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#### Abstract

This paper presents a careful and detailed light curve analysis of bright detached eclipsing binaries (DEB) in the Sm all M agellan ic C loud, discovered by OG LE collaboration, on the basis of recently available di erence im age analysis (D IA ) photom etry. The 19 binaries brighter than 16.4 m ag in I band and with the depth of prim ary and secondary eclipse greater than 025 m ag were investigated. T he solutions were obtained by a m odi ed version of the $W$ ilson-D evinney program. The quality of $\mathbb{I A}$ light curves \{ a good phase coverage and relatively sm all scatter $\{$ is enough to calculate realistic estim ates for the third light $l_{3}$ and the argum ent of periastron ! o. It w as found that solutions of detached, eccentric system s w ith at light curve betw een eclipses usually $m$ ay su er from indeterm ination of $l_{3}$ in contrast to those of sim ilar system shaving som e proxim ity e ects.

The physical properties of the stars were estim ated on the basis of their photom etric elem ents and indices assum ing the distance m odulus to SM C 18:9 and consistency betw een an em piricalm ass-lum inosity relation and the ux scaling. The $m$ ethod was tested on three LM C stars of known absolute dim ensions and a good agreem ent was found form M 18:5. Such an approach $m$ ay give fast and accurate estim ates of absolute dim ensions for large and hom ogeneous sam ples ofeclipsing binaries in the $M$ agellanic $C$ louds and other close galaxies. $M$ oreover, this $m$ ethod allow $s$ also for independent estim ation of $E(B \quad V)$ in the direction to a particular binary. The subset of six bright DEB's w orth future intensive investigations as likely distance indicators to SM C , was chosen. They are SC 3 139376, SC 4 53898, SC 5 129441, SC 6 67221, SC 6215965 and SC 9175336.
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1 IN TRODUCTION
A ccurate determ ination of absolute dim ensions of eclipsing binaries based, am ong others, on detailed light curve anal$y$ sis is still a very im portant task of $m$ odem astronom $y$. It gives an opportunity for testing advanced evolutionary models of stars (e.g.P ols et al. 1997) but also allow s for very precise distance determ ination. The $m$ ethod, at least in principle, is quite straightforw ard (see P aczynski 1997 for an outline and C lausen 2000 for a review ). Three distance determ ination for eclipsing binaries in the Large M agellanic C loud were quite recently presented:HV 2274 (G uinan et al. 1998), HV 982 ( F itzpatrick et al 2001) and EROS 1044 (R ibas et al. 2002) giving the distance $m$ odulus $m \quad M \quad 18: 4$. Light curve solutions together w ith estim ation of physical param eters and the distance to the Sm all M agellan ic C loud were obtained also for two eclipsing binaries: HV 2226 (Bell et

[^0]al. 1991) and HV 1620 (P ritchard et al. 1998) giving for the distance $m$ odulusm $\quad$ M $18: 6$. Very recently ten eclipsing binaries have been analysed in the central part of the SM C giving $m$ ean distance $m$ odulusm $\quad M=18: 9 \quad 0: 1$ (H arries, H ilditch \& H ow arth 2003). T he light curve analysis in their paper was based on DoPHOT OGLE photom etry (U dalski et al 1998).

In recent years, extensive CCD photom etry have been undertaken during the projects of $m$ icrolensing searching tow ard the M agellanic Clouds and Galactic Bulge. A s a byproduct, thousands of eclipsing binaries were discovered and placed in catalogs ( G rison et al 1995, A loock et al. 1997, U dalski et al 1998). P relim inary solutions for MACHO LM C catalog were found by A loock et al. (1997). They tted 611 light curves of eclipsing binaries using the EBOP code (Etzel 1993). That code uses a tri-axial ellipsoid approxim ation what $m$ akes this code very fast. But the code cannot properly account for the proxim ity e ects present in $m$ ost of the eclipsing binaries detected by

MACHO. For SMC eclipsing binaries OGLE collaboration (U dalskiet al. 1998) extracted from their catalog a sam ple of 153 detached binaries w ith well de ned, narrow eclipses of sim ilar depth and relatively good photom etry. It was argued that the sample contains the best system $s$ for distance determ ination. W yithe \& $W$ ilson $(2001,2002$ \{ hereafter W W 1, W W 2) presented an excellent analysis of the whole OGLE data containing 1459 eclipsing binaries using an autom ated version of the $W$ ilson-D evinney (1971, W ilson 1992) code. This program can provide very detailed treatm ent of proxim ity e ects. They used public dom ain DoP H_OT photom etry _ available _ at o O LE hom epage ihttp://sirius.astrouw .edu .pl/ogle/ogle2/var stars/sm c/ect in the analysis. They extracted in an ob jective way two subsets of detached binaries with very narrow eclipses and complete eclipses as the most likely good distance indicators. Subset of sem i-detached eclipsing binaries was also chosen as distance indicators on the basis of sim ilar surface brightness between components and complete eclipses. The authors intend, also, to extract such subsets for contact binaries. In general it is believed that the close-to-ideal system chosen as a distance indicator, should have two stars of sim ilar tem perature and hum inosity and the separation of the com ponents should be signi cantly larger than their radii. B ut well-detached, eccentric system s $m$ ay su er from the aliasing ofphotom etric solutions. W W 2 argued that sem i-detached and contact binaries, and also detached eclipsing binaries show ing larger proxim ity e ects, have their photom etric solutions better de ned and may serve as potential best distance indicators as in the case of recently analyzed 15-th m agnitude binary EROS 1044 ( R ibas et al 2002). In this paper I focused my attention only on detached eclipsing binaries.

The release of new D IA photom etry by OG LE collaboration $m$ otivated $m$ e to reanalyse the light curves of eclipsing binaries in SM C for the purpose of extracting a sam ple of the best distance indicators. This sam ple was chosen using additional im portant criteria which were not used in the selections $m$ ade by $W$ y ithe \& $W$ ilson. $T$ he analysis $w$ as restricted only for bright system s . It is obvious that those system s have, in general, the largest probability of obtaining high-quality light curves and radialvelocity curves. T hus the searching for distance indicators should start from the analysis of the brightest candidates. M oreover using D IA photom etry we can ach ieve $m$ ore realistic determ ination of the light curve param eters than in the case of using the older D OPHOT photom etry.

## 2 THEDATA

### 2.1 P hotom etry

CCD di erential photom etric observations of SM C eclipsing binaries were obtained by OGLE between 1997 and 2000. The data were acquired using 1.3 m W arsaw Telescope at Las Cam panas Observatory. The photom etric I indices were calculated using the technique of di erence im age analysis (D IA) as was reported in Zebrun, Soszynski \& W ozniak (2001a). The new photom etry was quite recently facilitated on OGGLE hom epage htup:/ / sirius.astrouw edu pl/ogle/ogle2/dia! (Zebrun et


Figure 1. The light curves of SM C SC 125589 eclipsing binary based on OGLE DOPHOT photom etry (upper panel) and OGLEDIA photom etry (low er panel). P relim inary solutions were m arked by solid lines.
al. 2001b). The O G LE database contains all variables detected in the LM C and SM C (68 thousand) during the course of the OGLE-II project. The DIA is argued as a superior m ethod over classicald oP H O T m ethod because of its better accuracy, especially in crow ded elds (Zebrun et al. 2001a). T he light curves based on OGLE-D IA photom etry are characterized by considerably sm aller scatter of observations and higher sm oothness of the light curves. A lso, in $m$ ost cases the phase coverage is better because the D IA photom etry includes OGLE observations which have extended alm ost tw o years after the $m$ om ent when original catalog of SM C eclipsing binaries (U dalskiet al. 1998) was published.

