The Extrem ely M etal-Poor, N eutron-C apture-R ich Star C S 22892-052: A Comprehensive A bundance A nalysis¹

Christopher Sneden², John J. Cowan^{2;3}, Jam es E. Law ler⁴, Inese I. Ivans^{2;5;6}, Scott Burles⁷, T in othy C. Beers⁸, Francesca P rim as⁹, Vanessa H ill¹⁰, Jam es W. Truran¹¹,

George M. Fuller¹² Bernd P fei er^{13} , and Karl-Ludwig K ratz¹³

ABSTRACT

High-resolution spectra obtained with three ground-based facilities and the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) have been combined to produce a new abundance analysis of CS 22892-052, an extrem ely metal-poor giant with large relative enhancements of neutron-capture elements. A revised model stellar atmosphere has been derived with the aid of a large number of Fe-peak transitions, including both neutral and ionized species of six elements. Several elements, including

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019; cowan@mailnhn.ou.edu

⁴D epartm ent of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706; jelaw ler@facsta wisc.edu

⁵P resent address: D epartm ent of A stronom y, C alifornia Institute of Technology, P asadena, C A 91125; iii@ astro.caltech.edu

⁶Hubble Fellow

⁷D epartm ent of Physics, M assachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 M assachusetts Avenue, Room 6-113, C am bridge, M A 02139-4307; burles@ m it.edu

⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824; beers@pamsu.edu

 $^9{\rm E}{\rm uropean}$ Southern O bservatory, Karl-Schwarzschild Strasse 2, D-85748 Garching bei Muenchen; fprimas@ess.org

¹⁰GEPI, Observatoire de Meudon, 5 pl. J. Janssen, F-92195 Meudon Cedex; Vanessa Hill@obspm.fr

¹¹D epartm ent of A stronom y and A strophysics, Enrico Ferm i Institute, University of Chicago, 933 E. 56th Street, Chicago, IL 60637; truran@ nova.uchicago.edu

¹²D epartm ent of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0319; gfuller@ucsd.edu

¹³Institut fur Kemchem ie, Universitat Mainz, Fritz-Strassmann-Weg 2, D-55099 Mainz, Germany; pfei er@mailkemchem ie.uni-mainz.de, klkratz@mailkemchem ie.uni-mainz.de

²Department of Astronomy and McDonald Observatory, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712; chris@verdias.utexas.edu

Mo, Lu, Au, Pt and Pb, have been detected for the rst time in CS 22892-052, and signi cant upper limits have been placed on the abundances of Ga, Ge, Cd, Sn, and U in this star. In total, abundance measurements or upper lim its have been determined for 57 elements, far more than previously possible. New Be and Lidetections in CS 22892-052 indicate that the abundances of both these elements are signi cantly depleted compared to unevolved main-sequence turno stars of similar metallicity. A bundance comparisons show an excellent agreem ent between the heaviest n-capture elem ents (Z 56) and scaled solar system r-process abundances, con ming earlier results for CS 22892-052 and other metal-poor stars. New theoretical r-process calculations also show good agreem ent with CS 22892-052 abundances as well as the solar r-process abundance components. The abundances of lighter elements (40 Ζ 50), how ever, deviate from the same scaled abundance curves that m atch the heavier elements, suggesting di erent synthesis conditions or sites for the low -m ass and high-m ass ends of the abundance distribution. The detection of Th and the upper limit on the U abundance together imply a lower limit of 10.4 Gyr on the age of CS 22892-052, quite consistent with the Th/Eu age estimate of 12.8 ' 3 Gyr. An average of several chronom etric ratios yields an age 14.2 ' 3 G yr.

Subject headings: stars: abundances | stars: Population II | Galaxy: halo | Galaxy: abundances | Galaxy: evolution | nuclear reactions, nucleosynthesis, abundances

1. IN TRODUCTION

E lem ental abundance observations of G alactic halo stars provide fundam ental know ledge about the history and nature of nucleosynthesis in the G alaxy. In particular, abundance determ inations of the neutron-capture (n-capture) elements | those from the (s) low and

¹Based on observations m ade at four facilities: (a) the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI), which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555; (b) the Keck I Telescope of the W.M. Keck O bservatory, which is operated by the California Association for Research In Astronomy (CARA, Inc.) on behalf of the University of California and the California Institute of Technology; (c) the H.J. Sm ith Telescope of M cD onald O bservatory, which is operated by The University of Texas at Austin; and (d) the Very Large Telescope of the European Southern O bservatory at Paranal, Chile, from UVES commissioning data and program 165 N -0276 (A).

(r)apid neutron-capture processes | have been utilized to constrain early G alactic nucleosynthesis and chem ical evolution. A number of observational and theoretical studies have, for exam ple, established that at the earliest tim es in the G alaxy the r-process was prim arily responsible for n-capture elem ent form ation (Spite & Spite 1978; Truran 1981; Sneden & Parthasarathy 1983; Sneden & Pilachowski 1985; G ilroy et al. 1988; G ratton & Sneden 1994; M cW illiam et al. 1995; C owan et al. 1995; Sneden et al. 1996, R yan, N orris & Beers 1996). The onset of the s-process occurs at higher m etallicities (and later G alactic tim es) with the injection of nucleosynthetic m aterial from long-lived low – and interm ediater m ass stars into the interstellar m edium (Busso, G allino, & W asserburg 1999; Burris et al. 2000). The relatively recent detections of radioactive elem ents such as thorium and uranium in a few r-process-enhanced m etal-poor stars are providing new opportunities to determ ine the ages of the oldest stars, and in the process, set low er lim its on the age of the G alaxy (Sneden et al. 1996; C ow an et al. 1997, 2002; Johnson & B olte 2001; C ayrel et al. 2001; H ill et al. 2002; Schatz et al. 2002).

The halo giant star CS 22892-052 was discovered in the southern Galactic pole survey of Beers, Preston, & Shectman (1985, 1992), and was judged to be extremely metal-poor based upon the relative weakness of its Ca IIK line. Basic data for this star are listed in Table 1. M cW illiam et al. (1995) included CS 22892-052 in a follow up high-resolution chem ical composition study of 33 low metallicity stars. Inspection of the CS 22892-052 spectrum revealed unusually strong transitions of n-capture elements, and so Sneden et al. (1994) subjected it to a separate analysis. That study con med the status of CS 22892-052 1.0, Fe/H] $\{3.1\}$ ¹⁴ The low as an extremely metal-poor giant (Te 4725 K , log g signal-to-noise (S/N) and m oderate spectral resolution of their data perm itted study of only the stronger n-capture spectral features of (mostly) the rare-earth elements. But Sneden et al. established that CS 22892-052 is indeed n-capture-rich (e.g., Eu/Fe) +1.6), and that the relative abundances of nine elements in the range 56 Z 66 are consistent with a scaled solar-system purely r-process distribution. Norris, Ryan, & Beers (1997a) performed an independent study of this star and found abundances consistent with the Sneden et al. investigation.

A more extensive spectroscopic study of CS 22892-052 with better data (Sneden et al. 1996) expanded the total number of n-capture element abundances to 20, including a clear detection of the strong Th II 4019 A line. Thorium is radioactive with a half-life of 14.0 G yr, and the observed [Th/Eu] abundance ratio combined with an assumed extrapolation of the

 $^{^{14}}W$ e adopt the usual spectroscopic notations that [A/B] \log_{10} (N_A/N_B)_{star} { \log_{10} (N_A/N_B) , and that log (A) \log_{10} (N_A/N_H) + 12.0, for elements A and B.A lso, metallicity will be assumed here to be equivalent to the stellar Fe/H] value.

solar-system r-process abundance distribution out to Th yielded a simple \decay age" of about 15 G yr. Unfortunately CS 22892-052 is also relatively carbon-rich ([C/Fe] +1.0; M dW illiam et al. 1995). A study of carbon-rich metal-poor stars without r-process abundance enhancements (N orris, R yan, & Beers 1997b) revealed an important problem in using the Th II 4019 A line for chronometry estimates: this is a transition blended with not only atom ic contam inants but also two ¹³CH lines. All these contam inants must be properly accounted for in the extraction of the Th abundance in CS 22892-052.

M ost strong n-capture elem ent transitions in the spectra of m etal-poor giants occur at wavelengths < 4300 A. Therefore we obtained a new high resolution, high signal-to-noise (S/N) near-UV spectrum of CS 22892-052 with the K eck I H IRES.P reliminary abundances from that spectrum were reported by Sneden et al. (2000). Spectroscopic data farther in the UV were gathered with the H ubble Space Telescope Im aging Spectrograph (ST IS).New visible wavelength spectra have been obtained with the M cD onald Sm ith 2.7-m telescope and $\2d$ -coude" spectrograph. C om m issioning data with the ESO VLT/UT2 (K ueyen) and the UVES spectrograph have also been included in this assemblage. W ith these four data sets we have perform ed a new abundance analysis of CS 22892-052. A bundances or signi cant upper limits are reported for 57 elements in this star. To date this is the largest number of elemental abundances discussed for any star other than the Sun, rivaled only by the 54 elements detected by C ow ley et al. (2000) in the Ap star HD 101065 (P rzybylski's star). O bservations are described in x2, the abundance analysis is given in x3, and our interpretation of this large abundance set is discussed in x4.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND REDUCTIONS

HST STIS UV spectra: We obtained high-resolution spectra in the vacuum ultraviolet, 3100 A, with the Hubble Space Telescope Im aging Spectrograph (STIS). The instrument setup included echelle grating E230M centered at = 2707 A, entrance aperture $0.2^{00} \ 0.06^{0}$, and the NUV MAMA detector. The resolving power (R =) was approximately 30,000. Because CS 22892-052 is a (V = 13.1) K-giant star, 12 separate HST visits with ve individual integrations each were needed to produce a nal reduced spectrum with S/N 20. We employed standard HST STIS reduction procedures in plemented in IRAF¹⁵ to combine the 60 integrations into a one-dimensional, at-elded, wavelength-

¹⁵ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronom y Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronom y, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

calibrated spectrum. The individual spectra from each of the 60 integrations had very low S/N values, and experiments with the total reductions showed that a more reliable nal spectrum could be obtained with background corrections neglected. We therefore used the \raw" ST IS spectra, and combined these 60 with a median algorithm that neglected the ve highest and ve lowest data points in each spectral pixel.

Keck IN ear-UV spectra: W e gathered Keck IH IRES (Vogt et al. 1994) echelle spectra in the spectral region 3100 4250 A. Seven individual integrations were combined to produce the nal spectrum. The resolving power of the fully reduced spectrum was R ' 45,000. Its S/N decreased blueward, from 150 per pixel at 4200 A to 20 at

3100 A; both the stellar ux and the CCD response decline toward the UV.We used auxiliary tungsten lamp spectra for at-elding the stellar spectrum and Th-Ar lamp spectra for wavelength calibration. We perform ed all extraction and reduction tasks to produce a spectrum for analysis with the software package MAKEE (e.g., Barlow & Sargent 1997).

M cD onald 2d-coude spectra: W e obtained a high-resolution spectrum in the wavelength range 4300 9000 A with the M cD onald Observatory 2.7m H.J. Smith telescope and \2d-coude" echelle spectrograph (Tull et al. 1995). In addition to tungsten and Th-Ar calibration spectra, we also acquired a spectrum of the hot, rapidly rotating star Aql for use in canceling telluric (O₂ and H₂O) lines that occur in the yellow-red region. The spectrograph setup had a 1.2^{00} entrance slit and a Tektronix 2048 2048 CCD detector, yielding a 2-pixel resolving power of R ' 60,000. The star was observed on three consecutive nights. Initial processing of the raw spectra was done with standard IRAF echelle reduction tasks. The co-added reduced spectra have S/N > 100 for > 5500 A, and this declines steadily to levels of 40 at 4400 A.

VLT UVES spectra: W e m ade use of VLT UVES (Dekker et al. 2000) echelle spectra which were obtained during the commissioning phase of the instrum ent¹⁶ and during the course of the ESO Large Program 165 N-0276 (A) (First Stars, PIR.Cayrel). The two sets of observations obtained using a dichroic beam -splitter covered respectively 3300-4000 A + 4800-10000 A (at a resolution of R 55000) and 3350-4570A + 6700-10000A (R 45000), for a total of 5.5 hours of integration. The spectra were reduced individually, using a M IDAS (W arm els 1991 and references therein) context dedicated to UVES, including bias and inter-order background subtraction, optim al extraction of the object (weighted over the pro le object pro le), at-eld correction and a wavelength calibration using a Th-A r lamp. The S/N of the combined spectra ranged from 40 at 3300A to 100 at 4500A in the blue part of the spectrum, and 175-200 throughout m ost of the red part.

¹⁶D at a release of M arch 2000, discussed in http://www.eso.org/science/uves.com m /UVES_com m _stars.htm 1

Final processing of the spectra: We used the software package SPECTRE (Fitzpatrick & Sneden 1987) for the completion of the stellar data reductions, to (a) eliminate anom alous radiation events and bad pixels; (b) normalize spectral continua with spline function ts to interactively chosen continuum points; and (c) sm ooth the spectra via 2-pixel FW HM Gaussian convolutions for ST IS, M cD onald, and those parts of the K eck spectra with < 3400 A. For unblended spectral lines, equivalent widths (EW s) were measured by tting Gaussian pro les or by direct integrations over the absorption pro les. Line data for transitions used in this study are given in Table 2 for lighter (Z 30) elements, and in Table 3 for n-capture elements. Many lines are blended and/or have multiple sub-components, so synthetic spectra described in the next section were used instead of EW s for the abundance computations. There are two categories of such complex spectral features. Som e of them (eg, Ba II 4554.03 A, La II 4086.71 A, or Tb II 3702.85 A) are su ciently strong and unblended that m easurement of an approximate EW was possible. For those lines the EW entries are written as \syn (EW)" so that the reader m ay have an indication of the absorption strengths. However, these EW s were not and should not be employed in abundance computations for CS 22892-052892. Other synthesized transitions are either so weak or so blended that EW measures would be meaningless and misleading. For those lines the EW entries are $sim ply \syn"$.

3. ABUNDANCE ANALYSIS

3.1. M odel A tm osphere and A bundances of Lighter E lem ents

Spectral lines of Fe-peak species were employed in deriving a new model atmosphere for CS 22892-052. A conventional LTE analysis was performed in the same manner as was done in our earlier abundance analyses of n-capture-rich, very metal-poor stars (e.g., Westin et al. 2000; Cowan et al. 2002). We began with the line list of Westin et al. for species with Z 30, augmenting it to include lines of additional Fe-peak species rarely employed in abundance analyses (e.g., Sc I, M n II). Single-line EW matches were employed for most transitions, but full spectrum syntheses were done for transitions of Sc I, Sc II, M n I, M n II, and Cu I, all of which have substantial hyper ne substructures (hfs).

The elective temperature, T_e , was determined by minimizing the line-to-line abundance di erences with excitation potential, EP, for species with a su cient EP range. The microturbulent velocity parameter, v_t , was adjusted to remove trends in line abundances with EW. The gravity, log g, was set by requiring neutral and ionized species of Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, and Fe to have the same abundances on average.

Trialm odel atm ospheres for CS 22892-052 were interpolated from the Kunucz $(1995)^{17}$ grid with a modi ed version of software kindly provided by A.M dW illiam (private communication). M odels without convective overshooting were chosen, as recent literature suggests that such models give best overall matches to optical and UV spectra of metal-poor stars (e.g., C astelli, G ratton, & Kunucz 1997; Peterson, D orm an, & R ood 2001). A bundances were computed for each line with the current version of Sneden's (1973) line analysis code, iterating on the model parameters until the satisfaction of the above criteria was achieved. The best model had parameters (T_e , log g, M/H], v_t) = (4800 75 K, 1.5 0.3, {2.5, 1.95 0.15}). The chosen model metallicity, M/H] = {2.5, is some what larger than the derived [Fe/H] = {3.1, but {2.5 is the lowest metallicity of the K unicz grid models without convective overshooting. Test abundance runs using models with overshooting and smaller model metallicities yielded little di erences in derived model parameters.

O ur spectroscopically-derived m odel atm osphere for CS 22892-052 is in good accord with low-resolution indicators. Extensive photom etric tem perature scales for giant stars have been determined by A lonso, A rribas, & M artinez-Roger (1999, 2001). We used their formulas with the photom etric data of Table 1 to compute T_e values for color indices U V;B V;V K;J H, and J K (the V R color is not covered by the A lonso formula). Giving the derived T_e (V K) double weight due to the excellent tem perature sensitivity of the V K color, we obtain: (a) < T_e > = 4791 18 K (= 44 K) if E (B V) = 0.00 (Beers et al. 1999); (b) < T_e > = 4836 24 K (= 58 K) if E (B V) = 0.03 (from dust m aps of Schlegel et al. 1998). All of these estimates agree well with the spectroscopic T_e .

To compute an evolutionary gravity for CS 22892-052, fundam ental physical considerations provide a standard formula relating absolute visual magnitude (M_V = {0.2 0.4, Beers et al. 1999), elective temperature (T_e = 4800 75 K), mass (assumed here to be a metal-poor near-turno mass M = 0.75 0.10 M), and bolom etric correction (BC = {0.39 0.04, computed from Eq. 18 of A lonso et al. 1999):

$$\log g_{evol} = 12:50 + 0:4 (M_v + BC) + \log M + 4 \log T_e$$

These quantities combine to yield $\log g_{evol} = 1.85$ 0.25, in agreement with our derived $\log g_{spec} = 1.5$ 0.3, given the uncertainties of both values.

