frac{eBm_{p}^{2}X}{4^{2}} x_{p}g \quad h^{2}\ln(x_{p} + g) = h; \qquad (23)$$

and for its density

$$N_{p} = \frac{eBm_{p}}{2^{2}} X X g(x_{p}; B; n); \qquad (24)$$

FIG.1: This plot shows the regions in the x,y plane where the neutron magnetic moments are oriented parallel or antiparallel to the magnetic eld B. Special attention should be given to regions I and II, where the solutions discussed in the text are valid.

while the magnetization is given by

$$M_{p} = \frac{em_{p}^{2} X X}{4^{2}} x_{p}g h^{2} + (y_{p} + (y_{p}$$

where the coe cients of these form ulae are N $_{0p} = em_{p}B = 2^{-2}$ 4.06 $1\dot{0}^{9}B$, $_{0p} = N_{0p}m_{p}B$ 6:1 $1\dot{0}^{6}B$, and M $_{0p} = N_{0p}m_{p} = _{0}=B$. The maximum occupied Landau quantum number n may be given as $n_{max} = (x_{p} - y_{B})^{2}$ 1=bB. For B B_{cp} , so that $y_{p}B$ 1, and x_{p} 1, one can take approximately n_{max} (x_{p}^{2} 1)=bB, and for elds large enough $n_{max} = 0$. We expect that from the equation $n = _{p} + _{e}$, then $x_{p} - x_{n}$, the previous expression for the proton density N_{p} decreases with increasing B, favoring the inverse beta decay. For elds B $m_{p}=q_{p}$ and $x_{p} - 1$, n_{max} 1, and thus large Landau numbers are again occupied. However, for x_{n} ; $x_{p} \& 1$, being both quantities of the same order of magnitude, from the comparison of $_{0p}$; N_{0p} ; M_{0p} with $_{0}$; N_{0} ; M_{0} we conclude that for elds below 10^{19} G, the dom inant longitudinal pressure, density and magnetization comes from the neutron gas.

CONDITION FOR ZERO TRANSVERSE PRESSURE AND COLLAPSE

In the electron gas case [1], the vanishing of the transverse m om entum can be guessed from the spectrum when all the system is conned to the Landau ground state. The spectrum corresponds to a purely one-dimensional system moving along the external eld, and the transverse Ferm im om entum is zero. In a similar way, in the neutron gas case we observe that the threshold of zero transverse pressure $P_{?} = 0$ can be gured out from the spectrum described by Eq.(12), since the contribution from the = 1 term is dominant (observe that the term with = +1 contributes with a negative term to M). We shall consider on the Ferm is urface for = 1 the quantity

$$p_{F?eff}^2 = {}^2_n \quad p_{F3}^2 \quad m_n^2 = {}^q \frac{2}{p_{F?}^2 + m_n^2} \quad q_B^2 \quad m_n^2$$
 (26)

which we name the elective squared Ferm itransverse m on entum. If 10^{20} G, then $q^2 B^2 = 2eB m_n$, the vanishing of $p_{F,2} e_{ff}$ is guaranteed if

$$p_{F?} = \frac{p}{2qBm_n};$$
 (27)

The resulting Ferm is reface would be equivalent to that for one-dimensionalmotion, parallel to B, i. e., for particles having energy E_n ' $p_3^2 + m_n^2$, and in consequence the transverse momentum (and pressure) vanishes. Notice from (27) that for $p_F_2 = m_n$ 10^{1:5} one has $qB = m_n = y$ 10³, which means elds of order 10¹⁷G. A more accurate result is obtained, how ever, from the condition: $T_2 = 0$.

In Figure 2 we have drawn the equation $P_{2n} = BM = 0$ in term s of the variables x; y. We observe that there is a continuous range of values of x, starting from x = 1.005 to x = 1.125 and from y = 0.001 to y = 0.1, for which the collapse takes place. The latter values of y means elds in the interval $B = 10^{17}$ to 10^{19} G. To these ranges of x; y corresponds a continuum range of densities, from $10^{-2}N_0$ (10^{12} g/cm⁻³) onwards. The transverse compression of the whole m ass of the star due to ux conservation leads to an increase of B and the mechanism of collapse is enhanced.