The quality of $O G L E-D$ IA photom etry can be dem onstrated by a com parison of the I light curves obtained w ith both $m$ ethods. A $s$ an exam ple I present a 17-th_m agnitude eccentric eclipsing binary SM C SC 125589 \{ F ig ${ }_{12}^{111}$ \{ $\{$ together w ith prelim inary light curve solutions. $N$ ote that according to the analysis ofD IA light curve the system has apparently total eclipses and much larger separation betw een com ponents than in the case of the analysis of D oP HO T data.

The candidates for the analysis were chosen after the visual inspection of the light curves of eclipsing binaries in SM C released by U dalski et al. (1998). A prelim inary set of about 25 binaries were selected brighter than $I=16: 4$ $m$ ag at $m$ axim um brightness and $w$ ith the depth of the prim ary and secondary eclipses greater than 025 m ag. The candidates fall into three separate groups. T he rst group,


Figure 2. The light curves of SM C SC 6 67221: from U dalski et al. (1998) (upper panel) and from the analysis of OGLE-D IA photom etry in this paper (lower panel).
hereafter called A, consist of well-detached, eccentric system swith, usually, deep narrow eclipses. T he second group, hereafter called B, com prise the short-period system s w ith apparently circular orbits and the sm all separation betw een components. A s a result, the system sfrom group B show quite large proxim ity e ects in their light curves. T he third group C com prises well separated long period system sw ith circular orbits. A s we expect long period system s to be, in general, eccentric this is m ost probably a chance selection.

A fterw ards, the photom etric data for each selected star were extracted, using the coordinates as identi er. Som e stars were not found in OGLE-D IA database because they probably passed undetected through the variability checking lters (I. Soszynski 2002, private com m unication). The Table ${ }^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ gives the nam es and identi cation of 19 selected system $s$ together $w$ ith a sum $m$ ary of photom etric param eters.

### 2.2 In itial data preparation

T he observations w ere folded w th trialperiods follow ing the $m$ ethod given by K aluzny et al. (1998). T he searches were usually restricted to a period close to the period given by U dalskiet al. (1998). The nalperiod was found by tuning to the shape of the $m$ in im $a$. It $w$ as found that in $m$ ost cases the resulting periods agreed very well w ith those given by U dalskiet al. (1998). H ow ever, for SC 6 67221, I have found a new period resulting from observations of both eclipses. This binary tumed out to be a very eccentric system w ith the orbital period of 10.977 days. T he period is close to a whole num ber of 11 days and this is the reason for spurious secondary eclipse detection in the original OGLE catalog. Fig. ${\underset{L}{1}}_{1}^{l}$ presents the light curve based on the proper period


F igure 3. The light curves of SC 5311566 tuned to the shape of prim ary $m$ inim um (upper panel) and to the secondary $m$ inim um ( $m$ iddle panel). Low er panel: the light curve com puted assum ing a progressive shift of the secondary $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ in respect to the prim ary $m$ inim um - see the text for explanation.
and, for com parison, the light curve from the originalo G LE catalog.

Two eccentric binaries SC 3139376 and SC 5311566 show sm all changes in the light curve m ost probably due to the apsidalm otion. In both cases the tuning to the shape of the prim ary and the secondary $m$ inim um gives signi cantly di erent periods \{ see as an exam ple SC 5311566 ( F ig ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{N}^{\prime}$ ) . Sm ooth light curves were obtained assum ing that the change of the shape of $m$ inim a is $s m a l l$ and the secondary $m$ inim um was progressively shifting in respect to the prim ary $m$ inim um during the period of observations. The linear correction w as applied to all phases corresponding to the secondary eclipse (phases: 0.35-0.55 for SC 3139376 and $0.4-0.6$ for SC 5 311566) in the form :
$i=i^{i}+\quad E$
where and E are the phase and the epoch num ber of the

Table 1. T he selected eclipsing binaries

| O b ject | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ (\mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{:ss)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { (deg } \mathrm{m} \mathrm{~m} \text { :ss) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period }_{1 / \overline{\bar{a}}}^{\overline{-1}} \\ \text { (days) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Epoch id } \\ (+2450000) \end{gathered}$ | I | B V | V I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T he A subset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC 3139376 | 0:44:08.69 | 73:14:17.9 | 6.05213 | 629.07158 | 14.482 | 0.165 | 0.126 |
| SC 5129441 | 0:49:40.56 | 73:00:22.5 | 8.05104 | 474.20881 | 16.012 | 0.105 | 0.072 |
| SC 5311566 | $0: 51: 34.84$ | $72: 45: 45.9$ | 3.29132 | 468.56556 | 16.032 | 0.120 | 0.062 |
| SC 611143 | $0: 51: 39.69$ | 73:18:44.8 | 5.72606 | 471.95035 | 16.251 | 0.138 | 0.092 |
| SC 667221 | 0:52:06.22 | 72:45:14.3 | 10:97765 | 466.88188 | 15.327 | 0.101 | 0.095 |
| SC 6221543 | $0: 53: 40.39$ | 72:52:22.0 | 3.41680 | 468.59872 | 16.089 | 0.218 | 0.109 |
| SC 6272453 | $0: 54: 33.22$ | 73:10:39.6 | 5.70970 | 469.30090 | 15.805 | 0.172 | 0.057 |
| SC 9163575 | 1:02:46.27 | 72:24:44.2 | 1.97109 | 629.49776 | 15.181 | 0.248 | 0.221 |
| SC 1037223 | 1:03:41.42 | 72:03:06.9 | 2.58124 | 630.63675 | 16.336 | 0.197 | 0.164 |
| T he B subset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC 453898 | 0:46:32.79 | 73:26:39.4 | 1.74107 | 624.86290 | 16.201 | 0.128 | 0.129 |
| SC 4103706 | 0:47:25.50 | 73:27:16.7 | 1.35585 | 623.94692 | 15.416 | 0.195 | 0.208 |
| SC 4163552 | 0:47:53.24 | 73:15:56.5 | 1.54581 | 625.35629 | 15.774 | 0.046 | 0.006 |
| SC 538089 | 0:49:01.85 | 73:06:06.9 | 2.38941 | 469.77239 | 15.261 | 0.238 | 0.111 |
| SC 6215965 | 0:53:33.35 | 72:56:24.1 | 3.94603 | 473.26734 | 14.133 | 0.234 | 0.190 |
| SC 6319966 | $0: 54: 23.49$ | 72:37:23.0 | 1.06466 | 466.68981 | 16.363 | 0.151 | 0.105 |
| SC 8104222 | 0:58:25.08 | 72:19:09.8 | 1.56972 | 629.30952 | 16.042 | 0.144 | 0.146 |
| SC 9175336 | 1:02:53.40 | 72:06:43.2 | 2.98654 | 628.36738 | 14.867 | 0.222 | 0.192 |
| T he C subset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC 4192903 | 0:48:22.69 | 72:48:48.6 | 102:86800 | 761.00300 | 16.242 | 0.771 | 0.956 |
| SC 8201484 | 1:00:18.05 | 72:24:07.5 | 185:19000 | 641.56700 | 14.230 | 0.883 | 0.977 |

a $C$ alculated by the period nding program. See $\overline{2} \overline{2}$.
b C alculated from light curve analysis. See x 3.1
i-th observation.D enoting the period resulting from the tuning to the prim ary eclipse by $P_{p}$ and to the secondary one by $P_{s}$, the factor wascalculated by formula: $=\left(P_{p} \quad P_{s}\right)=P_{p}$ and was 7:5 $10^{5}$ and 7:0 $\quad 10^{5}$ for SC 3139376 and SC 5 311566, respectively.