Note that $\log g_{spec} < \log g_{evol}$ is in the expected sense if the ionization equilibrium used to constrain $\log g_{spec}$ is a ected by \overionization" departures from LTE. However,

¹⁷ see http://cfaku5.cfa.harvard.edu/

the close agreem ent of abundances from neutral and ionized species of six di erent Fe-peak elem ents (with ionization potentials that range from 6.8 to 7.9 eV) indicates that our derived spectroscopic gravity is su cient to compute reliable relative abundances of elem ents with only one ionization state or the other detected in CS 22892-052. Exceptions m ay exist for neutral species of elem ents with very low rst ionization potentials (I.P.. 5 eV); see rem arks for K I below.

Derived abundances of elements with Z 30 are listed in Table 4 and plotted in Figure 1. Solar abundances are taken from G revesse & Sauval (1998). Following Cowan et al. (2002), the error bars in this gure are generally the sample standard deviations . However, minimum values were imposed, noting that the smallest line-to-line scatter for any species (of light or n-capture elements) with at least several measured lines is 0.05 dex. Therefore for an abundance based on three or more lines, the plotted error bar is the maximum of its and 0.05. For a species with two measured lines, the error bar is the maximum of its and 0.10. For a species with only one line, is unde ned and so an arbitrary value of 0.15 is adopted as the error bar. In a few cases with only 1-2 lines for a species, we have increased the error bar even further to account for very uncertain gf values, di cult line blending problem s, etc.

In general the abundances displayed in Figure 1 conform to well-established patterns for these elements in very metal-poor halo stars (e.g., Cayrel 1996; M dW illiam 1998). In particular, the elements are all overabundant in CS 22892-052: < M g,Si,Ca,Ti,TiI/Fe] = +0.26 0.04 (= 0.08). The light odd-Z elements N a and A l are underabundant with respect to Fe. Am ong the Fe-peak elements, the now-well-established overabundance of C o and underabundances of M n and Cu (perhaps Sc and Cr as well) are evident. Apparently Zn is also overabundant, as it is in some other stars of this metallicity (e.g., Prim as et al. 2000c; B lake et al. 2001).

A few of these overall conclusions should be viewed with caution. We emphasize the inherent uncertainties of elemental abundances deduced from only one line, as in the cases of Al, Si, and Zn. Also, the Cu abundance of CS 22892-052 has been determined from the Cu I resonance lines at 3247 and 3274 A, not from the 5105 A line that is employed in most other studies of this element in metal-poor stars. Since the 5105 A line cannot be detected on our CS 22892-052 spectra, the mapping of its Cu abundance onto [Cu/Fe] trends with metallicity (e.g., M ishenina et al. 2002) cannot be accomplished with con dence yet. The Al abundance is derived from the AlI 3961 A resonance line, which is known (e.g., Ryan et al. 1996) to yield system atically lower abundances than do the red-region spectral lines arising from excited states of this species. Finally, the large overabundance of K derived here may or may not be real. Takeda et al. (2002) have made statistical equilibrium calculations of

the K I 7699 A line strengths in stars of various metallicities, concluding that LTE-based [K/Fe] values are generally too large by 0.2 to 0.7 dex. Further investigation of this issue is warranted, but it is beyond the scope of the present investigation.

Next we consider the CNO element group. The [D I] 6300.3 A line is detected on our VLT spectrum, but it is extremely weak, $EW = 1.9 \quad 0.2 \text{ mA}$. The line does not appear to be contam inated by telluric features. A synthetic spectrum t to this spectral region was used to estimate the O abundance, and to ensure that reasonable abundances could be recovered from the comparably weak neighboring Fe I 6301.5, 6302.5 A lines. The derived abundance, [D /Fe] + 0.7, is in good agreement with abundances determined from [D I] lines in other very low metallicity giant stars (e.g., W estimet al. 2000; D epagne et al. 2002). However, the G revesse & Sauval (1998) recommended value of log (O) = 8.83 has recently been re-assessed by Reetz (1999), A llende Prieto, Lambert, & A splund (2001) and Holweger (2001); the mean of their values is log (O) = 8.75. A doption of this solar O value of course would increase the relative abundance in CS 22892-052 to [D /Fe] + 0.8. It is recommended that the [D I] 6300 A be re-observed in CS 22892-052 with better resolution and S/N (the 6363 A line is a factor of three weaker, and likely to remain undetected in this star).

The anom abus strength of the CH G -band in CS 22892-052 was rst discovered from a m edium -resolution spectrum of this star, and noted in Table 8 of Beers et al. (1992). The in plied large C abundance was con m ed in subsequent high-resolution studies (Sneden et al. 1996; N orris et al. 1997a). Our synthetic spectrum ts to G -band lines recover the earlier results. But as with O, the solar abundance of C m ay need revision, as a new analysis of the [C I] 8727 A line by A llende P rieto, Lam bert, & A splund (2002) yields log (C) = 8.39, about 0.1 dex sm aller than the value recommended by G revesse & Sauval (1998) (how ever, H olw eger 2001 recommends log (C) = 8.59). This of course would lead to a comparable upward shift in our derived [C/Fe] = 0.95, but a careful analysis of the CH G -band in both the Sun and CS 22892-052 should be done before altering the [C /Fe] listed in Table 4.

Norris et al. (1997b) searched without success for ¹³CH features on their spectra of CS 22892-052, and suggested that ${}^{12}C = {}^{13}C \& 10$. U sing the Sneden et al. (1996) CT IO 4-m echelle spectrum, C ow an et al. (1999) estimated ${}^{12}C = {}^{13}C$ 16, From the new VLT spectrum we concur with the earlier value, deriving ${}^{12}C = {}^{13}C = 15$ 2. Additionally, CN blue system v = 0 bandheads are weakly visible in CS 22892-052 (see Figure 6 of Sneden et al.). New syntheses of the 3868-3885 A region of our Keck HIRES spectrum yield approximately the same N enhancement (N/Fe] + 0.8) as was claimed in the earlier work.

Finally, we comment on Li and Be abundances, listed in Table 4 but not plotted in Figure 1. These two elements are normally destroyed in stellar interiors during evolution beyond the main sequence, so their present abundances in CS 22892-052 are unlikely to

re ect the values of the ISM from which this star form ed. The Li I 6707.8 A resonance doublet is tentatively detected in our VLT spectrum, with EW = 3.5 0.5 mA. Synthetic spectrum ts, using the CaI 6717.69 A line to align the wavelength scales of the observed and synthesized spectra, and with no⁶Lincluded in the syntheses, yielded log (Li) + 0.15. We show the Lildoublet spectrum in the upper panel of Figure 2. While it is possible to argue for lower Liabundances than we have estimated, much larger abundances are ruled out based on the extrem e weakness of the observed feature. A new study of the 6707.8 A feature in s-process-enriched post-AGB stars by Reyniers et al. (2002) suggests that all or part of this often-strong absorption m ay actually be due to a line of $C \in II$ (= 6708.099 A, E P.= 0.71 eV, log gf = {2.12; Palm eriet al. 2000). We included this line in our syntheses, but (as shown in Figure 2) it is well separated from the Lilin the CS 22892-052 spectrum, and will create detectable line depth only if the Ce abundance is increased by factors of 5-10 m ore than our derived value. The Li abundance of CS 22892-052 is not unusual for a metal-poor giant star that has undergone convective envelope mixing, as several studies (e.g., Ryan & Deliyannis 1998, Gratton et al. 2000) nd log (Li). 0 for stars with similar atm ospheric param eters.

The Be abundance in CS 22892-052 was determined from our Keck spectrum, using spectrum synthesis to the observed Be II 3130 A doublet; see the lower panel of Figure 2. We used the line list assembled and extensively tested by Primas et al. (1997), xing all abundances except Be to the values given derived in this paper. There are several n-capture species transitions that surround the Be II doublet: Ce II 3130.34 A, Nb II 3130.78 A, G d II 3130.81 A, Ce II 3130.87 A. These lines are usually insigni cant in norm aldwarfs and subgiants, but do contribute to the total absorption in CS 22892-052. We derived bg (Be) = $\{2.4 \quad 0.4 \text{ from the } 3131.07 \text{ A} \text{ line. The large error estimate given in Table 4 is due to the combination of substantial line blending, the weakness of the Be II doublet, and the modest S/N of our spectrum in this region.$

The Be abundance in CS 22892-052 is extremely low. Halo dwarf stars of similar metallicity typically have Be abundances about a factor of 10 larger. Two examples are: log (Be) = {1.1 0.2 in both G 64-12 (Fe/H] = {3.3; Primas et al. 2000a) and LP 815-43 (Fe/H] = {2.95; Primas et al. 2000b). A iternatively, using the Boesgaard et al. (1999) mean relationship between Be abundance and stellar metallicity, the predicted initial abundance for CS 22892-052 with Fe/H] = {3.1 would be log (Be) = {1.6. These zero-age Be values in very metal-poor stars indicate that signi cant internal Be depletion has occurred in CS 22892-052. For illustration we show in Figure 2 a synthetic spectrum with log (Be) = {1.2; the observed 3131.07 A and (highly blended) 3130.42 A lines both clash badly with this \zero-age" Be abundance. The low Be value in CS 22892-052, combined with the equally low Liabundance, may hint at the presence of a mixing mechanism that is able to a ect both Liand Be at the same time. Detailed predictions of this phenomenon at low metallicities are lacking, but Boesgaard et al. (2001) show that depletion of both elements occurs in metal-rich F-type stars. Their observations yield a depletion correlation of [log (Be)] 0.36 [log (Li)]. A ssum ing that CS 22892-052 began its life with a \Spite plateau" Liabundance of log (Li) + 2.0 (Ryan et al. 1999 and references therein) then for CS 22892-052 the observed log (Li) = + 0.15 in plies [log (Li)] {1.9 or [log (Be)] {0.7. This prediction is in rough accord with the observed [log (Be)] {1.0.

Unfortunately, we cannot con dently predict the Be abundance that CS 22892-052 had at birth, because there m ay be signi cant star-to-star scatter am ong the lowest m etallicity unevolved stars. For example, Prim as et al. (2000b) derive log (Be) {1.4 for CD { 24 17504 (Fe/H] = {3.3}, at least a factor of two lower than that of G 64-12. This possible initial scatter, com bined with a lack of extensive Be studies in m etal-poor giants and lack of quantitative predictions for Be depletion in low m etallicity stars, prevent us from drawing m ore quantitative conclusions about the Be abundance in CS 22892-052.

3.2. A bundances of the n-C apture E lem ents

D erivation of n-capture elements was accomplished with EW matches for lines that were either very weak (log (EW /). $\{5.3\}$ or single and unblended absorptions. For other transitions synthetic spectrum computations were used. All n-capture lines are listed in Table 3. Construction of atom ic/m olecular line lists for the synthetic spectra have been described in earlier papers (e.g., Sneden et al. 1996; W estin et al. 2000; Cow an et al. 2002). Laboratory studies in the past couple of decades have provided a wealth of accurate transition probabilities, hfs constants, and isotopic wavelength shifts for many n-capture-elem ent neutral and ionized transitions detectable in the spectra of CS 22892-052 and other r-process-rich stars. A good sum mary and references to line parameters of lanthanide elements has been given by W ahlgren (2002); a sim ilar compendium for other n-capture elements would be welcom e. O ur line data are brie y discussed in Appendix A, with emphasis on species detected in the present study for the rst time in this star. New laboratory results for a few spectra are included in Appendix B.

The derived abundances of the n-capture elements are listed in Table 5, and their [X / H] values are displayed in Figure 3. The relation between measured values and adopted error bars in this table and remaining gures for these elements follow the rules described previously for the lighter elements. The general shape of this abundance distribution, increasing

[X/H] with increasing atom ic number up to Z 65, is not new and can be compared to a similar plot in Figure 4 of Sneden et al. (1996). But the present results are based on 36 abundances or signi cant upper limits compared with the 20 reported by Sneden et al. The total number of transitions involved is 299 compared to the earlier 125. For species with two or more transitions, the median of the sample deviations is 0.10 (Table 5) compared with 0.15 (Table 2 of Sneden et al.).

W hen an abundance has been determ ined from m any lines (N & 5) its internal abundance uncertainty is small. M oreover, intercom parisons of any two n-capture elemental abundances derived from the same species (e.g., Ru I/Pd I] or [La II/Eu II]) are likely to have small external errors because atm ospheric parameter uncertainties produce (roughly) the same e ects on both elements in the ratios. We have argued in past papers (e.g., Sneden et al. 1996) that LTE analyses should be reasonable approximations for the n-capture elemental abundances derived from ionized transitions. A dditionally, to the extent that possible departures from LTE a ect ionization equilibria in sim ilar ways, the general agreement between abundances from neutral and ionized transitions of six Fe-peak elements (Table 4) and of Sr (Table 5) lends con dence in the general n-capture abundance results derived from neutral species. Statistical equilibrium com putations could potentially settle such questions, but are beyond the scope of this paper. Indeed these \NLTE " studies probably are not possible for each element at present, due to the incom pleteness of atom ic data for m any species.

O ur earlier papers on CS 22892-052 have included discussions of elements with multiple detected transitions for which abundance computations are relatively straightforward. A sone example, consider La. Parameters of La II lines have been taken from a recent comprehensive lab study (Law ler et al. 2001). For CS 22892-052, there are 15 lines contributing to the mean La abundance, with very sm all line-to-line scatter (= 0.05, Table 5). We regard the La abundance as secure, and little comment is needed for this and many other elements.

In the next two subsections we will focus attention on more di cult situations: abundances and upper limits determined from one or two spectral features. These abundances cannot be employed with the same con dence as can those of \the usual suspects" among the n-capture elements. The cases of Mo and Sn will be used as illustrative examples to show the prospects and perils of the new element search we have conducted for CS 22892-052. In a third subsection comments will be given as needed for other n-capture element abundance analyses.

3.2.1. Detection of Molybdenum

In the visible and near-UV spectral regions only M o I lines will be detectable in cool, m etal-poor stars; strong M o II lines occur only in the vacuum UV.W e searched the transition probability compendium of W haling & Brault (1988) for promising M o I lines, and found about 10 of them that m erited closer investigation. Frustratingly, all but one of these lines proved to be either undetectably weak or severely blended in our CS 22892-052 spectra. The unusable transitions include all the ones that were employed in the Biem ont et al. (1983) solar M o abundance study. The elim ination process left only the 3864.10 A line.

There is an absorption clearly visible at 3864.10 A in the CS 22892-052 spectrum, but is it due to M o I? The M o contribution to this feature is very di cult to detect in most cool (T_e < 6000 K) stars, because it is intrinsically weak and is buried beneath strong CN $B^2 + X^2 + violet$ system (0-0) and (1-1) band lines. Only in the relatively rare stars like CS 22892-052 can low m etallicity and large departures from the Solar abundance m ix potentially combine to reveal the presence of the M o I contribution. But there are also other potential contam inants in this very crow ded spectral region, including lines of the CH $B^2 - X^2$ system as well as Fe-peak and n-capture atom ic features. D isentangling all these potential contributors to the 3864.10 A feature is another process of elim ination.

To help in this e ort, we com pared our CS 22892-052 spectrum to that of BD + 17 3248 (C owan et al. 2002), an r-process-rich star with somewhat di erent characteristics than CS 22892-052. Form ing di erences in the sense BD + 17 3248 m inus CS 22892-052, rst note that BD + 17 3248 is hotter ($T_e = +400 \text{ K}$) and so will have intrinsically weaker spectral absorption lines for any element with equal abundances in the two stars. Second, BD + 17 3248 is less m etal-poor (Fe/H] = + 1.0). Third, the n-capture abundance patterns are essentially identical in these two stars, but [Eu/H] = + 0.3 (the larger m etallicity of BD + 17 3248 w insout over the larger relative n-capture enhancements of CS 22892-052). Fourth, C is more abundant in CS 22892-052 ([C/H] = {0.4), but N is slightly more abundance and abundances lead to substantial di erences in the spectra near the M o I 3864.10 A line.

In Figure 4 two small spectral regions of CS 22892-052 and BD + 17 3248 are displayed. In the top panel, a 10 A segment surrounding the CN violet system (0-0) bandhead illustrates the di erences of molecular and Fe-peak line absorptions in the two stars. The CH lines of CS 22892-052 are 5-10 times stronger than in they are in BD + 17 3248, a combined consequence of its larger C abundance and lower T_e . The ratio of CN strengths is about a factor of three at the bandhead (the T_e di erence is the cause here, as the C+N abundances are similar in the two stars). Away from the bandhead the CN lines are very weak in both stars. The Fe-peak lines are 1.5-2 times stronger in BD + 17 3248, due to its higher

As shown in the bottom panel of Figure 4, the absorption in the two stars at the M o I wavelength is nearly identical. This equality suggests which species probably are not dom inating the feature: CH and atom ic lines of elements with Z 30. If the 3864.10 A feature is caused by CH, the absorption in CS 22892-052 would be overwhelm ingly larger than in BD + 17 3248. If it is caused by a line of a \light" element, the BD + 17 3248 spectrum should have the stronger absorption. A conspiracy between CH and atom ic contam inants creating sim ilar 3864.10 A lines in the two stars cannot be totally ruled out, but our synthetic spectrum line list culled from the K unucz (1995) database has no neutral and ionized lines of Z 30 elements within 0.09 A of this wavelength.