The spin-spin coupling contribution

A lthough we used previously the term ferrom agnetic to denote the non-linear response of the medium to the external eld, what we have considered actually in our previous calculations is the occurrence of relativistic Pauli's

FIG. 2: The instability condition: $P_2 = 0$ in terms of the variables x, y. A nascent strongly magnetized neutron star having a conguration such that its dynamical stage would be represented by a point above the central curve in this plot would be unstable to transversal collapse, since $P_2 = 0$ there.

FIG.3: The curve $P_2 = 0$ in terms of the neutron starm ean density N and the surface magnetic eld B.

param agnetism. We have not fully considered the spin-spin coupling, which would lead more de nitely to Heisenberg ferrom agnetism, and is physically reasonable to expect its appearance in nuclear matter for densities high enough. This problem has been studied in [34] via the interaction through axial vector and tensor exchange channels. These authors show that if the interactions are strong enough and dier in sign, the system loses the spherical symmetry due to a mechanism independent of the one discussed in the present paper. In the case of a quark liquid [35] the problem has been studied under one gluon exchange interaction, and conditions for ferrom agnetism may arise.

A lthough the problem requires further research, one expects that if H eisenberg ferrom agnetism is to appear, it would increase the magnetization to M_s = M, where is the internal eld parameter. If >> 1 our previous estimates for the vanishing of the transverse pressure might be largely exceeded, with the arising of a new spontaneous magnetization M_s M = B = 4. This would mean that the magnetic eld B could be kept self-consistently and our previous calculations would be a lower bound of the fully ferror agnetic case. The vanishing of P₂ is expected to occur surely at values of B smaller than those depicted in F igures 2 and 3. As a rough estimation, if we assume the exchange interaction J am ong neutrons of order of few hundreds of M eV, and the num ber of nearest neighbors as z 10, by dividing their product by the dipole interaction energy, say, for the core of the star where N = N₀, we get

10th. This means B 4 M_s 10^{20} G. For such extremely large elds the magnetic coupling of quarks with B would become of the order of their binding energy through the color eld producing a decon nm ent phase transition leading to a quark (q)-star, a pressure-induced transition to uds-quark matter via ud-quark condensates, as discussed in Refs.[43, 44]. But elds of that order lead surely to the collapse of the star and even to the instability of vacuum.

MAGNETAR FORMATION AND STABILITY

Next we brie y review the basic ideas supporting the theory of magnetars and then show why these hypothetical objects cannot survive after reaching the claim ed super strong magnetic elds. We then present prospectives for a hybrid or strange star to appear as a rem nant of the quantum magnetic collapse of a NS. According to Duncan 10¹⁴ and Thom pson [3], NSs with very high dipole surface magnetic eld strength, B $10^{15}]$ G, may form when (classical) conditions for a helical dynam o action are e ciently m et during the seconds follow ing the core-collapse and bounce in a supernova (SN) explosion [3]. A new ly-born NS may undergo vigorous convection during the rst 30 s following its formation [39]. If the NS spins (di erentially) su ciently fast (P 1 m s) the conditions are created for dynam o action to be built, which may survive depletion due to turbulent di usion. Collapse theory, on the the other hand, show s that som e pre-supernova stellar cores could adquire enough spin so as to rotate near their K eplerian $\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{3}G_{N}M = \mathbb{R}^{3}$, which implies a period P_{K} 0:6 s, after equatorial velocity, the break-up spin frequency: K core bounce. Under these conditions, elds as large as [3, 42]

B
$$10^{7} \frac{P}{1m s}$$
 G; (28)

may be generated as long as the di erential rotation is dragged out by the growing magnetic stresses. For this process to e ciently operate the ratio between the spin rate (P) and the convection overtum time scale ($_{con}$), the Rossby number (R₀), should be R₀ 1. Duncan and Thompson warned that R₀ 1 should induce less e ective mean-dynam os[3]). In this case, an ordinary dipole B_D $[1d^2 \quad 1d^3]$ G may be built by incoherent superposition of small dipoles of characteristic size $\frac{1}{5}$ 1 km, and a saturation strength B_{sat} = (4)¹⁼² = $_{con}$ ' 10¹⁶ G may be reached at the surface during this early evolution of the nascent neutron star. At such elds, the huge rotational energy of a NS spinning at: $!_{NS}$ 1kH z, is leaked out via magnetic braking and an enorm ous energy is injected into the SN rem nant. This process may explain the power em itted by a plerion.