The old reference epochs $T_{\text {。 }}$ given by Udalski et al. (1998) were used to fold up the observations. N ew reference epochs were determ ined later during the light curve analysis \{ see x 3.1. For each star I calculated out-ofeclipse I $m$ agnitude at them axim um ofthebrightness. TheD IA data does not include the $B$ and $V$ photom etry. For the purpose of obtaining them ost accurate $B \quad V$ and $V$ I colours I recalculated the out-ofeclipse B,V and Im agnitudes using the old D OP HOT photom etry.N ote, that the m agnitudes which I have found refer to the $m$ ean $m$ agnitudes at the $m$ axim um brightness rather than to the $m$ axim alm agnitudes given in the original O G LE catalog. Resulting out-ofeclipse Im agnitudes were then used to nom alize the light curves.

In two cases (SC 611143 and SC 9 163575) the light curve shows considerable am ount of scatter, much larger than the average error of the observations. The light curves ofboth system s are presented on $F$ ig . '1, (ul' (upper panel). I have rem oved observationalpoints w hen the eclipses occu rred and rerun the period nding program to nd som e periodicity in the scatter. It tums out that in the case of SC 611143 the out-ofeclipse observations assem ble w ith the period of 2.618 days and form a light curve with tw o well-de ned, narrow m in im a ofdi erent depth ( m iddle panelofF ig. '('A) . It is likely that the binary SC 611143 blends w ith another short period and slightly ecoentric eclipsing binary. The sim ilar analy-
sis done for the system SC 9163575 show s that the out-ofeclipse observations assem ble w th the period of 1.985 days and form two m in in a separated by a half of the period. It seem s that the system SC 9163575 blends also with a short period eclipsing binary. Their periods are very close to each other and in the original light curve the points, corresponding to the $m$ in im a of the blend, form tw oclum ps situated around the minim a of SC 9 163575. The light curves of both system s were cleaned by rem oving from the light curve all points corresponding to the m om ents when the eclipses occurred in the blended binary. The resulting light curves are presented in the low er panel of $F$ ig. 38089 in spite of its relatively high brightness ( $I_{m}$ ax $=15: 26$ ) has quite large scatter of observations. T he analysis done for th is system show sthat the changes of the brightness are random ly distributed and thus, it is likely that the reason of the scatter is som e kind of an intrinsic variability.

## 3 LIG H T CURVEANALYSIS

### 3.1 M ethod

All ts to the light curves were obtained using a modied version of the $W$ ilson-D evinney (1971, W ilson 1979, 1992, hereafter W D) program with a model atm osphere procedure based on the AT LAS9 code and developed by M ilone, Stagg \& K urucz (1992). An autom ated iterations schem e of W D program was em ployed. For A subset a detached conguration ( $m$ ode 2) was chosen for all solutions and sim ple re ection treatm ent was used (MREF=1,NREF=1). In the


F igure 4. Upper panel: the originalD IA light curves of SC 611143 and SC 9163575. M iddle panel: the out-of-eclipse observations of both stars folded up w ith a period and an epoch given in each picture. B oth light curves suggest that a blend is an eclipsing binary. L ow er panel: the nal light curves after rem oving the variability of the blend.
case ofB subset both detached and sem i-detached ( $m$ ode 5) con gurations were used to obtain solutions. For B and C subsets the detailed re ection treatm ent $w$ ith a double reection was taken into account ( M REF $=2, \mathrm{NREF}=2$ ). For all stars $\log g=4: 0 \mathrm{w}$ as xed except for stars from $C$ subset for which $\log g=2: 0$ was assum ed.

I have found the in itial guess via trial-and-error tting of the light curves. The convergence is de ned to have been achieved if the param eters corrections given by W D program are sm aller than 0.75 tim es the standard errors (1.0 in the case of eccentricily and argum ent of periastron) on two consecutive terations. The adjusted param eters were the surface potentials $(1 ; 2)$, the e ective tem perature of the secondary $\left(T_{2}\right)$, the lum inosity of the prim ary $\left(L_{1}\right)$, orbital inclination (i) and the zero epoch $\circ$ set ( ). For A subset I have adjusted tw o m ore param eters: eccentricity (e) and the argum ent of periastron (! 。).

If for an eccentric system the convergence was not
achieved I suppressed the adjustm ent of $e$, ! and provided the correction were $s m$ aller than the standard error for these param eters on three consecutive iterations. It tumed out that such procedure allow ed for better convergence and the solution was ach ieved in all cases. A fterw ards I have run additional iterations, allow ing allparam eters to vary, to nd error estim ates of the nal solution. Sim ilar procedure was em ployed for the system s from $B$ and $C$ subsets, just xing the circular onbit and the suppressing included only the zero phase o set. A m ong the system s in B subset the third light ( $l_{3}$ ) ad justm ent was needed to get the convergence in $m$ ost cases. For all system s correction $T$ 。 to the epoch given by U dalskiet al. (1998) was applied in the form : $\mathrm{T}_{\circ}=\mathrm{P}$, where is the zero epoch $\circ$ set of the nal solution and $P$ is the period of the system.

W W 1 introduced a quantity $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{e}}$ which is greater than unity for system $s$ w ith com plete eclipses:
$F_{e}=\frac{r_{g}+r_{s} \operatorname{cosi}}{2 r_{s}}$;
where $r_{g}$ is the fractional the radius of larger star and $r_{s}$ is the fractional radius of the sm aller star, both expressed in units of sem i-m ajor axis A. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is valid, in the form presented above, for circular orbits or penpendicular orbits ( $i=90$ ) and also for eccentric orbits provided the fractional radii of com ponents are expressed in units of the distance betw een stars at the m om ent of the m id-eclipse. In general case of eccentric orbits, if one eclipse occurs in the neighbourhood of periastron and is com plete, the other $m$ ay be partial and in the extrem e cases, there $m$ ay be an eclipse near periastron and none near apastron. The instantaneous distance between components $d$, in units of sem i-m ajor axis $A$, is given by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{1 e^{2}}{1+e \cos } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the true anom aly. The prim ary and secondary $m$ in im a occur when the true anom alies 1 and 2 are (neglecting higher pow ers ofe, see K opal1959, C hapter 6, equation (9-22)):

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=90 \quad!\quad e \cos !\cot ^{2} i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.e \sin !\csc ^{2} i\right) \\
2 & =270 \quad!+e \cos !\cot ^{2} i(1 \\
\left.e \sin !\csc ^{2} i\right)
\end{array}\right. \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Substituting 1 and 2 into Eq. in the distances $w$ ere calculated at the $m$ om ents of both $m$ id-eclipses. $T$ hen appropriate quantities $F_{e}^{1}$ and $F_{e}^{2}$ referring to the prim ary and the secondary eclipse, respectively, w ere com puted.

Sem idetached con guration (m ode 5) were investigated for all stars from the B subset in order to check which system sare de nitively separated. W hen the sem idetached conguration w as investigated the $m$ ass ratio (q) of the com ponents w as adjusted and secondary surface potential ( 2 ) was set by q. H ow ever, it tumed out that no solution could be found in this mode for any star from $B$ subset in spite of $m$ any tests for di erent sets of adjustable param eters. A ccordingly, in subsequent part of the paper I w ill refer only to detached solutions found in m ode 2 .