As indicated in the bottom panel of Figure 4, som e CN absorption should exist in the 3860–3868 A region of the CS 22892–052 spectrum. The positive identi cation of CN features from our synthetic spectrum calculations is supported by the much weaker absorption at these wavelengths in the BD + 17 3248 spectrum. Trial synthetic spectra that forced agreement with the observed features at 3864.3, 3865.2, and 3866.0 A suggest three related points: (a) the in plied N abundance is 0.5 dex larger than that given in Table 4, which was derived from the 3883 A bandhead; (b) this same N abundance produces absorptions that are obviously too strong for the observed CN features in neighboring spectral regions; and (c) the CN part of the 3864.10 A feature is at most 20–30% of the total absorption.

If the absorption at 3864.1 A is attributable to neither CH nor lighter atom ic species, and has only a small CN contribution, it could be due to an n-capture species other than M o I. Two possible such lines are known. First, Palmeri et al. (2000) list a Ce II line at 3864.107 A, but the combination of its EP = 0.96 eV and log gf = $\{2.04 \text{ render its absorption}$ undetectably small unless the Ce abundance is a factor of 10 larger than we have derived (Table 5). Second, Kurucz (1995) lists a Sm II line at 3864.047 A, with EP = 0.66 eV and log gf = -1.15. These line parameters are more favorable, and increasing our derived Sm abundance by only 2-3 times produced detectable absorption. But this distorts the line pro le to the blue by unacceptable amounts. Thus the other known n-capture contributors to the 3864.1 A feature are insigni cant contam inants to the M o I line.

W ith attention to the above issues, we have derived log (M o) = $\{0.55. \text{ Tests with} adding or subtracting contam ination from other species suggests that the 3864.1 A feature can be m atched by M o abundances that vary by at m ost 0.2 dex, yielding in particular an upper lim it to the abundance of <math>\{0.3. \text{ We attach the estim ated 0.2 dex uncertainty to the M o value in all abundance plots to follow.}$

3.2.2. Non-detection of T in

A search was made for lines of Sn I in the manner described above for M o I; strong lines of Sn II occur only in the vacuum UV. In the visible and near-UV spectral regions, the only two that appeared to be potentially detectable and relatively unblended lie at 3262.34 and 3801.02 A. A tom ic and molecular line lists for several A surrounding these two lines were assembled in the usualmanner, and applied to our observed (Keck) spectra of CS 22892-052. C om parisons of synthetic and observed spectra are displayed in Figure 6. N either Sn I line is clearly present in our data.

These two lines do not present ideal cases for Sn detection, as each is clearly contam in nated by other absorptions. The blending agents for the 3262 A line are Sm II 3262.27 A and $0 \le I$ 3262.29 A; their contributions to the observed feature in the upper panel of F igure 6 are roughly equal. Repeated trial synthetic spectra demonstrated that the Sn I line cannot account for this feature, mainly because it lies 0.05 A to the red of the observed absorption. But our synthetic spectrum in this sm all wavelength interval does not adequately reproduce several other observed features, which does not inspire con dence in a detailed analysis of the 3262.33 A line. A better overall match between observed and synthetic spectra is seen in the bottom panel of F igure 6 for the 3801.02 A line. The Sn I line is bounded to the blue mainly by Sm II 3800.89 A and to the red by N d II 3801.12 A . Their absorptions are clearly present but that of Sn I is not obvious in the observed C S 22892-052 spectrum .

0 ur estim ation that $\log (Sn) < 0.0$ is strengthened from the non-detection of both Sn I lines. If only one line had been available for analysis the abundance lim it would probably have been higher, with larger uncertainty.

3.2.3. Other n-Capture Abundances

Sr;Ba; and Yb: The atom ic structures of the ionized species of these elements produce 2-4 extremely strong resonance and low-excitation transitions (e.g., Sr II 4077.7 A, Ba II 6141.7 A). Analyses of these features dominate the large abundance literature for Ba and Sr. The occurrence of both Yb II resonance lines below 3700 A has severely limited studies of this element. All of these lines lie on the at or damping part of the curve-of-grow th in the spectra of n-capture-rich m etal-poor giants. These elements have multiple isotopes, of which the odd-m ass ones have substantial hfs. Both of these elects must be carefully accounted for in synthetic spectrum computations, and still the derived abundances from such deep and saturated absorption features are dependent on assumed values of m icroturbulent velocity. In the present analysis, how ever, we have been able to detect two very weak high-excitation lines of Sr II and the weak resonance line of Sr I (Table 3). These transitions yield abundances that are consistent with the two very strong Sr II resonance lines. A bundances from three high-excitation Ba II lines agree with the results from the low excitation features.¹⁸ The abundances from the strong low excitation ionized lines appear to be reliable in C S 22892-052.

C d :Sneden et al. (2000) claim ed detection of this elem ent, and they derived log (C d) = $\{0.35 \quad 0.20 \text{ from analysis of just the C d I 3261.05 A transition. W e re-exam ined the C d abundance, and con rm ed that there are no other C d I or C d II lines strong enough to be useful abundance indicators in C S 22892-052. However, our new exploration of the 3261.05 A line highlighted the possibly substantial contribution of an O H line at the sam e wavelength to the total absorption feature. If the O H line is neglected we derive log (C d) 0.0. Inclusion of the O H at a strength consistent with other probable O H lines in this spectral region suggests that it m ight dom inate the 3261 A contribution. Therefore we have chosen in Table 5 and in n-capture abundance gures to enter log (C d) < 0.0. This abundance lim it should be viewed with caution.$

Ge;Y;Os;Pt;Au; and Pb: A bundances for these elements are wholly or partly determined from our HST spectrum. The general concordance of HST-based abundances of Y, Os, and Ptwith those determined from ground-based data increases condence in the HST data, even though it has relatively poor S/N. The very low abundance of Ge determined from the HST spectrum of the GeI 3039.07 A line gains some support from the the low Ga abundance implied from the non-detection of the GaI 4172.04 A feature in our Keck and VLT spectra. Finally, we are not condent of the suggested detections of two PbI lines in the ground-based spectra. These lines should be nearly ten times weaker than the 2833.05 A line, which we cannot detect in the HST spectrum. The derived Pb abundance upper lim it from the 2833 A line may well be more reliable than the abundances determined from the questionable detections of the other two PbI lines.

 $^{^{18}}$ The Ba II 4934.10 A line is rarely employed in Ba abundance studies because it is blended (m ainly) with a strong Fe I line at 4934.08 A. This contam inant was included in our synthetic spectrum computations, but proved to be a negligible contributor in CS 22892-052.

{ 17 {

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Neutron-Capture Abundance Comparisons

Our new observations have provided abundances or upper limits for 36 n-capture elements, including seven more (Ga, Ge, Mo, Sn, Lu, Pt, Au) not seen in our previous study of CS 22892-052 (Sneden et al. 2000a). This provides more abundances than any other halo star, or in fact any other star except the Sun.

4.1.1. Heavier N-Capture Elements

In Figure 6 we compare these abundances in CS 22892-052 with the (scaled) solar system r-process abundance curve. This distribution, indicated by the solid line, is based upon n-capture cross section m easurem ents (K appeler et al. 1989; W isshak et al. 1996) and assum es the \classical" s-process em pirical relation between abundance and cross section. The resulting r-process isotopic abundances are the di erence between the solar value and the individual s-process isotopic abundances. Sum m ing the isotopic abundances results in the r-process elemental abundance distribution (Burris et al. 2000). It is clear from Figure 6 that the relative abundances of the heaviest n-capture elements, 56 Ζ 82, are all consistent with the solar system r-process curve. This con m s and extends the previous results in CS 22892-052 (Sneden et al. 2000) and in other stars (Westin et al. 2000; Johnson & Bolte 2001; Cowan et al. 2002; Hill et al. 2002). However, for CS 22892-052 and the majority of the other cases there was very limited data of the heaviest 3rd r-process peak elements, with dom inant transitions in the UV necessitating HST observations. The agreement between the n-capture abundances in this old halo star and the solar distribution strongly supports the claim of a robust r-process over the history of the Galaxy, at least for the elements Ba and above.

Support for this conclusion is also found in recent isotopic abundance studies. Sneden et al. (2002) found that the ¹⁵¹Eu and ¹⁵³Eu isotopes in three halo stars, including CS 22892-052, are in agreement with each other and in solar proportions. From an analysis of another metal-poor star (HD 140283), Lambert & Allende-Prieto (2002) nd that the isotopic fractions of Ba are also consistent with the solar r-process values.

As one further indication of the abundance history of these elements prior to the formation of CS 22892-052, we also show in Figure 6 an abundance comparison with the solar system s-process elemental distribution (dashed line) from Burris et al. (2000). This comparison demonstrates clearly that these elements observed in CS 22892-052, including \traditional" s-process elements such as Ba, were synthesized solely in the r-process and not in the s-process in a previous stellar generation early in the history of the Galaxy.

A detailed element-by-element di erence com parison between the solar r-process elem ental curve from Burris et al. (2000) and the abundances in CS 22892-052 is presented in the top panel of Figure 7. The m ean di erence between the solar curve and the CS 22892-052 79 is {1.42 0.02 (= 0.10; see Table 6). That abundances (in log units) for 56 Ζ is, the mean stellar abundance level of these elements is de cient with respect to the solar r-process abundance set by a factor of '25, but enhanced with respect to the CS 22892-052 Fe abundance by a factor of '45. The very sm all is consistent with stellar and solar abundance uncertainties. In addition to the curve from Burris et al., there have been other solar r-process abundance determ inations. Rather than assuming the model independent, but empirical, \classical" s-process relationship, more sophisticated stellar models based upon s-process nucleosynthesis in low -m ass AGB stars have been developed to predict the isotopic s-process contributions to the solar abundances (A rlandiniet al. 1999). In the lower panel of Figure 7 we make a similar comparison between the Arlandini et al. solar system r-process curve and the abundances in CS 22892-052. The mean di erence and its uncertainty are nearly identical to the comparison with the Burris et al. data. This testi es to the success of the Arlandiniet al. model in thing the solar system heavy element s-process abundances.

W hile the overall agreem ent between the abundances in CS 22892-052 and these two solar system r-process curves is excellent, there are some small deviations for a few elements (e.g., Lu and Au). It might be important to exam ine additional cases with such deviations. In some earlier studies small di erences between the elemental abundances and the solar curve were the result of inadequate atom ic physics data (e.g., oscillator strengths). M ost of these anom alies have been corrected by recent lab atom ic data studies. We also note that for cases for which the s-process contributions to a solar elemental abundance completely dom in the r-process contributions, the uncertainties associated with the extracted r-process residuals can be extrem ely large.

4.1.2. Lighter n-Capture E lem ents

It is clear from exam ination of Figure 6 and Figure 7 that som e of the lighter n-capture elements, those below Ba, show signi cant deviations from the solar r-process curve. Until recently there have been little data available on the lighter n-capture elements, particularly those in the range 41 Z 55. A bundance results for BD + 17 3248 (C ow an et al. 2002) indicate that a few of the elements in this regime, speci cally the element Ag, appear to deviate from the same solar curve that ts the heavier n-capture elements. This perhaps

supports an earlier suggestion, based upon solar system meteoritic studies, of two r-processes one for the elements A & 130-140 and a second r-process for the lighter elements (W asserburg, Busso & G allino 1996). As illustrated in Figure 6 and Figure 7, we have now detected 5 elements in this lighter element regime for CS 22892-052, and also obtained two signi cant abundance upper limits. Note that Ag and Mo fall well below the scaled solar r-process curve. The abundance of Pd also falls below the solar curve, while both Nb and Rh lie on, or very near this distribution. The upper limit on Cd (and Sn with respect to the A rlandini et al. predictions) could also be consistent with the heavier n-capture abundances. On average, how ever, these lighter elements do seem to have been synthesized at a low er abundance level than the heavier n-capture elements. The mean di erence between the solar curve and the CS 22892-052 abundances for 38 Z 55 is $\{1.70 \text{ and } \{1.65 \text{ with a scatter} about the$ $mean of 0.26 and 0.22 \text{ with respect to Burris et al. (2000) and A rlandini et al., respectively$ (Table 6).

There are several possible explanations for the di erences in the abundance data for the lighter and heavier n-capture elements. It has been suggested for example that perhaps, analogously to the s-process, the lighter elements might be synthesized in a \weak" r-process with the heavier elements synthesized in a more robust \strong" (or \main") r-process. Supernovae of a di erent m ass range or frequency (W asserburg & Q ian 2000) or the helium zone of an exploding supernovae (Truran & Cow an 2000), have been suggested as possible second r-process sites that might be responsible for the synthesis of nuclei with A . 130{140. A lternative interpretations have suggested that that the entire abundance distribution could be synthesized in a single core-collapse supernova (Sneden et al. 2000a; Cameron 2001).

We also note that the upper limits on Ga and Ge are signi cantly below the solar rprocess curve. These elements have signi cant contributions from n-capture synthesis (Burris et al. 2000). However, the very low upper limits are more suggestive of an abundance that scales with the very low iron abundance in this star, similar to that seen in several other metal-poor hab stars (Sneden et al. 1998). Additional studies of the nature of the synthesis of Ga and Ge in other stars are currently underway (Cowan et al. 2003).

4.2. Theoretical Predictions

O ur reported observations of the heavy element abundance patterns in CS 22892-052 reveal and con rm two important features that are common to extrem ely metal de cient stars: (1) the robustness of the goodness of t to solar system r-process abundances, for elements in the range from barium to lead; and (2) the underproduction of r-process elements in the region of mass number below barium (A . 130-140) relative to the barium -to-lead

element region. We proceed now on the assumption that the robustness in the heavy region continues through the actinides, so that we can utilize abundance data concerning the interesting actinide radioactivities ²³²Th, ²³⁵U, and ²³⁸U to date the star. A potential test of this robustness follows from theoretical calculations of r-process synthesis. Speci cally, a better understanding of both of the features identi ed above can be gained by com parison of representative calculations of r-process nucleosynthesis with the abundances determ ined for CS 22892-052. Note again that the large enhancement of r-process products relative to iron in CS 22892-052 m akes this a particularly appropriate choice for this kind of analysis.

Abundance comparisons with theory are shown in Figure 8, for two speci c r-process calculations. Both are based upon the equilibrium (i.e., \waiting point approximation") model for the r-process. The rst, shown in the top panel of Figure 8, was presented and discussed by Cowan et al. (1999). These calculations utilized a mass form ula based upon the ETFSI-Q (Extended Thom as Ferm i with Strutinski Integral and Quenching) model. The calculations consisted of a 16 component least-squares to the solar abundance distribution 208. For the purpose of this paper, these calculations have in the mass range 80 Α now been updated and improved, with the inclusion of recent experim ental determ inations of half lives and neutron pairing energies (P fei er, K ratz & M oeller 2002; M oeller, P fei er & K ratz 2002). The calculations have then been t to the solar r-process abundances in the 209. Com parisons of these new theoretical results with CS 22892-052 m ass range 125 А abundances are shown in the lower panel of Figure 8.

It is clear from the comparisons summarized in both panels of Figure 8 that the agreement with r-process in the mass region (130. A . 208) is extremely good, with a mean o set of -1.3, similar to that found for Burris et al. and Arlandini et al. (see Table 6). The scatter about the mean for the theoretical predictions is somewhat larger, when compared to the other solar comparisons, with = 0.26. The theoretical comparisons again con m a signi cantly lower abundance level for the lighter n-capture elements in CS 22892-052 with respect to solar r-process curve { the mean di erences and values very close to what was found in the Burris et al. and Arlandini et al. comparisons.

The excellent agreem ent between theory and observed heavy element r-process abundances gives us some con dence in proceeding to utilize the Th and U chronom eters to provide an age estimate for CS 22892-052.

4.3. Cosm ochronom etry

We now employ the radioactive abundances to make cosm ochronom etric age estimates for this star. There have been a number of recent detections of Th in halo and globular cluster stars (Sneden et al. 1996, 2000b; Cowan et al. 1999, 2002; W estin et al. 2000; Johnson & Bolte 2001; Cayrel et al. 2001). Com parison of the observed stellar abundances of the radioactive elements and the initial abundances of these elements during r-process synthesis leads to a direct radioactive age determ ination. These chronom etric age estim ates, how ever, depend sensitively upon the predicted initial values of the radioactive elements, in ratio to each other, or to stable elements. To determ ine these initial ratio values we have utilized the theoretical r-process predictions described above in x4.2. Constrained by reproducing the observed stable element abundances observed in CS 22892-052, the earlier calculations (Cowan et al. 1999) suggested an initial value, resulting from r-process nucleosynthesis, of Th/Eu = 0.48. Comparing the observed Th/Eu ratio to this theoretically predicted (timezero) Th/Eu value yields a chronom etric age of 14.1 Gyr. The newer re ned theoretical calculations, including more experimental values, yields an initial value of Th/Eu = 0.42, and leads to a chronom etric age estimate of 11.4 Gyr. This spread in ages demonstrates the sensitivity of the radioactive dating m ethod and provides a rough estim ate of the uncertainties associated with the theoretical predictions from some of the best available r-process m ass form ulae and m odels. As illustrated in Figure 7, the Eu abundance value lies slightly below (by 0.04 in log) the mean t to the rare earth elements { this is a measure of the observational uncertainty, and in this case would lead to an increase in the age estimates of approxim ately 1.8 Gyr.