As shown above, at the end of the SN core collapse we are left with a rapidly rotating NS endowed with with an extrem ely strong m agnetic eld (ESMF) strength and a large m atter density $[10^{14} \quad 10^{12}]$ g cm ³. As illustrated in Figure 1, those are the conditions for the quantum instability to start to dom inate the dynam ics of the young pulsar. At this stage, the magnetic pressure inwards may overpass the star energy density at its equator and the collapse becom es unavoidable. A s the collapse proceeds, higher and higher densities are reached till the point the supranuclear density may reverse the direction of implosion. A hybrid or strange star (SS) may have form ed. We explore next this plausible outcome, among the other possibilities quoted above. From that moment, the sound wave generated at the core bounce builds itself into a shock wave traveling through the star at V_{SW} c=3 km s¹. Although the ESMF strength could be quite large as long as the collapse advances, the huge kinetic energy, E 10^{51} ⁵² erg, the mean energy obtained in calculations of energy release in neutron star phase transitions to strange (twin) stars [36, 37, 38] and some prompt shock supernovae ($E_{p,s} = 10^{10}$ erg) [39], carried away by the shock wave drives a kind of supernova explosion inasmuch as in the quark nova model and sim ilar scenarios [38]. Such a huge ram pressure m ay counterbalance the m agnetic pressure, and even surpass it, i.e.,

$$r_{ject}V_{SW}^2 = \frac{B^2}{8_0} \frac{R}{r_A}^6$$
; (29)

at a location from the star center equivalent to the A liven radius of the magnetar

e

$$r_{A} = \frac{2}{G} \frac{2}{G} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{B^{4}R^{12}}{M} \frac{1}{M} \frac{$$

This radius is quite large, about 7 times the NS radius (see Table 1). Here M- denes the accretion rate of the free-falling overlaying material making up the NS crust, which is left out when the transition occurs (see denitions and further details in Ref.[38], and references therein). Therefore, it is quite legitimated to expect that most of the magnetic energy stored inside the magnetosphere to be drained out of the A liven radius. Notice in addition that the strange star radius scales as: $R_{SS} = R_{NS} \left(\frac{NS}{SS}\right)^{1=3}$, where the densities ratio reads: $\frac{NS}{SS}$ / 0.1 02. O ther relations between both the stars can be obtained by using conservation laws or appropriate scalings.

Then the ESMF lines are pushed out and nally broken, in a process inverse to the standard accretion one, from r_A onwards into the SN rem nant surroundings, as a violent explosion that dissipates a large part of the magnetic ux ($B^2r_a^2$) and energy trapped inside the magnetar magnetosphere [28]. Energy from the magnetic eld can be dissipated via vacuum polarization and electron-positron pairs creation, as well as acceleration of charged matter owing away (synchroton and curvature losses [40, 41] with the explosion and material trapped in the star magnetosphere and nearby the Alfven radius. This is analogous to the mechanism operating during a solar are or a coronal massejection, where the very high B in a given Sun-spot is drastically diminished after aring for a short period of time (see also Ref. [42]). In the Sun spots outbursts and coronalm ass ejections launch into space part of the Solarw ind of charged and neutral particles passing by the Earth [46, 47]. In the case of an imploding NS, the phase of open m agnetic eld lines over which the strange star is acting as a propeller lasts for about T $_{prop}$ $E_{spin}=L_{prop}$ [10⁴ 10°]s, $2M-c^2$ the propeller lum inosity, and E_{spin} I!_{SS} the star rotational energy. Thence, the large am ount with L_{prop} of matter ejected from the strange star at such large velocities and the pairs created, in the vacuum breakdown, drains out the dipole eld of the remnant below the quantum electrodynamic limit of B ce 4:4 10³G [28].

To give an insight into this piece of the physics of the problem, notice that once the propeller phase is over and no more lum inosity is coming from that mechanism, the magnetic eld lines can recombine again if the energy released in this new stable phase is essentially the strange star rotational dipole lum inosity (as the one from a millisecond pulsar), which then becomes the star dominant mechanism of energy emission, that is

$$4\frac{B_{SS}^{2}R_{SS}^{6}!_{SS}^{4}}{9c^{3}} = I!_{SS}!_{SS} :$$
(31)

For the parameters shown in Table 1 and the observed lum inosity from fast rotating pulsars, this relation in plies a new equilibrium magnetic eld B 10^{12} ¹³ G, which is below the quantum electrodynamics threshold.