### 3.2 P aram eter choice

In the analysis presented here I have included the tem perature of binary's com ponents resulting from an intrinsic colour ( $\mathrm{B} V$ ) o of the system. A ll ( $\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V}$ ) colours from Table ${ }_{1-1}^{1}$ w ere dereddened using the average reddening tow ard SMCE(B V) $=0: 087$ ( $M$ assey et al. 1995, U dalski2000). I set the tem perature of the prim ary ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ ) respectively to the (B V )。colour of the system using $F$ lower's (1996) em pirical calibration. A $m$ ost all the selected binaries have minim a of sim ilar depth what indicates that the di erence betw een the tem peratures of the com ponents is sm all. Thus such a procedure is justi able. For those stars for which (B V) 0 colour suggested a very high tem perature ( $410^{4} \mathrm{~K}$ for SC 5 38089, SC 6 215965, SC 9 163575, SC 9 175336) I set up $\mathrm{T}_{1}=35000 \mathrm{~K}$.

I used a logarithm ic law for the lim b darkening (e.g.van Hamme 1993). The I limb darkenings $\mathrm{x}_{1 ; 2} ; \mathrm{y}_{1 ; 2}$ and bolo$m$ etric lim b darkenings $\mathrm{x}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{bol}} ; \mathrm{y}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{bol}}$ were calculated from van Ham m e's (1993) tables of limb darkenings for appropriate log $g$ and T.D uring the iterations the secondary's lim b dark-
ening coe cients were calculated according to its tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{2}$. The bolom etric albedo and gravity brightening exponent were set to the canonical value of 1.0 for stars w ith radiative envelopes (von Zeipel1924). H ow ever, for two red long-period system s SC 4192903 and SC 8201484 values typical for convective envelopes were assum ed \{ bolom etric albedo w as set to 0.5 and gravity brightening exponent to 0.32 . The synchronous rotation of both com ponents $w$ as assum ed ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{2}=1$ ). N o level depending weighting of observations was applied \{ param eter N O ISE was set to 0.

T he m ass ratio w as assum ed to be $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{m}_{2}=\mathrm{m}_{1}=1 \mathrm{in}$ all calculations. W W 2 pointed out that in the case of detached eclipsing binary the light curve is alm ost insensitive to the $m$ ass ratio param eter. Only if one of the com ponents is highly evolved (w hen its radius R increase to about a half of the total separation A) or if the system has a very low $m$ ass ratio, the light curve becom es sensitive for th is param eter. $T$ he prelim inary tests show that no com ponent from the set of binaries has fractional radius $r_{1}=R_{1}=$ a larger than 0.4. H ow ever, for two system s from B subset it was necessary to adjust the m ass ratio in order to get a good solution (see x3.4).

### 3.3 Solutions for A subset

For each system from A group the autom ated tting schem e described in x3.1 was em ployed. Only in three cases: SC 6 67221, SC 6221543 and SC 6272453 was the convergence achieved by adjusting sim ultaneously all free param eters. T he $F$ igure $\sqrt{5}$ show $s$ the best- tting $m$ odel light curves, togetherw ith O C residuals, whose photom etric param eters are given in Table ${\underset{L}{2}}_{2}^{l}$. A $1 l$ argum ents of the periastron refer to the epoch 1999.0.

For all system s from the A subset reasonable solutions were found assum ing $l_{3}=0$. H ow ever, in the case of SC 6 11143 and SC 9163575 we expect that som e am ount of the third light is present in the system because of the blending $w$ ith another eclipsing variable. In order to estim ate third light com ponent an additional grid of solutions for di erent values of $l_{3} \mathrm{w}$ as calculated in the range of $0.05-0.40 \mathrm{w}$ ith a step of 0.05 . For SC 611143 the best solution was found at $l_{3}=0: 30$, while for SC 9163575 \{ at $l_{3}=0: 10$. It is w orth noting that the solutions found $w$ ith $I_{3}=0$ for these system $s$ have alm ost no system atic trends in $0-\mathrm{C}$ 's residuals, thus the intrinsic variability of the blend is the only sign of the third light com ponent.

T he solutions for SC 667221 converged very rapidly and had the sm allest residuals. O nly slight system atic residuals are visible near phase 0.04 (just after the egress from pri$m$ ary $m$ in im um ), $m$ ost probably due to the strong $m$ utual re ection e ect. Sim ilar but even stronger system atic e ect is visible near rstquadrature ( $0: 11$ ) in SC 5 129441. Because of the presence of the proxim ity e ects both system s were additionally investigated in order to estim ate possibly the third light contribution by adjusting $l_{3}$ as a free param eter. In each case synthetic light curves produce a tiny im provem ent only for unphysicalvalues $l_{3}<0$, but such solutions were invariably unstable. T he conclusion is that these binaries show virtually insigni cant third light contribution what is consistent w th $I_{3}=0$. For a brief discussion ofboth system s see x 5.12 .

Sm allresiduals and relatively quick convergence charac-

Table 2. P hotom etric param eters


The stars chosen in this paper as likely distance indicators are $m$ arked by an asterisk. The stars indicated by $W$ yithe \& $W$ ilson (2001, 2002) are $m$ arked by a dagger. A double dagger $m$ eans that the binary has $m$ ost probably no third light contribution.
terize also SC 3139376 and SC 9 163575, w hereas, the largest residuals are visible in SC 5221543 m ostly due to a big intrinsic scatter in observations.
$H$ aving calculated e and ! we could estim ate the rate of apsidalm otion for tw o binaries from the sam ple. The change of displacem ent of $m$ inim a of an eccentric binary with central eclipses ( $\mathrm{i}=90$ ) is given by (see e.g. K opal 1959, C hapter 6, equations (9-8), (9-9)) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{e} \sin !!}{1 \mathrm{e}^{2}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever for our purpose we can still use this form ula also for inclination close to 90 provided the tim escale of a displacem ent change is $s m$ all $w$ ith respect to an apsidalperiod U. The change of displacem ent per one orbital revolution ( P ) is simply (Eq. $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ ') so the rate of advance of periastron is approxim ated by:
$!\quad \frac{365^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{1} \mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{Pe} \mathrm{\sin !}}$ [=yr]:
Substituting num erical values we get 5:8/yr and 1:8/yr for SC 3139376 and SC 5 311566, respectively.

### 3.4 Solutions for B and C subsets

The photom etric param eters for the stars from $B$ and $C$ subsets are presented in Table A ppropriate light curve solutions are shown in Figs. IG and $H_{1}$. In contrast to the A subset, alm ost all solutions from B subset (beside SC 6 215956) were found only by adjusting the third light $l_{3}$ in the system. There is a simple explanation of this e ect see a discussion in section 16.1. SC 6215956 w as also investigated for possible third light contribution but values of
$l_{3}$ suggested by W D program were invariably negative. SC 6 215965 has very $s m$ all residuals and the solution for th is system converged rapidly. A lso it seem ed to be m ost stable of all in B subset.

The solution for SC 538089 w as obtained using the spectroscopic $m$ ass ratio $q=1: 12$ and $m$ ore appropriate tem peratures ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 2} \quad 29000 \mathrm{~K}$ ) determ ined for this binary by H arries et al. (2003). Third light contribution in this system is spurious although som e im provem ents to the ts can be obtained for $l_{3}>0: 2$.