We show in Table 7 the mean age estimates for CS 22892-052, where we have taken the average predicted values from the two model calculations in comparison to the new lydetermined observed abundance values. Thus, for example, this averaging yields an age of 12.8 G yr based upon the Th/Eu chronom eter. We can also employ the abundances of some of the third r-process-peak elements for age estimates. Ignoring the relatively uncertain 0 s abundance for these estimates, averages for the chronom eter pairs Th/Ir and Th/Pt suggest ages ranging from 10.5 to 19.2 G yr (see Table 7). An average of those values suggests an overall chronom etric estimate of 14.2 ' 3 G yr. These chronom etric values can be compared with our previous age estimate of 15.6 4 G yr (Sneden et al. 2000a) for this star { the di erences resulting from slightly di erent abundance determinations and di erent theoretical predictions for the initial abundances.

As an additional indication of the age of this star we list in Table 7 the solar system ratios for the various chronom eters. These values are measured and do not depend upon theoretical predictions and their associated nuclear physics uncertainties. Thus, we can

com pare the solar values (as an indication of the initial zero decay-age r-process abundance ratios) with the observed stellar values. However, since the Sun is only approximately 4.5 G yr old, the solar ratios do include G alactic chem ical evolution e ects and represent a lower lim it to the zero decay-age r-process abundances. This follows since Eu is stable but Th (while constantly produced and ejected into the interstellar medium) has partially decayed in the time between the form ation of the oldest stars and the Sun. This leads, in general, to lower (with respect to theoretical zero decay-age r-process) values of Th/(stable elements) for solar ratios. Therefore, the ages derived with the solar ratios should be viewed form ally as lower limits. We note, however, that there are cases where some of the predicted ages are less than the expected lower limit solar system age estimates. This is an indication that some of the theoretically predicted abundances need to be revised { for example, an overestim ate of the abundance of Pt and corresponding underestim ate for Ir. Such revisions are currently underway (Burles et al. 2003). Nevertheless, there is a large overlap in the low er lim it age estimates with those based upon theoretical r-process predictions. An average of the chronom eter pairs, assuming initial solar system ratios, gives an age of 14.7 G yr, which is not inconsistent with the average based upon theoretically predicted r-process abundance ratios.

W hile Th/Eu has been utilized extensively for chronom etric age estimates (Sneden et al. 1996,2000; Cowan et al. 1999, 2002; Johnson & Bolte 2001) this ratio gives a very unrealistic age estim ate in CS 31082{001 (Cayrelet al. 2001; Hillet al. 2002; Schatz et al. 2002; W ana jo et al. 2002). The detection of U in that star allows for a second r-process chronom eter and suggests an age of 14.1 2.5 Gyr (W ana p et al.) to 15.5 32 Gyr (Schatz et al.). Since Th/Eu and Th/U give sim ilar age results (13.8 4 Gyr) for BD + 17 3248 (Cowan et al. 2002), it is not clear yet why CS 31082{001 is so di erent. It does suggest that Th/U is a more reliable chronom eter particularly since they are nearer together in mass number than, for example, Th and Eu. Unfortunately we are only able to determ ine an upper limit. on U in CS 22892-052. We can employ the chronometer ratio of Th/ (upper lim it of U) to determ ine a lower lim it on the age of CS 22892-052. Recent calculations suggest a possible range of the initial Th/U value of 1.45 to 1.81 (Burles et al. 2003), heading to limiting ages for this star of 12.4 and 10.4 Gyr, respectively. This implies a conservative lower limit of 10.4 Gyr, based solely upon the abundance of Th and the upper $\lim i$ on the U abundance. W hile these values are not de nitive, they are consistent with the other age determ inations en ploying, for example, Th/Eu. It would be extrem ely in portant for chronom etric studies to eventually obtain a reliable U abundance in this star.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have conducted an extensive new abundance analysis of the very-metal-poor, ncapture-rich, halo giant star CS 22892-052. We have employed high resolution spectra obtained with echelle spectrographs of HST, Keck I, M cD onald, and VLT. We have obtained abundances for 52 elements and meaningful upper limits for vem ore elements, the largest set of elements analyzed in any star other than the Sun. New detections in CS 22892-052 include the n-capture elements M o, Lu, Au, Pt and Pb, and upper limits encom pass G a, G e, Cd, Sn, and U.

We have derived abundances for both ionization stages of six Fe-peak elements, lending condence to the derived model atmosphere for CS 22892-052. A bundances of the volatile light elements Li and Be indicate large depletion factors (> 10) for both elements, con ming the status of this star as an evolved, convectively mixed giant.

C beem atches between observed abundances of stable heavy (Z > 56) elements and two empirical scaled solar r-process abundance distributions re-enforces the suggested universality of the r-process in this element regime. In general, less satisfactory matches are seen for lighter n-capture elements { this may indicate the existence of two r-process sites or multiple r-process production parameters in single sites. Scaled theoretical r-process predictions give a reasonable t to CS 22892-052 abundances (albeit with large element-to-element scatter), providing some encouragement that the predicted production ratios for Th/U and Th/Eu are realistic.

For G a and G e, two elements at the boundary between charged-particle fusion and ncapture synthesis modes, we derive upper limits much more consistent with the (very low) Fe-peak abundances than with the (enhanced) n-capture abundances. It would thus appear that, at least for this and some other old halo stars, r-process synthesis has not contributed signi cantly to these elements. We note that the r-process contributions to these lighter elements may have their origin, for example, in a quite di erent r-process site, in stars of longer lifetim es than those whose abundance products enriched the early G alactic halo gas.

The abundance of Th and the U upper limit, and the abundance of Th with respect to those of stable n-capture elements in CS 22892-052, yield self-consistent chronom etric ages. This is encouraging particularly in light of the fact that this appears not to be true for the halo star CS 31082-001 (H ill et al. 2002). The detection of Th and the upper limit on the U abundance together imply a lower limit of 10.4 G yr on the age of CS 22892-052, quite consistent with the Th/Eu age estimate of 12.8 ' 3 G yr. An average of several chronom etric ratios suggests an overall chronom etric age estimate of 14.2 ' 3 G yr for CS 22892-052.

Our study comes close to exhausting the element detection possibilities for CS 22892-052. A tom ic physics limitations suggest that one is not likely to detect many additional elements for this star in the near future. However, higher resolution and S/N spectra may be able to turn some of the upper limits reported here into detections. Renewed attempts to detect U would be particularly useful. Very detailed abundance distributions for additional n-capture-rich stars will be needed to explore further the questions raised by the present study.

We thank Roger Cayrel, Con Deliyannis, Peter Hoich, David Lambert, Caty Pilachowski, Craig W heeler, and the referee for helpful discussions and suggestions for im provem ent of this paper. This research has been supported in part by STScI grant GO-08342, N SF grants A ST -9986974 (JJC), A ST -9987162 (CS), A ST -0098508 and A ST -0098549 (TCB), AST-9819400 (JEL), PHY-9800980 (GMF), and at the University of Chicago (JWT) by DOE contract B 341495 to the ASC I/A lliances Center for A strophysical Therm onuclear Flashes and DOE contract DE-FG 02-91ER 40606 in Nuclear Physics and A strophysics. Support for BP and KLK was provided by the Germ an BMBF (grant 06M Z9631). Support for BP and KLK was provided by the Germ an BMBF (grant 06M Z 9631). Research for III is currently supported by NASA through Hubble Fellow ship grant HST-HF-01151.01-A from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Incorporated, under NASA contract NAS5-26555. III is also pleased to acknow ledge earlier nancial support for this work from the University of Texas, through M cD onald O bærvatory and through G raduate Fellow ships. This research has m ade use of the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with NASA and NSF. JJC thanks the University of Texas at Austin Department of Astronom y John W . Cox Fund for partial support while this paper was being written.

A. NEW ELEMENTS IN THE CS 22892-052 SPECTRUM

M any laboratory studies have contributed to the n-capture line lists employed in the CS 22892-052 abundance analysis. W e refer the the reader to our previous papers for discussions of the following species: (a) G e I, N b II, P d I, La II, C e II, P r II, N d II, E u II, G d II, T b II, D y II, O s I, Ir I, P t I, A u I, and U II: C owan et al. (2002). (b) Sr I, Sr II, Y II, Z r II, B a II, Sm II, E r II, and T m II: Sneden et al. (1996). (c) O s I, P t I, and P b I: Sneden et al. (1998). (d) A g I: C raw ford et al. (1996). Here we discuss new ly detected elements and revised data for previously known transitions in C S 22892-052.

GaI: The gf value of the 4172.04 A line is taken from the latest N IST atom ic transition probability critical compilation" (Fuhr & W iese 2002).

MoI: The gf value of the 3863.10 A line is taken from W haling et al. (1984).

Ru I: The gf values are taken from W ickli e, Salih, & Law ler (1994).

Rh I: Two sources contributed the gf values for this species: Kw iatkow skiet al. (1982) for 3434.89 A, and Duquette & Lawler (1985) for 3692.36 A.

Sn I: This species has been extensively studied in the laboratory, and the gf values used here are taken from the N IST compilation (Fuhr & W isse 2002).

Y b II: Theoretical gf values have been computed by B iem ont et al. (1998). We renormalized these using the accurate beam -laser lifetim es of P innington, R ieger, & K emahan (1997). There are seven stable isotopes of Y b to account for, $^{168;170}$ $^{174;176}$ Y b, and only 168 Y b m ay be neglected due to its very sm all fractional contribution (0.13%) to the total solar Y b abundance. The odd-num bered isotopes, $^{171;173}$ Y b have signi cant hfs. D ata for isotopic wavelength shifts and hfs were taken from M artensson-P endrill, G ough, & H annaford (1994)

Lu II: The gf values were taken from Quinet et al. 1999, renorm alized with the recent lifetime results of Fedchak et al. (2000). Hyper ne structure constants from new laboratory measurements are reported in Appendix B. These new results are in agreement with a smaller set of published hfsm easurements (Brix & K opferm an 1952). There is only one stable isotope for this element, ¹⁷⁵Lu. One of the transitions employed in our Lu analysis, 3397.07 A, was studied in the solar spectrum by Bord, Cowley, & Mirijanian (1998), who give an extensive discussion of the surrounding complex atom ic and molecular spectrum.

B. New Laboratory Data for Holm ium and Lutetium

New laboratory measurements of transition probabilities and/or hfs constants for H o II and Lu II were performed as part of this study of CS 22892-052. A lthough there is an e ciency advantage in making a large set of measurements once an experiment is operational, the need for improved laboratory data on key lines took priority here. A more comprehensive set of measurements will be published later.

The spectrum of singly ionized holm ium needs attention from laboratory spectroscopists. There is evidence that available energy levels have errors of several times 0.1 cm 1 (W orm et al. 1990). Only a very few laser calibrated transition probabilities and hfs constants have been published (W orm et al. 1990). A though radiative lifetim es for 37 levels of H o II were measured recently using laser induced uorescence (Den Hartog et al. 1999), these lifetim es have not yet been combined with branching fractions to determ ine a large set of transition probabilities. Incomplete know ledge of the energy levels has made it di cult to measure complete sets of branching ratios or branching fractions. We have measured branching ratios for four lines needed in this study of CS 22892-052. Our m easurem ents are from spectra recorded using the 1.0 m Fourier transform spectrom eter (FTS) at the U.S. National Solar Observatory. The analysis procedure is very similar to that used in recent work on La II (Law leret al. 2001). The new Ho II branching fractions, listed in Table 8, were combined with radiative lifetimes from Den Hartog et al. to yield the Ho II log qf values of Table 3. The strong blue/UV transitions listed in the table are the 6p-6s branches from each upper level. E orts to observe and measure the weak infrared 6p-5d branches have been partially successful. A lthough the infrared branches have been om itted from Table 8, their approximate e ect in decreasing the dominant blue/UV branching fractions has been included.

The same FTS spectra were used to extract hfs constants and improved energy levels needed in this study. The only stable isotope of H o has mass 165 and nuclear spin 7/2. We used the ground term hfs constant reported by W orm et al. (1990) in our analysis of the FTS data. The least-square ts to partially resolved hfs patterns are most stable if either the upper or lower level constants are xed. Our uncertainties are thus limited by the accuracy of the hfs constants from W orm et al. which are included with our results in Table 9. Our improved energy levels are accurate to 0.003 cm⁻¹.

A similar analysis of FTS data was used to extract the hfs constants for Lu II, which are given in Table 10. The dom inant stable isotope of Lu has mass 175 and nuclear spin 7/2. In this case it is not necessary to use any published hfs data in the least-square analysis of our FTS data because we could start the analysis on lines connected to J = 0 levels. M easurements from Brix & K opferm ann (1952) are included for comparison.

REFERENCES

- Allende Prieto, C., Lambert, D.L., & Asplund, M. 2001, ApJ, 556, L63
- Allende Prieto, C., Lambert, D.L., & Asplund, M. 2002, ApJ, 573, L137
- Alonso, A., Arribas, S., & Martinez-Roger, C. 1999, A & A S, 140, 261
- Alonso, A., Arribas, S., & Martinez-Roger, C. 2001, A&A, 376, 1039
- Arlandini, C., Kappeler, F., Wisshak, K., Gallino, R., Lugaro, M., Busso, M., & Staniero, O. 1999, ApJ, 525, 886
- Barlow, T.A. & Sargent, W.L.W. 1997, AJ, 113, 136
- Beers, T.C., Preston, G.W., & Shectman, S.A. 1985, AJ, 90, 2089
- Beers, T.C., Preston, G.W., & Shectman, S.A. 1992, AJ, 103 1987
- Beers, T. C., Rossi, S., Norris, J. E., Ryan, S. G., & She er, T. 1999, AJ, 117, 981
- Biemont, E., Dutrieux, J.F., Martin, I., & Quinet, P.1998, J. Phys. B, 31, 3321
- Biem ont, E., Grevesse, N., Hannaford, P., Lowe, R.M., & Whaling, W. 1983, A&A, 275, 889
- Blake, L.A.J., Ryan, S.G., Norris, J.E., & Beers, T.C. 2001, Nucl. Phys. A., 688, 502
- Boesgaard, A.M., Deliyannis, C.P., King, J.R., Ryan, S.G., Vogt, S.S., & Beers, T.C. 1999, AJ, 117, 1549
- Boesgaard, A.M., Deliyannis, C.P., King, J.R., & Stephens, A. 2001, ApJ, 553, 754
- Bord, D. J., Cow Ley, C. R., & Mirijanian, D. 1998, Sol. Phys., 178, 221
- Brix & Kopferm ann 1952, in Landolt-Borstein (ed.) Zahlenwerte und Funktionen 6th Ed., Vol I, part 5 (Berlin: Springer Verlag)
- Burles, S., Truran, J.W., Cowan, J.J., Sneden, C., Kratz, K.-L., & Pfeier, B. 2003, in preparation
- Burris, D. L., Pilachowski, C. A., Armandro, T. A., Sneden, C., Cowan, J. J., & Roe, H. 2000, ApJ, 544, 302

Busso, M., Gallino, R., & Wasserburg, G.J. 1999, ARA & A, 37, 239

- Cameron, A.G.W. 2001, ApJ, 562, 456
- Castelli, F., Gratton, R.G., & Kurucz, R.L. 1997, A&A, 318, 841
- Cayrel, R. 1996, A & A Rev., 7, 217
- Cayrel, R., et al. 2001, Nature, 409, 691
- Cowan, J. J., Burris, D. L., Sneden, C., McW illiam, A., & Preston, G.W. 1995, ApJ, 439, L51
- Cowan, J. J., M dW illiam, A., Sneden, C., & Burris, D. L. 1997, ApJ, 480, 246
- Cowan, J. J., P fei er, B., K ratz, K.-L., Thielem ann, F.-K., Sneden, C., Burles, S., Tytler, D., & Beers, T.C. 1999, ApJ, 521, 194
- Cowan, J.J., et al. 2002, ApJ, 572, 861
- Cowan, J. J., et al. 2003, ApJ, in preparation
- Cow Ley, C.R., Ryabchikova, T., Kupka, F., Bord, D.J., Mathys, G., & Bidelman, W.P. 2000, MNRAS, 317, 299
- Craw ford, J.L., Sneden, C., King, J.R., Boesgaard, A.M., & Deliyannis, C.P. 1998, AJ, 116, 2489
- Dekker, H., D'Odorico, S., Kaufer, A., Delabre, & B., Kotzlowski, H. 2000, in Optical and IR Telescope Instrum entation and Detectors, ed.M. Iye and A.F.Moorwood, Proc. SPIE, 4008, 534
- Den Hartog, E.A., Wiese, L.M., & Lawler, J.E. 1999, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, 16, 2278
- Depagne, E. et al. 2002, A & A , 390, 187
- Duquette, D.W., & Lawler, J.E. 1985, J.Opt. Soc. Am., 2, 1948
- Fedchak, J.A., Den Hartog, E.A., Lawler, J.E., Palmeri, P., Quinet, P., & Biemont, E. 2000, ApJ, 542, 1109
- Fitzpatrick, M.J.& Sneden, C.1987, BAAS, 19, 1129
- Fuhr, J.R., & Wiese, W.L. 2002, NIST Atom ic Transition Probability Tables, in CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 83st Edition, ed.D.R.Lide (Boca Raton: CRC Press), p 10-88