Since all the di erential rotation has been dragged up to build up the form er ESM F, then nothing else remains to make the magnetic eld to grow to its pre-collapse value. Thence no such ultra high B should reappear. W e may be left with a sub-millisecond strange star[43] or a hybrid star[44] with "canonical" eld strength, but no any magnetar. W e note in passing that the above theoretical result is attained on the standardized assumption that the structure of the magnetic eld of the pulsar is dipolar. This premise is underlying to the claim by K ouveliotou et al.[45] that a magnetar had been discovered in the soft gam marray repeater source SG R 1806-20. Notwithstanding, for other N S (multipolar or uniform) eld con gurations we do expect the overall behavior here discussed to persist, since once the spin-spin coupling is taken into account the unavoidable consequence is the appearance of a ferror agnetic (axial) con guration or structure which is dipolar in nature, and therefore the theory propound here still holds, because the magnetic eld could then be amplied by a factor 10^4), putting the nascent pulsar above the threshold for stability, and the collapse ensues. Rephrasing this, one can think of this theory as a eld-con guration independent constraint on initial N S magnetic eld strengths.

In looking for other contexts far from those involving com pact rem nant stars, we noticed that recent \Tabletop A strophysics" experiments performed by C.W iem an et al.[48] have succeeded in rening sophisticated techniques to switch atoms in a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) [48] from states of implosion to states of re-expansion (or explosion). In certain cases they observed that som e collapses appear rather similar to microscopic supernovae. The initial implosion is followed by an explosion in which atoms are ejected as a hollow ball or in narrow jets, like in the collapse and rebound of a exploding star that form s characteristic expanding balls or stream s of out owing gas. The W iem an team named the phenom enon \B osenovae" because of the similarities with typical supernovae. In fact, in both phenom ena som e m aterial is left after the implosion as a compact object. Because of the similarity of the physics of Bose-E instein condensates with the one we presented above, we are con dent that this our theory can also be adapted to explain these in pressive results by W iem an et al.[48]; K etterle and Anglin [26]. The m ain feature of these oscillating Bose-E instein condensates, said to be a scale-down version of either a neutron star or a white dwarf[48], is that for some critical elds what appears is an attractive force between the atom s and the condensate in plodes and rebounds driven by some sort of internal negative pressure. We claim in this paper (a detailed description of BECs phenom enology is to be given in a work in preparation [49]) that such a negative pressure could be explained in the context of the theory introduced in this paper, since a precise relationship between gas density and magnetic eld strength in the BEC is settled out by switching the atom s between attractive and non-attractive states. Thence the negative pressure acts as the equivalent of an attractive force among the atom s directed towards the magnetic eld axis, leading to the BEC im plosion.

CONCLUSIONS

We conclude by claiming that if a degenerate neutron gas is under the action of a super strong magnetic eld B_{ce} . B. B_{cn} , for values of the density typical of NS matter its transverse pressure vanishes, the outcome being a transverse collapse. This phenomenon establishes a strong bound on the magnetic eld strength expected to be found in any stable neutron star pulsar, regardless of its initial eld conguration, and suggests a possible endpoint in the early evolution of highly magnetized neutron stars. They could likely be a mixed phase of nucleons and a ; 0 ;K ;K 0 ;K 0 ; ; ;! meson condensate, a hybrid or strange star, or a distorted black hole but no any magnetar at all. We point out, nonetheless, that if by any mechanism a strange star could be formed directly in a supernova exlposion (which is uncertain, but not ruled out) and if vigorous dynam o action operates in the strange quark matter bulk, "pulsars" with elds higher than B _{ce} could still be formed. In other words, if any magnetars exist, they cannot be neutron stars.

APPENDIX

W e use the integral representation

$$a^{1=2} = \prod_{i=2}^{Z} \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{dx}{x^2} (e^{-ax^2} - 1) = \prod_{i=2}^{Z} \frac{1}{x^2} dyy^{-3=2} (e^{-ay} - 1):$$
 (32)

We regularize the divergent term dependent on a in (32), by introducing a small quantity as the lower limit in the integral, and neglecting the term independent of a

$$a^{1=2}() = {}^{1=2} dyy {}^{3=2}e^{ay}$$
: (33)

By taking a (;) = $g^2 + (p_2^2 + m_n^2 + qB)^2$ and substituting in (15) and perform ing the Gaussian integral on p_3 , one obtains

$$V_{n}() = \frac{1}{4^{2}} X^{2} p_{2} dp_{2} dp_{2} dy ^{5=2} e^{(p_{p_{2}^{2} + m_{n}^{2}} + qB)^{2}y};$$
(34)