In spite of the sm all residuals in SC 9175336 its solution was extrem ely unstable and exhibited large system atic $O$ C deviations, especially near extemal contacts. T he tests show ed that the reason was the sensitivity of the light curve to the $m$ ass ratio $q$ as the larger and cooler com ponent seem ed to be noticeably $m$ ore evolved than the hotter one. The sam e problem s arose for SC 453898 which seem $s$ to be sim ilar in $m$ any respects to the form er, although its light curve is less sensitive for $q$. B oth system $s$ show complete eclipses which allow for m ore accurate determ ination of photom etric param eters including the photom etric $m$ ass ratio. Thus I allow ed for adjusting of $q$ for both system $s$ in detached con guration. T he convergence w as achieved and a stable solution obtained: in the case of SC 9175336 for $q=1: 15 \quad 0: 05$ and in the case of SC 453898 for $q=1: 36 \quad 0: 12$.

The solutions for tw o system sfrom $C$ subset converged very rapidly. N o third light $l_{3}$ was adjusted in both cases because of the lack of any system atic residuals in the solutions (F ig..$_{1}^{\prime}$ sm allest residuals from all of the subsets.




## 4 PHYSICALPARAMETERS

W e cannot directly calculate absolute dimensions and m asses w ithout know ing spectroscopic orbit. H ow ever it is possible to estim ate stellar param eters using the inversion of the $m$ ethod of parallaxes of eclipsing binaries. T he $m$ ethod of parallaxes w as elaborated by D w orak (1974) who based it on G aposchkin (1938) algorithm . W e assum e that we know distance to SM C and we use it to calculate absolute dim ensions by scaling the system to obtain the observed ux at E arth. The calculations are quite straightforw ard. F or early $B$ or O type stars the $R$ ayleigh-Jeans approxim ation $m$ ay be used in visible and near-in frared region of the spectrum so total V or I lum inosity of each star is a linear function of tem perature $\mathrm{L}_{1 ; 2} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1 ; 2}$. U sing this approxim ation we can disentangle the tem peratures $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ ofboth com ponents:
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{0} \frac{1+\mathrm{L}_{21}^{\mathrm{T}}}{1+\mathrm{L}_{21}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)} ;$
where $\mathrm{L}_{21}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{L}_{2}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{L}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{l}_{2}=\mathrm{l}_{1}$ is the lum inosity ratio of the com ponents known from the light curve solution ( Ta ble ${\underset{\sim}{4}}_{4}^{\prime}$ ) and $T_{0}$ is the "m ean" tem perature corresponding to the total (B V ) o colour of the system. The tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ is then directly calculated from the tem perature ratio. Initially ( $\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})_{0}$ w as found for each binary assum ing total, foreground and intemal, m ean reddening to SM C $E(B \quad V)=0: 087$ ( $M$ assey et al. 1995). The foreground reddening of $E(B \quad V)=0: 037 \mathrm{w}$ as assum ed (Schlegel, $F$ inkebeiner \& $D$ avis 1998) $w$ ith the ratio of the selective to the total extinction $R=3: 1$, while for the intemal reddening $I$ assum ed $R=2: 7$ (B ouchet et al. 1985). The resulting tem peratures $\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 2}$ were used to calculate approxim ate intrinsic colours ( $\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V}$ ) 0 and bolom etric corrections B C $1 ; 2$ ofboth com ponents via F lower's (1996) calibration form ain sequence stars. The "standard" m ean value m $\quad \mathrm{M}=18: 9$ of the distance modulus (D M ) for SM C was assum ed. The sem i-m ajor axis A can be then com puted by the follow ing form ula:

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\log A= & 0: 2 \mathrm{DM} & \log r_{1} & 2 \log \mathrm{~T}_{1}+7: 524+ \\
& 0: 2\left(\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{V}}\right. & \mathrm{BC}_{1} & \left.\mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{RE}(\mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{~V})\right) ; \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

where $M^{V}$ is the $V$ absolute $m$ agnitude of the Sun (assum ed $+4.75), V_{1}$ is the out-ofeclipse $V \mathrm{~m}$ agnitude of the prim ary
(calcu lated assum ing $\mathrm{L}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{L}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} \quad \mathrm{I}_{2}=\mathrm{l}_{1}$ and that $I_{3}$ contribution in $V$ band is com parable to that in I band). The total $m$ ass of the system results sim ply from application of $K$ epler's third law. T he absolute scale of the system gives im $m$ ediately the bolom etric lum inosity $L$ of each com ponent.

N ow we proceed to the second step of the $m$ ethod. $W$ e can represent the lum inosity of the star as a function of its m ass, which is well-known as Eddington's relation. This relation works only for $m$ ain sequence stars, but it should be kept in $m$ ind that for an early spectraltype star ( $m$ assive and lum inous at the sam e tim e) its lum inosity is not a strong function of the age. T he dependence is rather weak and such star tends to evolve from ZAMS along alm ost horizontal line on HR diagram. M oreover, no binary from the sam ple (beside tw o red system $s$ from $C$ subset) seem $s$ to contain considerably evolved com ponents.

F ig. ${ }_{1}^{1 / 1}$ presents the m ass-lum inosity relation. T he data for $m$ assive $(M>2: 2 M)$ G alactic stars were taken from com pilation of detached, double lined eclipsing binaries done by Pols et al. (1997 and references therein) and for three LM C eclipsing system sfrom $R$ ibas et al. (2000), F itzpatrick et al. (2001) and $R$ ibas et al. (2002). The inclusion of LM C stars gives an opportunity to check how this relation depends on the $m$ etallicity. Indeed the $G$ alactic stars seem $s$ to have a little sm aller lum inosity then LM C stars (having $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}] \quad 0: 35$ ) at a given m ass. For a Galactic star the $m$ ass-lum inosity $M \quad L$ relation $m$ ay be approxi$m$ ated by a sim ple linear function in $w$ ide range of $m$ asses ( $2: 2 \mathrm{M}<\mathrm{M}<20 \mathrm{M}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log L=3: 664(47) \log M+0: 227(38) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. L $\quad M^{3: 66}$. The sam ple of LM C stars do not cover such $w$ ide range of $m$ asses and $I$ assum ed that the slope of the M $L$ relation is the sam e like for the $G$ alactic stars. $T$ hen the data can be tted by:

```
log}L=3:664(47) logM+0:380(27)
```

A bove equation (labeled 2 in $F$ ig. (\%lin) gives the approxim ate M L relation for LM C stars. This relation can be com pared w ith a m ass determ ination for tw o detached eclipsing system $s$ in SM C done by H arries et al. (2003) \{ see Fig. ${ }_{1} \mathbf{l o l}_{1}^{\prime}$. C om ponents of SC 6215965 lie very close to the predicted M L relation, but there are som e problem swith com ponents of


Figure 8. M ass-lum inosity relation for $G$ alactic $m$ ain sequence stars (asterisks) given by equation 1 and LMC stars (lled squares) \{ equation 2. B oth com ponents of two SM C detached system s: SC 6215965 (open squares) and SC 538089 (open circles) from paper $H$ arries et al. (2003) are also m arked. N ote that the com ponents of SC 6215965 are placed alm ost on the predicted $M-L$ relation, while the com ponents of SC 538089 do not seem to concur $w$ ith this $m$ ass-lum inosity relationship.

SC 5 38089: especcially a m ore m assive com ponent of 38089 seem $s$ to be a less hum inous one. A s the physicalparam eters of 215965 are $m$ ore precisely determ ined than in the case of 38089 I decided to adopt the $m$ ass-lum inosity relation given by Eq. 111 for SM C stars. R elying on this assum ption we can check the consistency betw een param etens found from the ux scaling (Eq. $\bar{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and from $M \quad L$ relation (Eq. $\overline{11} \overline{1} \bar{j}$ ).