- Gilroy, K.K., Sneden, C., Pilachowski, C.A., & Cowan, J.J. 1988, ApJ, 327, 298
- Gratton, R., & Sneden, C. 1994, A & A, 287, 927
- Gratton, R.G., Sneden, C., Carretta, E., & Bragaglia, A. 2000, A&A, 354, 169
- Grevesse, N., & Sauval, A.J., 1998, Sp. Sci. Rev., 85, 161
- Hill, V. et al. 2002, A&A, 387, 560
- Howeger, H. 2001, in AIP Conf. Proc. 598, Solar and Galactic Composition: A Joint SOHO/ACE Workshop, ed. R. F. W immer-Schweingruber (New York: AIP), 23
- Johnson, J.A., & Bolte, M. 2001, ApJ, 554, 888
- Kappeler, F., Beer, H., & Wisshak, K. 1989, Rep. Prog. Phys., 52, 945
- Kurucz, R. L. 1995, in Workshop on Laboratory and astronom ical high resolution spectra, ASP Conference Ser. # 81 ed. A. J. Sauval, R. Blomme, and N. Grevesse (San Francisco: Astr. Soc. Pac.), p.583
- Kwiatkowski, M., Zimmermann, P., Biemont, E., & Grevesse N. 1982, A&A, 112, 337
- Lambert, D.L., & Allende Prieto, C. 2002, MNRAS, 335, 325
- Law ler, J.E., Bonvallet, G., & Sneden, C. 2001, ApJ, 556, 452
- Martensson-Pendrill, A-M, Gough, D.S., & Hannaford P.1994, Phys. Rev. A, 49, 3351
- M dW illiam, A. 1998, AJ, 115, 1640
- M dW illiam, A., Preston, G.W., Sneden, C., & Searle, L. 1995, AJ, 109, 2757
- Mishenina, T.V., Kovtyukh, V.V., Soubiran, C., Travaglio, C., & Busso, M. 2002, A&A, 396, 189
- Moeller, P., Pfeier, B. & Kratz, K. L. 2002, Phys. Rev. C, submited
- Norris, J.E., Ryan, S.G., & Beers, T.C., 1997, ApJ, 488, 350
- Norris, J.E., Ryan, S.G., & Beers, T.C., 1997, ApJ, 489, L169
- Palmeri, P., Quinet, P., Wyart, J.F., & Biemont, E., 2000, Phys. Scr., 61, 323
- Peterson, R.C., Dorman, B., & Rood, R.T. 2001, ApJ, 559, 372

- Pfeier, B., Kratz, K.-L. & Moeller, P. 2002, Progr. Nucl. Energ. 41, 39
- Pinnington, E.H., Rieger, G., & Kernahan, J.A. 1997, Phys. Rev. A, 56, 2421
- Prim as, F., Asplund, M., Nissen, P.E., Hill, V. 2000, A&A, 364, L42
- Primas, F., Duncan, D.K., Pinsonneault, M.H., Deliyannis, C.P., & Thorburn, J.A. 1997, ApJ, 480, 784
- Prim as, F., Molaro, P., Bonifacio, P., Hill, V. 2000, A&A, 362, 666
- Primas, F., Reimers, D., Wisotzki, L., Reetz, J., Gehren, T., & Beers, T.C. 2000, in The First Stars, ed. A. Weiss, T. Abel, & V. Hill (Berlin: Springer), 51
- Quinet, P., Palmeri, P., Biemont, E., McCurdy, M.M., Rieger, G., Pinnington, E.H., Wicklie, M.E., & Lawler, J.E. 1999, MNRAS, 307, 934
- Reetz, J. 1999, Ap& SS, 265, 171
- Reyniers, M., Van Winckel, H., Biemont, E., & Quinet, P. 2002, A&A, 395, L35
- Ryan, S.G., & Deliyannis, C.P. 1998, ApJ, 500, 398
- Ryan, S. G., Kajino, T., Beers, T. C., Suzuki, T. K., Romano, D., Matteucci, F., & Rosolankova, K. 1999, ApJ, 549, 55
- Ryan, S.G., Norris, J.E., & Beers, T.C. 1996, ApJ, 471, 254
- Schatz, H., Toenjes, R., Pfeier, B., Beers, T.C., Cowan, J.J.; Hill, V., & Kratz, K.L. 2002, ApJ, 579, 626
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Sneden, C. 1973, ApJ, 184, 839
- Sneden, C., Cowan, J.J., Burris, D.L., & Truran, J.W. 1998, ApJ, 496, 235
- Sneden, C., Cowan, J.J., Ivans, I. I., Fuller, G. M., Burles, S., Beers, T. C., & Lawler, J. E. 2000a, ApJ, 533, L139.
- Sneden, C., Johnson, J., Kraft, R.P., Smith, G.H., Cowan, J.J., & Bolte, M.S. 2000b, ApJ, 536, L85
- Sneden, C., M dW illiam, A., Preston, G.W., Cowan, J.J., Burris, D.L., & Armosky, B.J. 1996, ApJ, 467, 819

- Sneden, C., & Parthasarathy, M. 1983, ApJ, 267, 757
- Sneden, C., & Pilachowski, C.A. 1985, ApJ, 288, L55
- Sneden, C., Preston, G.W., McW illiam, A., & Searle, L. 1994, ApJ, 431, L27
- Spite, M., & Spite, F. 1978, A&A, 67, 23
- Takeda, Y., Zhao, G., Chen, Y.-Q., Qiu, H.-M., & Takada-Hidai, M. 2002, PASJ, 54, 275
- Truran, J.W .1981, A&A, 97, 391
- Truran, J.W., & Cowan, J.J. 2000, in Nuclear A strophysics, ed.W. Hillebrandt & E.Muller (Munich: MPI), 64
- Tull, R.G., MacQueen, P.J., Sneden, C., & Lambert, D.L. 1995, PASP, 107, 251
- Vogt, S.S. et al. 1994, in Proc. SPE Conf. 2198, Instrum entation in Astronom y VII, eds. D.L.Craw ford and E.R.Craine, (Bellingham, WA:SPE), p. 362.
- W ahlgren, G.M. 2002, Phys. Scr, T100, 22
- W ana p, S., Itoh, N., Ishim aru, Y., Nozawa, S., & Beers, T.C. 2002, ApJ, 577, 853
- W arm els, R H. 1991, in Astronom ical D ata Analysis Software and Systems I, PASP C onf. Ser., 25, 115
- Wasserburg, G.J., Busso, M., & Gallino, R. 1996, ApJ, 466, L109
- Wasserburg, G.J., & Qian, Y.-Z. 2000, ApJ, 529, L21
- Westin, J., Sneden, C., Gustafsson, B., & Cowan, J.J. 2000, ApJ, 530, 783
- W haling, W ., & Brault, J.W . 1988, Phys. Scr, 38, 707
- W haling, W ., Hannaford, P., Lowe, R.M., Biemont, E., & Grevesse N. 1984, J. Quant. Spect. Rad. Trans. 32, 69
- Wicklie, M.E., Salih, S., & Lawler, J.E. 1994, J.Quant. Spec. Rad. Trans., 51, 545
- W isshak, K., Voss, F., & Kappeler, F. 1996, in Proceedings of the 8th W orkshop on Nuclear A strophysics, ed.W. Hillebrandt, & E.Muller (Munich: MPI), 16
- Worm, T., Shi, P., & Poulsen, O. 1990, Phys. Scr, 42, 569

This preprint was prepared with the AAS $\mathbb{P}T_EX$ m acros v5.0.



Fig. 1. Abundances with respect to the Sun, [X/H], versus atom ic number for elements with Z 30. The symbols are de ned in the gure legend, and the dashed line representing the standard \m etallicity" of CS 22892-052 is drawn through the Fe I abundance, $[Fe/H] = \{ 3.10. \text{ See x3.1} \text{ for discussion of the error bars displayed in this and other gures. For display purposes small leftward and rightward shifts for points from neutral and ionized species, respectively, have been in posed on abundances of elements represented by two ionization stages.$



Fig. 2. Observed and synthetic spectra of the Li I and Be II resonance doublets in CS 22892-052. In the upper panel, a potential Ce II blending transition at 6708.10 A is marked. The synthetic spectra are computed with log (Li) = $\{5.0, (dotted line), \{0.25, (short dashed line), +0.15, (solid line), and +0.65, (long dashed line). In the lower panel, the synthetic spectra are chosen to illustrate the appearance of the spectrum without the presence of Be (log (Be) = <math>\{5.0, dotted line), the appearance if Be were assumed to be undepleted (log (Be) = <math>\{2.4, dashed line), and the best-t to the 3131 A feature (log (Be) = <math>\{1.2, solid line\}, See x3.1$ for further comment on the Li and Be features.



Fig. 3. A bundances with respect to the Sun [X/H] versus atom ic number for elements with Z > 30. The symbols are dened in the gure legend, and as in Figure 1 a dashed line represents the [Fe/H] m etallicity of CS 22892-052. Detected elements are shown with complete error bars, and upper limits are shown with only the lower half of an arbitrary-length error bars. A few element symbols are marked to help with identication of the points.



Fig. 4. Spectra of CS 22892-052 and BD + 17 3248 (Cowan et al. 2002) in two small spectral regions relevant to detection of the M o I 3864.10 A line. In the top panel the wavelength region near the CN B² + X² + (0-0) bandhead is shown, and in the bottom panel a small portion of spectrum surrounding the M o I line is shown. A few major feature identi cations are labeled in each panel, and the growth of CN strength with increasing wavelength toward the 3883.4 A bandhead is indicated by dashed lines in the top panel.



Fig. 5. Observed and synthetic spectra of two Sn I lines in CS 22892-052. The assumed Sn abundances for the synthetic spectra are given in each gure panel. The synthetic spectra for two sm allest abundances in each case produce nearly the same (extrem ely weak) Sn I absorptions. These Sn lines cannot be positively detected in CS 22892-052.



Fig. 6. Observed n-capture abundances in CS 22892-052 and two scaled solar-system abundance distributions (Burris et al. 2000). Detected elements are shown as solid circles with complete error bars, and upper limits are denoted with open circles with only the lower half of an arbitrary-length error bar. The solar-system r-process abundance set (solid line) is vertically scaled by a single additive constant to m atch the observed Eu abundance, while the s-process set (dashed line) is scaled to m atch the observed Ba abundance.



Fig. 7. Dierences between CS 22892-052 abundances and two scaled solar-system abundance distributions. The dotted line in each panel indicates the unweighted mean dierence for elements in the range 56 Z 79. The symbols are as in Figure 6. In the top panel the abundance dierences relative to those of Burris et al. (2000; their Table 5) are displayed. The upper limit for Ge (Z = 32) has no open-circle head because its upper limit lies below the lower limit boundary of the plot. In the bottom panel the abundance dierences are relative to those of A rlandini et al. (1999). Their solar-system n-capture abundances were tabulated only for the atom ic number range 39 Z 81.



Fig. 8. Di erences between CS 22892-052 abundances and two scaled r-process theoretical predictions. The sym bols and lines have the sam e m eaning as in Figure 7. In the top panel the abundance di erences relative to those of Cow an et al. (1999) are displayed. In the bottom panel the abundance di erences are relative to those new ly com puted for this paper.

Q uantity	Value	Source
RA.(J2000)	22 ^h 17 ^m 01 . 5 ^s	1
Dec. (J2000)	16 39º26 ⁰⁰	1
l	41.15	1
b^{II}	{52.8	1
V	13.18	2
B V	0.78	2
U B	0.14	2
V R	0.48	2
JН	0.47	3
H K	0.11	3
JK	0.58	3
V K	2,26	4
E(BV)	0.02,0.00,0.03	2,5,6
M _v	{0.23, {0.16	2 , 5
D istance	4700 , 4648 pc	2 , 5
₽e/H b	{2.92, {3.01	2 , 5
T_{eff}	4800 K	7
log g	1.50	7
[M /H]	{2.5	7
Vt	1.95 km s ¹	7

Table 1. Basic Data and Model Parameters

References. | 1. SIM BAD; 2. Beers et al. (1992); 3. Two M icron All Sky Survey (2M ASS); 4. V from Beers et al (1992) and K from 2M ASS; 5. Beers et al. (1999); 6. Schlegel et al. 1998; 7. this paper.

	EP.	log gf	EW.ª	log
A	eV		m A	
	1	JaI,Z=	11	
3302.37	0.00	{1 . 74	syn	+ 3,21
3302.98	0.00	{2.04	syn	+2.76
5889.95	0.00	+ 0.11	<i>s</i> yn (150)	+3.11
5895.92	0.00	{0.19	syn (122)	+ 3.06
	Ν	1 g I, Z =	12	
3829.36	2.71	{0.21	138.0	+ 4.82
3832.31	2.71	+ 0.15	163.0	+ 4.82
4571.10	0.00	{5 . 57	30.0	+ 4.72
4703.00	4.35	{0.38	43.0	+ 4.80
5172.70	2.71	{0.38	145.0	+ 4.67
5183.62	2.72	{0.16	175.0	+ 4.90
5528.42	4.35	{0.34	44.0	+ 4.73
	i	AlI,Z =	13	
3961.53	0.01	{0.34	106.0	+2.79
		SiI,Z =	14	
4102.94	1.91	{3.10	48.0	+ 4.81
		K I, Z = 1	19	
7698.97	0.00	{0.17	20.0	+2.48
	(Ca I, Z =	20	
5349.47	2.71	{0.31	5.5	+ 3.53
5588.76	2.53	+0.36	27.0	+ 3.44
5857.46	2.93	+ 0.24	12.0	+ 3.58
6102.73	1.88	{0.79	17.5	+ 3.58
6122.23	1.89	{0.32	38.5	+ 3.57
6162.18	1.90	{0.09	51.0	+ 3.57
6439.08	2.52	+ 0.39	31.5	+ 3.46
6493.79	2.52	{0.11	15.0	+ 3.54
6499.65	2.52	{0.82	3.5	+3.56
6717 . 69	2.71	{0.52	7.5	+ 3.83
	:	Sc I, Z =	21	
3911.81	0.02	+ 0.52	syn	{0 . 15:
	5	Sc II, Z =	21	
4246.83	0.32	+ 0,242	syn (104)	{0.18
4400.39	0.61	{0.536	syn	{0 . 03
5031.02	1.36	{0.400	14.0	+ 0.02
5526.82	1.77	+ 0.020	11.5	{0 . 05

Table 2. Lines of E lements with Z < 30 in CS 22892-052

A	EP. eV	log gf	EW.ª mA	log
5657.88	1.51	{0.600	6.0	{0.06
	Т	iI, Z = 2	2	
3998.64	0.05	-0.06	43.0	+ 2.00
4533.25	0.85	0.48	22.0	+1.89
4534.78	0.84	0.28	18.0	+1.96
4548.77	0.83	-0.63	7.5	+2.43
4981.74	0.85	0.50	31.5	+ 2.02
4999.51	0.83	0.25	30.0	+2,21
5022.87	0.83	-0.43	7.0	+2.16
5024.85	0.82	-0.60	6.0	+ 2,24
5039.96	0.02	-1.13	10.0	+2.06
5064.66	0.05	-0.99	12.0	+2.04
5173.75	0.00	-1.12	10.5	+2.04
5192.98	0.02	-1.01	27.0	+2.44
5210.39	0.05	-0.88	17.0	+2.10
	T	iⅢ,Z = 2	22	
4533.97	1.24	{0.77	87.5	+2.16
4563.77	1,22	{0.96	70.5	+1.97
4571.98	1.57	{0.53	74.5	+2.05
4589.95	1.24	{1.79	33.0	+2.16
4657.20	1.24	{2.15	10.5	+1.91
4708.67	1.24	{2.21	13.0	+2.07
5129.16	1.89	{1.39	19.5	+2.18
5154.07	1.57	{1.92	15.0	+2.19
5185.91	1.89	{1.35	12.0	+1.89
5226.55	1.57	{1.30	36.5	+2.06
5336.79	1.58	{1.70	19.0	+2.09
5381.01	1.57	{2.08	11.5	+ 2.20
	V	$I_r Z = 2$	3	
4111.77	0.29	+0.41	6.0	+ 0.71
4115.18	0.29	+ 0.07	3.0	+ 0.73
4116.47	0.28	{0.31	3.0	+1.10
	V	II, Z = 2	:3	
3530.76	1.07	{0 . 60	26.0	+ 0.78
3538.23	1.07	{1.64	4.0	+ 0.88
3545.19	1.10	{0.39	32.5	+ 0.75
3592.03	1.10	{0.37	42.0	+0.91

Table 2 | Continued

Ξ

A	EP. eV	log gf	EW.ª mA	log
3951.97	1.48	{0.74	14.0	+ 0.92
4005.71	1.82	{0.46	12.0	+ 0.95
	С	$rI_{,Z} = 2$	4	
4646.17	1.03	{0.71	17.5	+2.34
5206.04	0.94	+ 0.02	55.0	+ 2.20
5296.70	0.98	{1.40	7.0	+2.46
5345.81	1.00	{0.98	12.0	+ 2.32
5348.33	1.00	{1.29	8.0	+2.43
5409.80	1.03	{0.72	16.0	+ 2,23
	Cı	CII, Z = 2	24	
4588.20	4.07	{0.63	4.0	+2.33
4848.23	3.86	{1.14	3.5	+ 2.52
	М	n I, Z = 2	25	
4030.76	0.00	{0.47	93.5	+1.65
4033.07	0.00	{0.62	91.5	+1.74
4041.37	2.11	+ 0.29	18.0	+1.88
	Мı	$n II_{r} Z = Z$	25	
3460.32	1.81	{0.54	68.0	+1.75
3488.68	1.85	{0.86	54.0	+1.84
	Fe	e I, Z = 2	6	
3763.80	0.99	{0.22	137.5	+ 4.25
3787.89	1.01	{0.84	112.0	+ 4.34
3820.44	0.86	+0.16	190.0	+ 4.29
3825.89	0.92	{0.02	179.0	+ 4.44
3840.45	0.99	{0.50	132.0	+ 4.38
3856.38	0.05	{1.28	143.0	+ 4,27
3865.53	1.01	{0 . 95	114.0	+ 4.45
3886.29	0.05	{1.06	187.0	+ 4.55
3887.06	0.92	{1.09	111.0	+ 4.39
3899.72	0.09	{1.52	138.5	+ 4.44
3917.18	0.99	{2.15	76.0	+ 4.58
3922.92	0.05	{1.63	137.0	+ 4.47
3949.96	2.18	{1.25	41.0	+ 4.32
4005.25	1.56	{0.58	97.0	+ 4.20
4071.75	1.61	{0.01	124.0	+ 4.31
4114.45	2.83	{1.30	11.5	+ 4.36
4132.91	2.85	{1.01	20.5	+ 4.37