By substituting $z = p \frac{p_1^2 + m_n^2}{p_2^2 + m_n^2} + qB$ one is left with the expression

$$V_{n}() = \frac{1}{4^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{Z_{1}} dyy^{3} e^{(m_{n}^{2} + q^{2}B^{2})y} \cosh(qBmy) + \frac{X}{4^{2}} \frac{qB}{4^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{Z_{1}} dyy^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{Z_{1}} e^{z^{2}}ydz;$$
(35)

By introducing the new variable $w = z - m_n - qB$, the second integral in (35) becomes

$$\frac{qB}{4^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dyy^{2} e^{[(w+m_{n})^{2}+q^{2}B^{2}]y} \sinh(2qB(w+m_{n})y):$$
(36)

A fler subtracting to $\cosh(qBm y)$ and $\sinh[2qB (w + m_n)y]$ the rst two terms in their series expansion, one can take ! 0 and obtain the nite expression (18). This process is equivalent to the subtraction of divergent terms, one of which is proportional to B², and absorbs the classical eld energy term B²=8.

It is not di cult to check that for elds B 10^{20} G, the rst term in (18) is the dom inant one. Its rst contribution after the series expansion of $\cosh(qBmy)$ is $q^{3}B^{3}m_{n}^{3}=2^{2}(m_{n}^{2}+q^{2}B^{2})$. For elds of order 10^{17} G such a term is of order 10^{30} ergs/cm³, much smaller than sn. But for elds near 10^{20} G its contribution is comparable to that of sn.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENT

All authors thank J. Arponen, M. Chaichian, J. Ellis, A. Green, K. Kajantie, C. Montonen, A. E. Shabad, and A. Zepeda for useful comments and suggestions. H P.R thanks M. Virasoro, IAEA and UNESCO for hospitality in ICTP. The nancial support of the A cadem y of Finland under the project No. 163394 is greatly acknow ledged. H JM C thanks Fundaceo de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Rio de Janeiro (Brasil) for a Grant-in-Aid. The authors thank the anonim ous referee for hism any valuable criticism s and suggestions, which led to this highly improved version of our form erm anuscript.

- [10] L.D.Landau, E.M.Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Pergamon, New York, (1970)
- [11] A E. Shabad, H. Perez Rojas, Ann. of Phys., 121 (1979) 432.

^[1] M. Chaichian, S. Masood, C. Montonen, A. Perez Martinez, H. Perez Rojas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5261 (2000).

^[2] A. Perez Martinez, H. Perez Rojas, H. Mosquera Cuesta, hep-ph/0011399

^[3] R.C.Duncan, C.Thompson, Ap.J. 392, L9 (1992).

^[4] V.R.Khalibv, Phys.Rev D, 65, 056001-1 (2002)

^[5] S.Ghosh, S.M andal, S.Chakrabarty, astro-ph/0207492 (2002).

^[6] L.Herrera and N.O. Santos, Local Anisotropy in Self-G ravitating System s, Phys.Rep. 286, 53 (1997)

^[7] M.K.Mak and T.Harko, gr-gc/0110103.

^[8] A. Som m erfeld, E lectrodynam ics, A cadem ic Press, New York (1952)

^[9] JD. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, J.W iley and Sons, New York, (1966).

^[12] P.Haensel, S.Bonazzola, Astron. Astrophys. 314, 1017 (1996).

^[13] J.E.Horvath, J.A. de Freitas Pacheco, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. D 8, 19 (1998).

^[14] V G. Bagrov, D M. G itm an, Exact Solutions of Relativistic W ave Equations, K luwer A cad. Publ. (1990).

^[15] W .Heisenberg and H.Euler, Z.Phys. 98, 714 (1936).

^[16] L.D.Landau, E.M.Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, Pergamon, Oxford York, (1958)

^[17] K.Huang, Statistical Mechanics, J.W iley and Sons, New York, (1963)

^[18] S.W einberg, Gravitation and Cosm ology, J.W iley and Sons, New York, (1972)