Such treatm ent allow s for independent determ ination of the unknow $n$ reddening in the direction to the particu lar binary and thus a reasonable (B V ) o intrinsic colour can be obtained. A though the $m$ ean foreground reddening tow ard SMC is quite low som e large random intemal reddenings (up to 02 ) were reported for individualB type stars (Larsen, C lausen \& Storm 2000). It is obvious (e.g.F low er's 1996 calibration) that for early type stars the tem perature is a very strong function of the ( $\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})_{0}$ colour and a sm all change of this colour causes a large_change of the tem perature. As the tem perature entens Eq. ${ }^{\prime}$ '1 at a relative high pow er even a sm all error in determ ination of reddening $E(B \quad V)$ produces large errors in lum inosities and $m$ asses. Let us returm to the problem of the determ ination of physical param eters. W e can com pute the expected $m$ ass ratio $q$ as follow $s$ :
$\log q=\left(\log L_{2} \quad \log L_{1}\right)=3: 664 ;$
$w$ here $\mathrm{L}_{1 ; 2}$ are the absolute lum inosities com puted by using Eq. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{I n}_{1}$. T hen the $m$ asses of individual com ponents $M_{1 ; 2}$ are calculated from Eq.II. If there is a consistency betw een the ux scaling and $M{ }^{-} \overline{\mathrm{L}}$ relation a quantity :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0: 5\left(\log L_{1}+\log L_{2} 3: 664\left(\log M_{1}+\log M_{2}\right)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

should be equal or close to the free term in Eq.III i.e. 0.38 . I have allowed param eter to vary in the range of $0.36-0.40$. If $w$ ere in allowed range the consistency was ach ieved, the E (B V) $=0: 087 \mathrm{w}$ as approved as the nal reddening and calculations stopped. If not, the iterative determ ination of the reddening were done. For greater than 0.40 the correction of 0:001 were added to $E(B \quad V)$, while for sm aller than 0.36 the correction of $+0: 001 \mathrm{w}$ as applied and all calcu-
lations were repeated for this new value of reddening, until the fell in the allowed range.

The above $m$ ethod was tested on three LM C eclipsing binaries which have their physical param eters known with high accuracy. A s a free param eter I assum ed distance modulus to the LM C. The best " $t$ " to the original data were obtained for $\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M}=18: 5 \mathrm{w}$ hat is a value still accepted as close to the true one (e.g. Gibson 1999) and m arginally consistent w ith D M obtained using eclipsing binaries ( R ibas et al. 2002). T he com parison betw een original data and param eters given in Tablen (low est panel) show that the " $t$ " is excellent \{ the m ethod allow $s$ for consistent and accurate estim ation of $m$ asses, sizes, tem peratures, lum inosities and reddenings of all three system $s$. It $m$ ay serve as a proof that such an approach of estim ation of physical param eters works well and $m$ ay give fast and proper in form ation about the absolute dim ensions for large sam ples of eclipsing binaries $\left\{\right.$ see $\times, \frac{1}{2},-1$ for a discussion. It is worth to note that the in itial value of redden ing is irrelevant to the m ethod and the sam e results we obtain adopting another initiale (B V).

Table ${ }_{1}^{-1}$ gives the nal estim ation of the physical param eters of each com ponent of binaries in the sam ple. The spectraltype and lum inosity class ofeach star w as estim ated from T and logg. SC 453898 and SC 9175336 w ere treated in a slightly di erent way. Them ass ratio forboth system $s w a s$ not calculated from Eq. 1212 the photom etric $m$ ass ratio determ ined from the light curve solution (see x 3.4). T he fiurther calculations were done in a sim ilar way like for the rest of the sam ple. For tw o binaries from C subset which host evolved and cool com ponents the reddening was assum ed to be equal to the initial value and just the simple ux scaling w as applied.

The tem peratures $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ from T able ${ }^{1} \frac{12}{1}$ in in a few cases (SC 4 163552, SC 5 311566, SC 9 175336) signi cantly di er from in itial $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ for which light curve solutions were found. Additional tests show, how ever, that photom etric param eters recalculated for the tem peratures resulted from the ux scaling were consistent w ith those from $T$ able $\overline{2}_{2}^{1} \mathrm{w}$ th in quoted errors.

## 5 D ISTANCE IND ICATORS

Below the discussion of individual eclipsing binaries as distance indicators to SM C is presented. Six candidates were chosen according to the follow ing criteria: the presence of com plete eclipses, sm all residuals, sm all and welldeterm ined third light contribution and the spectral type. T wo binaries from this subset were also advocated to be possible distance indicators by W W 1. A nother four system $s$ which were selected by W W 1 and W W 2 were also brie y discussed in a separate subsection, where reasons against their selection were given and additionaly SC 538089 used recently for distance determ ination to SM C is also discussed.
5.1 M ost likely candidates
5.1.1 SC 453898 and SC 9175336

These two binaries $m$ ay serve as the best candidates because of the presence of com plete eclipses, high brightness (especially SC 9 175336), m odest proxim ity e ects allow ing