Table 2 | Continued

{	44	{
ι		ι

_	А	ΕΡ. eV	log gf	EW. ^a mA	log
	4134.69	2.83	{0 . 65	35.5	+ 4.34
	4143.88	1.56	{0.51	102.0	+ 4.18
	4147.68	1.49	{2.07	51.0	+ 4.48
	4157.79	3.42	{0.40	32.0	+ 4.69
	4175.64	2.85	{0.83	43.0	+ 4.67
	4187.05	2.45	{0.51	64.0	+ 4.31
	4187.81	2.42	{0.51	81.0	+ 4.66
	4191.44	2.47	{0 . 67	54.5	+ 4.29
	4199.10	3.05	+0.16	76.5	+ 4.60
	4202.04	1.49	{0.69	103.0	+ 4,28
	4216.19	0.00	{3.36	79.0	+ 4.56
	4222,22	2.45	{0.91	45.0	+ 4.33
	4227.44	3.33	+ 0.27	51.0	+ 4.28
	4233.61	2.48	{0.58	59.0	+ 4.29
	4602.01	1.61	{3.13	0.8	+ 4.53
	4602.95	1.49	{2.21	47.0	+ 4.46
	4630.12	2,28	{2.59	5.0	+ 4.55
	4647.43	2.95	{1.35	17.0	+ 4.68
	4871.32	2.87	{0.36	51.0	+ 4.28
	4872.14	2.88	{0.57	34.5	+ 4.20
	4890.76	2.88	{0.39	59.0	+ 4.46
	4891.50	2.85	{0.11	63.0	+ 4.22
	4903.32	2.88	{0 . 93	25.0	+ 4.36
	4919.00	2.87	{0.34	48.0	+ 4.20
	4924.77	2,28	{2.20	14.5	+ 4.64
	4938.82	2.88	{1.08	14.0	+ 4.19
	4939.69	0.86	{3 . 25	26.5	+ 4.33
	4966.09	3.33	{0.84	14.0	+ 4.48
	4994.14	0.92	{2 . 97	41.0	+ 4.39
	5001.87	3.88	+ 0.05	15.5	+ 4,27
	5006.12	2.83	{0.62	40.0	+ 4.28
	5014.94	3.94	{0.27	9.5	+ 4.42
	5041.08	0.96	{3.09	36.0	+ 4.46
	5049.83	2,28	{1.36	38.5	+ 4.34
	5051.64	0.92	{2.76	59.0	+ 4.49
	5068.77	2.94	{1.04	17.0	+ 4.31
	5074.75	4,22	{0.16	6.5	+ 4.44

Table 2 | Continued

{ 45	{
------	---

А	EP. eV	log gf	EW.ª mA	log
5079.74	0.99	{3.25	35.0	+ 4.64
5083.35	0.96	{2.84	42.5	+ 4.33
5110.44	0.00	{3.76	70.5	+ 4.57
5123.73	1.01	{3.06	47.0	+ 4.68
5125.12	4.22	80.0}	10.0	+ 4.56
5127.37	0.92	{3 . 25	30.0	+ 4.45
5131.48	2,22	{2.52	5.0	+4.36
5133.69	4.18	+ 0.20	19.0	+ 4.56
5141.74	2.42	{2.24	9.5	+ 4.61
5145.09	2.20	{2.88	4.0	+ 4.59
5150.85	0.99	{3.04	32.5	+ 4.38
5151 . 92	1.01	{3.32	23.5	+ 4.49
5162.28	4.18	+ 0.02	15.0	+ 4.61
5166.28	0.00	{4.12	41.0	+ 4.43
5171 . 61	1.49	{1.72	64.5	+ 4.20
5191 . 47	3.04	{0 . 55	42.0	+ 4.48
5192.35	3.00	{0 . 42	44.0	+ 4.34
5194.95	1.56	{2.02	51.5	+4.36
5198.71	2,22	{2.14	13.5	+ 4.45
5202.34	2.18	{1.84	25.0	+ 4.42
5216.28	1.61	{2.08	41.0	+ 4.30
5217 . 40	3.21	{1.16	9.0	+ 4.42
5225.53	0.11	{4.76	13.0	+ 4.54
5232 . 95	2.94	{0.06	59.5	+ 4.17
5247.05	0.09	{4.98	10.0	+ 4.60
5254 . 95	0.11	{4.76	11.5	+ 4.48
5266.56	3.00	{0.39	40.0	+ 4.22
5269.55	0.86	{1.33	129.0	+ 4.42
5281.80	3.04	{0 . 83	21.5	+ 4.33
5283 . 63	3.24	{0.52	27.5	+ 4.40
5302.31	3.28	{0.72	15.0	+ 4.31
5328.05	0.92	{1.47	117.0	+ 4.33
5328.54	1.56	{1 . 85	62.5	+ 4.36
5339 . 94	3.27	{0.72	19.5	+ 4.43
5364.87	4.45	+ 0.23	9.5	+ 4.47
5367.47	4.42	+ 0.44	10.5	+ 4.27
5369 . 97	4.37	+ 0.54	16.0	+ 4.34

Table 2 | Continued

{	46	{

A	ΕΡ. eV	log gf	EW. ^a mA	log
5371.50	0.96	{1 . 64	110.5	+ 4.42
5383.38	4.31	+ 0.65	21.5	+ 4.32
5393.18	3.24	{0.72	15.0	+ 4.25
5397.14	0.92	{1 . 98	101.0	+ 4.48
5405.78	0.99	{1.85	96.0	+ 4.33
5410.91	4.47	+ 0.40	8.5	+ 4.28
5415.20	4.39	+ 0.64	15.0	+ 4.22
5424.08	4.32	+ 0.52	18.5	+ 4.37
5429.71	0.96	{1 . 88	103.0	+ 4.47
5434.53	1.01	{2.13	84.0	+ 4.37
5445.04	4.39	+ 0.04	6.0	+ 4.37
5446.92	0.99	{1.91	99.0	+ 4.44
5501.48	0.96	{3.05	42.0	+ 4.48
5506.79	0.99	{2 . 79	53.0	+ 4.45
5569.63	3.42	{0.50	18.0	+ 4.32
5572 . 85	3.40	{0.28	24.0	+ 4,24
5576.10	3.43	{0.90	11.0	+ 4.49
5586.77	3.37	{0.10	33.0	+ 4,21
5615.66	3.33	+ 0.05	44.0	+ 4.22
6065.48	2.61	{1.47	17.5	+ 4.31
6136.62	2.45	{1.41	30.5	+ 4.37
6137.70	2.59	{1.35	25.0	+ 4.35
6191.57	2.43	{1.42	26.0	+ 4.25
6213.44	2.22	{2.48	4.0	+ 4.15
6219.29	2.20	{2.45	9.5	+ 4.48
6230.74	2.56	{1.28	32.5	+ 4.39
6252.56	2.40	{1.77	22.0	+ 4.47
6265.13	2.18	{2.55	10.0	+ 4.59
6280.62	0.86	{4.39	7.0	+ 4.69
6335.33	2.20	{2.47	13.0	+ 4.66
6393.61	2.43	{1.57	29.5	+ 4.47
6400.01	3.60	{0.29	20.0	+ 4.34
6421.36	2.28	{2.01	13.5	+ 4.31
6430.85	2.18	{1.95	26.0	+ 4.47
6481.87	2.28	{3.01	2.5	+ 4.52
6494.98	2.40	{1.24	49.5	+ 4.45
6592 . 91	2.73	{1.47	17.0	+ 4.40

Table 2 | Continued

Table 2 | Continued

	Ε₽.	log gf	E.W.ª	log
A	eV		m A	
6593 87	2 44	12 42	55	+ 4 47
6677 99	2.69	{1 42	24 5	+ 4 50
0011.00	2.05 E	$Te \Pi_{I} Z =$	21.2	1 1.00
4178.86	2.58	{2.49	34.0	+ 4.44
4555.89	2.83	{2.30	29.0	+ 4.39
4583.84	2.81	{1.89	54.5	+ 4.42
4923.93	2.89	{1.31	77.0	+4.31
5018.45	2.89	{1.29	78.0	+ 4.30
5234.63	3.22	{2.27	21.5	+ 4.57
5276.00	3.20	{1.96	27.0	+ 4.37
5284.10	2.89	{3.01	7.5	+ 4.40
5534.83	3.25	{2 . 77	6.0	+ 4.45
6456.39	3.90	{2.08	4.5	+ 4.34
6516.08	2.89	{3.45	4.5	+ 4.53
	($C \circ I_r Z =$	27	
3845.47	0.92	+ 0.010	65.0	+1.97
3995.32	0.92	{0.220	59.5	+ 2.02
4121.32	0.92	{0.320	58.5	+2.07
	1	NiI,Z =	28	
3807.15	0.42	{1.180	87.5	+ 3.02
3858.30	0.42	{0 . 970	95.5	+3.01
5035.37	3.63	+0.290	7.5	+ 3.09
5476.92	1.83	{0 . 890	40.0	+ 3.04
6643.64	1.68	{2.300	5.0	+3.11
6767.78	1.83	{2.170	5.5	+3.19
	(Cu I, Z =	29	
3247.53	0.00	{0.06	syn (100)	{0 . 60
3273.95	0.00	{0.36	syn (76)	{0 . 3
	:	Zn I , Z =	30	
4810.54	4.08	{0.170	0.8	+1.69

^aAn EW value in this colum n m eans that the abundance was determ ined using this EW; the designation syn" m eans that a synthetic spectrum was used; and syn (EW)" m eans that a synthetic spectrum was used, but the feature was su ciently isolated for an approximate EW (to indicate absorption strength) to be m easured.

	EP.	log gf	EW ^a	log
A	eV		m A	_
		C - T P	21	<u> </u>
4172 04	0 1 0	Ga 1, 2 =	31	< + 0.0
41/2.04	0.10	$\{\bigcup, \bigcup, \bigcup$	Syn	< + 0.0
3030 07	0 99	10 04	32 GVD	< 10.2
5059.07	0.00	SrT 7 =	38	< {0Z
4607.33	0.00	+ 0.28	svn	+ 0.5:
1007.20	0.00	Sr II. Z =	38	
3464.46	3.04	0.53	syn	+0.3:
4077.71	0.00	0.17	svn	+ 0.50
4161.79	2.94	{0 . 60	<i>s</i> yn (6)	+ 0.60
4215.52	0.00	{0.17	syn (155)	+ 0.40
		Y II, Z =	39	
3242.27	0.18	+ 0.21	66.0	-0.35
3327.88	0.41	+0.13	55.0	-0.38
3549.01	0.13	{0.28	57.0	-0.39
3600.74	0.18	+ 0.28	72.0	-0.52
3611.04	0.13	+ 0.01	66.0	-0.48
3747.55	0.10	{0 . 91	32.0	-0.47
3774.33	0.13	+ 0.22	79.0	-0.52
3788.70	0.10	{0 . 07	72.0	-0.45
3818.34	0.13	{0 . 98	36.0	-0.30
3832.89	0.18	{0.34	60.0	-0.40
3950.36	0.10	{0.49	63.0	-0.32
4398.01	0.13	{1.00	47.0	-0.20
5087.43	1.08	{0.17	18.0	-0.59
5200.42	0.99	{0.57	11.5	-0.53
5205.73	1.03	{0.34	19.0	-0.46
		Zr II, Z =	40	
3054.44	0.71	{1.20	syn	+0.15
3060.11	0.04	{1.37	syn	+ 0.30
3061.33	0.09	{1.38	syn	+ 0.50
3334.62	0.56	{0 . 80	22.0	+0.36
3338.41	0.96	{0.58	8.5	+ 0.11
3410.25	0.41	{0.32	53.0	+ 0.42
3424.82	0.04	{1.31	21.0	+0.19
3430.53	0.47	{0.16	47.0	+0.18
3438.23	0.09	+ 0.42	87.0	+ 0.28

Table 3. Lines of n-C apture E lem ents in CS 22892-052

{	49	{
---	----	---

	EP.	log gf	EW ^a	log
A	eV		m A	
3457.56	0.56	{0.53	34.0	+ 0.34
3458.93	0.96	{0.52	9.0	+ 0.04
3479.02	0.53	{0.69	22.0	+0.17
3479.39	0.71	+ 0.17	52.0	+ 0.23
3499.58	0.41	{0.81	22.0	+0.14
3505.67	0.16	{0.36	53.0	+ 0.11
3536.94	0.36	{1.31	10.0	+ 0.15
3551.96	0.09	{0.31	61.0	+0.15
3573.08	0.32	{1.04	25.0	+ 0.32
3578.23	1.22	{0 . 61	4.5	+ 0.08
3630.02	0.36	{1.11	15.5	+ 0.15
3698.17	1.01	+ 0.09	38.0	+ 0.22
3714.78	0.53	{0.93	28.5	+ 0.46
3751.60	0.97	+ 0.01	33.0	+ 0.13
3766.82	0.41	{0.81	28.0	+ 0.17
3998.97	0.56	{0 . 67	38.0	+ 0.37
4050.33	0.71	{1.00	12.0	+ 0.20
4090.51	0.76	{1.10	12.5	+ 0.37
4161,21	0.71	{0.72	30.0	+ 0.40
4208.99	0.71	{0.46	31.5	+0.16
4317.32	0.71	{1.38	9.0	+ 0.39
4496.97	0.71	{0.59	26.5	+0.14
	Ν	b II,Z =	41	
3215.59	0.44	{0.19	syn	{0.90
	М	$I \circ I_{r} Z =$	42	
3864.10	0.00	{0.01	<i>s</i> yn (5)	{0.40
	R	ku I, Z =	44	
3436.74	0.15	+ 0.150	syn	{0.05
3498.94	0.00	+ 0.310	syn (19)	+ 0.05
3728.03	0.00	+ 0.270	syn	+0.1:
3798.90	0.15	{0.040	syn	+ 0.05
3799.35	0.00	+ 0.020	syn	+ 0.20
	R	kh I, Z =	45	
3434.89	0.00	0.450	<i>s</i> yn (5)	{0.60
3692.36	0.00	0.173	syn	{0.50
	P	°d I, Z =	46	
3242.70	0.81	{0.070	syn	{0.26

Table 3 | Continued

	EP.	log gf	EW ^a	bg
A	eV		m A	
3404.58	0.81	+ 0.320	syn (17)	{0.31
3460.75	0.81	{0.420	<i>s</i> yn	{0.4:
3516.94	0.94	{0.240	syn (5)	{0.21
		Ag I, Z =	47	
3280.67	0.00	{0.04	syn (23)	{0 . 95
3382.90	0.00	{0.35	syn (12)	{0 . 80
		Cd I, Z =	48	
3261.05	0.00	-2.47	syn	< 0.0
		Sn I,Z =	50	
3262.34	1.07	0.11	<i>s</i> yn	< 0.0
3801.02	1.07	0.74	<i>s</i> yn	<+0.2
	1	Ba II , Z =	56	
3891.78	2.51	+ 0.280	syn	{0.01
4130.65	2.72	+ 0.560	<i>s</i> yn	+ 0.04
4166.00	2.72	{0.420	<i>s</i> yn	+0.1:
4554.03	0.00	+ 0.170	syn (170)	+ 0.07
4934.10	0.00	{0 . 157	syn (172)	+ 0.06
5853 . 69	0.60	{1.010	<i>s</i> yn (65)	{0.05
6141.73	0.70	{0 . 077	syn (107)	{0 . 06
6496.91	0.60	{0.380	syn (108)	+ 0.06
		La II , Z =	57	
3713.54	0.17	0.80	10.0	{0 . 86
3794.77	0.24	+ 0.21	45.0	{0 . 89
3949.10	0.40	+ 0.49	syn (73)	88 . 0}
3988.51	0.40	+ 0.21	syn (49)	{0 . 89
3995.75	0.17	{0.06	syn (46)	{0 . 85
4086.71	0.00	{0.07	syn (52)	{0.84
4123.22	0.32	+0.13	syn (44)	{0 . 89
4322.50	0.17	{0.93	12.0	{0.76
4333.75	0.17	{0.06	syn	{0 . 91
4526.11	0.77	{0.59	4.5	{0 . 87
4920.96	0.13	{0.58	25.5	{0 . 83
4921.78	0.24	{0.45	25.5	{0 . 83
5114.51	0.23	{1.03	10.5	{0.75
5797.57	0.24	{1.36	5.5	{0 . 77
6262.29	0.40	{1.22	4.8	{0.81
	(CeII,Z =	58	