- [19] L.D.Landau, E.M.Lifshitz, Electrodynam ics of Continuous Media, Pergamon, New York, (1963)
- [20] H.J.M osquera Cuesta, H.Perez Rojas, A.Perez Mart nez, Anisotropic pressures in very dense matter, in preparation
- [21] K.Konno, T.Obata, Y.Kojima, Astron. Astrophys. 356, 234 (2000)
- [22] C.Y.Cardall, M.Prakash, J.M.Lattimer, Astrophys. J. 554, 322 (2001).
- [23] S.Chakrabarty, D.Bandyopadhyay, S.Pal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2898 (1997).
- [24] A.Broderick, M.Prakash, J.M.Lattimer, Ap.J. 537, 351 (2000).
- [25] T.Chiba, Prog. Theor. Phys. 95, 321 (1996).
- 356,234 (2000).
- [26] W.Ketterle and J.Anglin, Bose-Einstein Condensation of Atomic Gases, Nature 216, 211 (2002).
- [27] V N.K ondratyev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 221101 (2002)
- [28] J.E.Horvath, Int.Jour.M od.Phys.D 8, 669 (1999).
- [29] E.S.Fradkin, Quantum Field Theory and Hydrodynam ics, Proc. of the PN.Lebedev Inst. No. 29, Consultants Bureau (1967).
- [30] V.Weisskopf, Kong.Dans.Videns.Selskab, Math-fys.Meddeltser,14, (1936).
- [31] H. Perez Rojas, Acta Phys. Pol. B17, 861 (1986).
- [32] I-S.Suh, G.J.M athews, Ap.J. 530, 949 (2000).
- [33] S.M andal, S.Chakrabarty, astro-ph/0209462 (2002).
- [34] T.Maruyam a and T.Tatsum i, Nucl. Phys. A 693, 710 (2001)
- [35] T. Tatsum i, Phys. Lett. B 489, 310 (2000)
- [36] I.N.M ishustin, et al., Phys. Lett. B 552, 1 (2003).
- [37] G.Marranghello, C.Z.Vasconzellos and J.A.de Freitas Pacheco, Phys. Rev. D 66, 064027 (2002).
- [38] H. J. M osquera Cuesta, Ph.D. thesis (in portuguese), INPE, Brazil, unpublished (1998). See also the paper in preparation: "G ravitational waves, gam m a-ray bursts and ultra high energy cosm ic ray acceleration during neutron star phase transitions: An uni ed picture", by H. J. M osquera Cuesta, J. A. de Freitas Pacheco and M. D illig. The "Q uark N ova" m odel can be found in R. O uyed, J. D ey, M. D ey, A stron. A strophys. 390, L39 (2002).
- [39] H.-Th.Janka, E.Muller, A.& A. 306, 167 (1996).
- [40] B. Zhang and A. K. Harding, A strophys. J. 535, L51 (2000).
- [41] B. Zhang, A. K. Harding and A. G. Muslim ov, A strophys. J. 531, L135 (2000).
- [42] W.Kluzniak, M.Ruderman, Ap.J.Lett. 505, L113 (1998). See also M.Ruderman, L.Tao, W.Kluzniak, Ap.J. 542, 243 (2001).
- [43] J.M adsen, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81, 3311 (1998).
- [44] N.K.G lendenning, Compact stars: Nuclear physics, particle physics and general relativity, New York, USA: Springer (1997).
- [45] C.Kouveliotou, et al., Nature 393, 235 (1998), and also C.Kouveliotou, et al., Astrophys.J. 510, L115 (1999).
- [46] E.N. Parker, Chin. Journ. A stron. A strophys. 1, 99 (2001).
- [47] J.Chen, Spac.Sci.Rev.Vol.95, issue 1/2, 165 (2001).
- [48] S.L.Comish, et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 85, 1795 (2000).
- [49] H.J.Mosquera Cuesta, H.Perez Rojas, A.Perez Mart nez, Exploding Bose-Einstein condensate and critical magnetic elds, in preparation
- [50] This is an elect opposite to the oblateness of the Sun, Earth and other planets due to the elective decrease of the transverse gravitational force by the centrifugal force.
- [51] The reader is addressed to the interesting references: "W hat if pulsars are born as strange stars?", by R.Xu, B.Zhang and G.Qiao, A stropart. Phys. 15, 101 (2001), and "PSR 0943+10: A bare strange star?", by the same authors and published in A strophys. J. 552, L109 (1999).

TABLE I: Parameters used in modeling the phase transition of a neutron to a strange star, as discussed in the text.

	m assa M	radius R	period P	magn. eld B	accretion rate
	[M]	[km]	[m s]	[G]	[M s ¹]
Neutron star	1.5	12.5	2.0	2 10 ¹⁵	10 15
Strange star	1.5	9.5-10.0	0.5	2 10 ¹³	10 5



Х