Table 3. Physical param eters

|  |  | M ass |  |  |  | M ass ratio q |  | $M$ ean radius |  |  |  |  |  | Tem perature |  |  | Lum inosity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O b ject | Spectrum | $\frac{M_{1}}{M}$ |  | $\frac{M_{2}}{M^{2}}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{R}}$ |  | $\frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}}$ | $\log g_{1} \quad \log g_{2}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ |  | $\log L_{1} \quad \log L_{2} \quad \mathrm{E}$ ( $\mathrm{B}\{\mathrm{V})$ |  |  |  |
| T he A subset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC 3139376 | B OV + B OV | 15.4 | 2.0 | 16.3 | 2.1 | 1.06 | 0.11 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 8.6 | 1.0 | 3.84 | 3.79 | 31500 | 1100 | 31700 | 1100 | 4.731 | 4.823 | 0.128 |
| SC 5129441 y | B 3III+ B 3III | 7.1 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 1.01 | 0.07 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 6.7 | 0.4 | . 3.67 | 3.65 | 16900 | 400 | 16900 | 400 | 3.486 | 3.506 | 0.094 |
| SC 5311566 | - $9 \mathrm{~V}+09 \mathrm{~V}$ | 11.9 | 1.6 | 11.0 | 1.5 | 0.92 | 0.10 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 0.5 | . 4.28 | 4.30 | 34200 | 1300 | 32900 | 1200 | 4.324 | 4.199 | 0.180 |
| SC $611143^{\text {y }}$ | B $2 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{~V}$ | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 0.88 | 0.08 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 53.6 | 0.4 | 4.04 | 4.14 | 21600 | 600 | 21000 | 600 | 3.565 | 3.367 | 0.103 |
| SC 667221 | B $21 V+B 1 I V$ | 10.0 | 1.1 | 11.1 | 1.2 | 1.11 | 0.09 | 7.5 | 0.6 | 6.8 | 0.6 | 63.69 | 3.82 | 21700 | 600 | 25100 | 700 | 4.052 | 4.218 | 0.153 |
| SC 6221543 | B $2 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{~V}$ | 8.2 | 1.0 | 7.4 | 1.0 | 0.90 | 0.09 | 4.8 | 0.5 | . 54.3 | 0.5 | . 3.99 | 4.03 | 22400 | 600 | 21500 | 600 | 3.715 | 3.554 | 0.027 |
| SC 6272453 | B $2 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{IV}$ | 7.9 | 0.9 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 1.06 | 0.09 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 6 3.93 | 3.79 | 21400 | 600 | 20500 | 500 | 3.680 | 3.775 | 0.065 |
| SC 9163575 | O 5+0 7 | 16.9 | 2.4 | 14.6 | 2.0 | 0.86 | 0.10 | 4.9 | 0.6 | .6 4.7 | 0.6 | . 4.29 | 4.26 | 43300 | 1800 | 38600 | 1500 | 4.875 | 4.640 | 0.073 |
| SC 1037223 | B $1 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{~V}$ | 8.4 | 1.0 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 0.93 | 0.08 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 44.15 | 4.15 | 25100 | 700 | 23900 | 650 | 3.766 | 3.648 | 0.064 |
| T he $B$ subset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC 453898 | B $1 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{IV}$ | 6.5 | 0.6 | 8.9 | 0.9 | 1.36 | 0.12 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 4.34 | 3.93 | 24400 | 700 | 22200 | 600 | 3.415 | 3.801 | 0.122 |
| SC $4103706^{Y}$ | $B 0 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 0 \mathrm{~V}$ | 10.7 | 1.3 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 0.86 | 0.08 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 44.0 | 0.4 | 4.09 | 4.21 | 28700 | 1000 | 27700 | 800 | 4.162 | 3.917 | 0.085 |
| SC $4163552^{\text {y }}$ | $B 1 V+B 1 V$ | 9.3 | 1.0 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 0.98 | 0.09 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 4.01 | 4.03 | 24700 | 700 | 24800 | 700 | 3.922 | 3.897 | 0.216 |
| SC 538089 | B OV + B OV | 11.7 | 2.0 | 11.8 | 1.9 | 1.01 | 0.12 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 95.5 | 0.8 | 4.10 | 4.07 | 29700 | 1000 | 29400 | 1000 | 4.291 | 4.302 | 0.047 |
| SC 6215965 y | $B 0 \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{IV}$ | 14.6 | 1.1 | 14.8 | 1.1 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 9.0 |  | 710.9 | 0.8 | 3.70 | 3.54 | 28100 | 900 | 25900 | 800 | 4.653 | 4.677 | 0.039 |
| SC 6 319966Y | B $2 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{~V}$ | 6.2 | 0.6 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 0.98 | 0.07 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 33.3 | 0.3 | . 4.16 | 4.19 | 20700 | 500 | 20800 | 500 | 3.286 | 3.259 | 0.085 |
| SC 8104222 | B $1 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{~V}$ | 8.4 | 1.0 | 8.7 | 1.1 | 1.03 | 0.10 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 64.9 | 0.5 | 54.05 | 4.01 | 23900 | 650 | 23800 | 650 | 3.784 | 3.826 | 0.113 |
| SC 9175336 | $B 1 V+B 1 I V$ | 10.0 | 1.0 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 1.15 | 0.05 | 4.70 | 0.4 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 4.09 | 3.62 | 25200 | 700 | 24300 | 700 | 3.901 | 4.371 | 0.039 |
| The C subset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC 4192903 | F9IV + G 2IV | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 15 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 2.26 | 2.06 | 5900 | 100 | 5400 | 100 | 2.372 | 2.472 | 0.087 |
| SC 8201484 | G 0III+ G 7III | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 36 |  |  | 3 | 1.59 | 1.36 | 5700 | 100 | 5000 | 100 | 3.088 | 3.116 | 0.087 |
| LM C stars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EROS 1044 | B $2 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{IV}$ | 7.1 | 0.8 | 8.7 | 0.9 | 1.22 | 0.10 | 4.2 | 0.4 | A 6.7 | 0.6 | 64.04 | 3.73 | 21400 | 600 | 20500 | 500 | 3.524 | 3.844 | 0.065 |
| HV 982 | B $1 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{~V}$ | 10.4 | 1.1 | 10.6 | 1.1 | 1.02 | 0.08 | 7.0 | 0.5 | . 7.6 | 0.5 | . 3.77 | 3.70 | 23300 | 650 | 22800 | 650 | 4.111 | 4.143 | 0.081 |
| HV 2274 | B 2 IV + B 2 IV | 12.5 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 0.96 | 0.07 | 10.0 | 0.7 | 79.2 | 0.7 | 73.54 | 3.60 | 23100 | 650 | 23200 | 650 | 4.407 | 4.341 | 0.121 |

$N$ ote: all param eters were estim ated assum ing $m \quad M=18: 9$ for $\operatorname{SM} C$ stars and $m \quad M=18: 5$ for LM C stars. The spectrum was estim ated from $T$ and $\log g$ and, in the case of LM C stars, adopted from the literature. The stars chosen in the present paper as likely distance indicators are $m$ arked by an asterisk. T he stars indicated by $W$ yithe \& $W$ ilson $(2001,2002)$ are $m$ arked by a dagger. A double dagger indicates a photom etric $m$ ass ratio derived from the light curve analysis.
for reasonable determ ination of the third light contribution to the system and at last, sm all residuals. In both cases the prim ary is slightly cooler and considerably larger than the secondary com ponent. A s a result there is a quite high lum inosity ratio betw een com ponents $l_{2}=l_{1} \quad 3$ (see Table which $m$ ay by regarded as the only disadvantage of using these system s.T he expected velocity sem iam plitudes should be $K_{1} \quad 250 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \quad 190 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ for SC 453898 , while $\mathrm{K}_{1} \quad 220 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \quad 190 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ for SC 9 175336. T he latter lies near the large H II em ission region and OB association $\{$ NGC 371. B oth stars were not included by W W 1 or W W 2 as likely distance indicators.

### 5.1.2 SC 5129441 and SC 667221

Very high eccentricity and clear proxim ity e ects visible near the periastron passage are features of both system $s$. SC 5129441 consists of two som ew hat evolved B 3 stars of equaltem peratures. In the second system \{ SC 667221 \{ during the prim ary eclipse (phase 1.0) the cooler and probably larger com ponent is eclipsed and the strong mutual re ection causes the brightening of the system visible as a hum p around phase 1.0. B oth system $s \mathrm{~m}$ ay serve as ideal testers of $m$ utual re ection treatm ent used in m odem light curve synthesis program s . Indeed, the presence of sm all residuals visible near phase 1.1 in SC 5129441 and near the prim ary eclipse in SC 667221 m ay ( F ig. F (5)
ection used in the analysis (see x3.1) m ight be too crude a sim pli cation. U nfortunately the use of the detailed re ection (which should be m ore appropriate for these binaries) together $w$ ith the eccentric orbits $m$ akes W D program practically unsuitable for analysis due to an enorm ously large computationaltim e ( W ilson 1992).

B oth system s show no substantial third light contribution. The expected velocity sem iam plitudes should be $\mathrm{K}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{2} \quad 140 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ for SC 5129441 and $\mathrm{K}_{1} \quad 150 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ and $K_{2} \quad 140 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ for SC 6 67221. SC 5129441 w as suggested by W W 1 to have com plete eclipses, but the present light curve analysis did not support this thesis. SC 667221 was not included by W W 1.

### 5.1.3 SC 3139376

$T$ his binary seem $s$ to be the $m$ ost $m$ assive and the $m$ ost lum inous in the sam ple (beside SC 9 163575), consisting of tw o B 0 V-IV stars of sim ilar tem perature: $T \quad 32000 \mathrm{~K}$. It is the only "classical" well-detached system included in the subset of likely distance indicators \{ the fractional radii of both com ponents are below 02 . The residuals of solution are very sm all and only som e larger scatter can be seen during eclipses due to very fast apsidal $m$ otion. T he rate of the $m$ otion is ! 5:8/year, so the apsidal period $U$ is only 60 years. Thus the analysis of this system can give parallel in form ation about the com ponents and an indepen-
dent check of stellar evolution m odels. T he expected velocity sem iam plitudes should be $K_{1} \quad 190 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \quad 180$ $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$.