Table 3 | Continued

{	51	{

A	ΕΡ. eV	log gf	EW ^a mA	log
3426.20	0.12	{0 . 62	7.5	{0.38
3539.08	0.32	{0.38	6.0	{0.51
3577.46	0.47	+ 0.21	15.0	{0.48
3655.84	0.32	{0.02	12.0	{0.60
3940.33	0.32	{0.27	11.5	{0.44
3942.15	0.00	{0.22	25.0	{0.46
3942.74	0.86	+ 0.73	17.0	{0.60
3960.91	0.32	{0.40	8.5	{0.46
3964.50	0.32	{0.54	7.0	{0.41
3984.67	0.96	+ 0.11	5.0	{0.46
3992.38	0.45	{0.17	7.5	{0 . 60
3999.24	0.30	+ 0.09	21.0	{0.52
4003.77	0.93	+ 0.30	8.5	{0.44
4014.14	0.53	+ 0.14	12.0	{0.59
4031.33	0.32	80.0}	12.0	{0 . 63
4053.50	0.00	{0.71	11.0	{0 . 43
4073.47	0.48	+ 0.23	19.5	{0.50
4083.22	0.70	+ 0.27	15.0	{0.42
4115.37	0.92	+ 0.10	5.2	{0.50
4118.14	0.70	+ 0.19	9.5	{0.57
4120.83	0.32	{0.21	14.0	{0.43
4127.36	0.68	+ 0.35	15.0	{0.53
4137.65	0.52	+ 0.44	28.5	{0.45
4145.00	0.70	+ 0.13	9.5	{0.52
4165.60	0.91	+ 0.53	14.5	{0.46
4539.74	0.33	{0.02	16.5	{0.59
4562.36	0.48	+ 0.23	25.0	{0.44
4572.28	0.68	+ 0.29	15.5	{0.52
4593.93	0.70	+ 0.11	9.0	{0.59
4628.16	0.52	+ 0.20	20.5	{0.48
5274.23	1.04	+ 0.15	7.0	{0.41
5353.52	0.88	+ 0.11	7.5	{0.54
	Р	rⅢ,Z =	59	
3964.82	0.06	+ 0.12	syn (18)	{1.03
3965.26	0.20	+0.14	syn (15)	{1.00
4179.40	0.20	+ 0.48	syn	{1.22
4189.49	0.37	+ 0.38	syn	{1.17

Table 3 | Continued

	EP.	log qf	EW ^a	log
A	eV	2.2	m A	2
4222.05	0.06	1027	~~~~	(1.00
4222.95	0.00	+0.27	Syn	{1.00
4408.81	0.00	+ 0.18	syn	{ 1 .00
5219.05	0.79	{0.05	Z -Z	{1.18
5220.11	0.79	+ 0.30	5.Z	{1.15
5259./3	0.63	+0.11	5.8	{⊥₊⊥⊥
2700 40	N Q	. Π , Ζ = 6	12.0	(0.40
3/80.40	0.47	{UZ/	12.0	{0.42
3/84.25	0.38	+ 0 23	30.0	{0.52
3/84.85	0.06	{0.96	5.5	{0.60
3826.42	0.06	{0.26	20.0	{0.67
3838.98	0.00	{0.18	36.0	{0.45
3865.98	0.06	{0.80	9.0	{0.54
3866.81	0.20	{0.82	13.0	{0.17
3900.23	0.47	+ 0.20	23.0	{0.57
3973.30	0.63	+ 0.43	28.0	{0.51
4004.01	0.06	{0.59	19.0	{0.40
4013.22	0.18	{1.03	5.5	{0.43
4018.81	0.06	{0 . 89	14.0	{0.27
4021.34	0.32	{0.17	27.0	{0.31
4023.00	0.20	{0.19	24.5	{0.49
4041.06	0.47	{0 . 70	10.5	{0.11
4043.59	0.32	{0 . 53	9.5	{0.51
4051.15	0.38	{0.21	18.0	{0.44
4059.96	0.20	{0.33	15.0	{0 . 63
4061.09	0.47	+ 0.30	53.0	{0.09
4069.28	0.06	{0.33	26.0	{0.50
4109.45	0.32	+0.18	61.0	+ 0.00
4133.36	0.32	{0.52	15.0	{0.31
4211.29	0.20	{0.57	10.0	{0.62
4214.60	0.18	{1.18	3.0	{0.59
4232.20	0.06	{0.28	27.5	{0.54
4446.39	0.20	{0 . 63	23.5	{0.16
4462.99	0.56	{0 . 07	31.5	{0.11
4542.60	0.74	{0 . 35	11.0	{0.19
4567.61	0.20	{1.25	5.0	{0.32
4579.32	0.74	{0 . 55	0.8	{0 . 15
4594.45	0.20	{1.25	3.5	{0.48

Table 3 | Continued

4597.02 0.20 $\{0.92$ 13.5 $\{0.18$ 4645.77 0.56 $\{0.59$ 6.5 -0.43 4706.54 0.00 $\{1.50$ 25.0 $+0.47$ 4715.59 0.20 $\{1.70$ 10.0 $+0.44$ 4849.06 0.47 $\{0.97$ 10.5 $+0.04$ 4859.02 0.32 $\{1.45$ 14.0 $+0.48$ 4959.12 0.06 $\{1.37$ 18.0 $+0.20$ 5092.79 0.38 $\{1.22$ 15.0 $+0.33$ 5130.59 1.30 $+0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ 3993.30 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.77$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ <	A	Ε₽. eV	log gf	EW ^a mA	log
4645.77 0.56 $\{0.59$ 6.5 -0.43 4706.54 0.00 $\{1.50$ 25.0 $+0.47$ 4715.59 0.20 $\{1.70$ 10.0 $+0.44$ 4849.06 0.47 $\{0.97$ 10.5 $+0.04$ 4859.02 0.32 $\{1.45$ 14.0 $+0.48$ 4959.12 0.06 $\{1.37$ 18.0 $+0.20$ 5092.79 0.38 $\{1.22$ 15.0 $+0.33$ 5130.59 1.30 $+0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.67$ 3760.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ <td>4597.02</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>{0.92</td> <td>13.5</td> <td>{0.18</td>	4597 . 02	0.20	{0.92	13.5	{0.18
4706.540.00 $\{1.50$ 25.0 $+0.47$ 4715.590.20 $\{1.70$ 10.0 $+0.44$ 4849.060.47 $\{0.97$ 10.5 $+0.04$ 4859.020.32 $\{1.45$ 14.0 $+0.48$ 4959.120.06 $\{1.37$ 18.0 $+0.20$ 5092.790.38 $\{1.22$ 15.0 $+0.33$ 5130.591.30 $+0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.140.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.120.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.350.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.210.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.600.98 $+0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.810.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+0.17$ 5255.510.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+0.27$ 5276.880.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.170.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.480.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.820.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.510.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.67$ 3760.750.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.700.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.53$ 4068.320.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4189.40.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4023.230.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.36$ 419.530.33 $\{0.38$	4645.77	0.56	{0.59	6.5	-0.43
4715.59 0.20 $\{1.70$ 10.0 $+ 0.44$ 4849.06 0.47 $\{0.97$ 10.5 $+ 0.04$ 4859.02 0.32 $\{1.45$ 14.0 $+ 0.48$ 4959.12 0.06 $\{1.37$ 18.0 $+ 0.20$ 5092.79 0.38 $\{1.22$ 15.0 $+ 0.33$ 5130.59 1.30 $+ 0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5255.1 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $IT, Z = 62$ Z Z Z 3766.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3993.30 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$	4706.54	0.00	{1.50	25.0	+ 0.47
4849.06 0.47 $\{0.97$ 10.5 $+ 0.04$ 4859.02 0.32 $\{1.45$ 14.0 $+ 0.48$ 4959.12 0.06 $\{1.37$ 18.0 $+ 0.20$ 5092.79 0.38 $\{1.22$ 15.0 $+ 0.33$ 5130.59 1.30 $+ 0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $IT, Z = 62$ Z Z $\{0.58$ 3760.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3993.30 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ </td <td>4715.59</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>{1.70</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>+ 0.44</td>	4715.59	0.20	{1 . 70	10.0	+ 0.44
4859.02 0.32 $\{1.45$ 14.0 $+ 0.48$ 4959.12 0.06 $\{1.37$ 18.0 $+ 0.20$ 5092.79 0.38 $\{1.22$ 15.0 $+ 0.33$ 5130.59 1.30 $+ 0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $II, Z = 62$ Z Z $\{0.58$ 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4220.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.53$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4523.91 0.43 $\{0.58$ 12.5 $\{0.53$ </td <td>4849.06</td> <td>0.47</td> <td>{0.97</td> <td>10.5</td> <td>+ 0.04</td>	4849.06	0.47	{0 . 97	10.5	+ 0.04
4959.12 0.06 $\{1.37$ 18.0 $+ 0.20$ 5092.79 0.38 $\{1.22$ 15.0 $+ 0.33$ 5130.59 1.30 $+ 0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm IL Z = 62 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4120.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4206.12 0.38 $\{1.10$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25	4859.02	0.32	{1 . 45	14.0	+ 0.48
5092.79 0.38 $\{1.22$ 15.0 $+ 0.33$ 5130.59 1.30 $+ 0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+ 0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $\Pi, Z = 62$ Z Z $\{0.52$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.68$ 4206.12 0.38 $\{1.10$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ <	4959.12	0.06	{1.37	18.0	+ 0.20
5130.59 1.30 $+ 0.10$ 8.0 $\{0.20$ 5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+ 0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $\Pi, Z = 62$ Z 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.$	5092.79	0.38	{1.22	15.0	+ 0.33
5165.14 0.68 $\{0.67$ 9.5 $\{0.09$ 5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm IL, Z = 62 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$	5130.59	1.30	+0.10	0.8	{0.20
5200.12 0.56 $\{0.50$ 6.5 $\{0.59$ 5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm IL, Z = 62 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.68$ 4206.12 0.38 $\{1.10$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4542.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 420.53 0.18 <	5165.14	0.68	{0 . 67	9.5	{0.09
5212.35 0.20 $\{0.70$ 10.5 $\{0.60$ 5234.21 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+ 0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm Π, Z $= 62$ 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4519.63 0.18 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.1	5200.12	0.56	{0.50	6.5	{0.59
523421 0.55 $\{0.46$ 12.0 $\{0.35$ 5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+ 0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm IL, Z = 62 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{1.10$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.46$ 4523.91 0.43 $\{0.58$ 12.5 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5212.35	0.20	{0 . 70	10.5	{0 . 60
5249.60 0.98 $+ 0.08$ 17.0 $\{0.20$ 5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+ 0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+ 0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $\Pi, Z = 62$ 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.68$ 4206.12 0.38 $\{1.10$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4542.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4515.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5234.21	0.55	{0 . 46	12.0	{0.35
5250.81 0.74 $\{0.56$ 17.5 $+0.17$ 5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm IL, Z = 62 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.33$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5249.60	0.98	+ 0.08	17.0	{0.20
5255.51 0.20 $\{1.43$ 14.0 $+0.27$ 5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm II, Z = 62 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.46$ 4523.91 0.43 $\{0.58$ 12.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5250.81	0.74	{0.56	17.5	+ 0.17
5276.88 0.86 $\{0.44$ 5.5 $\{0.37$ 5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm IL, Z = 62 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.74$ 3993.30 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5255.51	0.20	{1.43	14.0	+ 0.27
5293.17 0.82 $\{0.20$ 13.5 $\{0.23$ 5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $II, Z = 62$ 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.58$ 17.0 $\{0.74$ 3993.30 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.68$ 4206.12 0.38 $\{1.10$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5276 . 88	0.86	{0.44	5.5	{0.37
5311.48 0.99 $\{0.56$ 3.5 $\{0.30$ 5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $\Pi, Z = 62$ 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.68$ 4206.12 0.38 $\{1.10$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5293.17	0.82	{0.20	13.5	{0.23
5319.82 0.55 $\{0.35$ 21.5 $\{0.17$ 5361.51 0.68 $\{0.40$ 8.0 $\{0.46$ Sm $\Pi, Z = 62$ 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.58$ 17.0 $\{0.74$ 3993.30 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.46$ 4523.91 0.43 $\{0.58$ 12.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5311.48	0.99	{0.56	3.5	{0.30
5361.51 0.68 $(0.40$ 8.0 (0.46) Sm $II, Z = 62$ 3706.75 0.48 (0.63) 5.5 $\{0.67)$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52)$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.58$ 17.0 $\{0.74)$ 3993.30 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49)$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35)$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71)$ 6.0 $\{0.37)$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27)$ 30.0 $\{0.51)$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38)$ 30.0 $\{0.36)$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43)$ 15.0 $\{0.53)$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77)$ 13.5 $\{0.53)$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21)$ 6.5 $\{0.53)$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52)$ 13.5 $\{0.63)$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77)$ 10.2 $\{0.78)$	5319.82	0.55	{0 . 35	21.5	{0.17
Sm II, Z = 62 3706.75 0.48 $\{0.63$ 5.5 $\{0.67$ 3760.70 0.18 $\{0.42$ 23.5 $\{0.52$ 3896.97 0.04 $\{0.58$ 17.0 $\{0.74$ 3993.30 0.04 $\{0.89$ 15.5 $\{0.49$ 4023.23 0.04 $\{0.83$ 23.0 $\{0.35$ 4068.32 0.43 $\{0.71$ 6.0 $\{0.37$ 4318.94 0.28 $\{0.27$ 30.0 $\{0.51$ 4420.53 0.33 $\{0.38$ 30.0 $\{0.36$ 4519.63 0.54 $\{0.43$ 15.0 $\{0.53$ 4577.69 0.25 $\{0.77$ 13.5 $\{0.53$ 4591.83 0.18 $\{1.21$ 6.5 $\{0.53$ 4642.23 0.38 $\{0.52$ 13.5 $\{0.63$ 4815.82 0.18 $\{0.77$ 10.2 $\{0.78$	5361.51	0.68	{0.40	0.8	{0.46
3706.750.48{0.635.5{0.673760.700.18{0.4223.5{0.523896.970.04{0.5817.0{0.743993.300.04{0.8915.5{0.494023.230.04{0.8323.0{0.354068.320.43{0.716.0{0.684206.120.38{1.106.0{0.374318.940.28{0.2730.0{0.514420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78		Sm	$II_{r}Z = 6$	2	
3760.700.18{0.4223.5{0.523896.970.04{0.5817.0{0.743993.300.04{0.8915.5{0.494023.230.04{0.8323.0{0.354068.320.43{0.716.0{0.684206.120.38{1.106.0{0.374318.940.28{0.2730.0{0.514420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	3706.75	0.48	{0 . 63	5.5	{0 . 67
3896.970.04{0.5817.0{0.743993.300.04{0.8915.5{0.494023.230.04{0.8323.0{0.354068.320.43{0.716.0{0.684206.120.38{1.106.0{0.374318.940.28{0.2730.0{0.514420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	3760.70	0.18	{0 . 42	23.5	{0.52
3993.300.04{0.8915.5{0.494023.230.04{0.8323.0{0.354068.320.43{0.716.0{0.684206.120.38{1.106.0{0.374318.940.28{0.2730.0{0.514420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	3896.97	0.04	{0.58	17.0	{0.74
4023.230.04{0.8323.0{0.354068.320.43{0.716.0{0.684206.120.38{1.106.0{0.374318.940.28{0.2730.0{0.514420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	3993.30	0.04	{0 . 89	15.5	{0.49
4068.320.43{0.716.0{0.684206.120.38{1.106.0{0.374318.940.28{0.2730.0{0.514420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4023.23	0.04	{0 . 83	23.0	{0.35
4206.120.38{1.106.0{0.374318.940.28{0.2730.0{0.514420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4068.32	0.43	{0.71	6.0	{0 . 68
4318.940.28{0.2730.0{0.514420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4206.12	0.38	{1.10	6.0	{0.37
4420.530.33{0.3830.0{0.364519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4318.94	0.28	{0.27	30.0	{0.51
4519.630.54{0.4315.0{0.464523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4420.53	0.33	{0.38	30.0	{0.36
4523.910.43{0.5812.5{0.534577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4519.63	0.54	{0 . 43	15.0	{0.46
4577.690.25{0.7713.5{0.534591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4523.91	0.43	{0.58	12.5	{0.53
4591.830.18{1.216.5{0.534642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4577 . 69	0.25	{0 . 77	13.5	{0.53
4642.230.38{0.5213.5{0.634815.820.18{0.7710.2{0.78	4591.83	0.18	{1.21	6.5	{0.53
4815.82 0.18 {0.77 10.2 {0.78	4642.23	0.38	{0.52	13.5	{0 . 63
	4815.82	0.18	{0.77	10,2	{0.78