### 5.1.4 SC 6215965

The brightest binary in the sample $(V=13: 94) \mathrm{w}$ ith the spectrum B $0 V-I V+B 1 I V$.Table isuggests that the cooler com ponent is $m$ ore $m$ assive one and it is slightly evolved. T he light curve solution showed that the contribution of the third light to th is system m ight be neglected and photom etric param eters such as the tem perature ratio (surface brightness ratio) could be very accurately determ ined. A lso very sm all residuals suggested that the system had no essential intrinsic variability. This system was yet used by H arries et al. (2003) for a distance determ ination to SM C giving $\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M}=18: 83 \quad 0: 15$. T he physical param eters of both com ponents are reasonably close to that presented in Table'. It can be considered as an independent check of the $m$ ethod given in Section ${ }^{2} / 4$ and an additional argum ent for use this star for an accurate individual distance determ ination. W W 1 included this binary in their catalog on the basis of having com plete eclipses but the present analysis (and results of H arries et al.) exludes this suggestion.

### 5.2 SC 538089 and the candidates suggested recently by $W$ yithe \& $W$ ilson

### 5.2.1 SC 538089

H arries et al. (2003) determ ined absolute dim ensions and a distance to this $B 0 V+B 0 V$ system : $m \quad M=18: 92 \quad 0: 19$. T heir light curve solution is essentially the sam e as the solution reported in this paper. H ow ever, there is an apparent discrepancy between the observational data on SC 538089 and the $m$ ass-lum inosity relationship shown on $F$ ig id. Thus I would not recom $m$ ended this star for individual distance determ ination, although it is very interested target for spectroscopic investigations in the future. Table ${ }_{1}$ $m$ ate ofphysicalparam eters assum ing that both com ponents are norm alm ain sequence stars. The expected B lum inosity ratio is very close to unity what indeed was reported by H arries et al.

### 5.2.2 SC 4103706

Form ally this binary also seem $s$ to have com plete eclipses (达 w as inchuded by W W 1 in their subset ofbinaries show ing totality) but the very large third light contribution ( $l_{3} 0: 4$ ) $m$ ay cause serious problem $s$ during $m$ odelling of the spectral energy distribution and thus I decided not to include this star into the $m$ ost suitable candidates.

### 5.2.3 SC 4163552

W W 2 included this binary according to the presence of com plete eclipses, but as in the case of SC 6 215965, the light curve analysis contradicted this thesis. T he third light contribution to this system is sunstantial, at about $26 \%$. Th is binary has sm all residuals, a very sm ooth light curve and can be considered as a "reserve" candidate. T he m ass ratio
and tem perature ratio are very close to unity. T he expected velocity am plitude is $\mathrm{K} \quad 250 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ for both com ponents.

### 5.2.4 SC 611143

W W 1 classi ed this system as a well-detached. Indeed, my analysis showed that the com ponents had the sm allest fractional radii of all the sam ple (beside SC 6 67221) and are norm alB2 V stars. H ow ever, this binary happens to blend with another eclipsing binary (see x2 2) which contributes about $30 \%$ to the total light of system. T hus any detailed analysis of SC 611143 should at the sam e tim e take into account a carefulanalysis of the blending binary. P robably th is includes sim ultaneous solution ofm ulticolour light curves of both binaries what is, in principle, possible, but $m$ ay give am biguous results.

### 5.2.5 SC 6319966

Thisbinary was included by W W 1 according to the presence of com plete eclipses which seem $s$ to be in correct in the view of $m y$ analysis. $T h$ is binary had the highest $l_{3}$ contribution from all the sam ple \{ nearly $50 \%$ \{ which is m ost probably too large for a detailed $m$ odelling of spectrophotom etry.

## 6 CONCLUDING REMARKS

### 6.1 Third light contribution problem

The observed di erence of the $l_{3}$ contribution to the total light betw een binaries from $A$ and $B$ subsets $m$ ay be understood as follow s. The com ponents of binaries from $B$ subset are relatively $m$ uch closer to each other than those from A subset and the proxim ity e ects, depending on the gravitational distortion and overall geom etry of the system, are $m$ uch $m$ ore distinct. Thus proxim ity e ects give us additional constraints to the solution. W hen we consider the third light $l_{3}$ we see that its in uence on the light curve of well-detached system $s$ is of little im portance: equally good solutions can be obtained for $w$ ide range of $l_{3}$ values.

The situation is di erent for closer system $s$ \{ sm all changes of $l_{3}$ produce noticeably system atic $O-C$ residuals, especially near quadratures. $T$ his is an im portant reason for preferring such system s over classical well-detached system s in determ ination of the distance using individual eclipsing binaries, especially in the case of the extragalactic binaries observed usually on a rich stellar background of a host galaxy. T he probability of blending w ith another star(s) in such environm ent is high (crow ding e ect). A lso the blend m ay be a m em ber of the system itself as at least $30 \%$ of binaries (B atten 1973) are found to form hierarchicalm ultiple system s of stars. Indeed, neglecting of the third light contribution in the case of HV 2274 and H V 982 m ay be one of the prim e sources of sm all di erences on the level1.5 betw een distances derived for three LM C system $s$ as w as announced by R ibas et al. (2002).

### 6.2 Fast in form ation about absolute dim ensions

T he idea of deriving the absolute properties of binary stars from know ledge of their photom etric properties alone has
long tradition (e.g. G aposchkin 1938, K opal 1959 - C hapter 7). T hem ethods based on this idea use som ew hat statistical approach: one or both com ponents of a binary conform an em piricalm ass-lum inosity relation and the tem perature is im posed by observed spectral type. There are two im portant advantages of such statisticalm ethod 1) it $m$ ay be used for large sam ples ofbinaries and 2) it gives fast, though approxim ate physicalparam eters ofbinaries. A fterw ard we can choose from the sam ple $m$ ost interesting system $s$ and investigate them $m$ ore accurately using spectroscopy, spectrophotom etry or m ulticolor photom etry. H ow ever, past m ethods neglected the interstellar reddening (which in uences both the brightness and the colours) and, what ism ore im portant, the distance to the binary. A s a $m$ atter of fact the distance is irrelevant to these $m$ ethods and thus it can be derived sim ply by com parison $w$ th the apparent $m$ agnitude $m$, even w ithout any radial velocity m easurem ents (e.g. G aposchkin 1968, D w orak 1974). M oreover, they based their reasoning on quite crude spectraltype - tem perature calibrations and, of course, as the tem perature com e in high pow ers into equations involved in the $m$ ethod, the obtained param eters w ere usually very inaccurate.

In present paper this "old-fashioned" $m$ ethod was innovated to account for the interstellar reddening $E(B \quad V)$ and recent $B \quad V$ colour - tem perature calibration ( $F$ low er 1996). It tums out that such approach causes a big im prove$m$ ent in the tem perature determ ination and overall physical param eters as was dem onstrated by an accurate "reproducing" of absolute dim ensions of three LM C eclipsing binaries. H ow ever, it w as done for a little bit larger distance to LM C than the distance derived, on average, from these LM C stars. A shift of the distance modulus (m M ) = + 0:1 is quite sm all and com es probably from som e inaccuracies of $F$ low er's tem perature or/and bolom etric corrections calibration for hot stars.

A nyw ay we should expect that this kind ofm ethod $m$ ay give fast approxim ate determ ination of absolute dim ensions form any binaries in the $M$ agellan ic $C$ louds, especially as we have large archives of binaries discovered by OGLE, M A CHO and EROS projects at our disposal, and m ay be used also for eclipsing binaries in other close galaxies in the near future.
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