Table 3 | Continued

λ	EP.	log gf	EW ^a	log						
A	ev		III A							
	$E u \Pi, Z = 63$									
3724.93	0.00	{0.09	<i>s</i> yn	{0 . 95						
3819 . 67	0.00	+ 0.51	syn	{0 . 98						
3907.11	0.21	+0.17	syn	{0 . 97						
3930.50	0.21	+ 0.27	syn	{0 . 89						
3971 . 97	0.21	+ 0.27	<i>s</i> yn	{0 . 99						
4129.72	0.00	+ 0.22	syn (126)	{0 . 93						
4205.04	0.00	+ 0.21	<i>s</i> yn	{0 . 93						
6645.04	1.38	+ 0.12	<i>s</i> yn (8)	{0 . 98						
	G	d II, Z =	64							
3331.40	0.00	{0.14	33.5	{0.43						
3424.59	0.35	{0.17	14.0	{0.56						
3439.23	0.38	+0.15	27.0	{0.46						
3451.23	0.38	{0.05	16.0	{0.58						
3454.90	0.03	{0.48	19.0	{0.47						
3481.80	0.49	+ 0.23	19.0	{0.64						
3549.37	0.24	+0.26	40.0	{0.48						
3557.05	0.60	+ 0.21	15.0	{0.64						
3697.75	0.03	{0.28	24.5	{0 . 63						
3712.72	0.38	+0.15	27.0	{0.59						
3768.40	80.0	+ 0.36	51.0	{0 . 66						
3796.39	0.03	+0.14	54.0	{0.45						
3844.58	0.14	{0.40	23.0	{0.45						
3973.98	0.60	{0.40	6.5	{0.57						
4037.33	0.66	{0.02	19.0	{0.36						
4037.91	0.56	{0.23	11.0	{0.55						
4085.57	0.73	+ 0.07	12.0	{0.61						
4098.90	0.60	{0.45	8.5	{0.42						
4191.08	0.43	{0.57	10.0	{0.44						
4215.02	0.43	{0.58	12.5	{0.33						
	Т	b II, Z =	65							
3472.80	0.13	{0.10	syn	{1.09						
3568.51	0.00	+0.36	syn	{1.17						
3600.41	0.64	+ 0.60	<i>s</i> yn (11)	{1.07						
3658.89	0.13	{0.01	syn	{1.14						
3702.85	0.13	+ 0.44	<i>s</i> yn (21)	{1.17						
3848.73	0.00	+ 0.28	syn	{1.14						

Table 3 | Continued

7	EP.	log gf	EW ^a	log
A	ev		III A	
3874.17	0.00	+0.27	syn	{1.11
	D	y II, Z =	66	
3407.80	0.00	+ 0.18	63.0	{0.20
3434.37	0.00	{0 . 45	40.0	{0.21
3445.57	0.00	{0.15	56.0	{0.10
3449.89	0.54	{0 . 75	6.5	{0.34
3454.32	0.00	{0.14	48.0	{0.34
3460.97	0.00	{0.07	54.0	{0.25
3473.70	0.93	{0.46	7.5	{0.10
3506.81	0.10	{0 . 60	29.0	{0.22
3531.71	0.00	+ 0.77	87.0	{0.14
3536.02	0.54	+ 0.53	47.0	{0.42
3538.52	0.00	{0.02	60.0	{0.19
3546.83	0.10	{0.55	31.0	{0.24
3550.22	0.59	+ 0.27	36.0	{0.35
3559.30	1.22	{0.28	6.0	{0.07
3563.15	0.10	{0.36	41.0	{0.20
3640.25	0.59	{0.37	18.5	{0.18
3694.81	0.10	{0.11	55.0	{0.24
3708.22	0.59	88 . 0}	6.0	{0.29
3747.82	0.10	{0.81	22.0	{0.29
3788.44	0.10	{0.57	33.0	{0.29
3983.65	0.54	{0.31	23.0	{0.29
3996.69	0.59	{0.26	24.0	{0.26
4073.12	0.54	{0.32	27.0	{0.21
4077.96	0.10	{0.04	65.0	{0.22
4103.30	0.10	{0.38	53.0	{0.15
4468.14	0.10	{1 . 67	5.5	{0.26
5169.69	0.10	{1 . 95	3.5	{0.27
	Н	0 II, Z =	67	
3810.74	0.00	+0.19	syn (80)	{0.87
3905.63	80.0	-0.53	syn	{1 . 0:
4045.47	0.00	-0.05	syn	{0.92
4152.58	80.0	-0.93	syn	{0 . 93
	E	r II, Z =	68	
3332.70	0.89	+ 0.05	10.5	{0.56
3499.10	0.05	+ 0.14	59.5	{0.37

Table 3 | Continued

7	E.P. log gf EW ^a		EW ^a	bg
A	eV		m A	
3559.90	0.00	{0.74	28.0	{0.34
3692.65	0.05	+ 0.14	63.0	{0.46
3729.52	0.00	{0.50	33.0	{0.56
3938.63	0.00	{0.52	37.5	{0.50
	Т	'm II, Z =	69	
3462.20	0.00	+ 0.03	syn (24)	{1.34
3700.26	0.03	{0.38	syn (17)	{1.32
3701.36	0.00	{0.54	syn (11)	{1.45
3761.91	0.00	{0.43	syn	{1.32
3795.76	0.03	{0.23	syn (21)	{1.37
3848.02	0.00	{0.14	syn	{1.39
	Σ	(b II, Z =	70	
3289.37	0.00	+ 0.02	syn (107)	{0.57
3694.19	0.00	{0.30	<i>s</i> yn (120)	{0.62
	1	Lu II, Z =	71	
3397.07	1.46	{0.19	syn	{1.1:
3472.48	1.54	{0.19	syn	{1.3 :
3554.42	2.15	+0.14	syn	{1.1:
	I	HfII,Z =	72	
3719.28	0.61	{0.89	syn (6)	{0.90
3793.38	0.38	{0 . 95	syn	{1.05
		0 s I, Z =	76	
3058.66	0.00	{0.43	syn (30)	+ 0.10
3267 . 95	0.00	{1.08	syn (4)	{0.10
3301.57	0.00	{0 . 75	syn	+ 0.02
3528.60	0.00	{1.70	syn	+0.15
		Ir I, Z =	77	
3513.65	0.00	{1.26	syn	{0.05
3558.99	0.72	{1.65	syn	<+0.3
3800.12	0.00	{1.45	syn (11)	{0.15
		PtI , Z =	78	
2929.82	0.00	{0.80	syn (44)	+ 0.45
2997.96	0.10	{0.57	syn	+0.2:
3301.87	0.81	{0 . 77	<i>s</i> yn (13)	+ 0.33
3315.04	0.00	{2.58	syn	+0.2:
0.005 0.0	0.00	Au 1, Z =	19	(0.0
26/5.94	0.00	{0 . 46	syn	{0.9:

Table 3 | Continued

Table 3 | Continued

А	EP. eV	log gf	EW ^a mA	log
	Ρb) I, Z = 82	2	
2833.05	0.00	-0.68	syn	< {0.2
3683.46	0.97	-0.60	syn	+0.1:
4057.81	1.32	-0.17	syn	0.0:
	Τh	$II_r Z = 9$	0	
4019.12	0.00	-0.27	syn	{1.60
4086.52	0.00	-0.89	syn	{1.5 :
	U	$II_{r}Z = 92$	2	
3859.57	0.04	-0.17	syn	< {2.3

^aAn EW value in this column means that the abundance was determined using this EW; the designation \syn" means that a synthetic spectrum was used; and \syn (EW)" means that a synthetic spectrum was used, but the feature was sufciently isolated for an approximate EW (to indicate absorption strength) to be measured.

Species	Z	log		ebar	N _{lines}	;	log	b	ĮX /	H]	[X /]	Fe]
LiI	3	+0.15		0.	30	1						
BeI	4	{2 . 4:	0.30	0.30	2							
СН	6	+6.30	С	0.10	1		8.	52	{2	.22	+ (.88
CN	7	+ 5.83	С	0.20	1		7.	92	{2	.09	+ 1	.01
[D I]	8	+ 6.45		0.	15	1		8.	.83	{2	.38	+ 0.72
NaI	11	+3.04	0.19	0.19	4		6.	33	{3	329	{(19
МgІ	12	+ 4.78	80.0	80.0	7		7.	58	{2	.87	+ (.30
AlI	13	+2.79		0.	15	1		6.	.47	{3	.68	{0.58
SiI	14	+4.81		0.	15	1		7.	55	{2	.74	+0.36
ΚI	19	+2.48		0.	15	1		5.	12	{2	. 64	+ 0.46
CaI	20	+3.56	0.10	0.10	10		6.	36	{2	.80	+ (.30
Sc I	21	{0.15		0.	15	1		3.	17	{3	.32	{0.22
Sc II	21	{0 . 03	0.04	0.05	3		3.	17	{3	20	{(10
TiI	22	+2.12	0.17	0.17	13		5.	02	{2	.90	+ (20
TiII	22	+2.08	0.11	0.11	12		5.	02	{2	.94	+ (16
VI	23	+ 0.85	0.22	0.22	3		4.	00	{3	15	{(.05
VΠ	23	+ 0.87	80.0	80.0	6		4.	00	{3	3.13	{(.03
CrI	24	+2.33	0.11	0.11	6		5.	67	{3	34	{(24
CrII	24	+2.42	0.14	0.14	2		5.	67	{3	25	{(15
МпІ	25	+1.76	0.12	0.12	3		5.	39	{3	.63	{(.53
МпШ	25	+1.80	0.06	0.15	2		5.	39	{3	59	{(.49
FeI	26	+ 4.40	0.13	0.13	132		7.	50	{3	3.10	(00.0
Fe II	26	+4.41	80.0	80.0	11		7.	50	{3	.09	+ (.01
CoI	27	+2.02	0.05	0.05	3		4.	92	{2	.90	+ (20
NiI	28	+ 3.08	0.07	0.07	6		6	25	{3	3.17	{(.07
CuI	29	+0.45	0.21	0.21	2		4	21	{3	.76	{(.66
Zn I	30	+1.59		0.	15	1		4.	.60	{3	.01	+ 0.09

Table 4. A bundances for Lighter E lem ents

^aA dopted error bar; see text for further discussion.

^bG revesse & Sauval (1998) solar photospheric abundances.

^cA bundance determ ined from a synthetic spectrum computation involving num erous m olecular band lines.

Species	Ζ	log		ebar ^a N	J _{lines}		b	a _p	[X /H]	[X/Fe]	_
GaI	31	< 0.0					1		2.88	< {2.9	<+0.2
GeI(HST)	32	< {0.2					1		3.41	< {3.6	< {0.5
SrI	38	+ 0.50		0.15		1		2.	97 {2	2.47 + 0	.63
Sr II	38	+ 0.45	0.13	0.13	4			2.97	{2.52	+ 0.58	
Y II	39	{0.42	0.10	0.10	15			2,24	{2 . 66	+ 0.44	
ZrII	40	+ 0.23	0.12	0.12	28			2.60	{2.37	+ 0.73	
ZrII (HST)	40	+ 0.32	0.18	0.18	3			2.60	{2.28	+ 0.82	
Nb II	41	80.0}		0.15		1		1.	42 {2	2.22 + 0	.88
МоІ	42	{0.40		0.20		1		1.	92 {2	2.32 + 0	.78
RuI	44	+ 0.08	0.10	0.10	5			1.84	{1.76	+1.34	
Rh I	45	{0.55	0.15	0.15	2			1.12	{1 . 67	+1.43	
PdI	46	{0.29	0.10	0.10	4			1.69	{1 . 98	+1.12	
AgI	47	88.0}	0.11	0.11	2			1.24	{2.12	+ 0.98	
CdI	48	< 0.0					1		1.77	< {1.8	<+1.3
Sn I	50	< 0.0					2		2.00	< {2.0	<+1.1
Ba II	56	+ 0.02	0.05	0.05	8			2.13	{2.11	+ 0.99	
La II	57	{0.84	0.05	0.05	15			1.17	{2.01	+ 3.09	
СеП	58	{0.50	0.07	0.07	32			1.58	{2.08	+1.02	
PrII	59	{1.09	0.10	0.10	9			0.71	{1 . 80	+1.30	
Nd II	60	{0.27	0.30	0.30	52			1.50	{1.77	+1.33	
Sm II	62	{0.54	0.13	0.13	15			1.01	{1.55	+1.55	
Eu II	63	{0.95	0.03	0.05	8			0.51	{1.46	+1.64	
GdII	64	{0.52	0.10	0.10	20			1.12	{1.64	+1.46	
Tb II	65	{1.13	0.04	0.05	7			0.35	{1 . 48	+1.62	
Dy II	66	{0.23	0.08	0.08	27			1.14	{1.37	+1.73	
Ho II	67	{0.93	0.05	0.05	4			0.51	{1.44	+1.66	
ErII	68	{0.47	0.15	0.15	8			0.93	{1.40	+1.70	
Tm II	69	{1.37	0.10	0.10	6			0.15	{1.52	+1.58	
Yb II	70	{0.60	0.04	0.10	2			1.08	{1 . 68	+1.42	
Lu II	71	{1.17	0.10	0.30	2			0.06	{1 . 45	+1.87	
ΗfII	72	{0.98	0.10	0.10	2			0.88	{1.86	+1.24	
OsI	76	+ 0.02	0.13	0.13	3			1.45	{1.43	+1.67	
OsI(HST)	76	+ 0.10		0.15		1		1.	45 {1	L . 35 + 1	.65
Ir I	77	{0.10	0.10	0.10	2			1.35	{1 . 45	+1.65	
PtI	78	+ 0.27	0.09	0.10	2			1.80	{1.53	+1.57	
PtI(HST)	78	+ 0.33	0.18	0.18	2			1.80	{1 . 47	+1.63	
AuI(HST)	79	{0 . 90		0.30		1		0.	85 {2	2.25 + 0	.85
Pb I	82	+ 0.05	0.07	0.15	2			1.95	{1.90	+1.20	

Table 5. A bundances for N eutron-C apture E km ents

-

Species	Ζ	log		ebar	N _{lines}		g b	[X /H]	[X /Fe]
PbI(HST)	82	< {0.2		0.	15 1		1.	95 <	{2.2 <+0
Th II	90	{1.57	0.10	0.10	2		0.17	{1 . 74	+1.36
U II	92	< {2.3				1		{0.07	< {2.2 <

Table 5 | Continued

^aA dopted error bar; see text for further discussion

 b G revesse & Sauval (1998) solarphotospheric abundances, except for the poorlydeterm ined values for Ag, Tb, Ho, Tm, Au, and U; for these elements the meteoritic abundances are listed.

Table 6. Model Fits to the Data

M odel	M ean D i	erence		M odel	M ean D i		
	(light)		(light)		(heavy)		(heavy)
Burris et al.ª	-1.70	0.09	0.26	Burris et al. ^b	-1.42	0.02	0.10
A rlandiniet al. $^{\circ}$	-1.65	80.0	0.22	Arlandinietal. ^b	-1.42	0.03	0.12
0 ld Theory ^a	-1.80	0.09	0.28	0 ld Theory ^b	-1.28	0.06	0.26
New Theory ^a	-1.88	0.09	0.28	New Theory ^b	-1.28	0.06	0.26

 $a_{Z} = 38{55}$

^bZ=56{79

^cZ=39{55

Table 7. Chronom etric Age Estimates for CS $22892\{052$

Chronom eter Pair	P redicted ^a	0 bærved	A verage A ge (G yr)	Solar ^b	LowerLimit (Gyr)
Th/Eu Th/Ir	0.45 0.0876	0.24 0.0339	12.8 19 <i>2</i>	0.4615	13 <i>2</i> 13.1
Th/Pt	0.0226	0.0135	10.5	0.0323	17.7
Th/U	1.45{1.805	5.4	10.4		

 $^{\rm a}{\rm A}$ verage from C ow an et al. (1999) and the current paper.

^bFrom Burris et al. (2000).

Table 8. Branching Fractions for $H \circ II$

Transition cm ¹	nm	E_{upper} cm 1	J _{upper}	E _{lower} cm ¹	J _{low er}	B ranch ing R atio
26234.36	381.071	26234.36	7	0.00	8	0.805 3%
25596.61	390.566	26234.36	7	637.75	7	0.145 3%
24712.16	404.545	24712.16	7	0.00	8	0.845 3%
24074.41	415,262	24712.16	7	637.75	7	0.105 3%

Table 9. Improved Energy Levels and Hyper ne Structure Constants for Ho II^a

Con guration	Term	J	E cm ¹	A m K ^b	B m K ^b	
$4f^{11}$ (${}^{4}I_{15=2}$)6s ₁₌₂	(15/2 , 1/2)°	8	0.000	+ 52.64 0.07°	{52.6 3.3°	
$4f^{11} (^{4}I_{15=2})6s_{1=2}$	(15/2 , 1/2)°	7	637.754	+0.83 0.07°	{56.4 3.5°	
			7 24712	2.163 + 42.15	0.05 + 0.2	2.0
$4f^{11}$ (${}^{4}I_{15=2}$) $6p_{1=2}$	(15/2,1/2)	7	26234.363	+ 41.60 0.05	+21.6 2.0	

^{a 165}Ho, I = 7/2

^b 1.0 m K = 10 ³ cm ¹

^c Worm et al. (1990)

Con guration	Term	J	E cm ¹	A m K ^c	B m K ^c	A ^b mK ^c	B ^b mK ^c
5d6s	³ D	1	11796.24	{68.32 0.07	+ 29.3 0.7	{68.2	+ 29.0
5d6s	³ D 3D	2	12435.32	+ 64.39 0.10	+ 45.8 1.0	+ 64.5	+ 46.4
506s	¹ D	2	14199.08	+ 37.06 0.20	+79220 +74720	0.00 +	+ /0.0
5d6p	³ P °	1	28503.16	+ 165.38 0.07	{61.1 0.7	+165.4	{62 . 5
5d6p	³ P °	2	32452.26	+ 95.60 0.10	+115.4 1.5	+ 95.9	+ 113.6
5d6p	³ F ^o	2	41224.96	+26.95 0.10	+63.9 1.0		
5d6p	³ F ^o	3	44918.68	+10.90 0.20	+105.2 2.0		
5d6p	³ F ^o	4	48536.83	+2.9 0.3	+192 4		
5d6p	¹ D °	2	45458.56	+2 1	{10 5		

Table 10. Hyper ne Structure Constants for Lu II^a

- ^{a 175}Lu, I= 7/2
- ^b Brix & Kopfermann (1952)
- $^{\rm c}$ 1.0 m K = 10 3 cm 1